The Social Media and Civic Engagement Matrix

Finholt, Anders; Million, A.J.; Hemphill, Libby

http://hdl.handle.net/2027.42/148326
Acknowledgements

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. 1822228

Icons made by Freepik from www.flaticon.com

The Social Media and Civic Engagement Matrix

Anders Finholt
Kalamazoo College
Kalamazoo, MI 49006, USA
anders.finholt16@kzoo.edu

A.J. Million
University of Michigan, School of Information
Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA
millioaj@umich.edu

Libby Hemphill
University of Michigan, School of Information
Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA
libbyh@umich.edu

Introduction

- Studies of online civic engagement often focus on how it improves quality-of-life in democratic countries
- Online engagement activities may benefit communities while violating democratic norms
- We present a matrix for classifying engagement activities along two dimensions: sociality and effect

What We Did

- We conducted interviews of nonprofit affiliates
- Some participants said civic engagement can be a negative experience
- We developed a way to classify the full range of engagement examples from our interviews while taking norm-violating behaviors into account

The Matrix

- Classifies perceptions of civic acts and engagement behaviors
- Civic acts either improve or exacerbate quality-of-life
- Antisocial behavior violates or disregards democratic norms
- Prosocial behaviors are positive and align with democratic norms

Social Media and Civic Engagement Matrix

- Prosocial-effective actions include registering voters and raising money for a charitable cause
- Antisocial-improve actions are disruptive but effective due to the conflict they create or reveal
- Prosocial-exacerbate actions follow social norms but have little impact
- Antisocial-exacerbate actions violate norms and make life worse

Engagement Examples

1. Changing a Facebook profile picture as a show of solidarity for the survivors of a natural disaster rather than donating to relief efforts.
2. Registering citizens to vote via social media.
3. Posting stolen emails to WikiLeaks to prevent the election of a candidate with a history of supporting unilateral military action.
4. Teachers striking and students protesting schools closures.
5. Harassing individuals associated with a social movement you disagree with.

Conclusions and Next Steps

- Online engagement activities may violate social, democratic norms
- We presented a framework to classify an expanded range of online engagement activities
- This matrix decouples the sociality and effectiveness of various actions
- We plan to test the matrix by collecting and analyzing data about citizen attitudes related to a range of online activities

Further Reading


“Civic engagement would be... working as a community to fight for something.”
- Chicago Interview Participant 14

“I see people share a lot of articles, but then that's all they do.”
- Chicago Interview Participant 12

“There's this greater system that's oppressing people, which has left a lot of people not wanting to be civically engaged or having those conversations.”
- Kalamazoo Interview Participant 16

“I think most of the time [civic engagement] has a positive intent, but it can go wrong.”
- Kalamazoo Interview Participant 13