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Abstract

Many applications that employ polymeric materials rely on mixtures (polymer/polymer, polymer/nanoparticle, polymer/filler). A key challenge of using these materials is understanding interrelations between the physical properties and the local and macroscale morphologies. The most common systems are mixtures of two homopolymers, A and B, which can exhibit properties that are more desirable than those of the pure components. Unlike miscible small molecule systems, miscible A/B polymer/polymer blends, while macroscopically homogeneous, can be spatially compositionally heterogeneous at the molecular level, which can cause deviations in physical properties. Applications in the areas of organic electronics, membranes, and nanoscale coatings can also require these materials to function under various conditions of geometric confinement, such as thin films. This introduces an additional complication because the proximity to an external interface (free surface or substrate) influences the local composition and morphology, leading to film thickness-dependent behavior. To this end, this dissertation explores three problems involving the role of morphology on dynamic processes in polymeric systems of different local intermolecular environments.

First, we investigate the role of local spatial compositional heterogeneity on the dynamics of the A component in bulk, miscible A/B polymer/polymer blends. The dynamics of the faster, lower glass transition temperature component A, at temperatures sufficiently high above the blend glass transition, manifest the behavior of chains relaxing in a compositionally homogeneous environment. For temperatures lower than the blend glass transition, the A
component chains relax in two distinctly different local compositional environments, manifesting the influence of spatial compositional heterogeneity.

Having investigated the role of spatial compositional fluctuations on the relaxations of the A component in A/B polymer/polymer blends, the additional effect of geometric thickness confinement at the nanoscale – confining the A/B mixture between two substrates – was studied. In thin film blends, the concentration of the A component may differ from the macroscopic average composition at different depths into the film, largely due to its preferential interactions with the confining substrates. In this case, the compositional changes driven by the interfacial interactions become dominant when the films are sufficiently thin. A key finding is that, whereas thickness confinement effects modify the dynamics of pure homopolymer chains for thicknesses up to a few nanometers, the effects on these A/B blends extend over hundreds of nanometers.

The third problem is based on the recognition that in most applications, polymer thin films can be required to contact other polymers or different “hard” materials. The vast majority of studies that investigate physical properties examine either free or supported films. Here we investigate the dynamics of a homopolymer A confined between a hard substrate C and a soft, immiscible polymer film B. A surprising finding is that the presence of the soft polymer B has the effect of increasing the relaxation rates of polymer A significantly, and over unusually large length-scales, not observed in polymers confined between two hard substrates C. These findings implicate the sensitivity of polymer dynamics to the modulus of the confining environment.

The works described in this dissertation provide a comprehensive view of how physical properties of polymers can change significantly in different environments – compositional changes, changes in mechanical moduli of the surrounding environment, and geometric
constraints. Insights gained from these studies can be used to understand and control the physical properties of polymer-based materials for future applications.
Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1 Polymer Physics: History and Open Questions

Natural macromolecular materials have been in use before the evolution of *Homo sapiens* – our Neanderthal ancestors used skins and weavings for clothing, fibers and sticks for weapons, and sap for glues and sealants.\(^1\) Even manmade macromolecules date back to before the birth of the modern human; earliest documentation traces as far back as 180,000 years ago, when *Homo erectus* utilized controlled heating to turn birch bark pitch into an adhesive.\(^2\) Historical evidence shows that development and use of these materials in a wide range of applications continued for centuries, through early Middle Eastern societies,\(^3\) ancient Egypt,\(^4\) and the Roman empire.\(^5\) By the onset of the industrial revolution, the first instances of manmade polymers began to appear – an early example includes H. Victor Regnault accidently exposing gaseous vinyl chloride to sunlight, producing polyvinyl chloride in 1838.\(^6\) The following year, Eduard Simon converted styrene into a solid resin using heat, producing polystyrene (although at the time thought to be styrene oxide).\(^7\) Yet even as new macromolecular materials propagated through society, chemists held on to the belief that molecules were always small, and molecular weights could not exceed a few thousand units.\(^8\) This line of thinking was maintained as late as the early twentieth century. It wasn’t until Hermann Staudinger’s seminal work\(^9\) on the so called “macromolecular hypothesis” in 1920 that the existence of macromolecules began to gain traction. At first his views were largely conjecture, but in 1922 Staudinger provided the first experimental proof of large
molecules by observing similar behavior between unvulcanized and hydrogenated rubber, despite the former having many electron-rich double bonds and the later having none, showing the effect of covalent bonding.\textsuperscript{10} By the mid-1930s a number of exceptional synthetic chemists had joined ranks with him,\textsuperscript{11} and this began the rapid growth of synthesis theory and production means.

In conjunction with the development of macromolecular synthesis methods, the foundation of polymer physics was gradually established over the following 30 years, pioneered by work such as that by Kuhn on macromolecular sizes,\textsuperscript{12} Flory and Huggins on thermodynamics,\textsuperscript{13} and Rouse and Zimm on models of polymer dynamics.\textsuperscript{14,15} Subsequently, the 1960s and 1970s saw the development of the main principles of modern polymer physics, including the Edwards model of the polymer chain and tube,\textsuperscript{16} the reptation theory of chain diffusion by de Gennes,\textsuperscript{17} and the Doi-Edwards theory of flow in polymer melts.\textsuperscript{18}

Despite these important findings and hypotheses, the field of polymer physics remains in its infancy in terms of understanding. For example, compared to inorganics, polymeric materials are composed of more complicated interactions due to the abundance of chemical structures, and have significantly more complex morphologies due to the lack of long-range ordering. Because of this, molecular simulations are often inconclusive or unfeasible, and experimental characterization techniques remain either mostly macroscopic (differential scanning calorimetry, dynamic mechanical analysis, etc.) or suffer from low intensity due to disorder (x-ray diffraction, neutron scattering, etc.). Even the fundamentals within polymer physics remain up for debate – concepts such as the glass transition temperature ($T_g$) and the dynamic relaxations of polymer chains and their corresponding temperature dependences, which were introduced from an analysis of macroscopic properties, are not clear at the local or nanoscale. As such, the link
between observed macroscopic properties and microscopic observations has yet to be fully established.

One of the most important topics in materials science as a field is the structure-property relationship. Such an understanding allows one to predict the properties of a polymer system from its structure, as well as infer the structure of a system from measured macroscopic properties. By controlling and understanding these properties, today’s molecular design principles have enabled polymeric materials to be used in a wide array of applications. For example, viscoelasticity plays a role in polymer processing related applications such as 3D printing\(^{19}\) or tire manufacturing and performance.\(^{20}\) Charge carrier mobility in polymers plays a role in the performance of organic solar cells\(^{21}\) and flexible electronics.\(^{22}\) Small molecule and ion transport mechanisms play a role in gas separation and purification,\(^{23}\) as well as controlled drug release.\(^{24}\) What all of these specific properties have in common is that they require an understanding of polymer dynamics and chain relaxation processes. As such, polymer dynamics represents an important stepping-stone in polymer physics.

At the highest level, a study of polymer relaxations is a study of molecular motions over various length-scales, from the segmental motions of the monomers that compose the macromolecule chains and govern the glass transition, to the translational motions of the entirety of the chains that facilitates viscous flow. Because polymer deformation and flow behavior is strongly time-dependent, getting characteristic times over which these motions occur provides great insight into material properties, such as the ones discussed previously, as well as an understanding of how the material will behave in application.

The relaxation rates and associated behavior of many different homopolymers have been studied extensively over the past forty or so years.\(^{25}\) It is now well understood that the local
environment in which a polymer chain relaxes plays a significant and important role on the
relaxation dynamics.\textsuperscript{26–28} However, this picture is not complete and there are still unanswered
scientific questions in this area associated with understanding and controlling various
intermolecular interactions that affect polymer chain relaxation processes; the works in this
dissertation will focus on understanding how and to what length-scales such local environments
affect the dynamics of polymer chains. The environments examined are due to: (i) the
heterogeneous composition in localized environments in polymer mixtures (Chapter 2), (ii) the
proximity to different types of interfaces in polymer nanomaterials (Chapter 3), and (iii) the
interfacially enriched wetting layers in nanoconfined polymer blends (Chapter 4). These results
demonstrate the significance of local intermolecular interactions and effects on polymer
dynamics and the importance of understanding them.

1.2 Dynamics and Kinetics of Glass-Forming Polymers

An important characteristic of glass-forming liquids is the non-linear increase of the
viscosity with decreasing temperature ($T$); this is understood as the liquid falling out of
equilibrium and solidifying in the absence of long-range order as $T$ approaches $T_g$.\textsuperscript{29,30} For
polymers, the segmental relaxation time of the monomers, $\tau_{\text{seg}}$, is approximately 100 seconds at $T = T_g$;\textsuperscript{31} in network glasses and small molecules liquids, $T_g$ is also identified with a structural
relaxation time $\tau_{\text{struc}}$ of $\sim$100 seconds, with viscosity $\eta \approx 10^{13}$ Poise.\textsuperscript{30} Both of these relaxation
times correspond to the inverse cooling rate for a typical differential scanning calorimetric
(DSC) measurement of the glass transition.\textsuperscript{32} This relationship between the segmental relaxations
and $T_g$ stems from the damped diffusion of conformational changes that occurs when a polymer
segment relaxes. For a given chain, a change in conformation will change the chain’s bond
lengths and angles, and will increase the probability that a neighboring polymer segment also undergoes a conformational transition. In polymeric systems composed of multiple chains, these linked and cooperative chain motions is what causes the glass transition.\textsuperscript{29}

The non-linear dependence of $\eta$, $\tau_{\text{seg}}$, and $\tau_{\text{struc}}$ may be understood from the phenomenological theory of Adam and Gibbs,\textsuperscript{33} who suggested that the dynamics of liquids are characterized by so-called cooperatively rearranging regions (CRRs). They postulated that the size of the CRR – speculated by Donth\textsuperscript{34-36} to be on the order of tens of nanometers – grows with decreasing $T$, driven by an increasing packing density and manifesting an increasing degree of relaxation cooperativity. The activation barriers associated with this behavior were assumed to be purely entropic, and likewise the relaxation times $\tau_{\text{seg}}$ and $\tau_{\text{struc}}$ of a glass-forming material could be predicted to grow exponentially with the size of the CRRs, due to their cooperative natures.

The temperature dependences of $\tau$, or of $\eta$, not far above $T_g$, are well described by the Vogel-Fulcher-Tammann (VFT) equation:\textsuperscript{37}

$$\log \tau = \log \tau_0 - \frac{B}{T-T_\infty}$$ (1.1)

where $\tau_0$ is a reference relaxation time, $T_x$ is the Vogel temperature related to $T_g$, and $B$ is a parameter associated with thermally activated processes. The VFT equation may be derived from the Adam Gibbs theory, subject to certain assumptions.\textsuperscript{33} It can also be derived from the classical free volume model

$$\tau = \tau_0 e^{V_f / V_0}$$ (1.2)

where $V_f$ is the free volume and $V_0$ is the so-called occupied volume.\textsuperscript{38} Furthermore, the constants $T_x$ and $B$ are related empirically to the well-known Williams-Landel-Ferry (WLF) equation\textsuperscript{39} constants $C_1$ and $C_2$, such that
First discovered purely empirically, the generalized entropy theory (GET)\textsuperscript{40,41} now provides a molecular picture behind these relationships – the constants are related to the chain stiffness and the cohesive van der Waals interactions between molecules.

The temperature dependencies of $\tau_{\text{seg}}$ and $\tau_{\text{struc}}$ may also be characterized in terms of the so-called fragility index $m$.\textsuperscript{31} This index is a measure of how rapidly a material falls out of equilibrium in the vicinity of $T_g$, and is defined as

$$m(T_g) = \frac{\partial \ln \tau}{\partial T_{T_g}} |_{T_g}$$

The theory predicts that, for the same homologous series of polymers,

$$m = \frac{T_g}{C_1}$$

relating back to the constants of the empirical VFT and WLF equations shown previously. It further predicts many connections between fragility and polymer characteristics, including chain stiffness, intermolecular strengths, molecular weight, free volume, and cohesive interactions.\textsuperscript{42} This in turn is related to the material’s physical properties.\textsuperscript{43}

Advances over the last two decades have linked the phenomenon of fragility in glass-forming materials to dynamic heterogeneity, or locally heterogeneous dynamics.\textsuperscript{44,45} Long and coworkers\textsuperscript{46} have used dynamic heterogeneity models to describe the dynamics and their consequences on the physical properties of glass-forming liquids. The basis of their work is on the existence of spatially transient domains due to density fluctuations, which are in turn responsible for heterogeneity in the dynamics. Despite migration between domains and the
observation that dynamics within domains are highly correlated, there is a region-based time-scale distribution – some areas are rapid comparative to the average, whereas other domains are slow. This accounts for the distribution of relaxation times, often characterized in terms of a Kohlrausch-Williams-Watts (KWW) relaxation parameter $\beta$.\textsuperscript{47,48}

Recent simulations provide support for this picture and a connection back to Adam and Gibbs, although there is debate over the molecular basis and justification. Starr and Douglas\textsuperscript{49} revealed the existence of highly correlated motions of particles as strings, the dimensions of which are associated with the CRRs. Models based on the propagation of free volume such as the locally correlated lattice (LCL) model developed by Lipson and White\textsuperscript{50,51} implicate the temperature dependence of free volume to the cooperative nature of the glass transition. Freed’s GET model\textsuperscript{40,41} describes glass-formation in polymer systems based solely on monomer structure, interactions, stiffness, and local correlations, and finds that this adequately fits with experimental observations.

Despite the debate over the theoretical picture, it remains a fact that dynamic heterogeneity and the localized environment and morphology surrounding polymer chains has a significant effect on relaxation times, the glass transition, and fragility, which in turn has important implications on the physical properties of these materials. This dissertation focuses on answering some of these open questions concerning the effect of different local intermolecular environments on polymer chain relaxation processes: (i) different molecular compositional environments associated with the presence of other polymers in mixtures (polymer blends), (ii) the close proximity of external “walls” in nanometric thin films (polymers under nanoconfinement), and (iii) the compositionally different regions near external interfaces in polymer/polymer blend thin films (polymer blends under nanoconfinment).
1.3 Polymer Blends

It is well known that polymer blends are generally immiscible; the most common result of mixing two polymers is complete phase separation because, unlike in liquids or small molecule systems, the entropy of mixing in binary polymer/polymer blends is small.\(^{52}\) However, there exists pairs of polymers that are able to form miscible blends across certain temperature and composition ranges, due to similarities in chemical structure and/or attractive interactions between chains. Complete miscibility in a polymer blend signifies that both components are thermodynamically mixed to a nanoscopic level,\(^{53}\) and occurs when the change in Gibbs free energy is less than zero\(^{52}\)

\[
\Delta G_m = \Delta H_m - T\Delta S_m < 0
\]  

(1.7)

and the second derivative of the Gibbs free energy at the specific concentration is nonzero\(^{52}\)

\[
\frac{\delta^2 \Delta G_m}{\delta^2 \phi^2} |_{T,P} > 0
\]

(1.8)

The Flory-Huggins theory\(^ {13}\) has proven to be a versatile and convenient theoretical framework for describing the thermodynamic properties of a polymer mixture. Formed as an extension of the regular solution theory for simple liquids, it makes two basic but important assumptions: (i) no change in volume upon mixing (incompressible model) and (ii) completely random mixing. In this model, the entropy of mixing is defined as

\[
\Delta S_m = -R\left[\frac{\phi_1}{n_1}\ln \phi_1 + \frac{\phi_2}{n_2}\ln \phi_2\right]
\]

(1.9)

where \(\phi\) is the volume fraction of each component, \(n\) is the number of polymer segments, and \(R\) is the gas constant. Simply put, this term amounts to the number of rearrangements available for the system, known as the combinatorial entropy. For the enthalpy of mixing, the expression is solely determined from short-range, isotropic, pairwise interactions among nearest neighbor
segments. By the mean-field assumption, the local concentration is always given by the average concentration, and thus

$$\Delta H_m = RT \chi \phi_1 \phi_2$$

(1.10)

$\chi$, known as the interaction parameter, is a dimensionless value describing the exchange energy per monomer, normalized by the thermal energy. Combining these two expressions with the governing equation of miscibility produces the Flory-Huggins equation for a binary system

$$\Delta G_m = RT \left[ \frac{\phi_1}{n_1} \ln \phi_1 + \frac{\phi_2}{n_2} \ln \phi_2 + \chi \phi_1 \phi_2 \right]$$

(1.11)

As mentioned previously, there are multiple assumptions that must be employed in order to arrive at the simplified Flory-Huggins expression for the free energy of mixing, such as incompressibility and completely random mixing. However, we know that in practicality these do not apply. Miscible polymer blends, while macroscopically homogeneous, can exhibit local inhomogeneities on the scale of nanometers.\textsuperscript{53–58} Fundamentally, each component of a blend relaxes or diffuses in an effective compositional environment $\phi_{\text{eff}}$ that is enriched with chains of identical composition compared to the average blend composition $\phi_{\text{ave}}$. This spatial compositional heterogeneity is known as a self-concentration (SC) effect associated with the connectivity of monomers along the chain.\textsuperscript{59} In an A/B polymer/polymer blend, a monomer A has a higher than random probability of being next to another monomer A due to the connectivity of monomer As along the polymer A chain. Thus the monomers of each component experience a local environment rich in itself versus the macroscopic concentration. The model of Lodge and McLeish\textsuperscript{59} postulates that the length-scale of relevance for this effect is the Kuhn length $l_k$. The volume that enclosed the monomers is

$$V_k = C_\infty l_k^3$$

(1.12)
where \( C_\infty \) is the characteristic ratio. Within this volume, the excess of monomer of type A is defined as

\[
\phi_{eff-A} = \phi_{self-A} + (1 - \phi_{self-A}) \phi_{ave-A}
\]  

(1.13)

The self-concentration due to chain connectivity \( \phi_{self-A} \) is

\[
\phi_{self-A} = \frac{C_{\infty,A} \cdot M_A}{N_{av} \cdot k_A \cdot \rho_A \cdot \nu_A}
\]  

(1.14)

where \( N_{av} \) is Avogadro’s constant, \( M \) is the molecular weight of the monomer, \( \rho \) is the polymer density, and \( k \) is the number of backbone bonds per repeat unit, all of component A. A similar relation would describe that of component B.

Self-concentration is an intrinsic quantity. However, this parameter has been shown to vary in some systems, suggesting that other factors would contribute to the local composition.\(^{60}\) We now know that concentration fluctuations\(^{56,61–63}\) play an additional role. Local concentration fluctuations, which are always occurring in blends due to a low entropy of mixing, is a temperature-dependent phenomenon. As described by the Adam-Gibbs molecular kinetic theory,\(^{33}\) the local cooperatively rearranging regions over which a polymer relaxation is influenced by other polymer chains is increased in size with decreasing temperature. Donth\(^{34}\) later showed that the size of the CRRs represented a measure of the length-scales of the compositional fluctuations. Because these fluctuations are thermally driven, lowering the blend temperature increases the time-scales over which they dynamically dissipate.\(^{56}\) Likewise, this has an effect on the temperature dependence of spatial compositional heterogeneity in terms of its influence on relaxation processes.

It is apparent that there is a great deal of physics that is neglected when solely relying on an idealized theory such as Flory-Huggins; this in turn has important implications on the measurement of dynamics in miscible blends. As a result of self-concentration, miscible blends
have been shown to exhibit two distinct glass transition temperatures.\textsuperscript{59,64–66} This is also the reason for the failure of time-temperature superposition in certain miscible blends.\textsuperscript{67} This behavior is even more pronounced in dynamically asymmetric blends, or blends in which the component $T_g$s differ by a large amount.\textsuperscript{68}

It is also evident from studies of a number of miscible A/B polymer/polymer blends, using different techniques such as broadband dielectric spectroscopy, rheology, neutron scattering, and magnetic resonance, that the temperature dependencies of the segmental and translational relaxations, $\tau_{\text{seg}}$ and $\tau_{\text{trans}}$, of the A and B components are different.\textsuperscript{57,68–76} The dynamics of each chain is dictated by a friction factor $\zeta$, determined in part by intra-chain bond rotations and local intermolecular interactions. It has also been shown that $\zeta$ differs for different polymers dependent on chemical makeup. For pure homopolymers, the relationship between $\zeta$ and $\tau$ is fairly well understood; for segmental relaxations, $\tau_{\text{seg}}$ is proportional to $\zeta$. For a chain moving in an unentangled melt, the Rouse relaxation time is proportional to $M^2 \zeta$, where $M$ is the molecular weight of the chain.\textsuperscript{77} For long and highly entangled chains ($M \gg M_e$ where $M_e$ is the molecular weight between entanglements), the translational relaxation time $\tau_{\text{trans}}$ is given by reptation theory\textsuperscript{78}

$$\tau_{\text{rep}} \propto \frac{\zeta}{T M_e^2} M^3 \quad (1.15)$$

With regard to $\tau_{\text{trans}}$ in the blends, additional mechanisms such as constraint release,\textsuperscript{79} tube dilation effects,\textsuperscript{79} and double reptation\textsuperscript{80} due to associated effects of the other component in the direct environment surrounding a polymer chain play a role. Even less is known about $\tau_{\text{seg}}$ in the blends – including the absolute values of relaxation rates, temperature dependences, and broadening of relaxation distributions – despite its importance to the glass transition. Likewise, a
major focus of this work will be on the segmental dynamics in miscible polymer blends and the effect of the dynamically heterogeneous environment surrounding polymer chains.

1.4 Polymers under Nanoconfinement

Increasingly, polymeric materials must function under various conditions of geometric confinement at the nanoscale. Research interest in this area stems from the experimental observations that functional properties such as elastic moduli, ferroelectric properties, and charge carrier mobilities in conjugated polymers can deviate from analogous bulk behavior when these materials are confined to length-scales on the order of which their molecular relaxation processes occur. The driving forces behind this phenomenon are two effects; entropic “packing” and chain conformational effects, together with intermolecular interactions with external interfaces.

In regards to entropic effects, the local packing of segments is perturbed in the vicinity of the interfaces because the density of segment packing is not spatially uniform. For a nanoconfined homopolymer, simulations indicate that the density profile oscillates away from the substrate over a length scale of around $\xi \sim 1$ nanometer. In addition, the packing of monomers and their respective orientation change at interfaces, largely manifesting the effects of short-range intermolecular interactions. Generally, the dynamics at a “wall” are anisotropic, and the relaxations of the segments normal to the substrate are slow compared to the bulk. This sluggishness is suggested to be due to the long time-scales associated with desorption of segments from the walls, or correspondingly, decreased configurational freedom of the chains in the proximity of the substrate. In essence, interactions of a chain segment in contact with a wall increase the relaxation times of a chain by increasing the activation barriers. Stronger
interactions with the walls lead to longer desorption times and hence slower dynamics. Correspondingly, at a free surface, simulations show that local packing constraints are not as severe (larger configurational freedom) as they are at a hard wall. These simulations reveal the existence of a mobile surface layer of chains, thickness on the order of nanometers.

Much of these initial predictions are now supported by experimental observations. For freely standing polymer films, the average $T_g$ of the film is decreased in relation to the bulk, provided the film is sufficiently thin. The same is generally true for asymmetrically confined films – existence of a free surface and an interface in contact with a substrate – where the polymer interactions with the substrate are weak van der Waals forces. This $T_g$ depression is due to the previously mentioned mobile surface layer at the polymer-free surface interface. Even in cases of asymmetrically confined supported films, the additional configurational mobility at the free surface is sufficient to overcome reduced mobility at the polymer-substrate interface. On the other hand, for cases where there exists attractive interactions between the polymer and substrate, for example hydrogen bonding, the average $T_g$ of the film can be greater than that of the bulk – the strength of the enthalpic interactions dictates the deviation in the glass transition.

Experimental observations show that changes in the glass transition of thin films occur over much longer length-scales $h$ than the density fluctuation length-scales $\xi$. Theoretical work by Schweizer and coworkers provides a molecular perspective for this behavior. They consider a chain segment as caged, such that the size of the cage is the first minimum in the radial distribution function on the order of the monomer size. The requirement of cooperativity reveals that the motions of a chain segment must be facilitated by local volume dilations of the cage. This increase in local volume is accommodated by long-ranged elastic fluctuations,
producing an elastic energy barrier. In the case of a free surface, the number of nearest neighbors is smaller and the elastic energy barrier is likewise weaker. At the substrate, when strong intermolecular interactions exist, there are large energetic barriers for chain cooperative motion. In the case of weak van der Waals interactions at the substrate, the elastic energy barrier is weak, and deviations in $T_g$ are nonexistent.

In addition to $T_g$ deviations, interactions at the substrates can also lead to deviations in various chain relaxation processes (side chain,\textsuperscript{104} segmental,\textsuperscript{105} end-to-end\textsuperscript{106}). Yet there is still some debate as to the extent of these effects:\textsuperscript{98,107,108} whereas the length-scales $h$ over which the glass transition appears to be affected by substrate interactions are comparatively large ($h >> \xi$), approaching the order of hundreds of nanometers depending on geometry, the length-scales $L$ over which such effects affect chain dynamics are much smaller ($L \sim \xi$). This connection between thermal measurements such as the glass transition and dynamic measurements such as segmental relaxations under nanoconfinement is still a topic of debate.\textsuperscript{109,110} Furthermore, the connection between dynamics and $T_g$ under various confinement conditions remains poorly comprehended. In this dissertation, we further probe the length-scales over which an interface affects polymer chain relaxations, as well as the effects of different types of interfaces.
2.1 Introduction

There is significant interest in understanding interrelations between the processing, morphology, properties, and reliability of polymer mixtures for a diverse range of applications. Of particular interest in this study is the manner in which the dynamics of the components A and B of an A/B polymer/polymer blend are influenced by local compositional heterogeneity, a phenomenon by which the composition may remain spatially homogeneous at the macroscopic scale, but heterogeneous at the nanoscale. This is especially prominent in blends whose components are weakly interacting and/or exhibit large differences in glass transition temperatures ($T_g$s). Spatial compositional heterogeneity is due to two factors: an intrinsic self-concentration (SC) effect associated with the connectivity of monomers along the chain, and thermally-driven composition fluctuations (TCF). Due to chain connectivity, the average local compositional environment around a given monomer is enriched with segments.
of the same monomer. The relevant length-scale of the self-concentration effect is the polymer’s Kuhn length – beyond this length-scale the bulk concentration largely dictates the behavior of the blend. TCF may be understood from the basis of the cooperatively rearranging regions (CRRs), proposed by Adam and Gibbs,\textsuperscript{33} to explain the onset of the glass transition – the sizes of the CRRs grow as the temperature $T$ decreases toward the glass transition temperature. Donth\textsuperscript{34,35,113} later showed that the size of the CRRs represented a measure of the length-scales of the compositional fluctuations; these length-scales are significantly larger than the Kuhn length. During the last three decades, a number of studies have been devoted to understanding the phenomenon of dynamic heterogeneity;\textsuperscript{54,55,57,58,62,64,65,74,76,111,112,114–120} and although recent theoretical models\textsuperscript{57,66,89,120–123} have provided important insights into the connection between compositional heterogeneity, dynamics, and the glass transition,\textsuperscript{109,110,124,125} a few lingering questions remain.

In this study we report on the temperature and compositional dependencies of the segmental, or $\alpha$, relaxations of the components in a series of polymer/polymer blends. The temperature dependencies of the chain and segmental dynamics in homogeneous melts, for temperatures not too far above $T_g$, are generally well described by the Vogel-Fulcher-Tammann equation (VFT)\textsuperscript{37} or the analogous Williams-Landel-Ferry equation (WLF).\textsuperscript{39} However, the situation involving dynamics of blends is often more complex, largely because spatial compositional heterogeneity can be responsible for the appearance of different component glass transition temperatures $T_g^{(i)}$ ($i = A, B$) and different temperature-dependent relaxation times $\tau_i(T)$.\textsuperscript{59,64,66,126,127} Additionally, the distribution of relaxation times extracted, for example, from the imaginary permittivity $\varepsilon''(\omega)$, measured using broadband dielectric spectroscopy (BDS), is
both temperature and composition-dependent, manifesting effects of the local compositional environment.

For miscible blends, the effects of compositional heterogeneity on the glass transition and dynamics are most evident when the A/B interactions are comparatively weak and/or there exists a large disparity between the $T_g$s of each component. Whereas certain miscible A/B systems exhibit two distinct $T_g$s, such as polyisoprene (PI)/poly(4-tert-butylstyrene) (P4tBS), each associated with one of the components in the mixture, other systems such as poly(vinyl methyl ether) (PVME)/polystyrene (PS) exhibit a single, broad glass transition, as evident from differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) experiments. In both cases, spatial compositional differences around a segment $i$ creates a distribution of local environments with different compositions $\phi_i$, and leading to a distribution of local $T_g$s and relaxation times.

With regard to the PVME/PS system, the relaxation rates of both components decrease rapidly, and in a non-linear manner, with decreasing temperature as the temperature is decreased toward the $T_g$ of the blend, $T_g^{(\text{blend})}$, this is known as the typical $\alpha$ processes. However for temperatures $T < T_g^{(\text{blend})}$, the temperature dependence of the segmental dynamics becomes weaker, following approximately Arrhenius behavior. Colmenero and coworkers suggested that this Arrhenius temperature dependence occurs because the dynamics of the lower $T_g$ PVME component occurs within the “frozen” confines of the higher $T_g$, glassy PS domains, a phenomenon known as dynamically asymmetric confinement. In essence, due to local compositional heterogeneity, and the fact that $T_g^{(\text{PS})} >> T_g^{(\text{PVME})}$, the PS component vitrifies at temperatures at which the PVME chains are still mobile, and thus the PVME chain relaxations occur within the confines of the rigid, localized PS regions. Because the length-scale of
cooperativity is larger than the dimensions of the confined regions, the segmental dynamics is purely thermally activated and necessarily Arrhenius. This was identified as the α’ process in order to differentiate it from the conventional α process occurring at high $T$.

Recently Yang and Green$^{112}$ showed, based on analyses of BDS frequency-temperature-permittivity (2D contour) plots of the miscible PVME/PS blend, that for temperatures above the blend glass transition temperature the PVME segmental dynamics was characterized by not only the typical α process in the melt ($T > T_g^{(\text{blend})}$) and the α’ process in the glass ($T < T_g^{(\text{blend})}$), but also a third, slower α$_0$ process that occurs in the melt as well ($T > T_g^{(\text{blend})}$). The time-scales of the dynamics associated with this third process decrease at a considerably more rapid rate than those of the α process as $T$ decreases toward $T_g^{(\text{blend})}$; they are also significantly slower than the α process.

The consequences of spatial compositional heterogeneity on the dynamics are not manifested in the same way for all blends. For example, in contrast to the miscible PVME/PS blend, the miscible PI/P4tBS blend exhibits two component glass transition temperatures.$^{65,70,71,117,118}$ The end-to-end and segmental PI fluctuations were first investigated using BDS by Watanabe et al.$^{70}$ and Chen et al.$^{71}$ and later followed by Colmenero et al.$^{118}$ like PVME/PS and other miscible blends, the dielectric loss curves of PI/P4tBS are broad compared to those of the pure components. Additionally, the dielectric strengths change significantly with decreasing $T$, which is in all likelihood the source of slight differences reported between the isochronal and isothermal representations of the relaxation data. Finally, the onset temperature for the increase of the breadths of the distributions for the end-to-end PI relaxations, measured using dielectric spectroscopy and rheology, is associated with the “freezing” of the higher glass transition temperature P4tBS component. This is accompanied by a failure of time-temperature
superposition. Conceptual work by Zhao and Ediger\textsuperscript{65} theorize that below the effective $T_g$ of the slow component (P4tBS), both the slow and fast (PI) component dynamics should shift to Arrhenius behavior as the system leaves equilibrium, similar to what is described earlier for PVME/PS and for other blends with large $\Delta T_g$\textsuperscript{131,132}; however, this specific temperature dependent behavior is yet to be confirmed by experiment.

In light of the foregoing, the natural challenge would be to further understand the characteristics of the $\alpha'$ and $\alpha_0$ processes in blends. To this end, we investigated this issue in further detail in miscible PVME/PS and PI/P4tBS blend systems of different compositions, and additionally in a weakly miscible poly(n-butyl methacrylate) (PnBMA)/PS blend. We determine that the dielectric strengths, loss peak breadths, and correlation factors support a hypothesis that the slower $\alpha_0$ process manifests a collective phenomenon involving a large number of chains relaxing concurrently. In addition, the faster $\alpha'$ process is associated with the relaxation times of the faster component within the nanoscale “frozen” confines of the higher $T_g$ component. In light of these results, we suggest that the $\alpha$, $\alpha_0$, and $\alpha'$ processes would be present in weakly interacting blends, whose component $T_g$s are sufficiently separated.

2.2 Experimental Section

The dynamics of three blends – PVME/PS, PI/P4tBS, and PnBMA/PS – of varying compositions are investigated in this study: (i) PVME/PS blends containing 5, 15, 25, and 35 weight percent PVME; (ii) PI/P4tBS blends containing 20, 30, and 40 weight percent PI; (iii) PnBMA/PS blends containing 5, 25, and 35 weight percent PnBMA. The homopolymers PVME (number-average molecular weight $M_n = 24.4$ kg/mol, polydispersity index PDI = 1.08), deuterated polystyrene (dPS) ($M_n = 4$ kg/mol, PDI = 1.5), PI ($M_n = 10.0$ kg/mol, PDI = 1.03),
P4tBS ($M_n = 32.0$ kg/mol, PDI = 1.04) and PS ($M_n = 13.5$ kg/mol, PDI = 1.06) were purchased from Polymer Source Inc.; PnBMA ($M_n = 13.0$ kg/mol, PDI = 1.12) was purchased from Pressure Chemical Co. PVME and PI were both refrigerated with desiccants, prior to use. We also note that while deuterated PS was used in place of hydrogenated PS in PVME/PS, it had no effect on the dynamics in the temperature range of interest; in fact these blends are more miscible than the blends containing hPS.$^{133-135}$

Blends were prepared by first dissolving the homopolymers separately in toluene (purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Corp.) at 3 weight percent concentrations, followed by agitation for 24 hours. The solutions were subsequently filtered using PTFE syringe filters with 0.2 μm pore size, then mixed to create the desired weight fractions, again agitated for 24 hours, then dried. Drying occurred first in a laminar flow hood for 72 hours, then under vacuum for 48 hours at 340K for PVME/PS, 383K for PI/P4tBS, and 400K for PnBMA/PS. These temperatures are well below the lower critical solution temperature (LCST) of the mixtures,$^{136-140}$ so the components in the blends remained miscible throughout the sample preparation and subsequent measurements, yet they are sufficiently high that while under vacuum the residual moisture was removed. The homopolymer samples were prepared by following the procedures described above without subsequent blending.

The $T_g$s of the pure component polymers and blends were measured using differential scanning calorimetry (DSC, Q200, TA Instruments) by first heating above the component $T_g$s and holding isothermally for 10 minutes, cooling at 10 K/min below the component $T_g$s, and then performing the measurement upon heating at 10 K/min. The glass transition was extracted from the step-like change in the endothermic heat flow baseline, where the baselines before and after the transition were extrapolated to a temperature where the change in heat capacity was at 50%
completion. This result was compared to the peak position in the derivative heat flow in order to ensure accuracy.

Measurements of the dynamics were performed using a broadband dielectric spectrometer (BDS, GmbH, Novocontrol Technologies). The polymer samples were sandwiched between two brass plates 10 mm in diameter; these two plates were used as electrodes in the subsequent experiment. In order to maintain a specific separation distance between the brass plates, especially at higher temperatures when the material viscosity is lower, two silica spacers 50 μm in diameter were placed between the two electrode plates. Because of exposure to air during sample preparation, the samples were annealed again within a BDS cryostat (Active Sample Cell ZGS, Novocontrol Technologies) under a nitrogen (N₂) flow environment at 340K for PVME/PS blends, 383K for PI/P4tBS blends, and 400K for PnBMA/PS blends, all for 10 hours, to ensure that any residual moisture was removed. After in situ annealing, each sample was cooled at a rate of 3 K/min. The BDS sweeps were performed in a frequency range of 0.1 Hz to 1 MHz with an AC voltage of 1.5 V. The temperature ranges were 250K to 325K at a 1K step for PVME/PS and PnBMA/PS and 185K to 440K at a 5K step for PI/P4tBS blends.

2.3 Results and Discussion

2.3.1 BDS Results for PVME/PS

The segmental relaxation rates (1/τ) of the PVME component are plotted as a function of 1/T in Figure 2.1(a) for the pure PVME homopolymer and for blends of PVME/PS with different PVME concentrations. The glass transition temperature for each sample is identified with a vertical line. We are confident that we are probing solely the dynamics of the PVME chains in each blend for two reasons: (i) the rate of the PS segmental motions is known to be 10³-
$10^4$ orders of magnitude slower than that of PVME$^{74,76}$ (and thus outside of the carefully chosen temperature and frequency range) and (ii) the dielectric relaxation strength of PS is much weaker than that of PVME.$^{119}$ The relaxation times were extracted by fitting the complex dielectric permittivity $\varepsilon^*(\omega)$ at each temperature to a Havriliak-Negami (HN) function,$^{141}$ which includes contributions from conductivity:$^{142}$

$$
\varepsilon_{HN}^* = \varepsilon_\infty + \frac{\Delta \varepsilon}{(1+(\omega \tau_{HN})^{\beta})^\gamma} - i\left(\frac{\sigma_0}{\varepsilon_0 \omega}\right)^N
$$

(2.1)

This equation is widely considered to be the most general empirical modeling function for a dielectric relaxation process. The characteristic relaxation time $\tau = 1/2\pi\omega$ is associated with the dielectric loss peak maximum of the imaginary portion of the permittivity $\varepsilon''(\omega)$; it is identified as the segmental relaxation time at that temperature.$^{142}$ The raw dielectric loss curves, including the HN fittings, can be found in Figure A-1 of Appendix A.

In the case of pure PVME (black squares), the temperature dependence of the relaxations is well described by the VFT relation (shown as the solid black line), as is expected for the
segmental, or $\alpha$, relaxations of a pure homopolymer. With regard to the PVME/PS blends, the temperature dependencies of the PVME relaxations do not follow simple VFT behavior. For $T > T_g^{(\text{blend})}$, the relaxations of the PVME and PS chains are anticipated to be cooperative because they are miscible and are both mobile. This temperature dependence is consistent with VFT-like behavior. Below $T_g^{(\text{blend})}$ however, the PVME relaxation rates begin to follow an Arrhenius temperature dependence, for all blend compositions (note that no data is available in the melt state for the lower composition blends, $\varphi_{\text{PVME}} = 15\%$ and $5\%$). Colmenero and coworkers$^{68,76,119}$ first reported that for $T < T_g^{(\text{blend})}$, the Arrhenius relaxation rates are indicative of the lower $T_g^{(\text{PVME})}$ PVME chains undergoing localized motions within the nanoscale confines of glassy (higher $T_g^{(\text{PS})}$) PS-rich domains ($T_g^{(\text{PS})} = 353K$ and $T_g^{(\text{PVME})} = 248K$). Cooperativity would be suppressed within such small domains. Small angle neutron scattering experiments provide evidence of the freezing of the PS segments in this temperature range.$^{68,120,143}$ Additionally, this type of behavior has been shown experimentally in other dynamically asymmetric blends as well, such as PEO/PMMA$^{131,132}$ and PVDF/PMMA.$^{144}$

If the relaxation rates are compared at the same $T$ below $T_g^{(\text{blend})}$, then it is evident that the PVME relaxation times decrease with decreasing $\varphi_{\text{PVME}}$: $\tau_{\text{PVME}}^{(5\%)} < \tau_{\text{PVME}}^{(15\%)} < \tau_{\text{PVME}}^{(25\%)} < \tau_{\text{PVME}}^{(35\%)$. The compositional dependence is clearer after plotting the relaxation rates as a function of $T_g/T$ in Figure 2.1(b) – the temperature difference $(T_g^{(\text{blend})}-T)$ influences the extent of freezing of the PS chains and hence the onset of Arrhenius behavior. Typically, the opposite trend in relaxation times is expected in compatible blends because, for lower $\varphi_{\text{PVME}}$, the PVME chains relax in an environment where the friction coefficient increases due to the higher number of high $T_g$ and stiffer PS host chains. However, we note that although the average macroscopic
concentration decreases, the local – or nanoscopic – PVME concentration within the rigid PS domains increases due to spatial compositional changes.

The breadths and intensities of the dielectric loss curves provide additional information about the dynamics. To begin with, the dielectric strengths, extracted from the HN formulism fittings of the frequency sweep data, are plotted in Figure 2.2(a); the strengths increase with increasing PVME concentration due to the larger number of relaxing PVME dipoles. In Figure 2.2(b), it is shown that pure PVME, due the absence of compositional heterogeneity, has a relatively narrow peak, as indicated by the comparatively large value of the broadening factor $\beta$ – $\beta$ was also extracted from the frequency sweep data using the HN formulism. Below $T_g^{(\text{blend})}$, the breadth of the 5% PVME blend loss peak is the narrowest of the blends and that of the 35% PVME blend is the broadest, indicating that the local environment surrounding the PVME chains trend towards less local compositional diversity with decreasing average (macroscopic) concentration. Moreover, the glass transition peaks characterized by the derivative heat flow (Figure 2.3) also trend toward decreasing breadths with decreasing PVME concentration. This is
a further indication that there is strong compositional heterogeneity and spatial separation between the two components in the different concentrations. Similarly, with decreasing average blend concentration, the PVME dynamics is faster because the local PVME concentration increases.

![Figure 2.3: Derivative of the heat flow with respect to temperature for PVME blends. Vertical lines represent peak positions at which \( T_g \)s are taken.](image)

### 2.3.2 Frequency versus Temperature-Dependent Experiments

As evident from the temperature-dependent behavior of the dielectric strength and broadening parameters in **Figure 2.2**, the spatial compositional heterogeneities that influence the dynamics are also temperature-dependent. For \( T \) above the glass transition, the fluctuations in local composition are rapid, and these fluctuations slow down with decreasing temperature. This may be understood in terms of the previously described Adam and Gibbs phenomenological model,\(^{33}\) corroborated by simulations of the dynamics of miscible blends.\(^{56,129}\) These simulations reveal that at high \( T \), the autocorrelation function of end-to-end vector and self-intermediate scattering functions of miscible polymer blends both go to zero as time approaches infinity, indicating that any fluctuations in concentration do not influence the dynamics in different local regions at those temperatures. However for lower temperatures (\( 0.5 < T^* < 2 \), \( T^* = k_bT/\varepsilon \)), the
compositional fluctuations are sufficiently slow such that they are able to affect the dynamics. This is supported by our experimental data, in which there is a change in the temperature dependence of the relaxation times between high and low $T$.

The isothermal permittivity intensities are strongly temperature-dependent because the dielectric strength is temperature-dependent. For pure PVME, as the temperature approaches $T_g$ from the melt (see Figure 2.2(a)), the dielectric strength increases slightly due to a stronger effect of the electric field on the dipoles with less thermal motion. Concerning the blends for which we have data above $T_g^{(\text{blend})}$, the dielectric strength remains relatively constant because of the competing effect of PS vitrification hindering dipole motion. Similarly, the magnitude of the response diminishes with decreasing temperature below $T_g^{(\text{blend})}$ as further PS component vitrification hinders the overall contribution to the dielectric response.

The relaxation rates of miscible blends are both temperature-dependent and spatially composition-dependent. This has important implications regarding measurement of the relaxation processes in these materials. Frequency sweep experiments – isothermal measurement of $\varepsilon''(\omega)$ as a function of $T$ – and the temperature sweep experiments – isochronal measurement of $\varepsilon''(T)$ at different $\omega$ – have different sensitivities to different features of the dielectric response, and hence to the segmental dynamics. Indeed, the linear response theory, which assumes that the electric field strength is sufficiently small, remains valid, as each type of measurement probes the same time-dependent processes. In a pure homopolymer both types of experiments yield identical results. However for an A/B blend in which the mobilities of the components differ significantly, it is important to perform both frequency and temperature sweep experiments in order to get a complete picture of the temperature and composition-dependent relaxation processes.
Consider the PVME/PS blend, which is characterized by spatial composition heterogeneity. At high temperatures, the dielectric response is strong because both PVME and PS chains are mobile; the magnitude of the dielectric response diminishes with decreasing $T$ below $T_g^{(\text{blend})}$ because of PS vitrification. Since PS possesses a much higher $T_g$, the dynamics of the PS segments decreases at a much faster rate and virtually vanish when $T = T_g^{(\text{PS})}$. Since the PVME chains remain mobile, they are primarily responsible for the dynamic response. Thus isothermal frequency sweeps are more sensitive to spatially local regions of the blend where the relaxation rates are fastest. In other words, the spatial domains with lower local $T_g$ – containing a higher local PVME concentration – would make the primary contribution to the dielectric intensity. This is the reason PVME relaxation times are slowest in the blend containing the largest average PVME concentrations, decreasing with decreasing $\phi_{\text{PVME}}$: $\tau_{\text{PVME}}^{(5\%)} < \tau_{\text{PVME}}^{(15\%)} < \tau_{\text{PVME}}^{(25\%)} < \tau_{\text{PVME}}^{(35\%)}. \text{ In contrast, temperature sweep experiments,}^{106,117,145} \text{ are sensitive to the temperature at which a specific relaxation frequency has the greatest signal intensity, i.e.: the temperature and frequency at which the largest number of dipoles are relaxing. In the absence of compositional heterogeneity (for example a pure homopolymer) all chains relax in the same average environment, so the temperature and frequency scan measurements yield identical results.}

Recently Yang and Green$^{112}$ showed how measurements of the dielectric response as a function of frequency and temperature (2D analysis) can be effective at identifying multiple relaxations in miscible blends. To illustrate this point, consider the temperature sweep and frequency sweep data points, as overlaid onto the 2D contour map of the same samples, shown in Figure 2.4. These data mimic the contours of the map, thereby illustrating the point that both types of experiments should be performed in order to understand all relaxation processes in
miscible blends. Fitting of the peaks measured by the temperature sweep experiments (raw
dielectric loss curves at select frequencies are shown in Figure A-2 of Appendix A) and
extracting the characterization parameters was accomplished using a modified version of the HN
function.\textsuperscript{106} Now consider the relaxation rates of the 35\% PVME blend (Figure 4.4(a)), as
calculated from both the frequency (isothermal) and temperature (isochronal) sweep data, on the
respective contour plot. At temperatures sufficiently high above $T_g^{(\text{blend})}$, the compositional
fluctuations would presumably be fast compared to the segmental dynamics, so the segments
appear to be relaxing in the same average compositional environments. That the temperature
sweep and frequency sweep experiments yield comparable relaxation rates and temperature-
dependent behaviors in this region is consistent with this notion. However with decreasing
temperature, the difference between the rates measured by the two experiments becomes
apparent; the frequency sweep experiments preferentially detect the faster relaxation processes,
associated with the peaks at the upper part of the plot; the more slowly relaxing species
contribute a comparatively small intensity to this overall relaxation spectrum. A temperature
sweep experiment will measure the highest signal intensity at temperatures at which the largest
numbers of dipoles are relaxing with identical frequency. In this regard, the temperature sweep
experiments are sensitive to a slower relaxation process, associated with the peaks at the lower
section of the plot, as discussed earlier.

In the isochronal temperature sweep, the segmental relaxations exhibit a strong
temperature dependence, decreasing rapidly with decreasing $T$, as $T$ approaches $T_g^{(\text{blend})}$. This
behavior is indicative of a cooperative process associated with the fluctuations of a large number
of dipoles. We will refer to this strongly temperature-dependent process as the $\alpha_0$ process. The
isothermal frequency sweep experiments are particularly sensitive to dipolar relaxations in the
local regions of the 35% blend with fast PVME relaxations; moreover the temperature dependence of the dynamics from this experiment is weaker and persists to temperatures far below $T_g^{(blend)}$. As discussed earlier, the PVME molecules here undergo relaxations within the confines of the glassy PS domains. We will refer to this as the $\alpha'$ process.\textsuperscript{68,76,119}

![Figure 2.4](image-url)

**Figure 2.4**: Contour maps of (a) 35% (b) 25% (c) 15% (d) 5% PVME made from the frequency sweep experiments as a function of inverse temperature and frequency of the applied electric field. Overlaid are the loss peak maxima as calculated from the frequency sweeps (red circles) and the temperature sweeps (blue circles).

Note that in **Figure 2.4** the isochronal representation of the dynamics reveals that the $\phi_{PVME} = 35\%$ and 25\% blend dynamics exhibit a VFT-like temperature dependency and do not extend below the blend $T_g$, whereas the data of the $\phi_{PVME} = 15\%$ and 5\% PVME blends are Arrhenius at lower temperatures. Because each of the frequency and temperature sweeps probe
the same time-dependent processes but differ only in sensitivity, we speculate that the $\alpha'$ process becomes more apparent in the temperature sweep experiments with decreasing $\varphi_{\text{PVME}}$ as the tracer limit is approached.

A lingering question one may ask is whether the large variations of the dielectric strength between blend compositions and temperatures would be the cause of the differences detected by the two sweeps. This is evidently not the case for three reasons. First, the expected shift would not be many orders of magnitude as observed; for every order of magnitude shift in dielectric strength, the reciprocal shift in relaxation time would be less than a factor of ten. In the case of the 35% PVME blend, there is a divergence of many orders of magnitude, yet the dielectric strengths remain in the same order of magnitude. Secondly, there would be no change in the temperature dependence of the relaxations if the difference was due solely to an intensity change, in particular a change in inflection as seen in the high $\varphi_{\text{PVME}}$ blends. Finally, one would expect the deviations to become increasingly prominent with decreasing low $T_g$ component concentration, as noted by Colmenero in the PI/P4tBS blend. In PVME/PS, we see the opposite effect – increased deviations with increasing $\varphi_{\text{PVME}}$.

### 2.3.3 BDS Results for PI/P4tBS

Now that we have observed this behavior in the well-studied PVME/PS blend, we question to what extent would this type occur in other blend systems? As noted previously, while other blend systems have shown a shift to Arrhenius behavior at low enough temperature, they have not extended to the identification of an $\alpha_0$ process. In an attempt to rectify this, PI/P4tBS, a miscible blend which exhibits two component glass transition temperatures that differ by over 200K ($T_g^{(\text{Pl})} = 206K$ and $T_g^{(\text{P4tBS})} = 419K$), is now investigated. While similar experiments have previously been performed on this blend, no evidence of either
the \( \alpha' \) nor \( \alpha_0 \) process has been reported,\(^{117}\) although it has been conceptualized.\(^{65}\) In this system, the two separate \( T_g \)'s are due to the self-concentration effect; because of the strong connection between \( T_g \) and segmental dynamics in the bulk materials, it is of interest to see how this system behaves in comparison with PVME/PS. Figure 2.5 shows the derivative heat flows as a function of temperature as measured by DSC for different concentration PI/P4tBS blends. It is quite apparent that in addition to the appearance of two \( T_g \)'s, the PS effective glass transition temperature also shifts more than 50K with a 20% change in macroscopic weight concentration, manifesting the shift in spatial compositional heterogeneity throughout the different blend compositions. Interestingly, the PI component \( T_g \) is only influenced by composition slightly, thereby indicating a less compositionally heterogeneous effect with a change in macroscopic blend concentration for this component. In other words, it appears the PI chains are less effected by the host P4tBS chains than vice versa.

![Figure 2.5: Derivative of the heat flow with respect to temperature for PI blends. Vertical lines represent peak positions at which \( T_g \)'s are taken.](image)

The temperature sweep measurements of the chain relaxations in pure PI, pure P4tBS, and the PI/P4tBS blends are shown in Figure 2.6(a). In our BDS studies of the blends, only the PI relaxations are of interest; the P4tBS segmental relaxations (olive diamonds) are both weaker
and well out of the temperature range where the segmental relaxations occur. While both segmental and end-to-end dipolar relaxations are exhibited by polyisoprene, only the segmental relaxations are of interest in this study. From Figure 2.6(a), it is apparent that there is an observable separation between the segmental and end-to-end dynamics, allowing for the PI α processes to be isolated. Figure 2.6(b) contains both the frequency and temperature sweep measurements of the PI segmental dynamics in the homopolymer and all blend compositions. The raw dielectric data of these measurements may be found in Figure A-3 and Figure A-4, respectively, in Appendix A. One of the two $T_g$s (the effective glass transition temperature $T_g^{(\phi_{PI})}$) of the blends for the different composition blends and pure PI homopolymer is identified as vertical lines in Figure 2.6(b). The frequency and temperature sweep experiments yield the same relaxation rates for the pure PI dynamics, as expected for a homopolymer. The PI relaxations are slower in the blends, decreasing with decreasing PI concentration $\phi_{PI}$. As the PI molecules undergo diffusion in an environment where the concentration of higher $T_g$ P4tBS molecules increases, they experience larger intermolecular interactions (friction) that reduce their mobilities. Both the segmental and end-to-end dynamics in Figure 2.6(a) corroborate this notion. While anticipated, this is different from the behavior of the PVME/PS blend.
For all blends, the temperature sweeps reveal that the segmental relaxations exhibit VFT-like temperature dependences, similar to the PVME/PS blends. Because the signal intensities obtained from the frequency sweep measurements in this low temperature regime are small (see raw dielectric loss curves in Appendix A), it was not possible to prepare reliable contour plots. This, along with the noise of the data, is believed to be the same reason that Colmenero and coworkers\textsuperscript{117} were unable to detect the Arrhenius relaxation regime when they performed dielectric spectroscopy on these blends. In our analysis, the low temperature relaxations are only determined with HN fittings of the 1D curves.

Consider the frequency sweeps of the lower PI concentrations – 30% and 20%, blue and magenta squares, respectively – in Figure 2.6(b). For temperatures $T > T_g^{(\phi_{PI})}$, the relaxation rates measured using temperature sweep and frequency sweep experiments are similar, reflecting the notion that the rate of compositional fluctuations are rapid compared to the segmental relaxations. Under these circumstances, the segments relax in the same average environment across the entire system. On the other hand, at lower temperatures in the vicinity of $T_g^{(\phi_{PI})}$, where
we now speculate the compositional fluctuations rates are slow compared to the segmental relaxation rates, the segmental dynamics is sensitive to the local compositional environments.

The Arrhenius temperature-dependent behavior associated with the $\alpha'$ process is measured by the frequency sweep experiments, which are sensitive to localized motions. The other process, which diminished rapidly in the vicinity of $T_g^{(\phi_{\text{PI}})}$ would be the $\alpha_0$ process appearing in the temperature sweeps, which are sensitive to cooperative motions. In the $\phi_{\text{PI}} = 40\%$ PI blend, the isothermal measurements are unable to detect an Arrhenius-like temperature dependence in the segmental dynamics at low temperatures, suggesting that the temperature range is sufficiently high that the concentration fluctuations are fast compared to the segmental relaxations. Evidently, throughout the measured temperature range, the PI segments experience the same average environment, and similarly spatial compositional heterogeneity is not observable in the dynamics.

While this blend exhibits some of the characteristic behavior of the PVME/PS blends, there are some contrasts. For example, the temperature and frequency sweeps do not detect very different relaxation rates associated with two processes; instead the measured rates are comparable for temperatures as low as the $T_g^{(\phi_{\text{PI}})}$; at lower temperatures an Arrhenius temperature dependence is measured. The latter is likely associated with the transitional $\alpha$ to $\alpha'$ behavior. In PVME/PS, because there is one broad $T_g^{(\text{blend})}$, the transition occurs when the entire system undergoes a glass transition. In PI/P4tBS, where there exists two $T_g$s while the blend maintains miscibility, the transition occurs near $T_g^{(\phi_{\text{PI}})}$. This seems to go against the theories and other experiments that show the appearance of an Arrhenius regime in other blends. Understanding why this occurs is a topic of future work.
2.3.4 BDS Results for PnBMA/PS

As mentioned above, the existence of multiple relaxation processes associated with the same mechanism in the same component is particularly evident in these blends because of the significant asymmetries between the relaxation rates of the individual components. Thus far we have shown that these processes occur in miscible blends. However the manner in which this behavior would be manifested in weakly miscible blends in which spatial compositional heterogeneity occurs at a larger length-scale is yet to be understood. To this end we examine the dynamics of PnBMA in weakly miscible PnBMA/PS blends. We note that full phase separation does not occur until an LCST temperature of 400K, which is beyond our measurement temperature range. This blend exhibits two component glass transition temperatures $T_g^{(PS)} = 371$K and $T_g^{(PnBMA)} = 298$K; these component $T_g$s in the blend do not vary with composition (see Figure 2.7). The pure component PnBMA dynamics has been previously investigated and it was found that in addition to an $\alpha$ relaxation there is a prominent $\beta$ relaxation that arises from the rotational motions of the side chain about the carbon-carbon bond connected to the main chain, similar to poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) and poly(ethyl methacrylate) (PEMA). Like PMMA and PEMA, the $\alpha$ and $\beta$ relaxations merge and form what is known as the $\alpha\beta$ process; this occurs at high temperatures, at which the time-scales of the two processes are on the same order of magnitude. Typically, it is difficult to deconvolute the two because of the overlapping dielectric loss peaks, though there have previously been some successful attempts through modeling. Because of the strength of the PnBMA $\beta$ relaxation, we can use the origin of the processes to our advantage. Specifically, the $\beta$ relaxation represents a localized motion, and the $\alpha$ relaxation represents a cooperative motion at the higher temperatures. Similar to PVME/PS, because the rates of the PS segmental motions are over two orders of magnitude
slower than that of PnBMA, and they have a weaker dielectric strength, we are confident that we are probing only the PnBMA relaxations.

The contour plots of the PnBMA/PS blends of different concentrations, with the isothermal and isochronal representations of the PnBMA segmental dynamics overlaid, are shown in Figure 2.8 (raw dielectric loss information can be found in Figure A-5 and Figure A-6 of Appendix A, respectively). The behavior shown here is identical to that of PVME/PS: the frequency sweeps are sensitive to the faster relaxation processes, and the temperature sweeps show a strong temperature dependence decreasing rapidly as $T$ approaches $T_g^{(\text{blend})}$. The isothermal experiments appear to exclusively detect the localized $\beta$ relaxations, and the isochronal measurements are exclusively sensing the cooperative $\alpha$ relaxations. The absolute values and temperature dependencies of these relaxation rates are in excellent agreement with results in the literature.\textsuperscript{151,152} In addition, the low concentration behavior is similar to the PVME/PS blend as well – the very weak temperature-dependent behavior and lack of a significant signal from the isochronal measurements reveals that the PnBMA component behaves as a tracer. Note that what we are showing here is not the Arrhenius separation between the $\alpha$ and
α’ processes, but rather the benefits of both frequency and temperature sweeps at observing and identifying local and cooperative relaxation processes.

We also show that if the temperature range is increased to higher $T$, the difference between the two measurements (due to experimental sensitivities) becomes marginal within the moderate concentration blends (see Figure 2.8(d)). Here we increased the measurement temperature window for the 25% PnBMA blend and learned that the separation between the

Figure 2.8: Contour maps of (a) 35% (b) 25% (c) 5% PnBMA made from the frequency sweep (isothermal) experiments as a function of inverse temperature and frequency of the applied electric field. Overlaid are the loss peak maxima as calculated from the frequency sweeps (isothermal) and the temperature sweeps (isochronal) experiments, as red and blue circles respectively. (d) Temperature dependencies of the inverse segmental relaxation times of the 25% PnBMA blend as calculated from both the frequency sweep (isothermal) and temperature sweep (isochronal). The vertical lines indicate the two $T_g$s.
relaxation rates occurs at a temperature located between the two component $T_g$s. With further increasing temperatures, we identify the convoluted $\alpha\beta$ process and the frequency and temperature sweep data converging, as shown for the previous two blend systems. It should also be noted that the frequency sweep (isothermal) measurements are unable to detect the PS component –this is another reminder that such an experiment is sensitive to localized motions rather than cooperative. Similarly, the PS segmental relaxations can be identified (left side of Figure 2.8(d)) in the temperature sweep experiments.

### 2.3.5 Theory and Mathematical Analysis of PVME/PS

![Figure 2.9](image_url): (a) Temperature dependencies as a function of $T_g/T$ of the normalized dielectric strengths of PVME segmental relaxations in pure PVME and PVME blends as calculated from HN empirical fittings of frequency sweep (isothermal) dielectric loss curves. The vertical line represents unity ($T_g = T$). (b) Temperature dependencies as a function of $T_g/T$ of the normalized $\beta$ broadening parameters of PVME segmental relaxations in pure PVME and PVME blends as calculated from HN empirical fittings of frequency sweep (isothermal) dielectric loss curves. The vertical line represents unity ($T_g = T$).

As mentioned previously, the fitting parameters from the HN empirical fits can provide key insights into the dynamics. To further illustrate this, we examine the temperature dependence of the parameters in the PVME/PS blends. Plotted in Figure 2.9(a) are the normalized dielectric strengths $\Delta\varepsilon/\Delta\varepsilon_{\text{max}}$ for the four blend samples and the pure homopolymer, as a function of $T_g/T$. Within the context of polymeric materials with permanent dipole moments, the dielectric strength as calculated from the complex dielectric function can be exclusively related to the
change in dielectric permittivity due to orientation (dipole) polarization and written in the form of

\[ \Delta \varepsilon = \varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_\infty = \frac{1}{3 \varepsilon_0 k_B T V} \frac{\mu^2 N}{k_B T V} \]  

(2.2)

In this equation \( \varepsilon_s = \lim_{\omega \to 0} \varepsilon'(\omega) \) is the relaxed permittivity, accounting for all polarization components; \( \varepsilon_\infty = \lim_{\omega \to \infty} \varepsilon'(\omega) \) is the unrelaxed permittivity that accounts for contributions to the dielectric function due to induced polarization (in this case resonance phenomena due to electronic or atomic vibrations). \( \varepsilon_0 \) is the dielectric permittivity of vacuum, \( k_B T \) is the thermal energy, \( N \) is the total number of dipoles in the system acting over a volume \( V \), and \( \mu^2 \) is the mean square dipole moment. A thorough derivation of this can be found elsewhere.\textsuperscript{120,142}

For pure PVME (black squares), we noted previously that \( \Delta \varepsilon \) increases with decreasing temperature. This is expected because equation (2.2) provides an inverse relation between dielectric strength and temperature. As the temperature is decreased, the effect of the electric field on the dipoles is increased due to less random thermal energy. However, the dependence is stronger than predicted. It is argued\textsuperscript{120} that this additional temperature dependence results from an increasing influence of cross-correlation terms in \( \mu^2 \); in other words, the reorientation of a specific dipole is influenced increasingly by its environment with decreasing \( T \). This is consistent with the cooperativity argument mentioned previously.\textsuperscript{33-35,113} This point is especially important for understanding dynamics in the blends, where in all blend compositions we see behavior that indicates a decrease in dielectric strength with decreasing temperature below the blend glass transition temperature. This provides credibility for the localization of motions and decreasing cooperativity with temperature below \( T_g^{(\text{blend})} \); as the PS component is vitrified, different blend regions are “frozen in”. They are less able to cooperatively relax due to dynamically asymmetric confinement; hence the intermolecular dipole interaction terms in \( \mu^2 \) also decrease. Essentially,
vitrification hinders dipole motion. Because it is a squared term, its effect on Δε is greater than the linear relation with T.

In addition, for samples in which we are able to observe α relaxations above the blend glass transition (25% and 35% PVME, blue up triangles and red circles in Figure 2.9(a), respectively), we see rapidly decreasing Δεs at high T and a plateau near $T_g^{(blend)}$. For higher concentration blends, at the highest temperatures, increasing Δε with increasing T above $T_g^{(blend)}$ represents additional mobility of segments due to the unfrozen PS segments adding to the dielectric response. Further decreasing temperature has the competing effects of PS vitrification and increased electric field effect. For the lower concentration blends, the disappearance of a measureable Δε at the highest measured temperatures represents an interesting phenomenon. The magnitude of Δε is composition-dependent (decreasing with decreasing PVME concentration), as N is composition-dependent. Evidently what is occurring is a loss of sensitivity to a subset of chains as $T_g^{(blend)}$ is approached. In other words, a portion of the dynamics of PVME chains is being obscured in the dielectric loss peak curves from the frequency sweep dielectric measurements.

Figure 2.9(b) shows the temperature dependence of the normalized HN broadening parameter β for the four blend samples as well as for the pure homopolymer. As mentioned earlier, the breadth of the loss peaks may be related to a distribution of relaxation frequencies, and likewise a distribution of local environments governing said relaxation rates. The relaxation functions as measured for the blends are considerably broadened compared to the pure polymer.62,68,128 Our interest is in the temperature dependence. Whereas the pure polymer shows a more or less temperature independent β, the blends show a strong decrease above the blend $T_g$ and a significant increase in β below the blend $T_g$. This seems to indicate that on opposite sides of
the blend glass transition temperature, there are two different processes occurring. The low
temperature behavior is associated with the localized segmental relaxation model; as the PS
component becomes vitrified, the distribution of local environments, and the distribution of
relaxation times, becomes less broad as the local environments become frozen. Of greater
interest is the temperature dependence of the $\beta$ parameter for the blends in which relaxations
occur for $T > T_g^{(\text{blend})}$. Here we note a decrease in $\beta$ with decreasing $T$, or a broadening of
relaxation distribution. Such a rapid decrease in the magnitude of $\beta$ is indicative of a rapid
appearance of a wide distribution of local environments in the blend, which is expected for the
strong temperature dependent and cooperative relaxation process seen in this temperature
regime.

Returning to the initial assumptions made for analyzing the dielectric data,\textsuperscript{120,142} first we
assumed that the electric field at the locus of the dipole is equal to the outer electric field.
However, because of shielding effects, the electric field at the dipole is not exactly the same as
the applied one. These local, or internal reaction, field effects occur because the permanent
dipole being measured is surrounded by other polar molecules which, when polarized, can alter
the effective field. As such, permanent dipoles in the surrounding environment polarize the
measured dipole. This effect has been studied extensively; however the most general extension
of the Debye formula for polar molecules is given by Onsager’s work on the reaction field.\textsuperscript{153}
The calculations alter equation (2.2), which now becomes

$$\Delta \varepsilon = \varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_\infty = \frac{1}{3\varepsilon_0 k_BT} F \frac{N}{V}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.3)

where $F$ is the unspecific correction Onsager factor and is given as:

$$F = \frac{\varepsilon_s (\varepsilon_\infty + 2)^2}{3 (2\varepsilon_s + \varepsilon_\infty)}$$ \hspace{1cm} (2.4)
This works well for estimating dipole moments in a dispersed gas phase, yet it fails to accurately predict dipole moments in associated liquids. We now know that it is because it does not take into account the important role dipole interactions have in condensed systems.

This further problem was treated by Kirkwood\textsuperscript{154,155} and by Frohlich.\textsuperscript{156} According to statistical mechanics, the contribution of the orientation polarization to the dielectric function is given by

$$\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_\infty = \frac{1}{3\varepsilon_0 k_B T} \frac{\left\langle \vec{P}(0) \vec{P}(0) \right\rangle}{V} = \frac{1}{3\varepsilon_0 k_B T} \frac{\left\langle \sum_i \vec{\mu}_i(0) \sum_j \vec{\mu}_j(0) \right\rangle}{V}$$

(2.5)

where $\left\langle P(0) P(0) \right\rangle$ is the static correlation function of dipole fluctuations over the whole system, considering all interactions. In practice, this is nearly impossible to compute, and thus a correlation factor $g$ was introduced as

$$g = \frac{\left\langle \sum_i \vec{\mu}_i \sum_j \vec{\mu}_j \right\rangle}{N \mu^2} = \frac{\mu_{\text{interact}}^2}{\mu^2}$$

(2.6)

where $\mu^2$ is defined previously as the mean square dipole moment for non-interacting, isolated dipoles. More detailed information about this may be found elsewhere.\textsuperscript{120} By using data from the dielectric strength, the Frohlich equation\textsuperscript{156} enables the effective dipole moment to be written as

$$g\mu^2 = \frac{kT \varepsilon_0}{N} \frac{(\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_\infty)(2\varepsilon_s + \varepsilon_\infty)}{\varepsilon_s}$$

(2.7)

This expression shows that the $g$ factor is a measure of the extent to which restricted internal rotations and interactions of neighboring dipoles influence dipole alignment.

Rellick and Runt\textsuperscript{157} further applied this to derive an equation for the $g$ factor of a polymer blend with respect to its unblended state, showing that for a blend

$$g_{\text{blend}} = 9\varepsilon_0 k_B T \frac{\Delta \varepsilon(2\varepsilon_s + \varepsilon_\infty)}{g_1 n_1 \mu_1^2 (\varepsilon_\infty + 2)^2 + g_2 n_2 \mu_2^2 (\varepsilon_\infty + 2)^2}$$

(2.8)
In this equation \( n \) is the overall dipole density of the blend and \( n_i \) is the mole fraction of the component \( i \) in the blend. The variable \( g_{\text{blend}} \) is now a measure of the effect of blending on the dipole alignment. As such, \( g_{\text{blend}} \) is a measure of the polarization in the blend relative to that in the unblended environment. Based on equation (2.8), it is the effective squared moment in the blend over the squared moment that would be obtained if there were no change in interactions. As such, in the blends, we cannot distinguish whether such interactions are parallel or antiparallel in alignment. However, we can relate this to increases or decreases in the effective dipole moment.\(^{157}\)

The data in Figure 2.10 reveal that the calculated values of \( g_{\text{blend}} \) decrease with increasing \( T_g/T \). These data were determined by first calculating \( g_{\mu^2} \) for the pure PVME and PS components using equation (2.7) in conjunction with the dielectric data from the HN fitting parameters – obtained from the isothermal experiments at all the experimental temperatures. This information was then used in equation (2.8), along with the blend dielectric data and fitting parameters at each temperature and the appropriately calculated mole fractions. The temperature dependence of \( g \) is due to the temperature dependencies of the dielectric strengths.

The decrease of \( g_{\text{blend}} \) with decreasing temperature is very similar to that of the dielectric strengths. For \( T < T_g^{(\text{blend})} \) in all the blends, there is a decrease in \( g_{\text{blend}} \) with decreasing temperature, indicating a decrease in the effective moment, suggesting less influence by intermolecular interactions. This may suggest the existence of localized and uncorrelated dipole orientations. For the low \( \phi_{\text{PVME}} \) blends in which the VFT to Arrhenius transition is not evident (15% and 5% as the magenta down triangles and olive diamonds of Figure 2.10, respectively), the \( g \) factor increases with increasing \( T \) to the blend glass transition temperature. In the blends in which a temperature-dependent dynamic transition does occur (35% and 25% as the red circles
and blue up triangles of Figure 2.10, respectively), the pleateau near $T_g^{(\text{blend})}$ followed by an increasing $g$ factor can be due to large reductions in rotational barriers as the blend approaches its melt state.

![Figure 2.10](image)

**Figure 2.10:** Temperature dependencies as a function of $T_g/T$ of the $g$ factor of PVME segmental relaxations in PVME blends as calculated from the frequency sweep (isothermal) experiments. The vertical line represents unity ($T_g/T$).

### 2.4 Conclusions

Spatial compositional heterogeneity at the nanoscale is an inherent feature of both miscible and immiscible A/B polymer/polymer blends. The heterogeneity is temperature-dependent, with has important consequences on the dynamics. At high temperatures where the rate of compositional fluctuations is fast compared to the segmental relaxations, the chains would relax in the same average environment. On the other hand, for lower temperatures, the compositional fluctuations are slow compared to the segmental relaxations, and the dynamics of the molecules is sensitive to the local compositional environment. This is particularly evident in weakly interacting blends, in which dynamically asymmetric confinement effects can occur. In order to understand the multiple relaxation processes due to spatial compositional heterogeneity in blends, two types of broadband dielectric spectroscopy experiments were performed: (i) a
frequency sweep experiment (measurement of \( \varepsilon''(\omega) \) at different temperatures) and (ii) a temperature sweep experiment (measurement of \( \varepsilon''(T) \) at different frequencies).

Segmental dynamics in two miscible polymer blends – PVME/PS and PI/P4tBS – and one weakly miscible blend – PnBMA/PS – are investigated. The PVME/PS system exhibits an average glass transition temperature, \( T_g^{(\text{blend})} \), whereas PI/P4tBS and PnBMA/PS each exhibit two separate component \( T_g \)'s. The main finding is that the faster, lower \( T_g \) component of the blends – PVME, PI, or PnBMA – behave similarly. This lower \( T_g \) component relaxes in two different, distinctly dominant local compositional environments. Relaxation rates, measured using the BDS frequency sweeps, exhibited Arrhenius temperature dependencies for: (i) \( T < T_g^{(\text{blend})} \) in PVME/PS, (ii) \( T_g^{(\phi_{PS})} < T < T_g^{(\phi_{PI})} \) in the PI/P4tBS system, and (iii) \( T_g^{(\phi_{PS})} < T < T_g^{(\phi_{PnBMA})} \) in the PnBMA/PS system. These would be described as localized processes. The dynamics of the slower, collective process involving a large numbers of dipoles relaxing at similar frequencies is apparent from measurements of \( \varepsilon(T) \). An analysis of the dielectric strengths, broadening parameters from empirical fittings, as well as the Kirkwood \( g \) factors for dipole correlation for the PVME/PS blends supports the notion that this collective mechanism is the new \( \alpha_0 \) process, which is separate from the typical \( \alpha \) process also occurring in the melt state and the \( \alpha' \) process occurring at low \( T \). This overall behavior, appearance of the \( \alpha, \alpha_0, \) and \( \alpha' \) processes, would occur in miscible and weakly miscible blends whose component \( T_g \)'s differ significantly.
Chapter 3: Role of “Hard” and “Soft” Confinement on Polymer Dynamics at the Nanoscale


3.1 Introduction

Polymer thin films in the nanoscale thickness range play an increasingly important role in a diverse range of technologies, including organic electronics (photovoltaic cells\textsuperscript{158} and thin film transistors\textsuperscript{159}), coatings,\textsuperscript{160} and membranes.\textsuperscript{23} Entropic effects associated with unusual chain conformations required to maintain density constraints under thin film confinement,\textsuperscript{46} together with enthalpic interactions between the chain segments and external interfaces\textsuperscript{161} (free surface, hard or soft confinement), are largely responsible for deviations in the physical properties of such films from the bulk.

Of particular interest in this section is the role of confinement on segmental dynamics in thin film polymer melts. In the bulk, the difference between the measurement temperature $T$ and glass transition temperature $T_g$, $(T-T_g)$, is generally a good predictor of relative segmental relaxation rates, provided that the Vogel-Fulcher-Tammann\textsuperscript{37} (VFT), or equivalent Williams-Landel-Ferry\textsuperscript{39} (WLF), relationship holds and the associated physical constants of the polymer
are known. With regard to thin films, the situation can be more complex, due largely to effects associated with the close proximity of the external interfaces. Typically, a decrease in the $T_g$ occurs with decreasing film thickness $h$ for sufficiently thin ($h \sim$ tens of nanometers) freely standing films. Near a free surface, simulations reveal the existence of a mobile surface layer with thicknesses on the order of nanometers, and significantly faster dynamics than the bulk for linear chain polymers. For asymmetrically confined films (hard substrate-film-air), the $T_g$ increases with decreasing $h$ when the interactions between the polymer chains and the substrate are strong, e.g.: hydrogen bonding; interactions of chain segments in contact with a “wall” reduce the relaxation rates of the chains because of the associated increase of activation barriers for torsional relaxations from adsorption. On the other hand, if the polymer and the hard substrate are weakly interacting, e.g.: weak van der Waals interactions, the average $T_g$ of the thin film is comparable or lower than the bulk.

Deviations of various chain relaxation processes – side-chain, segmental, and translational – are generally associated with shifts in the $T_g$ from the bulk, though there is growing evidence suggesting that this issue is worth deeper consideration. In this regard, it would be worthwhile to consider the connection between segmental dynamics and $T_g$ of thin films under various conditions of asymmetric – “hard” and “soft” – confinement. To this end, we investigated the segmental dynamics of thin poly(vinyl alcohol) (PVA) films under various conditions of hard and soft confinement: (i) PVA films of varying thicknesses $h$ (25 nm < $h$ < 130 nm) confined between top and bottom Al electrodes (Al/PVA($h$)/Al) and (ii) PVA films of varying thicknesses $h$ confined between a bottom Al electrode on one side and polystyrene (PS) films of varying thicknesses $L$ (5 nm < $L$ < 200 nm) on top (Al/PVA($h$)/PS($L$)/Al) (Figure 3.1). Broadband dielectric spectroscopy (BDS) measurements
reveal that the PVA segmental relaxation rates in the Al/PVA(h)/PS(L)/Al system are much faster, by as much as a factor of six, than in the Al/PVA(h)/Al system of the same corresponding h. The enhancement effect increased with increasing thickness L of the confining PS layer, or decreasing h. These results are rationalized in light of recent simulations that implicate the role of the moduli of the confining soft layer.

![Figure 3.1: Schematic showing the geometric layout of the polymer bilayers (Al/PVA(h)/PS(L)/Al) during the BDS measurement.](image)

3.2 Experimental Section

The polymers used in this study were poly(vinyl alcohol) (PVA) (weight-average molecular weight \( M_w = 25 \text{ kg/mol} \), polydispersity index PDI = 1.9) purchased from Polysciences Inc. and polystyrene (PS) (\( M_w = 50 \text{ kg/mol} \), PDI = 1.06) purchased from Pressure Chemical Company. 3% weight concentration PVA solutions were made using deionized (DI) water as the solvent. 3% weight concentration PS solutions were made using toluene as the solvent. The solutions were filtered using polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) syringe filters with 0.2 μm pore size, then agitated for 24 hours. Agitation of the PVA solutions were done under elevated temperatures (323K) to ensure thermodynamic mixing.
Thin films of PVA and PS for the dynamics measurements were prepared and confined between two aluminum (Al) electrodes (known as double Al capped/supported). Al electrode strips, 0.5 mm in width and ~100 nm in thickness, were deposited onto glass substrates by thermal evaporation under high vacuum ($10^{-7}$ mbar) in a nitrogen ($N_2$) environment. Prior to evaporation, the substrates were thoroughly cleaned using DI water, glass detergent, acetone, and toluene under sonication. After Al evaporation, the substrates were brought into ambient air.

Films were made by first spin-cleaning (WS-400B-6NPP/LITE/10K, Laurell Technologies) with the used solvent (DI water for PVA), and then spin-coating the previously described solutions at 5000 rpm, 2500 acc for 75 seconds. Typically, BDS film measurements are done with the polymer film confined between hard, electrically conductive electrodes or as a supported film exposing a free surface; for the bilayers in this study, a PS film was deposited via spin casting directly on top of the PVA film. Bilayers were made by simply spin-coating one film on top of the other. Film thicknesses and bilayer conformations were confirmed using a spectroscopic ellipsometer (SE) (M-2000, J.A. Woollam). Thickness changes were done by varying the weight percent concentration of the solutions.

Samples were then dried under vacuum at room temperature for 12 hours, followed by subsequent annealing at a temperature of 393K for 24 hours under high vacuum. This temperature is above the bulk $T_g$'s of both PVA and PS. Following annealing, Al strip electrodes of the same geometry were then deposited on top of the films in the counter direction under the same conditions. To ensure that the sample geometry is known (area of film in contact with the electrodes), the Al strip electrodes are cut in such a way to ensure that only the directly overlaying parts of the top and bottom electrodes are available for charge transfer. Further details on sample preparation are described elsewhere.
Measurements of the dynamics were performed using a broadband dielectric spectrometer (BDS) (GmbH, Novocontrol Technologies). Because of probable air and moisture exposure during sample transport, the samples were annealed again within a BDS cryostat (Active Sample Cell ZGS, Novocontrol Technologies) under a N\textsubscript{2} flow environment for 10 hours to ensure that any residual moisture was removed. After in situ annealing, each sample was cooled at a rate of 3 K/min. The BDS sweeps were performed upon step heating in a frequency range of 0.1 Hz to 1 MHz with an AC voltage of 0.3 V per 100 nm of polymer film. The temperature range was 270K to 420K at a 3K step.

The \( T_g \)s of the polymers were measured using differential scanning calorimetry (DSC, Q200, TA Instruments) by first heating above the component \( T_g \)s and holding isothermally for 10 minutes, cooling at 10 K/min below the component \( T_g \)s, and then performing the measurement upon heating at 10 K/min. The glass transition was extracted from the step-like change in the endothermic heat flow baseline, where the baselines before and after the transition were extrapolated to a temperature where the change in heat capacity was at 50% completion. This result was compared to the peak position in the derivative heat flow.

Samples for Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) (Nicolet 6700, Thermo Scientific) were prepared on silicon (Si) substrates with a thin layer of native oxide, thoroughly sonicated with acetone, isopropanol, and water prior to use. Al deposition was done as described previously, except now covering the entire substrate. PVA films were prepared in the same manner as the dynamic samples, including the same annealing conditions. Reflective measurements were done with a resolution of 4.0 cm\textsuperscript{-1} by averaging 128 scans in the range of 4000 – 400 cm\textsuperscript{-1}.
3.3 Results and Discussion

We begin by showing the dielectric loss curves, obtained using BDS, plotted in Figure 3.2(a) as a function of frequency for a $h = 70$ nm PVA film confined between two Al electrodes – Al/PVA(70 nm)/Al. The peaks, due to the segmental, or $\alpha$, relaxations shift to higher frequencies with increasing temperature; in addition, their intensities modestly increase with increasing $T$, as expected for pure polymers under isothermal measurement conditions. The relaxation times are extracted by fitting the complex dielectric permittivity $\varepsilon^*(\omega)$ at each temperature to a Havriliak-Negami (HN) function$^{171}$ with an included conductivity contribution term$^{142}$ (necessary due to electrode and interfacial polarizations in thin films – note the existence of a low frequency tail):

$$\varepsilon_{HN}^*(\omega) = \varepsilon_\infty + \frac{\Delta \varepsilon}{1 + (i\omega \tau_{HN})^\beta} - i\left(\frac{\sigma_0}{\varepsilon_0 \omega}\right)^N \tag{3.1}$$

**Figure 3.2:** (a) Raw dielectric loss curves at different temperatures for a 70 nm PVA film capped between two Al electrodes (Al/PVA(70 nm)/Al). The dashed lines represent the HN peak fittings, the dotted lines represent the conductivity contributions, and the solid lines represent the summation of the two. (b) Temperature dependencies of the inverse PVA segmental relaxation times in Al/PVA(70 nm)/Al as calculated from the peak maxima in the dielectric loss curves. The solid line represents the VFT fitting.
The dashed lines in Figure 3.2(a) represent the imaginary portions of the HN peak fittings and the dotted lines represent the imaginary portion of the conductivity contributions. The solid lines represent the summation of the two. Although we model the complex dielectric function, of interest is the characteristic relaxation time $\tau (1/2\pi \omega)$ associated with the dielectric loss peak maximum of the imaginary portion of the permittivity $\varepsilon''(\omega)$; it is identified as the segmental relaxation time for that temperature. These relaxation rates are plotted as $1/\tau$ vs. $1/T$ in Figure 3.2(b). The temperature dependence of the relaxations are well described by the VFT equation,\(^{37}\) shown as the solid black line. This is consistent with the segmental behavior of a pure homopolymer. Note that an analysis of the data in the temperature (isochronal) representation yields the same results, as expected (see Appendix B).

With regard to preparation of the bilayer samples – Al/PVA($h$)/PS($L$)/Al (see Figure 3.1) – PS, which is immiscible with PVA,\(^{172}\) films were deposited via spin casting with an orthogonal solvent (water for PVA and toluene for PS) directly on top of the PVA films. Because the permittivity is a capacitive property, we can model the dielectric response $\varepsilon^*_{\text{total}}(\omega)$ of this bilayer system in terms of the individual contributions $\varepsilon^*_{\text{PVA}}(\omega)$ and $\varepsilon^*_{\text{PS}}(\omega)$, for the PVA and PS layers respectively, as:\(^{173}\)

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^*_{\text{total}}(\omega)} = \frac{\phi}{\varepsilon^*_{\text{PVA}}(\omega)} + \frac{1-\phi}{\varepsilon^*_{\text{PS}}(\omega)} \tag{3.2}$$

where $\phi$ is the thickness ratio of PVA to that of the bilayer (volume fraction). Thus the PVA dielectric response is not equal to the system total dielectric response (despite a PS dielectric loss signal orders of magnitude lower than that of PVA), but rather

$$\varepsilon^*_{\text{PVA}}(\omega) = \phi[\frac{1}{\varepsilon^*_{\text{total}}(\omega)} - \frac{1-\phi}{\varepsilon^*_{\text{PS}}(\omega)}]^{-1} \tag{3.3}$$

$\varepsilon^*_{\text{total}}(\omega)$ is the measured dielectric response of the bilayer, and $\varepsilon^*_{\text{PS}}(\omega)$, whose magnitude is small compared to that of PVA, is determined from measurement of the single layer, double Al
capped PS films – Al/PS(L)/Al – of the appropriate thickness. A more thorough analysis of this modeling and its implications may be found in Appendix C.

Shown in Figure 3.3 are the raw (left – (a), (c), and (e)) and normalized (right – (b), (d), and (f)) dielectric loss curves of PVA films of three thicknesses \( h = 25 \text{ nm}, 70 \text{ nm}, \) and 130 nm – for measurements performed at an arbitrary temperature of 390K. The dashed lines in the raw curves (a), (c), and (e) show the HN peak fittings (conductivity contributions are excluded). Data extracted from the pure confined film Al/PVA(\( h \))/Al (black squares) and from the bilayer geometries Al/PVA(\( h \))/PS(\( L \))/Al of various Ps layer thickness \( L \) are plotted in this figure. The bilayer geometry data has been corrected as discussed previously to represent the PVA contributions to the total dielectric response. In all cases, the data exhibit a well-defined peak maximum for the segmental relaxation. For each sample, the intensity of the imaginary permittivity (raw dielectric loss curve plots) is proportional to the amount of PS in the bilayer. This may be related to changes in the PVA volume fraction with thickness, as signal intensity is proportional to the total number of relaxing dipoles throughout the entire system. It should be emphasized that with the normalization of the dielectric loss curves, the locations of the maxima change with temperature and film thickness, highlighting a shift in the dynamic response.
Figure 3.3: Raw and normalized dielectric loss curves at an arbitrary temperature of 390K for the PVA segmental relaxation contribution in PVA films of three thicknesses in double Al capped (Al/PVA(h)/Al) and various bilayer (Al/PVA(h)/PS(L)/Al) geometries (a) 25 nm - raw (b) 25 nm - normalized (c) 70 nm - raw (d) 70 nm - normalized (e) 130 nm - raw (f) 130 nm - normalized. Dashed lines in (a), (c), and (e) represent the HN peak fittings.
Figure 3.4: Temperature dependencies of PVA inverse segmental relaxation times of PVA films of three thicknesses (a) 25 nm (b) 70 nm, and (c) 130 nm, in double Al capped (Al/PVA(h)/Al) and various bilayer (Al/PVA(h)/PS(L)/Al) geometries.
The temperature dependencies of the characteristic PVA relaxation rates are shown in Figure 3.4. It is evident that the PVA dynamics are enhanced in the Al/PVA(h)/PS(L)/Al samples in comparison to those of the Al/PVA(h)/Al samples; this behavior is exhibited for all thicknesses \(h\) and \(L\). The information in Table 3.1 includes the values of \(\frac{\tau_{PVA(h)}}{\tau_{PVA(h)} - PS(L)}\) for all the samples we investigated, at the arbitrarily chosen temperature of \(T = 390\)K. In all cases \(\frac{\tau_{PVA(h)}}{\tau_{PVA(h)} - PS(L)} > 1\), revealing that the PVA segmental relaxations in the bilayers are faster.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geometry</th>
<th>(\tau_{PVA(h)}) or (\tau_{PVA(h)} - PS(L))</th>
<th>(\frac{\tau_{PVA(h)}}{\tau_{PVA(h)} - PS(L)})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Al/PVA(25 nm)/Al</td>
<td>2.50E-04</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al/PVA(25 nm)/PS(195 nm)/Al</td>
<td>3.97E-05</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al/PVA(25 nm)/PS(30 nm)/Al</td>
<td>1.78E-04</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al/PVA(25 nm)/PS(15 nm)/Al</td>
<td>1.70E-04</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al/PVA(25 nm)/PS(5 nm)/Al</td>
<td>1.43E-04</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al/PVA(70 nm)/Al</td>
<td>5.37E-04</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al/PVA(70 nm)/PS(50 nm)/Al</td>
<td>9.05E-05</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al/PVA(70 nm)/PS(30 nm)/Al</td>
<td>3.65E-04</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al/PVA(70 nm)/PS(15 nm)/Al</td>
<td>3.20E-04</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al/PVA(70 nm)/Al</td>
<td>1.15E-03</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al/PVA(130 nm)/PS(195 nm)/Al</td>
<td>2.93E-04</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al/PVA(130 nm)/PS(30 nm)/Al</td>
<td>6.79E-04</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.1: The absolute value of the PVA segmental relaxation time at \(T = 390\)K for each of the corresponding geometries is given in the second column: \(\tau_{PVA(h)}\) for the pure film or \(\tau_{PVA(h)} - PS(L)\) for the bilayers. The third column gives the ratio \(\frac{\tau_{PVA(h)}}{\tau_{PVA(h)} - PS(L)}\) for the bilayer geometries.

The role of a soft confining layer has been suggested to influence the dynamics of a confined polymer layer under various circumstances. Experiments reveal a depression of \(T_g\)\textsuperscript{174–177} and increase in physical aging rates\textsuperscript{178,179} upon the introduction of soft confinement. The \(T_g\) depression is corroborated by simulations performed by Simmons and coworkers\textsuperscript{162,180} Other experiments\textsuperscript{181–183} reveal an enhancement of chain dynamics with respect to the bulk in the presence of a fluid-like layer at the interface. Simulations by Lipson and coworkers\textsuperscript{88,89} predict that within the interfacial region of a polymer film in contact with a material composed of high-mobility molecules, the segmental mobility in the polymer film is enhanced. This is consistent
with the behavior we observed – enhanced chain mobility of a film in contact with a mobile confining polymer. These simulations also suggest that the length-scales over which a fluid interfacial layer affects the dynamics within the confined film are larger than those due to a free or hard surface. This observation is corroborated by findings of Baglay et al.\textsuperscript{177} and by Zhang et al.\textsuperscript{175} who examined effects associated with $T_g$. With regard to the outcomes of these simulations, the effect of the PS layer is observed in PVA films as thick as $h = 130$ nm, far greater than the few nanometers as seen for homopolymer films with a hard substrate or even a free surface. 

We note that Mirigian and Schweizer\textsuperscript{103} have pointed out that the length-scale of $T_g$ changes is better rationalized in terms of the effect of a long-ranged elastic field that accommodates localized dynamics; the proximity of a free surface truncates the range of the field, thereby lowering the barrier for displacements in the vicinity of a surface compared to the bulk. This should provide insights into the fact that the length-scales of $T_g$ changes within a film far exceed the thickness of the liquid-like layer at the free surface of a film.

Simmons and coworkers\textsuperscript{162,180} showed that an increased softness of the confining layer would have a larger effect on the $T_g$ of the confined polymer film. They moreover suggest that the high frequency shear modulus is a reliable parameter to quantify softness. Our experimental results are consistent with this notion – we see an enhancement in dynamics when replacing Al with PS, the latter of which has a much smaller Debye-Waller factor.\textsuperscript{184,185} Our observations also indicate that as the confining PS layer becomes thinner, the dynamics of the confined film more closely approaches the double Al confined case. This is clear from the values of $\tau_{\text{PVA}(h)/\text{PVA}(h)-\text{PS}(L)}$ in Table 3.1: $\tau_{\text{PVA}(h)/\text{PVA}(h)-\text{PS}(L)}$ is largest for the largest value of $L$ and decreases with decreasing $L$. 
Our observations are consistent with the notion that the thinnest PS layer would have the largest effective modulus. In other words, the effective modulus of the PS layer increases with decreasing $L$. Nanoindentation measurements show that the effective modulus of a thin polymer film supported by a hard substrate increases with decreasing film thickness; this is known as the “substrate effect.” The length-scales of this enhancement of the effective modulus with decreasing film thickness can be from tens to hundreds of nanometers, depending on the polymer. Incoherent neutron scattering measurements of supported polymer thin films show that the vibrational spring constants (extracted from the Debye-Waller factor) increase with decreasing film thickness, consistent with the increasing effective modulus with decreasing film thickness.

Finally, we note that our dynamics observations are not directly associated with the change in the average $T_g$ of the confining film with film thickness – there are significant shifts in the PVA dynamics even with changing PS film thicknesses (~50 nm to ~195 nm) in which the literature reports no or minimal changes in PS film $T_g$. If the changing PS $T_g$ was causing the shift in PVA dynamics, we would not expect to see a change here, yet this is where we see the biggest change in confined PVA relaxation rates. In addition, because the average $T_g$ of the PS films decreases with decreasing film thickness, it suggests that the thinnest PS film would have the largest effect; this was not the case. These points both show that relating confining layer $T_g$ to neighboring layer dynamic shifts are inconsistent.

3.4 Conclusions

In conclusion, we show an enhancement of the PVA segmental dynamics upon introduction of a soft confining layer, compared to that of a hard support substrate; our
observations are corroborated by independent experiments and by predictive simulations. For the asymmetrically confined system \( \text{Al/PVA}(h)/\text{PS}(L)/\text{Al} \), we show that for a sufficiently large \( L \), the segmental relaxation rates of PVA were more than half an order of magnitude faster than for PVA symmetrically confined by aluminum layers. Upon decreasing the thickness \( L \) of the soft confining PS layer, the segmental dynamics of PVA approached that of the hard Al substrate confinement \( \text{Al/PVA}(h)/\text{Al} \) system. This follows from the notion that as the supported polymer film becomes thinner, its mechanical stiffness approaches that of the underlying substrate. A notable observation is that the effects due to soft confinement propagate over longer length-scales than those due to hard confinement or to free surface effects, consistent with simulations and theory.\textsuperscript{103,88,89}


Chapter 4: Role of Thickness Confinement on Relaxations of the Fast Component in a Miscible A/B Blend
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4.1 Introduction

Interest in the physical properties of polymers at the nanoscale, initially driven in part by the realization that the average glass transition temperature $T_g$ exhibits film thickness-dependent behavior when confined to nanoscale dimensions, continues to attract the attention of researchers with much broader interests. Film thickness dependent behaviors are documented in charge carrier mobilities in conjugated polymers, elastic moduli of polymers, and ferroelectric properties. These length-scale dependent behaviors, which occur for fundamentally different reasons, have major technological implications because thin polymer films are used in a diverse range of applications, including microelectronics, photovoltaic cells, coatings, and membranes. For these reasons, questions related to the behavior of polymers under confinement continue to be a vibrant area of research.

Of particular interest in this study are the issues related to chain dynamics in miscible polymer/polymer thin film blends, an area which has remained largely unexplored. Entropic
effects associated with unusual macromolecular conformations required for the “packing” of chains under confinement conditions, together with the proximity and associated enthalpic interactions between chain segments and external interfaces, influence the overall structure and physical properties of polymer thin films.\textsuperscript{46,161,164,195} The average $T_g$ of a sufficiently thin linear chain homopolymer film typically deviates from the bulk because the local $T_g$ near an external interface varies with depth $\zeta$ from said interface. When strongly attractive interactions, such as hydrogen bonding, exist between the polymer and a hard substrate, the local $T_g$s in the vicinity of the substrate are enhanced in relation to the bulk; hence the average $T_g$ of a sufficiently thin film confined between two such substrates would be higher than the bulk.\textsuperscript{97,165,166,196,197} Additionally, chains in contact with a substrate not only experience reduced configurational entropies, but their relaxations can be hindered because the activation barrier for torsional motions are increased.\textsuperscript{101,102,105,106,198–201} With regard to freely standing films, their average $T_g$s are lower than the bulk.\textsuperscript{93,96–98,100,105,175,176,202} The enhanced configurational freedom of chain segments at a free surface, and their associated enhanced segmental mobilities, are responsible for this deviation.\textsuperscript{86,88–91,103,164,181} In a sufficiently thin linear chain polymer film in which one interface is free and the other is in contact with a substrate, the average glass transition temperature is lower than the bulk if the effects at the free surface are more dominant than those at the substrate.\textsuperscript{203}

The influence of long and short-range intermolecular interactions on the relaxations of chains in thin homopolymer films in contact with various external interfaces is reasonably well understood,\textsuperscript{98,101,105,107,108,167,169,170,198–201,204,205} whereas little is known about the component dynamics of binary, miscible, A/B thin film polymer blends. In A/B mixtures, particularly in those in which there exists a large disparity between component $T_g$s and/or the A/B interactions are comparatively weak, the composition is spatially homogeneous at macroscopic scales, yet
heterogeneous at the nanoscale.\textsuperscript{55,64,65,67,111,129} Chain connectivity\textsuperscript{59,64} and thermally driven concentration fluctuations\textsuperscript{61,62} are responsible for compositional heterogeneities in the bulk mixtures, and they have a profound influence on both the segmental and translational dynamics of the components. This spatial heterogeneity in composition is responsible for local variations of the glass transition temperatures and relaxation processes – characterized by different rates and temperature dependencies – of the individual A and B components throughout the material.\textsuperscript{54–56,58,65,68,74,76,111,117,118,129,206}

The effect of the spatial compositional heterogeneity on component dynamics in thin films remains largely unexplored. In thin film polymer mixtures, the composition at the polymer-air and/or polymer-substrate interface generally differs from the bulk, due to the preferential attraction of one component. The component with lower surface energy preferentially adsorbs to a free surface, provided entropic considerations such as asymmetries in chain stiffness are not dominant. Relative intermolecular interactions between a substrate and either component of the blend generally dictate the component that resides preferentially at that substrate.\textsuperscript{112,207–210} It would be important to understand (i) the extent to which the preferential segregation of blend components to external interfaces would influence dynamics within the thin film and (ii) the length-scales over which thickness confinement would influence the relaxation rates of the blend components, compared to related length-scales of homopolymer films.

The miscible blend of poly(vinyl methyl ether) (PVME)/polystyrene (PS) offers an ideal system for investigating component dynamics of confined, thin film, polymer mixtures to understand the effects of spatial heterogeneity and interfacial enrichment for the following reasons: (i) it possesses a lower critical solution temperature (LCST) that is well above both component $T_g$s and thus this system maintains miscibility throughout a wide range of
temperatures and (ii) the $T_g$ of PS is over 100 degrees higher than that of PVME – hence the PVME segmental relaxation rates are orders of magnitude faster than those of PS. This, together with the fact that the segmental dipoles in PVME are much stronger than the PS dipoles, make it convenient to investigate the PVME component dynamics. Here we report the relaxation dynamics of the PVME component in thin film PVME/PS blends confined between aluminum (Al) substrates. With regard to the films, it is well known that PVME, the lower surface energy component, preferentially enriches silicon oxide substrates, Al substrates, as well as the free surface. Furthermore, broadband dielectric spectroscopy (BDS), X-ray photon correlation spectroscopy (XPCS), and specific heat spectroscopy all indicate that the existence of a PVME-rich interface would have a significant effect on the overall PVME dynamics. Hence this is an interesting system to understand the effects of nanoconfinement on blend chain dynamics.

We show, using BDS, that with increasing molecular weight $M$ of the PS component, the average PVME segmental relaxation rates in 100 nm PVME/PS films increase. Moreover, the thicknesses over which confinement of these miscible blends affects the chain dynamics are well beyond 100 nm; these are significantly larger length-scales than those of confined homopolymer thin films – in homopolymer films the thicknesses where the substrate effects are manifested are generally on the order of nanometers. This behavior is due to the existence of spatial compositional heterogeneity in miscible blends and the preferential enrichment of PVME to the external interfaces.
4.2 Experimental Section

The polymers used in this study were poly(vinyl methyl ether) (PVME), with number-average molecular weight $M = 22.1 \text{ kg/mol}$ and polydispersity index PDI = 1.09, and deuterated polystyrenes (PS) of nearly monodisperse molecular weights $M = 3.8, 4, 10.9, 132, 190, 525 \text{ kg/mol}$. All polymers were purchased from Polymer Source Inc.

Each PVME/PS blend investigated in this study contained 25 weight percent PVME and was miscible (in fact, deuterated PS is more miscible with PVME than hydrogenated PS$^{133–135}$). Each blend was prepared by initially dissolving the homopolymers separately in toluene at 3 weight percent concentration, followed by agitation for 24 hours. The solutions were then filtered using PTFE syringe filters with 0.2 μm pore sizes, before mixing to create the desired 25% blend compositions, and finally agitated for 24 hours. The final blends are known as: PVME/PS(3.8k), PVME/PS(4k), PVME/PS(10.9k), PVME/PS(132k), PVME/PS(190k), and PVME/PS(525k).

Thin film PVME/PS samples for BDS were confined between Al electrode strips, top and bottom, 0.5 mm in width and ~100 nm in thickness. The bottom Al electrodes were deposited onto glass substrates by thermal evaporation under high vacuum ($10^{-7}$ mbar). Prior to evaporation, the substrates were thoroughly cleaned using deionized (DI) water, glass detergent, acetone, and toluene under sonication. The previously described polymer solutions were spin-coated (WS-400B-6NPP/LITE/10K, Laurell Technologies) onto the clean Al/glass substrates at 5000 rpm, 2500 acc for 75 seconds to fabricate 100 nm thick films. A spectroscopic ellipsometer (SE) (M-2000, J.A. Woollam) was used to confirm the film thicknesses. Samples were then dried under vacuum at room temperature for 12 hours, followed by subsequent annealing at temperatures at least 50K above the bulk blend $T_g$s and also above the bulk component $T_g$s, for
24 hours. Top Al strip electrodes were then deposited on top of the films in the counter direction. To ensure that the sample geometry is known (area of film in contact with the electrodes), the Al strip electrodes are cut in such a way to ensure that only the directly overlaying parts of the top and bottom electrodes are available for charge transfer. Further details on sample preparation are described elsewhere.\textsuperscript{170}

Measurements of the dynamics were performed using a broadband dielectric spectrometer (BDS) (GmbH, Novocontrol Technologies). Because of probable exposure to air during sample preparation, the samples were annealed again within a BDS cryostat (Active Sample Cell ZGS, Novocontrol Technologies) under a nitrogen (N\textsubscript{2}) flow environment for 10 hours to ensure than any residual moisture was removed. After in situ annealing, each sample was cooled at a rate of 3 K/min. The BDS sweeps were performed upon step heating in a frequency range of 0.1 Hz to 1 MHz with an AC voltage of 0.3 V. The temperature range was 250K to 325K at a 1K step. Measurements were performed with the previously described Al strips in contact with gold probes.

The \( T_g \)\textsubscript{s} of the polymer films were measured using capacitive dilatometry in the BDS film setup as described above. The temperature is taken at the kink-like change in the temperature dependence of the real permittivity \( \varepsilon'(\omega) \) at \( 10^5 \) Hz of the applied electric field, a frequency sufficiently high enough to guarantee that the values are not affected by relaxation processes. This allows for the monitoring of the temperature dependence of the film density.\textsuperscript{26,38} A cooling rate of 1 K/min was used; we note no difference in the \( T_g \) between heating and cooling at the same rate.

The depth profiles of PVME/PS(3.8k) and PVME/PS(525k) were determined using dynamic secondary ion mass spectrometry (DSIMS). We note that while the films used for the
dynamics studies were sandwiched between two Al electrodes, the samples prepared for DSIMS were spin-coated on an Al substrate (100 nm thick), exposing the other surface. A sacrificial hydrogenated polystyrene (hPS) (purchased from Pressure Chemical Co., \( M = 143.4 \text{ kg/mol, PDI} = 1.06 \)) layer 50 nm in thickness was placed on top of the films by first floating off a silicon substrate onto heated deionized water and then placing onto the thin film blend. These samples are prepared with a sacrificial PS layer on top in order to (i) determine the ion beam sputtering rate and (ii) protect the film while the beam stabilized. All other film preparations were kept consistent with the dynamics study. The DSIMS measurements were performed by Dr. Tom Mates of the University of California, Santa Barbara, using a Physical Electronics 6650 Quadrupole instrument. A Cs\(^+\) primary ion beam (6 keV and 50 nA) was used to sputter the samples with a 350 \( \mu \text{m} \times 450 \mu \text{m} \) raster area, and negative secondary ions of hydrogen (H), deuterium (D), aluminum (Al), and silicon (Si) were monitored from the center 15% of the crater area. SE measurements were used to convert the sputtering time axis to the appropriate depth scale.

Samples for variable angle spectroscopic ellipsometry (VASE) measurements were made with three different substrates; one with a thin layer of native silicon oxide and the other two with layers of thermally grown SiO\(_2\) that are 300 nm and 500 nm thick (purchased from Encompass Inc.). To match the substrate interfaces with the dynamics studies, a thin layer of Al (10 nm) was evaporated on the substrates. The blends and pure homopolymers were subsequently spin-cast on top, with all annealing conditions matching those done in the dynamic studies. Ellipsometric measurements were performed in reflection mode at 5 angles: 55°, 60°, 65°, 70°, and 75°. Measurements were performed both prior and post Al deposition in order to correctly determine the optical constants for the homopolymers and thickness of the Al layers.
Optical constants of the Si, native oxide, and thermally grown SiO$_2$ were taken from CompleteEASE software’s library database. Optical constants of PVME and PS were measured by fitting the VASE data of neat films. It is evident that while no noticeable differences are observed across the different PS molecular weights, the refractive indices of PVME and PS are significantly different – this difference enables ellipsometric identification of PVME and PS at different depths into the film. The blend data were then fit simultaneously using the CompleteEASE software (J. A. Woollam Co.) to determine the depth/concentration profiles of the different PVME/PS blends. This multi-sample analysis is necessary to increase the uniqueness of the fits due to the strong correlations between the fitting parameters.$^{219,220}$

### 4.3 Results and Discussion

The dielectric loss curves extracted from the broadband dielectric spectroscopy (BDS) frequency sweep (isothermal) experimental data for 100 nm thick PVME/PS(3.8k) and PVME/PS(525k) films are plotted in Figure 4.1(a) and Figure 4.1(b), respectively. Due to the significantly stronger dipole moment in the PVME segments, in addition to the large time-scale of separation between PVME and PS relaxation rates, these curves readily describe the relaxations of the PVME segments. These BDS experiments, in which the temperature is kept constant and the frequency is changed many orders of magnitude, are most sensitive to the fastest relaxing PVME segments, as shown in previous work.$^{206}$ The maximum of each curve shifts to higher frequencies with increasing temperature in a non-linear manner, as expected for molecular relaxation processes.

The curves are well described by the Havriliak-Negami (HN) function with conductivity contributions (fits shown as solid lines in Figure 4.1(a) and Figure 4.1(b)).$^{171}$
\[ \varepsilon_{HN}^*(\omega) = \varepsilon_\infty + \frac{\Delta \varepsilon}{(1 + (i\omega\tau_{HN})^\alpha)^\beta} - i\left(\frac{\sigma_\omega}{\varepsilon_0\omega}\right)^N \]  

(4.1)

This equation is widely considered to be the most general empirical modeling function for a dielectric relaxation process. The characteristic relaxation time \( \tau = (1/2\pi\omega) \) associated with the dielectric loss peak maximum of the imaginary portion of the permittivity \( \varepsilon''(\omega) \) is identified as the segmental relaxation time at that temperature.\(^{142}\) The segmental relaxation rates \((1/\tau)\) of the PVME component are plotted as a function of \(1/T\) in Figure 4.1(c) for the two thin film samples. In contrast to the pure PVME homopolymer,\(^{206}\) the temperature dependencies of the PVME relaxations do not follow the simple Vogel-Fulcher-Tammann (VFT) behavior.\(^{37}\) Colmenero et al.\(^{68,76,119}\) showed that the PVME segmental relaxation rates decrease rapidly, and in a non-linear manner, with decreasing temperature for \( T > T_g^{(blend)} \). However, for temperatures \( T < T_g^{(blend)} \), the segmental dynamics of PVME exhibits a weak, approximately Arrhenius temperature dependence with further decreasing \( T \). This, as Colmenero and collaborators have shown, is because for \( T < T_g^{(blend)} \), the low \( T_g \) PVME chains relax within the “frozen” confines of the high \( T_g \), glassy PS domains. In other words, the PS component vitrifies, while the still mobile PVME component relaxes. This Arrhenius dependence is believed to be due to the fact that the length-scale of cooperativity – as described by Adam and Gibbs\(^{33}\) and further by Donth\(^{34,36,113}\) – of the PVME chains would be suppressed due to confinement between the PS domains. Whereas this phenomena has been shown in the bulk blends before,\(^{68,76,119,206}\) we show here that the behavior also occurs in films with thicknesses at least up to 100 nm.

In Figures 4.1(c), the onset of the low temperature relaxation behavior, where localized PVME relaxations occur in “frozen” PS domains, occurs at an onset temperature \( T_o \), which differs from \( T_g^{(blend)} \); this is in contrast to the bulk where \( T_o = T_g^{(blend)} \). This is potentially one of the effects of confinement on the structure, and hence PVME segmental dynamics, of the films.
The preferential segregation of PVME to the Al substrates, which we show later, is due to a preferential attraction between the PVME and the Al and a decreased entropy of mixing with the PS with increased PS chain length (scales as $1/N$). In these films, the structure is such that the segregation of the PVME forms sufficiently thick layers at the Al substrates, thereby altering the average blend composition within the interior of the sample. This effective blend composition within the interior differs from the average blend composition of the sample. The consequences of this effect on the PVME dynamics is of specific interest in the remainder of this chapter.
Figure 4.1: Raw dielectric loss curves of $\varepsilon''$ vs frequency of the applied AC voltage, at select temperatures, for (a) 100 nm PVME/PS(3.8k) and (b) 100 nm PVME/PS(525k). Green solid lines represent the HN peak fittings. (c) Temperature dependencies of the inverse segmental relaxation rates of PVME/PS(3.8k) (black squares) and PVME/PS(525k) (red circles). Relaxation times are calculated from the maxima in the frequency sweep dielectric loss curves.
Recently Yang and Green,\textsuperscript{112} and subsequently Sharma and Green,\textsuperscript{206} showed that the PVME chains in bulk PVME/PS blends exhibited different relaxation processes characterized by distinct relaxation times, and very different temperature dependencies, manifesting the influence of spatial compositional heterogeneity. At high temperatures where the compositional fluctuations are fast compared to the PVME chain relaxation rates, the temperature dependence is VFT-like. For temperatures $T < T_{g}^{(\text{blend})}$, the phenomenon occurs where the PS component undergoes a component glass transition and the PVME chains relax within the “frozen” PS domains. This $T$ dependence is Arrhenius, as mentioned earlier – this was identified as the $\alpha'$ process. Another relaxation process, more strongly temperature-dependent, occurs wherein the PVME chains undergo a slower, collective relaxation process, identified at the $\alpha_0$ process. This identification was achieved using a contour plot representation, where the abscissa and ordinate are $1/T$ and $\log(1/\tau)$, respectively; the contours are dependent on $\log(\varepsilon")$. These plots were created by fitting the data to a two variable polynomial ($\omega$ and $T$ are independent variables and $\varepsilon"$ is the dependent variable) and recalculating the permittivity using the generated function at every temperature and frequency. Note here that a typical isochronal measurement (temperature sweep measurement of $\varepsilon"(T)$ for different values of $\omega$) exhibits a maximum when the largest number of dipoles relax at the same frequency. Such a measurement is sensitive to the $\alpha_0$ process. Because the most rapidly relaxing dipoles provide the largest signal, the isothermal experiments (frequency sweep measurement of $\varepsilon"(\omega)$ over a range of frequencies, at constant $T$) are more sensitive to the faster $\alpha'$ process.

The contour plots of the two thin film blends, PVME/PS(3.8k) and PVME/PS(525k), are shown in Figure 4.2(a) and Figure 4.2(b) respectively, with the frequency and temperature sweeps overlaid as the red and blue circles, respectively. The $\alpha_0$ and $\alpha'$ dynamic processes,
occurring at low temperatures, reported earlier by our group for bulk PVME/PS blends, are now also identified separately by the frequency and temperature sweep experiments.\textsuperscript{112,206} Recall that for temperatures well above the $T_g^{(\text{blend})}$, the fluctuations in local composition are rapid, compared to the relaxation rates. However at lower $T$, the fluctuations are slow compared to the relaxation rates; in other words, the PVME relaxation rates are sensitive to the local compositional environment, as discussed by Sharma and Green.\textsuperscript{206} It is readily apparent from Figure 4.2 that the separation time-scales between the isothermal and isochronal measurements are significant. However, this separation between experimental data is larger than that observed in the bulk analogs. Recall that the dielectric loss peaks of the bulk blends are much broader than the homopolymers;\textsuperscript{10,200,221,222} the loss peak maxima for the thin films are broader than the bulk due to the segregation of PVME chains to the external interfaces.

![Figure 4.2](image-url)

**Figure 4.2.** Contour plots of log($\varepsilon''$) vs AC electric field frequency $1/\tau$ and inverse temperature $1/T$ for 100 nm films of (a) PVME/PS(3.8k) and (b) PVME/PS(525k). Overlaid on the plot are the relaxations from the frequency sweep (red circles), temperature sweep (blue circles), and contour sweep (black circles).

In order to compare the PVME relaxation rates in the films of varying compositions, the extremum of each contour curve is identified in Figure 4.2; these extrema are represented by the black symbols. It is recognized that PVME segments are mixed with PS in the bulk of the film as
well as residing within the external interfacial regions, so their dielectric responses would be different; i.e.: the electric field strengths differ throughout the sample. The use of the contour plot, or the contour ridgelines, as a function of both temperature and frequency, as described in further detail in Appendix H, mitigates this concern.

The data in Figure 4.3 depict the temperature dependencies of the characteristic PVME relaxations for six 100 nm films of different PS molecular weights (the raw dielectric loss curves and contour data for all these films may be found in Appendix E, Figure E-1 and Figure E-2). These data reveal that, for all temperatures, the PVME segmental relaxation rates increase with increasing PS molecular weight $M$ in the blend. This appears to be counterintuitive because the relaxations of the PS chains are extremely slow – by orders of magnitude – in comparison to those of the PVME chains; therefore one might anticipate that the relaxation rates of the PVME chains, occurring in a comparatively static environment, would be constant. We further note that even if the PVME relaxations are affected by the PS dynamics, the higher $M$ PS chains, with
higher $T_g$s and friction coefficients, should serve to slow the PVME relaxations down; yet the opposite is observed – PVME relaxation rates increase with increasing $M$.

In light of these observations, it would be prudent to investigate the role of thickness confinement. As such, it would be important to examine the depth-dependent composition profile of PVME in the samples. To this end dynamic secondary ion mass spectrometry (DSIMS) measurements of PVME/PS(3.8k) and PVME/PS(525k) are shown in Figure 4.4(a) and Figure 4.4(b), respectively. Because deuterated PS is used in the blends rather than hydrogenated PS, secondary ions from hydrogen and deuterium originate from PVME and PS, respectively. Unsurprisingly, the DSIMS measurements reveal that the segregation of PVME to the external aluminum interfaces is significant. This is apparent from the peak in the secondary count for PVME hydrogen ions on the right hand side of both plots, revealing a buildup of PVME at that interface. It is noteworthy that the ion count is stronger and larger, indicating a thicker PVME interfacial layer, for the PVME/PS(525k) sample, revealing a PS molecular weight effect on interfacial enrichment.

![Figure 4.4: SIMS generated depth profiles of normalized ion count versus thickness into the film of 100 nm (a) PVME/PS(3.8k) and (b) PVME/PS(525k). The two interfaces are a sacrificial hPS layer (left) and an aluminum substrate (right). Because deuterated polystyrene is used in the blends, hydrogen ions indicate PVME and deuterium ions indicate PS.](image-url)
In order to gain further information about the layer thicknesses and concentrations in these samples of varying PS chain length, variable angle spectroscopic ellipsometry (VASE) experiments were performed. This was accomplished by building a model consisting of 3 effective medium approximation (EMA) layers in order to describe the ellipsometric data. Since the refractive indices of PS and PVME in the blends remain the same as in the neat films, we used the fit to calculate the thicknesses and compositions of each EMA layer in the film (the difference between refractive indices of the two components is large). In agreement with both previous studies\textsuperscript{112,211} and our DSIMS measurements, our results indicate (Figure 4.5) that the PVME/PS films consist of PVME-rich layers at the polymer-Al interfaces. These layers are determined to be nearly 100% PVME in concentration. Of particular relevance here is that the thickness of the interfacial layer at the Al substrate increases with increasing $M$ (Figure 4.5(a)). Consequently, the PVME concentration in the interior also reduces with increasing PS molecular weight, as shown in Figure 4.5(b). It is now clear that with increasing PS chain length in thin film PVME/PS($M$) blends, the interior of the blend is depleted in PVME; in other words the PVME resides, increasingly, at the external interfaces. These layers are each nanometers in thickness and sufficiently thick to deplete the amount of PVME mixed with PS. This is also consistent with the notion that the transition from the $\alpha$ to the $\alpha'$ process does not occur near $T_g$\textsuperscript{(blend)}, because the composition within the interior of the sample is no longer the average composition of the material. It would be richer in PS and presumably with higher $T_g$.

It is apparent from the foregoing that the increased PVME relaxation rates with increasing $M$ is due to the fact that the PVME external layer thicknesses, due to the preferential enrichment of the component to the Al substrates and decreasing entropy of mixing, increase with increasing $M$. An increase in the wetting layer thickness means that PVME at the surface
takes up a greater fraction of the film’s dielectric response; hence the increase of the average PVME dynamics, as a greater number of PVME chains are relaxing in a PVME-rich environment. These layers are nanometers in thickness, sufficient such that the PVME concentration within the interior of the film is depleted. It is important to note that the interaction between the PVME chains and the Al would remain constant, regardless of $M$, because the PVME-Al interactions should be short-ranged. It is the decreasing entropy of mixing, associated with the increase of $M$ that is responsible for increasing the effective driving force for segregation of PVME to the external interfaces.

Figure 4.5: (a) Thickness of the PVME-rich layer segregated to the Al interface as a function of PS $M$, as measured through VASE. (b) Concentration of PVME in the interior of film as a function of PS $M$, as measured through VASE.

An important implication of these findings is that the length-scales over which the dynamics of a component of a miscible blend is influenced by thickness confinement are large compared to those that characterize the response of a homopolymer film.\textsuperscript{109,110} For example, some experiments show the nanoconfinement effects on dynamics saturating by a thickness of 15 nm;\textsuperscript{200} others even show no noticeable effect at all.\textsuperscript{98} It is shown here that if a component
exhibits a tendency to preferentially enrich an external interface, the confinement effect length-scale would be large.

To this end, it would be useful to gain insight into the potential length-scales over which the confinement effects would influence the dynamics of thin film PVME/PS blends. The temperature dependencies of PVME segmental relaxations in PVME/PS(4k) blends of different thicknesses, as calculated from the contour sweeps (contour plots shown in Figure G-1 of Appendix G) are plotted in Figure 4.6. It is apparent from these data that the PVME segmental relaxation rates decrease with increasing film thickness. The decrease of the relaxation rates occurs for the following reason. Begin by recalling that the BDS contour sweep measurements manifest the average relaxation rates of the contributions from PVME molecules mixed with the PS within the interior of the sample and PVME molecules in the wetting layers at the external interfaces. As the overall film thickness increases, the relative contributions of the PVME chains in the wetting layers decrease – the ratio of wetting layer thickness to overall film thickness decreases. This is connected to a corresponding increase in \( T_g \) with increasing film thickness; which is manifested in the truncation of relaxations at lower temperatures.

![Figure 4.6: Temperature dependencies of the segmental relaxation rates of PVME/PS(4k), at different film thicknesses. Relaxations are calculated from the contour sweeps.](image)
4.4 Conclusions

Bulk miscible blends are spatially heterogeneous and this influences the dynamics of their components. Such influence in miscible A/B systems is especially apparent when the lower $T_g$ component relaxes within the vitrified confined of the higher $T_g$ component; this phenomenon produces the so-called $\alpha'$ process to differentiate it from the normal $\alpha$ process which occurs at sufficiently high $T$. Another $\alpha_0$ process has been identified and associated with a collective and slower, yet stronger $T$-dependent process.\textsuperscript{112,206} This behavior is characteristic of the bulk, miscible PVME/PS system, where the transition from the $\alpha$ to the $\alpha'$ process occurs for $T < T_g^{(\text{blend})}$.

In thin film, 100 nm thick, PVME/PS mixtures confined between aluminum substrates, the segmental relaxation times of PVME chains increase with increasing PS molecular weight $M$. This increase is associated with the preferential segregation of PVME chains to the Al interfaces to form wetting layers many nanometers in thickness; the layer thicknesses increase with increasing $M$. This increase in layer thickness is accompanied by a depletion of PVME chains mixed with PS in the interior of the film. Therefore, the overall relaxation rates of the sample increases. When the film thickness increased, the thickness of the wetting layer stayed constant relative to the overall film thickness, and the overall rate of the dynamics decreases. The surprising finding is the large thickness confinement length-scales, hundreds of nanometers, over which the component dynamics are affected. The length-scale of this confinement is primarily determined by the extent to which the wetting layers deplete one component from the mixture, thereby changing the local mixture composition and hence the dynamics. Spatial compositional heterogeneity in this system is partly responsible for this large wetting layer formation. In light of this, the length-scales of confinement effects in miscible blends would be system dependent,
i.e.: dependent on factors that include the extent of spatial compositional heterogeneity (dictated in part by component $T_s$ differences and disparities in component relaxation rates), component-substrate interactions, and chain lengths (entropy of mixing).
Chapter 5: Conclusions

5.1 Summary

The secret to controlling and tuning material properties lies in the structure; likewise, the establishment of the structure-property relationship is key to the understanding and improvement of various materials and complex condensed matter systems in application. Considerable efforts have been invested towards understanding this interrelation in functional polymeric materials because of their wide use in applications, such as microelectronics, organic electronics (photovoltaic cells and thin film transistors), coatings, and membranes. In these systems, due to complex intermolecular interactions, lack of long-range order, and heterogeneous nanostructuring, it is difficult to predict and understand properties. This dissertation develops a stronger understanding of how the mesoscale dynamic responses of polymers are influenced by morphological/structural changes, imposed via the local environment surrounding the polymer chains. Understanding and solving these problems may provide means and ideas to enhancing and tailoring specific properties in these complex systems.

In Chapter 2, the absolute values and temperature dependences of the segmental relaxation times $\tau_A$ and $\tau_B$ of the components in miscible, binary A/B polymer/polymer blends are investigated. It is shown that for weakly miscible A/B blends, the segmental relaxations of the faster A component occur via separate and distinct mechanisms. In the melt state, $\tau_A$ increases in a nonlinear manner as temperature $T$ decreases toward the blend glass transition
temperature $T_g^{(\text{blend})}$; this is the typical $\alpha$ relaxation process. For temperatures below $T_g^{(\text{blend})}$, $1/\tau_A$ exhibits an Arrhenius temperature dependence; this is identified as the $\alpha'$ process. A third relaxation process, a so-called $\alpha_0$ process, also occurs in the melt state; it is slower than the $\alpha$ process and exhibits a significantly stronger dependence on temperature. Each of these relaxation processes, characterized by different relaxation rates and dependencies on temperature, occurs via the same mechanism (segmental fluctuations) but differing associated local composition surrounding the chains. This behavior, the existence of the $\alpha'$ and $\alpha_0$ relaxations that accompany the $\alpha$ relaxations, would occur in miscible and weakly miscible blends whose component $T_g$s differ significantly.

In Chapter 3, the segmental dynamics of asymmetrically confined polymer films are investigated, and an unusual phenomenon is reported in which the presence and thickness of a soft confining layer is responsible for significant changes in the segmental dynamics of the confined films. Specifically, $\tau_{\text{PVA}}$ of poly(vinyl alcohol) (PVA) thin films asymmetrically confined between hard aluminum (Al) and soft polystyrene (PS) films is shown to shift by as much as half an order of magnitude upon changes in the thicknesses of the confining PS layer. These effects are more significant than those due to symmetric confinement between hard Al substrates, or exposure to a free surface. These observations, partially rationalized in terms of recent simulations and theory, implicate the role of the moduli and mechanical properties of the confining layers.

Chapter 4 shows the average segmental relaxation times $\tau_{\text{PVME}}$ of the PVME component in 100 nm thick films of miscible PVME/PS blends confined between Al electrodes decrease with increasing molecular weight $M$ of the PS component. These relaxation rates are film thickness dependent up to a few hundred nanometers. In contrast, thickness confinement length-
scales are on the order of nanometers for neat homopolymer films. This behavior is associated with spatial compositional heterogeneity that characterizes the structure of this miscible blend, in conjunction with the preferential interfacial enrichment – wetting layer formation – of the PVME component at the external Al interfaces. The behavior is dependent on factors that include spatial compositional heterogeneity, component-substrate interactions, and chain lengths.

Although the above-mentioned systems are all different in their own right, the studies are all focused on the same goal – understanding how and why the environment surrounding a polymer chain as it relaxes significantly influences both the relaxation rates and the associated temperature dependences. Because many polymer material properties are dependent on the chain dynamics, this connects back to the structure-property relationship. Showing that the polymer chains and their interactions behave very differently on the nanoscale molecular level from what we are familiar with macroscopically tells us that their mesoscopic structures and properties are also affected. These results will provide new insights to polymer processing-property and nanostructuring-property relations for future use in application.

5.2 Future Work and Outlooks

The findings presented in this dissertation provide several important insights into the influence of local intermolecular environments on chain relaxation processes, but also raise a number of new questions that warrant further investigation. The following is an outline of several directions for future research projects, some of which are already in progress.

Chapter 2 deals with an examination of how the local heterogeneous environment in a polymer blend affects polymer segmental relaxations $\tau_{\text{seg}}$ of the components. There are a number of theoretical and computational models to predict this behavior, such as the simple lattice model
by Colby and Lipson,\textsuperscript{121} the self-concentration model by Lodge and McLeish,\textsuperscript{59} and the generalized entropy theory by Freed.\textsuperscript{66} As for the experimental side, to date most of the work on this phenomenon has been done on binary, dynamically asymmetric polymer blends;\textsuperscript{54,55,57,58,62,64,65,74,76,111,112,114–120} the large separation in component dynamic time-scales amplifies the dynamic heterogeneity because of distinct differences in component friction factors at the same temperature. However we predict that this type of behavior can be extended to all miscible blends – this includes both dynamically symmetric blends and multiple component blends. However, identification of the dynamic heterogeneous effect on $\tau_{\text{seg}}$ is difficult in such systems due to the magnitude and macroscopic scales over which most spectroscopy experiments are performed.

One interesting approach that has been developed in our research group is using a newly developed atomic force microscopy (AFM) tool for measuring local dielectric relaxations, a technique known as local, or nanoscale, dielectric spectroscopy.\textsuperscript{224–226} In this technique, a cantilever in an AFM is oscillated at its resonant frequency. The nanoscopic tip is situated about 50 nm above a polymer film, and a sinusoidal voltage is then applied to it. If the tip is kept in a region where the force gradients are much smaller than the spring constant of the cantilever, the resulting electrostatic forces between the tip and the polymer cause a shift in the resonant frequency of the cantilever. The measured frequency shifts can be related to a complex capacitance. The data obtained in this measurement has been shown to correspond well with the loss tangent in bulk dielectric spectroscopy measurements, and can be used to obtain information about the dynamics of polymers at localized regions underneath the tip.\textsuperscript{227,228} This can be useful for identifying the nanoscopic regions within blends of varying local composition to understand to what extent and length-scales dynamic heterogeneity occurs.
For bulk polymer systems, a further avenue to explore from this work is on polymer nanocomposites (PNCs) and understanding how the introduction of nanoparticles (NPs) into polymer matrices affects the relaxations and dielectric properties of the polymers, due to their proximity to the NPs. This has attracted considerable interest in soft matter research due to the wide array of technological applications; high refractive index materials, light-emitting diodes, photocatalysts, solid polymer electrolytes, and photovoltaic solar cells all call upon physiochemical properties that can be provided by polymer nanocomposites (PNCs). These can include mechanical, optical, or electronic properties, the likes of which may be tailored through control of the nano- and meso-scale spatial distribution of the nanoparticles within the polymer host. One effective strategy to control the organization of NPs within a polymer host is the grafting of molecules onto the surfaces of the nanoparticles, introducing a so-called brush layer, before incorporation into the host. In this scenario, the NP organization throughout the polymer host is dictated by a complex interplay of enthalpic and entropic interactions between the grafted layer and the polymer host chains. Simulations and experimental studies have revealed PNC anisotropic structures are sensitivity to the degree of polymerization $N$ of the host, the surface grafting density $\Sigma$, and the nanoparticle sizes and shapes.

While much of the experimental work has focused on the case where the matrix and the graft have the same chemical structure, the use of a matrix polymer that is different than the brush remains a relatively unexplored subject. For example, one could choose a scenario in which the graft is thermodynamically compatible with the host – the enthalpic interactions offer a new level of complexity. Because we know that it is the interactions with and/or the vicinity to the interfaces that drive property deviations from bulk behavior, a more potentially interesting case is that in which the host and the grafted chains are immiscible. The dielectric
interface formed between two dissimilar but uniform material phases will be substantially
different than when sufficiently strong graft-host interactions occur. In particular, the dielectric
properties of the composite will be modified due to the additional contributions to polarization
from charge carrier blockage at these dielectric boundary layers, known as the Maxwell-Wagner
(MW) effect.247,248

In preliminary work in this area, we are interested in how the interfaces formed in
immiscible host and graft ligand PNCs affect the dielectric properties of the composite. To
examine this, we use broadband dielectric spectroscopy (BDS) to examine dielectric properties
and polymer relaxations in PNCs of polyisoprene (PI) and poly(vinyl acetate) (PVAc) with
immiscible PS-grafted gold nanoparticles. We have seen no changes in $\tau_{\text{seg}}$ or $\tau_{\text{trans}}$; however
there is a significant change in the secondary relaxations of the host, becoming more prominent
with increasing nanoparticle concentration. Modeling for Maxwell-Wagner effects in a particle
suspension with a dielectric shell, it can be shown that this change in secondary relaxation is due
to a convolution with a second, Debye-type process due to space-charge polarization at the
interface. These results can be utilized for further control of properties in polymer
nanocomposites.

Additional precursory work on bulk PNCs shows that the type of NP can have a
substantial effect on polymer chain dynamics. Studies of PVAc systems show no change in
relaxation rates or temperature dependencies of $\tau_{\text{seg}}$ upon introduction of C$_{60}$ or Au NPs,
regardless of concentration, despite significant particle dispersion. However, introduction of a
collapsible particle, such as POSS, with surface groups that can form enthalpic interactions with
the host polymer, such as PEG, manifests shifts in $\tau_{\text{seg}}$ with concentration. Understanding the
source and magnitude of this effect is an area of future work.
Chapter 3 (and to an extent Chapter 4) focuses on polymers under nanoconfinement, particularly in understand the role that the type of interface has on polymer dynamics. In this field, there is still much to be done both experimentally and theoretically. Experimentally, additional experimental techniques should be utilized in order to measure the dynamics directly and locally. For example, the above-mentioned nanoscale dielectric spectroscopy can provide insights into not only laterally localized behavior, but also localization with depth. Because the voltage is applied to the tip and radiated out, there is a volume gradient of the electric field; the further from the tip the sample is, the less of an electric field the polymer chains in that area feel, and the smaller the localized permittivity response would be. Carefully designed experiments can utilize this experimental implication to study layer-by-layer dielectric properties as a function of distance from an interface, providing information about the length-scales of interfacial effect propagation.

Another powerful technique useful for characterizing polymer dynamics under nanoconfinement is X-ray photon correlation spectroscopy (XPCS). In this technique, high energy, coherent x-rays interact with a polymer film at an angle of grazing incidence. When energy of coherent wavelength scatters from a disordered system, it gives rise to a diffraction pattern known as a speckled scattering signal giving the exact spatial arrangement of the scatterers in the system. Because these systems are dynamic and constantly in motion, these patterns change with time – likewise an intensity-intensity autocorrelation function of the speckle pattern as it changes with time gives information about the movement of the chains in a polymer film. Because of the high energy of the x-rays, the length-scales being probed are smaller than what can be achieved by traditional dynamic light scattering experiments. Depending of the angle of grazing incidence, these measurements can probe either surface fluctuations or
different depths into the film, even the buried interfaces. Because of this potential for depth probing of dynamics, one would be able to probe dynamics specifically at different interfaces.

On the theoretical side, additional insights are needed to resolve the apparent discrepancies between experiments and simulations of nanoconfinement effects. A number of studies show that confinement-induced shifts in $T_g$ as measured through pseudo-thermodynamic measurements are not accompanied by a shift in the dielectric relaxation spectra, as shown in the bulk. This equivalency between thermodynamic and dynamic measurements in nanoconfined polymers remains an open question in the field, and simulation work has not yet answered this question; for example, recent work by Fakhraai and Forrest presents experimental measurements of surface relaxation times that show an extrapolated convergence to bulk, whereas the segmental relaxation times at the surface computed from simulations show substantial deviations from the bulk. It remains unanswered whether this represents a physical difference between the experimental and simulated systems or a difference between the relaxation processes being probed. Future simulation work would be useful for addressing the coupling (or lack thereof) between relaxation processes and thermodynamic variables in confined polymers.

Another area of future theoretical and simulation work on polymer nanomaterials is on the hypothesis that a polymer’s fragility determines its susceptibility to confinement effects on $\tau_{\text{seg}}$ and $T_g$. This theory suggests that glass-formers with greater fragility exhibit greater dynamic and thermodynamic alterations under nanoconfinement because they have a greater degree of cooperativity. However, beyond the experimental observations, none of the recent theories regarding polymer fragility provide insight into the reasoning behind this. Further simulations are necessary to establish a generality of this hypothesis.
Appendices
Appendix A. Raw Dielectric Loss Curves of the Bulk Blends

Reproduced in part with permission from Sharma, R. P.; Green, P. F. Component Dynamics in Polymer/Polymer Blends: Role of Spatial Compositional Heterogeneity. Macromolecules 2017, 50 (17), 6617–6630. Copyright 2017 American Chemical Society.

Figure A-1: Raw BDS data of ε'' versus frequency of the applied AC voltage (frequency sweep) at select temperatures for (a) pure PVME, (b) 35% PVME blend, (c) 25% PVME blend, (d) 15% PVME blend, (e) 5% PVME blend. HN empirical fittings are shown as solid lines of the same color.
Figure A-2: Raw BDS data of $\varepsilon''$ versus temperature (temperature sweep) at select frequencies of the applied AC voltage for (a) pure PVME, (b) 35% PVME blend, (c) 25% PVME blend, (d) 15% PVME blend, (e) 5% PVME blend.
Figure A-3: Raw BDS data of $\varepsilon''$ versus frequency of the applied AC voltage (frequency sweep) at select temperatures for (a) pure PI, (b) 40% PI blend, (c) 30% PI blend, (d) 20% PI blend. The PI relaxations observed are the segmental relaxations. HN empirical fittings are shown as solid lines of the same color.
Figure A-4: Raw BDS data of $\varepsilon''$ versus temperature (temperature sweep) at select frequencies of the applied AC voltage for (a) pure PI, (b) 40% PI blend, (c) 30% PI blend, (d) 20% PI blend. Both the segmental and end-to-end relaxations are observed.
Figure A-5: Raw BDS data of $\varepsilon''$ versus frequency of the applied AC voltage (frequency sweep) at select temperatures for (a) 35\% PnBMA blend, (b) 25\% PnBMA blend, (c) 5\% PnBMA blend. HN empirical fittings are shown as solid lines of the same color.
Figure A-6: Raw BDS data of $\varepsilon''$ versus temperature (temperature sweep) at select frequencies of the AC applied voltage for (a) 35% PnBMA blend, (b) 25% PnBMA blend, (c) 5% PnBMA blend.
Appendix B. Analysis of BDS Data in the Temperature Representation


In Chapter 3, the dynamic data is displayed as a typical frequency sweep experiment - \( \varepsilon''(\omega) \) is measured at constant \( T \). As long as the experiment is conducted within the linear response regime (small electric field strengths and a time-dependent response based solely on linearity and causality), representation as a temperature sweep – \( \varepsilon''(T) \) at constant \( \omega \) – provides identical dielectric information.\(^{142}\) The temperature sweep experiments can be noted for the relative ease of identification of the relaxation processes; in addition, frequency sweep measurements, particularly in thin films, can suffer from electrode and interfacial polarization, which convolutes the dielectric response at low frequencies and/or high temperatures.\(^{142}\)

An example of the raw dielectric loss curves as a function of temperature for a \( h = 70 \text{ nm} \) PVA film confined between two Al electrodes – Al/PVA(70 nm)/Al – obtained using BDS is shown in Figure B-1(a). As with its corresponding frequency representation (Figure 2.2(a)), the peak that appears is due to the segmental, or \( \alpha \), relaxation process, and shifts to lower temperatures with decreasing frequencies of the applied AC voltage, as expected for a pure polymer under isochronal measurement conditions. These curves are well described using a modified version\(^{106}\) of the Havriliak – Negami (HN) function (not shown). From this empirical fit to the data, a characteristic relaxation temperature associated with the dielectric loss peak maximum of the imaginary portion of the permittivity \( \varepsilon''(T) \) can be identified. The relaxation
rates as calculated from both the frequency and temperature representations are plotted as $1/\tau$ vs. $1/T$ in Figure B-1(b). The results are in excellent agreement, confirming that both types of experiments yield the same results.

Figure B-1: (a) Raw dielectric loss curves at different frequencies of the applied AC electric field for a 70 nm PVA film capped between two Al electrodes (Al/PVA(70 nm)/Al). (b) Temperature dependencies of the inverse PVA segmental relaxation times in Al/PVA(70 nm)/Al as calculated from the peaks in the dielectric loss curves of both the frequency sweep and the temperature sweep.
Appendix C. Modeling for Dielectric Properties in Series

Reproduced in part with permission from Sharma, R. P.; Green, P. F. Role of “Hard” and “Soft” Confinement on Polymer Dynamics at the Nanoscale. ACS Macro Lett. 2017, 908–914. Copyright 2017 American Chemical Society.

The simplest model to describe the inhomogeneous bilayer system is as a double layer arrangement where each layer is characterized by a complex dielectric permittivity. It is well known that capacitive properties can be modelled in the following manner:

\[
\frac{1}{C_{\text{equivalent}}} = \frac{1}{C_1} + \frac{1}{C_2} \quad (C.1)
\]

Of course, the relationship between parallel plate capacitance and permittivity is defined as follows:

\[
C = \varepsilon \frac{A}{D} \quad (C.2)
\]

where \(A\) is area of the capacitor perpendicular to current flow and \(D\) is the spacing between the plates (in our case the thickness of the layer). Because the area is unchanged throughout the sample, the complex permittivity can be defined as:

\[
\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\text{total}}(\omega)} = \frac{\phi}{\varepsilon_{\text{PVA}}(\omega)} + \frac{1-\phi}{\varepsilon_{\text{PS}}(\omega)} \quad (C.3)
\]

where \(\varepsilon_{\text{total}}(\omega)\) is the dielectric response of the entire bilayer system, \(\varepsilon_{\text{PVA}}(\omega)\) and \(\varepsilon_{\text{PS}}(\omega)\) are the contributions from the PVA and PS layers respectively, and \(\phi\) is the thickness ratio of PVA to the whole bilayer. Algebraic manipulation can get the PVA dielectric response as:

\[
\varepsilon_{\text{PVA}}(\omega) = \phi \left[ \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\text{total}}(\omega)} - \frac{1-\phi}{\varepsilon_{\text{PS}}(\omega)} \right]^{-1} \quad (C.4)
\]
In the experimental analysis in the study, equation (C.4) is applied for all the bilayer systems across all measured frequencies at all the temperatures. The PS contribution used in this equation is obtained by measuring double Al capped PS films – Al/PS(L)/Al – of the appropriate thickness. These PS films are measured under different experimental conditions than exist in the bilayer – double Al cap rather than asymmetric Al and PVA confining interfaces.

For modelling purposes, we are interested in two effects: (i) how does the PVA dielectric response compare to the total bilayer dielectric response and (ii) what effect does the PS dielectric response have on the PVA dielectric response. As such, consider a model bilayer system in which the film thicknesses are equal ($\phi = 0.5$). Each component response in our frequency and temperature range is modelled as a single relaxation described by the HN function:

$$\varepsilon^*(\omega) = \varepsilon_\infty + \frac{\Delta \varepsilon}{1 + (i\omega\tau)^\beta}$$  \hspace{1cm} (C.5)

Relative values for the parameters for the total bilayer response and PS contribution can be estimated from the bilayer and single PS film experimental data, respectively, at an arbitrary temperature. These complex permittivities can then be applied to equation (C.4) to calculate the corresponding PVA dielectric contribution. Note that, for this particular model, we consider material conductance to be equal and therefore negligible for all samples and focus solely on dielectric properties.

Figure C-1 shows the frequency dependent dielectric losses peaks of the bilayer, PS with varying parameters, and the correspondingly calculated PVA at an arbitrary temperature. First note that the PVA response is shifted from the total bilayer response in both peak and permittivity – despite the much stronger PVA signal, the PS permittivity still has a contribution and therefore should be taken into account. However, note what happens when the PS dielectric
strength or relaxation time is varied – even varying these parameters by an order of magnitude leaves the PVA dielectric response as extracted from the same total bilayer dielectric response relatively unchanged. Thus, even though in our analysis we use the experimental data from PS films under double aluminum confinement rather than asymmetric confinement, in which the interface change may have effects on the PS dynamics, such changes appear to be negligible for the extraction of PVA dynamics.

It is noteworthy that, because there is an inner dielectric boundary layer, Maxwell/Wagner polarization will occur due to a buildup of charge carries at said boundary layer. This gives rise to an additional conductivity contribution and a relaxation process due to charge build-up, creating an internal dipole moment. However, because charge carrier transport is a temperature dependent process that takes place at higher temperatures than molecular relaxation processes, it does not affect the measurements in our temperature and frequency range.
Figure C-1: Model of the dielectric loss response of 0.5 PS film and 0.5 PVA film in series. Red lines represent the PS dielectric loss, green lines represent the PVA dielectric loss, and the blue line represents the series total dielectric loss. Model is based on equation (C3), in which the complex permittivities of PS and the bilayers are inputs and the PVA contribution is calculated. Red dashed and dotted lines represent shifts of one order of magnitude in the PS dielectric strength and characteristic relaxation time, respectively, and corresponding green dashed and dotted lines show how the PVA dielectric loss is affected. Inset plot shows an enlarged version of the PS dielectric loss.
Appendix D. PVA Moisture and Crystallinity Concerns

We address the potential effects that moisture and changes in the degree of crystallinity might have on the reliability of our measurements of PVA dynamics. It has been suggested that moisture, if not carefully eliminated, could lead to a shift in the relaxation times and the appearance of a secondary Arrhenius relaxation. These were determined to not be issues in our study – we used a more stringent annealing procedure than proposed in the literature to remove moisture from our samples: 393K for at least 24 hours under high vacuum, followed by additional in situ annealing. Only a single VFT-type relaxation was observed in the dynamics, which is consistent with the absence of moisture. Moreover, confirmation of the removal of moisture was evident by the absence of a BDS signal associated with water. Certain studies suggest that deviations of the dynamics might be due to improper annealing conditions; we have observed no unusual changes or fluctuations that would be due to annealing in our experiments.

The second factor that has been suggested to be potentially problematic is the crystallinity of PVA. Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) was used to identify quantitative correlations between the intensity of the crystalline band normalized to the intensity of the C-O stretching band (see Figure D-1). Our measurements indicate a degree of crystallinity of roughly 57%, which is well within literature values for dried PVA and does not vary across all PVA film thicknesses.
Figure D-1: IR spectrum of 3 different PVA films showing the C-C stretching band ($A_{1144}$) and the C-O stretching band ($A_{1094}$). The ratio between the two relates to the degree of crystallinity, giving a value of roughly 57%.
Appendix E. Raw Dielectric Loss Curves of PVME/PS Films

Reproduced in part with permission from Macromolecules, submitted for publication.

Unpublished work copyright 2017 American Chemical Society.

Figure E-1: Raw dielectric loss curves of $\varepsilon''$ vs frequency of the applied AC voltage, at select temperatures, for 100 thin films of (a) PVME/PS(3.8k), (b) PVME/PS(4k), (c) PVME/PS(10.9k), (d) PVME/PS(132k), (e) PVME/PS(190k), (f) PVME/PS(525k). Solid green lines represent the HN empirical fittings.
Appendix F. Contour Plots of 100 nm PVME/PS(M) Films

Reproduced in part with permission from Macromolecules, submitted for publication.

Unpublished work copyright 2017 American Chemical Society.

Figure F-1: Contour plots of log(ε’’) vs inverse relaxation rate 1/τ and inverse temperature 1/T for 100nm films of (a) PVME/PS(3.8k), (b) PVME/PS(4k), (c) PVME/PS(10.9k), (d) PVME/PS(132k), (e) PVME/PS(190k), (f) PVME/PS(525k). Overlaid on the plot are the relaxations from the frequency sweep (red circles), temperature sweep (blue circles), and contour sweep (black circles).
Appendix G. Thickness Dependent Contour Plots of PVME/PS Films

Reproduced in part with permission from Macromolecules, submitted for publication.

Unpublished work copyright 2017 American Chemical Society.

Figure G-1: Contour plots of log(ε'') vs inverse relaxation time 1/τ and inverse temperature 1/T for PVME/PS(4k) films of thicknesses (a) 100 nm (b) 220 nm (c) 310 nm (d) 380 nm (e) 620 nm (f) 770 nm.
Appendix H. Considerations for the Reaction Field Strength Between the Surface Layer and Interior

Reproduced in part with permission from Macromolecules, submitted for publication.
Unpublished work copyright 2017 American Chemical Society.

Because of the difference in concentration between the wetted surface layer and the interior of the film (and significant length-scales of the wetting surface layer thickness comparative to the bulk interior), these regions have different electric field strengths because the dielectric loss (amount of energy absorbed to dissipate the field) is changing – different shielding effects creating different internal reaction fields. This has important implications for a typical isothermal BDS measurement because more mobile dipoles are able to rotate more in response to an external electric field, increasing the internal reaction field and producing a higher dielectric strength. This relationship is apparent in the Onsager factor’s dependence on dielectric strength. This is why, as previously described, frequency sweep experiments are sensitive to more mobile regions in compositionally heterogeneous environments at lower temperatures.

However, because the PVME dipole moment strengths are the same in all areas of the blend due to intrinsic chain chemistry, isochronal experiments have a frequency dependent sensitivity in favor of lower frequencies. Isochronal experiments are specifically sensitive to temperatures at which a specific relaxation frequency has the highest intensity (largest number of dipoles relax at that frequency). In other words, assuming that all PVME segments have time to react to the field, this is sensitive to the largest number of chains relaxing at the same frequency, and thus lower frequency (slower relaxing) segments.
The introduction of the contour sweeps is an attempt to rectify this. As a function of both temperature and frequency, this type of data analysis accounts for sensitivities of both types of experiments – frequency and temperature sweeps. In this regard, the contour sweep calculations should not have a bias in terms of electric field strength changes.
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