1	
2	DR. CHRIS MERRITT (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-9897-1272)
3	
4	
5	Article type : Consensus Conference
6	
7	
8	ABSTRACT
9	
10	Emergency care providers share a compelling interest in developing an effective
11	patient-centered, outcomes-based research agenda that can decrease variability in
12	pediatric outcomes. The 2018 Academic Emergency Medicine Consensus Conference,
13	"Aligning the Pediatric Emergency Medicine Research Agenda to Reduce Health
14	Outcome Gaps (AEMCC)," aimed to fulfill this role. This conference convened major
15	thought leaders and stakeholders to introduce a research, scholarship, and innovation
16	agenda for pediatric emergency care specifically to reduce health outcome gaps.
17	Planning committee and conference participants included emergency physicians,
18	pediatric emergency physicians, pediatricians, and researchers with expertise in
19	research dissemination and translation, as well as comparative effectiveness, in
20	collaboration with patients, patient and family advocates from national advocacy
21	organizations, and trainees. Topics that were explored and deliberated through
22	subcommittee breakout sessions led by content experts included: 1) pediatric
23	emergency medical services (EMS) research, 2) pediatric emergency medicine (PEM)
24	research network collaboration, 3) PEM education for emergency medicine providers, 4)
25	workforce development for PEM, and 5) enhancing collaboration across emergency
26	departments (PEM practice in non-children's hospitals). The work product of this
27	conference is a research agenda that aims to identify areas of future research,
28	innovation, and scholarship in pediatric emergency medicine.

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the <u>Version of Record</u>. Please cite this article as <u>doi:</u> 10.1111/acem.13667-18-838

<u>Key Words</u>: pediatrics, emergency medicine, pediatric emergency medicine, research networks, education, emergency medicine services, workforce

CASE VIGNETTE

A 22-month-old girl with cerebral palsy, development delay, and epilepsy develops status epilepticus in a rural part of the state. Her parents call 911, and the paramedics note that she has intermittent seizure activity and shallow, irregular respirations. The paramedics check a blood glucose level and initiate bag-valve-mask ventilation, but they are unable to obtain intravenous access, and the child continues to seize. A small emergency department (ED) is 20 minutes away by ground. The ED is staffed by physicians residency-trained in emergency medicine, the staff undergoes ongoing pediatric education, and the hospital is loosely affiliated with the regional children's hospital. However, this ED rarely sees seriously ill children. The regional childrens hospital, which serves as the child's medical home, is 60 minutes away by helicopter. The paramedics contact the base hospital for management and transport orders.

Case Discussion

This illustrative case raises numerous questions about how to assure that the highest possible quality care is available for all acutely ill and injured children. What are the most effective interventions for children in the prehospital setting? How does the core training, experience, and continuing education of emergency providers affect patient care? What are the respective roles of general and pediatric EDs, and how can these effectively collaborate within the pediatric emergency care system? Are these and other questions answerable through high-quality, multicenter studies? The 2018 *Academic Emergency Medicine* Consensus Conference: "Aligning the Pediatric Emergency Medicine Research Agenda to Reduce Health Outcome Gaps" aims to identify and address areas of focus for future pediatric emergency medicine research and scholarship that can propel actionable change.

CURRENT STATE OF PEDIATRIC EMERGENCY MEDICINE

The health care system in the United States fails to provide consistent, high-quality care to all people, leading to clear inequalities in health outcomes. Disparities in health outcomes are driven by many determinants. Many of the factors associated with these differences are socio-demographic, such as race and ethnicity, poverty, education, and geographic location² and are associated with clinically relevant differences in outcomes for many of the conditions seen in the ED: rates of appendicitis with perforation; time to surgery in patients with appendicitis; analgesia for painful conditions seen in the in presumed viral illnesses; and rates of ED aftercare compliance.

Clinical factors and differential access to care also contribute to health disparities. In 1993, the National Academies of Science, Engineering and Medicine (NASEM), previously known as the Institute of Medicine, conducted a study of pediatric emergency medical care in the United States, *Emergency Medical Services for Children*. This report described the evolving state of emergency care for children and identified factors contributing to the challenges of delivering consistent, high-quality emergency care. These factors include "complexities of the organization, delivery, and financing of health care; financial, insurance, and other barriers to access to appropriate care; inadequate numbers of health care personnel and perverse patterns of specialization and geographic location; and great variations in use of services and questions about the appropriateness and quality of health care." This report contained specific personnel and equipment recommendations and also recommended areas for future research. 12

A subsequent report in 2006 from the NASEM, *Emergency Care for Children: Growing Pains*, evaluated interim progress. The authors described successes in the overall state of pediatric emergency care since the NASEM report published 13 years earlier. Yet they also noted that the overall state of pediatric emergency care was "uneven," outlining continued disparities in access to care, pediatric expertise among emergency care providers, and resource availability.¹³ The report focused extensively on research and described a widening information gap in basic, translational, and health systems research in pediatric emergency care. However, the report also noted the early

successes of the Pediatric Emergency Medicine Collaborative Research Committee of the American Academy of Pediatrics and the promise of the nascent Pediatric Emergency Care Applied Research Network. Overall, it reiterated the call to address the uneven landscape of pediatric emergency care and promoted research that advanced sound, evidence-based practices.

To date, there has been inconsistent progress in the delivery of consistent high-quality emergency care for infants and children. Substantial gains have been achieved in emergency department (ED) pediatric preparedness through guidelines published in 2001 14,15 and revised in 2009. In the time between these guidelines, a study reported that 17% of EDs did not have access to emergency physicians, PEM, or pediatric attending physicians, and only 6% of EDs had all of the pediatric equipment recommended in the 2001 guidelines. Concerted efforts by stakeholders led to the National Pediatric Readiness Project, with marked improvements in the overall pediatric readiness of EDs. 19,20 Other recent initiatives by PEM stakeholders resulted in the development of Pediatric Emergency Medicine Milestones by the American Board of Emergency Medicine, the American Board of Pediatrics, and the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education; the launch of the Advanced Pediatric Emergency Medicine Assembly by the American College of Emergency Physicians and the American Academy of Pediatrics; and the ongoing successes of the federal Emergency Medical Services for Children (EMSC) Program.

Despite important gains on a national level, progress has unfortunately been tempered by ongoing geographic and provider-based gaps in pediatric emergency care. These gaps constitute a vital impediment to assuring consistent, high quality pediatric emergency care. There is data to suggest an association between hospital type and pediatric mortality for critically ill children, even though this outcome measure is confounded by overall low pediatric mortality rates. The evidence for substantial variability among emergency departments in the rates of computed tomographic imaging in pediatric trauma and children with abdominal pain is more robust. While the clinical outcomes are comparable between general and pediatric EDs, the rates of

unnecessary exposure to ionizing radiation are different and constitute a higher risk to children in the general ED setting.

There is variability in access to emergency departments in general, 10,11,29 and access to "pediatric-ready" EDs remains a challenge in many regions of the U.S. 30 Substantial variability exists in adherence to pediatric cardiac arrest 31 and sepsis 22 guidelines across emergency departments. The distribution of fellowship-trained pediatric emergency physicians continues to be uneven, with a relative abundance of board-certified pediatric emergency physicians in some urban areas, many regions with far fewer pediatric emergency physicians and five states with none at all. 33 Viewed in this context, "progress on improving the quality of care for children in emergencies has remained slow at best."34

CONFERENCE PLANNING

The Academic Emergency Medicine Consensus Conference (AEMCC) is an annual research conference that has been held since 2000 in conjunction with the Society for Academic Emergency Medicine Annual Meeting. The AEMCC is intended to generate a research agenda that fosters progress in evolving disciplines of emergency medicine. An array of thought leaders in pediatrics, emergency medicine, and PEM joined together as a core group to form the initial AEMCC Executive Committee to create a proposal for a conference that focuses on pediatric emergency medicine. (Table 1).

The AEMCC Executive Committee created a survey to identify specific thematic content and to generate additional multi-organization interest in the conference. This survey was distributed to multiple organizations, including the American Academy of Pediatrics Section on Emergency Medicine, the American College of Emergency Physicians PEM Committee and PEM Section, the Academic Pediatric Association Pediatric Emergency Medicine Special Interest Group, the National Association of EMS Physicians, the EMSC Program, the Society for Pediatric Research, and the Emergency Medicine Resident Association.

Over 250 respondents completed the survey, which helped to identify possible topic domains warranting additional focus at the AEMCC. Five specific areas of research interest were identified based on the results of this questionnaire: pediatric EMS research; PEM research network collaboration; PEM education for EM providers; workforce development for PEM; and enhancing collaboration across EDs (PEM practice in non-children's hospitals). These became the five themes for the breakout sessions at the AEMCC. Incorporating input from this survey, the Executive Committee wrote and submitted an AEMCC proposal. The proposed conference, "Addressing the Pediatric Emergency Medicine Research Agenda to Reduce Health Outcomes Gaps," underwent a competitive review process and was selected by the *Academic Emergency*

Medicine (AEM) editorial board as the topic for the 2018 AEMCC.

The initial AEMCC survey also helped to identify further stakeholders who wanted to participate in the conference planning process. These additional volunteers joined with the members of the Executive Committee to form the Planning Committee for the conference. Subcommittees were created to address each of the five themes, and chairs were appointed to lead each of these subcommittees (Table 2). All of the Planning Committee members were subsequently assigned to one of the five subcommittees to collaborate on subcommittee planning (Table 3).

The co-chairs and Executive Committee oversaw all aspects of conference development throughout the planning year for the AEMCC, which included formulating the conference agenda, identifying and inviting keynote speakers, and subcommittee oversight. The Planning Committee also worked on grant writing, fundraising and marketing for the conference, primarily via electronic mail and monthly conference calls. Members of the Planning Committee met in person at both the 2017 AEMCC in Orlando, Florida, and the 2017 American College of Emergency Physicians Scientific

Assembly in Washington, DC. The entire Planning Committee held a final meeting on the evening prior to the conference.

Much of the conference planning was conducted at the subcommittee level. Each subcommittee generated a list of research topics, informed by the expertise of the panelists and outside experts, literature review, electronic discussions, and conference calls. The subcommittees then distributed a preliminary list of prioritized research topics. In the weeks before the conference date, a survey was distributed to both confirmed attendees and other pediatric emergency medicine stakeholders to help further identify and prioritize the research topics within these five domains; thus 178 respondents helped to further refine the topic areas of focus for subcommittees. The combined input from subcommittee members and survey respondents was used to finalize the subcommittee agendas for the AEMCC breakout sessions.

Role of patient advocates

The conference organizers recognized that the perspective of both pediatric patients and caretakers was crucial to the AEMCC given the unique patient/caretaker/clinician relationship that underpins the emergency care of all infants and children. Thus patient and parent advocates were recruited to participate in the conference planning process. (Table 4). An advocate was assigned to each of the five subcommittees, and they participated in the monthly teleconferences and subcommittee planning. On the day of the conference, each advocate participated in their subcommittee breakout sessions, and all of the advocates served on a patient-focused lunchtime panel. The advocates also contributed to manuscript preparation and were included as authors on these proceedings.

CONFERENCE AIMS

The overarching goal of the 2018 AEMCC was to develop a research agenda for the future to reduce health outcome gaps in ill and injured children. To achieve this goal. the consensus conference had five specific aims: 1) to align PEM leaders across organizations and foster new leadership 2) to develop a research agenda for PEM across all access points to the emergency care system 3) to identify pathways to achieve core pediatric emergency knowledge and skills among all care providers to children 4) to launch networks for research and innovation in PEM education and workforce development; and 5) to integrate PEM research networks to foster high-quality research of high-risk and/or low-frequency clinical conditions. While the 2018 conference is the first AEMCC to focus exclusively on pediatric emergencies, it also aims to build on the past efforts of the previous AEMCCs and to incorporate relevant works into current research recommendations. Themes of several previous AEMCCs have been broadly applicable to PEM and have included health care disparities;³⁵ educational research,³⁶ knowledge translation,³⁷ (this conference included one pediatric-specific topic³⁸), and the regionalization of emergency care.³⁹ **CONFERENCE AGENDA (Table 5)** The AEMCC was held on May 15, 2018 in Indianapolis, Indiana in conjunction with the Society for Academic Emergency Medicine Annual Meeting. 119 stakeholders, including physicians, nurses, advanced practice providers, prehospital providers, trainees, researchers, patient representatives, and representatives from funding agencies attended this conference.

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

After an introduction by Jeffrey Kline, MD, the editor-in-chief of Academic Emergency Medicine, conference co-chairs Drs. Ishimine and Denninghoff discussed the current state of pediatric emergency medicine and the background leading up to this conference, the goals of the conference, and the conference plan. The conference included a morning keynote presentation by Nate Kuppermann, MD, MPH, highlighting the power of research network collaboration. This was followed by three morning subcommittee breakout sessions on pediatric EMS research, PEM research networks, and PEM education. Each attendee participated in one of these three morning sessions. Each session was led by the subcommittee chairs, which facilitated discussions among breakout session participants to build consensus around and prioritize the proposed research topics that had been identified in the preconference planning process.

The conference attendees reconvened at lunch, where a panel of four of the patient advocates described their experiences in the pediatric emergency care system and participated in a moderated question-and-answer session. After this lunchtime panel session, all conference participants then attended either the workforce development for PEM breakout session or the enhancing collaboration across EDs (PEM practice in non-children's hospitals) breakout session, working in the same fashion as the morning sessions. Terry Klassen, MD, MSc, then gave the closing address, describing opportunities in translational research to decrease the gaps between evidence-based knowledge and clinical practice. The consensus ideas, challenges, and conclusions from all of the five breakout sessions were then summarized and presented by the subcommittee chairs, followed by adjournment after concluding remarks by the Consensus Conference chairs.

After the conclusion of the conference, the subcommittees began writing manuscripts summarizing the discussions that had occurred during their breakout sessions and

detailing the prioritized research, innovation, and scholarship agendas as a consensus for each theme. These proceedings are published in this issue of *Academic Emergency Medicine*. Additionally, the Society for Academic Emergency Medicine has free on-line access to most of the conference presentations.⁴⁶

LIMITATIONS

A major limitation of any consensus conference is that the results are influenced significantly by attendees and their active participation. Combining the AEMCC with a major emergency medicine conference helped to leverage conference support infrastructure in an efficient manner. However, attendance is often limited by competing interests and obligations. One such conflict was a national pediatric research conference held nearly simultaneously with this conference in a different North American city. Though the dilemma was unavoidable, this understandably made it very challenging for some of the PEM stakeholders to attend the AEMCC in person. To mitigate this impact, the Planning Committee sought pre-conference input by attendees and non-attendee stakeholders alike via two pre-conference surveys, and disseminated background materials prior to the conference to help attendees prepare for the breakout session discussions.

SUMMARY

The 2018 Academic Emergency Medicine Consensus Conference: "Aligning the Pediatric Emergency Medicine Research Agenda to Reduce Health Outcomes Gaps" brought together a wide array of stakeholders with a vested interest in the emergency care of children, which led to the development of a consensus-driven research agenda in five domains of pediatric emergency care. We hope that these conference proceedings will drive essential research and scholarship that promotes innovation, advances clinical practice, and broadens collaboration across institutions and

organizations to improve the emergency care of children. The future for acutely ill and injured children nationwide depends on it.

295

296297

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- The authors gratefully acknowledge the assistance of Melissa McMillian, CNP, who
- 299 provided invaluable guidance throughout the entirety of the 2018 AEMCC planning
- process. The authors acknowledge Jennifer Walthall, MD, MPH, who conceived the
- idea for this conference, brought together the original planning committee, and
- 302 submitted the initial AEMCC proposal. The authors would also like to thank the scribes
- for the AEMCC: Isabelle Chea; Ryan Hartman, MD; Seth Linakis, MD; Teresa Liu, MD;
- and Nadira Ramkhelawan, MD.

305

306

REFERENCES

- 1. Institute of Medicine Committee on Quality of Health Care in America. Crossing the
- quality chasm: a new health system for the 21st century. Washington DC: National Academies
- 309 Press; 2001.
- 310 2. Givens M, Gennuso K, Jovaag A, Willems Van Dijk J. County health rankings key findings
- 311 2018. (Accessed 10/1/18 at http://www.countyhealthrankings.org/explore-health-
- 312 rankings/rankings-reports/2018-county-health-rankings-key-findings-report)
- 313 3. Guagliardo MF, Teach SJ, Huang ZJ, Chamberlain JM, Joseph JG. Racial and ethnic
- disparities in pediatric appendicitis rupture rate. Acad Emerg Med 2003;10:1218-27.
- Wang L, Haberland C, Thurm C, Bhattacharya J, Park KT. Health outcomes in US children
- with abdominal pain at major emergency departments associated with race and socioeconomic
- 317 status. PloS one 2015;10:e0132758.
- Lee HH, Lewis CW, McKinney CM. Disparities in emergency department pain treatment
- for toothache. JDR Clin Trans Res 2016;1:226-33.
- Goyal MK, Kuppermann N, Cleary SD, Teach SJ, Chamberlain JM. Racial disparities in
- 321 pain management of children with appendicitis in emergency departments. JAMA Pediatr
- 322 2015;169:996-1002.

- 323 7. Goyal MK, Johnson TJ, Chamberlain JM, et al. Racial and ethnic differences in antibiotic
- use for viral illness in emergency departments. Pediatrics 2017;140.
- 325 8. Wang NE, Gisondi MA, Golzari M, Van Der Vlugt TM, Tuuli M. Socioeconomic disparities
- 326 are negatively associated with pediatric emergency department aftercare compliance. Acad
- 327 Emerg Med 2003;10:1278-84.
- 328 9. Carr BG, Branas CC, Metlay JP, Sullivan AF, Camargo CA, Jr. Access to emergency care in
- the United States. Ann Emerg Med. 2009;54:261-9.
- 330 10. Ravikumar D, Hsia R. Do California counties with lower socioeconomic levels have less
- access to emergency department care? Acad Emerg Med. 2010;17:508-13.
- Hsia RY, Srebotnjak T, Kanzaria HK, McCulloch C, Auerbach AD. System-level health
- disparities in California emergency departments: minorities and Medicaid patients are at higher
- risk of losing their emergency departments. Ann Emerg Med. 2012;59:358-65.
- 335 12. Durch J, Lohr KN, for the Institute of Medicine Committee on Pediatric Emergency
- 336 Medical Services. Emergency medical services for children. Washington DC: National Academy
- 337 Press; 1993.
- 338 13. Institute of Medicine Committee on the Future of Emergency Care in the United States
- 339 Health System. Emergency care for children: growing pains. Washington DC: National
- 340 Academies Press; 2007.
- 341 14. American College of Emergency Physicians, American Academy of Pediatrics,. Care of
- children in the emergency department: guidelines for preparedness. Ann Emerg Med.
- 343 2001;37:423-7.
- 344 15. American Academy of Pediatrics, American College of Emergency Physicians. Care of
- children in the emergency department: guidelines for preparedness. Pediatrics 2001;107:777-
- 346 81.
- 347 16. American Academy of Pediatrics, American College of Emergency Physicians, Emergency
- Nurses Association Pediatric Committee. Joint policy statement--guidelines for care of children
- in the emergency department. Pediatrics 2009;124:1233-43.

- 350 17. American College of Emergency Physicians, American Academy of Pediatrics, Emergency
- Nurses Association Pediatric Committee. Joint policy statement--guidelines for care of children
- in the emergency department. Ann Emerg Med. 2009;54:543-52.
- 353 18. Gausche-Hill M, Schmitz C, Lewis RJ. Pediatric preparedness of US emergency
- departments: a 2003 survey. Pediatrics 2007;120:1229-37.
- 355 19. Gausche-Hill M, Ely M, Schmuhl P, et al. A national assessment of pediatric readiness of
- and emergency departments. JAMA Pediatr 2015;169:527-34.
- 357 20. Remick K, Kaji AH, Olson L, et al. Pediatric readiness and facility verification. Ann Emerg
- 358 Med. 2016;67:320-8.e1.
- 359 21. Webman RB, Carter EA, Mittal S, et al. Association between trauma center type and
- mortality among Injured adolescent patients. JAMA Pediatr 2016;170:780-6.
- 361 22. Hansen M, Fleischman R, Meckler G, Newgard CD. The association between hospital
- type and mortality among critically ill children in US EDs. Resuscitation 2013;84:488-91.
- 363 23. Mannix R, Nigrovic LE, Schutzman SA, et al. Factors associated with the use of cervical
- 364 spine computed tomography imaging in pediatric trauma patients. Acad Emerg Med.
- 365 2011;18:905-11.
- 366 24. Marin JR, Weaver MD, Barnato AE, Yabes JG, Yealy DM, Roberts MS. Variation in
- 367 emergency department head computed tomography use for pediatric head trauma. Acad
- 368 Emerg Med. 2014;21:987-95.
- 369 25. Marin JR, Wang L, Winger DG, Mannix RC. Variation in computed tomography imaging
- for pediatric injury-related emergency visits. J Pediatr. 2015;167:897-904.e3.
- 371 26. Stanley RM, Hoyle JD, Jr., Dayan PS, et al. Emergency department practice variation in
- computed tomography use for children with minor blunt head trauma. J Pediatr.
- 373 2014;165:1201-6.e2.
- 374 27. Meltzer JA, Stone ME, Jr., Reddy SH, Silver EJ. Association of whole-body computed
- tomography with mortality risk in children with blunt trauma. JAMA Pediatr 2018;172:542-9.
- 376 28. Niles LM, Goyal MK, Badolato GM, Chamberlain JM, Cohen JS. US emergency
- department trends in imaging for pediatric nontraumatic abdominal pain. Pediatrics 2017;140.

- 378 29. Hsia RY, Kellermann AL, Shen YC. Factors associated with closures of emergency
- departments in the United States. JAMA. 2011;305:1978-85.
- 380 30. Ray KN, Olson LM, Edgerton EA, et al. Access to high pediatric-readiness emergency care
- 381 in the United States. J Pediatr. 2018;194:225-32.e1.
- 382 31. Auerbach M, Brown L, Whitfill T, et al. Adherence to pediatric cardiac arrest guidelines
- across a spectrum of fifty emergency departments: a prospective, in situ, simulation-based
- 384 study. Acad Emerg Med. 2018. Sep 8. doi: 10.1111/acem.13564. [Epub ahead of print]
- 385 32. Kessler DO, Walsh B, Whitfill T, et al. Disparities in adherence to pediatric sepsis
- 386 guidelines across a spectrum of emergency departments: a multicenter, cross-sectional
- observational in situ simulation study. J Emerg Med. 2016;50:403-15.e1-3.
- 388 33. 2017-2018 ABMS Board Certification Report 2018. (Accessed 10/1/2018, at
- 389 https://www.abms.org/media/194885/abms-board-certification-report-2017-2018.pdf.)
- 390 34. Alessandrini EA, Wright JL. The continuing evolution of pediatric emergency care. JAMA
- 391 Pediatr. 2015;169:523-4.
- 392 35. Executive summary: disparities in emergency health care. Acad Emerg Med.
- 393 2003;10:1153-4.
- 394 36. LaMantia J, Deiorio NM, Yarris LM. Executive summary: education research in
- 395 emergency medicine—opportunities, challenges, and strategies for success. Acad Emerg Med.
- 396 2012;19:1319-22.
- 397 37. Lang ES, Wyer PC, Eskin B. Executive summary: knowledge translation in emergency
- medicine: establishing a research agenda and guide map for evidence uptake. Acad Emerg Med.
- 399 2007;14:915-8.
- 400 38. Hartling L, Scott-Findlay S, Johnson D, et al. Bridging the gap between clinical research
- and knowledge translation in pediatric emergency medicine. Acad Emerg Med. 2007;14:968-
- 402 77.
- 403 39. Carr BG, Martinez R. Executive summary—2010 consensus conference. Acad Emerg
- 404 Med. 2010;17:1269-73.

- 405 40. Glaser N, Barnett P, McCaslin I, et al. Risk factors for cerebral edema in children with
- 406 diabetic ketoacidosis. The Pediatric Emergency Medicine Collaborative Research Committee of
- 407 the American Academy of Pediatrics. N Engl J Med 2001;344:264-9.
- 408 41. Kuppermann N, Ghetti S, Schunk JE, et al. Clinical trial of fluid infusion rates for pediatric
- 409 diabetic ketoacidosis. N Engl J Med 2018;378:2275-87.
- 410 42. Wittmeier KD, Klassen TP, Sibley KM. Implementation science in pediatric health care:
- advances and opportunities. JAMA Pediatr. 2015;169:307-9.
- 412 43. Crockett LK, Leggett C, Curran JA, et al. Knowledge sharing between general and
- 413 pediatric emergency departments: connections, barriers, and opportunities. CJEM 2018:1-9.
- 414 44. Featherstone RM, Leggett C, Knisley L, et al. Creation of an integrated knowledge
- 415 translation process to improve pediatric emergency care in Canada. Health Commun.
- 416 2018;33:980-7.
- 417 45. Scott SD, Albrecht L, Given LM, et al. Pediatric information seeking behaviour,
- information needs, and information preferences of health care professionals in general
- 419 emergency departments: Results from the Translating Emergency Knowledge for Kids (TREKK)
- 420 Needs Assessment. CJEM 2018;20:89-99.
- 421 46. 2018 Academic Emergency Medicine Consensus Conference: Aligning the Pediatric
- 422 Emergency Medicine Research Agenda to Reduce Health Outcome Gaps. (Accessed September
- 423 1, 2018, at http://ondemand.saem.org/common/media-player.aspx/5/16/389/1405.)

424

Table 1: 2018 Academic Emergency Medicine Consensus Conference Executive Committee

Name	Institution	Role
Kurt Denninghoff, MD	University of Arizona	Co-Chair
Paul Ishimine, MD	University of California, San Diego	Co-Chair
Kathleen Adelgais, MD, MPH	University of Colorado	Subcommittee Chair
Isabel Barata, MS, MD, MBA	Donald and Barbara Zucker School of Medicine at Hofstra/Northwell	Subcommittee Chair
Jean Klig, MD	Massachusetts General Hospital	Subcommittee Co-Chair

		S
K		
_		j
П		
		5
	V.	

Maybelle Kou, MD	Inova Fairfax Hospital	Subcommittee Co-Chair
Prashant Mahajan, MD, MPH, MBA	University of Michigan	Subcommittee Co-Chair
Chris Merritt, MD, MPH	Brown University	Subcommittee Chair
Michael J. Stoner, MD	Nationwide Children's Hospital & The Ohio State University	Subcommittee Co-Chair
Jeffrey Kline, MD	Indiana University	Academic Emergency Medicine
.=		Editor-in-Chief
Robert Cloutier, MD, MCR	Oregon Health & Science University	Academic Emergency Medicine
		Guest Editor
Rakesh Mistry, MD, MS	University of Colorado	Academic Emergency Medicine
(0		Guest Editor
Melissa McMillian, CNP	Society for Academic Emergency Medicine	Director, Foundation and Business
		Development

Subcommittees	Chair(s)	Goals and Objectives
Pediatric Emergency Medical	Kathleen Adelgais, MD, MPH	Goal:
Services Research		• To create a research agenda for the pediatric EMS research community that
		will advance the science of EMS for children and ultimately improve patient
		outcomes
		Objectives:
		To explore research opportunities to determine whether established best
		practice for pediatric EMS care improves patient-oriented outcomes
		• To discuss the best methods to study challenging but high impact clinical
		conditions such as out-of-hospital cardiac arrest, drowning, severe trauma,
		and respiratory failure
		• To identify opportunities to translate knowledge and evidence into the
		prehospital setting
Pediatric Emergency Medicine	Jean Klig, MD	Goal:
Education	Maybelle Kou, MD	To introduce a research agenda that can unify and advance pediatric
		emergency medicine education, promote a network for ongoing progress, and
		improve outcomes for acutely ill and injured children
		Objectives:
		Identify fundamental research priorities to close the many education gaps
		that underlie non-uniform care for children across emergency departments
		and urgent care centers in the US.
		Propose key steps to launch a PEM education research network
		Discuss how information from the patient experience may be integrated into
		PEM education research.
Enhancing collaboration across	Isabel Barata, MS, MD, MBA	Goal:
EDs (PEM in non-children's		To include general EDs based in non-children's hospitals in creating a
hospitals)		research agenda to advance the quality and safety of pediatric emergency
		care across all EDs, understand the challenges, and enhance the
_		collaboration with children's hospitals to achieve optimal health outcomes
		Objectives:
		Create best practices for developing a system of care for general EDs and
		those in children's hospitals to collaborate and focus on solutions to close the
		gap on safety, quality, and evidence-based practice in a patient/family-
		centered setting. This system should meet the needs of both groups to
		provide the best clinical care for pediatric patients.
		Develop pediatric specific outcome measures and implementation
		processes to ensure continuous quality improvement.
		Evaluate the National Pediatric Readiness Project (NPRP) initiative, a
		quality improvement project
Research Networks	Michael J. Stoner, MD	Goals:
	Prashant Mahajan, MD, MPH, MBA	• To increase attendee understanding of, participation in, and prioritization of
	• • • •	pediatric emergency medicine network research
		To demonstrate how pediatric emergency medicine network research results
		can improve care of acutely ill and injured children
		Objectives:
		To identify priorities for future pediatric emergency medicine network
		research
		To provide conference participants a forum to brainstorm and discuss
		To provide conference participants a forum to brainstonn and discuss

potential future network research studies

Chris Merritt, MD, MPH

Goals:

• To delineate and prioritize a research agenda to advance our understanding of the unique workforce needs in the emergency care of children in the interest of ensuring excellence in pediatric care and improve patient outcomes across emergency care settings

Objectives:

- To define highest-priority areas of research and workforce needs in pediatric emergency care
- To engage a group of stakeholders in a discussion of means and targets for workforce research in pediatric emergency care
- To identify opportunities to translate workforce knowledge and evidence into the array of pediatric care environments



Table 2: Subcommittees

Table 3: Planning Committee Members by Subcommittee

Education Subcommittee

Jean Klig, MD & Maybelle Kou, MD (Chairs)

Rahul Bhat, MD

Troy Denslow (Patient Advocate)

Andrea Fang, MD

Sean Fox, MD

Jeffrey Hom, MD

Ashley Strobel, DO

Sonny Tat, MD

Jessica Wall, MD

Eric Weinberg, MD

Enhancing Collaboration Across EDs (PEM in Non-Children's Hospitals) Subcommittee

Isabel Barata, MS, MD, MBA (Chair)

Marc Auerbach, MD

Oluwakemi Badaki-Makun, MD

Lee Benjamin, MD

Madeline Joseph, MD

Moon Lee, MD

Kim Mears (Patient Advocate)

Emory Petrack, MD

Dina Wallin, MD

Emergency Medical Services Subcommittee

Kathleen Adelgais, MD MPH (Chair)

Kathleen Brown, MD

Paula Denslow (Patient Advocate)

J. Joelle Donofrio, DO

Matt Hansen, MD MSCR

Kabir Yadav, MDCM MS MSHS

E. Brooke Lerner, PhD

Lenora Olson, PhD (Moderator)

Research Networks Subcommittee

Michael J. Stoner, MD (Chair)

Prashant Mahajan, MD, MPH, MBA (Co-chair)

Jill Baren, MD, MBE

Silvia Bressan, MD, PhD

Corrie E. Chumpitazi, MD, MS

Stephen B. Freedman, MDCM, MSc

Parris Keane (Patient Advocate)

Aaron E. Kornblith, MD

Nate Kuppermann, MD, MPH

Sam H. F. Lam, MD, MPH

Lise E. Nigrovic, MD MPH

Damian Roland, BMedSci BMBS, MRCPCH,

PhD

Workforce Subcommittee,

Chris Merritt, MD, MPH (Chair)

Christopher Amato, MD

Mary Kay Ballasiotes (Patient Advocate)

Amanda Bogie, MD

Ann Dietrich, MD

Michael Gerardi, MD

Kajal Khanna, MD, JD

Mohsen Saidinejad, MD, MPH, MBA

Fred Wu, MHS, PA-C

Table 4: Patient Advocates

Name	Organization
Mary Kay Ballasiotes	Founder/President, International Alliance for Pediatric Stroke
Paula Denslow	Patient Advocate, Tennessee Disability Coalition
Troy Denslow	Patient Advocate
Kim Mears	Patient Advocate, Children's Hospital Volunteer
Parris Shelley	Patient Advocate

Table 5: Conference Agenda

