Pate Russell (Orcid ID: 0000-0001-6373-9337) Collie-Akers Vicki (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-7873-5512) Schultz Jerry (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-2325-958X) Woodward-Lopez Gail (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-2745-1077)

Associations between Community Programs and Policies and Children's

Physical Activity: The Healthy Communities Study

Russell R. Pate¹, Edward A. Frongillo², Kerry L. McIver¹, Natalie Colabianchi³, Dawn K. Wilson⁴, Vicki L. Collie-Akers⁵, Jerry A. Schultz⁵, Jared Reis⁶, Kristine Madsen⁷, Gail Woodward-Lopez⁸, David Berrigan⁹, Andrew Landgraf¹⁰, Jyothi Nagaraja¹⁰, Warren Strauss¹⁰, on behalf of the Healthy Communities Study Research Group

¹Department of Exercise Science, Arnold School of Public Health, University of South Carolina, Columbia, South Carolina

²Department of Health Promotion, Education, and Behavior, Arnold School of Public Health, University of South Carolina, Columbia, South Carolina

³School of Kinesiology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan

⁴Department of Psychology, University of South Carolina, Columbia, South Carolina

⁵Work Group for Health and Community Development, University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas ⁶Division of Cardiovascular Sciences, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland

⁷Division of Community Health Sciences, School of Public Health, University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley, California

⁸Nutrition Policy Institute, Division of Agriculture and Natural Resources, University of California, Berkeley, California

⁹Division of Cancer Control and Population Sciences, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland

¹⁰Data Analytics Hub, Lewis Center, Ohio

Keywords: physical activity, community, obesity prevention programs, health policies, children, health disparities

Running Title: Community programs and child physical activity

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1111/ijpo.12426

Corresponding Author: Russell R. Pate, PhD, Department of Exercise Science, Arnold School of Public Health, 921 Assembly Street, Columbia, SC 29208, Phone: (803) 777-2456, Email: rpate@mailbox.sc.edu

What is already known about this subject?

- Most children and youth in the U.S. do not meet current physical activity guidelines.
- Children's physical activity levels vary across gender and race/ethnicity groups, and they also vary across school and community groups.
- Previous community interventions to increase children's physical activity have yielded modest and inconsistent outcomes.

What this study adds?

- An index that is reflective of community programs and policies aimed at increasing physical activity was found to be positively related to children's physical activity, but only in non-Hispanic children.
- This study shows that community initiatives to promote children's physical activity operate inconsistently across ethnicity groups.
- Research is needed to identify community programs and policies that positively influence physical activity in Hispanic children.

Abbreviations: HCS – Healthy Communities Study; PA – physical activity; MVPA – moderate-to-vigorous physical activity; KI – key informants, Household Interview – HHI, TPA – Total Physical Activity, CPP – community programs and policies

Abstract

Background: Community initiatives to promote physical activity in children are common, but evidence supporting their effectiveness is limited.

Objectives: To examine the relationships between community programs and policies and children's physical activity in a large and diverse sample of U.S. communities.

Methods: Programs and policies to promote children's physical activity were assessed in 130 communities by key informant interviews, and physical activity behaviors were measured by self- and parental-report in samples of children in each community (total n = 5138). Associations between composite indices of community programs and policies and indicators of total and moderate-to-vigorous physical activity were examined without and with adjustment for demographic factors.

Results: An index reflecting the six-year history of the number of behavior change strategies used in community programs and policies was positively associated with children's moderate-to-vigorous physical activity. This association was attenuated with adjustment for demographic factors. Effect modification analyses found that the association was positive among non-Hispanic children, but was negative for Hispanic children.

Conclusions: Community initiatives to promote physical activity in children were positively associated with children's physical activity in non-Hispanic children. Such initiatives were negatively associated with physical activity in Hispanic children, suggesting that future research

should consider unique cultural factors when designing community initiatives to promote activity in this population sub-group. .

Introduction

Physical activity provides multiple health benefits to children and youth, ¹ and low physical activity is an important precursor to excessive weight gain and development of overweight in youth. ² Accordingly, prominent national organizations, including the Institute of Medicine, have recommended that public health efforts to reduce childhood obesity emphasize promotion of physical activity. ³ In response to these recommendations, numerous communities across the U.S. have implemented programs and policies to increase children's physical activity levels. However, such efforts have been informed by a limited base of scientific evidence. The Guide to Community Preventive Services has recommended build environment strategies and community-wide campaigns as effective approaches to promoting physical activity. ^{4,5} However, recent reviews have indicated that the available evidence is not sufficiently robust to conclude that community interventions consistently increase physical activity. ^{6,7} The Institute of Medicine has cited this deficiency as a critical gap that should be addressed to make progress in reducing childhood obesity. ⁸

Physical activity levels in children vary across gender and race/ethnicity groups,⁹ and levels also vary across school and community groups.^{10,11} Few previous studies have examined whether community programs and policies are equally effective across different population subgroups or in different types of communities. Most previous studies that examined the moderating

influence of demographic factors focused on individual characteristics. ^{12,13} A few studies have focused on community-level moderators, but they examined adults. ¹⁴⁻¹⁶ We know of no previous studies of children's physical activity that have considered the moderating influence of sociodemographic factors at both the individual and community levels in a large and diverse sample of communities.

The Healthy Communities Study (HCS) was a large observational investigation of community programs and policies aimed at reducing childhood obesity. In each community, the HCS collected information on programs and policies to increase children's physical activity and assessed physical activity behavior in samples of children. Socio-demographic factors were measured at both the community and family/child levels. The primary purpose of this specific investigation was to use the extensive resources of the HCS to examine the relationship between composite indicators of community programs and policies to promote physical activity in children and measures of children's physical activity. A secondary purpose was to examine the moderating effects of community socio-demographic characteristics on the association between community programs and policies (CPPs) and children's physical activity levels.

Methods

Study Design. Data were drawn from the HCS, an observational study of 130 communities which included both cross-sectional and retrospective longitudinal components. A complex sampling process was used in selecting communities for inclusion in the study, and it has been described elsewhere.¹⁸ Briefly, communities were selected based on known efforts to

implement programs and policies targeting childhood obesity ("certainty" communities, N=28) and from a probability sample based on race and ethnicity, income and regional distributions (national probability sample, N=102). Children were recruited from 2 elementary and 2 middle schools that fed students to a defined high school in each study community. ¹⁹ Up to 81 children per community were recruited and enrolled in data collection. ²⁰ Children who were institutionalized, non-ambulatory, or had lived at their current address for less than one year were not eligible to participate. Data collection occurred from November 2013 through July 2015. Data were collected year round and simultaneously in several communities at a time throughout the data collection period. The study was approved by the Battelle Memorial Institute IRB, and all parents and children over the age of 8 provided written consent or assent, respectively.

Community Demographic Variables. Community-level characteristics were derived from the American Community Survey using three-year estimates from 2011-2013. Each community was characterized based on weighted census tract minority status (>30% African-American, > 30% Hispanic, or Other), income (High or Low), urbanicity (Urban, Suburban, or Rural) and region (Northeast, West, Midwest, or South). Additional community-level demographic variables were based on census tract data and included: percentages of children who were African American, white, Hispanic, or other races; percentage of persons living below the poverty line; percentage of high school graduates; percentage unemployed; percentages of housing units that were renter-occupied or vacant; and percentage of population with health

insurance.¹⁸ These characteristics were selected because previous research has often found them to be related to health behaviors and health outcomes.

Measurement of Community Programs and Policies. In each community, trained field data collection staff conducted interviews with 10-14 key informants (KI) who represented multiple sectors, including schools, government, non-profit organizations, service agencies and health groups. A data collection staff member completed a structured interview with each KI regarding the implementation of CPPs over the past 10 years. The interviews were conducted either in person or over the telephone. In addition, interviewers reviewed documents provided by the KI and identified through online sources to supplement information provided during the interviews.²¹

As part of the interview process, KIs reported on the duration of CPPs (one-time event, occurring more than once, or ongoing), the behavior change strategies used in implementing CPPs (listed below), and the reach of CPPs (high, medium, or low based on proportion of children ages 4-15 that may have been exposed). Children in the 4-15 year age range were referenced because these ages are typical for children attending elementary and middle schools. Data collected through the interview and document review process yielded characterizations of CPPs for each year over a 10-year period in each community.

Two CPP indices were created, using only the CPPs for which physical activity was a target, to serve as exposure variables in statistical analyses. A comprehensive CPP intensity score index, CPP-Int, was created from three CPP attributes: behavior change strategy, duration

of the CPP, and reach. Each of these attributes was scored as 0.1, 0.55 or 1.0, in order of strength, and the three scores were summed to create a score for each CPP.²² CPP-Int for each community was created by summing the attribute scores for all CPPs observed in the community that promoted physical activity. Prior to including CPP-Int scores in the statistical analyses, the scores were standardized such that each community had a score between 0 and 1.

A second CPP index, CPP-Strat, was created to describe communities on the basis of the range of behavior change strategies employed in CPPs that were designed to promote physical activity in children. CPPs were scored as employing one of five behavior change strategies.

Supplemental Table 1 lists the five behavior change strategies, which vary in level of sophistication from educational strategies to policy and systems changes. For each observed year, each community received a score between 1 and 5 that reflected the number of different behavior change strategies used in that community.

For both of the CPP indices, scores were calculated for each of the ten years prior to collection of data in the community, using CPP start and end dates provided by the KIs. The analyses conducted in the present study were based on CPP-Int and CPP-Strat indices for one year and six years preceding data collection.

Home Visit Procedures. Parents/caregivers and children completed the HCS standard protocol assessment during an in-home visit by a trained field data collector. For the child-report sections of the survey, children ages 9 to 15 were the primary respondents, with parents/guardians asked to assist children ages 9 to 11 as needed. For children ages 4 to 8,

parents/guardians responded to the questions. Parents reported the participating child's age, gender, race, and ethnicity during the household interview. Child height, weight, and waist circumference were measured according to standardized procedures, and body mass index (BMI; kg/m²) was calculated for each child participant.²³

Measurement of Children's Physical Activity. Physical activity behavior was measured using self- or parental-report of participation in selected forms of physical activity that CPPs were hypothesized to influence.¹⁷ Children or parents/guardians reported the child's participation in 15 physical activities over the past 7 days.¹⁷ Respondents indicated whether or not the child participated in each activity during the past week, the days on which he or she did the activity, and the average intensity of the activity (light, moderate, hard, very hard). (The list of activities is included in Supplemental Table 2.)

Physical activity data were reduced to two metrics reflecting child-level physical activity behavior. A total physical activity (TPA) index was calculated from the number of activities reported multiplied by the frequency of participation in those activities. A moderate-to-vigorous PA (MVPA) index was calculated using the subset of 11 activities that are typically performed at moderate to vigorous intensity.

Data Analysis. To adjust for missing data, multiple imputation techniques²⁴ were used for analyses. Analyses were conducted using SAS and R. Results were integrated across 20 imputed datasets. Generalized linear mixed models were used to assess relationships between childhood physical activity outcomes and standardized CPP indices, while adjusting for the anticipated

correlation among participants from within the same school/community. The models included fixed effects for gender-specific (quadratic polynomial) age curves and the CPP indices and a random effect for community intercepts

Prior to analysis, the observed CPP scores were standardized to span a [0-1] range. The regression coefficient for the association between the CPP indices and childhood PA is interpreted as the average change in childhood PA between a community rated as having maximum intensity of programs and policies (1) and one rated as having minimal intensity (0), after adjusting for age and gender and accounting for community. A fully adjusted model that included other child/family factors (related to race, ethnicity, family income, parental education, parental employment status, and seasonality) and community factors (related to race, income, education, employment and region) was also used to assess the covariate adjusted relationship between childhood PA and CPP indices. These child-, family- and community-level covariates were identified using a least absolute shrinkage and selection operator methodology.²⁵

Interaction terms were added to the above model to assess whether the relationship between CPP and PA differed within specific subpopulations defined by either child or family characteristics, such as gender, grade, race, ethnicity, or income, or community characteristics, such as region, income, or race/ethnic composition. Type-III F-tests and t-tests were used to assess the statistical significance of the relationship within each sub-population. Factors representing the proportion of the population in each community that has a specific attribute were also explored as potential effect modifiers. Linear interaction terms were combined with

CPP scores in the minimally and fully adjusted models, allowing assessment of whether the PA/CPP relationship differs linearly as a function of these community proportional variables.

Results

Approximately one-third of the communities were classified as predominantly Hispanic and about one-fourth were classified as predominantly African-American (Table 1). Over one-third of the communities (38%) were categorized as low income, one-fifth were rural (23%), and 42% were located in Southern states. Children in the sample were evenly distributed by gender) and grade. Approximately 45% of the children were Hispanic, and 20% were African-American. A high percentage of children were from lower-income families (27% with income less than \$20K and 24% with income between \$20-\$35K).

-- Table 1 near here --

For both 1-year and 6-year CPP-Int and CPP-Strat indices, large ranges were observed for scores across the 130 communities (Table 2). For the 1-year indices no significant associations were observed with either TPA or MVPA. Likewise, the 6-year CPP-Int index was not associated with either of the physical activity variables. However, the 6-year expression of CPP-Strat was significantly associated with MVPA (p=.02). After adjustment for demographic covariates, this relationship was attenuated and was no longer statistically significant.

-- Table 2 near here --

Several potential moderators of the relationship between CPP-Strat and MVPA were examined, and the findings are presented in Table 3. Among the child-level characteristics a significant interaction was found for child ethnicity (p=.03). Among children who identified as

non-Hispanic, a positive association was observed for the relationship between CPP-Strat and MVPA. However, among those identifying as Hispanic, an inverse relationship between those variables was observed. Among the community-level characteristics that were examined as potential effect modifiers, a marginally significant interaction was observed for income at the census tract level (p=.09). A positive association between CPP-Strat and MVPA was observed for those living in higher income census tracts, whereas the opposite association was observed for those living in lower income tracts. A similar non-significant pattern was observed for family income as reported by the parents. For the two lowest income categories the associations between CPP-Strat and MVPA were negative, but the associations were positive for the higher income categories.

-- Table 3 near here

Discussion

The key finding of the present study was that an index that reflected the diversity of strategies used in promotion of children's physical activity was positively associated with children's participation in moderate-to-vigorous physical activity, but only among non-Hispanic children. This finding suggests that community investments in promotion of physical activity may positively influence children's physical activity levels, but that this effect operates inconsistently across ethnicity groups. The index of community programs and policies that was associated with children's physical activity was based on assessment of the behavior change strategies that communities applied in initiatives that were aimed at promoting children's physical activity. Each initiative was rated as applying one of five behavior change

strategies, which are listed in Supplemental Table 1. The strategies ranged in sophistication from basic (providing information) to higher level (modifying policies or systems). Communities with higher scores on this index had implemented initiatives that employed more diverse behavior change strategies. For example, a community with a maximum score of five would have implemented initiatives that used all five levels of behavior change strategies. It is important to note that this index, but not the more comprehensive index (CPP-Int), was found to be associated with children's physical activity. This may indicate that the behavior change strategy index (CPP-Strat) reflects a community's level of sophistication in its approach to promoting children's physical activity and that the comprehensive index (CPP-Int) includes elements that tend to attenuate the more salient effects of CPP-Strat.

The Healthy Communities Study employed a study design that was fundamentally cross-sectional but that included collection of retrospective data on community programs and policies. Accordingly, it was possible to examine community initiatives as quantified over multiple years. It is noteworthy that, in the present study, a significant association between community programs and policies was found for the six-year exposure variable, but not the one-year variable. This suggests that community initiatives to promote children's physical activity are more likely to be effective if they are sustained in the long term. This observation is consistent with widely accepted theories of health behavior such as RE-AIM, which posits that the effectiveness of an intervention is dependent, in part, on the extent to which it is institutionalized and becomes a routine component of organizational practices and policies.²⁶ Further, this finding is consistent with experience and research on health habit formation for a range of health behaviors.²⁷

This study examined a number of potential effect modifiers, and an important finding was that the association of community programs and policies with children's physical activity was modified by family race/ethnicity. Specifically, we observed that CPP-Strat was positively associated with children's moderate-to-vigorous physical activity in non-Hispanic children but was negatively associated with physical activity among children in Hispanic families. This finding may indicate that current approaches to community health promotion, as reflected by the CPP indices examined in the Healthy Communities Study, have evolved primarily from research and practice in non-Hispanic segments of the population. Accordingly, such approaches may be effective in those groups, but may not be applicable in Hispanic groups. While Hispanic sub-groups of the U.S. population may share some cultural characteristics, such as strong emphasis on the family as a source of social support, it is important to note that Hispanic families vary greatly based on national origin, geographic characteristics, and factors related to acculturation.

Our finding that community programs and policies were negatively associated with Hispanic children's physical activity may be explained by multiple factors that could influence the effectiveness of community-based physical activity interventions. Specifically, the built environments in which these interventions took place for Hispanic participants may not have supported the intervention activities. For example, intervention effects may have been diminished in groups that experienced limited access to parks and playgrounds and/or if safety concerns limited physical activity. In addition, studies have shown that parents of Hispanic children may not promote physical activity to the same degree as parents of non-Hispanic children.²⁸ Intervention strategies that have been shown to be successful in Hispanic communities include culturally tailored programming as well as programming that utilizes Promotoras, or community health

workers.^{29,30} It is not clear whether the interventions that were available to Hispanic participants in their communities were culturally tailored. Finally, it is also possible that these families did not have the means to facilitate physical activity by providing transportation to events and/or to pay for the programming.³¹ Future research is needed that would examine these and other barriers.³²

Important strengths of the present study include the substantial size and diversity of the communities included in the sample and the extensive nature of the information available on community programs and policies aimed in increasing children's physical activity. Further, it is a strength that community programs and policies were characterized for a period of six years prior to collection of outcome data. Significant limitations include the cross-sectional study design, which precludes establishing causal relationships between exposure and outcome variables. Also, it is a limitation that the indices used to assess children's physical activity levels were based on self-reported participation in specific, common forms of physical activity, and the retrospective reporting of community programs and policies by key informants was subject to recall limitations. Further, it is a limitation that the two ethnicity groups considered in this study, Hispanic and non-Hispanic, were both racially and culturally diverse.

In summary, associations between indices that reflect community-level programs and policies to promote children's physical activity and children's activity levels were studied in diverse samples of U.S. communities and children. The study found that an index based on the behavior change strategies used in community initiatives over a six-year period was positively

associated with non-Hispanic children's physical activity levels. The analogous association was negative in Hispanic children. Additional research is needed to better understand community programs and policies that are intended to promote physical activity in Hispanic children.

Conflicts of Interest - None

Acknowledgements

Pate, Frongillo, Strauss, Collie-Akers, and Schultz contributed to the study design; Landsgraf, Nagaraja and Strauss conducted the data analyses; Pate, Frongillo, McIver, Colabianchi, Wilson, Reis, Berrigan, Madsen and Woodward-Lopez interpreted the data; Pate, McIver, Colabianchi, Collie-Akers, Landgraf, Nagaraja and Strauss wrote sections of the manuscript; and all authors reviewed and gave final approval to the manuscript.

The authors thank Gaye Groover Christmus, MPH, for editing the manuscript.

The study was funded by contract # HHSN268201000041C from the National Institutes of Health.

References

- 1. Janssen I, Leblanc AG. Systematic review of the health benefits of physical activity and fitness in school-aged children and youth. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.* 2010;7:40.
- 2. Pate RR, O'Neill JR, Liese AD, et al. Factors associated with development of excessive fatness in children and adolescents: A review of prospective studies. *Obes Rev.* 2013;14(8):645-658.
- 3. Institute of Medicine. *Preventing Childhood Obesity: Health in the Balance.* Washington, D.C.: The National Academies Press; 2005.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, The Community Guide. Physical Activity: Creating or Improving Places for Physical Activity. 2001; https://www.thecommunityguide.org/findings/physical-activity-creating-or-improving-places-physical-activity. Accessed 01/11/2018.
- 5. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, The Community Guide. Physical Activity: Built Environment Approaches Combining Transportation System Interventions with Land Use and Environmental Design. 2016; https://www.thecommunityguide.org/findings/physical-activity-built-environment-approaches. Accessed 01/11/2018.
- 6. Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans Midcourse Report Subcommittee, President's Council on Fitness Sports and Nutrition. *Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans Midcourse Report:*Strategies to Increase Physical Activity Among Youth. Washington, DC 2012 2012.
- 7. Baker PR, Francis DP, Soares J, Weightman AL, Foster C. Community-wide interventions for increasing physical activity. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2011;4:CD008366.
- 8. Institute of Medicine. *Evaluating Obesity Prevention Efforts: A Plan for Measuring Progress.*Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 2013.
- 9. Gortmaker SL, Lee R, Cradock AL, Sobol AM, Duncan DT, Wang YC. Disparities in Youth Physical Activity in the United States: 2003-2006. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*. 2012;44(5):888-893.
- 10. Yan AF, Voorhees CC, Clifton K, Burnier C. "Do you see what I see?" Correlates of multidimensional measures of neighborhood types and perceived physical activity-related neighborhood barriers and facilitators for urban youth. *Prev Med.* 2010;50 Suppl 1:S18-23.
- 11. Slater SJ, Ewing R, Powell LM, Chaloupka FJ, Johnston LD, O'Malley PM. The association between community physical activity settings and youth physical activity, obesity, and body mass index. *J Adolesc Health*. 2010;47(5):496-503.
- 12. Kremers SP, de Bruijn GJ, Droomers M, van LF, Brug J. Moderators of environmental intervention effects on diet and activity in youth. *Am J Prev Med.* 2007;32(2):163-172.
- 13. Schule SA, Bolte G. Interactive and independent associations between the socioeconomic and objective built environment on the neighbourhood level and individual health: a systematic review of multilevel studies. *PLoS One.* 2015;10(4):e0123456.
- 14. De Meester F, Van Dyck D, De Bourdeaudhuij I, Deforche B, Sallis JF, Cardon G. Active living neighborhoods: is neighborhood walkability a key element for Belgian adolescents? *BMC Public Health*. 2012;12:7.

- 15. Van Dyck D, Cardon G, Deforche B, Sallis JF, Owen N, De Bourdeaudhuij I. Neighborhood SES and walkability are related to physical activity behavior in Belgian adults. *Prev Med.* 2010;50 Suppl 1:S74-79.
- 16. Lee RE, Mama SK, Adamus-Leach HJ, Soltero EG. Contribution of neighborhood income and access to quality physical activity resources to physical activity in ethnic minority women over time. *Am J Health Promot.* 2015;29(4):210-216.
- 17. Pate RR, McIver KL, Colabianchi N, et al. Physical Activity Measures in the Healthy Communities Study. *Am J Prev Med.* 2015;49(4):653-659.
- 18. Strauss WJ, Sroka CJ, Frongillo EA, et al. Statistical Design Features of the Healthy Communities Study. *Am J Prev Med.* 2015;49(4):624-630.
- 19. Arteaga SS, Loria CM, Crawford PB, et al. The Healthy Communities Study: Its Rationale, Aims, and Approach. *Am J Prev Med.* 2015;49(4):615-623.
- 20. John LV, Gregoriou M, Pate RR, et al. Operational Implementation of the Healthy Communities Study: How Communities Shape Children's Health. *Am J Prev Med.* 2015;49(4):631-635.
- 21. Fawcett SB, Collie-Akers VL, Schultz JA, Kelley M. Measuring Community Programs and Policies in the Healthy Communities Study. *Am J Prev Med.* 2015;49(4):636-641.
- 22. Collie-Akers VL, Schultz JA, Fawcett SB, et al. Measuring intensity of community programs and policies to prevent childhood obesity in a diverse sample of U.S. communities: The Healthy Communities Study. *Pediatr Obes.* 2018;in review.
- 23. Sroka CJ, McIver KL, Sagatov RD, Arteaga SS, Frongillo EA. Weight Status Measures Collected in the Healthy Communities Study: Protocols and Analyses. *Am J Prev Med.* 2015;49(4):642-646.
- 24. Rubin DB. Multiple Imputation for Nonresponse in Surveys. New York: Wiley and Sons; 1987.
- 25. Tibshirani R. Regression shrinkage and selection via the lasso. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B (Methodological)*. 1996;58(1):267-288.
- 26. Dzewaltowski DA, Glasgow RE, Klesges LM, Estabrooks PA, Brock E. RE-AIM: Evidence-based standards and a Web resource to improve translation of research into practice. *Ann Behav Med.* 2004;28(2):75-80.
- 27. Lally P, Gardner B. Promoting habit formation. *Health Psychol Rev.* 2013;7(Sup 1):S137-S158.
- 28. Ramirez A, Gallion KJ, Despres JP. Latino Childhood Obesity. In: Brennan VM, Kumanyika S, Zambrana RE, eds. *Obesity Interventions in Underserved Communities: Evidence and Directions*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press; 2014.
- 29. Mendoza-Vasconez AS, Linke S, Munoz M, et al. Promoting Physical Activity among Underserved Populations. *Curr Sports Med Rep.* 2016;15(4):290-297.
- 30. Sadeghi B, Kaiser LL, Schaefer S, et al. Multifaceted community-based intervention reduces rate of BMI growth in obese Mexican-origin boys. *Pediatr Obes*. 2017;12(3):247-256.
- 31. Kumanyika S, Grier S. Targeting interventions for ethnic minority and low-income populations. *Future Child.* 2006;16(1):187-207.
- 32. Cheskin LJ, Frutchey R, McDermott AY, Esposito L, Lee BY, Kumanyika S. Motivating systems-oriented research on environmental and policy changes for obesity prevention. *Pediatr Obes*. 2017;12(3):e20-e23.



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting, lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes

Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued **Issued:** The patent has been issued by the agency

Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether

earning royalties or not

Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your patent



Section 1. Identifying Inform	nation			
1. Given Name (First Name) Russell	2. Surname (Last Name Pate)		Date -July-2017
4. Are you the corresponding author?	✓ Yes No			
5. Manuscript Title Associations between Community Prog	grams and Policies and (Children's Phys	ical Activity: The H	ealthy Communities Study
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you kr	now it) IJPO-2017-0230.R2			
Section 2. The Work Under C	onsideration for Pub	aliantia n		
The work officer C	onsideration for Pub	dication		
Did you or your institution at any time rece any aspect of the submitted work (including statistical analysis, etc.)? Are there any relevant conflicts of intere If yes, please fill out the appropriate info Excess rows can be removed by pressin Name of Institution/Company	est? Yes No primation below. If you he g the "X" button.	, data monitoring	one entity press the Other?	n, manuscript preparation, he "ADD" button to add a row
NIH	✓			ommunities Study contract 201000041C
)				
Section 3. Relevant financial	activities outside th	e submitted	work.	
Place a check in the appropriate boxes of compensation) with entities as descr clicking the "Add +" box. You should repare there any relevant conflicts of interest.	ibed in the instructions. port relationships that v	Use one line fo vere present d	or each entity; add	as many lines as you need by
Section 4. Intellectual Proper	rty Patents & Copy	rights		
Do you have any patents, whether plan	ned, pending or issued,	broadly releva	int to the work?	Yes ✓ No

and the second	
Section 5.	Relationships not covered above
	elationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of encing, what you wrote in the submitted work?
Yes, the follow	wing relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):
✓ No other rela	tionships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest
	anuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements rnals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.
Section 6.	Disclosure Statement
Based on the abo	ove disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box
Dr. Pate reports	grants from NIH, during the conduct of the study; .

Evaluation and Feedback

Please visit http://www.icmje.org/cgi-bin/feedback to provide feedback on your experience with completing this form.



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

Identifying information.

The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting, lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes

Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued **Issued:** The patent has been issued by the agency

Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not

Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your

1

patent

Collie-Akers



Section 1. Identifying Inform	mation	
1. Given Name (First Name) Vicki	2. Surname (Last Name) Collie-Akers	3. Date 25-July-2017
4. Are you the corresponding author?	☐ Yes ✓ No	Corresponding Author's Name Russell R. Pate
5. Manuscript Title Associations between Community-leve	el Programs and Policies an	nd Children's Physical Activity
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you k	now it)	_
Section 2. The Work Under C	Consideration for Public	cation
any aspect of the submitted work (includin statistical analysis, etc.)? Are there any relevant conflicts of inter	g but not limited to grants, da rest? Yes No formation below. If you hav	n a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for ata monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, we more than one entity press the "ADD" button to add a row
Name of Institution/Company	Grant? Personal No	n-Financial Other? Comments
National Institutes of Health	✓	NIH Contract HHSN268201000041C
Section 3. Relevant financial	l activities outside the s	submitted work.
of compensation) with entities as descri	ribed in the instructions. Use port relationships that wer	nether you have financial relationships (regardless of amoun se one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by re present during the 36 months prior to publication .
Section 4. Intellectual Prope	erty Patents & Copyric	ghts

	Section 5.	Relationships not covered above
		relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of encing, what you wrote in the submitted work?
	Yes, the follo	owing relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):
	✓ No other rela	ationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest
\bigcirc		anuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statement Irnals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.
S)	Soution C	
	Section 6.	Disclosure Statement
	Based on the abbelow.	ove disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box
O	Dr. Collie-Akers	reports grants from National Institutes of Health, during the conduct of the study; .
\geq		

Evaluation and Feedback

Please visit http://www.icmje.org/cgi-bin/feedback to provide feedback on your experience with completing this form.



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in four parts.

Identifying information.

Enter your full name. If you are NOT the corresponding author please check the box "no" and a space to enter the name of the corresponding author in the space that appears. Provide the requested manuscript information. Double-check the manuscript number and enter it.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes". Then complete the appropriate boxes to indicate the type of support and whether the payment went to you, or to your institution, or both.

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Other relationships.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.



Section 1.	Identifying Inforr	nation		
1. Given Name (Fi Edward	rst Name)	2. Surname (Last Name) Frongillo		3. Effective Date (07-August-2008) 05-July-2017
4. Are you the corresponding author?		Yes ✓ No	Corresponding Author's Na Russel R. Pate	me
5. Manuscript Title Associations bet		el Programs and Policies a	nd Children's Physical Activi	ity
6. Manuscript Idei	ntifying Number (if you k	now it)		

Section 2. The Work Under Consideration for Publication

Did you or your institution at any time receive payment or services from a third party for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc...)?

Complete each row by checking "No" or providing the requested information. If you have more than one relationship click the "Add" button to add a row. Excess rows can be removed by clicking the "X" button.

The Work Under Consideration for Publication						
Туре	No	Money Paid to You	Money to Your Institution*	Name of Entity	Comments**	
1. Grant			✓	NIH		×
						ADD
3. Support for travel to meetings for the study or other purposes			√	NIH		×
1						ADD

^{*} This means money that your institution received for your efforts on this study.

^{**} Use this section to provide any needed explanation.

Section 3.

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were present during the 36 months prior to submission.

Complete each row by checking "No" or providing the requested information. If you have more than one relationship click the "Add" button to add a row. Excess rows can be removed by clicking the "X" button.

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work

Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order)

No Paid to You Money to Your Institution^{*}

Entity

Comments

Section 4.

Other relationships

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

l	✓	No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interes

Yes, the following re			

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Show All Table Rows

SAVE

Evaluation and Feedback

Please visit http://www.icmje.org/cgi-bin/feedback to provide feedback on your experience with completing this form.

^{*} This means money that your institution received for your efforts.

^{**} For example, if you report a consultancy above there is no need to report travel related to that consultancy on this line.



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

Identifying information.

The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting, lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes

Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued **Issued:** The patent has been issued by the agency

Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not

Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your

patent



Section 1. Identifying Inform	nation	
Given Name (First Name) Andrew	2. Surname (Last Name) Landgraf	3. Date 24-July-2017
4. Are you the corresponding author?	Yes Vo	Corresponding Author's Name Russell R. Pate
5. Manuscript Title Associations between Community-leve	l Programs and Policies an	nd Children's Physical Activity
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you kr	now it)	_
Section 2. The Work Under Co	onsideration for Public	cation
any aspect of the submitted work (including statistical analysis, etc.)? Are there any relevant conflicts of interest.	g but not limited to grants, da	a a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for ata monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, we more than one entity press the "ADD" button to add a row
Excess rows can be removed by pressing Name of Institution/Company	Grant? Personal Nor	n-Financial Other? Comments
National Institutes of Health	V	NIH Contract HHSN268201000041C
Section 3. Polovant financial		
Place a check in the appropriate boxes i of compensation) with entities as descri	ibed in the instructions. Us port relationships that wer	submitted work. The ether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount se one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by re present during the 36 months prior to publication.
Section 4. Intellectual Proper	rty Patents & Copyrig	ghts
Do you have any patents, whether plan	ned, pending or issued, br	roadly relevant to the work? Yes V No

	Section 5.	Relationships not covered above
		relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of encing, what you wrote in the submitted work?
	Yes, the follo	owing relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):
	✓ No other rela	ationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest
\bigcirc		anuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements Irnals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.
S	Section 6.	Disclosure Statement
	Based on the ab below.	ove disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box
Ø	Dr. Landgraf rep	ports grants from National Institutes of Health, during the conduct of the study; .
\geq		

Evaluation and Feedback

Please visit http://www.icmje.org/cgi-bin/feedback to provide feedback on your experience with completing this form.



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

1. Identifying information.

The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting, lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes

Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued **Issued:** The patent has been issued by the agency

Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether

earning royalties or not

Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your patent

Madsen



Section 1. Identifying Inform	nation	
Given Name (First Name) Kristine	2. Surname (Last Name) Madsen	3. Date 24-July-2017
4. Are you the corresponding author?	☐ Yes ✓ No	Corresponding Author's Name Russell R. Pate
5. Manuscript Title Associations between Community-leve	el Programs and Policies an	nd Children's Physical Activity
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you kr	now it)	_
Section 2. The Work Under C	onsideration for Public	cation
any aspect of the submitted work (including statistical analysis, etc.)? Are there any relevant conflicts of interest.	g but not limited to grants, da est? Yes No ormation below. If you hav	n a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for ata monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, we more than one entity press the "ADD" button to add a row
Name of Institution/Company	Grant? Personal Nor	n-Financial Other? Comments
National Institutes of Health	✓	NIH Contract HHSN268201000041C
Section 3. Relevant financial	activities outside the s	submitted work.
of compensation) with entities as descr	ibed in the instructions. Us port relationships that wer	nether you have financial relationships (regardless of amoun se one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by re present during the 36 months prior to publication .
Section 4. Intellectual Proper	rty Patents & Copyric	ghts
Do you have any patents, whether plan	ned, pending or issued, br	roadly relevant to the work? Yes V No

Section 5.	Relationships not covered above
	elationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of encing, what you wrote in the submitted work?
Yes, the follo	wing relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):
✓ No other rela	tionships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest
	anuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements rnals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.
Section 6.	
Section 6.	Disclosure Statement
Based on the abo below.	ove disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box
Dr. Madsen repo	rts grants from National Institutes of Health, during the conduct of the study; .

Evaluation and Feedback

Please visit http://www.icmje.org/cgi-bin/feedback to provide feedback on your experience with completing this form.



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

Identifying information.

The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting, lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes

Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued **Issued:** The patent has been issued by the agency

Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether

earning royalties or not **Royalties:** Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your

patent

1



Section 1. Identifying Information		
1. Given Name (First Name) Kerry	2. Surname (Last Name) McIver	3. Date 19-July-2017
4. Are you the corresponding author?	Yes No	Corresponding Author's Name Russell R. Pate
5. Manuscript Title Associations between Community-level	Programs and Policies an	d Children's Physical Activity
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you kno	ow it)	-
Section 2. The Work Under Co	nsideration for Public	ation
Did you or your institution at any time receive payment or services from a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc.)? Are there any relevant conflicts of interest? Yes No		
If yes, please fill out the appropriate information below. If you have more than one entity press the "ADD" button to add a row. Excess rows can be removed by pressing the "X" button.		
Name of Institution/Company	Grant? Personal Non	Other? Comments
National Institutes of Health	✓	NIH Contract HHSN268201000041C
Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.		
Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were present during the 36 months prior to publication . Are there any relevant conflicts of interest? Yes No		
Section 4. Intellectual Propert	ty Patents & Copyrig	hts
Do you have any patents, whether plann	ed, pending or issued, bro	oadly relevant to the work? Yes V No

and the second	
Section 5.	Relationships not covered above
	elationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of ncing, what you wrote in the submitted work?
Yes, the follow	wing relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):
✓ No other rela	tionships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest
	nuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements rnals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.
Section 6.	Disclosure Statement
	Disclosure Statement
Based on the abo	ove disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box
Dr. McIver repor	ts grants from National Institutes of Health, during the conduct of the study; .

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting, lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes

Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued **Issued:** The patent has been issued by the agency

Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not

Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your patent

Schultz



Section 1. Identifying Inform	nation	
1. Given Name (First Name) Jerry	2. Surname (Last Name) Schultz	3. Date 24-July-2017
4. Are you the corresponding author?	☐ Yes ✓ No	Corresponding Author's Name Russell R. Pate
5. Manuscript Title Associations between Community-leve	el Programs and Policies ar	nd Children's Physical Activity
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you k	now it)	_
Section 2. The Work Under C	onsideration for Public	cation
any aspect of the submitted work (including statistical analysis, etc.)? Are there any relevant conflicts of inter	g but not limited to grants, da est? Yes No ormation below. If you hav	n a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for ata monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, we more than one entity press the "ADD" button to add a row
Name of Institution/Company	Grant? Personal No	n-Financial Other? Comments
National Institutes of Health	✓	NIH Contract HHSN268201000041C
)		
Section 3. Relevant financial	activities outside the s	submitted work.
of compensation) with entities as descr	ibed in the instructions. Us port relationships that wer	nether you have financial relationships (regardless of amoun se one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by re present during the 36 months prior to publication .
Section 4. Intellectual Prope	rty Patents & Copyric	ghts
Do you have any patents, whether plan	ned, pending or issued, br	roadly relevant to the work? Yes V No

Section 5.	Relationships not covered above
	elationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of ncing, what you wrote in the submitted work?
Yes, the follow	wing relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):
✓ No other rela	tionships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest
On occasion, jou	nuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements rnals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.
Section 6.	Disclosure Statement
Based on the abo	ve disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box
Dr. Schultz repor	ts grants from National Institutes of Health, during the conduct of the study; .

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

Identifying information.

The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting, lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes

Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued **Issued:** The patent has been issued by the agency

Licensed. The patent has been issued by the agency

Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not

Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your patent

1

Wilson



Given Name (First Name) Dawn	2. Surname (Last Name) Wilson		3. Date 20-July-2017
4. Are you the corresponding author?	☐ Yes ✓ No	Corresponding A	Author's Name
5. Manuscript Title Associations between Community-le	vel Programs and Policies ar	nd Children's Phys	sical Activity
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you	know it)		
		_	
Section 2. The Work Under	Consideration for Public	cation	
any aspect of the submitted work (includi		. , .	ernment, commercial, private foundation, etc.) rd, study design, manuscript preparation,
statistical analysis, etc.)? Are there any relevant conflicts of inte		J	TARREST TO THE STATE OF THE STA
statistical analysis, etc.)? Are there any relevant conflicts of inte	nformation below. If you hav	J	entity press the "ADD" button to add a ro
statistical analysis, etc.)? Are there any relevant conflicts of into If yes, please fill out the appropriate in	nformation below. If you having the "X" button. Grant? Personal No.	ve more than one	entity press the "ADD" button to add a ro
statistical analysis, etc.)? Are there any relevant conflicts of intelligence of the state of th	nformation below. If you having the "X" button. Grant? Personal No.	ve more than one	
statistical analysis, etc.)? Are there any relevant conflicts of into If yes, please fill out the appropriate in Excess rows can be removed by press Name of Institution/Company	onformation below. If you have sing the "X" button. Grant? Personal Fees? S	ve more than one	Comments
statistical analysis, etc.)? Are there any relevant conflicts of interesting the state of the st	nformation below. If you having the "X" button. Grant? Personal Fees? S	ve more than one n-Financial Support?	R01HD072153 NIH Contract HHSN268201000041C
Are there any relevant conflicts of interest of the section 3. Relevant financial section 3. Relevant financial section 3. Relevant financial section 3.	report relationships that were	ove more than one n-Financial Support? Other submitted wore ether you have finese one line for each	R01HD072153 NIH Contract HHSN268201000041C
Are there any relevant conflicts of interest of the section 3. Relevant financial Releva	report relationships that were	ove more than one n-Financial Support? Other submitted wore ether you have finese one line for each	R01HD072153 NIH Contract HHSN268201000041C k. nancial relationships (regardless of amounch entity; add as many lines as you need by the state of

Section 5.	Relationships not covered above
	elationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of ncing, what you wrote in the submitted work?
Yes, the follow	wing relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):
✓ No other rela	tionships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest
	anuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements rnals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.
Section 6.	
Section of	Disclosure Statement
Based on the abo below.	ove disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box
Dr. Wilson repor	ts grants from National Institutes of Health during the conduct of the study; .

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting, lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes

Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued **Issued:** The patent has been issued by the agency

Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not

Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your

patent



Section 1. Identifying Inform	mation	
1. Given Name (First Name) Gail	2. Surname (Last Name) Woodward-Lopez	3. Date 19-July-2017
4. Are you the corresponding author?	☐ Yes ✓ No	Corresponding Author's Name Russell R. Pate
5. Manuscript Title Associations between Community-lev	el Programs and Policies an	nd Children's Physical Activity
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you k	know it)	
Section 2. The Work Under 0	Consideration for Public	cation
any aspect of the submitted work (includin statistical analysis, etc.)? Are there any relevant conflicts of inte	g but not limited to grants, da rest? Yes No formation below. If you hav	a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) fo ta monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, we more than one entity press the "ADD" button to add a row
Name of Institution/Company	Grant? Personal Nor	n-Financial Other? Comments
National Institutes of Health	✓	NIH Contract HHSN268201000041C
Section 3. Relevant financia	l activities outside the s	submitted work.
of compensation) with entities as desc	ribed in the instructions. Us eport relationships that wer	ether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount se one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by re present during the 36 months prior to publication.
Section 4. Intellectual Prope	erty Patents & Copyric	ghts
Do you have any patents, whether plan	nned, pending or issued, br	roadly relevant to the work? Yes V No

and the second	
Section 5.	Relationships not covered above
	elationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of encing, what you wrote in the submitted work?
Yes, the follo	wing relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):
✓ No other rela	tionships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest
	anuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements rnals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.
Section 6.	Disclosure Statement
Based on the abo below.	ove disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box
Dr. Woodward-L	opez reports grants from National Institutes of Health, during the conduct of the study; .

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

Identifying information.

The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting, lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes

Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued

Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency

Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not

Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your patent

1



	Section 1.	Identifying Inform	nation			
7	1. Given Name (Fi	rst Name)	2. Surnam	ne (Last Name)		3. Date 11-April-2018
- =	4. Are you the cor	responding author?	Yes	✓ No	Corresponding Author's Nar Russell R. Pate	ne
	5. Manuscript Title Associations bet		l Programs	and Policies an	d Children's Physical Activit	у
S	6. Manuscript Ider	ntifying Number (if you kr	now it)			
\exists	Section 2.	The Work Under C	onsiderati	ion for Public	ation	
	any aspect of the s statistical analysis,	submitted work (including	but not limi		a third party (government, cor a monitoring board, study de	mmercial, private foundation, etc.) for sign, manuscript preparation,
\geq	Section 3.	Relevant financial	activities	outside the s	ubmitted work.	
hor	of compensation clicking the "Add	n) with entities as descr	ibed in the i port relatior	instructions. Us	e one line for each entity; a	ationships (regardless of amount dd as many lines as you need by conths prior to publication.
_	Section 4.	Intellectual Prope	rty Patei	nts & Copyrig	hts	
∇	Do you have any	patents, whether plan	ned, pendir	ng or issued, br	oadly relevant to the work?	☐ Yes 🗸 No

Section 5.	Relationships not covered above
	elationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of ncing, what you wrote in the submitted work?
Yes, the follow	wing relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):
✓ No other rela	tionships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest
	nuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements raals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.
Section 6.	Disclosure Statement
Based on the abo	ove disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

Identifying information.

The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting, lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes

Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued

Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency

Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not

Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your patent



	mation	
1. Given Name (First Name) Natalie	2. Surname (Last Name) Colabianchi	3. Date 21-July-2017
4. Are you the corresponding author?	☐ Yes ✓ No	Corresponding Author's Name Russell R. Pate
5. Manuscript Title Associations between Community-leve	el Programs and Policies an	nd Children's Physical Activity
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you k	now it)	_
Section 2. The Work Under C	Consideration for Public	cation
any aspect of the submitted work (includin statistical analysis, etc.)? Are there any relevant conflicts of inter	g but not limited to grants, da rest? Yes No formation below. If you hav	a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for the monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, are more than one entity press the "ADD" button to add a row
Name of Institution/Company	Grant? Personal Nor	Other• Comments
		upport•
NIH	V	NIH contract HHSN268201000041C
NIH		
Continu 2	activities outside the s	NIH contract HHSN268201000041C
Section 3. Relevant financial Place a check in the appropriate boxes of compensation) with entities as described.	in the table to indicate wheribed in the instructions. Useport relationships that were	NIH contract HHSN268201000041C submitted work. ether you have financial relationships (regardless of amoun
Place a check in the appropriate boxes of compensation) with entities as described to the "Add +" box. You should read there any relevant conflicts of interesting the "Are there are the "Are there are the "Are there are the "Are th	in the table to indicate wheribed in the instructions. Useport relationships that were	NIH contract HHSN268201000041C submitted work. ether you have financial relationships (regardless of amountse one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by the present during the 36 months prior to publication.

and the second	
Section 5.	Relationships not covered above
	elationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of ncing, what you wrote in the submitted work?
Yes, the follow	wing relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):
✓ No other rela	tionships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest
	anuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements rnals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.
Section 6.	
Decilon of	Disclosure Statement
Based on the abo	ove disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box
Dr. Colabianchi r	eports grants and personal fees from NIH, during the conduct of the study; .

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

Identifying information.

The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting, lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes

Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued

Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency

Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not

Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your patent

1



Section 1. Identifying Inform	nation	
1. Given Name (First Name) Warren	2. Surname (Last Name) Strauss	3. Date 11-April-2018
4. Are you the corresponding author?	☐ Yes ✓ No	Corresponding Author's Name Russell R. Pate
5. Manuscript Title Associations between Community-leve	el Programs and Policies an	nd Children's Physical Activity
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you kr IJPO-2017-0230.R2	now it)	
Section 2. The Work Under Co	onsideration for Public	cation
any aspect of the submitted work (including statistical analysis, etc.)? Are there any relevant conflicts of interest.	g but not limited to grants, da est? Yes No ormation below. If you hav	a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) fo ata monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, we more than one entity press the "ADD" button to add a row
Name of Institution/Company	Grant? Personal Nor	n-Financial Other? Comments
National Institutes of Health	✓	NIH Contract HHSN268201000041C
Section 3. Relevant financial	activities outside the s	submitted work.
of compensation) with entities as descri	ibed in the instructions. Us port relationships that wer	ether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount se one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by re present during the 36 months prior to publication.
Section 4. Intellectual Proper	rty Patents & Copyrig	ghts
Do you have any patents, whether plan	ned, pending or issued, br	roadly relevant to the work? Yes V No

and the second	
Section 5.	Relationships not covered above
	elationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of ncing, what you wrote in the submitted work?
Yes, the follow	wing relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):
✓ No other rela	tionships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest
	nuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements rnals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.
Section 6.	Disclosure Statement
Based on the abo	ove disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box
Dr. Strauss repor	ts grants from National Institutes of Health, during the conduct of the study; .

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

Identifying information.

The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting, lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes

Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued

Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency

Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not

Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your patent



	Section 1.									
		Identifying Inform	nation							
7	1. Given Name (Fi David	rst Name)	2. Surnam Berrigan	ne (Last Name)		3. Date 18-April-2018				
-	4. Are you the cor	responding author?	Yes	√ No	Corresponding Author's Nar Russell R. Pate	me				
	5. Manuscript Title Associations between Community-level Programs and Policies and Children's Physical Activity									
S	6. Manuscript Idei	ntifying Number (if you kr 30.R2	now it)							
	Section 2.	The Work Under C	o w ci d o vo ti	ion for Dublic	ation					
		-			· · · ·	mmercial, private foundation, etc.) for sign, manuscript preparation,				
ω	statistical analysis, Are there any rel	etc.)? evant conflicts of intere	est? \ \ Y	es ✓ No						
	,		<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	Section 3.	Relevant financial	activities	outside the s	ubmitted work.					
	of compensation clicking the "Add	n) with entities as descr d +" box. You should rep	ibed in the port relation	instructions. Us nships that wer	e one line for each entity; a	ationships (regardless of amount dd as many lines as you need by nonths prior to publication.				
\subseteq	Are there any rel	evant conflicts of intere	est?Y	es ✓ No						
+-	Section 4.									
	Section i.	Intellectual Prope	rty Patei	nts & Copyrig	hts					
	Do you have any	patents, whether plan	ned, pendir	ng or issued, br	oadly relevant to the work?	☐ Yes ✓ No				
abla										

Section 5.	Relationships not covered above
	elationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of ncing, what you wrote in the submitted work?
Yes, the follow	wing relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):
✓ No other rela	tionships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest
	nuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements rnals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.
Section 6.	Disclosure Statement
Based on the abo below.	ove disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box
Dr. Berrigan has	nothing to disclose.

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

Identifying information.

The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting, lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes

Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued

Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency

Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not

Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your patent



Section 1. Identifying In	formation	
1. Given Name (First Name) Jyothi	2. Surname (Last Name) Nagaraja	3. Date 20-April-2018
4. Are you the corresponding author?	Yes V No	Corresponding Author's Name Russell R. Pate
5. Manuscript Title Associations between Community	-level Programs and Policies an	nd Children's Physical Activity
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if y	ou know it)	_
Section 2. The Work Und	er Consideration for Public	cation
any aspect of the submitted work (incl statistical analysis, etc.)? Are there any relevant conflicts of i	uding but not limited to grants, danterest? Yes No e information below. If you have	a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for the standard party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for the standard properties and the standard properties and the standard properties are standard provided in the standard provided pro
Name of Institution/Company	Grant? Personal No	n-Financial Other? Comments
National Institutes of Health	✓	NIH Contract HHSN268201000041C
Section 3. Relevant finan	cial activities outside the s	submitted work.
of compensation) with entities as o	lescribed in the instructions. Us Id report relationships that wer	ether you have financial relationships (regardless of amoun se one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by re present during the 36 months prior to publication .
Section 4. Intellectual Pro	operty Patents & Copyric	ghts
Do you have any patents, whether	planned, pending or issued, br	roadly relevant to the work? Yes V No

and the second	
Section 5.	Relationships not covered above
	elationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of ncing, what you wrote in the submitted work?
Yes, the follow	wing relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):
✓ No other rela	tionships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest
	anuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements rnals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.
Section 6.	
Section 6.	Disclosure Statement
Based on the abo below.	ove disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box
Dr. Nagaraja rep	orts grants from National Institutes of Health, during the conduct of the study; .

Evaluation and Feedback

Table 1. Participant and community demographic characteristics

Child/Family Participants	N (%)
Overall	5138
Gender	
Males	2524 (49.1)
Females	2614 (50.9)
Grade	
K-2	1935 (37.7)
3-5	1637 (31.9)
6-8	1566 (30.5)
Family Income	
<\$20k	1261 (24.5)
\$20-35k	1109 (21.6)
\$35-50k	602 (11.7)
\$50-75k	517 (10.1)
\$75-100k	383 (7.5)
>\$100k	840 (16.4)
Don't Know/ Refused/ Missing	426 (8.3)
Child Race	
White	2924 (56.9)
African- American	960 (18.7)
Other Only (Includes AI, NH/PI, As)	234 (4.5)
More than 1 race, including AA	148 (2.9)
More than 1 race, excluding AA	79 (1.5)
Don't Know/ Refused/ Missing	793 (15.4)
Child Ethnicity	. ,
Not Hispanic	2767 (53.9)
Hispanic	2225 (43.3)
Don't Know/ Refused/ Missing	146 (2.8)
Maximum Parent Education	
No HS	434 (8.5)
Some HS	713 (13.9)
HS	979 (19.1)
Some College	646 (12.8)
Associates Degree	591 (11.5)
Bachelors Degree	756 (14.7)
Masters and Above	844 (16.4)
Missing	175 (3.4)
Maximum Parent Employment	
Full Time	3424 (66.6)
Part Time	510 (9.9)
Unemployed or On Leave	309 (6.0)
Retired or Disabled	164 (3.2)
Homemaker/Student/Other	393 (7.6)

Missing	338 (6.6)
Community Characteristics	N (%)
Overall	130
Census Tract Minority	
African American	34 (26.2)
Hispanic	42 (32.3)
Other	54 (41.5)
Census Tract Income	
High	80 (61.5)
Low	50 (38.5)
Census Tract Urbanicity	
Urban	50 (38.5)
Suburban	50 (38.5)
Rural	30 (23.0)
Region	
Northeast	20 (15.4)
Midwest	26 (20.0)
South	55 (42.3)
West	29 (22.3)

Table 2. CPP Indices for 130 communities and association between CPP-Int, CPP-Strat, and children's total physical activity index and moderate-to-vigorous physical activity index

					TPA			MVPA			
				Base Model ³		Covariate Model ⁴		Base Model ³		Covariate Model ⁴	
	Score (SE)	Range	Standardized Score (SE; Range 0-1)	Slope (Std. Error)	p-value	Slope (Std. Error)	p-value	Slope (std. error)	p-value	Slope (Std. Error)	p-value
1-year indices											
CPP-Int ¹	23.97 (0.73)	8.42- 53.47	0.35 (0.02)	0.84 (1.70)	0.62	0.44 (1.49)	0.77	0.61 (1.39)	0.66	0.06 (1.18)	0.72
CPP- Strat ²	4.69 (0.05)	3-5	0.85 (0.02)	1.04 (1.20)	0.39	0.38 (1.07)	0.96	1.38 (0.98)	0.16	0.41 (0.85)	0.63
6-year indices											
CPP- Int ¹	119.83 (3.74)	41.88- 261.97	0.35 (0.02)	0.63 (1.63)	0.70	-0.03 (1.14)	0.99	0.55 (1.34)	0.10	-0.24 (1.14)	0.41
CPP- Strat ²	27.27 (0.29)	18-30	0.66 (0.02)	2.18 (1.34)	0.68	0.99 (0.97)	0.83	2.54 (1.09)	0.02	0.99 (0.97)	0.31

¹CPP Intensity Score Composite Index

²CPP Strategy Score Index

³Base model: adjusted for age, gender, age polynomial

⁴Covariate model: adjusted for base model plus family income, max parent education, max parent employment, child race, child ethnicity, seasonality, region, community census tract income, community census tract percent African American, community census tract percent high school graduate, community census tract percent unemployed

Table 3: Summary of analyses examining demographic effect modifiers of the relationships between 6-year CPP-Strat and MVPA

]	Base Model ¹				
Effect Modifier	Average CPP Slope (SE)	Difference from Average (SE)	P- Value	Average CPP Slope (SE)	Difference from Average (SE)	P- Value
		3 \ /			3 \ /	
Individual Level Characteristics						
Grade	2.54 (1.09)			0.96 (0.97)		
K-2	2.5 (1.05)	-0.24 (0.41)	0.561	0.50 (0.57)	-0.32 (0.41)	0.430
3-5		0.13 (0.30)	0.663		0.14 (0.30)	0.642
6-8		0.16 (0.51)	0.754		0.25 (0.50)	0.613
Race	2.59 (1.11)			0.95 (0.98)		
Black Only		-0.32 (1.03)	0.752		-0.05 (1.10)	0.967
Black-Multi		-0.30 (2.32)	0.900		0.79 (2.68)	0.767
Not Black-Multi		0.55 (3.59)	0.879		1.18 (3.99)	0.768
Other		0.09 (2.27)	0.969		0.15 (2.47)	0.951
White Only		0.09 (0.33)	0.794		-0.06 (0.36)	0.869
Ethnicity	2.48 (1.11)			0.89 (0.97)		
Hispanic		-1.24 (0.67)	0.065		-1.42 (0.68)	0.03
Non-Hispanic		1.01 (0.55)	0.065		1.15 (0.53)	0.03
Family Income	2.53 (1.10)			1.10 (0.98)		
<20,000		-1.52 (0.88)	0.117		-1.41 (0.86)	0.102
20-35,000		-0.60 (0.88)	0.493		-0.36 (0.93)	0.702
35-50,000		1.53 (1.23)	0.212		1.53 (1.27)	0.230
50-75,000		-0.03 (1.47)	0.983		0.23 (0.68)	0.893
75-100,00		1.09 (1.69)	0.520		0.15 (1.94)	0.937
>100,000		1.16 (1.32)	0.381		1.35 (1.51)	0.370
Sex	2.54 (1.09)			0.96 (0.97)		
Female		-0.17 (0.49)	0.724		-0.18 (0.48)	0.712
Male		0.18 (0.51)	0.724		0.19 (0.50)	0.712
Parent Education	2.56 (1.1)			0.99 (0.98)		
No HS		-0.39 (1.65)	0.813		-0.75 (1.64)	0.647
Some HS		-0.75 (1.21)	0.534		-0.81 (1.19)	0.496
HS graduate		-0.40 (0.99)	0.689		-0.60 (0.99)	0.546
Some College		1.76 (1.25)	0.160		1.71 (0.24)	0.168
Associates		-1.42 (1.40)	0.311		-1.06 (1.40)	0.450
Bachelor's		1.03 (1.34)	0.440		1.02 (1.33)	0.443
Master's or Higher		-0.004 (1.41)	0.998		0.26 (1.38)	0.854
			1			1

Parent Employment	2.57 (1.1)			1.09 (0.97)		
Full-time		0.30 (0.31)	0.329		0.22 (0.31)	0.475
Part-time		-1.60 (1.40)	0.254		-1.38 (1.39)	0.323
Unemployed or		-2.75 (1.77)	0.120		-2.29 (1.75)	0.191
Leave						
Retired or Disabled		-3.15 (2.43)	0.194		-2.66 (2.39)	0.266
Home/Student/Other		2.76 (1.80)	0.125		2.69 (1.79)	0.132
Community Level						
Characteristics						
Region	2.57 (1.1)			0.85 (1.02)		
Midwest	2.37 (1.1)	-0.83 (1.80)	0.643	0.03 (1.02)	0.34 (1.61)	0.834
Northeast		2.74 (2.77)	0.323		2.28 (2.48)	0.358
South		-1.21 (1.34)	0.323		-1.44 (1.29)	0.266
West		1.02 (1.80)	0.570		0.76 (1.71)	0.658
West		1.02 (1.60)	0.570		0.70 (1.71)	0.038
Census Tract	2.54 (1.14)			1.10 (1.01)		
Minority				()		
African American		-2.14 (1.80)	0.233		-1.12 (1.56)	0.473
Hispanic		1.83 (1.36)	0.178		1.35 (1.20)	0.264
Other		-0.73 (1.46)	0.617		-0.77 (1.27)	0.545
Census Tract Income	2.59 (1.09)	0.00 (0.00)		0.93 (0.96)	1.10 (0.17)	0.000
High		0.90 (0.77)	0.243		1.10 (0.65)	0.092
Low		-1.70 (1.45)	0.243		-2.06 (1.22)	0.092
Census Tract	2.32 (1.12)			0.73 (0.99)		
Urbanicity	2.32 (1.12)			0.73 (0.77)		
Rural		-1.83 (2.36)	0.438		-2.55 (2.01)	0.203
Suburban		0.03 (1.33)	0.984		0.49 (1.12)	0.663
Urban		1.07 (1.39)	0.442		1.02 (1.18)	0.391
		,				
Community						
Demographics						
% Hispanic	2.13 (1.76)	1.26 (4.34)	0.226	1.13 (1.52)	-0.74 (3.71)	0.842
% African American	2.14 (1.46)	-1.60 (3.999)	0.141	1.15 (1.36)	-0.75 (3.61)	0.836
% Poverty	2.01 (2.50)	1.71 (9.87)	0.422	1.51 (2.15)	-2.74 (8.59)	0.749
% HS Graduate	5.03 (10.61)	-3.07 (13.11)	0.636	-6.92 (8.85)	9.74 (10.90)	0.371

¹Base model: adjusted for age, gender, age polynomial

²Full model: adjusted for base model plus family income, max parent education, max parent employment, child race, child ethnicity, seasonality, region, community census tract income, community census tract percent African American, community census tract percent high school graduate, community census tract percent unemployed