


# Masseteric cooptation and crossfacial nerve grafting: Is it still applicable 22 months after the onset of facial palsy?

B. Bianchi<sup>1</sup> | A. Varazzani<sup>1</sup> | G. Pedrazzi<sup>2</sup> | V. Poddi<sup>1</sup> | S. Ferrari<sup>1</sup> |  
B. Brevi<sup>3</sup> | A. Ferri<sup>1</sup> 

<sup>1</sup>Maxillo-Facial Surgery Division (Head: Professor Enrico Sesenna), Head and Neck Department, University Hospital of Parma, Parma, Italy

<sup>2</sup>Department of Neuroscience, University of Parma, Parma, Italy

<sup>3</sup>Maxillo-Facial Surgery Division, University Hospital of Pisa, Pisa, Italy

## Correspondence

Andrea Ferri, via Gramsci 14; 43100 Parma, Italy.

Email: a.ferri@libero.it

## Abstract

**Background:** Eighteen months is usually considered the cutoff time within which recovery of the mimic muscle remains possible using facial nerve cooptation. Few reports on the use of cooptation after this interval have appeared. Purpose of this study is to investigate the feasibility of this procedure also after 22 months.

**Methods:** Six patients treated via crossfacial nerve grafting between healthy and paralyzed middle and middle-upper facial nerve branches and masseteric cooptation of the main trunk of the paralyzed facial nerve between 20 and 24 months after the onset of palsy were analyzed. Population consisted of two males and four females ages 8–42 years (mean 24 years). Facial palsy developed after acoustic neuroma resection in three patients, after the removal of a cerebellopontine angle astrocytoma in one, and as a consequence of Bell's palsy or cerebral hemorrhage in the other two (one each). House-Brackman and Sunnybrook clinical evaluation systems and FDI questionnaire were used to assess results.

**Results:** House-Brackman scores changed from VI before the operation for all patients to II for two patients and III for four patients. Sunnybrook scores were 0–10 before the operation, but 62–84 at the last visit. Mean FDI scores moved from 24 to 38.5 meaning a statistical high significant improvement ( $P < .01$ ).

**Conclusions:** Masseteric/crossfacial nerve grafting is feasible for patients with palsies 20–24 months in duration, affording satisfactory functional and esthetic results and a dramatic improvement in quality of life.

## 1 | INTRODUCTION

Facial palsy is severely disabling, and the associated functional, psychological, and esthetic impairments deeply impact quality of life. Palsies may be classified by the involved side (unilateral or bilateral), by severity (complete or incomplete), or by etiology (congenital or acquired). However, from a clinical viewpoint, the most important factor is the duration of the condition. Palsies are usually divided into recent palsies (0–18 months in duration) and established palsies (>18 months in duration or congenital palsies (Faria, Scopel, Busnardo, & Ferreira, 2007). Duration is critical because treatment options differ greatly by time. In those with recent palsies, recovery of the facial mimic muscles is possible, and facial nerve cooptation techniques are used to this end. Conversely, in patients with congenital or established palsies, muscle

recovery may not be possible because of muscle atrophy and denervation. Neuromuscular transplants or temporalis muscle transfers are usually performed on such patients to reanimate the impaired side of the face (Bianchi, Ferri, & Sesenna, 2012).

Although it is widely recognized that palsy duration greatly affects treatment choices, the exact cutoff between cooptation and muscle substitution remains unclear. In particular, the interval 18–24 months after the onset of palsy is considered a gray area, and to the best of our knowledge no reports that focus on this time have yet appeared. This interval is thus of great interest to surgeons doing facial palsy reconstruction. The surgical choices made have major implications for patients in terms of procedural burden, morbidity, expected results, and postoperative recovery and rehabilitation (Bhama & Hadlock, 2014; Frey et al., 2008). Thus, we explored whether facial cooptation,

TABLE 1 Patients data and clinical evaluation

Patient	Sex	Age (years)	Side	Etiology	Time from palsy to intervention (months)	Contraction (months from surgery to first contraction)	Release from biting (months required)	Spontaneity (Months elapsed to achieve spontaneous movements)	HBFGSpre	HBFGS post	SFGSpre	SFGS post
1	F	42	R	Bell's Palsy	24	5	7	11	6	2	0	62
2	M	8	L	CPAA	24	5	6	9	6	3	10	70
3	F	22	R	AN	24	4	7	11	6	2	5	72
4	F	40	L	AN	20	5	8	12	6	3	0	63
5	M	16	L	CH	21	5	8	9	6	3	5	84
6	F	16	L	AN	24	5	7	9	6	3	5	67
Mean	...	24	...	...	22.8	4.83	7.17	10.17	6	2.67	4.17	69.7

Abbreviations: AN, acoustic neuroma; CH, cerebral hemorrhage; CPAA, cerebellopontine angle astrocytoma; HBFGS, House-Brackmann Facial Grading; SFGS, Sunnybrook Facial Grading System.

which usually affords good results after a rather gentle procedure and which is associated with easy postoperative rehabilitation because the native facial mimic structures recover, remains possible in this interval. We explored whether the extent of recovery and improvement in quality of life justify the use of this approach at such a late time.

## 2 | PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patients treated between January 2009 and May 2016 at the Facial Nerve Center (Maxillo-Facial Surgery Division) of the University Hospital of Parma were retrospectively reviewed. All included patients had unilateral facial palsy and underwent single-stage crossfacial nerve grafting with masseteric nerve cooptation >20 months after the onset of palsy. As the work was retrospective in nature, institutional review board approval was not required. Our report is written in accordance with the relevant guidelines of the Helsinki Declaration.

Our study population consisted of two males and four females ages 8–42 years (mean 24 years). Table 1 shows patient's info. Facial palsy developed after acoustic neuroma resection in three patients, after the removal of a cerebellopontine angle astrocytoma in one, and as a consequence of Bell's palsy or cerebral hemorrhage in the other two (one each). The time elapsed between the onset of palsy and surgery was 20–24 months (mean 22.8 months).

## 3 | SURGICAL TECHNIQUE

After a preauricular incision, dissection began in the subcutaneous plane of the healthy side, and facial nerve branches were identified after facial pocket harvesting in their terminal part, outside from the parotid gland that was not violated. In this dissection, extreme care must be taken to avoid damages to the healthy facial nerve and to identify multiple branches with synergic functions to preserve facial muscle activity after their section. Zygomatic-buccal and zygomatic-eye branches were selected for crossfacial nerve grafting using

neurostimulator and magnification. On the paralyzed side, the facial nerve was dissected starting from the main trunk inside the parotid gland. It was dissected completely and was followed to identify the zygomatic-buccal and zygomatic-eye branches that were used for cooptation with crossfacial nerve grafting. Afterwards, the masseteric nerve was identified inside the masseter muscle by using as landmarks the zygomatic arch (about 1–2 cm below) and posterior margin of the muscle (about 1 cm medially) using neurostimulator. Once the masseteric nerve was identified, it was dissected and cut in order to increase its mobility. The main trunk of the facial nerve was then cut at its origin and rotated to reach the masseter nerve and end-to-end neuroorrhaphy was done (Figure 1). Meanwhile, a second equip harvested and split the sural nerve from the leg (usually the right one, but patient's





FIGURE 1 Intraoperative picture showing masseteric-facial cooptation



**FIGURE 2** Intraoperative picture showing coaptation of middle and upper branches of facial nerve with sural nerve used for crossfacial nerve grafting. Masseteric-facial coaptation was still visible in the deeper plane

preferences can be taken into account) to obtain two long neural grafts. These two grafts were passed from the healthy side to the paralyzed one through the upper gingivo-buccal sulcus, with the nerve passing in the submucosal plane. Finally, neurorrhaphies of the two (middle and middle upper) crossfacial nerve grafting were performed between the grafts and the facial nerve branches previously identified (Figure 2; Drawing 1). Pen-rose drainages were placed and suturing was performed.


At the first visit, clinical examination included specific facial palsy evaluation (using the House-Brackman and Sunnybrook facial grading systems) and administration of the Facial Disability Index (FDI) quality-of-life questionnaire (Pavese et al., 2014; Van Swearingen & Brach, 1996). Children were assisted by their parents when completing the questionnaire using methods previously described for the evaluation of child patients (Lindsay, Bhama, & Hadlock, 2014). All patients underwent crossfacial nerve grafting combined with masseteric nerve coaptation in a single-stage operation (Bianchi et al., 2014a), according to the previously described technique. All patients underwent rehabilitation of the periocular complex during the same surgery. This featured platinum eyelid loading and inferior palpebral suspension to prevent ocular complications (Bianchi et al., 2014b,c). All patients routinely received injections of botulinum toxin to improve facial symmetry, with the depressor labii and forehead being the most common target areas (Benichou, Labbe, Le Louarn, & Guerreschi, 2015).

Retrospective evaluation featured a comparison of the pre- and postoperative scores on the FDI questionnaires and those on the House-Brackman and Sunnybrook facial grading systems. Results were

assessed before administration of botox, but include periocular rehabilitation that must be performed (as mentioned above) in the same time of main procedure to provide eye protection and prevent severe complications, especially in this subset of patients having a long lasting palsy. Of the 10 items on the FDI questionnaire, the first five explore physical function, while the other 5 investigate social function and well-being. We compared scores on the pre- and postoperative FDI questionnaires to assess whether quality of life improved. We present pure data (not percentages) because this renders the results more comparable. We used the *t* test in SPSS ver. 22.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY) to compare pre- and postoperative data. We considered  $P < .05$  to reflect statistical significance and  $P < .01$  high-level significance. We compared both overall outcomes and the answers to specific questions to identify the areas that were most and least affected.


#### 4 | RESULTS

We recorded no major or minor complications. The clinical results are summarized in Table 1. Previously paralyzed muscles began to contract in response to voluntary masseteric nerve activation between 4 and



**DRAWING 1** Scheme of the procedure. S1: sural nerve graft 1; S2: sural nerve graft 2; M: masseteric nerve; T: main trunk of the facial nerve. Proximal stump of masseteric nerve (M, black bold line) was rotated and coaptation of distal stump of main trunk of facial nerve (T) was performed to provide a full masseteric-facial coaptation






**FIGURE 3** Results of the procedure comparing preoperative (A) and postoperative (B) picture of a 42 yo patient treated 24 months after right Bell's palsy

5 months (mean 4.83 months) after the operation. Release from biting (the ability to activate contraction without biting) developed between 6 and 8 months after the operation (mean 7.17 months), and spontaneity (impulses afforded by crossfacial nerve grafting) developed between 9 and 12 months after the operation (mean 10.17 months). The follow-up duration ranged from 12 to 72 months (mean 39 months). Figures 3 and 4 and Videos 1 and 2 shows two clinical cases comparing pre and postoperative facial muscles contraction.

Results of FDI questionnaire are summarized in Table 2. Preoperative overall scores on the six FDI questionnaires ranged from 17 to 37 (mean 24). After the surgery, the scores ranged from 33 to 44 (mean

38.5). The difference was highly significant resulting in a  $-14.5$  of mean difference with a standard deviation of 6.8337 and a mean standard error of 2.7899. Ninety-five confidence interval of the difference ranged between  $-21.6716$  (lower) and  $-7.3284$  (upper), with a  $P < .01$ . Statistical analysis is shown in Table 3.

The best improvements in the items investigating physical functions were associated with items 1 ("How much difficulty do you have keeping food in your mouth, moving food around in your mouth, or getting food stuck in your cheek while eating?"), 3 ("How much difficulty do you have saying specific sounds while speaking?"), and 4 ("How much difficulty do you have with your eyes tearing excessively



**FIGURE 4** Results of the procedure comparing preoperative (A) and postoperative (B) picture of a 22 years old patient treated 24 months after right palsy resulted from an acoustic neuroma resection

or becoming dry?"; all  $P < .01$ ; highly significant improvements). The other two questions (items 2 and 5) also revealed significant improvements ( $P = .11$  and  $.17$ , respectively).

Amongst those exploring social function and well-being, item 10 ("How often has your facial function prevented you from going out to eat, shop, or participate in family or social activities?") improved most: The mean score increased from 2 to 4.17 ( $P = .015$ ). The least improved score was for item 6 ("How much of the time do you feel calm and peaceful?"): The mean score increased from 2.17 to 3.16 ( $P = .041$ ).

House-Brackman facial grading system scores changed from VI before the operation for all patients to III for four patients and II for two; the mean scores thus changed from 6 to 2.67. Sunnybrook Facial Grading System scores were 0–10 (mean 4.17) before the operation but 62–84 (mean 69.7) at the last visit.

## 5 | DISCUSSION

The rehabilitation of recent facial palsies has received a great deal of international attention and several approaches have been described, particularly over the past decade (Ho et al., 2012). Facial nerve cooptation procedures are the surgical gold standard, allowing recovery of facial mimic muscles before atrophy and denervation render it impossible (Jowett & Hadlock, 2015). We are of the view that of the various therapeutic options proposed, combined masseteric/crossfacial nerve grafting represents the ideal solution; we published on this in detail in 2014. We found that masseteric/crossfacial cooptation ensured rapid, reliable, and powerful restoration of muscle activity with extremely low morbidity. Contemporaneous crossfacial nerve grafting delivers motor

impulses from the contralateral healthy facial nerve, affording spontaneity, and symmetry of activation and yielding very satisfactory results in the great majority of cases.

A major concern when planning surgery is the cutoff time before which mimic muscle cooptation remains possible; is a neuromuscular transplant the better option? This cutoff is generally considered 18 months, but we here report for the first time very satisfactory results obtained 22 months after the onset of palsy. This was possible in our present subset of patients because of rapid and powerful reinnervation provided by the masseteric nerve and probably due to the fact that neurotrophic factors were still possible due to intact VII nerve; muscle recovery was possible at even a mean of 22.8 months after the onset of palsy. Once the main trunk of the facial nerve has been coopted and the mimic muscles have been recruited once more by masseteric nerve activation, the time needed for activation after crossfacial nerve grafting is no longer an issue. Initial activation is reflected by voluntary biting (at 4–5 months in our present series, mean 4.83 months), and successful postoperative rehabilitation release activation from biting (usually after 2 months of treatment). Finally, when the crossfacial graft delivers impulses from the contralateral side (at 9–12 months in our current series, mean 10.17 months), activation becomes both spontaneous and synchronous and tone at rest is improved.

Our major concern is the quality of the results. The literature shows that the quality of recovery is strictly (negatively) correlated with the time that has elapsed since denervation of the mimic muscle; the best results are obtained in cases treated early (Bianchi et al., 2016, 2017; Kleiss, Hohman, Susarla, Marres, & Hadlock, 2015). However, the esthetic and functional outcomes of our patients were satisfactory,

TABLE 2 Statistical evaluation of the FDI questionnaire results

Items of FDI questionnaire (#pre-#post)	Paired differences		95% confidence interval of the difference		t test	df	P value	
	Mean and Std. deviation	Std error mean	Lower	Upper				
Item 1	#1.1-#2.1	-1.3333 ± 0.8165	0.3333	-2.1902	-0.4765	-4.000	5	<0.01
Item 2	#1.2-#2.2	-1.6667 ± 1.0328	0.4216	-2.7505	-0.5828	-3.953	5	0.011
Item 3	#1.3-#2.3	-1.3333 ± 0.8165	0.3333	-2.1902	-0.4765	-4.000	5	<0.01
Item 4	#1.4-#2.4	-1.3333 ± 0.8165	0.3333	-2.1902	-0.4765	-4.000	5	<0.01
Item 5	#1.5-#2.5	-1.5000 ± 1.0488	0.4282	-2.6007	-0.3993	-3.503	5	0.017
Item 6	#1.6-#2.6	-1.0000 ± 0.8944	0.3651	-1.9386	-0.0614	-2.739	5	0.041
Item 7	#1.7-#2.7	-1.5000 ± 1.0488	0.4282	-2.6007	-0.3993	-3.503	5	0.017
Item 8	#1.8-#2.8	-1.5000 ± 1.0488	0.4282	-2.6007	-0.3993	-3.503	5	0.017
Item 9	#1.9-#2.9	-1.1667 ± 0.9832	0.4014	-2.1985	-0.1349	-2.907	5	0.034
Item 10	#1.10-#2.10	-2.1667 ± 1.4720	0.6009	-3.7114	-0.6219	-3.606	5	0.015
Score tot	TOT 1-TOT 2	-14.5000 ± 6.8337	2.7899	-21.6716	-7.3284	-5.197	5	<0.01

Abbreviations: df, degrees of freedom; Std, standard.

"Item": refers to items on the Facial Disability Index (FDI) questionnaire.

"Mean" refers to the mean difference between the pre- and postoperative scores in the item.

TABLE 3 FDI items and scores

Patients	Item 1 Eating function		Item 2 Drinking function		Item 3 Speaking function		Item 4 Eye comfort		Item 5 Brushing teeth ability		Item 6 Feeling calm and peaceful		Item 7 Feeling isolate		Item 8 Getting irritable		Item 9 Sleep comfort		Item 10 Social Interaction		Scores	
	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post
1	2	4	2	5	2	5	2	4	2	5	1	3	1	4	2	4	2	4	1	5	17	43
2	2	4	2	4	2	4	2	3	2	4	2	3	2	3	2	3	2	3	2	3	20	33
3	3	4	3	5	2	3	2	4	3	5	1	3	3	3	0	3	2	4	1	4	20	38
4	2	3	4	5	3	4	3	4	4	5	2	3	2	4	1	2	4	4	5	5	30	39
5	5	5	5	5	3	4	3	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	0	0	2	5	37	44
6	2	4	2	4	3	4	4	4	3	4	2	2	1	3	1	3	1	3	1	3	20	34
Mean	2.67	4	3	4.67	2.5	3.83	2.67	4	3.17	4.67	2.17	3.16	2.17	3.67	1.83	3.33	1.83	3	2	4.17	24	38.5

as demonstrated by their scores on the Sunnybrook Facial Grading System. This system was recently identified by the Sir Charles Bell Society as being the most reliable of the several alternatives (Fattah et al., 2015). Although better results could certainly have been achieved had the patients been treated earlier, the quality-of-life improvements were dramatic; the statistical significance was very high despite the fact that only six patients were analyzed. Note that the best improvements were in areas related to social interaction and daily functions such as speaking and eating. The patients were very satisfied. This was, of course, our primary goal.

It is very important to note that rehabilitation involved only a light procedure or superficial surgery. The mean operative time was about 3 h. We encountered no complications and no morbidity. All patients were discharged after 3 days in hospital. In addition, if facial nerve cooptation fails, the crossfacial nerve graft can be used to reinnervate the gracilis muscle in a two-step procedure (cross-grafting followed by a gracilis neuromuscular transplant reinnervated via the crossgraft) (Hadlock, Malo, Cheney, & Henstrom, 2011; Hontanilla, Marre, & Cabello, 2013). This is normally considered the gold-standard treatment for established or congenital unilateral palsy (Kumar & Hassan, 2002). This option should be clearly explained to the patient: It is possible that cooptation may fail but the rehabilitation course will not be affected. If, however, cooptation is not possible, muscular substitution is indicated.

Another interesting finding is the absence of any correlation between age and outcome: The results in pediatric and adult patients were similar. Our small sample size limits the weight of this conclusion, but we have no evidence that cooptation is unreliable in elderly patients.

Certain ancillary procedures are essential for successful rehabilitation. As we reported above, all patients underwent upper eyelid loading with platinum chain and botulinum toxin injections. Despite the evaluation of results that was assessed before botox administration, it is probable that palpebral rehabilitation with this ancillary procedure has partially biased our evaluation of results in this area: assessment of results without including upper eyelid evaluation is not possible without deep modification of the scores we used that would lead to loosening of their scientific validation. Therefore, we choose to include in our assessment this area, also with the intent to underline that all patients should receive both treatments, even if it is not possible to split "pure" assessment of the benefit of the main procedure from the one related to platinum chain loading. On the other hand, eyelid loading is essential because when palsies of such long duration are to be treated, lagophthalmos and eye discomfort become urgent issues and eyelid loading with platinum chain affords rapid and reliable results. Despite satisfactory recovery of facial mimicing, no patient required eyelid removal. Thus, after facial recovery, loading did not become an issue, as evidenced by the excellent improvement on item 4.

Botulinum toxin is commonly used as an aid to rehabilitate unilateral palsy, as in our patients. The depressor labii and frontalis regions usually exhibit the poorest recoveries, particularly when the palsy is of long duration, and none of our patients achieved perfect movement in these areas as measured using the Sunnybrook Facial Grading System.

Thus, chemodeneration of the contralateral side optimally restores facial symmetry and is routine in such patients.

The principal limitations of our study are the small sample size and the retrospective nature of the work. However, facial nerve cooptation procedures are not routine, and we would have had even fewer patients had we restricted our analysis to patients treated 20–24 months after the onset of palsy. Future prospective multicenter studies would certainly strengthen our findings. Meanwhile, we approached a neglected subset of patients for whom surgical decision making is difficult and helped them.

## 6 | CONCLUSIONS

Our pilot study supports the idea that masseteric/crossfacial nerve grafting may be used to treat palsies 20–24 months in duration, affording satisfactory functional and esthetic outcomes and dramatically improving quality of life using a relatively light procedure. The English in this document has been checked by at least two professional editors, both native speakers of English. For a certificate, please see: <http://www.textcheck.com/certificate/kWexQK>

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None of the authors has a financial interest in any of the products, devices, or drugs mentioned in this manuscript.

## ORCID

A. Ferri  <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2297-1150>

## REFERENCES

- Benichou, L., Labbe, D., Le Louarn, C., & Guerreschi P. (2015). Facial palsy sequel and botulinum toxin. *Annales de Chirurgie Plastique et Esthétique*, 60, 377–392.
- Bhama, P. K., & Hadlock, T. A. (2014). Contemporary facial reanimation. *Facial Plastic Surgery*, 30, 145–151.
- Bianchi, B., Ferri, A., Ferrari, S., Copelli, C., Magri, A., Ferri, T., & Sesenna, E. (2014a). Cross-facial nerve graft and masseteric nerve cooptation for one-stage facial reanimation: Principles, indications, and surgical procedure. *Head & Neck*, 36, 235–240.
- Bianchi, B., Ferri, A., Ferrari, S., Leporati, M., Ferri, T., & Sesenna E. (2014b). Ancillary procedures in facial animation surgery. *Journal of Oral & Maxillofacial Surgery*, 72, 2582–2590.
- Bianchi, B., Ferri, A., Leporati, M., Ferrari, S., Lanfranco, D., Ferri, T., & Sesenna, E. (2014c). Upper eyelid platinum chain placement for treating paralytic lagophthalmos. *Journal of Craniomaxillofacial Surgery*, 42, 2045–2048.
- Bianchi, B., Ferri, A., Poddi, V., Bergonzani, M., Pedrazzi, G., Ferrari, S., & Sesenna, E. (2017). Masseteric nerve for gracilis muscle reinnervation in unilateral facial palsy: Impact on quality of life. *Journal of Craniomaxillofacial Surgery*, 45, 1051–1057.
- Bianchi, B., Ferri, A., Poddi, V., Varazzani, A., Ferrari, S., Pedrazzi, G., & Sesenna, E. (2016). Facial animation with gracilis muscle transplant reinnervated via cross-face graft: Does it change patients' quality of life? *Journal of Craniomaxillofacial Surgery*, 44, 934–939.
- Bianchi, B., Ferri, A., & Sesenna, E. (2012). Facial reanimation after nerve sacrifice in the treatment of head and neck cancer. *Current Opinions in Otolaryngology, Head, & Neck Surgery*, 20, 114–119.
- Faria, J. C., Scopel, G. P., Busnardo, F. F., & Ferreira, M. C. (2007). Nerve sources for facial reanimation with muscle transplant in patients with unilateral facial palsy: Clinical analysis of 3 techniques. *Annals of Plastics Surgery*, 59, 87–91.
- Fattah, A. Y., Gurusinge, A. D., Gavilan, J., Hadlock, T. A., Marcus, J. R., Marres, H., . . . Snyder-Warwick, A. K. Sir Charles Bell Society. (2015). Facial nerve grading instruments: Systematic review of the literature and suggestion for uniformity. *Plastics & Reconstructive Surgery*, 135, 569–579.
- Frey, M., Michaelidou, M., Tzou, C. H., Pona, I., Mittlbock, M., Gerber, H., & Stüssi, E. (2008). Three-dimensional video analysis of the paralyzed face reanimated by cross-face nerve grafting and free gracilis muscle transplantation: Quantification of the functional outcome. *Plastics & Reconstructive Surgery*, 122, 1709–1722.
- Hadlock, T. A., Malo, J. S., Cheney, M. L., & Henstrom, D. K. (2011). Free gracilis transfer for smile in children: The Massachusetts eye and ear infirmary experience in excursion and quality-of-life changes. *Archives of Facial & Plastic Surgery*, 13, 190–194.
- Ho, A. L., Scott, A. M., Klassen, A. F., Cano, S. J., Pusic, A. L., & Van Laeken, N. (2012). Measuring quality of life and patient satisfaction in facial paralysis patients: A systematic review of patient-reported outcome measures. *Plastics & Reconstructive Surgery*, 130, 91–99.
- Hontanilla, B., Marre, D., & Cabello, A. (2013). Facial reanimation with gracilis muscle transfer neurotized to cross-facial nerve graft versus masseteric nerve: A comparative study using the FACIAL CLIMA evaluating system. *Plastics & Reconstructive Surgery*, 131, 1241–1252.
- Jowett, N., & Hadlock, T. A. (2015). A contemporary approach to facial reanimation. *JAMA Facial Plastic Surgery*, 17, 293–300.
- Kleiss, I. J., Hohman, M. H., Susarla, S. M., Marres, H. A., & Hadlock, T. A. (2015). Health-related quality of life in 794 patients with a peripheral facial palsy using the FaCE Scale: A retrospective cohort study. *Clinical Otolaryngology*, 40, 651–656.
- Kumar, P. A., & Hassan, K. M. (2002). Cross-face nerve graft with free-muscle transfer for reanimation of the paralyzed face: A comparative study of the single-stage and two-stage procedures. *Plastics & Reconstructive Surgery*, 109, 451–462.
- Lindsay, R. W., Bhama, P., & Hadlock, T. A. (2014). Quality-of-life improvement after free gracilis muscle transfer for smile restoration in patients with facial paralysis. *JAMA Facial Plastic Surgery*, 16, 419–424.
- Pavese, C., Cecini, M., Camerino, N., De Silvestri, A., Tinelli, C., Bejor, M., & Dalla, T. E. (2014). Functional and social limitations after facial palsy: Expanded and independent validation of the Italian version of the facial disability index. *Physical Therapy*, 94, 1327–1336.
- Van Swearingen, J., & Brach, J. (1996). The facial disability index: Reliability and validity of a disability assessment instrument for disorders of the facial neuromuscular system. *Physical Therapy*, 76, 1288–1298.

**How to cite this article:** Bianchi B, Varazzani A, Pedrazzi G, et al. Masseteric cooptation and crossfacial nerve grafting: Is it still applicable 22 months after the onset of facial palsy? *Microsurgery*. 2018;38: 860–866. <https://doi.org/10.1002/micr.30296>