Supplementary Methods

Assay design and analysis

This study was implemented as part of a research project involving genetic causes of Monogenic Diabetes.

Formerly, *HNF1A*, one of the most frequent MODY genes, was analyzed by Sanger sequencing. No pathogenic variant was identified in the coding region.

Due to negative result, next-generation sequencing (NGS) was performed. We designed a customized NGS panel including 51 nuclear genes [7 MODY genes (HNF4A, HNF1A, KLF11, CEL, PAX4, BLK, APPL1), 7 genes related to MODY and Neonatal Diabetes (GCK, PDX1, HNF1B, NEUROD1, INS, ABCC8, KCNJ11), 17 Neonatal Diabetes genes (PTF1A, NEUROG3, RFX6, ZFP57, GLIS3, IER3IP1, GATA6, GATA4, EIF2AK3, SLC19A2, SLC2A2, CP, PLAGL1, HYMAI, NKX2-2, MNX1, PAX6), 3 Monogenic Autoimmune Diabetes genes (FOXP3, AIRE, STAT3), 2 Wolfram Syndrome genes (WFS1, CISD2), 1 gene associated with Insulin Resistance Syndromes (INSR), 11 Lipodystrophy genes (AGPAT2, BSCL2, CAV1, PTRF, LMNA, PLIN1, ZMPSTE24, AKT2, CIDEC, TBC1D4, PPARG), 3 Familial Hyperinsulinemic Hypoglycemia genes (HADH, GLUD1, and SLC16A1)], in addition to mitochondrial genome. Target region comprised all exons, intron-exon boundaries, and promoter regions. After sequencing, bioinformatics analysis of the generated genomic data was implemented using in-house pipelines (based on BWA-MEM, FreeBayes, and ANNOVAR), comprising steps such as sequence alignment, calling variants and genomic annotation. Investigation of sequence variants included: 1filtering called variants; 2- visualization by Integrative Genomics Viewer software (IGV); and 3- analysis of copy number variation (CNV) using Copy Number Targeted Resequencing Analysis (CONTRA). The analysis was performed considering all genes possibly associated with the patient's phenotype.