Borylation Hot Paper

International Edition: DOI: 10.1002/anie.201611314 German Edition: DOI: 10.1002/ange.201611314

Boraformylation and Silaformylation of Allenes

Tetsuaki Fujihara,* Ayumi Sawada, Tatsuya Yamaguchi, Yosuke Tani, Jun Terao, and Yasushi Tsuji*

Abstract: The boraformylation of allenes with $B_2(pin)_2$ and a formate ester as boron and formyl source, respectively, proceeds in the presence of a copper catalyst. The reaction selectively affords the corresponding β -boryl β , γ -unsaturated aldehydes in good to high yields. Furthermore, the silaformylation of allenes was achieved with a formate ester and PhMe₂Si-B(pin) as the silicon source.

Organoboronic acids and esters are versatile boron functional groups in organic synthesis, especially for crosscoupling reactions.^[1] Thus various methods for the preparation of organoboron compounds have been explored, such as the hydroboration of carbon–carbon unsaturated bonds^[2] and reactions of boron electrophiles with organolithium or Grignard reagents.^[1a] Being more common in organic synthesis, silicon functional groups have been employed in a variety of highly efficient transformations.^[3]

The simultaneous incorporation of two different functional groups onto C–C unsaturated bonds is one of the most capable approaches for the preparation of complex molecules. In particular, allenes are valuable reaction partners as they can be converted into a structurally diverse array of products.^[4] However, such dual allene functionalizations usually provide a range of regio- and stereoisomers. Thus the selective formation of desired products is a highly important task.

Herein, we report on the boraformylation of allenes by simultaneous incorporation of boryl and formyl functional groups onto a C–C double bond of an allene to afford β -boryl β , γ -unsaturated aldehydes with high regioselectivity (Scheme 1 a). The formyl moiety is a potent functional group that can be further converted to yield useful compounds. It is frequently formed by oxidation of primary alcohols,^[5] reduction of carboxylic acids,^[5] and, in industry, by the hydro-

[*]	Prof. Dr. T. Fujihara, A. Sawada, T. Yamaguchi, Prof. Dr. Y. Tani, Prof. Dr. J. Terao, Prof. Dr. Y. Tsuji Department of Energy and Hydrocarbon Chemistry		
	Graduate School of Engineering, Kyoto University		
	Kyoto 615-8510 (Japan)		
	E-mail: tfuji@scl.kyoto-u.ac.jp		
	ytsuji@scl.kyoto-u.ac.jp		
	Prof. Dr. Y. Tani		
	Present address: Department of Chemistry		
	Graduate School of Science, Osaka University		
	Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043 (Japan)		
	Prof. Dr. J. Terao		
	Present address: Department of Basic Science		
	Graduate School of Art and Sciences		
	The University of Tokyo, Tokyo 153-8902 (Japan)		
	Supporting information for this article can be found under:		

Supporting information for this article can be found under: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201611314.



Scheme 1. a) Boraformylation and b) silaformylation of allenes.

formylation of alkenes under CO/H_2 pressure.^[6] We found that the boraformylation of allenes could be achieved with $B_2(pin)_2$ and a formate ester as boron and formyl source, respectively, in the presence of a copper catalyst. Formate esters have been used as formyl sources in Claisen-type condensation reactions.^[7] To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report on the boraformylation of unsaturated substrates. Furthermore, the silaformylation of allenes was achieved for the first time by employing PhMe₂Si–B(pin) as the silicon source along with a formate ester (Scheme 1 b).

First, the reaction of 3-methyl-1,2-nonadiene (1a), $B_2(pin)_2$, and hexyl formate was conducted at 50 °C in the presence of CuOAc (3.0 mol%) and a ligand (4.0 mol%) in toluene (Table 1).^[8] Impressively, the steric properties of the ligand strongly affected the reaction. PPh₃, dppe, xantphos, and dppbz^[9] were ineffective in promoting the catalytic reaction, yielding the desired β -boryl β , γ -unsaturated aldehyde (2a) in trace amounts (entries 1-4). However, Xy-dppbz produced 2a in 9% yield (entry 5). Finally, DTB-dppbz^[10] and DTBM-dppbz selectively afforded 2a in yields of 99% and 96%, respectively (entries 6 and 7). As for N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) ligands, IPr gave 2a in 32% yield (entry 8) whereas IMes did not enable the formation of this compound (entry 9). Benzyl formate (instead of hexyl formate) successfully afforded 2a in 80% yield (entry 10) whereas the utilization of phenyl formate considerably decreased the yield (entry 11).

With DTBM-dppbz as the ligand, various allenes were employed as substrates under the optimized reaction conditions (Table 2). 1,1-Dialkyl-substituted allenes (**1a–1d**) provided the corresponding β -boryl β , γ -unsaturated aldehydes (**2a–2d**) in good to high yields after isolation (entries 1– 4). In some cases, the addition of sodium laurate^[11] (20 mol%) increased the catalytic activity. For example, **2e** was isolated in 78% yield when the reaction of **1e** was conducted in the presence of sodium laureate (entry 5) whereas a GC yield of 65% was determined in the absence of sodium laurate. With sodium laurate, allenes bearing several groups, such as terminal olefin (**1e**), chlorophenyl (**1f**), iodophenyl (**1g**), silyloxy (**1h**), acetal (**1i**), ester (**1j**), or carbamate (**1k**) moieties, provided the corresponding prod**Communications**

Angewandte International Edition Chemie

Table 1: Ligand Effect on the copper-catalyzed boraformylation of 1 a.^[a]

Ta	+ B ₂ (pin) ₂ + HCOOR	CuOAc (3.0 mol%) ligand (4.0 mol%) toluene, 50 °C, 6 h	CHO B(pin) 2a
Entry	Ligand	Formate $R =$	Yield [%] ^[b]
1	PPh ₃	C ₆ H ₁₃	trace
2	dppe	C ₆ H ₁₃	2
3	xantphos	C ₆ H ₁₃	trace
4	dppbz	C ₆ H ₁₃	trace
5	Xy-dppbz	C ₆ H ₁₃	9
6	DTB-dppbz	C ₆ H ₁₃	99
7	DTBM-dppbz	C ₆ H ₁₃	96
8 ^[c]	IPrCuCl/tBuOK	C ₆ H ₁₃	32
9 ^[d]	IMesCuCl/tBuOK	C ₆ H ₁₃	0
10	DTBM-dppbz	PhCH ₂	80
11	DTBM-dppbz	Ph	31

[a] Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.30 mmol), $B_2(pin)_2$ (0.36 mmol, 1.2 equiv), formate (0.33 mmol, 1.1 equiv), CuOAc (3.0 mol%), ligand (4.0 mol%), toluene (0.45 mL), 50°C, 6 h. [b] Determined by GC analysis. [c] A mixture of IPrCuCl (3.0 mol%) and tBuOK (10 mol%) was used. [d] A mixture of IMesCuCl (3.0 mol%) and tBuOK (10 mol%) was used.



ucts (2e-2k) in moderate to good yields (entries 5–11). A monosubstituted allene, 4,4-dimethyl-1,2-hexadiene (11), did not afford the corresponding boraformylation product, even after full consumption of the starting material.

The present procedure was amenable to a gram-scale reaction. Thus, starting from 0.83 g (6.0 mmol) of **1a**, 1.3 g of **2a** were obtained, which corresponds to a yield of 72% (Scheme 2a). Suzuki–Miyaura coupling^[1a] of **2a** with 4-*tert*-butyliodobenzene smoothly afforded the desired product in 83% yield after isolation (Scheme 2b). Coupling with β -bromostyrene also provided the corresponding diene in 58% yield (Scheme 2c). Horner–Wadsworth–Emmons reaction^[12] of **2a** enabled the isolation of the olefinated product in high yield (Scheme 2 d).

Formate esters, especially aryl formates, are known to decompose to liberate $CO_1^{[13]}$ thereby enabling a boraformylation reaction with the CO that is evolved in situ. However, hexyl formate was not converted when the reaction shown in Table 2, entry 1 was conducted without both $B_2(pin)_2$ and **1a**. Furthermore, the boraformylation product (**2a**) was not obtained upon replacement of hexyl formate with a mixture of CO (1 atm) and hexanol [Eq. (1)]. Thus CO is not involved in the present allene boraformylation.



[a] Reaction conditions: 1 (0.30 mmol), $B_2(pin)_2$ (0.36 mmol, 1.2 equiv), hexyl formate (0.33 mmol, 1.1 equiv), CuOAc (3.0 mol%), DTBM-dppbz (4.0 mol%), toluene (0.45 mL), 50 °C, 6 h. [b] Yields of isolated products are given. [c] 16 h. [d] Sodium laurate (20 mol%) was added.

With respect to the silaformylation (silylformylation)^[14] of unsaturated C–C bonds, Matsuda et al. reported a rhodiumcatalyzed reaction of alkynes employing hydrosilanes under CO pressure [Eq. (2)].^[14a,b] Intramolecular alkene silaformy-

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{CuOAc (3.0 mol%)} \\ \text{DTBM-dppbz (4.0 mol%)} \\ \text{TBM-dppbz (4.0 mol%)} \\ \text{1atm} \\ \text{1atm} \\ \text{toluene, 50 °C, 6 h} \end{array} \tag{1}$$

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 1539-1543



Communications





Scheme 2. Derivatization of a boraformylation product.

lation also proceeds under CO pressure (1000 psi).^[14h] However, there is no precedent for allene silaformylation. The silaformylation of allenes was found to proceed with a silylborane and a formate ester as silyl and formyl source, respectively, in the presence of a copper catalyst (Scheme 1 b). Note that toxic CO was not required in the present silaformylation. When the reaction of **1b** with PhMe₂Si– B(pin) and hexyl formate was conducted in THF at 60 °C, the silaformylation product (**3b**) was obtained in 91 % GC yield and isolated in 86 % yield (Scheme 3 a). Less bulky ligands such as Xy-dppbz and dppbz were not effective (Scheme 3 b, c), which is in good agreement with the ligand effect observed in the boraformylation (Table 1).

Various allenes gave the corresponding β -silyl β , γ -unsaturated aldehydes in good to high yields via the silaformylation reaction (Scheme 4). Functional groups, such as terminal olefin (**3e**), chlorophenyl (**3f**), iodophenyl (**3g**), acetal (**3i**), and ester (**3j**) moieties, were tolerated in the reaction. The monosubstituted allene **11** yielded the corresponding silaformylation product (**3l**) in moderate yield. Gram-scale silaformylation of **1b** (4.0 mmol) was also possible and provided **3b** (1.0 g) in 95 % yield.

The bora- and silaformylation reactions follow similar catalytic cycles (Scheme 5). It is known that boryl copper species (**A**b) are generated by the reaction of $B_2(pin)_2$ with Cu compounds.^[15] Silyl copper species (**A**si) are also afforded upon reaction of PhMe₂Si–B(pin) with copper compounds.^[16] Thus **A**b and **A**si must be key catalyst species involved in the

Scheme 3. The effect of ligands on the silaformylation. Reaction conditions: Reaction conditions: **1b** (0.20 mmol), PhMe₂Si–B(pin) (0.24 mmol, 1.2 equiv), hexyl formate (0.22 mmol, 1.1 equiv), CuOAc (4.0 mol%), ligand (5.0 mol%), THF (0.20 mL), 60°C, 4 h. [a] Determined by GC analysis. [b] Yield of isolated product.

Scheme 4. Silaformylation of various allenes. Reaction conditions: 1 (0.20 mmol), PhMe₂Si-B(pin) (0.24 mmol), hexyl formate (0.22 mmol), CuOAc (4.0 mol%), DTBM-dppbz (5.0 mol%), THF (0.20 mL), 60°C, 4 h. Yields of isolated products are given. [a] 15 h.

Scheme 5. Possible catalytic cycle.

catalytic cycles (step 0). Ab and Asi subsequently add across the terminal double bond of an allene (1), generating β -boryl (**B**b)^[17] or β -silyl (**B**si)^[18] allyl copper intermediates (step 1). Next, **B**b and **B**si react with a formate ester at the γ -position to provide **C**b and **C**si via a six-membered-ring transition state (step 2). β -Elimination from Cb and Csi produces 2 (Y=B(pin)) and 3 (Y=SiMe₂Ph), which extrude alkoxy copper species **D** (step 3). It has been reported that ketones,^[17e,18b] aldehydes,^[17e,18b,d] and imines^[17f,g] react with **B**b and **B**si to give the corresponding allylated alcohols and amines. In the present reaction, the alkoxy moiety of the formate is efficiently removed as an alkoxy copper species (**D**) by copper species bearing rather bulky ligands, such as DTBM-dppbz and DTB-dppbz. Finally, σ -bond metathesis of **D** with B₂(pin)₂ and PhMe₂Si–B(pin) regenerates the active catalyst species **A**b and **A**si, respectively (step 4).

In conclusion, bora- and silaformylation reactions of allenes have been described for the first time. For the boraformylation, $B_2(pin)_2$ and formate esters were good boron and formyl sources, respectively, in the presence of a copper catalyst. The corresponding β -boryl β , γ -unsaturated aldehydes were selectively obtained in good to high yields. In the silaformylation, β -silyl β , γ -unsaturated aldehydes were provided when PhMe₂Si–B(pin) was employed as the silicon source in combination with a formate ester. Further studies on the reaction mechanisms and enantioselective variants are in progress.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 25708017, a Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (A), and JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP16H01020 (Precisely Designed Catalysts with Customized Scaffolding) from MEXT, Japan.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: allenes \cdot borylation \cdot copper \cdot formate esters \cdot silylation

How to cite: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 1539–1543 Angew. Chem. 2017, 129, 1561–1565

- a) N. Miyaura, A. Suzuki, *Chem. Rev.* **1995**, *95*, 2457–2483; b) A. Suzuki, H. C. Brown, *Organic Syntheses* via *Boranes, Vol. 3*, Aldrich, Milwaukee, **2003**; c) T. Hayashi, K. Yamasaki, *Chem. Rev.* **2003**, *103*, 2829–2844; d) N. Miyaura, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **2008**, *81*, 1535–1553; e) *Boronic Acids*, 2nd. ed. (Ed.: D. G. Hall), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, **2011**; f) I. A. I. Mkhalid, J. H. Barnard, T. B. Marder, J. M. Murphy, J. F. Hartwig, *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 890–931; g) E. C. Neeve, S. J. Geier, I. A. I. Mkhalid, S. A. Westcott, T. B. Marder, *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116*, 9091–9161.
- [2] For reviews on hydroboration, see: a) H. C. Brown, *Pure Appl. Chem.* **1976**, *47*, 49–60; b) L. Beletskaya, A. Pelter, *Tetrahedron* **1997**, *53*, 4957–5026; c) C. M. Crudden, D. Edwards, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, 4695–4712; d) A.-M. Carroll, T. P. O'Sullivan, P. J. Guiry, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2005**, *347*, 609–631.
- [3] a) E. W. Colvin in Silicon in Organic Synthesis, Butterworths, London, 1981, pp. 44–82; b) E. W. Colvin in Silicon Reagents in Organic Synthesis, Academic, London, 1988, pp. 7–19; c) E. Langkopf, D. Schinzer, Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 1375–1408; d) T. A. Blumenkopf, L. E. Overman, Chem. Rev. 1986, 86, 857–873;

e) I. Fleming, J. Dunogues, R. Smithers, Org. React. **1989**, 37, 57–575.

- [4] a) Modern Allene Chemistry (Eds.: N. Krause, A. S. K. Hashmi), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2004; b) S. Ma, Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 2829–2871; c) M. Jeganmohan, C.-H. Cheng, Chem. Commun. 2008, 3101–3117; d) S. Yu, S. Ma, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 3074–3112; Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 3128–3167.
- [5] R. C. Larock, Comprehensive Organic Transformations, 2nd ed., Wiley-VCH, New York, 1999.
- [6] a) B. Breit, L. Diab in *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis II*, *Vol. 4* (Eds.: P. Knochel, G. A. Molander), Elsevier, Oxford, **2014**, pp. 995–1053; b) I. Ojima, C. Y. Tsai, D. Tzamarioudaki, D. Bonafoux, *Org. React.* **2000**, *56*, 1–354.
- [7] a) R. P. Mariella, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1947, 69, 2670-2672;
 b) R. L. Frank, R. H. Varland, Org. Synth. Coll. Vol. 1955, 3, 829-830.
- [8] See the Supporting Information for details.
- [9] dppe = 1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane, xantphos = 4,5-bis-(diphenylphosphino)-9,9-dimethylxanthene, dppbz = 1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)benzene, Xy-dppbz = 1,2-bis(bis(3,5-dimethylphenyl)phosphino)benzene, DTB-dppbz = 1,2-bis(bis(3,5di-*tert*-butylphenyl)phosphino)benzene, DTBM-dppbz = 1,2bis(bis(3,5-di-*tert*-butyl-4-methoxyphenyl)phosphino)benzene, IPr = 1,3-bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)imidazole-2-ylidene, IMes = 1,3-bis(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)imidazole-2-ylidene.
- [10] For the preparation of DTB-dppbz, see: T. Hatakeyama, T. Hashimoto, Y. Kondo, Y. Fujiwara, H. Seike, H. Takaya, Y. Tamada, T. Ono, M. Nakamura, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 10674–10676.
- [11] Whereas sodium propionate could also be used in the reaction, sodium laurate was preferred owing to its less hygroscopic nature.
- [12] a) L. Horner, H. Hoffmann, H. G. Wippel, *Chem. Ber.* 1958, *91*, 61–63; b) W. S. Wadsworth, Jr., W. D. Emmons, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1961, *83*, 1733–1738; c) S. K. Thompson, C. H. Heathcock, *J. Org. Chem.* 1990, *55*, 3386–3388.
- [13] a) Y. Katafuchi, T. Fujihara, T. Iwai, J. Terao, Y. Tsuji, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2011, 353, 475–482; b) T. Fujihara, T. Hosoki, Y. Katafuchi, T. Iwai, J. Terao, Y. Tsuji, Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 8012–8014; c) T. Ueda, H. Konishi, K. Manabe, Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 3100–3103; d) Y. Hoshimoto, T. Ohta, Y. Sasaoka, M. Ohashi, S. Ogoshi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 15877–15880; e) H. Li, H. Neumann, M. Beller, X.-F. Wu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 3183–3186; Angew. Chem. 2014, 126, 3247–3250.
- [14] a) I. Matsuda, A. Ogiso, S. Sato, Y. Izumi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 2332-2333; b) I. Matsuda, Y. Fukuta, T. Tsuchihashi, H. Nagashima, K. Itoh, Organometallics 1997, 16, 4327-4345; c) I. Ojima, E. Vidal, M. Tzamarioudaki, I. Matsuda, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 6797-6798; d) F. Monteil, I. Matsuda, H. Alper, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 4419-4420; see also: e) I. Ojima, M. Tzamarioudaki, C. Y. Tsai, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 3643-3644; f) I. Ojima, P. Ingallina, R. J. Donovan, N. Clos, Organometallics 1991, 10, 38-41; g) M. P. Doyle, M. S. Shanklin, Organometallics 1994, 13, 1081-1088; h) J. L. Leighton, E. Chapman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 12416-12417.
- [15] D. S. Laitar, P. Müller, J. P. Sadighi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 17196–17197.
- [16] C. Kleeberg, M. S. Cheung, Z. Lin, T. B. Marder, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 19060–190603.
- [17] For selected examples for β-borylallyl copper reagents generated from allenes and Cu–B species (Ab), see: a) K. Semba, N. Bessho, T. Fujihara, J. Terao, Y. Tsuji, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2014, 53, 9007–9011; *Angew. Chem.* 2014, *126*, 9153–9157; b) K. Semba, T. Fujihara, J. Terao, Y. Tsuji, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2013, *52*, 12400–12403; *Angew. Chem.* 2013, *125*, 12626–12629; c) K. Semba, M. Shinomiya, T. Fujihara, J. Terao, Y. Tsuji, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2013, *19*, 7125–7133; d) F. Meng, K. P. McGrath, A. H.

Hoveyda, Nature 2014, 513, 367; e) F. Meng, H. Jang, B. Jung,
A. H. Hoveyda, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 5046–5051;
Angew. Chem. 2013, 125, 5150–5155; f) W. Zhao, J. Montgomery, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 9763–9766; g) J. Rae, K. Yeung, J. J. McDouall, D. J. Procter, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 1102–1107; Angew. Chem. 2016, 128, 1114–1119; h) K. Yeung, R. E. Ruscoe, J. Rae, A. P. Pulis, D. J. Procter, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 11912–11916; Angew. Chem. 2016, 128, 12091–12095; i) Y. Zhou, W. You, K. B. M. Smith, K. Brown, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 3475–3479; Angew. Chem. 2014, 126, 3543–3547; j) W. Yuan, S. Ma, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2012, 354, 1867–1872.

[18] For selected examples for β -silylallyl copper intermediates generated from allenes and Cu–Si species (Asi), see: a) Y.

Tani, T. Fujihara, J. Terao, Y. Tsuji, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 2332–2333; b) Y. Tani, Y. Yamaguchi, T. Fujihara, J. Terao, Y. Tsuji, Chem. Lett. 2015, 44, 271–273; c) Z.-T. He, X.-Q. Tang, L.-B. Xie, M. Cheng, P. Tian, G.-Q. Lin, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 14815–14818; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 15028–15031; d) J. Rae, Y. C. Hu, D. J. Procter, Chem. Eur. J. 2014, 20, 13143–13145; e) Y.-H. Xu, L.-H. Wu, J. Wang, T.-P. Loh, Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 7195–7197.

Manuscript received: November 18, 2016 Final Article published: January 11, 2017