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Minimally invasive, injectable bone tissue engineering therapies offer the potential to facilitate orthopedic repair procedures, including in indications where enhanced bone regeneration is needed for complete healing. In this study, we developed a dual-phase tissue construct consisting of osteogenic (Osteo) and vasculogenic (Vasculo) components. A modular tissue engineering approach was used to create collagen/fibrin/hydroxyapatite (COL/FIB/HA) hydrogel microbeads containing embedded human bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells (bmMSC). These microbeads were predifferentiated toward the osteogenic lineage in vitro for 14 days, and they were then embedded within a COL/FIB vasculogenic phase containing a coculture of undifferentiated bmMSC and human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC). In vitro studies demonstrated homogenous dispersion of microbeads within the outer phase, with endothelial network formation around the microbeads over 14 days in the coculture conditions. Subcutaneous injection into immunodeficient mice was used to investigate the ability of dual-phase (Osteo + Vasculo) and control (Osteo, Vasculo, Blank) constructs to form neovasculature and ectopic bone. Laser Doppler imaging demonstrated blood perfusion through all constructs at 1, 4, and 8 weeks postimplantation. Histological quantification of total vessel density showed no significant differences between the conditions. Microcomputed tomography indicated significantly higher ectopic bone volume (BV) in the Osteo condition at 4 weeks. At 8 weeks both the Osteo and Blank groups exhibited higher BV compared to the Vasculo and dual Osteo + Vasculo groups. These data not only show that osteogenic microbeads can be used to induce ectopic bone formation, but also suggest an inhibitory effect on BV when undifferentiated bmMSC and HUVEC were included in dual-phase constructs. This work may lead to improved methods for engineering vascularized bone tissue, and to injectable therapies for the treatment of orthopedic pathologies in which bone regeneration is delayed or prevented.

Introduction

A main goal in musculoskeletal tissue engineering is the regeneration of bone, and in particular to develop methods for improved healing of recalcitrant bone fractures and large defects. The treatment of such conditions is a serious clinical problem, and a significant number of fractures are complicated by delayed and/or incomplete healing. Many bone tissue engineering strategies aim to recapitulate the native structure of bone by combining cells, materials, and signaling molecules in defined architectures. The primary structural matrix of bone consists of a collagenous extracellular matrix mineralized by hydroxyapatite (HA). However, bone tissue also contains a rich vascular supply, which is vital to satisfying its high metabolic demand. Therefore, both the osseous and the vascular components of bone are critical to forming functional tissue, and bone healing requires concomitant development of both components. This need for a blood supply to nourish newly forming bone has motivated a variety of approaches to engineering vascularized bone tissue.

Controlled delivery of multiple growth factors is one strategy to achieve regeneration of vascularized bone tissue, though the results have been mixed. Patel et al. codelivered bone morphogenetic protein-2 (BMP-2) and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) in an orthotopic rat critical size defect model. The dual (BMP-2 + VEGF) group exhibited significantly higher bone volume (BV) percentage as measured by microcomputed tomography (µCT) at 4 weeks compared with the other conditions, but was not statistically different from the other groups at 12 weeks. In later work by the same group, the doses of each of the growth factors were varied in an attempt to reduce BMP-2 concentration while achieving comparable bone formation, however, the codelivery of BMP-2 and VEGF did not result in an increase in bone formation compared to BMP-2 alone. In another
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study, Shah et al. evaluated the use of polyelectrolyte films to control delivery rates of BMP-2 and VEGF and demonstrated enhanced bone formation measured by μCT in the dual delivery group, compared with BMP-2 alone at 9 weeks. Similarly, genetic modification of bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells (bmMSC) for the constitutive delivery of both BMP-2 and VEGF demonstrated enhanced healing in the dual group compared with either growth factor alone. These studies have demonstrated the potential promise of dual growth factor release, but the approach is hampered by the complexity of controlling dosing and obtaining the needed temporal release profile.

Cell-based approaches to vascularized bone tissue engineering also are being pursued. Numerous studies have shown that coculture of endothelial cells and osteogenic cells allows the formation of both vascular networks and mineralized tissue postimplantation. Kaigler et al. implanted poly(lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA) scaffolds containing a coculture of human microvascular endothelial cells (HMVEC) and bmMSC into immunodeficient mice and monitored ectopic bone formation over 8 weeks. Total vasculature was similar in the implants containing both cell types (bmMSC+HMVEC) compared to the bmMSC alone, but bone formation was significantly higher in the dual cell condition compared with bmMSC alone. Usami et al. also demonstrated ectopic bone formation through the cotransplantation of endothelial progenitor cells (EPC) and bmMSC on collagen fiber meshes. Both neovascularature and bone formation were significantly higher in the bmMSC+EPC group at 12 weeks postimplantation.

Orthotopic models have also been used to demonstrate osteogenesis induced by transplant of multiple cell types. Grellier et al. delivered alginate microspheres seeded with human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC) and bmMSC into a femoral defect model in immunocompromised mice. Enhanced bone regeneration was observed in the dual condition (bmMSC+HUVEC) compared to the bmMSC group alone. In an attempt to look at the effects of predifferentiation, Tsigkou et al. first seeded bmMSC on PLGA scaffolds and then cultured the cell-seeded scaffolds for 1 week in osteogenic supplemented medium to induce differentiation. The scaffold was then coated with a collagen/fibronectin hydrogel containing bmMSC and HUVEC and subcutaneously implanted into immunodeficient mice. Both perfused human vasculature and bone formation were observed after 8 weeks postimplantation, suggesting an added benefit to predifferentiating bmMSC prior to implantation in forming vascularized bone tissue.

The goal of this study was to evaluate the effectiveness of a minimally invasive, injectable, dual-phase tissue engineering approach to the regeneration of vascularized bone, as shown schematically in Figure 1. Our laboratory has previously employed modular tissue engineering techniques to fabricate three-dimensional (3D) cell-seeded hydrogel “microbeads” comprised of physiologically relevant proteins and polysaccharides, and has shown that they can be used as microenvironments to support osteogenic differentiation of embedded bmMSC. We have also shown that composite matrices formed from collagen and fibrin (COL/FIB) support the formation of vessel-like structures when seeded with a defined coculture of bmMSC and HUVEC. In this work, we have combined osteogenically differentiated bmMSC-seeded COL/FIB/HA microbeads with a COL/FIB matrix containing both bmMSC and HUVEC. We performed in vitro studies to examine vessel network formation around the microbeads, and in vivo experiments to measure ectopic bone formation in a subcutaneous injection model in immunocompromised mice. This dual-phase

![FIG. 1. Schematic of the design and fabrication of dual-phase engineered tissues. Modular microbeads consisting of bmMSC (green) in a COL/FIB/HA matrix (blue) were fabricated and cultured in osteogenic medium for 14 days. Microbeads were then combined within a surrounding COL/FIB matrix (pink) containing a coculture of HUVEC (yellow) and undifferentiated bmMSC (gray). In vitro studies were performed to investigate endothelial network formation around the osteogenic microbeads. In vivo studies were performed to monitor neovascularization and ectopic bone formation in a subcutaneous model in the mouse. bmMSC, bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells; COL/FIB/HA, collagen/fibrin/hydroxyapatite; HUVEC, human umbilical vein endothelial cells. Color images available online at www.liebertpub.com/tea](https://www.liebertpub.com/tea)
microbead-hydrogel approach is initially targeted at non-load-bearing applications such as tumor resections and other cavitary defects, though it could be combined with mechanical fixation methods for application to load-bearing defects. The development of injectable and modular methods to potentiate the formation of vascularized bone would be an important advance in tissue engineering, and would have an impact on a variety of clinical pathologies in which bone healing is delayed or incomplete.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture

Human bmMSC (Lonza, Inc.) were cultured in alphaminimum essential media (α-MEM; Life Technologies) supplemented with 10% bmMSC-qualified fetal bovine serum (FBS; Life Technologies) and 1% penicillin and streptomycin (Life Technologies). The bmMSC in this study were from a 19-year-old female and were tested for osteogenic capacity in culture prior to use. bmMSC were used between passages 6–8 with media changes every other day. HUVEC were isolated from umbilical cords as previously described. HUVEC were cultured in endothelial growth media-2 (EGM-2; Lonza, Inc.) and used between passages 6–8 with media changes every other day. Acellular microbeads were stained with EZBlue Coomasie reagent and visualized with a light microscope (Olympus America). Acellular microbeads were also labeled with 5 μg/mL FITC-fibrinogen (Life Technologies) at the time of microbead fabrication to visualize dispersion within COL/FIB hydrogels.

Osteogenic differentiation of microbeads

Cell-seeded microbeads were induced to differentiate toward the osteogenic lineage by culturing the microbeads for 14 days in α-MEM supplemented with 2.0 mg/mL ACA, 50 μg/mL ascorbic acid 2-phosphate (Sigma), 10 mM β-glycerophosphate (Sigma), and 100 nM dexamethasone (Sigma). Microbeads were collected by centrifugation at 200 g for 5 min prior to the media change.

Fabrication of vasculogenic matrix

Composite COL/FIB hydrogels were fabricated as previously described to serve as a vasculogenic surrounding matrix for the microbeads. Both cell-seeded and acellular microbeads were encapsulated directly into the vasculogenic gel mixture at a ratio of 1:1 microbead-hydrogel mixture by centrifuging the microbeads for 5 min at 200 g and then removing the excess media. COL and FIB (total protein concentration of 2.5 mg/mL and a mass ratio of 40/60) were then added at 4°C to 2% bovine thrombin (1 U/mL), 5% 0.1 N NaOH, 10% 5×-concentrated DMEM, 10% FBS, and serum-free EGM-2 to bring the final volume to 100%. The mixture was then transferred into a 24-well plate and allowed to gel for 30 min. Four conditions were used throughout the study as listed in Table 1. For bmMSC-HUVEC coculture conditions, both cell types were added into the gel mixture at 300,000 cells/mL (600,000 total cells/mL) at a 1:1 cell ratio. EGM-2 was added on top of the gels and cell-seeded gels were cultured at 37°C and 5% CO2. Media was changed every other day. A summary of the in vitro cell concentrations and conditions is shown in Table 1.

Immunofluorescent staining

At days 7 and 14, cell-seeded microbeads and hydrogels were washed twice in PBS for 5 min/wash and then fixed in zinc-buffered formalin (Z-Fix; Anatech) for 10 min at 4°C. After two subsequent washes in PBS, embedded cells were labeled with 5 μg/mL FITC-fibrinogen (Life Technologies) at the time of microbead fabrication to visualize dispersion within COL/FIB hydrogels.

Table 1. Explanation of Microbead and Hydrogel Composition Used for In Vitro Studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COL/FIB/HA microbeads</th>
<th>COL/FIB hydrogel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blank</td>
<td>Acellular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vasoculo</td>
<td>Acellular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteo</td>
<td>1 × 10⁶ bmMSC/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteo + Vasoculo</td>
<td>1 × 10⁶ bmMSC/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 × 10⁵ bmMSC/mL + 3 × 10⁵ HUVEC/mL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

bmMSC, bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells; COL/FIB/HA, collagen/fibrin/hydroxyapatite; HUVEC, human umbilical vein endothelial cells.
permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 (Sigma) in PBS for 20 min at room temperature. Gels were then washed again twice in PBS for 5 min/wash, and the appropriate stain was added at room temperature. Stains were added to 1% bovine serum albumin (Sigma) in PBS at the appropriate concentration: 165 nM AlexaFluor 488 phalloidin (Life Technologies), 20 μg/mL rhodamine labeled Ulex Europaeus Agglutinin I (UEA-1; Vector Laboratories), and 10 nM fluorescent DAPI (Life Technologies). Gels were then washed twice in PBS prior to imaging on a confocal microscope (Nikon Instruments, Inc.).

Implantation into subcutaneous site in the mouse

All animal experiments were performed in accordance with the National Institutes of Health Guidelines and by following a protocol approved by the University of Michigan’s Committee on Use and Care of Animals. Male C.B.-17/SCID mice (Taconic Labs) were anesthetized via intraperitoneal injection of a drug cocktail containing ketamine (95 mg/kg; Fort Dodge Animal Health), buprenorphine (0.059 mg/kg; Bedford Laboratories), and xylazine (9.5 mg/kg; Lloyd Laboratories). The injection site on the dorsal surface of the mouse was then shaved and sterilized with betadine (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and alcohol prior to injection. Microbeads were added into the hydrogel mixture at a ratio of 1:1 microbead-hydrogel and the total cell concentration was increased relative to the in vitro studies to 10.0 × 10⁶ cells/mL for the bmMSC-HUVEC coculture conditions (Osteo + Vasculo and Vasculo). A higher cell concentration was used in this phase of the study to be comparable to previous in vivo studies that have demonstrated the presence of transplanted perfused human vasculature.22,23

Table 2 shows a summary of the cell concentrations used with each condition. The external hydrogel carrier was used for all samples. In the Vasculo and Osteo + Vasculo conditions the external hydrogels contained bmMSC and HUVEC, while in the Blank and Osteo conditions the external hydrogel was acellular. Two microbead-hydrogel implants per animal were subcutaneously injected in the dorsal region. The final volume of each sample was 300 μL. Mice were kept stationary for 2 min after injection to allow full gelation of the hydrogel matrix, and they were then placed in fresh cages. Implanted samples were visible and palpable immediately after injection, and remained in place over the 8-week study period.

Laser Doppler perfusion imaging

Blood flow through the implant was imaged noninvasively using laser Doppler perfusion imaging (LDPI; Perimed AB).22 After sedation as previously described, mice were imaged in triplicate. A region of interest was drawn over the implant area and the mean perfusion through each implant was calculated. Results are represented as fold change from perfusion values before implantation (baseline).

μCT imaging

Ectopic bone formation was measured using μCT imaging (μCT100 Scanco Medical). Explanted tissues were first embedded in 1% agarose and placed in a 34 mm diameter tube and scanned over the entire length of the specimen. Scan settings were set to voxel size 15 μm, 55 kVp, 109 μA, 0.5 mm AL filter, and integration time 500 ms. The threshold used to identify bone was uniformly set at 16% (160 on a grayscale of 0–1000), based on a baseline value obtained from HA mineral placed directly on the scanner. Therefore, all minerals that were observed arose through ectopic mineralization. The volume of tissue volume included in the analysis comprised the entirety of the excised implant including the outer COL/FIB hydrogel. Analysis of the BV (mm³) and tissue mineral density (TMD; mg/cm³) of each sample was performed using the manufacturer’s software.

Histology

Harvested samples were paraffin embedded, sectioned, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin and von Kossa at the Histology Core Facility at the University of Michigan Dental School. Human cell staining was performed using a human UEA-1 staining kit per manufacturer’s instructions (Vector Laboratories). Total vessel density was quantified by manually quantifying representative images of each sample in five distinct areas of the implant. Vessels were defined as structures with lumens containing erythrocytes.

Statistical analysis

All values are presented as mean ± standard deviation. n = 5 samples for in vivo samples. Statistical significance was set to p < 0.05 and was determined by a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) test with a protected Fisher’s least significant difference test.

Results

Dispersion of microbeads within COL/FIB hydrogels

Figure 2 shows acellular COL/FIB/HA microbeads in suspension (Fig. 2A), and fluorescently labeled acellular COL/FIB/HA microbeads dispersed within COL/FIB hydrogels (Fig. 2B, C). Microbeads exhibited a generally spheroidal morphology immediately after fabrication, and retained their shape over the 2-week culture period. The average microbead diameter was approximately 130 μm, and the large majority of microbeads were in the range of 50–250 μm in diameter. Mild aggregation of microbeads

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COL/FIB/HA microbeads</th>
<th>COL/FIB hydrogel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blank</td>
<td>Acellular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vasculo</td>
<td>Acellular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteo</td>
<td>1 × 10⁶ bmMSC/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteo + Vasculo</td>
<td>1 × 10⁶ bmMSC/mL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
occurred over time when cultured as high density populations; however, microbeads were easily dispersed by gentle pipetting. When embedded in a surrounding COL/FIB hydrogel, microbeads were uniformly distributed within the gel volume (Fig. 2B) and did not aggregate. A 3D reconstruction of the corresponding Z-stack also showed even distribution of microbeads in the Z-axis (Fig. 2C).

**Formation of endothelial networks around microbeads in vitro**

The generation of vessel-like structures at day 14 around COL/FIB/HA microbeads embedded in COL/FIB hydrogels is shown in Figure 3. The locations of microbeads are indicated by “MB” in the image. UEA-1, a human endothelial cell marker, was used to stain vessel networks. Phalloidin served to label the actin cytoskeleton of both the bmMSC and HUVEC, and DAPI showed the nuclei of all cells. Both the Vasculo and Osteo + Vasculo conditions treatments showed clear evidence of the formation of vessel-like structures after 2 weeks in culture, as evidenced by positive UEA-1 staining of cell networks. Colocalization of bmMSC and HUVEC was observed in both of these conditions (positive staining for actin and UEA-1 indicates HUVEC, whereas positive actin stain not associated with UEA-1 indicates bmMSC). The colocalization of the two cell types in vitro suggests peri-endothelial interaction between the two cell types in the composite hydrogel. Neither the Blank

---

**FIG. 2.** Light micrograph of (A) acellular COL/FIB/HA microbeads, and (B) FITC-labeled COL/FIB/HA microbeads dispersed in a COL/FIB hydrogel. (C) A 3D reconstruction of the dispersed microbeads. 3D, three-dimensional. Color images available online at www.liebertpub.com/tea

**FIG. 3.** Vessel-like structure formation around microbeads in vitro. Table 1 explains the sample composition. The Blank condition consisted of acellular microbeads embedded in an acellular hydrogel. Vasculo samples consisted of acellular microbeads in a hydrogel containing bmMSC and HUVEC. The Osteo condition consisted of osteogenically differentiated bmMSC-seeded microbeads embedded in an acellular hydrogel. The Osteo + Vasculo condition consisted of osteogenically differentiated bmMSC-seeded microbeads embedded in a hydrogel containing bmMSC and HUVEC. Green labeling (phalloidin) shows the actin cytoskeleton of all cells. Red labeling (UEA-1) is specific for endothelial cells. Blue labeling (DAPI) shows the nuclei of all cells. “MB” indicates the location of microbeads. Scale bar = 50 μm. UEA-1, Ulex Europaeus Agglutinin I. Color images available online at www.liebertpub.com/tea
(acellular) nor the Osteo condition exhibited positive UEA-1 staining or vessel-like structures.

**Neovascularization of osteogenic-vasculogenic constructs in vivo**

Subcutaneous injection of osteogenic microbeads suspended in vasculogenic matrix was used to evaluate the degree of both neovascularization and ectopic bone formation generated by implanted hydrogels. LDPI provided noninvasive measurements of blood flow throughout the implant over the 8-week time course (Fig. 4). A baseline of vascular perfusion was taken before implantation and used to normalize all values at all time points. Perfusion was significantly higher \((p < 0.05)\) in all conditions at both 1 and 4 weeks post-implantation, compared with the preimplant baseline. At 8 weeks postimplantation, perfusion values in all conditions except the Vasculo samples remained significantly higher than the baseline, indicating constant perfusion through the implant site. There were no significant differences in perfusion between any of the conditions at any of the time points, except between the Vasculo and Osteo conditions at 8 weeks.

Histological analysis of explanted tissues was also used to examine the degree of vascularization and neovessel formation. Vessel density was quantified at both 4 and 8 weeks and showed no significant differences between any of the conditions at either time point (Fig. 5). The transplantation of bmMSC-HUVEC cocultures did not enhance the degree of vascularization compared to the control Blank (acellular) condition or to the Osteo alone group. Further, there was little evidence of positive staining for human UEA-1 in the Osteo + Vasculo and the Vasculo conditions at 4 weeks (Fig. 6). Degradation of the microbead and hydrogel matrix was not specifically measured in this study, due to the difficulty in positively identifying the originally implanted materials in the histological samples. However, the implants were visible and palpable throughout the implantation period. Histology indicated infiltration of the implant sites by host cells, and the early stages of matrix turnover, concomitant with vascularization and mineralization.

**Ectopic bone formation**

μCT was used as a method to nondestructively image and quantify BV and TMD of explanted tissues (Fig. 7). At 4
weeks, there were no significant differences between the BV of the Blank, Vasculo, or Osteo+Vasculo conditions. However, the BV of the Osteo alone group was significantly higher compared with all other groups. At 8 weeks, both the Blank and Osteo conditions had significantly higher BV compared with the Vasculo and Osteo+Vasculo groups. Evaluation of TMD showed no differences across treatments at the 4-week time point. At the 8-week time point the Blank treatment showed significantly higher TMD compared with the Vasculo or Osteo conditions. Histological analysis and von Kossa staining confirmed the μCT data (Fig. 8), and showed the same trends. Positive mineral staining was evenly distributed throughout the implanted structures in amounts that correlated with the BV calculations.

Discussion

The goal of this study was to evaluate a dual-phase microbead-hydrogel system for promoting bone formation. The osteogenic microbead phase consisted of predifferentiated bmMSC that were embedded in microscale (50–250 μm diameter) COL/FIB/HA modules. The microbeads in turn were distributed within a 3D vasculogenic matrix consisting of a COL/FIB hydrogel containing a bmMSC-HUVEC coculture. Our previous work has demonstrated that microbeads support osteogenic differentiation of bmMSC,19 and that populations of microbeads can be collected and injected through a needle without the loss of cell viability.18 Therefore, microbeads can be maintained in culture and exposed to desired differentiation conditions, and they can subsequently be collected and implanted without the need to disrupt the cellular microenvironment. Microbeads also can be combined with other types of biomaterials to create multiphase constructs.24 In this work, we homogeneously dispersed osteogenically predifferentiated microbeads within a COL/FIB composite hydrogel containing
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**FIG. 6.** Staining with human UEA-1 and H&E at 4 weeks. Arrows indicate corresponding vasculature in the UEA-1 and H&E slides. Scale bar = 200 μm. H&E, hematoxylin and eosin. Color images available online at www.liebertpub.com/tea

**FIG. 7.** Microcomputed tomography analysis of ectopic bone formation. Images show (A) representative 3D volumetric images of newly formed bone. Graphs show quantification of (B) total bone volume and (C) tissue mineral density. *Statistically significant versus Blank. †Statistically significant versus Vasculo. ‡Statistically significant versus Osteo. §Statistically significant versus Osteo + Vasculo.
support that osteogenic microbeads could be used in combination with a vasculogenic outer matrix, without impeding vascular network formation.

We then progressed to a subcutaneous implant model in the mouse to examine bone and blood vessel formation by both mono- and dual-phase constructs. The bmMSC in the osteogenic microbead component were predifferentiated for 2 weeks prior to being combined with an outer hydrogel containing a combination of undifferentiated bmMSC and HUVEC immediately prior to injection. Previous studies have shown that cotransplantation of undifferentiated bmMSC and HUVEC leads to the generation of vascular structures containing human cells in this animal model at 1 and 2 weeks. In these studies, MSC were shown to act as pericytes in the neovascularization process and their presence was associated with a more mature and stable vasculature. The subcutaneous location of the implants used in this study allowed angiogenesis from surrounding fat and muscle tissue, thereby generating perfusion of the implant site. The animal model supported the implantation of two constructs per animal, and therefore facilitated contralateral comparisons in this proof-of-concept study.

Noninvasive Doppler imaging showed consistent, above-baseline levels of construct perfusion regardless of the treatment condition, with the exception of the purely vasculogenic condition at 8 weeks, which showed a significant decline in perfusion. However, quantification of neovascularization using histology showed no statistically significant differences between any of the conditions at either time point. These data suggest that while the constructs were well perfused, the inclusion of endothelial cells did not improve vascularization of the implant site. Human endothelial cells were implanted in this study, however, we observed little evidence of human UEA-1 staining in the explanted tissues at 4 or 8 weeks. Previous studies have shown that transplanted human cells are present 1 week after implantation, suggesting that transplanted cells participate in generating perfusion, but that they are replaced by host cells over time. The microbeads used in this study also contained exogenous HA, the primary mineral component of bone, which has been shown to have an osteoinductive effect on bmMSC. In addition, HA has shown the ability to promote sprouting of HUVEC, and to induce the secretion of the angiogenic growth factor VEGF when presented to bmMSC. The dissolution of HA was not monitored in this study, but the HA contained within or released from the microbeads may have provided an angiogenic signal for the host vasculature to infiltrate the implant and support bone formation. In this case, the exogenously supplied HUVEC may not have been needed to achieve perfusion of the implants.

Previous studies using a variety of experimental systems have shown that the combination of endothelial cells with MSC can potentiate bone formation. In vitro studies have confirmed that coculture of these cell types can achieve reciprocal beneficial effects: endothelial cells can secrete BMP-2 to serve as osteogenic signal for MSC, which can conversely release VEGF to provide an angiogenic signal for endothelial cells. Several studies have shown comparable or increased bone formation with the transplantation of both cell types in orthotopic bone regeneration models. Recent studies using ectopic models have yielded either increased or equivalent bone formation in

FIG. 8. von Kossa staining of distributed mineral in implants at 4 and 8 weeks. Black staining indicates mineralization. Scale bar = 1 mm. Color images available online at www.liebertpub.com/tea
the dual cell condition, compared to the osteogenic cell condition alone. In this study, addition of undifferentiated bmMSC in combination with HUVEC exhibited a marked inhibitory effect on ectopic bone formation by osteogenically differentiated microbeads in vivo. While the mechanism of action is not evident from these data, the presence of undifferentiated bmMSC in the dual-phase condition may have resulted in paracrine signals that inhibited bone formation. The results of our study were clear, but it did not support our expectation that a dual-phase osteogenic-vasculogenic tissue construct would enhance bone formation. The complexity of the in vivo environment makes it difficult to draw strong mechanistic conclusions from this study, however, modular microbeads represent a leading-edge approach that combine 3D protein biomaterials and multiple cells or cell types for multiphasic tissue regeneration, and the data we have presented can guide the work of other groups developing similar approaches. Additional experiments will help illuminate the complex interplay between vasculogenesis and osteogenesis in the implant environment. In addition, we note that this study used commercially sourced bmMSC because they are well characterized for identity and function, however, our findings need to be validated across a larger pool of progenitor cell sources to make the results more generally applicable.

The choice of a subcutaneous ectopic model to examine proof-of-concept of our dual-phase approach is also relevant to interpretation of the results, since it is possible that in this model exogenous cells are not required to achieve neovascularization and mineralization of the implant. Notably, the Blank (acellular) micro bead-hydrogel samples resulted in a similar degree of bone formation as the Osteo group, suggesting that the matrix itself may be osteoinductive in this model, through the recruitment of endogenous cells. Therefore, an orthotopic model, in which angiogenesis and cellular recruitment from surrounding tissue is reduced, may be more appropriate for demonstrating the potential of the dual-phase tissue approach. In particular, a dual-phase engineered tissue may be of most value in ischemic bone wounds, in which the host tissue does not have the ability to regenerate the vasculature needed to support bone regeneration. While it is difficult to create a reliable small animal model of ischemic bone repair, our approach may show benefit in larger segmental defects, which typically result in nonunion unless a therapeutic intervention is applied, and where concomitant regeneration of a vasculature is likely to be more important for bone formation. Recent studies have suggested that the processes of neovascularization and bone formation are coupled, though the relationships are not fully understood.41

In summary, this study has demonstrated the use of an injectable modular approach that applies COL/PIB/HA microbeads for orthopedic applications. The microbead phase generated robust bone formation in an ectopic model. Contrary to our expectation, the addition of undifferentiated bmMSC and HUVEC as part of the vasculogenic phase had an inhibitory effect on bone formation in this model. The mechanism of inhibition is unclear, but may be related to the presence of undifferentiated bmMSC in proximity to the osteogenic phase. Testing of this approach in a nonunion or ischemic model may illuminate whether endothelial cells and/or bmMSC can potentiate bone healing in recalcitrant wounds. This work provides insight into bone formation by implanted bmMSC, and IT may lead to improved methods for engineering vascularized bone tissue. The microbead-hydrogel approach may have utility in treating large cavitary defects such as tumor resections and avascular necrosis, where transplantation of cells may be needed to fully regenerate lost tissue. Such injectable cell-based therapies would aid in the treatment of a variety of orthopedic pathologies in which bone regeneration is delayed or prevented.
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