

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

DR. AYAKO SUZUKI (Orcid ID : 0000-0003-1824-1067)

Received Date : 30-Apr-2016

Revised Date : 29-Dec-2016

Accepted Date : 20-Jan-2017

Article type : Original Articles

Handling Editor: Raúl Andrade

## **Associations of Gender and a Proxy of female Menopausal status with Histological Features of Drug-Induced Liver Injury**

Ayako Suzuki<sup>1</sup>, Huiman Barnhart<sup>2</sup>, Jiezhun Gu<sup>2</sup>, Herbert L. Bonkovsky<sup>3, 4</sup>, Hans L. Tillmann<sup>5</sup>,  
Robert J. Fontana<sup>6</sup>, David E. Kleiner<sup>7</sup>, for Drug-induced Liver Injury Network (DILIN)

<sup>1</sup>Gastroenterology, Duke University, Durham, NC

<sup>2</sup>Duke Clinical Research Institute, Durham, NC

<sup>3</sup>Section on Gastroenterology & Hepatology, Wake Forest University School of Medicine,  
Winston-Salem, NC

<sup>4</sup>University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC

<sup>5</sup>Gastroenterology, Brody School of Medicine, East Carolina University, Greenville, NC

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as [doi: 10.1111/liv.13380](https://doi.org/10.1111/liv.13380)

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

1                   <sup>6</sup>Gastroenterology, University of Michigan Medical Center, Ann Arbor, MI

2                   <sup>7</sup>Laboratory of Pathology, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD

3

4   **Corresponding Author:**

5   Ayako Suzuki, MD, PhD, MSc

6   Gastroenterology, Duke University

7   200 Trent Dr. DUMC3913

8   Durham, NC 27710

9   TEL: 919-684-6211/FAX: 919-681-8147

10   Email: [ayako.suzuki@duke.edu](mailto:ayako.suzuki@duke.edu)

11

12   Abstract: 250 words

13   Words: 4891 words

14   Figures and Tables: 3 Tables

15

16   **Abbreviations:** DILI: drug-induced liver injury; DILIN: drug-induced liver injury network; HC:  
17   hepatocellular; CS/MIX: cholestatic/mixed; ALT: alanine aminotransferase; ALP: alkaline  
18   phosphatase; ULN: upper limit normal; ANA: antinuclear antibody; OR: odds ratio; CI:  
19   confidence interval.

20   **Financial support:** The DILIN is structured as an U01 cooperative agreement supported by  
21   the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (NIDDK) and the National  
22   Institutes of Health (NIH) with funds provided by the following grants: U01DK065211 (Indiana  
23   University [Purdue]), U01DK065184 (University of Michigan [Ann Arbor]), U01DK065201  
24   (University of North Carolina [Chapel Hill, Asheville, Wake Forest {Wake Forest Baptist Medical  
25   Center}], U01DK083020 (University of Southern California, University of California-Los Angeles  
26   [Pfleger Liver Institute]), U01DK083027 (Albert Einstein Medical Center), U01DK100928 (Icahn  
27   School of Medicine at Mount Sinai), U01DK065176 (Duke Clinical Research Institute). This  
28   research was also supported in part by the Intramural Research Program of the NIH, National  
29   Cancer Institute.

1 **Conflict of Interest:** The authors have the following disclosures: Ayako Suzuki: served as a  
2 consultant for GlaxoSmithKline; Herbert L. Bonkovsky: served as a consultant to Alnylam,  
3 Clinuvel, Mitsubishi-Tanabe, Moderna, Recordati, and Stoke Pharma in the past 12 months,  
4 research support from Alnylam, Clinuvel, and Gilead Sciences ; Robert J. Fontana: grant  
5 support from Gilead, BMS, Jansen and Vertex and served as a paid consultant to Tibotec,  
6 Merck and GlaxoSmithKline; Drs Gu, Barnhart, Tillmann, and Kleiner have no financial  
7 disclosures relating to this work.  
8

### 9 **Key points**

- 10 • Liver biopsies obtained within 30 days of onset from 212 patients with drug-induced liver  
11 injury were analyzed for the associations of gender and a proxy of female menopausal  
12 status with histologic features in the acute injury phase.
- 13 • Biopsies of the 58 women < 50 years of age were associated with more severe interface  
14 hepatitis and less iron-stained hepatocytes vs. those of the 87 men and 67 women ≥ 50  
15 years.
- 16 • Compared to those of men, biopsies of women showed significantly greater plasma cell  
17 infiltration, hepatocyte apoptosis, hepatocyte rosettes, and lobular disarray.
- 18 • Biopsies from men showed greater histological cholestasis.

### 19 **Abstract**

20 **[Background and aim]** Gender and menopause may contribute to type and severity of drug-  
21 induced liver injury (DILI) by influencing host responses to injury. The aim of this study was to  
22 assess the associations of gender and female age 50 [a proxy of menopause] with histologic  
23 features of liver injury in 212 adults enrolled in the Drug-Induced Liver Injury Network (DILIN)  
24 registry. **[Methods]** All participants had a causality score of at least 'probable', a liver biopsy  
25 within 30 days of DILI onset, and no prior chronic liver disease. Biochemical and histologic injury  
26 types were classified as hepatocellular or cholestatic/mixed injury. The cohort was divided into  
27 three gender/age categories: men (41.0%), women < 50 years (27.4%), and women ≥ 50 years  
28 of age (31.6%). Interaction of gender and age category (≥50 or not) was assessed. **[Results]**  
29 Hepatocellular injury was more prevalent in women < 50 years vs. others (p=0.002). After  
30 adjusting for biochemical injury types, black race and possible aging effects, more severe  
31 interface hepatitis was noted in biopsies of women < 50 years compared to those of men and  
32 women ≥ 50 years (p=0.009 and p=0.055, respectively). Compared to those of men, biopsies of  
33 women showed greater plasma cell infiltration, hepatocyte apoptosis, hepatocyte rosettes, and

1 lobular disarray but less iron-positive hepatocytes and histological cholestasis ( $p < 0.05$ ). These  
2 associations persisted after excluding cases of amoxicillin/clavulanic acid, anabolic steroids or  
3 nitrofurantoin DILI which showed gender-specific distributions. **[Conclusion]** Gender and a  
4 proxy of menopause were associated with various features of inflammation and injury in DILI.  
5 **Key words:** drug-induced liver injury, gender difference, menopause, liver histology,  
6 hepatotoxicity.  
7

## 8 INTRODUCTION

9 Significant heterogeneity exists in clinical phenotypes of drug-induced liver injury (DILI). Initial  
10 biochemical presentations, autoimmune features, liver histology, and clinical outcomes vary  
11 substantially among individuals who develop DILI, even when caused by the same agent.[1-4]  
12 Such heterogeneity suggests that how individuals respond to drug toxicity, cellular stress and  
13 tissue injury may contribute to the variable clinical phenotypes of DILI.

14 Gender and sex hormones influence drug metabolism and transport.[5, 6] They also modulate  
15 host responses to injury; sexual dimorphism in cellular stress response, cell death, immune  
16 response, inflammation and tissue repair has been demonstrated in various systems. In  
17 particular, sexual dimorphism in the immune response has been well documented in humans as  
18 well as experimental models.[7] Several recent experimental studies demonstrated sex  
19 differences in host responses to injury. An immune-mediated DILI model showed sex  
20 differences in immune response and inflammation: more severe hepatitis, more antibody  
21 production, and a higher level of pro-inflammatory hepatic cytokines in females vs. male  
22 mice.[8] In the halothane-induced liver injury mouse model, estrogens reduce injury while  
23 progesterone exacerbates injury.[9, 10] In an immune-mediated nephritis model more apoptosis  
24 occurred in females vs. more necrosis in males, and the administration of estrogen to male mice  
25 induced apoptosis and inhibited necrosis.[11] Taken together, these findings suggest that  
26 gender and sex hormones may modulate host responses to liver injury insults and contribute to  
27 diverse clinical manifestation and severity of human DILI.

28 We hypothesized that gender and sex hormones modulate cellular stress responses, cell death,  
29 immune responses, and inflammation in drug toxicity and may influence clinical and histologic  
30 DILI manifestations in humans. We aimed to investigate the associations of gender and  
31 women's age (with  $\geq 50$  years as a surrogate for menopause) with histologic features in acute  
32 phase of DILI, for the purpose of further refining the above-mentioned hypothesis. Our thorough

1 descriptive analyses using different modeling approaches identified several histologic features  
2 associated with gender (and women's age < 50) across initial biochemical presentations even  
3 after excluding gender-specific causal agents. The findings support the above-mentioned  
4 hypothesis and also pose important clinical and pathophysiological questions relevant to  
5 gender-differences in DILI phenotypes, which should be further investigated across different  
6 disciplines.

## 7 **METHODS**

### 8 ***Study design and data source***

9 This is a hypothesis-driven, cross sectional analysis designed to investigate the associations of  
10 gender and female menopausal status with various histologic features in patients with DILI.  
11 Data from the U.S. Drug-Induced Liver Injury Network (DILIN) were utilized in our analysis. The  
12 study design and data collection in the prospective DILIN database study have been previously  
13 described.[12] Briefly, the consortium enrolls consecutive adult and pediatric patients with  
14 suspected DILI. Detailed clinical information, including laboratory data, medications, medical &  
15 social history, and symptoms and signs at DILI onset, was collected at the time of study  
16 enrollment. DILI onset was defined per protocol as date of initial presentation with elevated liver  
17 enzymes that met any of the study criteria.[12] Causality assessment was performed by the  
18 DILIN causality committee in a consensus manner using the DILIN causality score after 6  
19 months follow-up. For subjects who showed evidence of persisting DILI [13] , additional follow-  
20 up evaluations were performed at 12 months and 24 months. [12] Liver biopsy was not required  
21 for study enrollment. When liver biopsy was performed for clinical indications, biopsy slides  
22 were obtained from the clinical study centers. The clinical decision on whether to perform a liver  
23 biopsy was solely made by the attending physician who often differed from the DILIN  
24 investigator. The DILIN studies were approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) at each  
25 participating center (listed in Supplemental Methods). Informed consent was obtained from each  
26 of the participants prior to the study enrollment.

### 27 ***Study population***

28 Of 1386 enrolled patients between September 2004 and May 2014, 212 (15%) patients who met  
29 the following criteria were analyzed: 1) Age > 18 years 2) an adequate, evaluable liver biopsy  
30 that had been performed within 30 days of DILI onset, 3) a DILIN causality score of 'probable' or

1 higher [14], 4) no prior diagnosis of other chronic liver diseases. The time window for this study  
2 was set to focus on histologic features in acute phase.

### 3 ***Study variables***

4 Predictors: The primary predictor variable tested in this study was the gender/female  
5 menopause classification. As reproductive information was not collected as a part of the DILIN  
6 study, age 50 years old, the average age of female menopause in the US, was used as a  
7 surrogate.[15] Prior to our main analyses, we performed background analyses to assess an  
8 interaction between gender and age 50 in each histologic feature to better characterize aging  
9 effect vs. menopausal effect as detailed in Supplemental Statistical Method. Based on the  
10 background analyses, the study population was classified into 3 categories (hereafter called the  
11 gender/age categories), men, women younger than 50 years (surrogate for premenopausal),  
12 and women 50 years old or older (surrogate for postmenopausal) in our main analyses. Gender  
13 (men vs. all women) was also assessed as the secondary predictor.

14 Outcomes: Liver biopsy slides stained with hematoxylin-eosin and Masson trichrome stain were  
15 obtained from the clinical study centers and were reviewed and scored in a blinded,  
16 standardized manner by a single experienced hepatopathologist [DEK].[16] Histologic features,  
17 including interface hepatitis, plasma cell infiltration, cholestatic degree, hepatocellular  
18 cholestasis, canalicular cholestasis, hepatocyte rosettes, lobular disarray, iron stain-  
19 hepatocellular iron, and apoptosis, were analyzed as primary study outcomes in this study.  
20 Histologic injury types were classified into the following two categories based on the histologic  
21 injury patterns: cholestatic/mixed injury (i.e., acute cholestatic, chronic cholestatic, and  
22 combined hepatic/cholestatic) and hepatocellular (i.e., others).[16]

23 Others: Initial biochemical injury types, hepatocellular [HC] injury and cholestatic/mixed  
24 [CS/MIX] injury, were determined based on the R ratio at the time of DILI onset or the closest to  
25 the onset when the laboratory data were not available at the onset, calculating the ratio of  
26 serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT)/upper limit normal (ULN) to serum alkaline phosphatase  
27 (ALP)/ULN:  $\geq 5$  (HC) vs.  $< 5$  (CS/MIX).[17] R-values were calculated using institutional  
28 reference ranges of serum ALT and ALP at the time of case enrollment. Information on  
29 demography, laboratory data at the study enrollment, and suspected drugs was also obtained  
30 for our analyses.

31

## 1 **Statistical analyses**

2 Descriptive data are reported as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation or median with interquartile range  
3 for continuous variables and as a percentage for categorical variables. To assess selection bias  
4 due to the lack of liver biopsy within 30 days of DILI onset, clinical characteristics of the study  
5 population were compared between those included in the analysis versus those not included in  
6 the analysis (i.e., age > 18 years, a DILIN causality score of 'probable' or higher, and no prior  
7 diagnosis of other chronic liver diseases, but no biopsies within 30 days of DILI onset).

8 Clinical characteristics of the study population were compared among the gender/age  
9 categories by using Kruskal-Wallis test for continuous and ordinal variables and chi-square test  
10 for categorical variables. Histological features of the study population were similarly compared  
11 among the gender/age categories with stratification on different biochemical injury types.

12 For modeling the histologic features, univariate analysis was performed first to select potential  
13 clinical covariates for adjustment in the multivariate models. To determine a proper age variable  
14 to be included in a model, background analyses were performed as described in Supplemental  
15 Statistical Methods. Depending on the histologic outcomes (binary vs. ordinal), logistic  
16 regression models or ordinal logistic regression models were used. For the ordinal logistic  
17 regression, proportional odds models or cumulative logistic regression models were selected,  
18 depending on whether or not the proportional odds assumption was met for a specific histologic  
19 outcome. Adjusted odds ratio or cumulative odds ratio with 95% confidence interval (CI) and p  
20 value were reported. The fit of the models was assessed with Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness-of-  
21 fit test for binary and ordinary outcomes.[18]

22 Statistical analyses were performed using SAS version 9.4. (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). All *P*  
23 values presented are 2-sided, and the differences were considered statistically significant when  
24 the  $P < 0.05$ . For detecting interactions in the background analyses, we used  $p < 0.1$  due to the  
25 small sample size. Because of the descriptive nature of this analysis, *P* values have not been  
26 adjusted for multiple comparisons.

27

## 28 **RESULTS**

### 29 **Clinical characteristics**

1 A total of 212 adults with DILI were included in the analysis. Clinical characteristics of the study  
2 population at enrollment are summarized in Table 1. Women of age <50 years, women of age  
3  $\geq$ 50 years, and men comprised 27.4%, 31.6%, and 41.0% of the study population, respectively.  
4 Mean [ $\pm$  SD] age of the total study population was 50  $\pm$ 16 years old. Seventy-seven percent  
5 were white, 14.6% black, and 10% Hispanic. Fifty percent of the cases presented as HC injury  
6 at DILI onset. Age, the biochemical presentation at DILI onset, serum ALT, serum AST, serum  
7 ALP, and positive ANA were significantly different among the gender/age categories (Table 1).  
8 Hepatocellular injury was noted in 70.7% of women of <50 years compared to 43.3% of women  
9 of  $\geq$ 50 years and 41.4% of men. Serum ALT and AST were the highest in women aged <50  
10 years, while serum ALP was the highest in women aged  $\geq$ 50 years. Men had a lower frequency  
11 of positive ANA (14.5%) compared to women (>30% in both groups) at the study enrollment.  
12 Black, other race, and Hispanic were more prevalent among women of age <50 years although  
13 there were no statistical significances.

14 The clinical characteristics of the study population were then compared with the patients  
15 excluded from this analysis due to the lack of liver biopsy within 30 days of the DILI onset. The  
16 clinical characteristics of the study population (N=212) vs. the population not included in this  
17 analysis (N=792) are summarized in Supplementary Table 2. Briefly, clinical severity score,  
18 serum ALP, and total bilirubin at DILI onset were higher in the study population. The age, the  
19 gender/age categories, self-reported race/ethnicity, biochemical injury type at DILI onset, and  
20 positive ANA did not show statistical differences between the populations. Biochemical injury  
21 type, clinical severity score, and positive ANA were further analyzed, classifying by the  
22 age/gender categories in each population (Supplementary Table 3). No statistically significant  
23 interactions (the populations x the age/gender categories) were noted.

24 Causal agents implicated in the study population are provided in Supplementary Table 1a and  
25 1b. Among agents implicated in  $\geq$ 4 cases (Table 1), Herbs-Dietary Supplement (HDS) was most  
26 prevalent (25%), followed by amoxicillin/clavulanic acid (12.2%). Eleven cases of the HDS-  
27 related DILI were caused by anabolic steroids, all of whom were males.

### 28 ***Univariate associations of the histologic features with the gender/age categories***

29 The previous analysis demonstrated the histologic features significantly differ depending on the  
30 initial biochemical presentations: hepatocellular vs. cholestatic/mixed injury.[16] Therefore, the  
31 univariate associations were assessed not only in the total study population but also within the  
32 groups of hepatocellular injury and cholestatic/mixed injury separately (Table 2). Several



1 variables were significantly associated with the gender/age categories. It is notable that, across  
2 the injury types, interface hepatitis, noticeable increase in plasma cells, apoptosis, hepatocyte  
3 rosettes, and lobular disarray showed a female-dominant pattern while cholestasis and  
4 hepatocyte iron-positivity by Perls' staining showed a male-dominant pattern. These histologic  
5 features were considered in the multivariable analyses.

### 6 ***Adjusted associations of the histologic features with the gender/age categories***

7 In our background analyses, a potential effect of advancing age was only evident in interface  
8 hepatitis at age of 70 in both men and women. The age category (<50 vs. ≥50) did not show  
9 significant associations with any histologic features in men. There was an interaction of gender  
10 and the age category in the histologic features of interface hepatitis (P=0.05) and apoptosis  
11 (p=0.06).

12 The adjusted associations of histologic features with 1) the gender/age categories (Model 1)  
13 and 2) gender (Model 2) are presented in Table 3. All the models were adjusted for biochemical  
14 injury types, black race, and, for interface hepatitis only, the age category (<70 vs. ≥70). The  
15 goodness of fit tests indicated an adequate fit (p-values of 0.27 to 0.99). More severe interface  
16 hepatitis and less hepatocyte iron stains were noted in biopsies of women < 50 years compared  
17 to those of men and women ≥ 50 years (p=0.009 and p=0.055 for interface hepatitis and  
18 p<0.001 and p=0.046 for hepatocyte iron stains, respectively). Compared to those of men,  
19 biopsies of women were associated with more plasma cell infiltration, more apoptosis, more  
20 hepatocyte rosettes, more lobular disarray, and less iron-stained hepatocytes and less  
21 cholestasis (p<0.05).

22 Amoxicillin/clavulanic acid, anabolic steroids and nitrofurantoin, which showed gender-specific  
23 distributions in this study population, have been associated with signature injury patterns.  
24 Therefore, we assessed the associations between the histologic features and the gender/age  
25 categories (or gender) after excluding these agents. These associations remained similar even  
26 after excluding cases due to anabolic steroids, nitrofurantoin, or amoxicillin/clavulanic acid (data  
27 are not shown).

28 The associations of histologic features with the gender/age categories and gender were also  
29 assessed using the same modeling strategy but adjusting for the histologic injury classification,  
30 which showed similar results, except for hepatocellular/canalicular cholestasis; the odds ratios

1 of men vs. women were decreased for hepatocellular/canalicular cholestasis (data are not  
2 shown).

### 3 **DISCUSSION**

4 This hypothesis-refining analysis revealed intriguing findings pertaining to gender-specific  
5 phenotypes of DILI. Women <50 years of age were more likely to show severe interface  
6 hepatitis and less hepatocyte iron staining compared to men and women  $\geq 50$  years. The  
7 comparable risk reduction in women  $\geq 50$  years and men vs. women <50 years of age implies  
8 the involvement of female sex hormones as opposed to innate sex difference in the pathology  
9 involved in these features. For this interpretation, we considered a possible indication bias;  
10 young women with positive ANA and high serum ALT and AST might have undergone liver  
11 biopsies more often than others to rule out autoimmune hepatitis. However, we found no  
12 evidence of bias regarding frequencies of liver biopsies that could explain more severe  
13 autoimmune hepatitis features in women aged < 50 vs. women aged  $\geq 50$  and men  
14 (Supplementary Tables 2 and 3); the study population appeared to have similar proportions of  
15 positive ANA in each age/gender category as compared to the excluded population (interaction  
16  $P=0.29$ ). Positive ANA is observed among healthy general population.[19, 20] Thus whether  
17 positive ANA is an innocent bystander, or a risk factor of developing hepatotoxicity, or a  
18 consequence of hepatotoxicity observed in a subgroup of patients is uncertain. Numbers of iron-  
19 positive hepatocytes were the highest in men, intermediate in women  $\geq 50$  years, and the lowest  
20 in women <50 years of age. Similar male dominance in hepatic iron deposition has been  
21 reported in a cohort of NAFLD.[21] This might be explained by blood loss of menstruation,  
22 pregnancy, and breast feeding and/or estrogen's effect on hepcidin.[22]

23  
24 Men had a higher likelihood of cholestatic features with a higher severity compared to women.  
25 Of note, our 'risk of bias' assessment showed comparable biochemical injury type within the  
26 age/gender categories between the study population and the excluded population (interaction  
27  $P=0.67$  in Supplemental Table 3). The consistent observation after excluding the cases caused  
28 by anabolic steroids or amoxicillin/clavulanic acid ruled out the possible explanation by male  
29 dominance observed with these agents (data not shown). Unlike the autoimmune hepatitis  
30 features, the gender difference in cholestatic features appeared to be consistent before and  
31 after the age 50 years. Our extended analysis showed that male gender (OR and 95%CI=2.0  
32 [1.1, 3.6],  $p=0.019$ ) and age  $\geq 50$  years (OR and 95%CI=3.0 [1.7, 5.4],  $p=0.0001$ ) were  
33 independently associated with the cholestatic/mixed injury ( $R \leq 2$ ). This observation is consistent

1 with previous observational studies.[3, 23] Although underlying mechanisms are uncertain,  
2 these findings suggest a gender difference in cholestasis, independent of age and female sex  
3 hormones. The models adjusting for the histologic injury classification showed consistent  
4 associations except for hepatocellular/canalicular cholestasis. Hepatocellular/canalicular  
5 cholestasis could develop in severe hepatocellular injury as a consequence of compromised  
6 cellular energy supply and subsequent impairment of highly energy-dependent transporters  
7 such as BSEP [24], which are difficult to distinguish from true cholestatic injury without  
8 considering overall histologic pictures.

9 It is well known that women are more prone to develop autoimmune disorders of all types than  
10 are men and that sex hormones significantly modulate innate as well as adaptive immune  
11 responses.[7] Women in general induce stronger antibody-production and cell-mediated  
12 immune responses following either infection or vaccination than men.[7] Also, there are quite a  
13 few experimental studies showing how estrogens and progesterone influence cellular stress  
14 response and severity of liver injury. Estrogens are in general reported to be protective against  
15 liver injury [25, 26] while progesterone appears to exert detrimental impacts on inflammation  
16 and fibrosis.[27, 28] Thus, impact of sex and sex hormones on host response to drug toxicity is  
17 likely multilayered [29] and modulates gender-specific clinical phenotypes.

18  
19 Another noteworthy finding in our analysis was that black race was associated with about 2-fold  
20 higher likelihood of having more noticeable plasma cell infiltration across the different models  
21 (p-value of 0.048 to 0.08; data are not shown). This is intriguing as black race is reportedly  
22 associated with an enhanced humoral immunological response following vaccination compared  
23 to other races.[30] A previous study reported that black women were overrepresented among  
24 non-acetaminophen induced acute liver failure.[31, 32] As women were associated with more  
25 noticeable plasma cell infiltration, there may be an additive interaction between gender and  
26 genetic predisposition causing an enhanced humoral immune response in DILI susceptibility  
27 and DILI severity.

28 A strength of this study is that the analysis was performed using a well-characterized DILI  
29 population with careful follow-up for up to two years in which data were collected and evaluated  
30 in a standardized manner.[14, 33] Also, we applied different modeling approaches to enhance  
31 our data interpretation and theory-generation. This study also has several limitations. We used a  
32 proxy of menopause and the information on exact menopausal state was not available. Possible  
33 misclassification among women may have blunted the true associations. Sex hormone levels

1 were not obtained. Our study population may have been biased due to the requirement of liver  
2 biopsy data. Although we evaluated the 'risk of bias' and discussed it above, there may be a  
3 bias unmeasured in this study. Therefore, our findings may be applicable only to those who  
4 developed clinically significant drug-induced liver injury and who are likely considered for liver  
5 biopsy. The initiation of liver injury and the type of initial presentation are likely a function of drug  
6 and host and may be determined by their specific interplay.[29] Host-drug interplay in DILI is  
7 beyond the scope of this analysis. Our analysis was meant to address the impact of gender and  
8 menopause on 'host response' to DILI 'after' the initiation of injury, but not impact on the  
9 initiation of liver injury or tissue recovery. Host response to drug toxicity is likely modified by  
10 multiple host factors, including concomitant medications and pre-existing co-morbidities,[29]  
11 which were not analyzed in this analysis. Race/ethnicity, other than Caucasian or black, also  
12 could not be included in this analysis due to their low frequencies. Lastly, our analytic strategy  
13 was built based on our hypothesis. Whether our analytic approach is justifiable from a  
14 mechanistic viewpoint may be questioned. We separately developed a model considering all the  
15 available variables at study enrollment, independent of the hypothesis. This model also  
16 identified gender as a significant contributor in the histologic features analyzed in this study,  
17 which further supports the significance of gender in DILI histology.

18 In summary, our analysis demonstrated that histologic features of DILI were significantly  
19 associated with gender and age [used as a proxy of menopause] in patients presenting with  
20 varying laboratory profiles. The findings support our hypothesis and generated several  
21 additional intriguing hypotheses relevant to gender differences in injury/stress responses to drug  
22 toxicity (e.g., cell death pathway, inflammation, and immune response). Further clinical analyses  
23 and the translation to experimental studies are warranted to delineate gender-dependent  
24 hepatotoxicity and DILI manifestations.

## 25 REFERENCES

- 26 1. Uetrecht, J., *Immunoallergic drug-induced liver injury in humans*. *Semin Liver Dis*, 2009. **29**(4): p.  
27 383-92.
- 28 2. Lucena, M.I., R.J. Andrade, M.C. Fernández, et al., *Determinants of the clinical expression of*  
29 *amoxicillin-clavulanate hepatotoxicity: A prospective series from Spain*. *Hepatology*, 2006. **44**(4):  
30 p. 850-856.
- 31 3. Lucena, M.I., R.J. Andrade, N. Kaplowitz, et al., *Phenotypic characterization of idiosyncratic drug-*  
32 *induced liver injury: The influence of age and gender*. *Hepatology*, 2009 **49**(6): p. 2001-2009.

- 1 4. Liu, Z.X. and N. Kaplowitz, *Immune-mediated drug-induced liver disease*. Clin Liver Dis, 2002.  
2 6(3): p. 755-74.
- 3 5. Yang, L., Y. Li, H. Hong, et al. *Sex Differences in the Expression of Drug-Metabolizing and*  
4 *Transporter Genes in Human Liver*. Drug Metabolism & Toxicology, 2012. **3**, DOI: 10.4172/2157-  
5 7609.1000119.
- 6 6. Waxman, D.J. and M.G. Holloway, *Sex differences in the expression of hepatic drug metabolizing*  
7 *enzymes*. Mol Pharmacol, 2009. **76**(2): p. 215-28.
- 8 7. Beagley, K.W. and C.M. Gockel, *Regulation of innate and adaptive immunity by the female sex*  
9 *hormones oestradiol and progesterone*. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol, 2003. **38**(1): p. 13-22.
- 10 8. Cho, J., L. Kim, Z. Li, et al., *Sex bias in experimental immune-mediated, drug-induced liver injury*  
11 *in BALB/c mice: suggested roles for Tregs, estrogen, and IL-6*. PLoS One, 2013. **8**(4): p. e61186.
- 12 9. Toyoda, Y., S. Endo, K. Tsuneyama, et al., *Mechanism of exacerbative effect of progesterone on*  
13 *drug-induced liver injury*. Toxicol Sci, 2012. **126**(1): p. 16-27.
- 14 10. Toyoda, Y., T. Miyashita, S. Endo, et al., *Estradiol and progesterone modulate halothane-induced*  
15 *liver injury in mice*. Toxicol Lett, 2011. **204**(1): p. 17-24.
- 16 11. Jog, N.R. and R. Caricchio, *Differential regulation of cell death programs in males and females by*  
17 *Poly (ADP-Ribose) Polymerase-1 and 17beta estradiol*. Cell Death Dis, 2013. **4**: p. e758.
- 18 12. Fontana, R.J., P.B. Watkins, H.L. Bonkovsky, et al., *Drug-Induced Liver Injury Network (DILIN)*  
19 *prospective study: rationale, design and conduct*. Drug Saf, 2009. **32**(1): p. 55-68.
- 20 13. Fontana, R.J., P.H. Hayashi, H. Barnhart, et al., *Persistent liver biochemistry abnormalities are*  
21 *more common in older patients and those with cholestatic drug induced liver injury*. Am J  
22 Gastroenterol, 2015. **110**(10): p. 1450-9.
- 23 14. Chalasani, N., R.J. Fontana, H.L. Bonkovsky, et al., *Causes, clinical features, and outcomes from a*  
24 *prospective study of drug-induced liver injury in the United States*. Gastroenterology, 2008.  
25 **135**(6): p. 1924-34, 1934 e1-4.
- 26 15. Nichols, H.B., A. Trentham-Dietz, J.M. Hampton, et al., *From menarche to menopause: trends*  
27 *among US Women born from 1912 to 1969*. Am J Epidemiol, 2006. **164**(10): p. 1003-11.
- 28 16. Kleiner, D.E., N.P. Chalasani, W.M. Lee, et al., *Hepatic histological findings in suspected drug-*  
29 *induced liver injury: systematic evaluation and clinical associations*. Hepatology, 2014. **59**(2): p.  
30 661-70.
- 31 17. Benichou, C., *Criteria of drug-induced liver disorders. Report of an international consensus*  
32 *meeting*. J Hepatol, 1990. **11**(2): p. 272-6.

- 1 18. Fagerland, M.W. and D.W. Hosmer, *A goodness-of-fit test for the proportional odds regression*  
2 *model*. Stat Med, 2013. **32**(13): p. 2235-49.
- 3 19. Teubner, A., H.L. Tillmann, D. Schuppan, et al., *[Prevalence of circulating autoantibodies in*  
4 *healthy individuals]*. Med Klin (Munich), 2002. **97**(11): p. 645-9.
- 5 20. Guo, Y.P., C.G. Wang, X. Liu, et al., *The prevalence of antinuclear antibodies in the general*  
6 *population of china: a cross-sectional study*. Curr Ther Res Clin Exp, 2014. **76**: p. 116-9.
- 7 21. Nelson, J.E., L. Wilson, E.M. Brunt, et al., *Relationship between the pattern of hepatic iron*  
8 *deposition and histological severity in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease*. Hepatology, 2011. **53**(2):  
9 p. 448-57.
- 10 22. Ikeda, Y., S. Tajima, Y. Izawa-Ishizawa, et al., *Estrogen regulates hepcidin expression via GPR30-*  
11 *BMP6-dependent signaling in hepatocytes*. PLoS One, 2012. **7**(7): p. e40465.
- 12 23. De Valle, M.B., V. Av Klinteberg, N. Alem, R. Olsson, and E. Bjornsson, *Drug-induced liver injury in*  
13 *a Swedish University hospital out-patient hepatology clinic*. Aliment Pharmacol Ther, 2006.  
14 **24**(8): p. 1187-95.
- 15 24. Shiba, Y. and Y. Kanno, *Effects of ATP depletion with DL-ethionine on biliary excretion of*  
16 *indocyanine green in the rat*. Hiroshima J Med Sci, 1990. **39**(1): p. 11-4.
- 17 25. Xu, J.W., J. Gong, X.M. Chang, et al., *Estrogen reduces CCL4- induced liver fibrosis in rats*. World J  
18 Gastroenterol, 2002. **8**(5): p. 883-7.
- 19 26. Zhang, Y., L. Wu, Y. Wang, et al., *Protective role of estrogen-induced miRNA-29 expression in*  
20 *carbon tetrachloride-induced mouse liver injury*. J Biol Chem, 2012. **287**(18): p. 14851-62.
- 21 27. Itagaki, T., I. Shimizu, X. Cheng, et al., *Opposing effects of oestradiol and progesterone on*  
22 *intracellular pathways and activation processes in the oxidative stress induced activation of*  
23 *cultured rat hepatic stellate cells*. Gut, 2005. **54**(12): p. 1782-9.
- 24 28. Yuan, Y., I. Shimizu, M. Shen, et al., *Effects of estradiol and progesterone on the proinflammatory*  
25 *cytokine production by mononuclear cells from patients with chronic hepatitis C*. World J  
26 Gastroenterol, 2008. **14**(14): p. 2200-7.
- 27 29. Chen, M., A. Suzuki, J. Borlak, R.J. Andrade, and M. Isabel Lucena, *Drug-Induced liver injury:*  
28 *interactions between drug properties and host factors*. J Hepatol, 2015.
- 29 30. Haralambieva, I.H., H.M. Salk, N.D. Lambert, et al., *Associations between race, sex and immune*  
30 *response variations to rubella vaccination in two independent cohorts*. Vaccine, 2014. **32**(17): p.  
31 1946-53.

- 1 31. Russo, M.W., J.A. Galanko, R. Shrestha, M.W. Fried, and P. Watkins, *Liver transplantation for*  
2 *acute liver failure from drug induced liver injury in the United States*. *Liver Transpl*, 2004. **10**(8):  
3 p. 1018-23.
- 4 32. Reuben, A., D.G. Koch, W.M. Lee, and Acute Liver Failure Study Group, *Drug-induced acute liver*  
5 *failure: results of a U.S. multicenter, prospective study*. *Hepatology*, 2010. **52**(6): p. 2065-76.
- 6 33. Chalasani, N., H.L. Bonkovsky, R. Fontana, et al., *Features and Outcomes of 899 Patients With*  
7 *Drug-Induced Liver Injury: The DILIN Prospective Study*. *Gastroenterology*, 2015. **148**(7): p. 1340-  
8 52 e7.
- 9

Author Manuscript

**Table 1: Clinical Characteristics of the study population**

Clinical characteristics	Total	Women <50	Women ≥50	Men	p-value
	N=212	N=58	N=67	N=87	
Age, year, mean ±SD	50.1 ±15.7	37.1 ± 9.3	62.4 ± 9.0	49.4 ± 15.9	<0.001
Race					0.077
White, %	77.4	63.8	80.6	83.9	
Black, %	14.6	24.1	11.9	10.3	
Others, %	8.0	12.1	7.5	5.7	
Ethnicity Hispanic, %	10.0	15.5	9.1	6.9	0.242
Biochemical injury type: Hepatocellular injury*, %	50.0	70.7	43.3	41.4	0.002
Liver chemistries at onset, median(25 <sup>th</sup> , 75 <sup>th</sup> )					
ALT, U/L	538 (245, 1268)	770 (267, 1522)	649 (331, 1193)	418 (173, 948)	0.011
AST, U/L	353 (137, 989)	738 (224, 1437)	557 (162, 1092)	205 (86, 553)	<0.001
ALP, U/L	245 (161, 385)	215 (153, 306)	315 (220, 426)	225 (138, 387)	<0.001
Total bilirubin, mg/dL	7.3 (3.8, 11.9)	5.8 (3.3, 10.4)	6.6 (3.4, 11.9)	8.5 (5.0, 12.9)	0.054
INR	1.2 (1.0, 1.5)	1.2 (1.1, 1.6)	1.2 (1.0, 1.7)	1.1 (1.0, 1.3)	0.336
Positive ANA, %	26.7	30.4	38.8	14.5	0.002
Time from DILI onset to Liver biopsy, days	9[5, 15]	7[5, 13]	9[5, 15]	9[4, 15]	0.4671
Primary implicated agents, N **					
Herbs-Dietary Supplements <sup>#</sup>	53	10	11	32	
Amoxicillin/clavulanic acid	26	2	7	17	
Minocycline	8	4	2	2	
Nitrofurantoin	6	1	5	0	
Cefazolin	6	2	3	1	



Ciprofloxacin	5	1	3	1	
Levofloxacin	4	1	1	2	
Allopurinol	4	0	2	2	

\*: R-value >=5. \*\*: Distributions of implicated drugs (>=4) are provided above. #: Of them, eleven cases were caused by anabolic steroids.

**Table 2: Univariate associations of the gender/age group categories with histologic features**

	Total population				Hepatocellular injury				Cholestatic/mixed injury			
	F <50	F >=50	Men		F <50	F >=50	Men		F <50	F >=50	Men	
	N=58	N=67	N=87		N=41	N=29	N=36		N=17	N=38	N=51	
Interface Hepatitis, %				**				*				
Grade 0	3.6	4.5	10.6		0.0	0.0	11.8		11.8	8.1	9.8	
Grade 1	19.6	40.9	35.3		12.8	20.7	20.6		35.3	56.8	45.1	
Grade 2	10.7	16.7	25.9		10.3	13.8	26.5		11.8	18.9	25.5	
Grade 3	26.8	13.6	14.1		30.8	17.2	11.8		17.6	10.8	15.7	
Grade 4	39.3	24.2	14.1		46.2	48.3	29.4		23.5	5.4	3.9	
Noticeable plasma cell infiltration, %	37.5	31.8	11.6	**	41.0	53.6	14.3	*	29.4	15.8	9.8	
Cholestasis, degree, %				**				*				**
Grade 0	48.2	46.3	19.8		53.8	58.6	31.4		35.3	36.8	11.8	
Grade 1	17.9	16.4	14.0		23.1	24.1	22.9		5.9	10.5	7.8	
Grade 2	26.8	20.9	27.9		17.9	10.3	34.3		47.1	28.9	23.5	
Grade 3	7.1	16.4	38.4		5.1	6.9	11.4		11.8	23.7	56.9	
Hepatocellular cholestasis, %	41.1	47.8	72.1	**	30.8	31.0	57.1	*	64.7	60.5	82.4	
Canalicular cholestasis, %	50.0	52.2	74.4	**	43.6	41.4	62.9		64.7	60.5	82.4	
Hepatocyte rosettes (more than rare), %	51.8	30.3	17.6	**	61.5	53.6	38.2		29.4	13.2	3.9	*

Lobular disarray present, %	42.9	24.2	15.1	**	53.8	46.4	34.3		17.6	7.9	2.0	*
Iron-stain-hepatocytes, %				**				*				**
Grade 0	81.5	63.9	35.9		81.6	65.4	46.7		81.3	62.9	29.2	
Grade 1-2	18.5	36.1	64.1		18.4	34.6	53.3		18.8	37.1	70.8	
Apoptosis, %				**								
Grade 0	10.7	17.9	22.4		5.1	6.9	11.8		23.5	26.3	29.4	
Grade 1	33.9	47.8	61.2		28.2	31.0	47.1		47.1	60.5	70.6	
Grade 2	55.4	34.3	16.5		66.7	62.1	41.2		29.4	13.2	0.0	
Lobular inflammation, %								*				
Grade 0	0.0	0.0	1.2		0.0	0.0	2.9					
Grade 1	10.7	10.4	11.6		2.6	10.3	11.4		29.4	10.5	11.8	
Grade 2	5.4	17.9	17.4		2.6	10.3	11.4		11.8	23.7	21.6	
Grade 3	17.9	25.4	23.3		12.8	6.9	17.1		29.4	39.5	27.5	
Grade 4	66.1	46.3	46.5		82.1	72.4	57.1		29.4	26.3	39.2	
Lymphoid aggregates/Germinal centers, %	3.6	12.1	4.7		2.6	25.0	8.6	*	5.9	2.6	2.0	

	Total population				Hepatocellular injury				Cholestatic/mixed injury			
	F <50	F >=50	Men		F <50	F >=50	Men		F <50	F >=50	Men	
	N=58	N=67	N=87		N=41	N=29	N=36		N=17	N=38	N=51	
PAS-positive macrophage, %							*					
Scattered	13.2	21.7	29.7		5.4	28.0	24.1		31.3	17.1	33.3	
Clusters	86.8	78.3	70.3		94.6	72.0	75.9		68.8	82.9	66.7	
Lipogranulomas present,%	5.4	21.2	17.4	*	7.7	3.6	8.6		0.0	34.2	23.5	*
Copper-stained hepatocytes, %												*

Grade 0	92.6	91.9	82.9		89.7	92.6	93.1		100.0	91.4	76.6	
Grade 1	7.4	8.1	15.8		10.3	7.4	6.9		0.0	8.6	21.3	
Grade 2	0.0	0.0	1.3		0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	2.1	

Histologic grades were scored in a standardized manner.<sup>16</sup> \* P<0.05, \*\* P<0.001: for the comparison among gender/age categories (Kruskal-Wallis or Chi-square test). Eosinophil infiltration, neutrophil infiltration, central vein endophlebitis, nodular transformation, confluent necrosis, hepatocyte ballooning, cholangiolar cholestasis, ductular reaction, and sinusoidal reticuloendothelial iron stain were not significantly associated with the gender/age categories in any of the above analyses (data not shown).

**Table 3a: Associations of the gender/age group categories with histologic features using logistic and ordinal logistic regression models**

Histologic features	Model 1				Model 2	
	Men vs. Women<50(ref)		Women>=50 vs. Women<50(ref)		Men vs Women(ref)	
	Odds ratio (95% CI)	P value	Odds ratio (95% CI)	P value	Odds ratio (95% CI)	P value
Interface hepatitis <sup>a*</sup>	0.42 (0.22, 0.81)	0.009	0.51 (0.26, 1.02)	0.055	0.63 (0.37, 1.05)	0.076
Noticeable plasma cell infiltration <sup>b</sup>	0.30 (0.13, 0.74)	0.009	1.15 (0.50, 2.50)	0.792	0.29 (0.13, 0.62)	0.002
Cholestasis degree <sup>b*</sup>	3.63 (1.82, 7.27)	<.001	0.94 (0.46, 1.92)	0.868	3.76 (2.15, 6.57)	<.001
Hepatocellular cholestasis <sup>b</sup>	2.85 (1.33, 6.08)	0.007	0.94 (0.43, 2.04)	0.876	2.95 (1.58, 5.48)	<.001
Hepatocyte rosettes <sup>b</sup>	0.29 (0.12, 0.66)	0.004	0.62 (0.27, 1.43)	0.260	0.37 (0.18, 0.76)	0.007
Canalicular cholestasis <sup>b</sup>	2.36 (1.12, 4.99)	0.025	0.87 (0.41, 1.84)	0.712	2.56 (1.38, 4.73)	0.003
Lobular disarray <sup>b</sup>	0.36 (0.15, 0.87)	0.023	0.69 (0.29, 1.66)	0.407	0.43 (0.20, 0.94)	0.033
Iron Satein-hepatocellular iron <sup>b</sup>	8.07 (3.33, 19.53)	<.001	2.53 (1.02, 6.28)	0.046	4.53 (2.41, 8.54)	<.001

<sup>a</sup>adjusted for liver injury type, black race and age≥70; <sup>b</sup> adjusted for liver injury type, black race.

Model 1 was to assess the associations of histologic features in men and women ≥50 vs. women <50 (reference) while Model 2 was to assess the associations of histologic features in men vs. women (reference). Logistic regression models were used except for the two ordinal variables (\*) where proportional odds

models were used. For the two ordinal variables, proportional odds assumption was met (score tests). The variable of cholestasis degree was analyzed with three ordinal categories of Grade 0, 1-2, and 3 due to better model fitting. The odds ratio presented is either the usual odds ratio or cumulative odds ratio with odds of higher level categories vs. lower level categories. Therefore, an odds ratio of >1 represents an increased likelihood of having a worse outcome.

**Table 3b: Associations of the gender/age group categories with histologic severity of apoptosis using a cumulative logistic regression model**

Apoptosis	Model 1		Model 2
	Men vs. Women<50 COR (95% CI), p value	Women>=50 vs. Women<50 COR (95% CI), p value	Men vs. Women COR (95% CI), p value
Grade 1-2 vs. Grade 0	0.57 (0.19, 1.67), 0.303	0.73 (0.24, 2.20), 0.577	0.70 (0.33, 1.50), 0.359
Grade 2 vs. Grade 0-1	0.25 (0.11, 0.60), 0.002	0.76 (0.33, 1.76), 0.523	0.29 (0.14, 0.62), 0.001

Categories of Apoptosis: Grade 0=none to rare, Grade 1= mild, Grade 2= moderate. COR: cumulative odds ratio. Cumulative logistic regression model was fit to the ordinal variable of apoptosis because the proportional odds assumption was not satisfied. The models were adjusted for biochemical injury type and black race with women of <50 as a reference group (Model 1) or women as a reference group (Model 2). The cumulative odds ratio was computed as an odds of higher level categories vs. lower level categories, therefore, an odds ratio of >1 represents an increased likelihood of having a worse outcome.