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#### Abstract

The chemical stability and compatibility of imipenem-cilastatin sodium (Primaxin) in two different total parenteral nutrient (TPN) solutions was determined. TPN solutions consisted of $4.25 \%$ and $5 \%$ amino acids with $25 \%$ and $35 \%$ dextrose, respectively.

Imipenem-cilastatin sodium was constituted with 10 ml of sterile water and admixed with 90 ml of TPN solution for a final concentration of $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ of each drug. The final solutions were assayed at times 0 (immediately after admixture), 15 min , $30 \mathrm{~min}, 1,4,8$, and 24 hr by a stability-indicating highperformance liquid chromatographic assay. Concurrently, test TPN solutions were monitored for pH changes, color changes, and precipitate formation. The potential effect of imipenemcilastatin sodium on the stability of amino acids and other


TPN additives was not evaluated.
Imipenem and cilastatin sodium was stable ( $\geq 90 \%$ recovered) in each TPN solution at 15 min . A significant ( $\geq 10 \%$ ) and steady decrease of imipenem recovery occurred at subsequent sampling times. Cilastatin appeared more stable than imipenem in both TPN solutions. A physical color change from colorless to dark orange appeared in each TPN solution over the 24 -hr study period.

Imipenem-cilastatin sodium is stable for 15 min in the TPN solutions studied; however, until the stability of the amino acids can be determined, the antibiotic should be administered through a separate line or Y -site while the TPN infusion is interrupted. (Journal of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition 14:306-309, 1990)

Imipenem-cilastatin sodium (Primaxin) is a broad spectrum intravenous antimicrobial product. Imipenem is a beta-lactam antibiotic of a N -formimidoyl derivative of thienamycin. Cilastatin sodium is a competitive inhibitor of a renal hydrolysis enzyme, dehydropeptidase I. ${ }^{1}$ Cilastatin sodium, having no intrinsic antimicrobial activity, retards the renal metabolism of imipenem. ${ }^{2}$ Imipenem is effective against aerobic and anaerobic Grampositive, Gram-negative, and beta-lactamase producing organisms. ${ }^{3-6}$

Imipenem-cilastatin sodium, being a broad spectrum antibiotic, is commonly used in the multiinfected septic patient. The septic patient is occasionally a candidate for total parenteral nutrient (TPN) solution due to the acute stress of sepsis. Complications of sepsis include gastrointestinal ileus, increased caloric requirements and decreased consciousness which result in inadequate oral nutritional intake. Therefore, TPN solution administration may be required for nutritional support. TPN solution administration has been shown to be effective for nutritional support in inpatient and outpatient settings. ${ }^{79}$

In the critical care patient it would be useful to infuse imipenem-cilastatin sodium into TPN solution at a Ysite for various reasons:

1. These patients may have limited access sites for drug administration especially when other intravenous fluids are being administered concomitantly.
2. Y-site administration would reduce the number of direct intravenous lines into the patient, resulting in decreased line and site maintenance by nursing staff.
[^0]Since imipenem-cilastatin sodium is a new antimicrobial agent, the stability and compatibility have not been determined in TPN solution. Merck, Sharp and Dohme, the manufacturer of Primaxin, states that imipenemcilastatin remains potent for 4 hr at room temperature in various intravenous solutions. ${ }^{10}$ Recently, Bigley et $\mathrm{al}^{11}$ examined the stability and compatibility of imipe-nem-cilastatin sodium (Primaxin) in seventeen intravenous solutions. ${ }^{11}$ Results indicate that Primaxin is most stable in $0.9 \%$ sodium chloride and sterile water for injection. Stability and compatibility of imipenem-cilastatin sodium with TPN formulations have not been established. Therefore, the objective of this study was to determine the chemical stability and compatibility of imipenem-cilastatin sodium in two different TPN solutions by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC).

## METHODS

## Experimental Design

Two aseptically prepared TPN solutions were used to evaluate imipenem-cilastatin sodium stability and compatibility (Table I). All procedures were carried out under continuous fluorescent room lighting at room temperature $\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.

Primaxin 500 mg (Merck, Sharp and Dohme, West Point, PA, Lot 0422 N ) ( 500 mg of imipenem anhydrous/ 500 mg cilastatin) was aseptically constituted with 10 ml of sterile water and then admixed with 90 ml of TPN solution in 150 ml evacuated infusion bottles, resulting in a $5-\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ mixture of imipenem and cilastatin. The procedure was repeated in duplicate for TPN solutions 1 and 2.

Each test TPN mixture was aseptically sampled at time 0 (immediately after admixture), $15 \mathrm{~min}, 30 \mathrm{~min}, 1$, 4, 8, and 24 hr . Each sample was assayed in duplicate using HPLC.

## Assay Procedure

Imipenem and cilastatin concentrations were measured by HPLC in a manner similar to that described by Bigley et al. ${ }^{11}$ Chemical analysis by HPLC was performed because it is sensitive and specific for molecular stability. HPLC determination is superior to visual and microbiological studies since there is a lack of correlation between these techniques and chemical stability. ${ }^{12}$ The stability of amino acids or other TPN additives following addition of the imipenem-cilastatin sodium was not evaluated.

Samples were diluted 1:100 with the aqueous mobile phase $(0.004 \mathrm{M} 3-[\mathrm{N}$-morpholino]propanesulfonic acid (MOPS) buffer with sodium hexane sulfate $2 \mathrm{~g} /$ liter plus 4 ml of acetonitrile and 5 ml of methanol added to each liter). The mobile phase was adjusted to pH 7.00 with sodium hydroxide. Thirty microliters from each diluted

Table I
Composition of TPN solutions

| Component | Amount per liter of TPN <br> solution |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Solution 1 | Solution 2 |
| Amino Acids (g)* | 42.5 | 50 |
| Dextrose (g) | 250 | 350 |
| Calcium (mEq) | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Magnesium (mEq) | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Potassium (mEq) | 40.0 | 40.0 |
| Sodium (mEq) | 35.0 | 35.0 |
| Acetate (mEq) | 74.5 | 74.5 |
| Chloride (mEq) | 52.5 | 52.5 |
| Phosphorus (mM) | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| Heparin (units) | 1000 | 1000 |

* Aminosyn, Abbott Laboratories, North Chicago, IL, lot 02-503-DM-03.
sample was injected through a Rheodyne injector 125 valve (Rheodyne injector 125 valve. Rheodyne Inc. Cotati. CA) $100-\mu \mathrm{L}$ loop onto a Hewlett-Packard RP- 8 $(20 \mathrm{~cm} \times 0.46 \mathrm{~cm}$ internal diameter) column (HewlettPackard, Abondale. PA). A Waters model fomod solvent delivery system (Waters Associates. Milturd. PA) and a Lamda Max 481 CV detector (Lamda Max 481 L'V detector, Waters Associates) were used. Peak areas were measured automatically by a Hewlett-Packard model 3390A integrator. HPLC assay specifications were as follows: absorbance wavelength 250 nm . ALFS 0.1, response time 0.1 sec . attenuation 4, chart speed $0.3 \mathrm{~cm} /$ min , and flow rate $1.8 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$. The retention time was 5.5 and 8.8 min for imipenem and cilastatin, renpectively. All solvents and water were HPLC grade.


## Stability-Indicating Procedures

The HPLC assay used to determine imipenem and cilastatin concentrations was stability indicating. A standard of $1.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ (drugs $/$ water) was prepared for imipenem-cilastatin sodium. This standard was divided into three portions and stressed in one of three ways: the pH of the standard was adjusted to 1.8 with phosphoric acid, the standard solution was evaporated on a hot plate and allowed to dry at excessive heat before reconstitution to the exact initial volume with water, or the standard was heated on a boiling water bath for fifteen minutes. A standard ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) was prepared from each of the three stressed standards and analyzed by HPLC. Resulting chromatographs were compared with those from freshly prepared standards. The assay proved to be stability indicating; no drug decomposition product interfered with the response of the intact drug under the stressed conditions described above (Fig. 1).

## Assay Validation

A standard solution ( $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) of Primaxin in mobile phase was prepared on three separate days. A standard


Fig. 1. Chromatographs of TPN solution $1(1: 100)$ in mobile phase (A); Imipenem $150 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) and cilastatin ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ (ml) in TPN solution 1 at time zero ( $B$ ): Imipenem ( $43 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) and cilastatin ( $43 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) after 15 min in a boiling water bath ( $C$ ), at pH 1.8 ( $D$ ). and after being heat dried and reconstituted $(E)$. In chromatographs $B . C, D$. and $E$. peaks for imipenem and cilastatin are marked $i$ and $c$, respectively. All other peaks in chromatographs $C, D$, and $E$ are degradation products.
curve was performed in duplicate on each day over a concentration range of 0 to $86.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ of imipenem and cilastatin. The slope of each curve was calculated by linear least-squares regression analysis. The six standard curves were reproducible (imipenem slope $=7.30 \pm 0.20$; cilastatin slope $=7.28 \pm 0.48$ ) and linear (imipenem $r^{2}$ $=0.9991 \pm 0.0004 ;$ cilastatin $\left.\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.9991 \pm 0.0003\right)$. Based on the slope of each standard curve, a calculated concentration was computed for each peak area. The percent deviation for each calculated concentration was computed as follows:

## calculated concentration - actual concentration calculated concentration $\times 100 \%$

The average percent deviation of the calculated concentrations for imipenem and cilastatin standard curves was $2.15 \% \pm 2.93 \%$ and $2.15 \% \pm 2.83 \%$, respectively.

## pH Measurement and Solution Color

Each test TPN solution was analyzed for pH and physical changes at each sampling time. An electrode Corning 135 pH meter was used. At each sampling time an additional $3-\mathrm{ml}$ aliquot was removed and analyzed for pH changes. Concomitantly, visual inspection for color and/or precipitate formation was recorded.

## Data Analysis

Imipenem and cilastatin concentration and percent recovery for each sample were calculated. Sample concentrations were calculated from a line generated by least squares regression from the average of the six standard curves according to the following equation: $y=m x+b$. Drug recovery at time 0 was considered to be $100 \%$; all other values were determined from this point. The percent recovery mean and standard deviation (unbiased) were calculated for each sampling time. A change of potency of more than $10 \%$ was considered to be significant; less than $90 \%$ recovery was considered to indicate instability. ${ }^{11,13}$

## RESULTS

Drug recovery from all samples analyzed is presented in Table II. Imipenem ( $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) was stable ( $\geq 90 \%$ recovered) in TPN solutions 1 and 2 at 15 min . Imipenem had significant ( $\geq 10 \%$ ) degradation in both TPN solutions at 1 hr . In TPN solution 2, imipenem was completely degraded at 24 hr . Cilastatin was stable in TPN solutions 1 and 2 at 30 min , and was generally more
stable than imipenem over time. Color observations and pH measurements of all solutions are presented in Table III. A gradual color change from colorless to dark orange was observed over 24 hr in both TPN solutions. There were no significant pH changes and no detectable precipitate during the study period.

## DISCUSSION

Establishment of an intravenous product's stability with various intravenous solutions allows greater flexibility and convenience for administration. Generally, antibiotics and TPN solutions should be administered through separate intravenous lines. ${ }^{14}$ However, in certain clinical situations, it would be advantageous to mix the antibiotic with TPN solution or to administer them at a Y-site with TPN solution.

This study demonstrated that imipenem-cilastatin sodium at a concentration of $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ was stable in TPN solutions 1 and 2 for 15 min (Table III). At 30 min imipenem-cilastatin sodium remained stable in TPN solution 2 , but not in solution 1 , and at 1 hr it was not stable in either solution. Therefore, imipenem-cilastatin sodium stability with the TPN solutions could not be established after 15 to 30 min . Regardless of whether stability is established at 15 or 30 min , direct admixture of imipenem-cilastatin in a TPN bottle is not practical. However, in clinical practice actual contact time between imipenem-cilastatin sodium and TPN solution during administration via a Y-site would be less than 30 min .

Gradual color change in both TPN solutions seemed to be correlated with the degradation of imipenem and/ or cilastatin. Since both drug components were in solution together, the absolute relationship between degradation of imipenem or cilastatin and the evolution of color was indistinguishable. However, the color change

Table III
pH and color changes*

| Solution $\dagger$ | Before admixture | Sampling time |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 hr | 15 min | 30 min | 1 hr | 4 hr | 8 hr | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & \mathrm{hr} \end{aligned}$ |
| Solution 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pH | 5.52 | 5.66 | 5.66 | 5.65 | 5.64 | 5.55 | 5.47 | 5.40 |
| color | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.5 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| Solution 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pH | 5.44 | 5.56 | 5.56 | 5.55 | 5.54 | 5.47 | 5.40 | 5.36 |
| color | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1.5 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

* Color was rated on a scale: 0 (colorless), 1 (pale yellow), 2 (yellow), 3 (dark yellow), 4 (orange), 5 (dark orange).
$\dagger$ See Table I for composition of solutions 1 and 2.

Table II
Stability of imipenem-cilastatin in two TPN solutions*

| Drug | $0 \mathrm{hr}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml})$ | \% Initial concentration of drug remaining (mean $\pm$ SD) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 15 min | 30 min | 1 hr | 4 hr | 8 hr | 24 hr |
| Imipenem ( $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Solution 1 | $6.1 \pm 0.2$ | $95 \pm 4.0$ | $89 \pm 5.6$ | $86 \pm 3.8$ | $61 \pm 3.3$ | $43 \pm 3.5$ | $3 \pm 0.3$ |
| Solution 2 | $5.8 \pm 0.1$ | $93 \pm 3.6$ | $92 \pm 2.3$ | $86 \pm 4.7$ | $59 \pm 4.1$ | $31 \pm 0.8$ | 0 |
| Cilastatin ( $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Solution 1 | $5.8 \pm 0.1$ | $97 \pm 6.4$ | $96 \pm 4.0$ | $88 \pm 2.6$ | $81 \pm 2.6$ | $74 \pm 4.2$ | $72 \pm 1.5$ |
| Solution 2 | $4.8 \pm 0.5$ | $92 \pm 2.7$ | $95 \pm 1.1$ | $96 \pm 0.3$ | $89 \pm 3.8$ | $84 \pm 2.1$ | $66 \pm 1.8$ |

[^1]appeared to be more closely related to the degradation of imipenem, since the color intensified as imipenem continued to degrade while cilastatin remained relatively intact. In addition, HPLC detection of degradation products appeared to be inversely proportional to decreased imipenem detection and directly proportional to color change.

## CONCLUSION

Imipenem-cilastatin sodium is stable for 15 min in the TPN solutions studied. However, until the stability of the amino acids can be determined, imipenem-cilastatin sodium should be administered according to the manufacturer's recommendations through a separate intravenous line or if necessary, at the TPN line Y-site while the TPN infusion is temporarily interrupted.
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