Kaczynski Andrew T. (Orcid ID: 0000-0001-8724-8241) Hughey S. Morgan (Orcid ID: 0000-0003-4973-6150) Pate Russell R. (Orcid ID: 0000-0001-6373-9337)

Street quality and youth activity

Relationship of objective street quality attributes with youth physical activity: Findings from the Healthy Communities Study

Andrew T. Kaczynski, ^{1,2} Gina M. Besenyi, ³ Stephanie Child, ⁴ S. Morgan Hughey, ⁵ Natalie Colabianchi, ⁶ Kerry L. McIver, ⁷ Marsha Dowda, ⁷ Russell R. Pate ⁷

Corresponding Author: Andrew Kaczynski, Arnold School of Public Health, 915 Greene Street, Columbia, SC 29208, atkaczyn@mailbox.sc.edu, 803-777-7063

Word Count: 2,894

Key Words: physical activity, neighborhood, street quality, youth, built environment

Running Title: Street quality and youth activity

Submitted exclusively for publication consideration in

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1111/ijpo.12429

¹Department of Health Promotion, Education, and Behavior, Arnold School of Public Health, University of South Carolina

²Prevention Research Center, Arnold School of Public Health, University of South Carolina

³Department of Kinesiology, College of Human Ecology, Kansas State University

⁴Department of Sociology, University of California, Berkeley

⁵Department of Health and Human Performance, College of Charleston

⁶School of Kinesiology, University of Michigan

⁷Department of Exercise Science, Arnold School of Public Health, University of South Carolina

What is already known about this subject:

- Neighborhood environments may significantly influence youth physical activity
- Few studies have examined the detailed attributes of neighborhood quality via observational audits in relation to context-specific physical activity among youth

What this study adds:

- Explored how specific street quality attributes were associated with neighborhood- and street-based physical activity within a national sample of youth
- Youth with no litter on their street reported significantly lower neighborhood-based physical activity and youth living on a side street, cul-de-sac, dead end, or one-way street reported greater neighborhood-based physical activity
- Specific street quality attributes may be associated with youth physical activity and should be the focus of further research and collaborative urban and public health planning

Abstract

Background: Residential environments may significantly influence youth physical activity (PA). However, few studies have examined detailed street quality attributes via observational audits in relation to context-specific PA among youth.

Objectives: To explore whether the overall quality of street environments, as well as specific attributes, were associated with neighborhood- and street-based PA within a national sample of youth in the Healthy Communities Study.

Methods: Data were collected from 4,616 youth from 130 communities across the U.S. Youth PA in the neighborhood and on the participant's street were captured using 7-day recall interviews. Windshield survey observational audits documented five street quality variables: burned, boarded up, or abandoned residential units, litter, overall condition of residences, street type, and presence of sidewalks in good condition.

Results: Youth with no litter on their street reported significantly lower neighborhood-based PA and youth living on a side street, cul-de-sac, dead end, or one-way street reported greater neighborhood-based PA. No significant associations were detected for the overall street quality index or with street-based PA.

Conclusions: Specific street quality attributes may be associated with youth PA. Further research and collaboration between diverse disciplines and agencies should focus on understanding and improving street quality to promote youth PA and health.

Introduction

Youth physical activity (PA) has important implications for health outcomes in childhood, as well as the maintenance of PA and health into adulthood. However, PA levels of children and adolescents remain low and constitute a significant public health concern. At Environmental contexts, including neighborhood factors such as safety (e.g., crime rates, traffic volume and speed), walkability (e.g., well-maintained sidewalks, nearby destinations), and disorder (e.g., abandoned buildings, litter) have been extensively related to PA rates among youth. Likewise, parent or child perceptions of neighborhood aesthetics, active play areas, and accessibility and are often strongly associated with children's PA. At PA.

The current paper attempts to address several gaps in the extant literature on neighborhood street environments and youth PA. First, we examined key street quality attributes hypothesized to be associated with leisure-time and transportation-related neighborhood- and street-based youth PA. Residential streets are particularly salient options for youth PA given parents' concerns about safety, mobility, and territorial range, ^{9,10} and as avenues to other nearby opportunities. Some researchers have studied broader neighborhood factors such as street connectivity, building density, or land use mix, ⁶ while less research has considered the quality of street environments. ¹¹

Second, this study employs objective street audits conducted via "windshield surveys" to measure the presence or absence of five specific street quality variables as well as a total summary index. Windshield surveys involve direct observation to assess neighborhood

characteristics and conditions, and have been used in previous studies examining features associated with other health behaviors among youth. Which of the prior research on neighborhood attributes and youth PA has relied on parent or child perceptions or macro-level metrics derived from geographic information systems (GIS). In contrast, one study that incorporated pedestrian environment audits found that objectively-measured street-level characteristics (i.e., the presence of pedestrian amenities, low traffic volume) were positively associated with youth PA in Mexico. 11

Finally, this study integrates these unique features within a large, national sample of U.S. youth to examine two specific location-based PA outcomes. Other national studies have investigated neighborhood characteristics related to youth PA with mixed results, ^{13,14} and typically examine broad factors (i.e., GIS-derived measures) rather than quality characteristics pertinent to street-based youth PA. Additionally, measures of youth PA often encompass any activity occurring before or after school hours, without regard for location. ^{10,14} Thus, these studies may misattribute neighborhood characteristics associated with youth PA by including PA acquired through other sources (i.e., organized sports), rather than leisure-time or transportation activities occurring specifically within the neighborhood.

The purpose of this study was to employ the rich and unique data from the Healthy Communities Study (HCS)¹⁵ to better understand relationships between residential street quality and youth PA. Specifically, we examined whether the overall quality of street environments, as

well as specific variables, were associated with each of neighborhood- and street-based youth PA.

Methods

Study Design and Sampling

The HCS is a large-scale, observational study of 130 U.S. communities exploring associations between community programs and policies designed to promote health behaviors and obesity-related child outcomes such as body mass index (BMI), nutrition, and PA. ^{15,16} As described elsewhere, ¹⁷ within each community, one or more census tracts were randomly selected; then, the public high school (9th-12th grade) closest to the centroid of the tract was identified to represent the selected community and two elementary (kindergarten through 5th grade) and two middle schools (6th-8th grade) within the high school's catchment area (as defined by the local school district) were identified and used for participant recruitment. Using a stratified random selection process, children in kindergarten through 8th grade and their parents were recruited via an informational letter sent home from the school. ¹⁷ Parents provided written informed consent for their child's participation and the study was approved by the Battelle Memorial Institute IRB.

Data Collection

Data collection for the HCS took place year-round from November 2013 through July 2015 as communities were recruited. Trained field data collectors conducted in-home interviews with participating families. Self-reported sections of the interview were completed independently

with parental or guardian assistance as needed for youth ages 9-15 years, while the self-administered section was completed by the parent or guardian for children ages 4-8 years. Coinciding with the household interviews, trained staff also conducted observational windshield audits by driving a vehicle down the participant's street segment and documenting specific quality attributes (described further below).

Measures

Physical Activity

Child PA data were captured using the 7-day Physical Activity Behavior Recall (PABR-7) as part of the household interview. Respondents indicated whether or not the child had participated in 15 different activities during the past week, the days on which he or she did the activity, and the average intensity of the activity (light, moderate, hard, very hard). As well, participants who indicated activity on the previous day were asked to respond to additional items about the type of activity, the duration of the activity (minutes), location of the activity (e.g., school, home, street), and any co-participants. To better understand the relationship between street environments and context-specific PA, an overall *neighborhood-based PA index* was created by summing the frequency (times per week) of 7 of the 15 activity types that were not related to time at school (pick up sports – i.e., non-organized/non-structured play, non-school sports, physically active games, outdoor/adventure activities, walk/bike to school, walk/bike to store/friend's house, walk/bike for fun/exercise). Additionally, among those who reported any neighborhood PA activities on the previous day, a *street-based PA* variable assessed whether any

of this activity occurred on the child's street. This latter variable was dichotomized as "any street-based PA" versus "no street-based PA" based on the distribution of episodes for youth in the sample.

Street Quality

Quality variables for each participating household's street segment were documented through direct observation windshield surveys using five items from the Neighborhood Attribute Inventory (NAI). 19 A participant's street segment was defined as the road segment from intersection to intersection that bordered the home address not to exceed 0.5 miles, and each segment was audited by a single data collection staff member trained and certified to a gold standard (at least 80% reliability compared to trainer ratings of street segment photos during training and throughout the study). The five selected NAI items comprised measures of physical disorder as well as environmental variables related to PA with high to acceptable reliability.²⁰ Specifically, these included: 1) the presence (0) or absence (1) of any burned, boarded up, or abandoned residential units, 2) the presence and amount of litter measured using a 3-point scale and dichotomized as some/moderate/a lot (0) or none (1), 3) the overall quality and condition of residential units captured using a 5-point scale and dichotomized as fair/poor/mixed (0) or excellent/good (1), 4) the type of street dichotomized as a major or moderately busy thoroughfare (0) or a side street/cult-de-sac/dead end/one-way (1), and 5) sidewalks that were absent or available but in poor condition (0) versus the presence of sidewalks that were in good

condition (1). Scores for all items were summed to provide an overall quality score (0-5) for each child's street segment.

Analyses

Descriptive statistics were used to explore characteristics of the study sample and key exposure and outcome variables. Multilevel linear regression models examined the influence of both the total street quality score and each street quality variable on the youth neighborhood PA index, and multilevel logistic regression examined relationships between the same exposure variables and the likelihood of youth engaging in PA specifically on their street. All analyses were performed in SAS 9.4 and were adjusted for selected sociodemographic correlates of youth PA (gender, age, race, ethnicity, and parent level of education), with community of residence treated as a random variable.

Results

In total, the HCS included youth from 130 communities (mean=39.5, range=6-83 per community). The analysis for the neighborhood PA index dependent variable included 4,415 participants with complete data for all variables (deletions for missing variables from the full sample of 5,138 youth in the HCS included 180 for PA, 75 for parental education, 46 for ethnicity, and 417 for one or more windshield survey variables). The analysis for the street-based PA dependent variable included 2,724 participants given that this variable was calculated only for youth who reported participating in PA the previous day. As shown in Table 1, of the youth

in the current sample (n=4.415), 50.8% were female and the mean age was 9.3 years (sd=2.7). Just over half were Non-Hispanic (55.1%) and White (58.5%).

The average neighborhood-based PA index was 9.57 episodes in the past week (sd=7.20, range=0-46). Of those youth who reported engaging in PA on the previous day, 24.6% had at least one episode of street-based PA (Table 1). The mean total street quality score (range=0-5) was 2.84 (s.d.=1.26) and the five individual street quality variables ranged from 86.9% of youth having an absence of burned, boarded up, or abandoned units to only 27.5% having sidewalks that were present and in good condition (Table 1).

Based on multilevel linear regression analyses, youth that had no litter present on their street reported significantly lower neighborhood-based PA compared to youth living on streets where litter was observed (b=-0.51, p=0.04). In addition, youth had significantly greater neighborhood-based PA when they lived on a side street, cul-de-sac, dead end, or one-way street compared to youth who lived on a major or moderately busy thoroughfare (b=0.60, p=0.03). No significant associations were detected between reported neighborhood-based PA and presence and condition of sidewalks, condition of residential units, presence of burned, boarded up, or abandoned units, or the total street quality score. The multilevel logistic regression analyses showed no significant associations between street-based PA on the previous day and the five individual street quality variables or the total street quality score.

Discussion

This study examined the relationship between street quality attributes and youth PA. Data from the HCS provided a unique opportunity to assess the association of two context-specific youth PA outcomes with objective street quality measures in a national sample. Namely, we assessed five specific street quality characteristics, as well as a total summary index, in relation to both neighborhood- and street-based PA among youth ages 9-15. The ability of this study to examine objectively-measured street quality through the use of windshield surveys in a large and diverse sample is an important contribution to the literature on youth PA which has previously largely relied on broader scale measures collected through GIS or self-reported (i.e., parent-reported) data in limited geographic areas.

One counterintuitive result of our study was that youth living on streets with no litter reported lower neighborhood-based PA. Few prior studies have isolated the association between specific elements of neighborhood quality and youth PA, instead relying on composite metrics of objective or parent-reported safety. The positive relationship between litter and PA observed in this study might be explained by factors such as mixed land use, increased pedestrian traffic, or more population and playmates nearby, which may facilitate greater PA among youth through diverse mechanisms hut may also result in increased litter and other minor neighborhood quality issues.

We also found that living on a side street, cul-de-sac, dead-end, or one-way street was related to greater levels of neighborhood PA. This is consistent with other past research showing that such street designs promote outdoor play and PA among youth;²² however, it also contrasts

somewhat with most research on adults that has reported positive associations between intersection density (usually characterized by grid-like street patterns devoid of cul-de-sacs) and PA.²³ One solution that combines both types of street layouts is the fused grid, which incorporates cul-de-sacs, side streets, and connecting green spaces and trails within cells bounded by higher-traffic arterial and commercial roads plotted in a grid-like manner.²⁴ The fused grid planning model has received limited attention in relationship to either youth or adult PA, but deserves greater consideration to balance the active transportation and recreation pursuits of adults and youth alike.²⁴

Several other street quality variables were unrelated to either neighborhood- or street-based PA. It is possible that youth of certain ages are more likely to engage in play in residential yards or local green spaces such that the quality of street environments matters less for PA. Indeed, one study of 10-14 year-old boys in Houston found that few of the audited street characteristics within 400m of home were associated with objectively-measured moderate-to-vigorous PA and instead suggested that other factors not examined, such as parks and recreation facilities, may be more strongly related to adolescent PA. As well, the PA recall instrument was designed to capture activities that could be affected by community interventions (consistent with the purpose of the HCS) and therefore potentially did not include all relevant activities for youth in this age group, including some that may have been related to street quality.

Finally, we found a lack of associations between the total street quality measure and either neighborhood- or street-based PA. Interestingly, elements within this composite metric,

such as sidewalks, residential condition, or the presence of burned, boarded up, or abandoned units, may not have significantly impacted the PA of youth in this study. Other factors within the proximal road environment, such as lighting, topography, crosswalks, traffic control measures (e.g., speed bumps, speed limits), surveillance, and shade trees, potentially warrant examination as part of a youth-focused street quality index in future.²¹

Limitations

Several limitations should be noted. First, this analysis is one component of the broader Healthy Communities Study and employed a cross-sectional study design, which limits the ability to infer causation between street quality indicators and neighborhood- and street-based youth PA behavior. In addition, the PA measures used as the dependent variables in these analyses were self-reported by adults (for younger children) or youth (for older children). Self-reported measures are potentially influenced by recall and social desirability bias. However, this measure was carefully developed for this particular study, followed a strict data collection protocol with trained research staff, and allowed researchers to examine location-based PA in a large population of children across 130 communities. Likewise, the street-based PA variable used for the second research question only focused on the prior day and only included youth that had participated in any PA on the previous day, resulting in a smaller sample size for this particular analysis. The reduction in sample size may have contributed lower power to detect statistically significant findings for the litter and street type variables, as they approached statistical significance in relationship to street-based PA but did not meet the p<0.05 threshold.

Results may also have varied depending on whether the previous day was on a weekend or weekday, though Sunday data collection was uncommon, and the season in which data collection occurred. Finally, the direct observation windshield surveys were limited to five key neighborhood variables hypothesized to influence youth PA levels and were conducted by a single data collection staff member.

Future Research

This study contributes to knowledge of environmental factors that may influence youth PA. However, there are several opportunities for future research that could improve understanding of neighborhood- and street-based youth PA. For example, incorporating sociodemographic characteristics of broader neighborhoods, such as poverty levels and racial segregation, may be valuable as these may play a role in youth PA via the real and perceived availability and suitability of neighborhood resources. 5.6,26 Likewise, examining interactions between sociodemographic (e.g., race, gender) or interpersonal (e.g., social support, safety) variables and street attributes may illuminate additional dynamics in the association between residential quality and youth PA. Additionally, previous studies have found that both parent and child perceptions of environmental factors, including access to PA opportunities, may influence and even predict youth PA, 7.27 suggesting that perceived environments may be just as important as objective measures when exploring neighborhood effects. As well, a growing body of literature points to the important role that social environments, such as parental support, neighborhood social cohesion, and youth peer relationships, play in youth PA. For instance, as

youth are often aware of and heed neighborhood boundaries (i.e., parks, schools, busy streets) set forth by their parents, ²⁷ future research could examine how parental perceptions and decisions regarding children's outdoor autonomy may impact PA and obesity outcomes. ^{7,28} Not only have parents expressed concern for 'stranger danger' during child outdoor activity, the social pressure of constant child supervision has increased, resulting in parents being fearful of judgment or legal action for allowing children outdoors without adult supervision. ²⁹ Furthermore, both objective and perceived indicators of crime and safety are also predominant elements of neighborhood social contexts that should be considered in future studies. ^{5,10} Similarly, related measures of neighborhood quality such as broken windows may help in explaining parent and child attitudes toward street-based PA. ⁵ Finally, investigating the potential moderating effects that individual and community-level factors (e.g., psychosocial, income, alternative PA opportunities) have on youth behaviors could improve our understanding of and ability to promote neighborhood PA, and subsequently obesity prevention among youth. ³⁰

In summary, using data from over 4,000 youth across 130 communities, this study found that specific street quality attributes, such as the presence of litter and living on side streets, culde-sacs, or less busy roads, were associated with higher levels of neighborhood-based PA. Such evidence suggests that the design and quality of streets and neighborhoods may play an important role in facilitating children's PA. Collaboration between local government officials in sectors such as public health, community development, and transportation and planning regarding the physical infrastructure and aesthetic maintenance of street and neighborhood

features could have a positive influence on the PA and health of children and families nationwide.

Conflicts of Interest Statement

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Authors Contributions

AK conceived of the manuscript and led all aspects of analysis and writing. GB, SC, and SMH contributed to analysis and writing. NC and KM contributed to research design and data collection. MD led all analyses and RC led all aspects of the study design and implementation. All authors were involved in writing the paper and had final approval of the submitted and published versions.

Acknowledgments

The Healthy Communities Study was supported with federal funds from the National Heart,
Lung, and Blood Institute, in collaboration with the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of
Child Health and Development, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney
Disorders, National Cancer Institute, and NIH Office of Behavioral and Social Sciences
Research; DHHS, under Contract No.HHSN268201000041C.

References

- 1. Janssen I, LeBlanc AG. Systematic review of the health benefits of physical activity and fitness in school-aged children and youth. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act*. 2010;7(1):1-16. doi:10.1186/1479-5868-7-40.
- 2. Telama R, Yang X, Viikari J, Välimäki I, Wanne O, Raitakari O. Physical activity from childhood to adulthood: A 21-year tracking study. *Am J Prev Med*. 2005;28(3):267-273. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2004.12.003.
- 3. Song M, Carroll DD, Fulton JE. Meeting the 2008 Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans Among U.S. Youth. *Am J Prev Med*. 2013;44(3):216-222. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2012.11.016.
- 4. National Physical Activity Plan Alliance. 2016 US Report Card on Physical Activity for Children and Youth. Columbia, SC; 2016.
- 5. Franzini L, Elliott MN, Cuccaro P, et al. Influences of Physical and Social Neighborhood Environments on Children's Physical Activity and Obesity. *Am J Public Health*. 2009;99(2):271-278. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2007.128702.
- 6. Ding D, Sallis JF, Kerr J, Lee S, Rosenberg DE. Neighborhood Environment and Physical Activity Among Youth: A Review. *Am J Prev Med*. 2011;41(4):442-455. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2011.06.036.
- 7. Roberts JD, Knight B, Ray R, Saelens BE. Parental perceived built environment measures and active play in Washington DC metropolitan children. *Prev Med Rep.* 2016;3:373-378. doi:10.1016/j.pmedr.2016.04.001.
- 8. Nesbit KC, Kolobe TH, Sisson SB, Ghement IR. A structural equation model of environmental correlates of adolescent obesity for age and gender groups. *Pediatr Obes*. 2015;10(4):288-295. doi:10.1111/ijpo.259.
- 9. Jago R, Thompson JL, Page AS, Brockman R, Cartwright K, Fox KR. Licence to be active: parental concerns and 10–11-year-old children's ability to be independently physically active. *J Public Health*. 2009;31(4):472-477. doi:10.1093/pubmed/fdp053.
- 10. Galaviz KI, Zytnick D, Kegler MC, Cunningham SA. Parental Perception of Neighborhood Safety and Children's Physical Activity. *J Phys Act Health*. 2016;13(10):1110-1116. doi:10.1123/jpah.2015-0557.

- 11. Lee RE, Soltero EG, Jáuregui A, et al. Disentangling Associations of Neighborhood Street Scale Elements With Physical Activity in Mexican School Children. *Environ Behav*. 2016;48(1):150-171. doi:10.1177/0013916515615389.
- 12. Oman RF, Vesely SK, Aspy CB, et al. A Longitudinal Study of Youth Assets, Neighborhood Conditions, and Youth Sexual Behaviors. *J Adolesc Health*. 2013;52(6):779-785. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2012.12.005.
- 13. Boone-Heinonen J, Guilkey DK, Evenson KR, Gordon-Larsen P. Residential self-selection bias in the estimation of built environment effects on physical activity between adolescence and young adulthood. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act*. 2010;7(1):70. doi:10.1186/1479-5868-7-70.
- 14. Lowry R, Lee SM, Fulton JE, Demissie Z, Kann L. Obesity and Other Correlates of Physical Activity and Sedentary Behaviors among US High School Students. *J Obes*. 2013;2013. doi:10.1155/2013/276318.
- 15. Arteaga SS, Loria CM, Crawford PB, et al. The Healthy Communities Study: Its Rationale, Aims, and Approach. *Am J Prev Med.* 2015;49(4):615-623. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2015.06.029.
- 16. Frongillo EA, Fawcett SB, Ritchie LD, et al. Community Policies and Programs to Prevent Obesity and Child Adiposity. *Am J Prev Med*. 2017;53(5):576-583. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2017.05.006.
- 17. Strauss WJ, Sroka CJ, Frongillo EA, et al. Statistical Design Features of the Healthy Communities Study. *Am J Prev Med*. 2015;49(4):624-630. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2015.06.021.
- 18. Pate RR, McIver KL, Colabianchi N, et al. Physical Activity Measures in the Healthy Communities Study. *Am J Prev Med*. 2015;49(4):653-659. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2015.06.020.
- 19. Caughy MO, O'Campo PJ, Patterson J. A brief observational measure for urban neighborhoods. *Health Place*. 2001;7(3):225-236. doi:10.1016/S1353-8292(01)00012-0.
- 20. Evenson KR, Sotres-Alvarez D, Herring AH, Messer L, Laraia BA, Rodríguez DA. Assessing urban and rural neighborhood characteristics using audit and GIS data: derivation and reliability of constructs. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act*. 2009;6:44. doi:10.1186/1479-5868-6-44.

- 21. Carver A, Timperio A, Hesketh K, Crawford D. Are Safety-Related Features of the Road Environment Associated with Smaller Declines in Physical Activity among Youth? *J Urban Health*. 2010;87(1):29-43. doi:10.1007/s11524-009-9402-3.
- 22. Handy S, Cao X, Mokhtarian P. Neighborhood Design and Children's Outdoor Play: Evidence from Northern California. *Child Youth Environ*. 2008;18(2):160-179.
- 23. Berrigan D, Pickle LW, Dill J. Associations between street connectivity and active transportation. *Int J Health Geogr.* 2010;9:20. doi:10.1186/1476-072X-9-20.
- 24. Grammenos F, Lovegrove GR. Remaking the City Street Grid: A Model for Urban and Suburban Development. McFarland; 2015.
- 25. Jago R, Baranowski T, Zakeri I, Harris M. Observed environmental features and the physical activity of adolescent males. *Am J Prev Med*. 2005;29(2):98-104. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2005.04.002.
- 26. Kramer MR, Hogue CR. Is Segregation Bad for Your Health? *Epidemiol Rev*. 2009;31(1):178-194. doi:10.1093/epirev/mxp001.
- 27. Smith AL, Troped PJ, McDonough MH, DeFreese JD. Youth perceptions of how neighborhood physical environment and peers affect physical activity: a focus group study. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.* 2015;12:80. doi:10.1186/s12966-015-0246-9.
- 28. Sadeghi B, Kaiser LL, Schaefer S, et al. Multifaceted community-based intervention reduces rate of BMI growth in obese Mexican-origin boys. *Pediatr Obes*. 2017;12(3):247-256. doi:10.1111/ijpo.12135.
- 29. Thomas A, Stanford P, Sarnecka B. No Child Left Alone: Moral Judgments about Parents Affect Estimates of Risk to Children. *Collabra Psychol.* 2016;2(1). doi:10.1525/collabra.33.
- 30. Cheskin LJ, Frutchey R, McDermott AY, Esposito L, Lee BY, Kumanyika S. Motivating systems-oriented research on environmental and policy changes for obesity prevention. *Pediatr Obes.* 2017;12(3):e20-e23. doi:10.1111/ijpo.12132.

Table 1
Participant and Street Characteristics

Participant Characteristic	N	% or Mean (SD)
Gender		
Male	2,173	49.2%
Female	2,242	50.8%
Age	4,415	9.3 (2.7)
Ethnicity		
Not Hispanic	2,434	55.1%
Hispanic	1,981	44.9%
Race		
White	2,584	58.5%
African American	848	19.2%
Mixed race	198	4.5%
Unknown	583	13.2%
Other	202	4.6%
Body Mass Index	4,250	19.95 (5.37)
Neighborhood-based PA Index	4,415	9.6 (7.2)
Street-based PA		
Yes	703	24.6%
No	2,158	75.4%
Street Quality Attributes		
Burned, boarded up, abandoned units		
Absent	3,836	86.9%
Present	579	13.1%
Litter		
None	1,486	33.7%
Some/moderate/a lot	2,929	66.3%
Condition of residential units		
Excellent/good	2,461	55.7%
Fair/poor/mixed	1,954	44.3%

Street type		
Side street/cul-de-sac/dead-end/one-way	3,558	80.6%
Major or moderately busy thoroughfare	857	19.4%
Sidewalks		
Present and in good condition	1,215	27.5%
Absent or in poor condition	3,200	72.5%
Total street quality summary score (0-5)	4,415	2.8 (1.3)

Table 2
Association of Street Quality Attributes with Neighborhood PA and Street-Based PA

Street Quality Attribute	Neighborhood PA Index (n=4415)		Street-Based PA (yes) (n=2724)	
	В	р	OR	95% CI
Burned, boarded up, abandoned units (absent)	-0.13	0.68	1.00	(0.75, 1.33)
Litter (none)	-0.51	0.04	0.83	(0.67, 1.03)
Condition of residential units (excellent/good)	-0.20	0.42	1.01	(0.82, 1.23)
Street type (side street/cul-de-sac/dead-end/one-way	0.60	0.03	1.24	(0.97, 1.58)
Sidewalks (present and in good condition)	0.04	0.89	1.10	(0.89, 1.36)
Total street quality score	-0.05	0.65	1.01	(0.93, 1.10)



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting, lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes

Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued

Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency

Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not

Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your patent



	Section 1.					
	Section 1.	Identifying Inform	ation			
7	1. Given Name (Firs Andrew	t Name)	2. Surname (Last Na Kaczynski	ime)	3. Date 03-July-2	2017
	4. Are you the corre	sponding author?	✓ Yes No			
SCF	Communities Stud	,	. ,	vith youth physical act	ivity: Findings from t	:he Healthy
	<u></u>					
	Section 2.	The Work Under Co	onsideration for F	Publication		
a	any aspect of the su statistical analysis, e	bmitted work (including	but not limited to gra	es from a third party (govents, data monitoring boa		private foundation, etc.) for uscript preparation,
\geq						
	Section 3.	Relevant financial a	activities outside	the submitted wo	rk.	
0	of compensation) clicking the "Add	with entities as describ	bed in the instruction ort relationships th		nch entity; add as ma	s (regardless of amount ny lines as you need by rior to publication.
\forall	Section 4.	Intellectual Propert	ty Patents & Co	pyrights		
	Do you have any p	patents, whether plann	ned, pending or issu	ed, broadly relevant to	o the work? Yes	✓ No

	and the second	
	Section 5.	Relationships not covered above
		relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of encing, what you wrote in the submitted work?
	Yes, the follo	owing relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):
	✓ No other rela	ationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest
\bigcirc		anuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements Irnals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.
S	Section 6.	Disclosure Statement
	Based on the ab below.	ove disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box
Ø	Dr. Kaczynski ha	as nothing to disclose.
\geq		

Evaluation and Feedback

Please visit http://www.icmje.org/cgi-bin/feedback to provide feedback on your experience with completing this form.



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

Identifying information.

The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting, lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes

Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued **Issued:** The patent has been issued by the agency

Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether

earning royalties or not

Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your patent

Besenyi



	Section 1.	Identifying Inforn	nation			
7	1. Given Name (Fi	2. Surnam Besenyi	ne (Last Name)		3. Date 03-July-2017	
=	4. Are you the cor	responding author?	Yes	✓ No	Corresponding Author's Name Andrew Kaczynski	
	5. Manuscript Title Relationship of c Communities Stu	bjective neighborhoo	d quality att	tributes with yo	uth physical activity: Findings	s from the Healthy
S	6. Manuscript Ider	ntifying Number (if you kı	now it)			
\supset	Section 2.	The Work Under C	onsiderat	ion for Public	ation	
an	any aspect of the s statistical analysis,	stitution at any time rece submitted work (including	ive payment g but not limi 	or services from		nercial, private foundation, etc.) for in, manuscript preparation,
\geq	Section 3.	Relevant financial	activities	outside the s	ubmitted work.	
10 r	of compensation clicking the "Add	n) with entities as descr	ibed in the port relation	instructions. Us		onships (regardless of amount I as many lines as you need by nths prior to publication.
$\dot{\equiv}$	Section 4.	Intellectual Prope	rty Pate	nts & Copyri <u>c</u>	hts	
7	Do you have any	patents, whether plan	ned, pendir	ng or issued, br	oadly relevant to the work? [Yes ✓ No

Section 5.	Relationships not covered above
	elationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of encing, what you wrote in the submitted work?
Yes, the follow	wing relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):
✓ No other rela	tionships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest
	anuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements rnals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.
Section 6.	Disclosure Statement
	Disclosure Statement
Based on the abo below.	ove disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box
Dr. Besenyi has n	nothing to disclose.

Evaluation and Feedback

Please visit http://www.icmje.org/cgi-bin/feedback to provide feedback on your experience with completing this form.



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting, lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes

Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued

Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency

Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not

Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your patent

Child



Section 1.	ldentifying Inform	ation					
1. Given Name (Fil Stephanie	rst Name)	2. Surname (Last Name) Child	3. Date 03-July-2017				
4. Are you the cor	responding author?	Yes ✓ No	Corresponding Author's Name Andrew Kaczynski				
5. Manuscript Title Relationship of c Communities Stu	bjective neighborhood	I quality attributes with yo	outh physical activity: Findings from the Healthy				
6. Manuscript Ider	ntifying Number (if you kn	ow it)					
	ı						
Section 2.	The Work Under Co	onsideration for Public	ation				
any aspect of the s statistical analysis,	submitted work (including	but not limited to grants, da	a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for ta monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation,				
Section 3.	Relevant financial	activities outside the s	ubmitted work.				
Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were present during the 36 months prior to publication . Are there any relevant conflicts of interest? Yes Vo							
Section 4.	Intellectual Proper	ty Patents & Copyrig	ıhts				
Do you have any	-		oadly relevant to the work? Yes Vo				

and the second	
Section 5.	Relationships not covered above
	elationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of encing, what you wrote in the submitted work?
Yes, the follow	wing relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):
✓ No other rela	tionships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest
	anuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements rnals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.
Section 6.	Disclosure Statement
	Disclosure Statement
Based on the abo	ove disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box
Dr. Child has not	hing to disclose.

Evaluation and Feedback

Please visit http://www.icmje.org/cgi-bin/feedback to provide feedback on your experience with completing this form.



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting, lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes

Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued **Issued:** The patent has been issued by the agency

Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether

earning royalties or not

Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your patent

Hughey



	Section 1.	Identifying Inforn	nation			
1	1. Given Name (Fi S. Morgan	rst Name)	2. Surnan Hughey	ne (Last Name)	3. Date 03-July-2017	
	4. Are you the cor	responding author?	Yes	✓ No	Corresponding Author's Name Andrew Kaczynski	
	5. Manuscript Title Relationship of c Communities St	objective neighborhoo	d quality at	tributes with yo	outh physical activity: Findings from the Healthy	
S	6. Manuscript Iden	ntifying Number (if you kı	now it)		_	
	Section 2.	The Work Under C	onsiderat	ion for Public	cation	
a	Did you or your institution at any time recei			t or services from	a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc ata monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation,	c.) for
\geq						
	Section 3.	Relevant financial	activities	outside the s	submitted work.	
	Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regard of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines a clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were present during the 36 months prior to pu Are there any relevant conflicts of interest? Yes Vo				se one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need	
\pm	Section 4. Intellectual Propert			nts & Copyri	ghts	
7	Do you have any	patents, whether plan	ined, pendi	ng or issued, br	roadly relevant to the work? Yes V No	

	Section 5.	Relationships not covered above			
	Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?				
	Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):				
	✓ No other rela	ationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest			
(At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.				
S	Section 6.	Disclosure Statement			
	Based on the ab below.	ove disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box			
σ	Dr. Hughey has	nothing to disclose.			
\geq					

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting, lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes

Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued **Issued:** The patent has been issued by the agency

Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether

earning royalties or not

Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your patent

Colabianchi



Section 1. Identifying Infor	mation		
1. Given Name (First Name) Natalie	2. Surname (Last Name) Colabianchi		3. Date 03-July-2017
4. Are you the corresponding author?	☐ Yes ✓ No	Corresponding Andrew Kaczy	
5. Manuscript Title Relationship of objective neighborhoo Communities Study	od quality attributes with yo	outh physical act	civity: Findings from the Healthy
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you	know it)	_	
Section 2. The Work Under 0	Consideration for Publi	cation	
	formation below. If you hav	e more than one	e entity press the "ADD" button to add a rov
Name of Institution/Company	Grant? Personal No	n-Financial Support?	her? Comments
Name of Institution/Company	Grant? Personal No	- Ot	
Name of Institution/Company	Grant? Personal No	upport?	her? Comments Healthy Communities Study contract HHSN268201000041C
Name of Institution/Company Section 3. Relevant financia Place a check in the appropriate boxes of compensation) with entities as described.	Grant? Personal No Fees? S	submitted wor	Healthy Communities Study contract HHSN268201000041C
Name of Institution/Company Section 3. Relevant financia Place a check in the appropriate boxes of compensation) with entities as desc clicking the "Add +" box. You should read the second of the s	Grant? Personal No Fees? S	submitted word ether you have for early present during	Healthy Communities Study contract HHSN268201000041C rk. Financial relationships (regardless of amoun ach entity; add as many lines as you need by

	Section 5.	Relationships not covered above			
1	Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work? Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):				
	✓ No other rela	tionships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest			
0	At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.				
S	Section 6.	Disclosure Statement			
	Based on the abo	ove disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box			
Ø	Dr. Colabianchi	reports grants and personal fees from NIH, during the conduct of the study; .			
\geq					

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

Identifying information.

The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting, lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes

Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued **Issued:** The patent has been issued by the agency

Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether

earning royalties or not

Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your patent



Section 1.	Identifying Inform	ation			
1. Given Name (Fir Kerry	st Name)	2. Surname (Last N McIver	ame)	3. Date 03-July-2	017
4. Are you the corr	esponding author?	Yes ✓ No	Correspond Andrew K	ding Author's Name aczynski	
Communities Stu	bjective neighborhood	· · ·	with youth physica	ll activity: Findings from th	ne Healthy
o. Manuscriptidei		low it/			
Section 2.	The Work Under Co	onsideration for	Publication		
any aspect of the si statistical analysis, Are there any rele If yes, please fill o	ubmitted work (including etc.)? evant conflicts of intere	but not limited to great? Yes communication below. If y	ants, data monitoring	(government, commercial, pg board, study design, manus	script preparation,
Name of Institut			Non-Financial	Other? Comments	
NIH		V		Healthy Commur HHSN268201000	nities Study contract 041C
)					
Section 3.	Relevant financial	activities outsid	e the submitted	work.	
of compensation clicking the "Add) with entities as descri	bed in the instructi port relationships tl	ions. Use one line fo	ave financial relationships or each entity; add as man luring the 36 months pri	y lines as you need by
Section 4.	Intellectual Proper	ty Patents & C	opyrights		

Section 5.	Relationships not covered above
	elationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of ncing, what you wrote in the submitted work?
Yes, the follow	wing relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):
✓ No other rela	tionships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest
	nuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements rnals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.
Section 6.	Disclosure Statement
Based on the abo	ove disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box
Dr. McIver repor	ts grants from NIH, during the conduct of the study; .

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

Identifying information.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting, lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes

Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued **Issued:** The patent has been issued by the agency

Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not

Povalties: Funds are coming in to you or your in

Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your patent



, ,	mation		
Given Name (First Name) Marsha	2. Surname (Last Name) Dowda		3. Date 05-July-2017
4. Are you the corresponding author?	Yes ✓ No	Corresponding Andrew T. Kad	Author's Name czynski
5. Manuscript Title Relationship of objective neighborhoo Communities Study	od quality attributes with y	outh physical act	tivity: Findings from the Healthy
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you	know it)	_	
Section 2. The Work Under	Consideration for Publi	cation	
Are there any relevant conflicts of inte If yes, please fill out the appropriate in Excess rows can be removed by pressi Name of Institution/Company	iformation below. If you having the "X" button.	. 5	e entity press the "ADD" button to add a ro
	Fees? S	Support?	Healthy Communities Study contract
	Grant	Ot	
Section 2	Fees? S	Support?	Healthy Communities Study contract HHSN268201000041C
Section 3. Relevant financia Place a check in the appropriate boxes of compensation) with entities as described.	Fees? I activities outside the sin the table to indicate wheribed in the instructions. U eport relationships that we	submitted wo	Healthy Communities Study contract HHSN268201000041C rk. financial relationships (regardless of amour
Place a check in the appropriate boxes of compensation) with entities as desc clicking the "Add +" box. You should read there any relevant conflicts of interesting the "Add +" box. You should read the there any relevant conflicts of interesting the "Add +" box. You should read the there any relevant conflicts of interesting the there are the the there are the the	Fees? I activities outside the sin the table to indicate wheribed in the instructions. U eport relationships that we	submitted wonether you have to se one line for early re present during	Healthy Communities Study contract HHSN268201000041C rk. financial relationships (regardless of amour ach entity; add as many lines as you need b

and the second	
Section 5.	Relationships not covered above
	elationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of ncing, what you wrote in the submitted work?
Yes, the follow	wing relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):
✓ No other rela	tionships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest
	nuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements rnals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.
Section 6.	
Section 0.	Disclosure Statement
Based on the abo below.	ove disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box
Dr. Dowda repor	ts grants from NIH, during the conduct of the study; .

Evaluation and Feedback



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

Identifying information.

The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting, lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes

Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued

Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency

Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not

Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your patent

Pate



Section 1. Identifying Inform	mation		
1. Given Name (First Name) Russell	2. Surname (Last Name) Pate		3. Date 03-July-2017
4. Are you the corresponding author?	☐ Yes ✓ No	Corresponding Autl Andrew Kaczynsk	
5. Manuscript Title Relationship of objective neighborhoo Communities Study	od quality attributes with yo	outh physical activity	y: Findings from the Healthy
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you k	know it)		
Section 2. The Work Under C	Consideration for Public	cation	
statistical analysis, etc.)? Are there any relevant conflicts of interesting of the second of the se	formation below. If you having the "X" button. Grant? Personal No.		Healthy Communities Study contract
)			HHSN268201000041C
Section 3. Relevant financia	l activities outside the s	submitted work.	
	ribed in the instructions. Use eport relationships that we	se one line for each o	ncial relationships (regardless of amount entity; add as many lines as you need by he 36 months prior to publication.
Section 4. Intellectual Proper			
Intellectual Prope	erty Patents & Copyriq	hts	

	Section 5.	Relationships not covered above
	relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of encing, what you wrote in the submitted work?	
	Yes, the follo	owing relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):
	✓ No other rela	ationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest
0		nanuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements Furnals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.
5	Section 6.	Disclosure Statement
	Based on the ab below.	ove disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box
Q	Dr. Pate reports	grants from NIH, during the conduct of the study; .
\geq		

Evaluation and Feedback