

not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and nas undergone full peer review but has lead to differences between this version and the <u>Version of Record</u>. Please cite this article as <u>doi:</u> <u>10.1111/irv.12511</u>

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

18

19

Word count: 1856

The history of influenza as a global health concern goes back centuries if not 26 millennia. That history is mainly related to pandemics recognized long before the 27 causative viruses had been identified (1). Their spread respected no borders, making 28 them a global concern (2). The history of seasonal influenza is much shorter and less 29 30 clear-cut, again starting well before the viruses were identified (3). The disease was recognized in large part because of the characteristic illnesses occurring over a 31 relatively short period in the colder parts of the world. While the wide-spread nature of 32 influenza outbreaks was known, the recognition was largely limited to a number of 33 countries, mainly in temperate regions (4). Burden of disease was well recognized with 34 estimates based on methods that did not need to rely on virus identification of individual 35 cases, but rather on the occurrence of the illnesses of certain characteristics in periods 36 with known virus circulation (5.6). That recognition led to development of effective 37 vaccines, starting in the 1940's (7). Very quickly it became clear that changes in the 38 influenza virus would make the vaccine ineffective unless it was updated regularly to 39 40 reflect viruses in circulation and that circulation was global, not limited to a single country or region. 41

In much of the rest of the world at the time, the presence of the virus as a major
 cause of year to year illness was typically not recognized. This was in contrast to
 pandemics, which because of the large number of cases of disease occurring over a

limited time period were impossible to ignore. Only because of programs seeking to 45 identify the activity and characteristics of influenza viruses globally was there a 46 beginning realization that the viruses were not only present in tropical countries but 47 actually spread for much longer periods of time (8). However, because of the lack of 48 sharp seasonality, burden could not be estimated in the same way as in the temperate 49 zones. The development of the reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (PCR) 50 technique made identification of actual infection easier, making it possible to define 51 periods of spread accurately, a necessity for determining impact when there was not 52 sharp seasonality (9). 53

Studies have begun to confirm the major impact of non-pandemic influenza not 54 only in countries where it was already partially recognized but also in much of the rest of 55 56 the world, which until recently was all blank areas. Determination of burden has become one of the many activities at the World Health Organization (WHO) dedicated 57 to influenza and its control. It is appropriate that this issue has come out in 2017, a year 58 which marks the 65th anniversary of the antecedents of the Global Influenza 59 Surveillance and Response System (GISRS). Without the system, whose predecessors 60 started even before WHO was formally established, none of these activities would be 61 62 possible, nor would there be an ability to respond to pandemics and to have a vaccines formulated for use on an annual basis. 63

64 Establishment of globally coordinated influenza surveillance

In 1947, the WHO Interim Committee of the United Nations agreed to begin a 65 Global Influenza Programme (GIP) for the study and control of influenza. An immediate 66 67 concern was a major outbreak of influenza in Europe and, recognizing influenza virus evolution, the need to identify appropriate viruses for a vaccine against the types of 68 influenza which might be circulating. One year later, the Interim Committee 69 recommended the establishment of the first World Influenza Centre at the National 70 Institute for Medical Research in London along with Regional Centres and Observers. 71 The 38 regional centers, later named National Influenza Centres (NICs), were called 72 upon to participate in the effort. Extensive activity was undertaken to develop plans and 73 74 coordinate information and virus sharing.

Five years after establishment of GIP, WHO's Executive Board decided that an 75 influenza surveillance system was needed to inform methods for disease prevention 76 77 and control. The Global Influenza Surveillance Network (GISN) was born. The initial focus of GISN, later to be renamed the Global Influenza Surveillance and Response 78 System (GISRS), was on standard diagnostic procedures, preparation and distribution 79 of diagnostic reagents, and the selection and evaluation of appropriate strains for 80 vaccines. Research and training were also part of the overall effort, mainly focused on 81 virus and strain diversity identification. At this point, understanding disease burden was 82 largely restricted to describing seasonal outbreaks in temperate countries experiencing 83 sharp peaks of activity and enumerating influenza-related hospitalizations and deaths 84 (5,6). In the rest of the world, the presence of the virus as a major cause of year-to-year 85 illness was typically not recognized. 86

GISRS gained momentum between the 1957 and 1968 pandemics. The growing network of NICs and the Influenza Centres, later to be called Collaborating Centres for Reference and Research on Influenza (WHO CCs), focused on understanding disease activity and characteristics of influenza viruses globally. These efforts confirmed the realization that the viruses were not only present in tropical countries but might circulate for much of the year (9).

93 **Expansion of activities and preparation for a pandemic**

Although the main focus of GISRS activities in the subsequent years continued to 94 be identification of influenza virus variants for making vaccine composition as close to 95 the circulating strains as possible, there was gradual expansion to other aspects of 96 97 influenza control. As examples, a system was adopted in 1980 unifying designation of the viruses by hemagglutinin and neuraminidase whatever the source (10). Because of 98 molecular studies, the human influenza viruses prevalent from 1918-1957 which had 99 been previously termed ASw, A1 and A0 were all designated A(H1N1). This established 100 101 the basic system of nomenclature used to this day. The antivirals, amantadine and rimantadine were the subject of a consultation in 1983 as was evaluation of vaccine 102 103 efficacy in the community in 1987. The former consultation was one of the first 104 examples of expansion of activities into clinical concerns, a trend which has continued.

Surprisingly, even at that point, there was little global work at WHO in 105 determining the burden of influenza on a global level. This was left to the individual 106 107 countries and regions. An example of regional collaboration was the European Scientific Working Group on Influenza (ESWI). Their work was based on the realization 108 that recommendations for vaccine use and support of research activities were 109 110 dependent on recognition by governments both that influenza was a cause of significant morbidity and mortality and that interventions could mitigate its effect. Most studies 111 demonstrating disease burden in various population groups and potential reduction by 112 vaccination were still being done mainly in countries where seasonality of influenza was 113 sharp (11). Some began to include economic components (12). 114

At WHO, there were meetings in 1998 dedicated to influenza surveillance but the 115 116 approach was changing, leading to more emphasis on regions with little prior knowledge of influenza activity and its impact. By 2002, WHO's Executive Board urged countries 117 118 without national influenza vaccine policy to assess disease burden and economic impact of annual influenza epidemics. Concern about a possible severe influenza 119 120 pandemic drove much of the activity for the rest of that decade with recognition of multiple outbreaks of avian A(H5N1) viruses mainly in Asia, Africa and even Europe 121 122 which occasionally involved humans (13,14). Recommendations for development and use of vaccines and antivirals were made as were efforts at preparing for rapid 123 response. 124

Since pandemic influenza is perceived as a threat in all countries, even in those 125 which have had little prior interest in seasonal influenza, this allowed further expansion 126 of efforts to detect influenza viruses to countries which did not do so on a regular basis. 127 128 Much of this expansion was possible only because of what can only be described as a 129 technologic breakthrough, the development and dissemination of the Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) technique. Influenza viruses could now easily be identified accurately 130 with high sensitivity and specificity. GISRS supported and accelerated the process by 131 provision of reagents and training programs. As a result, the impact and seasonality of 132 133 influenza in tropical and subtropical areas of the world were being better defined, so that it became possible, to say when and how long influenza transmits in particular areas. 134

Many countries began to appreciate that influenza was present and active over a good part of the year. GISRS facilitated the dissemination of information between countries, including information on disease burden. This enabled countries without disease burden information to begin to appreciate the public health importance of influenza based on neighboring country or regional data. This also catalyzed countries to undertake their own studies, which in turn generated a greater demand for GISRS and GIP support.

142 Moving into the post pandemic world

The International Health Regulations had been put into effect shortly before the 143 2009 A(H1N1) pandemic establishing the critical role of WHO in the response (15). 144 GISRS and GIP played a central role during the pandemic, particularly focusing on 145 issues such as severe disease occurring in pregnant women. The burden of seasonal 146 influenza in pregnant women and their offspring resulted in recommendations for the 147 use of influenza vaccines in pregnancy. In 2012, updates to the WHO vaccine risk 148 group recommendations further strengthened the need to demonstrate burden. Without 149 such demonstration, it will not be possible to convince much of the world that there is a 150 need for seasonal vaccines, and without such use of seasonal vaccines, there will not 151 be enough production capacity to supply the world with vaccines when the next 152 pandemic occurs. 153

To facilitate countries to estimate their influenza disease burden and to build global estimates from such data, WHO issued a manual for estimating disease burden associated with seasonal influenza (16). Estimation is premised on surveillance systems that can distinguish laboratory confirmed disease from clinical syndromes. Many countries, and as evidenced in the studies represented in this issue, have relied on the work of their NICs to provide such information.

The next will be to demonstrate that vaccines can reduce severe disease. There is still, unfortunately, a belief in much of the world that influenza is a relatively mild, selflimited illness. Countries with other major health issues will not take influenza prevention seriously unless it is demonstrated that there is significant, preventable severe morbidity and mortality, particularly in children under 2 years of age. This can

be demonstrated in many ways, including a vaccine probe study that allows both the 165 demonstration of the burden of severe disease and the ability of the vaccine used to 166 167 prevent it (17). Results can then be further extrapolated to any new vaccines as development proceeds. With the increase in surveillance and laboratory testing under 168 the GISRS umbrella in places where such severe illnesses are still common, it is now 169 possible to conduct such studies which, by demonstrating that burden is preventable, 170 will have a long term effect on global control of influenza and its consequences. Now is 171 our opportunity to reflect on the collective success, collaboration and international 172 efforts of GISRS and to congratulate the various institutions involved for their 173 contribution to the study and control of a disease of global health importance. Happy 174 birthday GISRS. 175

176

177 **References**

 Langmuir, A. D., Worthen, T. D., Solomon, J., Ray, C. G. & Petersen, E. The Thucydides syndrome. A new hypothesis for the cause of the plague of Athens.
 N. Engl. J. Med. **313**, 1027–1030 (1985).

181 2. Kilbourne, E. D. Influenza pandemics of the 20th century. *Emerg Infect Dis* 12, 9–14 (2006).

- 183 3. Francis, T. Influenza: the new acquayantance. *Ann. Intern. Med.* **39**, 203–221 (1953).
- 1854.Lofgren E, Fefferman NH, Naumov YN, Gorski J, Naumova EN. Influenza186seasonality: underlying causes and modeling theories. J Virol. 2007
- 187 Jun;81(11):5429-36.
- Serfling RE. Methods for current statistical analysis of excess pneumonia influenza deaths. Public Health Rep. 1963 Jun;78(6):494-506.
- Monto AS. Influenza: quantifying morbidity and mortality. Am J Med. 1987 Jun
 19;82(6A):20-5.

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

192 193 194	7.	Salk JE, Menke WJ, Francis T. A clinical, epidemiological and immunological evaluation of vaccination against epidemic influenza. American Journal of Epidemiology 1945; 42: 57-93.
195 196 197	8.	Francis, T., Salk, J. E. & Quilligan, J. J. Experience with Vaccination Against Influenza in the Spring of 1947: A Preliminary Report. <i>Am J Public Health Nations Health</i> 37 , 1013–6 (1947).
198 199	9.	Viboud, C., Alonso, W. J. & Simonsen, L. Influenza in Tropical Regions. <i>PLOS Med.</i> 3 , e89 (2006).
200 201	10.	A revision of the system of nomenclature for influenza viruses: a WHO memorandum. <i>Bull World Health Organ</i> 58 , 585–91 (1980).
202 203	11.	Thompson, W. W. <i>et al.</i> Influenza-associated hospitalizations in the United States. <i>JAMA</i> 292 , 1333–40 (2004).
204 205 206	12.	Fitzner, K. A., Shortridge, K. F., McGhee, S. M. & Hedley, A. J. Cost- effectiveness study on influenza prevention in Hong Kong. <i>Health Policy Amst.</i> <i>Neth.</i> 56 , 215–234 (2001).
207 208	13.	Ungchusak, K. <i>et al.</i> Probable person-to-person transmission of avian influenza A (H5N1). <i>N. Engl. J. Med.</i> 352 , 333–340 (2005).
209 210	14.	Oner, A. F. <i>et al.</i> Avian influenza A (H5N1) infection in eastern Turkey in 2006. <i>N. Engl. J. Med.</i> 355 , 2179–2185 (2006).
211 212	15.	Fineberg, H. V. Pandemic preparedness and responselessons from the H1N1 influenza of 2009. <i>N. Engl. J. Med.</i> 370 , 1335–1342 (2014).
213 214	16.	World Health Organization. A manual for estimating disease burden associated with seasonal influenza. (2015).
215 216 217	17.	Gessner, B. D. <i>et al.</i> Vaccines as a tool to estimate the burden of severe influenza in children of low-resourced areas (November 30-December 1, 2012, Les Pensieres, Veyrier-du-Lac, France). <i>Vaccine</i> 31 , 3222–3228 (2013).
21/		

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved