## **CHEMISTRY** A European Journal

## Supporting Information

## $K_2Au(IO_3)_5$ and β-KAu(IO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>: Polar Materials with Strong SHG Responses Originating from Synergistic Effect of AuO<sub>4</sub> and IO<sub>3</sub> Units<sup>\*\*</sup>

Xiang Xu,<sup>[a]</sup> Chun-Li Hu,<sup>[a]</sup> Bing-Xuan Li,<sup>[b]</sup> and Jiang-Gao Mao<sup>\*[a]</sup>

chem\_201504117\_sm\_miscellaneous\_information.pdf

**Table S1.** Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg) for  $K_2Au(IO_3)_5$  and  $\beta$ -KAu(IO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>.

**Table S2.** Calculated dipole moments for single IO<sub>3</sub>, AuO<sub>4</sub>, and Au(IO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> units, and net dipole moments for a unit cell of K<sub>2</sub>Au(IO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>5</sub> and  $\beta$ -KAu(IO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>.

**Figure S1.** EDS results of  $K_2Au(IO_3)_5$  (a) and  $\beta$ -KAu(IO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (b).

- Figure S2 Experimental and simulated powder X-ray diffraction patterns of  $K_2Au(IO_3)_5$  (a) and  $\beta$ -KAu(IO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (b).
- Figure S3. Powder X-ray diffraction patterns of the residuals after thermal decompositions for K<sub>2</sub>Au(IO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>5</sub> and β-KAu(IO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (a) compared with the simulated patterns for Au (b) and KIO<sub>3</sub> (c).

**Figure S4.** IR spectra of of  $K_2Au(IO_3)_5$  (a) and  $\beta$ -KAu(IO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (b).

**Figure S5.** The scissor-added band structures for  $K_2Au(IO_3)_5(a)$  and  $\beta$ -KAu(IO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (b).

Figure S6. The corresponding orbital graphs of the PDOS peaks labeled by 1~6 for K<sub>2</sub>Au(IO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>5</sub>.

Figure S7. The corresponding orbital graphs of the PDOS peaks labeled by  $1\sim 6$  for  $\beta$ -KAu(IO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>.

K <sub>2</sub> Au(IO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>5</sub>					
Au(1)-O(9)	1.987(12)	Au(1)-O(6)	1.989(14)		
Au(1)-O(9) <sup>#1</sup>	1.987(12)	Au(1)-O(4)	2.013(13)		
I(1)-O(1)	1.815(19)	I(3)-O(5)	1.823(13)		
I(1)-O(2)	1.828(12)	I(3)-O(5) <sup>#1</sup>	1.823(13)		
I(1)-O(2) <sup>#2</sup>	1.828(12)	I(3)-O(6)	1.879(16)		
I(2)-O(3)	1.796(13)	I(4)-O(7)	1.798(13)		
I(2)-O(3) <sup>#1</sup>	1.796(13)	I(4)-O(8)	1.797(12)		
I(2)-O(4)	1.900(17)	I(4)-O(9)	1.908(13)		
O(4)-Au(1)-O(9)	88.4(3)	I(2)-O(4)-Au(1)	112.7(8)		
O(6)-Au(1)-O(9)	91.4(4)	I(3)-O(6)-Au(1)	120.1(8)		
O(4)-Au(1)-O(6)	175.5(7)	I(4)-O(9)-Au(1)	118.1(7)		
O(9)-Au(1)-O(9) <sup>#1</sup>	173.9(8)				
$\beta$ -KAu(IO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>4</sub>					
Au(1)-O(6)	1.973(5)	Au(1)-O(3)	1.992(5)		
Au(1)-O(6) <sup>#1</sup>	1.973(5)	Au(1)-O(3) <sup>#1</sup>	1.992(5)		
I(1)-O(1)	1.786(6)	I(2)-O(4)	1.792(6)		
I(1)-O(2)	1.789(6)	I(2)-O(5)	1.799(6)		
I(1)-O(3)	1.891(7)	I(2)-O(6)	1.889(6)		
O(6)-Au(1)-O(3)	89.9(2)	O(6)-Au(1)-O(6) <sup>#1</sup>	174.3(4)		
O(6)-Au(1)-O(3) <sup>#1</sup>	90.1(2)	O(3)-Au(1)-O(3) <sup>#1</sup>	180.0(4)		
I(1)-O(3)-Au(1)	114.2(3)	I(2)-O(6)-Au(1)	120.3(3)		

Table S1. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg) for K<sub>2</sub>Au(IO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>5</sub> and  $\beta$ -KAu(IO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>.<sup>*a*</sup>

<sup>*a*</sup> Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms, for K<sub>2</sub>Au(IO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>5</sub>: #1 -x+1, y, z; #2 -x, y, z; for  $\beta$ -KAu(IO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>: #1 -x+1, y, -z+1.

K <sub>2</sub> Au(IO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>5</sub>						
	Dipole moment (D = Debyes)					
unit	<i>x</i> -component	y-component	z-component	total magnitude		
I(1)O <sub>3</sub>	0	-0.226	13.209	13.211		
I(2)O <sub>3</sub>	0	±13.008	0.116	13.008		
I(3)O <sub>3</sub>	0	±5.966	13.775	15.012		
I(4)O <sub>3</sub>	±4.141	±11.432	7.941	14.522		
AuO <sub>4</sub>	0	±0.285	1.327	1.357		
Au(IO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>4</sub>	0	±41.552	31.100	51.901		
Net dipole moment (a unit cell)	0	0	177.232	177.232		
$\beta$ -KAu(IO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>4</sub>						
	Dipole moment (D = Debyes)					
unit	<i>x</i> -component	y-component	z-component	total magnitude		
I(1)O <sub>3</sub>	±13.860	0.116	±3.860	14.387		
I(2)O <sub>3</sub>	±5.246	-13.994	±4.852	15.713		
AuO <sub>4</sub>	0	-0.816	0	0.816		
Au(IO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>4</sub>	0	-28.573	0	28.573		
Net dipole moment (a unit cell)	0	-57.147	0	57.147		

Table S2. Calculated dipole moments for single IO<sub>3</sub>, AuO<sub>4</sub>, and Au(IO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> units, and net dipole moments for a unit cell of K<sub>2</sub>Au(IO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>5</sub> and  $\beta$ -KAu(IO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>.<sup>*a*</sup>

<sup>*a*</sup> *x*, *y*, and *z* represent the Cartesian coordinate system, for K<sub>2</sub>Au(IO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>5</sub>, the *x*, *y*, and *z* are parallel to the crystallographic axis *a*, *b*, and *c*, respectively; for  $\beta$ -KAu(IO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>, the *x* and *y* are parallel to *a* and *b* axis, respectively, and *z* located at the *ac* plane with deviation of 19.184 ° from the *c* axis.



Figure S1. EDS results of  $K_2Au(IO_3)_5$  (a) and  $\beta$ -KAu(IO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (b).



Figure S2. Experimental and simulated powder X-ray diffraction patterns of  $K_2Au(IO_3)_5$  (a) and  $\beta$ -KAu(IO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (b).



Figure S3. Powder X-ray diffraction patterns of the residuals after thermal decompositions for  $K_2Au(IO_3)_5$  and  $\beta$ -KAu(IO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> compared with the simulated patterns for Au and KIO<sub>3</sub>.



Figure S4. IR spectra of of  $K_2Au(IO_3)_5$  (a) and  $\beta$ -KAu(IO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (b).



Figure S5. The scissor-added band structures for  $K_2Au(IO_3)_5(a)$  and  $\beta$ -KAu(IO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (b).









(3)

(4)



Figure S6. The corresponding orbital graphs of the PDOS peaks labeled by 1~6 for K<sub>2</sub>Au(IO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>5</sub>.



Figure S7. The corresponding orbital graphs of the PDOS peaks labeled by  $1\sim 6$  for  $\beta$ -KAu(IO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>.