

- pallidus in Parkinson's disease patients. *Neuroreport* 1994;5:1533-1537.
20. Starr P a, Rau GM, Davis V, et al. Spontaneous pallidal neuronal activity in human dystonia: comparison with Parkinson's disease and normal macaque. *J Neurophysiol* 2005;93:3165-3176.
  21. Sanghera MK, Grossman RG, Kalhorn CG, Hamilton WJ, Ondo WG, Jankovic J. Basal ganglia neuronal discharge in primary and secondary dystonia in patients undergoing pallidotomy. *Neurosurgery* 2003;52:1358-1370.
  22. Alam M, Sanghera MK, Schwabe K, et al. Globus pallidus internus neuronal activity: a comparative study of linear and non-linear features in patients with dystonia or Parkinson's disease. *J Neural Transm (Vienna)*. 2016;123:231-240.
  23. Zhuang P, Li Y, Hallett M. Neuronal activity in the basal ganglia and thalamus in patients with dystonia. *Clin Neurophysiol* 2004; 115:2542-2557.
  24. Vitek JL, Chockkan V, Zhang JY, et al. Neuronal activity in the basal ganglia in patients with generalized dystonia and hemiballismus. *Ann Neurol* 1999;46:22-35.
  25. Yael D, Vinner E, Bar-Gad I. Pathophysiology of tic disorders. *Mov Disord* 2015;30:1171-1178.
  26. Israeleshvili M, Loewenstern Y, Bar-Gad I. Abnormal neuronal activity in Tourette syndrome and its modulation using deep brain stimulation. *J Neurophysiol* 2015;114:6-20.
  27. Peterson BS, Skudlarski P, Anderson AW, et al. A functional magnetic resonance imaging study of tic suppression in Tourette syndrome. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1998;55:326-333.
  28. Baym CL, Corbett BA, Wright SB, Bunge SA. Neural correlates of tic severity and cognitive control in children with Tourette syndrome. *Brain* 2008;131:165-179.
  29. Worbe Y, Malherbe C, Hartmann A, et al. Functional immaturity of cortico-basal ganglia networks in Gilles de la Tourette syndrome. *Brain* 2012;135:1937-1946.
  30. Mink JW. Basal ganglia dysfunction in Tourette's syndrome: a new hypothesis. *Pediatr Neurol* 2001;25:190-198.
  31. Albin RL, Mink JW. Recent advances in Tourette syndrome research. *Trends Neurosci* 2006;29:175-182.
  32. McCairn KW, Bronfeld M, Belevovsky K, Bar-Gad I. The neurophysiological correlates of motor tics following focal striatal disinhibition. *Brain* 2009;132:2125-2138.
  33. Israeleshvili M, Bar-Gad I. Corticostriatal divergent function in determining the temporal and spatial properties of motor tics. *J Neurosci* 2015;35:16340-16351.

## Supporting Data

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article

# Patient-Reported Outcomes in Huntington's Disease: Quality of Life in Neurological Disorders (Neuro-QoL) and Huntington's Disease Health-Related Quality of Life (HDQLIFE) Physical Function Measures

Noelle E. Carlozzi, PhD,<sup>1\*</sup> Rebecca E. Ready, PhD,<sup>2</sup> Samuel Frank, MD,<sup>3</sup> David Cella, PhD,<sup>4,5</sup> Elizabeth A. Hahn, MS,<sup>5</sup> Siera M. Goodnight, MPH,<sup>1</sup> Stephen G. Schilling, PhD,<sup>1,6</sup> Nicholas R. Boileau, BA,<sup>1</sup> and Praveen Dayalu, MD<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA <sup>2</sup>Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Massachusetts, USA <sup>3</sup>Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, Massachusetts, USA <sup>4</sup>Institute for Health Services Research & Policy Studies, Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern University, Chicago, Illinois, USA <sup>5</sup>Department of Medical Social Sciences, Northwestern University, Chicago, Illinois, USA <sup>6</sup>Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA <sup>7</sup>Department of Neurology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** There is a need for patient-reported outcome measures that capture the impact that motor impairments have on health-related quality of life in individuals with Huntington's disease.

**Objectives:** The objectives of this study were to establish the reliability and validity of new physical functioning patient-reported outcome measures in Huntington's disease.

**Methods:** A total of 510 individuals with Huntington's disease completed 2 Quality of Life in Neurological Disorders (Lower Extremity Function and Upper Extremity Function) and 3 Huntington's Disease Health-Related Quality of Life (Chorea, Speech Difficulties, and Swallowing Difficulties) measures. Clinician-rated and generic self-report measures were also administered.

**Results:** Reliabilities for the new patient reported physical functioning measures were excellent (all Cronbach's  $\alpha > .92$ ). Convergent, discriminant validity and known group validity was supported.

**Conclusions:** The results provide psychometric support for new patient-reported physical functioning measures and the fact that these measures can be used as clinically meaningful endpoints in Huntington's disease research and clinical practice. © 2017 International Parkinson and Movement Disorder Society

**Key Words:** Neuro-QoL; HDQLIFE; Huntington's disease; physical functioning; chorea; motor symptoms; health-related quality of life; patient-reported outcome (PRO)

Huntington's disease (HD) is a progressive neurodegenerative disorder that causes profound cognitive, behavioral, and motor declines. The motor

\*Corresponding author: Dr. Noelle E. Carlozzi, University of Michigan, Department of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, North Campus Research Complex, 2800 Plymouth Road, Building NCRC B14, Room G216, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-2800; carlozzi@med.umich.edu

Relevant conflicts of interests/financial disclosures: Nothing to report.

Received: 11 November 2016; Revised: 17 April 2017; Accepted: 19 April 2017

Published online 27 May 2017 in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com). DOI: 10.1002/mds.27046

phenomenology in HD is multifaceted and affects all body segments and limbs, with profound impacts on daily living and social participation. The most characteristic and best studied motor symptom in HD is chorea.<sup>1-4</sup> Chorea is associated with falls, gait disturbance, and balance difficulties.<sup>5-10</sup> By mid- to late-stage HD, even if chorea is controlled, a host of less-treatable motor problems steadily worsen (dystonia, bradykinesia, rigidity, and ataxia). Motor symptoms also affect oropharyngeal function resulting in worsening dysarthria<sup>11-13</sup> and dysphagia.<sup>14</sup>

Patient-reported outcome (PRO) measures about motor symptoms and associated activity limitations are rarely used in HD trials, even though such outcomes are increasingly recognized as important measures of efficacy for new treatments.<sup>15</sup> In a devastating disease such as HD, where intensive treatment efforts are directed at the development of treatments designed to improve physical activity engagement,<sup>16-21</sup> there is a particular need for meaningful and sensitive PRO measures that capture these aspects of health-related quality of life (HRQOL).

The Huntington Disease Health-Related Quality of Life (HDQLIFE) measurement system<sup>22-25</sup> was designed to provide reliable and valid assessments of HRQOL among individuals with HD. This system includes several HD-specific measures of HRQOL as well as generic HRQOL measures from the Quality of Life in Neurological Disorders (Neuro-QoL) system.<sup>26,27</sup> The goal of this paper is to provide reliability and validity data for the HDQLIFE PROs that evaluate physical function.

## Methods

### Participants

Individuals with either prodromal (gene-positive status for the HD CAG expansion and no clinical diagnosis) or manifest HD were invited to participate in this study. Participants were  $\geq 18$  years of age, able to read and understand English, and had the ability to provide informed consent. Participants were recruited through specialized HD treatment centers, the HD Roster, existing data capture systems,<sup>28</sup> and the Predict-HD research study.<sup>29</sup>

### Measures

We examined Neuro-QoL Lower Extremity Function and Neuro-QoL Upper Extremity Function<sup>19,29</sup> computer adaptive tests and fixed 8-item short-forms. We also examined simulated computer adaptive tests and 6-item short-form scores for HDQLIFE Chorea,<sup>30</sup> HDQLIFE Speech Difficulties,<sup>31</sup> and HDQLIFE Swallowing Difficulties.<sup>31</sup> Resulting scores are on a *t*-metric (mean [M] = 50, standard deviation [SD] = 10).

## Composite Scores

Upper and lower extremity composite scores were created by the a priori selection of self-rated and clinician-rated items. The upper extremity self-rated composite was composed of the EuroQoL 5 Dimensions Questionnaire<sup>32</sup> self-care item, 1 Veterans Rand 12-item Health Status Inventory<sup>33</sup> item (“Does your health now limit you in moderate activities, such as moving a table, pushing a vacuum cleaner, bowling, or playing golf? If so, how much?”), 2 World Health Organization Disability Assessment Schedule 2.0<sup>34</sup> items (“In the last 30 days, how much difficulty did you have in: washing your whole body?” and “In the last 30 days, how much difficulty did you have in: getting dressed?”), and items from a self-report version of the UHDRS<sup>35</sup> Total Functional Capacity (TFC; “Are you able to work?” “Are you able to manage your own finances?” “Are you able to complete household chores without help?” “Are you able to accomplish daily living tasks, such as bathing, dressing, and meal preparation without help?” “What type of care do you receive?”). Self-reported TFC internal consistency (Cronbach’s  $\alpha = 0.86$ ) and convergent validity with the clinician-rated version (intraclass correlation coefficient [ICC] = 0.89; 95% confidence interval .87-.91) were supported. The lower extremity self-rated composite was composed of the EuroQoL 5 Dimensions Questionnaire<sup>32</sup> mobility item, 1 Rand<sup>33</sup> item (“Does your health now limit you in climbing several flights of stairs? If so, how much?”), 2 World Health Organization Disability Assessment Schedule 2.0<sup>34</sup> items (“In the last 30 days, how much difficulty did you have in: standing for long periods such as 30 minutes?” and “In the last 30 days, how much difficulty did you have in: walking a long distance, such as a kilometer [or equivalent]?”), and the self-reported TFC items. The upper extremity clinician-rated composite was composed of the UHDRS<sup>35</sup> Independence Scale, TFC score, and Motor Assessment upper extremity items (finger tapping, pronation, and hand supination; Luria; arm rigidity; upper extremity dystonia; upper extremity chorea). The lower extremity clinician-rated composite was composed of the Independence Scale, TFC score, and Motor Assessment lower extremity items (gait, tandem walking, retropulsion, lower extremity dystonia, lower extremity chorea). All composite scores were coded so that higher scores indicated worse function; scores were transformed to *z* scores, averaged, and transformed to *t* scores (M = 50, SD = 10).

Clinician-rated TFC was used to classify manifest HD participants as either early stage (sum scores of 7-13) or late stage (sum scores of 0-6).<sup>36</sup>

## Analyses

### Internal Consistency Reliability, Convergent and Discriminant Validity

A multitrait, multimethod correlation matrix where Pearson correlation coefficients are organized such that

each method (PRO and composite measures) is arranged corresponding to different concepts (clinician ratings or self-reported) was used to examine internal consistency reliability (Cronbach's alphas) and determine associations among PROs, self-rated, and clinician-rated composites. Coefficients on the diagonal provide estimated reliabilities. Coefficients between similar methods and concepts (eg, PRO vs PRO composite) should yield the strongest relationships (correlations  $\geq 0.6$  support convergent validity), and coefficients between different methods and concepts (eg, PRO vs clinician composite) should yield the weakest relationships (0.3 to 0.6 correlations support discriminant validity).<sup>37</sup>

### **Known-Groups Analyses**

Multivariate analyses were conducted to identify group differences (prodromal, early HD, late HD). Prodromal participants should report better functioning than both manifest HD groups; early-HD participants should report better functioning than late-HD participants.

### **Effect Sizes**

Cohen's *d* were calculated to evaluate the relative influence that symptom severity (determined by a median split using the corresponding clinician-rated scores/item[s] from the UHDRS Motor Assessment) had on self-reported physical functioning. Neuro-QoL scores were compared with the UHDRS Total Motor score, HDQLIFE Chorea was compared with the clinician-rated UHDRS Motor Assessment chorea items, HDQLIFE Speech Difficulties was compared with the clinician-rated UHDRS Motor Assessment speech item, and HDQLIFE Swallowing Difficulties was compared with the clinician-rated UHDRS Motor Assessment swallowing item. Effect sizes for the Neuro-QoL PROs were examined relative to the Neuro-QoL normative sample ( $n = 1046$  for Lower Extremity;  $n = 1095$  for Upper Extremity;  $M = 50$ ,  $SD = 10$ ); effect sizes for each HDQLIFE PRO was examined relative to this sample. The largest effect sizes should be seen among those with greater clinician-rated severity.

### **Classification Accuracy (Sensitivity/Specificity)**

Logistic regression models were conducted to determine the accuracy with which the PROs could discriminate between different HD groups. Likelihood ratios for clinical decision making should be  $\geq 2$ .<sup>38</sup> Receiver operating characteristic analysis was used to compare diagnostic performance of the PROs (minimal acceptable area under the curve specified as  $\geq .70$ ).<sup>39</sup>

## **Results**

A total of 510 individuals with prodromal ( $n = 198$ ), early- ( $n = 195$ ), or late-stage HD ( $n = 117$ ) participated in this study. Participants ranged from 18 to 81 years of age ( $M = 49.10$ ,  $SD = 13.23$ ), 59.2% of participants were women, and the majority of participants were white (96.1%). Education ranged from 4 to 26 years ( $M = 15.06$ ,  $SD = 2.89$ ). Descriptive data for the PROs are provided in Table 1. An examination of floor effects by group (prodromal, early-, and late-stage HD) confirmed that floor effects were most prevalent in the prodromal group (of those with floor effects,  $\geq 65\%$  were prodromal participants).

### **Internal Consistency Reliability, Convergent and Discriminant Validity**

Reliability coefficients for the Neuro-QoL (both = .97) and HDQLIFE measures were excellent (.98 for Chorea and Speech, .97 for Swallowing; Table 1). Validity coefficients were higher between the Neuro-QoL/HDQLIFE measures and the composite self-report measures than they were with the clinician-rated composites, supporting convergent and discriminant validity, respectively.

### **Known-Groups Analyses**

Analyses indicated significant group differences among all 3 groups; findings were in the predicted direction (Table 2).

### **Effect Sizes**

As expected, effect sizes were larger for the groups with more clinician-rated severity (Table 2).

### **Classification Accuracy (Sensitivity/Specificity)**

Classification accuracy was generally moderate to high for all PROs when differentiating between prodromal-HD and either manifest HD group (Table 2).

## **Discussion**

The results support the reliability and validity of the Neuro-QoL and HDQLIFE physical functioning PROs in individuals with prodromal and manifest HD. Specifically, the results provided strong support for the internal consistency reliability of the PROs, and although there was some evidence of floor effects (which reflected the fact that the majority of the prodromal group was not exhibiting motor problems), these PROs were free of ceiling effects. Convergent and discriminant validity of the PROs were also supported. Specifically, convergent validity was supported by strong associations between the physical functioning PROs and other measures of self-reported physical functioning, and discriminant validity was supported

**TABLE 1.** Multitrait, multimethod correlation matrix and descriptive data for Neuro-QoL and HDQLIFE physical function measures

	NQ Lower Extremity Function	NQ Upper Extremity Function	HDQLIFE Chorea	HDQLIFE Speech Difficulties	HDQLIFE Swallowing Difficulties	Lower Extremity Composite - Clinician	Upper Extremity Composite - Clinician	Chorea Composite - Clinician	Speech/Swallowing Composite - Clinician	Lower Extremity Composite - Self	Upper Extremity Composite - Self
<b>PRO measure</b>											
NQ Lower Extremity Function	<b>0.97</b>										
NQ Upper Extremity Function	0.79	<b>0.97</b>									
HDQLIFE Chorea	-0.78	-0.77	<b>0.98</b>								
HDQLIFE Speech Difficulties	-0.70	-0.68	0.77	<b>0.98</b>							
HDQLIFE Swallowing Difficulties	-0.66	-0.66	0.70	0.69	<b>0.97</b>						
<b>Composite measure</b>											
Lower Extremity Composite - Clinician	<b>0.68</b>	0.72	-0.66	-0.55	-0.51	<b>0.92</b>					
Upper Extremity Composite - Clinician	0.63	<b>0.71</b>	-0.65	-0.54	-0.50	0.92	<b>0.94</b>				
Chorea Composite - Clinician	0.50	0.53	-0.60	-0.47	-0.44	0.73	0.73	<b>0.95</b>			
Speech/Swallowing Composite - Clinician	0.53	0.63	-0.54	-0.47	-0.40	0.79	0.83	0.57	<b>0.76</b>		
Lower Extremity Composite - Self	<b>0.83</b>	<b>0.77</b>	-0.77	-0.65	-0.62	<b>0.76</b>	<b>0.73</b>	<b>0.48</b>	0.64	<b>0.91</b>	
Upper Extremity Composite - Self	0.73	<b>0.77</b>	-0.72	-0.65	-0.61	<b>0.72</b>	<b>0.70</b>	<b>0.40</b>	0.64	0.85	<b>0.84</b>
<b>Descriptive data</b>											
CAT mean	48.78	44.79	49.12	48.27	49.50	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAT (SD)	(10.61)	(10.70)	(9.30)	(8.89)	(8.73)	-	-	-	-	-	-
SF mean	49.25	44.71	49.62	48.52	49.43	50.06	50.05	50.00	50.03	50.12	50.20
SF (SD)	(9.66)	(10.63)	(8.52)	(8.58)	(8.61)	(7.74)	(7.62)	(8.81)	(8.95)	(8.43)	(7.55)
Cronbach's $\alpha$ (SF only)	0.93	0.94	0.93	0.93	0.92	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.76	0.91	0.84
CAT % with floor effects (high function)	27.2	35.2	27.4	22.4	35.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAT % with ceiling effects (low function)	0.2	0.6	0.2	0.6	0.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
SF % with floor effects (high function)	41.5	51.8	50.4	30.1	44.6	5.4	2.6	26.9	52.3	26.6	30.3
SF % with ceiling effects (low function)	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.6
Administration Time (sec) median (SD)	66 (65)	69 (79)	48 (40)	34 (40)	59 (84)	-	-	-	-	-	-

Correlations are reported for computer adaptive test administrations; Reliability coefficients are provided in italics and validity coefficients are provided in bold; all  $P < .01$  level (2-tailed). Neuro-QoL, Quality of Life in Neurological Disorders Measurement System; HDQLIFE, Huntington Disease Health-Related Quality of Life Measurement System; NQ, Neuro-QoL; PRO, patient-reported outcome; CAT, computer adaptive test; SF, Short Form; sec, seconds.



**TABLE 2.** Known-groups validity, effect sizes, and classification accuracy of the new patient-reported outcome computer adaptive tests

Known-groups validity	Prodromal HD (n = 197)		Early HD (n = 188)		Late HD (n = 106)		F	Partial $\eta^2$	Clinician-Rated Severity on UHDRS Motor Exam item(s)	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD			Low	High
Lower Extremity Function/Mobility <sup>a,b,c</sup>	56.07	7.33	46.92	8.91	0.401	8.59	163.021	0.401	-0.71	0.52
Upper Extremity Function - Fine Motor ADL <sup>a,b,c</sup>	52.49	6.60	43.24	8.85	0.467	7.98	214.214	0.467	-1.15	0.12

  

Known-group validity	Prodromal HD (n = 194)		Early HD (n = 191)		Late HD (n = 106)		F	Partial $\eta^2$	Clinician-Rated Severity on UHDRS Motor Exam item(s)	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	Low			Low	High
HDQLIFE Chorea <sup>a,b,c</sup>	43.43	3.80	51.64	7.83	0.391	8.27	156.978	0.391	1.40	-0.09
HDQLIFE Speech Difficulties <sup>a,b,c</sup>	42.87	6.16	50.29	7.56	0.309	7.62	109.143	0.309	2.20	-0.19
HDQLIFE Swallowing Difficulties <sup>a,b,c</sup>	44.17	5.70	51.03	8.19	0.296	7.97	102.354	0.296	0.44	-0.06

  

Classification accuracy	Prodromal vs Early			Prodromal vs Late			Early vs Late		
	Sensitivity, specificity	Area under the curve	Likelihood ratio	Sensitivity, specificity	Area under the curve	Likelihood ratio	Sensitivity, specificity	Area under the curve	Likelihood ratio
Lower Extremity Function/Mobility	70.2, 72.1	0.78	2.36	86.8, 92.9	0.95	6.58	55.7, 82.4	0.80	1.26
Upper Extremity Function - Fine Motor ADL	72.3, 70.6	0.78	2.61	77.6, 88.8	0.93	3.46	52.3, 85.1	0.75	1.10
HDQLIFE Chorea	82.2, 79.6	0.86	4.62	77.2, 91.6	0.92	3.39	22.8, 91.4	0.67	0.30
HDQLIFE Speech Difficulties	70.7, 69.9	0.78	2.41	70.0, 87.0	0.87	2.33	24.5, 92.7	0.66	0.32
HDQLIFE Swallowing Difficulties	68.1, 71.6	0.74	2.13	60.7, 84.5	0.84	1.54	17.9, 92.7	0.62	0.22

All  $P < .0001$ . There were significant differences among all 3 groups for all Neuro-QoL and HDQLIFE measures. Neuro-QoL, Quality of Life in Neurological Disorders Measurement System; HDQLIFE, Huntington Disease Health-Related Quality of Life Measurement System; HD, Huntington's disease; CAT, computer adaptive test; ADL, activities of daily living.

<sup>a</sup>Significant differences between prodromal and early HD.

<sup>b</sup>Significant differences between prodromal and late HD.

<sup>c</sup>Significant differences between early HD and late HD.

by slightly smaller associations between the PROs and the clinician-rated composites.

As expected, we also found that individuals with prodromal HD had less physical dysfunction than either manifest HD group and that individuals with late HD had more severe motor functional impairments than early HD. Furthermore, effect sizes for the PROs were higher for participants with lower clinician-rated functioning than those with better functioning. Together, these findings support the construct validity of these new measures in HD.

Finally, moderate to high sensitivity and specificity were found for almost all the new PROs. In particular, scores on all PROs suggested that they could be used to discriminate between individuals with prodromal and early HD, and between prodromal and late HD (except HDQLIFE Swallowing Difficulties), suggesting that these measures may be especially sensitive to tracking disease progression over time.

Although this study provides important psychometric support for these new PROs, there are limitations to

this study. First, participants were recruited through other research studies and through established HD clinics; this convenience sample may not represent the HD population at large. Furthermore, multitrait, multimeasure analyses relied on composite scores that were generated using individual items from existing scales and self-report versions of clinician-administered measures. This departure from their intended use and/or standardized administration may either over- or underestimate the participants' "true" abilities, which could potentially weaken the interpretation of this validity data. Finally, symptom progression in HD includes cognitive impairments<sup>40-45</sup> and anosognosia (lack of self-awareness)<sup>46</sup> that may preclude the ability to examine HRQOL using PROs. Regardless, such self-report measures should be used in conjunction with other information (eg, performance-based and clinician-rated assessments) when making clinical decisions, especially among individuals who are later in the disease process.

The Neuro-QoL and HDQLIFE PROs provide brief, reliable, and valid assessments of physical functioning.

Furthermore, these PROs are able to differentiate between individuals with prodromal versus early- or late-stage HD. As such, these measures fill a significant gap in HD clinical interventions where sensitive PROs are needed to detect improvements in motor impairment and physical activity engagement. ■

**Acknowledgments:** Work on this manuscript was supported by the National Institutes of Health (NIH), National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (R01NS077946), and the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences (UL1TR000433). In addition, a portion of this study sample was collected in conjunction with the Predict-HD study. The Predict-HD study was supported by the NIH, National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (R01NS040068), the NIH, Center for Inherited Disease Research (provided supported for sample phenotyping), and the CHDI Foundation (award to the University of Iowa). We thank the University of Iowa, the investigators and coordinators of this study, the study participants, the National Research Roster for Huntington Disease Patients and Families, the Huntington Study Group, and the Huntington's Disease Society of America. We acknowledge the assistance of Jeffrey D. Long, Hans J. Johnson, Jeremy H. Bockholt, Roland Zschiegner, and Jane S. Paulsen. We also acknowledge Roger Albin, Kelvin Chou, and Henry Paulsen for assistance with participant recruitment. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH. HDQLIFE site investigators and coordinators: Noelle Carlozzi, Praveen Dayalu, Stephen Schilling, Amy Austin, Matthew Canter, Siera Goodnight, Jennifer Miner, Nicholas Migliore (University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI); Jane Paulsen, Nancy Downing, Isabella DeSoriano, Courtney Shadrick, Amanda Miller (University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA); Kimberly Quaid, Melissa Wesson (Indiana University, Indianapolis, IN); Christopher Ross, Gregory Churchill, Mary Jane Ong (Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD); Susan Perlman, Brian Clemente, Aaron Fisher, Gloria Obialisi, Michael Rosco (University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA); Michael McCormack, Humberto Marin, Allison Dicke (Rutgers University, Piscataway, NJ); Joel Perlmutter, Stacey Barton, Shineeka Smith (Washington University, St. Louis, MO); Martha Nance, Pat Ede (Struthers Parkinson's Center); Stephen Rao, Anwar Ahmed, Michael Lengen, Lyla Mourany, Christine Reece, (Cleveland Clinic Foundation, Cleveland, OH); Michael Geschwind, Joseph Winer (University of California – San Francisco, San Francisco, CA), David Cella, Richard Gershon, Elizabeth Hahn, Jin-Shei Lai (Northwestern University, Chicago, IL).

## References

- Albin RL, Reiner A, Anderson KD, Penney JB, Young AB. Striatal and nigral neuron subpopulations in rigid Huntingtons-disease—implications for the functional-anatomy of chorea and rigidity-akinesia. *Ann Neurol* 1990;27(4):357-365.
- Coyle JT, Schwarcz R. Lesion of striatal neurons with kainic acid provides a model for Huntingtons-Chorea. *Nature* 1976; 263(5574):244-246.
- Marshall FJ, Walker F, Frank S, et al. Tetrabenazine as antichorea therapy in Huntington disease—a randomized controlled trial. *Neurology* 2006;66(3):366-372.
- Metman LV, Morris MJ, Farmer C, et al. Huntington's disease—a randomized, controlled trial using the NMDA-antagonist amantadine. *Neurology* 2002;59(5):694-699.
- Jankovic J, Roos RA. Chorea associated with Huntington's disease: to treat or not to treat? *Mov Disord* 2014;29(11):1414-1418.
- Grimbergen YA, Knol MJ, Bloem BR, Kremer BP, Roos RA, Munneke M. Falls and gait disturbances in Huntington's disease. *Mov Disord* 2008;23(7):970-976.
- Jacobs M, Hart EP, van Zwet EW, et al. Progression of motor subtypes in Huntington's disease: a 6-year follow-up study. *J Neurol* 2016;263:2080-5.
- Long JD, Paulsen JS, Marder K, et al. Tracking motor impairments in the progression of Huntington's disease. *Mov Disord* 2014; 29(3):311-319.
- Dorsey ER, Beck CA, Darwin K, et al. Natural history of Huntington disease. *JAMA Neurol* 2013;70(12):1520-1530.
- Kirkwood SC, Su JL, Conneally P, Foroud T. Progression of symptoms in the early and middle stages of Huntington disease. *Arch Neurol* 2001;58(2):273-278.
- Hartelius L, Carlstedt A, Ytterberg M, Lillvik M, Laakso K. Speech disorders in mild and moderate Huntington disease: results of dysarthria assessments of 19 individuals. *J Med Speech Lang Pa* 2003;11(1):1-14.
- Skodda S, Schlegel U, Hoffmann R, Saft C. Impaired motor speech performance in premotor stages of Huntington's disease (HD) over time—a longitudinal investigation. *Mov Disord* 2014;29:S217-S217.
- Skodda S, Schlegel U, Hoffmann R, Saft C. Impaired motor speech performance in premotor stages of Huntington's disease (HD). *Mov Disord* 2014;29:S217-S217.
- Heemskerk AW, Roos RAC. Dysphagia in Huntington's disease: a review. *Dysphagia* 2011;26(1):62-66.
- Basch E. The missing voice of patients in drug-safety reporting. *New Engl J Med* 2010;362(10):865-869.
- Quinn L, Hamana K, Kelson M, et al. A randomized, controlled trial of a multi-modal exercise intervention in Huntington's disease. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord* 2016;31:46-52.
- Busse M, Quinn L, Dawes H, et al. Supporting physical activity engagement in people with Huntington's disease (ENGAGE-HD): study protocol for a randomized controlled feasibility trial. *Trials* 2014;15:487.
- Dawes H, Collett J, Debono K, et al. Exercise testing and training in people with Huntington's disease. *Clin Rehabil* 2015;29(2):196-206.
- Busse M, Quinn L, Debono K, et al. A randomized feasibility study of a 12-week community-based exercise program for people with Huntington's disease. *J Neurol Phys Ther* 2013;37(4):149-158.
- Quinn L, Busse M, Khalil H, Richardson S, Rosser A, Morris H. Client and therapist views on exercise programmes for early-mid stage Parkinson's disease and Huntington's disease. *Disabil Rehabil* 2010;32(11):917-928.
- Khalil H, Quinn L, van Deursen R, et al. What effect does a structured home-based exercise programme have on people with Huntington's disease? A randomized, controlled pilot study. *Clin Rehabil* 2013;27(7):646-658.
- Carlozzi NE, Downing NR, McCormack MK, et al. New measures to capture end of life concerns in Huntington disease: meaning and purpose and concern with death and dying from HDQLIFE (a patient-reported outcomes measurement system). *Qual Life Res* 2016;25(10):2403-2415.
- Carlozzi NE, Downing NR, Schilling SG, et al. The development of a new computer adaptive test to evaluate chorea in Huntington disease: HDQLIFE Chorea. *Qual Life Res* 2016;25(10):2429-2439.
- Carlozzi NE, Schilling SG, Lai JS, et al. HDQLIFE: the development of two new computer adaptive tests for use in Huntington disease, speech difficulties, and swallowing difficulties. *Qual Life Res* 2016;25(10):2417-2427.
- Carlozzi NE, Schilling SG, Lai JS, et al. HDQLIFE: development and assessment of health-related quality of life in Huntington disease (HD). *Qual Life Res* 2016;25(10):2441-2455.
- Cella D, Lai JS, Nowinski C, et al. Neuro-QOL brief measures of health-related quality of life for clinical research in neurology. *Neurology* 2012;78(23):1860-1867.
- Cella D, Nowinski C, Peterman A, et al. The Neurology Quality of Life Measurement (Neuro-QOL) Initiative. *Arch Phys Med Rehab* 2011;92(suppl. 1):S28-S36.
- Hanauer DA, Mei Q, Law J, Khanna R, Zheng K. Supporting information retrieval from electronic health records: a report of University of Michigan's nine-year experience in developing and using the Electronic Medical Record Search Engine (EMERSE). *J Biomed Inform* 2015;55:290-300.
- Paulsen JS, Hayden M, Stout JC, et al. Preparing for preventive clinical trials—the Predict-HD study. *Arch Neurol-Chicago* 2006; 63(6):883-890.
- Carlozzi NE, Downing NR, Schilling SG, et al. The development of a new computer adaptive test to evaluate chorea in Huntington disease: HDQLIFE chorea. *Qual Life Res* 2016;25(10):2429-2439.
- Carlozzi NE, Schilling SG, Lai JS, et al. HDQLIFE: the development of two new computer adaptive tests for use in Huntington disease, speech difficulties, and swallowing difficulties. *Qual Life Res* 2016;25(10):2417-2427.
- Rabin R, de Charro F. EQ-5D: a measure of health status from the EuroQol Group. *Ann Med* 2001;33(5):337-343.

33. Hays RD, Sherbourn CD, Mazel R. User's Manual for the Medical Outcomes Study (MOS) Core Measures of Health-Related Quality of Life. Santa Monica, CA: RAND, 1995.
34. Ustun TB, Chatterji S, Kostanjsek N, et al. Developing the World Health Organization Disability Assessment Schedule 2.0. *Bull World Health Organ* 2010;88(11):815-823.
35. Huntington Study Group. Unified Huntington's Disease Rating Scale: reliability and consistency. *Mov Disord* 1996;11(2):136-142.
36. Shoulson I. Huntington disease: functional capacities in patients treated with neuroleptic and antidepressant drugs. *Neurology* 1981;31(10):1333-1335.
37. Campbell DT, Fiske DW. Convergent and discriminant validation by the multitrait-multimethod matrix. *Psychol Bull* 1959;56(2):81-105.
38. Grimes DA, Schulz KF. Refining clinical diagnoses with likelihood ratios. *Lancet* 2005;365:1500-1505.
39. Youngstrom EA. A primer on receiver operating characteristic analysis and diagnostic efficiency statistics for pediatric psychology: we are ready to ROC. *J Pediatr Psychol* 2014;39(2):204-221.
40. Duff K, Paulsen J, Mills J, et al. Mild cognitive impairment in pre-diagnosed Huntington disease. *Neurology* 2010;75(6):500-507.
41. Stout JC, Jones R, Labuschagne I, et al. Evaluation of longitudinal 12 and 24 month cognitive outcomes in premanifest and early Huntington's disease. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2012;83(7):687-694.
42. Stout JC, Paulsen JS, Queller S, et al. Neurocognitive signs in prodromal Huntington disease. *Neuropsychology* 2011;25(1):1-14.
43. Tabrizi SJ, Langbehn DR, Leavitt BR, et al. Biological and clinical manifestations of Huntington's disease in the longitudinal TRACK-HD study: cross-sectional analysis of baseline data. *Lancet Neurol* 2009;8(9):791-801.
44. Tabrizi SJ, Reilmann R, Roos RA, et al. Potential endpoints for clinical trials in premanifest and early Huntington's disease in the TRACK-HD study: analysis of 24 month observational data. *Lancet Neurol* 2012;11(1):42-53.
45. Tabrizi SJ, Scahill RI, Durr A, et al. Biological and clinical changes in premanifest and early stage Huntington's disease in the TRACK-HD study: the 12-month longitudinal analysis. *Lancet Neurol* 2011;10(1):31-42.
46. Duff K, Paulsen JS, Beglinger LJ, et al. "Frontal" behaviors before the diagnosis of Huntington's disease and their relationship to markers of disease progression: evidence of early lack of awareness. *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci* 2010;22(2):196-207.