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ABSTRACT

Style and stigma elongation and stigma unfolding, and the roles of plant hormones in these processes in \textit{Gaillardia grandiflora} Van Houtte were investigated. Style and stigma elongation in vivo began just after anthesis, and style elongation was accompanied by epidermal cell elongation (greatest near the stigma) and a fresh weight increase, but not by cell division or a dry weight increase. The stigma unfolded after the style and stigma elongated. Style-stigma units excised from young disc flowers of this composite were measured as they responded to plant growth regulators applied singly, as well as in sequential and simultaneous combinations, in vitro. Style elongation was promoted by auxin, was inhibited by gibberellins and ethylene, and was unaffected by other growth regulators. Stigma elongation followed a similar pattern of response. Endogenous auxin levels and ethylene production showed parallel variation and endogenous gibberellin levels showed inverse variation with style and stigma elongation. Stigma unfolding was more sensitive to auxin applications and was promoted by applied ethylene. Ethylene production showed parallel variation and endogenous auxin levels showed inverse variation with stigma unfolding. AVG and Co\textsuperscript{2} applications decreased auxin-induced style elongation and fusicoccin promoted all of the growth responses of style-stigma units in vitro. A gibberellin-auxin-ethylene-acid growth interaction mode of control is proposed for these three growth processes.
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Length and angle measurements — The style-stigma units (Fig. 1) were excised with fine forceps and a razor blade. The lengths of the styles and stigmas were measured to within 0.1 mm using a Finescale Comparator (Finescale Tools, Orange, California) which was fitted with a #122 reticle. The angle between the stigma branches was measured to within 5° using the #123 reticle.

Cell length and cell number determinations — Wet mounts of styles were made, and the length of each epidermal cell of a longitudinal column of cells was measured with an Olympus Model EH microscope fitted with a calibrated optical micrometer. The cells in several columns were measured separately and compared as described by Greyson and Tepfer (1966). The mean cell length was compared for the styles at the five stages of flower opening (Fig. 1). To determine the uniformity of elongation, the length of cells was plotted as a function of position along the length of the style. The number of epidermal cells in each column was also counted to show the contribution of cell division to style elongation.

Fresh and dry weight determinations — Groups of style-stigma units from 25 flowers of each developmental stage were weighed on a Sartorius Model 2603 analytical balance before and after overnight oven drying at 80°C.

In vitro style-stigma growth — Style-stigma units were excised from 15 Stage II disc flowers and were placed in each 10-cm petri dish containing a microslide, a disc of 9-cm diameter filter paper, and 6 ml of a test solution. All test solutions contained 0.05M sucrose as a substrate for growth. The dishes were incubated in the dark at 30°C in a Thelco Model 2 incubator. The style-stigma units were measured as described previously (Koning, 1983). This method uses solvent partitioning, Sephadex A-25 column filtration, and high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) for purification of auxin extracts. The analyses of purified auxins (with double internal standards) are made by gas chromatography with a nitrogen-phosphorus detector and scintillation counting.

Ethylene analyses — The amount of ethylene production was determined by gas chromatography for disc flowers at each developmental stage of flower opening as described previously (Koning, 1983).

Gibberellin analyses — The amount of endogenous gibberellin activity was estimated for disc flowers at each stage of flower opening by using the double-standard isotope dilution assay designed by Cohen and Schulze (1981) as described previously (Koning, 1983). This method uses solvent partitioning, Sephadex A-25 column filtration, and high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) for purification of auxin extracts. The analyses of purified auxins (with double internal standards) are made by gas chromatography with a nitrogen-phosphorus detector and scintillation counting.

3 Abbreviations: AMO 1618: 4-hydroxy-5-isopropyl-2-methylphenyl trimethyl ammonium chloride-1-piperidine carboxylate; AVG: L-2-amino-4-(2-aminoethoxy)-trans-3-butenolic acid hydrochloride (or aminoethoxyvinylglycine); BS: brassinolide; CCC: 2-chloroethyltrimethyl ammonium chloride; FC: fusicoccin; GA4,7: gibberellin A4 and A7 mixture; IPA: isopentenyl adenine; PCIB: 4-chlorophenoxyisobutyric acid; TIBA: 2,3,5-triodobenzoic acid; Z: zeatin.

Warrington, Pennsylvania. AVG was a gift from Maag Agrochemicals, Vero Beach, Florida. BS was a gift from Dr. W. Meudt, Beltsville, Maryland, to P. B. Kaufman. Fusicoccin was a gift from Montedison S.P.A., Milano, Italy. GA4 and GA4,7 were a gift from Imperial Chemical Industries Ltd., England, to P. B. Kaufman. Serial dilutions of 1 mM stock solutions of these compounds were used as test solutions. Ethylene (1,000 nL/l) was obtained from Applied Sciences, State College, Pennsylvania, and was applied to the style-stigma units in rubber-sealed petri dishes by using a syringe for gas introduction. The atmosphere was changed at 2-hr intervals in an exhaust hood.

Auxin analyses — The amount of endogenous auxin was determined for 10 g of disc flowers at each stage of flower opening by using the double-standard isotope dilution assay designed by Cohen and Schulze (1981) as described previously (Koning, 1983). This method uses solvent partitioning, Sephadex A-25 column filtration, and high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) for purification of auxin extracts. The analyses of purified auxins (with double internal standards) are made by gas chromatography with a nitrogen-phosphorus detector and scintillation counting.

Gibberellin analyses — The amount of endogenous gibberellin activity was estimated for disc flowers at each stage of flower opening by using the extraction and purification procedures of Jones, Metzger and Zeevaart (1980) and the 'Tan-ginbozu' dwarf rice bioassay developed by Murakami (1968). A weighed sample (approx. 10 g) of flowers was ground for 2 min with a Waring blender and extracted overnight in 100 ml of 80% (v/v) aqueous methanol. The extract was filtered through Whatman #1 filter paper, and the residue was washed with 100% methanol. The methanolic filtrates were combined and evaporated to the aqueous volume in a rotary evaporator. The aqueous extract was adjusted to pH 3 with 1 N H2PO4 and loaded onto a charcoal: celite (2 g:4 g) column. The gibberellins were eluted with 250 ml of 80% (v/v) aqueous acetone. The eluate was reduced to the aqueous volume in a rotary evaporator. The aqueous extract was adjusted to pH 3 with 1 N H2PO4 and loaded onto a charcoal: celite (2 g:4 g) column. The gibberellins were eluted with 250 ml of 80% (v/v) aqueous acetone. The eluate was reduced to the aqueous volume in the rotary evaporator, adjusted to pH 2.5, and partitioned against five half-volumes of ethyl acetate. The organic phases were pooled, reduced to their water content, and brought to 4 ml
Fig. 1. Parameters of style and stigma growth. The mean stigma (○) and style (●) length and stigma (●) angle changes during the five stages of disc flower opening in Gaillardia are compared with changes in epidermal cell length (Δ), epidermal cell number (λ), fresh weight (Ξ), and dry weight (□) of the style-stigma unit.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION—Style and stigma growth in vivo—The development of disc flowers has been divided into five easily distinguished stages which are present at one time in the inflorescence (Koning, 1983). The style-stigma unit does not grow significantly during the first three stages (Fig. 1). The pollen is shed at Stage III in late morning and falls upon the stigma hairs. In the afternoon of the day of anthesis, the style and stigma elongate, and the stigma is pushed through the anther tube. Like a bottle brush, the two hairy stigma branches effectively remove the pollen from the anther tube as they emerge from the top of the flower (Stage IV). During the day after anthesis, pollinators remove the pollen from the emerging stigma and the stigma branches begin to reflex away from each other. The receptive surface between the branches is exposed, and the flower is pollinated by Stage V.

Parameters of style and stigma growth—Style elongation has been described as a process involving both fresh and dry weight increases during cell enlargement in several genera outside the Asteraceae (Goldschmidt and Huberman, 1974; Alpi et al., 1976; Dattagupta and Datta, 1976; Nichols, 1976; Camprubi and Nichols, 1978, 1979; Arditti and Harrison, 1979; Berghoef and Bruinsma, 1979). Style elongation in Gaillardia was reflected in epidermal cell elongation (Fig. 1); the cells between the two vascular bundles and the epidermis of the style could not be measured in fresh mount preparations. The epidermal cells of the style began development as cubical cells...
and elongated rapidly during style elongation; there was no increase in cell width during elongation. Cell elongation was greater near the stigma than near the base of the style (Fig. 2). Very short cells at the base of the style may be part of its abscission zone. Since the number of epidermal cells in each longitudinal column of cells remained at about 39 through style elongation (Fig. 1), cell division did not play a significant role in this growth. The elongation of the style was accompanied by an increase in fresh weight.

The elongation of the hairy stigma branches could not be monitored at the cellular level during flower opening due to the complexity of the epidermal system. Stigma elongation takes place simultaneously with style elongation and is therefore accompanied by the same fresh weight change (Fig. 1).

Stigma unfolding is a process limited to the Asteraceae and a few other families, and this process has not been studied from a developmental point of view. The stigma of Gaillardia unfolded between Stages IV and V of disc flower development (Fig. 1); the angle between the branches at Stage IV and earlier stages was 0° and increased to about 270° by Stage V. Between these stages, there was little change in either fresh or dry weight of the style-stigma units. Although the surface of the stigma in the receptive areas is smooth, and it is this region that must elongate to cause the reflexing, the opposite surface of each branch, which must elongate relatively less, has many heavily pigmented epidermal trichomes and would not allow the necessary comparison to show the cellular basis for the unfolding mechanism.

The role of auxin—auxin has been shown to have little or no effect upon female organ growth in some species (Sawhney and Greyson, 1973; de Jong and Bruinsma, 1974; Peterson, 1974; Berghoef and Bruinsma, 1979) and to inhibit female development in Mirabilis (Murakami, 1975). In contrast, IAA promotes growth by fresh and dry weight increases in the female parts of Cymbidium (Arditti and Harrison, 1979). Obviously, flowers of different families may have different roles for the various plant hormones in flower development. In Gaillardia, style and stigma elongation and stigma unfolding were all promoted by applied auxin (Fig. 3). Style and stigma elongation began sooner and the maximum length attained by the styles was greater at 10⁻⁴ M IAA than in the presence of sucrose alone. These responses to IAA are dose dependent (Fig. 4). Stigma unfolding was more sensitive to IAA than the elongation phenomena and showed a significant response at 10⁻⁶ M IAA. Auxin transport (TIBA) and action (PCIB) inhibitors had no effect on style and stigma growth when applied in vitro (Table 1). Endogenous hormone levels in Juglans show parallel variation with respect to female flower development (Sladký, 1972). In Gaillardia, the auxin level increased 25-fold at Stage III to a level (8.2 × 10⁻⁴ M) sufficient to induce growth in the style and stigma between Stages III and IV (Fig. 5). This high level declined by Stage IV to reduce the auxin inhibition of stigma unfolding between Stages IV and V.

The role of ethylene—Hall and Forsyth (1967) have shown that auxin may promote flower development through an increase in ethylene production. Growth of female parts is promoted in Dianthus (Nichols, 1976; Camprubi and Nichols, 1978, 1979), is inhibited in Cleome (de Jong and Bruinsma, 1974), and is unaffected in Begonia (Berghoef and Bruinsma, 1979) by applied ethylene. In Gaillardia,
applied ethylene inhibited style elongation, had no effect on stigma elongation, and promoted early (20 hr) stigma unfolding (Fig. 3). To date, little use of ethylene biosynthesis inhibitors (AVG and Co$^{2+}$) has been made in the study of flower opening. In *Gaillardia*, AVG and Co$^{2+}$ interfered with auxin-promoted growth of the style and greatly reduced the stigma unfolding response which is very sensitive to auxin application (Table 2). Parallel variation of ethylene production and female flower organ development has been demonstrated in several non-Asteraceae species (Hall and Forsyth, 1967; Arditti, Hogan and Chadwick, 1973; Lipe and Morgan, 1973; Nichols, 1977; Stead and Moore, 1979; Veen, 1979). In *Gaillardia*, ethylene production increased 3-fold during disc flower opening, and reached its maximum at Stage V during and after stigma unfolding (Fig. 5).

The role of brassinolide—Brassinolide, a plant steroid hormone isolated from *Brassica* pollen (Grove et al., 1979), and recently characterized and synthesized (Thompson et al., 1979), enhances many auxin-promoted growth responses (Yopp et al., 1979). In *Gaillardia*, style and stigma elongation were unaffected by brassinolide (Table 1), but stigma unfolding was inhibited by this hormone (Fig. 4). The brassinolide may enhance the endogenous auxin activity so significantly that an inhibitive level of activity is produced, or brassinolide has properties other than its synergism with auxin, that inhibit stigma unfolding. The observation that the stigma does not unfold until all of the *Gaillardia* pollen is removed by pollinators supports the idea that brassinolide, or a similar substance, is present with auxin in *Gaillardia* pollen. Lacking proper collection techniques for the many kilograms of pollen necessary to analyze the presence of brassinolide, such studies are planned for the future.

The role of acid growth—Another aspect of most auxin responses is the involvement of proton efflux from the cells. Fusicoccin, a fun-

---

**Table 1.** Treatments having no significant dose effect in style and stigma elongation and stigma unfolding in *Gaillardia*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regulator</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>10$^{-1}$</th>
<th>10$^{-2}$</th>
<th>10$^{-3}$</th>
<th>10$^{-4}$</th>
<th>10$^{-5}$</th>
<th>10$^{-6}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>MEAN STYLE LENGTH ± SD AT 20 HR</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCIB</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>2.9 ± 1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIBA</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMO$_{16}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BA</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>3.5 ± 1.0</td>
<td>3.6 ± 1.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPA</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.5</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AbA</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>4.0 ± 1.5</td>
<td>3.9 ± 1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MEAN STIGMA LENGTH ± SD AT 20 HR</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCIB</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIBA</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA$_{3}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>5.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>5.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>5.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA$_{4}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>5.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>5.7 ± 0.4</td>
<td>5.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMO$_{16}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>5.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>5.7 ± 0.4</td>
<td>5.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BA</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.4</td>
<td>5.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>5.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPA</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>5.4 ± 0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.4</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.4</td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>5.4 ± 0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AbA</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>5.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>5.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>6.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MEAN STIGMA ANGLE ± SD AT 56 HR</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCIB</td>
<td></td>
<td>130 ± 70</td>
<td>138 ± 52</td>
<td>104 ± 59</td>
<td>144 ± 70</td>
<td>155 ± 80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIBA</td>
<td></td>
<td>125 ± 60</td>
<td>151 ± 77</td>
<td>158 ± 82</td>
<td>127 ± 49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA$_{3}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>142 ± 50</td>
<td>130 ± 27</td>
<td>141 ± 20</td>
<td>126 ± 18</td>
<td>232 ± 73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA$_{4}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>145 ± 50</td>
<td>181 ± 49</td>
<td>196 ± 56</td>
<td>201 ± 60</td>
<td>234 ± 41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMO$_{16}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>142 ± 50</td>
<td>182 ± 45</td>
<td>157 ± 44</td>
<td>174 ± 70</td>
<td>191 ± 47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BA</td>
<td></td>
<td>130 ± 14</td>
<td>128 ± 10</td>
<td>116 ± 11</td>
<td>142 ± 20</td>
<td>159 ± 25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPA</td>
<td></td>
<td>130 ± 14</td>
<td>135 ± 22</td>
<td>127 ± 18</td>
<td>146 ± 31</td>
<td>140 ± 13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td></td>
<td>130 ± 14</td>
<td>137 ± 23</td>
<td>138 ± 24</td>
<td>145 ± 16</td>
<td>150 ± 27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AbA</td>
<td></td>
<td>100 ± 18</td>
<td>92 ± 19</td>
<td>108 ± 19</td>
<td>95 ± 19</td>
<td>110 ± 10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 4. Dose responses for FC (△), IAA (▲), GA₃ (■), and BS (●) in style and stigma elongation and stigma unfolding in Gaillardia. The lengths and angles are shown after times (in hr) in vitro appropriate to show the effects of the regulator: FC and IAA at 20 hr and GA₃ at 48 hr for style and stigma elongation; FC at 8, BS at 48, and IAA at 56 hr for stigma unfolding. Vertical lines indicate ±SD.

Table 2. The interactions between acid-growth promoting treatments (IAA, FC, pH 5 buffer) and ethylene biosynthesis inhibitors (AVG, Co²⁺) in style elongation and stigma unfolding in Gaillardia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test solution component</th>
<th>Additional test solution component</th>
<th>MEAN STYLE LENGTH ± SD AT 32 HR</th>
<th>MEAN STIGMA ANGLE ± SD AT 56 HR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.5</td>
<td>214 ± 58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>AVG</td>
<td>7.3 ± 1.2</td>
<td>146 ± 48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FC</td>
<td>pH 5 buffer</td>
<td>8.7 ± 1.6</td>
<td>121 ± 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH 5 buffer</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.8</td>
<td>152 ± 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAA/20</td>
<td>AVG/20</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.4</td>
<td>105 ± 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FC/20</td>
<td>pH 5 buffer/20</td>
<td>5.1 ± 1.4</td>
<td>97 ± 34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH 5 buffer/20</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.7 ± 1.1</td>
<td>138 ± 10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The role of gibberellins—Female organ growth is promoted (Peterson, 1974; Murakami, 1975), is inhibited (deJong and Bruinsma, 1974), or is unaffected (Berghoef and Bruinsma, 1979) by gibberellins in different species. In Gaillardia, style elongation was inhibited by gibberellins (Fig. 3, 4). The maximum inhibition of style elongation was obtained at 10⁻⁵ M GA₃; similar results were obtained with the less-polar GA₄⁺₇ mixture (Koning, 1981). Stigmas did not elongate significantly in response to the gibberellins (Table 1). In contrast, stigma unfolding was promoted by both gibberellins at 10⁻⁴ M (Table 1). The gibberellin synthesis inhibitor, AMO 1618, inhibits female organ growth in Nigella (Peterson, 1974), but did not affect style or stigma elongation, or stigma unfolding in Gaillardia (Table 1). Parallel variation of native gibberellins and female organ development has been demonstrated directly (Peterson, 1974; Murakami, 1975) and indirectly (Einert, Staby and DeHertogh, 1972; Jeffcoat and Cockshull, 1972; Sladký, 1972; Murakami, 1973; Alpi et al., 1976; Ilahi, 1979; Zieslin, Madori and Halevy, 1979) in different species. The gibberellin levels increase near anthesis, just prior to rapid growth of the flower parts (especially the corolla), and then drop off suddenly in these species. By contrast, native gibberellin content varies inversely with flower development in other species (Wheeler, 1972; Leshem and Ophir, 1977; Dathe and Sembdner, 1980). This form of variation may be equally causative; the decreasing gibberellin concentration may represent the reduction of an inhibiting level of gibberellins in the flower, and the flowers open. In Gaillardia, the sum total gibberellin content in GA₃-equivalents was at a higher level (8 X...
10⁻⁷ M) during Stages I–III when female organ growth was not observed, and dropped to 1/₁₀ of this level by Stage IV when female organ growth was observed (Fig. 5). The types of gibberellins also changed during style and stigma elongation (Fig. 6). In Stages I–III, more-polar gibberellins were present and their activity increased during these stages. These forms were replaced by less-polar forms at Stage III, and the GA₃-equivalent activity decreased as the flowers continued to develop through Stage IV. Inhibitors present in fractions #18–23 of all of the extracted stages may have been artifacts of extraction created by the disruption of the cell and tissue compartmentation. Alternatively, they may serve to mask the effects of the gibberellins during all of the stages in disc flower opening. Furthermore, the amount of gibberellins indicated here would be underestimates of gibberellins with lower activity or overestimates of gibberellins with higher activity than GA₃ in the bioassay. Since the natural gibberellins of Gaillardia remain unidentified, we cannot possibly know whether the amount of gibberellin is accurately represented in the figures. In spite of these difficulties in measuring the many natural gibberellin isomers, the total activity level (in GA₃-equivalents) allows comparisons between stages indicating functionally important (but biochemically obscured) changes in the gibberellins.

The roles of cytokinins—Cytokinins have been found to promote female organ growth in several species (Doazan and Cuellar, 1970; Hicks and Sussex, 1970; de Jong and Bruinsma, 1974; Pool, 1975; Berghoef and Bruinsma, 1979), and parallel variation of natural cytokinins and female development has been demonstrated in Cucumis (Borkowska and Bor-
TABLE 3. The effects of $10^{-3}$ M $GA_3$ and $10^{-4}$ M IAA, applied singly and in sequential and simultaneous combinations, upon style elongation in Gaillardia. After 7 hr, the style-stigma units were transferred from a dish containing the treatment in the numerator to one containing the treatment in the denominator. The style lengths shown were measured after 44 hr in vitro to show the effects of $GA_3$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hormone treatment (7 hr/balance)</th>
<th>Mean style length ± SD at 44 hr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None/balance</td>
<td>$6.2 ± 0.7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$GA_3$/balance</td>
<td>$3.3 ± 0.4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAA/IAA</td>
<td>$7.5 ± 1.1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$GA_3$/IAA</td>
<td>$3.5 ± 0.2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAA/$GA_3$</td>
<td>$6.3 ± 1.4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAA + $GA_3$/IAA + $GA_3$</td>
<td>$4.6 ± 1.0$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

kowski, 1975). Applied synthetic (BA) and natural (IPA, Z) cytokinins had no effect upon female organ growth in Gaillardia (Table 1); it is doubtful that they play an important role.

The role of abscisic acid—Abscisic acid has been shown to have no effect on female development in Begonia (Berghoef and Bruinsma, 1979) and inhibits growth in Cleome (de Jong and Bruinsma, 1974). In Gaillardia, AbA had no effect upon any of the growth processes (Table 1).

The role of hormone interactions—Interactions between hormones have not been examined in other studies of flower opening, but simultaneous and sequential applications of hormones (Koning, 1981) showed only one significant relationship. Sequential application of $GA_3$ and IAA at maximum-response concentrations showed that $GA_3$ inhibited IAA-induced style growth when applied before or along with IAA, but did not significantly affect growth when applied after IAA (Table 3). The model for GA-IAA interaction control (Chrominski and Kopcewicz, 1972) seems to represent reality in style elongation in Gaillardia.

The analyses of roles of individual hormones and their interactions lead to the idea that style and stigma growth in Gaillardia is controlled by at least three hormones which interact to regulate the timing of growth. It would appear that style and stigma growth is inhibited in Stages I–III by the high level of gibberellins present in disc flowers. At Stage III, a huge increase of auxin triggers filament elongation which is insensitive to gibberellins (Koning, 1983). The gibberellin concentration declines rapidly in the flower and releases the inhibition of style elongation. The style and stigma elongate between Stages III and IV in response to the high auxin concentration. The auxin content then declines and ethylene production increases to promote stigma unfolding at Stage IV; brassinolide may also help delay unfolding by enhancing the auxin activity or by direct effect until pollen removal at Stage IV.
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