

## Many Genes Involved in Tourette Syndrome Pathogenesis

Willsey AJ, Fernandez TV, Yu D, et al. De novo coding variants are strongly associated with Tourette disorder. *Neuron* 2017;94:486-499.

Roger L. Albin, MD

Neurology Service & GRECC, VAAHS, Ann Arbor, MI, 48105, USA

Dept. of Neurology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, 48109, USA

Address correspondence to: Roger L. Albin, MD, 5023 BSRB, 109 Zina Pitcher Place, Ann Arbor, MI, 48109-2200, USA, (ph) 734-764-1347, (fax) 734-763-7686, email [ralbin@umich.edu](mailto:ralbin@umich.edu)

Title Characters: 53

Text Words: 320

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version record](#). Please cite this article as [doi:10.1002/mds.27070](https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.27070).

Despite considerable efforts, genetic studies of Tourette syndrome (TS) have not been very productive. Analyses of some unusual pedigrees identified possible risk genes but larger scale linkage and association studies were fruitless. Using advanced conceptual and technological tools, Willsey et al. present compelling evidence for involvement of multiple genes in TS. They studied parent-child trios with an affected child and unaffected parents. Genomes were analyzed with whole exome sequencing to identify *de novo* variants potentially associated with TS. An excess of likely gene disrupting (LGD) variants (premature stop codons, frameshift variants, splice-site variants) and likely damaging missense variants was observed in TS trios. Using statistical simulation methods, Willsey et al. extrapolated that there are in excess of 400 TS risk genes. Based on the presence of recurrent *de novo* variants, Willsey et al. identified 4 candidate TS risk genes.

This study demonstrates both the strengths and weaknesses of genetic approaches to neurodevelopmental disorders. The results are potentially fruitful, but this work may be motivated by a misconception. The authors state that they are pursuing novel therapeutic targets by seeking to understand TS pathophysiology. This is incorrect. The authors are studying pathogenesis by attempting to isolate etiologic factors. It is likely that many of the LGD variant carrying genes identified play roles in brain development. Data about the four identified candidate TS risk genes is consistent with this inference.

There is a good likelihood that Willsey et al. identified mutations causing emergence of abnormal circuits underlying clinical phenomena such as tics and co-morbid behavioral disorders common in TS. Understanding the normal functions of these genes and the consequences of these mutations will be informative about brain development. This knowledge, however, is less likely to be informative about the abnormal physiology of aberrant circuits. Understanding the latter is what is indispensable for developing novel treatments. This is

important work, but its major impact will probably be in the domain of developmental neurobiology.

Roger L. Albin, MD

Neurology Service & GRECC, VAAHS, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

Dept. of Neurology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

Author Role: RLA is responsible for all content.

Financial Disclosures: Supported by NIH-NINDS R21 NS088302; R56 NS082941; P50

NS091856. RLA receives honoraria for DSMB Service for ICON/TEVA (LEGATO-HD trial) and IONIS (IONIS-HTT<sub>RX</sub>). RLA serves on the Editorial Boards of Neurology, Annals of Neurology, Experimental Neurology, and Neurobiology of Disease.