## SPECIAL ARTICLE

## 2017 American College of Rheumatology/American Association of Hip and Knee Surgeons Guideline for the Perioperative Management of Antirheumatic Medication in Patients With Rheumatic Diseases Undergoing Elective Total Hip or Total Knee Arthroplasty

Susan M. Goodman,<sup>1</sup> Bryan Springer,<sup>2</sup> Gordon Guyatt,<sup>3</sup> Matthew P. Abdel,<sup>4</sup> Vinod Dasa,<sup>5</sup> Michael George,<sup>6</sup> Ora Gewurz-Singer,<sup>7</sup> Jon T. Giles,<sup>8</sup> Beverly Johnson,<sup>9</sup> Steve Lee,<sup>10</sup> Lisa A. Mandl,<sup>1</sup> Michael A. Mont,<sup>11</sup> Peter Sculco,<sup>1</sup> Scott Sporer,<sup>12</sup> Louis Stryker,<sup>13</sup> Marat Turgunbaev,<sup>14</sup> Barry Brause,<sup>1</sup> Antonia F. Chen,<sup>15</sup> Jeremy Gililland,<sup>16</sup> Mark Goodman,<sup>17</sup> Arlene Hurley-Rosenblatt,<sup>18</sup> Kyriakos Kirou,<sup>1</sup> Elena Losina,<sup>19</sup> Ronald MacKenzie,<sup>1</sup> Kaleb Michaud,<sup>20</sup> Ted Mikuls,<sup>21</sup> Linda Russell,<sup>1</sup> Alexander Sah,<sup>22</sup> Amy S. Miller,<sup>14</sup> Jasvinder A. Singh,<sup>23</sup> and Adolph Yates<sup>17</sup>

Guidelines and recommendations developed and/or endorsed by the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) are intended to provide guidance for particular patterns of practice and not to dictate the care of a particular patient. The ACR considers adherence to the recommendations within this guideline to be voluntary, with the ultimate determination regarding their application to be made by the physician in light of each patient's individual circumstances. Guidelines and recommendations are intended to promote beneficial or desirable outcomes but cannot guarantee any specific outcome. Guidelines and recommendations developed and endorsed by the ACR are subject to periodic revision as warranted by the evolution of medical knowledge, technology, and practice. ACR recommendations are not intended to dictate payment or insurance decisions. These recommendations cannot adequately convey all uncertainties and nuances of patient care.

The American College of Rheumatology is an independent, professional, medical and scientific society that does not guarantee, warrant, or endorse any commercial product or service.

Objective. This collaboration between the American College of Rheumatology and the American Association of Hip and Knee Surgeons developed an evidence-based

guideline for the perioperative management of antirheumatic drug therapy for adults with rheumatoid arthritis (RA), spondyloarthritis (SpA) including ankylosing

This article is published simultaneously in Arthritis Care & Research and the Journal of Arthroplasty.

Supported by the American College of Rheumatology and the American Association of Hip and Knee Surgeons.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Susan M. Goodman, MD, Lisa A. Mandl, MD, MPH, Peter Sculco, MD, Barry Brause, MD, Kyriakos Kirou, MD, Ronald Mac-Kenzie, MD, Linda Russell, MD: Hospital for Special Surgery/Weill Cornell Medicine, New York, New York; <sup>2</sup>Bryan Springer, MD: OrthoCarolina Hip and Knee Center, Charlotte, North Carolina; <sup>3</sup>Gordon Guyatt, MD: McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada; <sup>4</sup>Matthew P. Abdel, MD: Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Vinod Dasa, MD: Louisiana State University, New Orleans; <sup>6</sup>Michael George, MD: University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia; <sup>7</sup>Ora Gewurz-Singer, MD: University of Michigan, Ann Arbor; <sup>8</sup>Jon T. Giles, MD, MPH: Columbia University, New York, New York; <sup>9</sup>Beverly Johnson, MD: Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, New York; <sup>10</sup>Steve Lee, DO: Kaiser Permanente, Fontana, California; <sup>11</sup>Michael A. Mont, MD: Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, Ohio; <sup>12</sup>Scott Sporer, MD: Midwest Orthopaedics at Rush, Chicago, Illinois; <sup>13</sup>Louis Stryker, MD: University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston; <sup>14</sup>Marat Turgunbaev, MD, MPH, Amy S. Miller: American College of Rheumatology, Atlanta, Georgia; <sup>15</sup>Antonia F. Chen, MD, MBA: Rothman

spondylitis and psoriatic arthritis, juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA), or systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) undergoing elective total hip (THA) or total knee arthroplasty (TKA).

Methods. A panel of rheumatologists, orthopedic surgeons specializing in hip and knee arthroplasty, and methodologists was convened to construct the key clinical questions to be answered in the guideline. A multi-step systematic literature review was then conducted, from which evidence was synthesized for continuing versus withholding antirheumatic drug therapy and for optimal glucocorticoid management in the perioperative period. A Patient Panel was convened to determine patient values and preferences, and the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation methodology was used to rate the quality of evidence and the strength of recommendations, using a group consensus process through a convened Voting Panel of rheumatologists and orthopedic surgeons. The strength of the recommendation

Institute, Thomas Jefferson University Hospital, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; <sup>16</sup>Jeremy Gililland, MD: University of Utah, Salt Lake City; <sup>17</sup>Mark Goodman, MD, Adolph Yates, MD: University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania; <sup>18</sup>Arlene Hurley-Rosenblatt, ANP: Rockefeller University, New York, New York; <sup>19</sup>Elena Losina, PhD: Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts; <sup>20</sup>Kaleb Michaud, PhD: National Data Bank for Rheumatic Diseases, Wichita, Kansas, and University of Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha; <sup>21</sup>Ted Mikuls, MD, MSPH: University of Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha; <sup>22</sup>Alexander Sah, MD: Dearborn-Sah Institute for Joint Restoration, Fremont, California; <sup>23</sup>Jasvinder A. Singh, MBBS, MPH: University of Alabama at Birmingham.

Drs. Goodman and Springer contributed equally to this work. Drs. Singh and Yates contributed equally to this work.

Dr. Springer has received honoraria from Ceramtec (less than \$10,000) and consulting fees from Stryker Orthopaedics and Convatec (more than \$10,000 each). Dr. Abdel owns stock in Imagen Technologies. Dr. Giles has received consulting fees from Genentech (less than \$10,000). Dr. Johnson has received consulting fees from TREG (less than \$10,000). Dr. Lee has received consulting fees from EMD Serono (less than \$10,000). Dr. Mandl has received consulting fees from UpToDate (less than \$10,000). Dr. Sporer has received consulting fees from DJO Surgical Products (more than \$10,000) and from OsteoRemedies and PixarBio (less than \$10,000 each). Dr. Losina has received honoraria as Deputy Editor of *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery* (more than \$10,000). Dr. MacKenzie has received consulting fees from ArmadaHealth (less than \$10,000). Dr. Mikuls has received consulting fees from Pfizer (less than \$10,000) and research grants from AstraZeneca and Bristol-Myers Squibb. Dr. Sah has received speaking fees and/or honoraria from, Pacira, Medtronic, Zimmer Surgical Specialties, and Convatec (less than \$10,000 each) and from Smith & Nephew and Mallinckrodt (more than \$10,000 each). Dr. Singh has received consulting fees from Takeda (more than \$10,000) and from Savient, Regeneron, Merz, Iroko, Bioiberica, Crealta/ Horizon, Allergan, WebMD, and UBM LLC (less than \$10,000 each), research grants from Takeda and Savient, and was principal investigator for an investigator-initiated study funded by Horizon through a grant to Dinora (more than \$10,000).

Address correspondence to Susan M. Goodman, MD, Hospital for Special Surgery/Weill Cornell, 535 East 70th Street, New York, NY 10021. E-mail: goodmans@hss.edu.

Submitted for publication September 26, 2017; accepted in revised form April 28, 2017.

reflects the degree of certainty that benefits outweigh harms of the intervention, or vice versa, considering the quality of available evidence and the variability in patient values and preferences.

Results. The guideline addresses the perioperative use of antirheumatic drug therapy including traditional disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs, biologic agents, tofacitinib, and glucocorticoids in adults with RA, SpA, JIA, or SLE who are undergoing elective THA or TKA. It provides recommendations regarding when to continue, when to withhold, and when to restart these medications, and the optimal perioperative dosing of glucocorticoids. The guideline includes 7 recommendations, all of which are conditional and based on low- or moderate-quality evidence.

Conclusion. This guideline should help decisionmaking by clinicians and patients regarding perioperative antirheumatic medication management at the time of elective THA or TKA. These conditional recommendations reflect the paucity of high-quality direct randomized controlled trial data.

#### INTRODUCTION

Although the wide utilization of diseasemodifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs) and biologic agents has improved the quality of life for patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA), spondyloarthritis (SpA), juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA), or systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), rates of total hip arthroplasty (THA) and total knee arthroplasty (TKA) remain high (1-6). Patients with rheumatic conditions report significant improvement in pain and function after THA or TKA, yet critical outcomes such as infection, dislocation, and readmission are reported to be higher for patients with RA, SpA, or SLE (7-10) compared to patients with osteoarthritis. At the time of arthroplasty in a highvolume orthopedic hospital, 46% of RA patients were receiving biologic agents, 67% were receiving nonbiologic DMARDs, and 25% were receiving glucocorticoids, while 75% of patients with SLE were receiving immunosuppressive medications, and 15% were receiving glucocorticoids. The optimal strategy to manage these medications is not known (11-14). Inherent risk factors for infection, such as overall disability and disease activity/severity, may not be modifiable, but the optimal perioperative management of immunosuppressant therapy around the time of arthroplasty may present an opportunity to mitigate risk (15–19).

In this setting, clinicians require guidance regarding perioperative management of antirheumatic drug therapy.

Table 1. Populations included in the guideline\*

#### Populations†

Adults age ≥18 years diagnosed with rheumatoid arthritis, spondyloarthritis including ankylosing spondylitis and psoriatic arthritis, juvenile idiopathic arthritis, or SLE (see below), who are deemed to be appropriate surgical candidates, undergoing elective total hip arthroplasty or total knee arthroplasty, and who are treated with antirheumatic drug therapy at the time of surgery.

SLE includes patients with severe or not severe SLE (defined below), and who are in optimal condition for surgery:

Currently treated (induction or maintenance) for severe organ manifestations: lupus nephritis, central nervous system lupus, severe hemolytic anemia (hemoglobin <9.9), platelets <50,000/ml, vasculitis (other than mild cutaneous vasculitis), including pulmonary hemorrhage, myocarditis, lupus pneumonitis, severe myositis (with muscle weakness, not just high enzymes), lupus enteritis (vasculitis), lupus pancreatitis, cholecystitis, lupus hepatitis, protein-losing enteropathy, malabsorption, orbital inflammation/myositis, severe keratitis, posterior severe uveitis/retinal vasculitis, severe scleritis, optic neuritis, anterior ischemic optic neuropathy (derived from the SELENA–SLEDAI Flare Index and BILAG 2004) (22–24).

#### Not severe SLE

Not currently treated for manifestations listed under Severe SLE.

\* SLE = systemic lupus erythematosus; SELENA-SLEDAI = Safety of Estrogens in Lupus Erythematosus National Assessment version of the Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Disease Activity Index; BILAG = British isles Lupus Assessment Group.
† All patients carrying the diagnoses listed, without restriction to those meeting classification criteria.

Direct evidence, however, which addresses perioperative management is sparse (20,21). To our knowledge, there are no randomized controlled trials (RCTs) evaluating the cessation and reintroduction of biologic agents at the time of THA or TKA. The relevant outcomes considered for these guidelines are the potential increase in infection risk added by the medications versus the risk of disease flare when the medications are withheld. This guideline pertains only to adult patients with RA, SpA including ankylosing spondylitis (AS) and psoriatic arthritis (PsA), JIA, or SLE, who are undergoing elective THA or TKA, and incorporates patient preferences.

This guideline addresses management of antirheumatic medication in those adult patients with diagnoses of RA, SpA, JIA, or SLE, but is not limited to those who meet classification criteria. This guideline is to be used for those who have elected and have been deemed appropriate candidates for THA or TKA. We would caution against extrapolation of this guideline to other orthopedic procedures until further data are available.

This guideline is intended for use by clinicians, including orthopedists, rheumatologists, and other physicians performing perioperative risk assessment and evaluation, as well as patients. The guideline addresses common clinical situations, but may not apply in all exceptional or unusual situations. It is imperative that open and informed communication between the patient, orthopedic surgeon, and rheumatologist takes place. In addition, while cost is a relevant factor in health care decisions, it was not considered in this project.

The populations included in this guideline are shown in Table 1 (22–24). Figure 1 contains a list of the drugs included in the evaluation, along with their dosing intervals, as the Panel determined that the dosing interval

and route were more relevant for this guideline because they reflect the duration of effect.

This guideline does not address indications for THA or TKA, medical decisions unrelated to antirheumatic drug therapy, choice of implant, surgical approach, or perioperative evaluation and management of concurrent disease, such as that affecting the cervical spine of patients with RA. Although patients with RA, SpA, JIA, or SLE should be assessed for risk of venous thromboembolism and major acute coronary event (8,25), this guideline does not address cardiac risk assessment or perioperative venous thromboembolism prophylaxis; both are covered in existing guidelines (26–29).

## **METHODS**

Overall methodology. This guideline follows the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) guideline development process (http://www.rheumatology.org/Practice-Quality/Clinical-Support/Clinical-Practice-Guidelines), using the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) methodology to rate the quality of the available evidence and to develop the recommendations (30). Conflicts of interest and disclosures were managed according to ACR policy (available at www.rheumatology.org/Portals/0/Files/Perioperative-Management-Guidelines-Disclosure-Summary.pdf). The full methods are presented in Supplementary Appendix 1 (available on the *Arthritis & Rheumatology* web site at http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/art.40149/abstract).

Using GRADE, a recommendation can be either in favor of or against the proposed intervention and either strong or conditional (31,32). Much of the evidence was indirect, coming from nonsurgical studies, and all evidence was low to moderate quality (33,34). A strong recommendation indicates that most or almost all informed patients would choose the recommended action. Conditional recommendations are those in which the majority of

DMARDs: CONTINUE these medications through	<b>Dosing Interval</b>	Continue/Withhold
surgery.		
Methotrexate	Weekly	Continue
Sulfasalazine	Once or twice daily	Continue
Hydroxychloroquine	Once or twice daily	Continue
Leflunomide (Arava)	Daily	Continue
Doxycycline	Daily	Continue
BIOLOGIC AGENTS: STOP these medications prior to surgery and schedule surgery at the end of the dosing cycle. RESUME medications at minimum 14 days after surgery in the absence of wound healing problems,	Dosing Interval	Schedule Surgery (relative to last biologic agent dose administered) during
surgical site infection, or systemic infection.	W1-1	Week 2 or 3
Adalimumab (Humira)	Weekly or every 2 weeks	
Etanercept (Enbrel)	Weekly or twice weekly	Week 2
Golimumab (Simponi)	Every 4 weeks (SQ) or every 8 weeks (IV)	Week 5 Week 9
Infliximab (Remicade)	Every 4, 6, or 8 weeks	Week 5, 7, or 9
Abatacept (Orencia)	Monthly (IV) or weekly (SQ)	Week 5 Week 2
Certolizumab (Cimzia)	Every 2 or 4 weeks	Week 3 or 5
Rituximab (Rituxan)	2 doses 2 weeks apart every 4-6 months	Month 7
Tocilizumab (Actemra)	Every week (SQ) or every 4 weeks (IV)	Week 2 Week 5
Anakinra (Kineret)	Daily	Day 2
Secukinumab (Cosentyx)	Every 4 weeks	Week 5
Ustekinumab (Stelara)	Every 12 weeks	Week 13
Belimumab (Benlysta)	Every 4 weeks	Week 5
Tofacitinib (Xeljanz): STOP this medication 7 days prior to surgery.	Daily or twice daily	7 days after last dose
SEVERE SLE-SPECIFIC MEDICATIONS: CONTINUE these medications in the perioperative period.	Dosing Interval	Continue/Withhold
Mycophenolate mofetil	Twice daily	Continue
Azathioprine	Daily or twice daily	Continue
Cyclosporine	Twice daily	Continue
Tacrolimus	Twice daily (IV and PO)	Continue
NOT-SEVERE SLE: DISCONTINUE these medications 1 week prior to surgery	Dosing Interval	Continue/Withhold
Mycophenolate mofetil	Twice daily	Withhold
Azathioprine	Daily or twice daily	Withhold
Cyclosporine	Twice daily	Withhold

**Figure 1.** Medications included in the 2017 American College of Rheumatology/American Association of Hip and Knee Surgeons Guideline for the Perioperative Management of Antirheumatic Medication in Patients with Rheumatic Diseases Undergoing Elective Total Hip or Total Knee Arthroplasty. Dosing intervals were obtained from prescribing information provided online by pharmaceutical companies. DMARDs = disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs; SQ = subcutaneous; IV = intravenous; SLE = systemic lupus erythematosus; PO = oral.

the informed patients would choose to follow the recommended course of action, but a minority might not (35,36).

Teams involved. This project was a collaboration between the ACR and the American Association of Hip and Knee Surgeons (AAHKS). All participating teams contained representatives from both organizations, including a Core Leadership Team for project oversight (5 members), the Literature Review Team, who reviewed the literature and compiled the literature report, the Expert Panel, who helped frame the scope of the project, and the Voting Panel (consisting of orthopedic surgeons, rheumatologists, an infectious disease expert, an SLE expert, patient representatives, rheumatology methodologists, and a GRADE expert), who determined the final recommendations (for a complete listing of Panel and Team members see Supplementary Appendix 2 [available on the Arthritis & Rheumatology web site at http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ art.40149/abstract]). Additionally, a Patient Panel consisting of 11 adults with RA or JIA, all of whom had undergone THA or TKA, reviewed the evidence and provided input on their values and preferences.

**PICO** (population/intervention/comparator/outcomes) question development and importance of outcomes. The Core Leadership Team initially drafted the project scope, key principles, and relevant clinical PICO questions, which were then presented to the Expert Panel, the Voting Panel, and the Literature Review Team for their review at a face-to-face meeting where the project plan was defined. The relevant topics addressed included: 1) Should antirheumatic medications be withheld prior to elective THA/TKA? 2) If they are withheld, when should they be stopped? 3) If withheld, when should they be restarted after surgery? 4) In patients receiving glucocorticoids, what dose should be administered at the time of surgery? The full list of PICO questions is shown in Supplementary Appendix 3 (http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/art.40149/abstract).

Direct high-quality RCT data available comparing the risk of THA or TKA in those receiving versus not receiving the medications of interest, or comparing the background risk of THA and TKA in the populations of interest, were sparse. To address this gap, 2 questions were included to inform the recommendations. The first asked, "What is the background risk for serious adverse events including infections, or hospitalization, associated with use of each of the candidate drugs in patients not undergoing surgery?" The second question asked, "What is the background risk of adverse events associated with THA or TKA, independent of use of candidate medications in the populations of interest?" The group determined that both superficial and deep surgical site infection (reported within the first year after surgery), non-surgical site infection (within 90 days of surgery), and disease flare were the most critical outcomes; other outcomes such as hospital readmission, death, and long-term arthroplasty outcome were also deemed relevant.

Systematic synthesis of the literature and evidence processing. Systematic literature searches were performed in Embase (searched since 1974), the Cochrane Library, and PubMed (searched since the mid-1960s) from January 1, 1980 through March 6, 2016. The search strategies were developed using the controlled vocabulary or thesauri language for each database: Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) for PubMed and Cochrane Library and Emtree terms for Embase (see Supplementary Appendix 4, available on the *Arthritis & Rheumatology* web site at http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/art.40149/abstract). Text words were used in PubMed and Embase, and

keyword/title/abstract words in the Cochrane Library. Searches resulted in 2,230 total references (see Supplementary Appendix 5, http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/art.40149/abstract). A final search update was performed for the time period of January 1 to September 8, 2016, using the inclusive search terms of the disease states, coupled separately with "arthroplasty"; no randomized trials were identified that were relevant to the guideline. DistillerSR software (http://systematic-review.net/) was used to screen the literature search results grouped by their match with the pertinent PICO questions.

The Literature Review Team analyzed and synthesized data from eligible studies. Due to the lack of RCTs, we were unable to prepare GRADE Summary of Findings tables for most PICO questions. Microsoft Excel was used for abstracting data from observational studies. When available, the evidence summaries included the benefits and harms for outcomes of interest across studies, the relative effect (with 95% confidence interval [95% CI]), the number of participants, and the absolute effects. We rated the quality of evidence for each critical and important outcome as high, moderate, low, or very low quality, taking into account limitations of study design (including the risk of bias), inconsistency, indirectness, imprecision, and other considerations (including publication bias).

Moving from evidence to recommendations. The Patient Panel attached far greater importance to infection at the time of surgery than to flares. They were unable to precisely quantify the difference in value, noting that it was greater than 10:1.

The Voting Panel met to decide the final recommendations. The Panel discussed the evidence in the context of both their clinical experience and the input from the Patient Panel. The Panel voted anonymously, and 80% agreement defined the threshold for a recommendation; if 80% agreement was not achieved during an initial vote, the Panel members held additional discussions before re-voting. Considerations that led to rating down of quality of evidence included indirectness (much of the evidence came from RCTs outside of the surgical context, or from foot or spine procedures in which infection risks may vary markedly from THA or TKA); heterogeneity in baseline medication dose and duration, particularly relevant in studies addressing glucocorticoid "stress-dose" therapy; and imprecision associated with small sample size.

All recommendations were supported by more than 80% of the Panel, and all but 1 were supported unanimously. In some instances, the Panel combined PICO questions into 1 final recommendation. For recommendations to withhold a medication, a recommendation for the suggested timing of surgery in relation to the last drug-dose was included.

## RESULTS/RECOMMENDATIONS

### How to interpret the recommendations

1. All recommendations in this guideline are conditional due to the quality of the evidence (see bolded statements in Table 2). A conditional recommendation means that the desirable effects of following the recommendation probably outweigh the undesirable effects, so the course of action would apply to the majority of the patients, but

may not apply to all patients. Because of this, conditional recommendations are preference sensitive and always warrant a shared decision-making approach. No strong recommendations are made in this guideline.

- 2. For each recommendation, a summary of the supporting evidence or conditions is provided.
- 3. Therapies that were approved after the original systematic literature review are not included in these recommendations.
- 4. PICO questions were combined in the final recommendations for clarity.

#### Recommendations

# 1. RA, SpA including AS and PsA, JIA, and SLE receiving nonbiologic DMARDs

Continue the current dose of methotrexate, leflunomide, hydroxychloroquine, and/or sulfasalazine for patients undergoing elective THA or TKA (Table 2).

This conditional recommendation was based on low- to moderate-quality evidence. A systematic review of literature, which included RCTs of continuing versus discontinuing DMARDs at the time of surgery, revealed that the risk of infections was in fact decreased, with continuing DMARDs having a relative risk (RR) of 0.39 (95% CI 0.17-0.91) (37,38). The evidence base is rated down from high to moderate for reduction in infection risk after orthopedic surgery when these drugs are continued, because of risk of bias. There is indirect evidence describing a low infection risk with these specific DMARDs in settings other than THA and TKA (39). This recommendation was based on infection risk, although flares are also less frequent after surgery in those who continue DMARDs, and the RRs of flares when DMARDs are continued versus stopped (RR 0.06 [95% CI 0.0-1.10]) were derived from low-quality evidence (37,40).

## 2. RA, SpA including AS and PsA, JIA, or SLE

Withhold all current biologic agents prior to surgery in patients undergoing elective THA or TKA, and plan the surgery at the end of the dosing cycle for that specific medication (Table 2).

This recommendation was based on evidence that was rated down in quality for indirectness, as no RCTs were performed in patients undergoing THA or TKA. We abstracted data from a systematic review of literature that included systematic reviews and meta-analyses of biologic agents versus placebo (and occasionally versus control treatment including nonbiologic DMARDs) in nonsurgical

patients, which revealed that the risk of serious infections was increased with biologic agents, with most odds/ hazards/risk ratios ~1.5 (range 0.61-8.87) and a higher risk of serious adverse events with most odds/hazards/risk ratios  $\sim 1.5$  (range 0.33–2.54) (41–87). Our systematic review did not provide ample evidence that would support a differential risk of serious infection among available biologic agents (41-87). Because avoiding infection was significantly more important to patients than flares in the postoperative period, the Panel did not support separating biologic agents regarding infection risk in the perioperative period until further studies clarify and establish differences in risk (41-87). The literature review also revealed that the risk of postoperative infection complications after total joint arthroplasty (TJA) was increased in patients with RA nearly 2-fold, and deep infection complications increased by 1.5-fold (2,56); in SLE, overall postoperative complications were increased 1.3-fold, and septicemia by 2-fold (8), although medication use at the time of surgery was not always reported. In addition, a systematic review, metaanalysis, and network meta-analysis revealed that infection risk for biologic agents is strongly associated with highdose therapy (higher dose than the standard) and may not be associated with low-dose biologic agents (42), so serum half-life may not correspond to the duration of the immunosuppressant effect. The dosing cycle was therefore chosen as more relevant in determining the withholding interval (88-91) and timing the surgery at the end of the dosing interval at the nadir of the drug effect.

With regard to patients with SLE, a systematic review of literature that included systematic reviews and meta-analyses of rituximab versus placebo (and occasionally versus control treatment including nonbiologic DMARDs) in nonsurgical patients with RA and SLE revealed the risk of serious infections with rituximab with a range of RRs from 0.66 to 0.73 (41,45), and a risk for all serious adverse events with a range of RRs from 0.85 (95% CI 0.62-1.17) to 0.89 (95% CI 0.7-1.14) (59,92). However, most data were indirect and the Panel considered these medications to be similar to tumor necrosis factor inhibitors used for the treatment of RA, which usually have a risk of infection. Moreover, rituximab is not approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for treatment of SLE, and belimumab, although FDA-approved for use in SLE, has not been studied in manifestations of severe SLE (e.g., lupus nephritis), so the Panel recommended withholding these medications prior to surgery and planning the surgery for the end of the dosing cycle, due to the risk of infection and the paucity of data supporting perioperative benefit in SLE (93-95).

Observational studies reveal that patients with severe or active SLE have a higher risk of adverse events

 $\textbf{Table 2.} \quad \text{Recommendations for perioperative management of antirheumatic drug therapy in patients with rheumatic diseases undergoing elective THA or TKA*$ 

Recommendation/strength of recommendation (bold indicates conditional)	Level of evidence
RA, SpA including AS and PsA, JIA, or SLE: Continue the current dose of methotrexate, leflunomide, hydroxychloroquine, and/or sulfasalazine (nonbiologic DMARDs) for patients undergoing elective THA or TKA.  • RCTs of continuing vs. discontinuing DMARDs at the time of surgery revealed that the risk of infections was not increased, but in fact decreased, when DMARDs were continued, with an RR of 0.39 (95% CI 0.17–0.91) (37,38). Evidence indicates a low infection risk with these DMARDs in settings other than THA and TKA (37).  • Disease flares after surgery occur frequently, and continuing DMARDs decreases the risk (RR 0.06 [95% CI 0.0–1.10]) (37,40), yet flares were significantly less important than infection for the Patient Panel.	Low to moderate
RA, SpA including AS and PsA, JIA, or SLE: Withhold all current biologic agents (see Figure 1) prior to surgery in patients undergoing elective THA or TKA, and plan the surgery at the end of the dosing cycle for that specific medication.  RCTs (nonsurgical) demonstrated an increase in infection risk associated with use of all biologic agents (41–87).  Avoiding infection was significantly more important to patients than flares for patients with RA and JIA.  Meta-analysis and network meta-analysis revealed that infection risk for biologic agents is strongly associated with high-dose therapy and may not be associated with low-dose biologic agents (42).  Serum half-life may not correspond to the duration of the immunosuppressant effect, so the dosing cycle was chosen as more relevant in determining the withholding interval (88–91).  Until further studies have clarified and established differences in risk between biologic agents, there was insufficient evidence to support separating biologic agent management in the perioperative period (43–89).  For SLE, there was a paucity of data supporting perioperative benefit in SLE (93–95).  A systematic review of rituximab vs. placebo (and occasionally vs. control treatment including nonbiologic DMARDs) in nonsurgical patients with RA and SLE revealed the risk of all serious adverse events with a range of RRs from 0.85 (95% CI 0.62–1.17) to 0.89 (95% CI 0.7–1.14) (59,92).  Observational studies reveal that patients with SLE, particularly those with active or severe SLE, are at a higher risk for adverse events after surgery.  Belimumab is indicated for use in not-severe SLE, which is not thought to increase perioperative risk (95,96).  As an example, using this guideline, patients treated with rituximab every 6 months would schedule their surgery, when possible, at the week after the first withheld dose during month 7. Patients receiving belimumab, which is given every 4 weeks, would schedule their surgery during week 5.  Patients treated with adalimumab, dosed at 2-week inter	Low
RA, SpA including AS and PsA, or JIA: Withhold tofacitinib for at least 7 days prior to surgery in patients undergoing THA or TKA.  • Indirect evidence from systematic reviews and meta-analyses of tofacitinib vs. placebo (and occasionally vs. control treatment including nonbiologic DMARDs) in nonsurgical patients shows that the risk of serious infections was increased with tofacitinib with an incidence rate of 2.91 (95% CI 2.27–3.74) (97) and higher risk of all infections with an RR of 5.7 (95% CI 1.8–18.1) (48).  • Although this drug has an extremely short serum half-life, little is known about the duration of immunosuppression after the drug is withheld. Therefore, the Panel recognized that the recommendation for the duration of withholding may change in the future, as physician and patient experience with this drug grows (41,47,48,51,77,79,97,98).	Low
<ul> <li>Severe SLE: Continue the current dose of mycophenolate mofetil, azathioprine, cyclosporine, or tacrolimus through the surgical period in all patients undergoing THA or TKA (see Figure 1).</li> <li>The Panel recognized that there is a great deal of uncertainty and little published experience regarding risks associated with perioperative medication management in patients with severe SLE.</li> <li>Indirect evidence with organ transplant patients supports continuing anti-rejection therapy without interruption at the time of surgery (99,100).</li> <li>Decisions regarding elective surgery in patients with severe SLE should be made on an individual basis with the patient's rheumatologist.</li> </ul>	Low
SLE (not severe): Withhold the current dose of mycophenolate mofetil, azathioprine, cyclosporine, or tacrolimus 1 week prior to surgery in all patients undergoing THA or TKA.  • The time course to flares in not-severe SLE is not known.  • The morbidity of prosthetic joint infection may be more severe than a flare in SLE that is not severe.  • These medications can be withheld 1 week prior to surgery, permitting return of some immune function, and restarted at 3–5 days after surgery in the absence of wound healing complications or infection at the surgical site or elsewhere.  • There are multiple mechanisms postulated for immunosuppression with these medications, including leukopenia, interference with T cell costimulatory signaling, and blocking the de novo pathway of purine synthesis, with different time courses for onset and reversal (101,102).  • Suggest a conservative withhold of 7 days prior to surgery until additional research increases understanding of these medications.	Low

Table 2. (Cont'd)

Recommendation/strength of recommendation (bold indicates conditional)	
RA, SpA including AS and PsA, JIA, or SLE: Restart biologic therapy in patients for whom biologic therapy was withheld prior to undergoing THA and TKA once the wound shows evidence of healing (typically ~14 days), all sutures/staples are out, there is no significant swelling, erythema, or drainage, and there is no clinical evidence of non-surgical site infections, rather than shorter or longer periods of withholding.  • The decision to restart antirheumatic therapy should be based on careful assessment of the patient's wound status and clinical judgment for absence of surgical and non-surgical site infections. Normal wound closure typically requires ~14 days.	Low
RA, SpA including AS and PsA, or SLE: Continue the current daily dose of glucocorticoids in patients who are receiving glucocorticoids for their rheumatic condition and undergoing THA or TKA, rather than administering perioperative supra-physiologic glucocorticoid doses (so-called "stress dosing").  • This recommendation specifically refers to adults with RA, AS, PsA or SLE who are receiving glucocorticoids for their rheumatic condition, and does not refer to JIA patients receiving glucocorticoids who may have received glucocorticoids during childhood developmental stages, or to patients receiving glucocorticoids to treat primary adrenal insufficiency or primary hypothalamic disease.  • The literature review found information on hemodynamic instability in a systematic literature review on patients with rheumatic diseases whose mean prednisone (or equivalent) dose was ≤16 mg/day.  • The CDC considers the cutoff for immunosuppression at 20 mg of prednisone/day for at least 2 weeks, and observational studies demonstrate an increase in arthroplasty infection risk with long-term steroid use >15 mg/day.  • Optimization for THA and TKA should include carefully tapering the glucocorticoid dose prior to surgery to <20 mg/day, when possible (102,103).	Low

<sup>\*</sup> THA = total hip arthroplasty; TKA = total knee arthroplasty; RA = rheumatoid arthritis; SpA = spondyloarthritis; AS = ankylosing spondylitis; PsA = psoriatic arthritis; JIA = juvenile idiopathic arthritis; SLE = systemic lupus erythematosus; DMARDs = disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs; RCTs = randomized controlled trials; RR = relative risk; 95% CI = 95% confidence interval; CDC = Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

after surgery, but there is no approved role for these biologic agents for patients with severe SLE, including perioperative risk mitigation. SLE manifestations of rash and synovitis are the common clinical indications for belimumab (95,96), and are not thought to increase perioperative risk. There is no direct evidence, however, linking perioperative infection risk to the use of these biologic agents, and little is known about the association of surgical risk with biologic agents for patients with SLE. Since the duration of the immunologic effects of these drugs does not correspond to the serum level, the Panel based the recommendation on the dosing interval (88-91). The Patient Panel did not include patients with SLE, and they were reluctant to vote on SLE medication management strategies because they were uncertain about the value SLE patients would place on flares, which might be organ-threatening, compared to infection risk.

As an example, using this guideline, patients treated with adalimumab, routinely dosed at 2-week intervals, would plan their surgery in week 3, while patients treated with infliximab, when dosed every 8 weeks, would schedule their surgery in the week after the first withheld dose during week 9. Patients treated with rituximab every 6 months would schedule their surgery, when possible, at the week after the first withheld dose during month 7. Patients with SLE receiving belimumab, which is given every 4 weeks, would schedule their surgery during week 5.

#### 3. RA, SpA including AS and PsA, or JIA

Withhold tofacitinib for at least 7 days prior to surgery in patients with RA, SpA including AS and PsA, or JIA undergoing THA or TKA (Table 2).

This recommendation was based on indirect evidence from systematic reviews and meta-analyses of tofacitinib versus placebo (and occasionally versus control treatment including nonbiologic DMARDs) in nonsurgical patients showing that the risk of serious infections was increased with tofacitinib, with an incidence rate of 2.91 (95% CI 2.27–3.74) (97) and higher risk of all infections, with an RR of 5.7 (95% CI 1.8-18.1) (48). Although this drug has an extremely short serum half-life, little is known about the duration of immunosuppression after the drug is withheld, although indirect translational data suggest that host defense returns to normal at 7 days. Therefore, the Panel recognized that the recommendation for the duration of withholding may change in the future, as physician and patient experience with this drug grows (41,47,48,51,77,79,97,98).

## 4. Severe SLE (as defined in Table 1)

Continue the current dose of methotrexate, mycophenolate mofetil, azathioprine, cyclosporine, or tacrolimus through the surgical period in all patients undergoing THA or TKA (Table 2).

There is a great deal of uncertainty and little published experience regarding risks associated with perioperative medication management in patients with severe SLE. There is, however, indirect evidence concerning organ transplant patients who continue anti-rejection therapy through the surgical period (99,100). The caveat to this analogy is that the time course of organ rejection after withholding immunosuppressant medication may be different from the time to SLE flare after withholding medications. These considerations led to the recommendation to continue the current dose of methotrexate, mycophenolate mofetil, azathioprine, cyclosporine, or tacrolimus through the surgical period in all patients with severe SLE. Nevertheless, the Panel felt that decisions regarding elective surgery in patients with severe SLE should be made on an individual basis with the patient's rheumatologist.

### 5. Not-severe SLE (as defined in Table 1)

Withhold the current dose of mycophenolate mofetil, azathioprine, cyclosporine, or tacrolimus 1 week prior to surgery in all patients undergoing THA or TKA (Table 2).

For patients with not-severe SLE, the time course to flares after withholding medications is not known, while there is a known infection risk associated with these medications. The Panel felt that careful monitoring of the patient after surgery would permit restarting the medications prior to clinical flares in patients with not-severe SLE, for whom the morbidity of infection might outweigh the risk of a flare. These medications can be withheld 1 week prior to surgery, permitting some return of normal immune function, and restarted at 3-5 days after surgery in the absence of wound healing complications or infection at the surgical site or elsewhere. There are multiple mechanisms postulated for immunosuppression with these medications, including leukopenia, interference with T cell costimulatory signaling, and blocking the de novo pathway of purine synthesis, with different time courses for onset and reversal (101,102).

## 6. RA, SpA including AS and PsA, JIA, or SLE

Restart biologic therapy in patients for whom biologic therapy was withheld prior to undergoing THA or TKA once the wound shows evidence of healing (typically ~14 days), all sutures/staples are out, there is no significant swelling, erythema, or drainage, and there is no clinical evidence of non–surgical site infections (Table 2).

The decision to restart antirheumatic therapy can be based on evaluation of the patient's wound status and clinical judgment for absence of surgical and non–surgical site infections; wound closure is typically reached by 14 days. Therefore, biologic therapy can be restarted once the wound shows evidence of healing (typically  $\sim 14$  days), all sutures/staples are out, there is no significant swelling, erythema, or drainage, and there is no clinical evidence of non–surgical site infections. There is no direct evidence regarding the optimal time to restart medication after surgery, but standard precautions for biologic agents warn against use in patients with an active infection or in high-risk settings, such as with an open wound.

#### 7. RA, SpA including AS and PsA, or SLE

Continue the current daily dose of glucocorticoids in adult patients with RA, SpA including AS and PsA, or SLE who are receiving glucocorticoids for their rheumatic condition and undergoing THA or TKA, rather than administering perioperative supra-physiologic glucocorticoid doses (so-called "stress dosing") (Table 2).

Hemodynamic instability/hypotension and infection risk were 2 specific areas of concern with regard to perioperative glucocorticoid dosing. Regarding hemodynamic instability, the recommendation to continue the current daily dose of glucocorticoids in adult patients who are receiving glucocorticoids, rather than administering perioperative supra-physiologic glucocorticoid doses ("stress dosing"), specifically refers to adults with RA, AS, PsA, or SLE who are receiving glucocorticoids (≤16 mg/day prednisone or equivalent) for their rheumatic condition; it does not refer to JIA patients receiving glucocorticoids who may have been treated with glucocorticoids during childhood developmental stages, or to patients receiving glucocorticoids to treat primary adrenal insufficiency or primary hypothalamic disease. Low-quality RCT evidence (rated down for indirectness due to varying glucocorticoid doses, heterogeneity of surgical procedures, and imprecision due to small numbers) and evidence from observational trials summarized in a systematic review suggested that there was no significant hemodynamic difference between those patients given their current daily glucocorticoid dose compared to those receiving "stress-dose steroids" (103).

Regarding the infection risk, the Panel noted that the cutoff for immunosuppression according to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention was 20 mg/day of prednisone for at least 2 weeks, in the context of risk associated with the administration of live vaccines. In addition, observational studies demonstrate an increase in infection risk following TJA for long-term users of glucocorticoids

at doses of >15 mg/day. A patient in optimal condition for elective THA or TKA would be receiving a dose of prednisone or equivalent that was <20 mg/day, when possible, and receive their usual daily dose rather than the "stress dose" in light of the effect on infection risk (102,103).

#### DISCUSSION

The 2017 ACR/AAHKS guideline for the perioperative management of antirheumatic drug therapy for adults undergoing elective THA and TKA was designed for use by clinicians and patients during the perioperative period. Included recommendations address the use of treatment with antirheumatic drugs (including DMARDs, tofacitinib, biologic agents, and glucocorticoids) for the adult patient with RA, SpA including AS and PsA, JIA, or SLE, recognizing that antirheumatic medication is frequently used at the time of THA or TKA, and that rates of infection and adverse events, including readmission, are increased in this population. The optimal management of antirheumatic medications to treat these diseases may mitigate risks. We have used GRADE methodology to synthesize the best available evidence and have been transparent regarding both the strength of the recommendation and the limited quality of the evidence for each recommendation.

This project brought together major stakeholders (orthopedic arthroplasty surgeons, rheumatologists, methodologists, and patients) to create a patient-centric, expertled group to determine optimal management of these high-risk patients through a group consensus process. To date, there has been little to no consensus among orthopedic surgeons or rheumatologists on the optimal way to manage antirheumatic medications during the TJA perioperative period, which often leads to uncertainty in decision-making for physicians and patients alike.

A major limitation of this guideline is the paucity of high-quality, direct evidence regarding medications and perioperative risk of infection and flare. The indirect nature of the evidence was the primary reason the quality of evidence was considered low, which led to a conditional designation for all the recommendations. Nonetheless, because patients with rheumatic diseases frequently undergo THA and TKA while receiving DMARDs and biologic agents, we sought to fulfill the need for guidance based on the best available evidence and agreement among stakeholders. The Patient Panel thought infection risk was much more important than flare risk, and this drove the direction of the recommendations (uniformly in favor of withholding any medications in which evidence from non-operative populations suggested an increase in infection).

Topics such as cardiac risk, deep venous thrombosis risk, risk of 90-day readmissions, and management and

care of the cervical spine are related to the perioperative care of patients with rheumatic disease who are undergoing THA or TKA. The guideline was limited, however, to risks attributable to perioperative management of antirheumatic drug therapy.

Antirheumatic medications and disease states were initially evaluated individually. Due to a lack of evidence, however, for each individual medication and disease state, the medications were combined by category and diseases, with the exception of SLE.

With regard to patients with SLE, the Panel recognized that recommendations for perioperative medication management in a complex disease such as SLE would be challenging, as SLE is frequently complicated by multiple organ involvement, as well as complex or unusual medication regimens. Moreover, SLE flares may be organthreatening, and SLE patients may be more averse to risk of flare than to infection; therefore, the lack of SLE patients on the Patient Panel was a limitation. Nonetheless, the orthopedic and rheumatology stakeholders felt strongly that perioperative medication management guidance was needed for SLE patients.

The recommendation to restart biologic agents was based on the patient's wound healing (generally requiring a minimum of 14 days) and clinical judgment for the absence of both surgical site and non–surgical site infection. While there are differences in practice patterns and many patients do not return to their surgeon within 2 weeks of discharge, screening mechanisms to assess the wound, including utilizing visiting nurse services, and taking photographs of the wound for review by e-mail, smartphone, or other mobile health technologies, would help to identify those who should be evaluated in person prior to restarting biologic agents.

The Voting Panel thought it worthwhile to suggest a research roadmap for future studies that could be conducted as part of a collaboration between the 2 organizations. The team discussed the following topics and recommended that they be targeted for future research: 1) Perioperative glucocorticoid management. While the RCT data support continuing the current glucocorticoid dose rather than "stress dosing," limited numbers of patients and heterogeneity of dose, diagnosis, and surgical procedure leave us with only low-quality evidence; 2) Perioperative management of biologic agents. The Voting Panel suggested investigating existing biologic agents through registries and administrative databases, as well as planning multicenter RCTs to define the optimal medication management strategy; and 3) Perioperative management of DMARDs. Currently, data from RCTs for patients undergoing surgery reflect older, lower-dose regimens for methotrexate, and studies of leflunomide include small

numbers of patients. Multicenter RCTs should be performed to determine the optimal perioperative management regimens and include assessment of comorbidities and glucocorticoid use in the study design.

The recommendations that form this guideline are not treatment mandates, but can be used to provide guidance and promote discussion regarding medication management prior to surgery. The authors recognize that not all potential perioperative clinical scenarios are covered by this guideline, but the most common clinical scenarios are addressed. This guideline does not replace perioperative clinical assessment and optimization, and does not preclude a discussion of the risks and benefits of surgery as patients and their physicians prepare for THA and TKA.

In summary, this guideline provides clinicians and patients with a working document regarding how to manage antirheumatic drugs in the time leading up to elective THA and TKA. The recommendations provide important guidance that was informed by the available literature, clinical expertise and experience, and patient values and preferences. The acknowledgment of low-quality evidence in this area should lay the foundation for future research.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Anne Bass, MD, Elie Berbari, MD, Mark Figgie, MD, MBA, Stuart Goodman, MD, PhD, Marc Hochberg, MD, MPH, Eric Matteson, MD, and William Benjamin Nowell, PhD, MSW for serving on the Expert Panel. The authors also thank the Arthritis Foundation and the Global Healthy Living Foundation for their assistance with patient involvement in this guideline project, as well as the patients who participated on the Patient Panel (Katie Acompora, Deserae Constantineau, Marshall Davis, Laureen Fable, Nancy Franklin-Hicks, Jennifer Kangal, Marna McDermott, Tiffany Ann Ohlin, Jodi Pound, Kirsten Smith, and Kelly Voight). We thank the ACR staff, including Ms Regina Parker for assistance in organizing the face-to-face meeting and coordinating the administrative aspects of the project, and Ms Robin Lane for assistance in manuscript preparation. We thank Ms Janet Waters for help in developing the literature search strategy and performing the literature search and updates, and Ms Janet Joyce for reviewing the literature search strategy.

## **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

All authors were involved in drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content, and all authors approved the final version to be published. Dr. S. Goodman had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

**Study conception and design.** S. Goodman, Springer, Guyatt, Abdel, Dasa, George, Gewurz-Singer, Giles, Johnson, Mandl, Mont, Sculco, Sporer, Kirou, Michaud, Russell, Sah, Miller, Singh, Yates.

Acquisition of data. S. Goodman, Springer, Guyatt, Abdel, Dasa, George, Gewurz-Singer, Giles, Johnson, Mandl, Sculco, Sporer, Stryker, Turgunbaev, Brause, Kirou, Russell, Sah, Singh, Yates.

Analysis and interpretation of data. S. Goodman, Springer, Guyatt, Abdel, Dasa, Gewurz-Singer, Giles, Johnson, Lee, Stryker, Turgunbaev,

Brause, Chen, Gililland, M. Goodman, Hurley-Rosenblatt, Kirou, Losina, MacKenzie, Michaud, Mikuls, Russell, Sah, Singh, Yates.

### REFERENCES

- Strand V, Singh JA. Improved health-related quality of life with effective disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs: evidence from randomized controlled trials. Am J Manag Care 2008;14:234–54.
- Ravi B, Croxford R, Reichmann WM, Losina E, Katz JN, Hawker GA. The changing demographics of total joint arthroplasty recipients in the United States and Ontario from 2001 to 2007. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol 2012;26:637–47.
- 3. Mertelsmann-Voss C, Lyman S, Pan TJ, Goodman S, Figgie MP, Mandl LA. Arthroplasty rates are increased among US patients with systemic lupus erythematosus: 1991–2005. J Rheumatol 2014;41:867–74.
- Mertelsmann-Voss C, Lyman S, Pan TJ, Goodman SM, Figgie MP, Mandl LA. US trends in rates of arthroplasty for inflammatory arthritis including rheumatoid arthritis, juvenile idiopathic arthritis, and spondyloarthritis. Arthritis Rheumatol 2014;66:1432–9.
- Nikiphorou E, Carpenter L, Morris S, MacGregor AJ, Dixey J, Kiely P, et al. Hand and foot surgery rates in rheumatoid arthritis have declined from 1986 to 2011, but large-joint replacement rates remain unchanged: results from two UK inception cohorts. Arthritis Rheumatol 2014;66:1081–9.
- Sokka T, Kautiainen H, Hannonen P. Stable occurrence of knee and hip total joint replacement in Central Finland between 1986 and 2003: an indication of improved long-term outcomes of rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2007;66:341–4.
- 7. Ravi B, Croxford R, Hollands S, Paterson JM, Bogoch E, Kreder H, et al. Increased risk of complications following total joint arthroplasty in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheumatol 2014;66:254–63.
- 8. Lin JA, Liao CC, Lee YJ, Wu CH, Huang WQ, Chen TL. Adverse outcomes after major surgery in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus: a nationwide population-based study. Ann Rheum Dis 2014;73:1646–51.
- 9. Singh JA, Inacio MC, Namba RS, Paxton EW. Rheumatoid arthritis is associated with higher ninety-day hospital readmission rates compared to osteoarthritis after hip or knee arthroplasty: a cohort study. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 2015;67:718–24.
- Roberts JE, Mandl LA, Su EP, Mayman DJ, Figgie MP, Fein AW, et al. Patients with systemic lupus erythematosus have increased risk of short-term adverse events after total hip arthroplasty. J Rheumatol 2016;43:1498–502.
- 11. Goodman SM, Ramsden-Stein DN, Huang WT, Zhu R, Figgie MP, Alexiades MM, et al. Patients with rheumatoid arthritis are more likely to have pain and poor function after total hip replacements than patients with osteoarthritis. J Rheumatol 2014;41:1774–80.
- 12. Goodman SM, Johnson B, Zhang M, Huang WT, Zhu R, Figgie M, et al. Patients with rheumatoid arthritis have similar excellent outcomes after total knee replacement compared with patients with osteoarthritis. J Rheumatol 2016;43:46–53.
- 13. LoVerde ZJ, Mandl LA, Johnson BK, Figgie MP, Boettner F, Lee YY, et al. Rheumatoid arthritis does not increase risk of short-term adverse events after total knee arthroplasty: a retrospective case–control study. J Rheumatol 2015;42:1123–30.
- 14. Johnson BK, Goodman SM, Alexiades MM, Figgie MP, Demmer RT, Mandl LA. Patterns and associated risk of perioperative use of anti-tumor necrosis factor in patients with rheumatoid arthritis undergoing total knee replacement. J Rheumatol 2013;40:617–23.
- Berbari EF, Osmon DR, Lahr B, Eckel-Passow JE, Tsaras G, Hanssen AD, et al. The Mayo prosthetic joint infection risk score: implication for surgical site infection reporting and risk stratification. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2012;33:774–81.

- Bongartz T, Halligan CS, Osmon DR, Reinalda MS, Bamlet WR, Crowson CS, et al. Incidence and risk factors of prosthetic joint infection after total hip or knee replacement in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2008;59:1713–20.
- Goodman SM, Menon I, Christos PJ, Smethurst R, Bykerk VP. Management of perioperative tumour necrosis factor α inhibitors in rheumatoid arthritis patients undergoing arthroplasty: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2016; 55:573–82.
- 18. Au K, Reed G, Curtis JR, Kremer JM, Greenberg JD, Strand V, et al. High disease activity is associated with an increased risk of infection in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2011;70:785–91.
- Doran MF, Crowson CS, Pond GR, O'Fallon WM, Gabriel SE. Predictors of infection in rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2002;46:2294–300.
- Kurtz SM, Lau E, Watson H, Schmier JK, Parvizi J. Economic burden of periprosthetic joint infection in the United States. J Arthroplasty 2012;Suppl 27:61–5.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. General recommendations on immunization: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices. MMWR 2011;60:22–3.
- Buyon JP, Petri MA, Kim MY, Kalunian KC, Grossman J, Hahn BH. The effect of combined estrogen and progesterone hormone replacement therapy on disease activity in systemic lupus erythematosus: a randomized trial. Ann Intern Med 2005;142:953–62.
- Petri M, Kim MY, Kalunian KC, Grossman J, Hahn BH, Sammaritano LR, et al. Combined oral contraceptives in women with systemic lupus erythematosus. N Engl J Med 2005;353: 2550–8.
- 24. Fernando MM, Isenberg DA. How to monitor SLE in routine clinical practice. Ann Rheum Dis 2005;64:524–7.
- Salmon JE, Roman MJ. Subclinical atherosclerosis in rheumatoid arthritis and systemic lupus erythematosus. Am J Med 2008;Suppl 1:S3–8.
- 26. American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines, American Society of Echocardiography, American Society of Nuclear Cardiology, Heart Rhythm Society, Society of Cardiovascular Anesthesiologists, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, et al. 2009 ACCF/AHA focused update on perioperative β blockade incorporated into the ACC/AHA 2007 guidelines on perioperative cardiovascular evaluation and care for noncardiac surgery. J Am Coll Cardiol 2009;54:e13–118.
- 27. Fleisher LA, Beckman JA, Brown KA, Calkins H, Chaikof EL, Fleischmann KE, et al. 2009 ACCF/AHA focused update on perioperative β blockade incorporated into the ACC/AHA 2007 guidelines on perioperative cardiovascular evaluation and care for noncardiac surgery: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association task force on practice guidelines. Circulation 2009;120:e169–276.
- Falck-Ytter Y, Francis CW, Johanson NA, Curley C, Dahl OE, Schulman S, et al. Prevention of VTE in orthopedic surgery patients: antithrombotic therapy and prevention of thrombosis, 9th ed. American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. Chest 2012;141 Suppl 2:e278S–325S.
- Jacobs JJ, Mont MA, Bozic KJ, Della Valle CJ, Goodman SB, Lewis CG, et al. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons clinical practice guideline on: preventing venous thromboembolic disease in patients undergoing elective hip and knee arthroplasty. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2012;94:746–7.
- Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, Kunz R, Falck-Ytter Y, Alonso-Coello P, et al. GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. BMJ 2008;336:924-6.
- Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Kunz R, Vist GE, Falck-Ytter Y, Schunemann HJ, et al. What is "quality of evidence" and why is it important to clinicians? BMJ 2008;336:995–8.

- Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Kunz R, Falck-Ytter Y, Vist GE, Liberati A, et al. Going from evidence to recommendations. BMJ 2008;336:1049–51.
- 33. Alonso-Coello P, Oxman AD, Moberg J, Brignardello-Petersen R, Akl EA, Davoli M, et al. GRADE evidence to decision (EtD) frameworks: a systematic and transparent approach to making well informed healthcare choices 2: clinical practice guidelines. BMJ 2016;353:i2089.
- 34. Neumann I, Santesso N, Akl EA, Rind DM, Vandvik PO, Alonso-Coello P, et al. A guide for health professionals to interpret and use recommendations in guidelines developed with the GRADE approach. J Clin Epidemiol 2016;72:45–55.
- 35. Andrews J, Guyatt G, Oxman AD, Alderson P, Dahm P, Falck-Ytter Y, et al. GRADE guidelines: 14. Going from evidence to recommendations: the significance and presentation of recommendations. J Clin Epidemiol 2013;66:719–25.
- Andrews JC, Schunemann HJ, Oxman AD, Pottie K, Meerpohl JJ, Coello PA, et al. GRADE guidelines: 15. Going from evidence to recommendation-determinants of a recommendation's direction and strength. J Clin Epidemiol 2013;66:726–35.
- 37. Grennan DM, Gray J, Loudon J, Fear S. Methotrexate and early postoperative complications in patients with rheumatoid arthritis undergoing elective orthopaedic surgery. Ann Rheum Dis 2001;60:214–7.
- Tanaka N, Sakahashi H, Sato E, Hirose K, Ishima T, Ishii S. Examination of the risk of continuous leflunomide treatment on the incidence of infectious complications after joint arthroplasty in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. J Clin Rheumatol 2003;9: 115–8.
- Lopez-Olivo MA, Siddhanamatha HR, Shea B, Tugwell P, Wells GA, Suarez-Almazor ME. Methotrexate for treating rheumatoid arthritis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2014;6:CD000957.
- Goodman SM, Friedlander R, Figgie C, Hoang A, Andersen K, Pernis AB, et al. Flares occur frequently in RA patients undergoing arthroplasty [abstract]. Arthritis Rheumatol 2015;67 Suppl: S2664.
- 41. Strand V, Ahadieh S, French J, Geier J, Krishnaswami S, Menon S, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of serious infections with tofacitinib and biologic disease-modifying antirheumatic drug treatment in rheumatoid arthritis clinical trials. Arthritis Res Ther 2015;17:362.
- 42. Singh JA, Cameron C, Noorbaloochi S, Cullis T, Tucker M, Christensen R, et al. Risk of serious infection in biological treatment of patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet 2015;386:258–65.
- Maxwell LJ, Zochling J, Boonen A, Singh JA, Veras MM, Tanjong Ghogomu E, et al. TNF-α inhibitors for ankylosing spondylitis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2015;4:CD005468.
- 44. Ito H, Kojima M, Nishida K, Matsushita I, Kojima T, Nakayama T, et al. Postoperative complications in patients with rheumatoid arthritis using a biological agent: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Mod Rheumatol 2015;25:672–8.
- Lopez-Olivo MA, Amezaga Urruela M, McGahan L, Pollono EN, Suarez-Almazor ME. Rituximab for rheumatoid arthritis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2015;1:CD007356.
- 46. Ruiz Garcia V, Jobanputra P, Burls A, Cabello JB, Vela Casasempere P, Bort-Marti S, et al. Certolizumab pegol (CDP870) for rheumatoid arthritis in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2014;9:CD007649.
- 47. Song, GG, Bae SC, Lee YH. Efficacy and safety of tofacitinib for active rheumatoid arthritis with an inadequate response to methotrexate or disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs: a metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials. Korean J Intern Med 2014;29:656–63.
- 48. Cohen S, Radominski SC, Gomez-Reino JJ, Wang L, Krishnaswami S, Wood SP, et al. Analysis of infections and allcause mortality in phase II, phase III, and long-term extension studies of tofacitinib in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheumatol 2014;66:2924–37.

- Michaud TL, Rho YH, Shamliyan T, Kuntz KM, Choi HK. The comparative safety of tumor necrosis factor inhibitors in rheumatoid arthritis: a meta-analysis update of 44 trials. Am J Med 2014;127:1208–32.
- Borba HH, Wiens A, de Souza TT, Correr C, Pontarolo R. Efficacy and safety of biologic therapies for systemic lupus erythematosus treatment: systematic review and meta-analysis. BioDrugs 2014;28:211–28.
- He Y, Wong AY, Chan EW, Lau WC, Man KK, Chui CS, et al. Efficacy and safety of tofacitinib in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2013;14:298.
- Lethaby A, Lopez-Olivo MA, Maxwell L, Burls A, Tugwell P, Wells GA. Etanercept for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2013;5:CD004525.
- Machado MA, Barbosa MM, Almeida AM, de Araujo VE, Kakehasi AM, Andrade EI, et al. Treatment of ankylosing spondylitis with TNF blockers: a meta-analysis. Rheumatol Int 2013;33:2199–213.
- 54. Li ZH, Zhang Y, Wang J, Shi ZJ. Etanercept in the treatment of ankylosing spondylitis: a meta-analysis of randomized, doubleblind, placebo-controlled clinical trials, and the comparison of the Caucasian and Chinese population. Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol 2013;23:497–506.
- 55. Schoels MM, van der Heijde D, Breedveld FC, Burmester GR, Dougados M, Emery P, et al. Blocking the effects of interleukin-6 in rheumatoid arthritis and other inflammatory rheumatic diseases: systematic literature review and meta-analysis informing a consensus statement. Ann Rheum Dis 2013;72:583–9.
- Lan L, Han F, Chen JH. Efficacy and safety of rituximab therapy for systemic lupus erythematosus: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Zhejiang Univ Sci B 2012;13:731

  –44.
- 57. Dommasch ED, Abuabara K, Shin DB, Nguyen J, Troxel AB, Gelfand JM. The risk of infection and malignancy with tumor necrosis factor antagonists in adults with psoriatic disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. J Am Acad Dermatol 2011;64:1035–50.
- 58. Campbell L, Chen C, Bhagat SS, Parker RA, Ostor AJ. Risk of adverse events including serious infections in rheumatoid arthritis patients treated with tocilizumab: a systematic literature review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2011;50:552–62.
- 59. Lee YH, Bae SC, Song GG. The efficacy and safety of rituximab for the treatment of active rheumatoid arthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Rheumatol Int 2011;31:1493–9.
- Katikireddi VS, Whittle SL, Hill CL. Tumour necrosis factor inhibitors and risk of serious infection in rheumatoid arthritis. Int J Rheum Dis 2010;13:12–26.
- 61. Wiens A, Venson R, Correr CJ, Otuki MF, Pontarolo R. Metaanalysis of the efficacy and safety of adalimumab, etanercept, and infliximab for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. Pharmacotherapy 2010;30:339–53.
- Storage SS, Agrawal H, Furst DE. Description of the efficacy and safety of three new biologics in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. Korean J Intern Med 2010;25:1–17.
- 63. An MM, Zou Z, Shen H, Zhang JD, Cao YB, Jiang YY. The addition of tocilizumab to DMARD therapy for rheumatoid arthritis: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2010;66:49–59.
- 64. Wiens A, Correr CJ, Pontarolo R, Venson R, Quinalha JV, Otuki MF. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the efficacy and safety of etanercept for treating rheumatoid arthritis. Scand J Immunol 2009;70:337–44.
- 65. Fouque-Aubert A, Jette-Paulin L, Combescure C, Basch A, Tebib J, Gossec L. Serious infections in patients with ankylosing spondylitis with and without TNF blockers: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised placebo-controlled trials. Ann Rheum Dis 2010;69:1756–61.

- 66. Leombruno JP, Einarson TR, Keystone EC. The safety of antitumour necrosis factor treatments in rheumatoid arthritis: meta and exposure-adjusted pooled analyses of serious adverse events. Ann Rheum Dis 2009;68:1136–45.
- 67. Alonso-Ruiz A, Pijoan JI, Ansuategui E, Urkaregi A, Calabozo M, Quintana A. Tumor necrosis factor α drugs in rheumatoid arthritis: systematic review and metaanalysis of efficacy and safety. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2008;9:52.
- 68. Saad AA, Symmons DP, Noyce PR, Ashcroft DM. Risks and benefits of tumor necrosis factor-α inhibitors in the management of psoriatic arthritis: systematic review and metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials. J Rheumatol 2008;35:883–90.
- 69. Gartlehner G, Hansen RA, Jonas BL, Thieda P, Lohr KN. The comparative efficacy and safety of biologics for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis: a systematic review and metaanalysis. J Rheumatol 2006;33:2398–408.
- Bongartz T, Sutton AJ, Sweeting MJ, Buchan I, Matteson EL, Montori V. Anti-TNF antibody therapy in rheumatoid arthritis and the risk of serious infections and malignancies: systematic review and meta-analysis of rare harmful effects in randomized controlled trials. JAMA 2006;295:2275–85.
- 71. Fleischmann R, Baumgartner SW, Weisman MH, Liu T, White B, Peloso P. Long term safety of etanercept in elderly subjects with rheumatic diseases. Ann Rheum Dis 2006;65:379–84.
- Capogrosso Sansone A, Mantarro S, Tuccori M, Ruggiero E, Montagnani S, Convertino I, et al. Safety profile of certolizumab pegol in patients with immune-mediated inflammatory diseases: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Drug Safety 2015;38:869–88.
- 73. Tarp S, Furst DE, Luta G, Boers M, Tarp U, Asmussen KH, et al. Risk of serious adverse effects associated with different biological and targeted synthetic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised trials [abstract]. Ann Rheum Dis 2015;74 Suppl 2:176–7.
- 74. De la Forest M, Brugneaux J, Utard G, Salliot C. Safety of anti-TNFs in RA patients in real life: results from a systematic literature review and meta-analyses from biologic registers [abstract]. Ann Rheum Dis 2015;74 Suppl 2:702.
- 75. Hochberg M, Janssen K, Broglio K, Walsem AV, Nadkarni A. Comparison of abatacept and other biologic DMARDs for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis patients: a systematic literature review and network meta-analysis [abstract]. Ann Rheum Dis 2014;73 Suppl 2:676.
- 76. Tarp S, Tarp U, Andersen LS, Lorenzen T, Lindegaard HM, Stoltenberg M, et al. Serious adverse events associated with using biological agents to treat rheumatic diseases: network meta-analysis from a national guideline panel [abstract]. Arthritis Rheum 2013;65 Suppl:S997–8.
- 77. He Y, Wong A, Chan E, Lau W, Man K, Chui C, et al. Safety of tofacitinib in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Drug Safety 2013;36:852–3.
- 78. Singh JA, Wells G, Christensen R, Ghogomu E, Macdonald J, Maxwell L, et al. Risk of cancer, serious lung infections and death with biologics: a systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) [abstract]. Ann Rheum Dis 2013;72:A74.
- Ahadieh S, Checchio T, Tensfeldt T, French J, Geier J, Riese R, et al. Meta-analysis of malignancies, serious infections, and serious adverse events with tofacitinib or biologic treatment in rheumatoid arthritis clinical trials. J Pharmacokinetics Pharmacodynamics 2013;40:S93–4.
- Lin T, Shamliyan T, Choi H, Rho YH, Kuntz K. The safety of anti-TNF biologic agents in rheumatoid arthritis: a metaanalysis of 35 RCTs [abstract]. Arthritis Rheum 2012;64 Suppl: S1854.
- 81. Venson R, Wiens A, Correr CJ, Pontarolo R. Efficacy, safety and tolerability of using abatacept for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. Brazil J Pharm Sci 2012;48:781–91.

- Cormier H, Barnetche T, Schaeverbeke T. The risk of serious infection with and without anti-TNF therapy in rheumatoid arthritis and ankylosing spondylitis: a meta-analysis [abstract]. Arthritis Rheum 2011;63 Suppl:S878.
- 83. Dommasch E, Troxel A, Shin D, Gelfand J, Abuabara K. The safety of tumor necrosis factor antagonists in patients with psoriatic disease: a systematic review and metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials. J Am Acad Dermatol 2011; 64:AB8.
- 84. Rieder S, Thompson A, Pope J. Anti-TNF therapy and the risk of serious infection and malignancy in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. J Rheumatol 2010;37:1343.
- Powers J, Martin R. Incidence of serious infectious events with methotrexate treatment: metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials. J Am Acad Dermatol 2010;62:AB4.
- 86. Volkmann ER, Agrawal H, Maranian P, Furst DE. Rituximab for rheumatoid arthritis: a meta-analysis and systematic review. Clin Med 2010;2:749–60.
- 87. Kaine JL. Abatacept for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis: a review. Curr Ther Res 2007;68:379–99.
- Nestorov I. Clinical pharmacokinetics of TNF antagonists: how do they differ? Semin Arthritis Rheum 2005;34 Suppl 1: 12–8
- Jinesh S. Pharmaceutical aspects of anti-inflammatory TNFblocking drugs. Inflammopharmacology 2015;23:71–7.
- 90. Weisman MH, Moreland LW, Furst DE, Weinblatt ME, Keystone EC, Paulus HE, et al. Efficacy, pharmacokinetic, and safety assessment of adalimumab, a fully human anti-tumor necrosis factor-α monoclonal antibody, in adults with rheumatoid arthritis receiving concomitant methotrexate: a pilot study. Clin Ther 2003;25:1700–21.
- Breedveld F, Agarwal S, Yin M, Ren S, Li NF, Shaw TM, et al. Rituximab pharmacokinetics in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: B-cell levels do not correlate with clinical response. J Clin Pharmacol 2007;47:1119–28.
- Tarp S, Furst DE, Maarten B, Luta G, Bliddal H, Tarp U, et al. Risk of serious adverse effects of biological and targeted drugs in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a systematic review meta-analysis. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2017;56:417–25.

- Ramos-Casals M, Soto MJ, Cuadrado MJ, Khamashta MA. Rituximab in systemic lupus erythematosus: a systematic review of off-label use in 188 cases. Lupus 2009;18:767–76.
- 94. Murray E, Perry M. Off-label use of rituximab in systemic lupus erythematosus: a systematic review. Clin Rheumatol 2010;29:707–16.
- 95. Furie R, Petri M, Zamani O, Cervera R, Wallace DJ, Tegzová D, et al. A phase III, randomized, placebo-controlled study of belimumab, a monoclonal antibody that inhibits B lymphocyte stimulator, in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Rheum 2011;63:3918–30.
- 96. Ginzler EM, Wallace DJ, Merrill JT, Furie RA, Stohl W, Chatham WW. Disease control and safety of belimumab plus standard therapy over 7 years in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. J Rheumatol 2014;41:300–9.
- 97. Ahadieh S, Checchio T, Tensfeldt T, French J, Krishnaswami S, Riese R, et al. Meta-analysis of malignancies, serious infections, and serious adverse events with tofacitinib or biologic treatment in rheumatoid arthritis clinical trials [abstract]. Arthritis Rheum 2012;Suppl 63:1697.
- Boyle DL, Soma K, Hodge J, Kavanaugh A, Mandel D, Mease P. The JAK inhibitor tofacitinib suppresses synovial JAK1-STAT signaling in rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2015;74:1311–6.
- Palmisano AC, Kuhn AW, Urquhart AG, Pour AE. Post-operative medical and surgical complications after primary total joint arthroplasty in solid organ transplant recipients: a case series. Int Orthop 2017;41:13–19.
- 100. Klement MR, Penrose CT, Bala A, Wellman SS, Bolognesi MP, Seyler TM. How do previous solid organ transplant recipients fare after primary total knee arthroplasty? J Arthroplasty 2016; 31:609–15.
- 101. Marik PE, Varon J. Requirement of perioperative stress doses of corticosteroids: a systematic review of the literature. Arch Surg 2008;143:1222–6.
- Harpaz R, Ortega-Sanchez I, Seward J. Prevention of herpes zoster: recommendation of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). MMWR Recomm Rep 2008;57:1–30.
- Somayaji R, Barnabe C, Martin L. Risk factors for infection following total joint arthroplasty in rheumatoid arthritis. Open Rheumatol J 2013;7:119–24.