

Supporting Information

N,N,O Pincer Ligand with a Deprotonatable Site That Promotes Redox-Leveling, High Mn Oxidation States, and a Mn₂O₂ Dimer Competent for Catalytic Oxygen Evolution

Hannah M. C. Lant, Thoe K. Michaelos, Liam S. Sharninghausen, Brandon Q. Mercado, Robert H. Crabtree,* and Gary W. Brudvig*

ejic201801343-sup-0001-SupMat.pdf

Table of Contents:

1. Synthesis,	Characterization, and Electrochemical Measurements of 1-5.	
Figure S1	IR spectra of 2 and 3 .	S2
Figure S2	UV-visible spectrum of 2 .	S3
Figure S3	UV-visible spectrum of 3 .	S3
Figure S4	Mass-spectrum of 2 .	S4
Figure S5	Mass-spectrum of 3 .	S4
Figure S6	Diagram defining cis v trans geometry of waters in X-ray structure	S6
Table S1	Results from a Cambridge Crystallographic Database search to	S6
	highlight unusual geometry of water binding in 2 .	
Figure S7	Sample oxygen evolution trace with 1 and 2 .	S10
Figure S8	Logarithmic plot of rate of O_2 evolution on [KHSO ₅] with 2 .	S10
Figure S9	Scan rate dependence on CVs of 1 .	S11
Figure S10	Bulk electrolysis of 1 at 1.2V.	S11
Figure S11	Bulk electrolysis of 1 at 930mV.	S12
Figure S12	Scan rate dependence on CVs of 2 .	S12
Figure S13	Sample O_2 evolution trace from attempts to probe 2 for	S13
	electrocatalytic WO catalysis.	
Figure S14	CV of 5 and 3 .	S14
Figure S15	Sample chronoamperogram from spectroelectrochemical	S14
	experiments.	- · -
Figure S16	Spectroelectrochemistry of 5 .	S15
Figure S17	Spectroelectrochemistry of 3 .	S15
2. Crystallogi	raphic Information	
2.1 Ex	perimental	
2.2De	tails for Diffraction and Refinement for 2 .	010
Figure S18	I hermal ellipsoid diagram for 2 with full numbering scheme.	S16
Table S2	Hydrogen bonds for 2.	S17
Table S3	Crystal data and structure refinement for 2.	517
2.3 De	etails for Diffraction and Refinement for 3.	010
Figure 519	I nermal ellipsoid diagram for 3 with full numbering scheme.	518
Table 54	Hydrogen bonds for 3 .	519
	Crystal data and structure refinement for 3.	219
Z.4 D	etails for Diffraction and Refinement for 4.	600
Table S20	Cruetal data and structure refinement for 4	32U 601
	orystal data and structure reinement for 4.	321

3. References



1. Synthesis, Characterization, and Electrochemical Measurements of 1-5.

Figure S2. UV-visible spectrum of **2** in MeCN, with inset showing peaks in the UV region. Concentration of solution showing visible features is 4.76 x 10⁻⁴ M, where the solution for the inset is 1.76 x 10⁻⁵ M.

Wavelength (nm)

1000-



Figure S3. UV-visible spectrum of **3** in MeCN, with inset showing peaks in the UV region. Concentration of solution showing visible features is 6.13 x10⁻⁴ M, where the solution for the inset is 2.27 x 10⁻⁵ M.



Figure S4. Mass spectrum of **2**. The complex, which is observed as a monocation with one triflate counterion but no water ligands, appears to desolvate upon injection into the instrument, as is commonly observed with water-ligated $Mn_2(\mu-O)_2$ and $Mn_4(\mu-O)_5$ complexes.^{1,2,3}



Figure S5. Mass spectrum of 3. The complex, which is observed as a monocation appears to lose the proton on the bipyalk ligand.



Figure S6. *Cis* versus *trans* geometry of waters for dimers of the type $[Mn_2(\mu-O)_2(L)_2(H_2O)_2]$ documented in the Cambridge Crystallographic Database, tabulated in Table 1.

Table S1. Results from a search in the Cambridge Crystallographic Database for $Mn_2(\mu-O)_2$ dimers. Note that all results except **2** feature a trans geometry of bound waters at each Mn center, and all but **2** are isolated and crystallized in the $Mn_2^{III,IV}$ state.

^a Dimer crystallizes in P-1 spacegroup, but inversion center is not found within the diamond core. ^bDimer crystallizes in P-1 spacegroup, features inversion center in the center of diamond such that manganese atoms are crystallographically equivalent.

^c Dimer crystallizes in P2₁/c spacegroup, but inversion center is not found within the diamond core. ^dDimer crystallizes in P2₁/c spacegroup, features inversion center in the center of diamond such that manganese atoms are crystallographically equivalent.

Entry:	Compound:	CCDC Code:	<u>Ref:</u>	<u>α, β</u> °
1.	[(OH ₂)(PrO-terpy)Mn ^{III} (µ-O) ₂ Mn ^{IV} (PrO- terpy)(OH ₂)] ³⁺	^a DAPQIW	1	94.93, 189.34
2	$(OH)(Me-terpy)Mn^{III}(u-O) Mn^{IV}(Me-terpy)(OH)^{3+}$	₽DAPOOC	Д	93 28

2.	(OH ₂)(Me-terpy)Mn ^{III} (µ-O) ₂ Mn ^{IV} (Me-terpy)(OH ₂)] ³⁺	^b DAPQOC	4	93.28,
				185.08



3.	(OH₂)(MeS-terpy)Mn ^{III} (µ-O)₂Mn ^{IV} (MeS-	[♭] DAPQUI	1	91.72,
	terpy)(OH ₂)] ³⁺			185.57



4.	(OH ₂)(MeO-terpy)Mn ^{III} (µ-O) ₂ Mn ^{IV} (MeO- terpy)(OH ₂)] ³⁺	°DAPRAP	1	95.12, 192.45
	1			

91.94, 185.59 5. $[(OH_2)(EtO-terpy)Mn^{III}(\mu-O)_2Mn^{IV}(EtO-terpy)(OH_2)]^{3+}$ ^bDAPRET 1



6. $(OH_2)(BuO-terpy)Mn^{III}(\mu-O)_2Mn^{IV}(BuO-terpy)(OH_2)]^{3+}$ bDAPRIX 1 94.27, 183.65



7.	(OH₂)(terpy)Mn ^{III} (µ-O)₂Mn ^{IV} (terpy)(OH₂)] ³⁺	[♭] FIQFIU	2	94.08,
				183.45





8.	$(OH_2)(Py-terpy)Mn^{III}(\mu-O)_2Mn^{IV}(Py-terpy)(OH_2)]^{3+}$	₫GETTOQ	3	93.43, 184.11
9.	(OH₂)(<i>me</i> s-terpy)Mn ^{III} (µ-O)₂Mn ^{IV} (<i>me</i> s- terpy)(OH₂)] ³⁺	^ª XAYCAC	1	93.49, 184.72



10.

(OH₂)(bipyalk)Mn ^Ⅳ (µ-O)₂Mn ^Ⅳ (bipyalk)(OH₂)] ³⁺	а	This	92.92,
		work	93.30





Figure S7. Typical oxygen-evolution trace. **2**, red, (50 μ M) is injected into Clark electrode at t = 0 containing solution of 10 mM KHSO₅. This is compared against a typical oxygen evolution trace observed when **1** is "preoxidized" in a concentrated solution of KHSO₅ prior to being injected into a solution of 10 mM KHSO₅ sacrificial oxidant in the Clark electrode chamber such that the final concentration is 50 μ M. The dotted grey line shows the oxygen evolution trace of **2** with product oxygen values divided by two to normalize for total Mn content.



Figure S8. Logarithmic plot of dependence of rate of O_2 evolution on [KHSO₅], where [2] = 50 μ M.



Figure S9. Scan rate dependence on CVs of **1**. Conditions: 2.5 mM in 0.1 M acetate buffer at pH 4.47, GC working electrode, Pt wire counter electrode, Ag/AgCl reference electrode.



Figure S10. Bulk electrolysis at 1.2 V vs. NHE of **1** (2.0 mM) in 0.1 M acetate buffer at pH 4.47 with a platinum basket working electrode, platinum mesh counter electrode, and Ag/AgCl reference electrode. Integrated charge passed is 4.05 C or 2.50 10¹⁹ e⁻. For a two-electron oxidation at this concentration, theoretical number of electrons passed is 2.54 x 10¹⁹ e⁻.



Figure S11. Bulk electrolysis at 930 mV vs. NHE of **1** (1.0 mM) in 0.1 M acetate buffer at pH 4.47 with a platinum basket working electrode, platinum mesh counter electrode, and Ag/AgCl reference electrode. Integrated charge passed is 1.078 C or 6.73 x 10¹⁸ e⁻. For a one-electron oxidation at this concentration, theoretical number of electrons passed is 1.15 x 10¹⁹ e⁻.



Figure S12. Scan rate dependence on CVs of 2. Conditions: 2.5 mM in 0.1 M acetate buffer at pH 4.47, GC working electrode, Pt wire counter electrode, Ag/AgCl reference electrode.



Figure S13. Sample O₂ evolution traces from Clark electrode from attempts to probe for electrocatalytic water-oxidation activity of 1 showing no O₂ evolution was observed over background levels. A stock solution of complex, pretreated with 2 equivalents of KHSO₅ in the blue trace, was injected into the Clark electrode chamber at time = 0 sec such that the final concentration was 500 µM. Potential was applied at time = 60 s. Conditions: Pt working electrode, Ag/AgCl reference electrode, Pt wire counter electrode, 0.1 M K₂SO₄ at 1.6 V applied potential vs. NHE. Other conditions assayed included potentials ranging from 1.2 V to 1.8 V, use of glassy carbon working electrode, and 0.1 M acetate buffer. Similar results were seen in other cases, and no O₂ was observed above background levels in any case.



Figure S14. CV of **5** and **3**. Conditions: 2.5 mM in 0.1 M tBuN₄PF₆ at in MeCN. GC working electrode, Pt wire counter electrode, Ag wire pseudo reference electrode, referenced against an internal ferrocene standard to express as NHE.



Figure S15. Sample chronoamperogram from spectroelectrochemical experiments. Current plateau by the end of the experiment indicates equilibrium at the applied potential has been reached. The sample CA above is from an electrolysis of 3 as shown in Fig. S17 above at 2.5 mM in a 0.1 M NBu₄PF₆/MeCN solution at the intermediate potential of 1.05 V for 15 minutes.



Figure S16. Spectroelectrochemistry of **5** (2.5 mM) in a 0.1 M NBu₄PF₆/MeCN solution. Potentials were applied for 15 minutes each in increasingly oxidizing steps as indicated. The dashed line shows a normalized spectrum of **3** for comparison.



Figure S17. Spectroelectrochemistry of **3** (2.5 mM) in a 0.1 M NBu₄PF₆/MeCN solution. Potentials were applied for 15 minutes each in increasingly oxidizing steps as indicated.

2. Crystallographic Information:

2.1 Experimental:

Low-temperature diffraction data (w-scans) were collected on a Rigaku SCX Mini diffractometer coupled to a Rigaku Mercury275R CCD for structure 2 with Mo Ka radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). Similar data were collected on a Rigaku MicroMax-007HF diffractometer coupled to a Saturn994+ CCD detector with Cu Ka ($\lambda = 1.54178$ Å) for the structures 3 and 4. The diffraction images were processed and scaled using CrystalClear 2.0 r15 for 2 and 4; Rigaku Oxford Diffraction ver. 1.171.39.16b for 3. The structures were solved with SHELXT and were refined against F² on all data by full-matrix least squares with SHELXL. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Unless stated otherwise, hydrogen atoms were first found in the difference map and then included in the model at geometrically calculated positions and refined using a riding model. The isotropic displacement parameters of all hydrogen atoms were fixed to 1.2 times the U value of the atoms to which they are linked (1.5 times for methyl groups). The full numbering scheme of 2 - 4 can be found in the full details of the X-ray structure determination (CIF), which are included as Supporting Information. CCDC files 1872330(2), 1872331 (3), and 1872332 (4) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data request/cif.

2.2 Details for Diffraction and Refinement for 2.



Figure S18. Thermal ellipsoid diagram for 2 with complete numbering scheme. Thermal ellipsoids are displayed at the 50% probability level.

Table S2. Hydrogen bonds for 2 [Å and °].

D-HA	d(D-H)	d(HA)	d(DA)	<(DHA)
O(1)-H(1B)O(8)	0.82(4)	1.80(4)	2.617(3)	176(4)
O(1)-H(1A)O(13)	0.74(4)	2.00(4)	2.690(3)	156(4)
O(6)-H(6B)O(5)#1	0.77(4)	1.95(4)	2.709(3)	171(4)
O(6)-H(6A)O(7)	0.81(5)	1.88(5)	2.667(3)	164(4)
O(7)-H(7B)O(10)#1	0.75(4)	2.10(4)	2.851(3)	173(4)
O(7)-H(7A)O(12)#2	0.75(5)	2.00(5)	2.748(3)	174(4)

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms: #1 -x+1,-y+1,-z+2 #2 -x+2,-y+1,-z+2

Table S3. Crystal data and structure refinement for 2.

Identification code	mini-16006		
Empirical formula	C14.50 H17 CI F3 Mn N2 O6.50 S		
Formula weight	502.75		
Temperature	93(2) K		
Wavelength	0.71075 Å		
Crystal system	Triclinic		
Space group	P-1		
Unit cell dimensions	a = 11.2035(3) Å	a= 96.6660(19)°	
	b = 13.6612(3) Å	b= 107.783(2)°.	
	c = 14.3304(3) Å	g = 107.945(2)°.	
Volume	1932.70(9) Å		
Z	4		
Density (calculated)	1.728 Mg/m [,]		
Absorption coefficient	0.996 mm		
F(000)	1020		
Crystal size	0.200 x 0.200 x 0.200 m	ım [,]	
Crystal color and habit	Green Block		
Diffractometer	Rigaku Mercury275R C	CD	
Theta range for data collection	1.950 to 26.388°.		
Index ranges	-13<=h<=13, -17<=k<=	17, -17<=l<=17	
Reflections collected	31014		
Independent reflections	7858 [R(int) = 0.0229]		
Observed reflections (I > 2sigma(I))	6986		
Completeness to theta = 25.242°	99.8 %		
Absorption correction	Semi-empirical from eq	uivalents	
Max. and min. transmission	1.00000 and 0.97097		
Solution method	SHELXT-2014/5 (Sheldr	ick, 2014)	
Refinement method	SHELXL-2014/7 (Sheldr	ick, 2014)	
Data / restraints / parameters	7858 / 0 / 657		
Goodness-of-fit on F ^a	1.042		
Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)]	R1 = 0.0408, wR2 = 0.0	982	
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0477, wR2 = 0.1032		

Extinction coefficient
Largest diff. peak and hole

n/a 1.260 and -1.603 e.Å[.]

2.3 Details for Diffraction and Refinement for 3.

Refinement Details:

In the DCM solvent molecule, Cl1 is disordered over two positions (40:60). A rigid body (RIGU) restraint was used for Cl1A, C27, Cl2 and Cl1B, C27, Cl2 with sigma for 1-2 distances of 0.004 Å and sigma for 1-3 distances of 0.004 Å. For the DCM molecule, hydrogen atoms were generated with respect to the disordered riding atoms.



Figure S19. Thermal ellipsoid diagram for 3 with complete numbering scheme. Thermal ellipsoids are displayed at the 50% probability level.

Table S4. Crystal data and structure refinement for **3**.Identification code007-15137

Empirical formula	C27 H29 Cl2 F12 Mn N4 O2 P2		
Formula weight	857.32		
Temperature	293(2) K		
Wavelength	1.54184 Å		
Crystal system	Triclinic		
Space group	P-1		
Unit cell dimensions	a = 10.3726(4) Å	a= 85.762(3)°.	
	b = 13.0872(5) Å	b= 85.181(3)°.	
	c = 13.7851(5) Å	g = 67.805(3)°.	
Volume	1724.72(12) Å [,]		
Z	2		
Density (calculated)	1.651 Mg/m [,]		
Absorption coefficient	6.327 mm [.]		
F(000)	864		
Crystal size	0.1 x 0.1 x 0.05 mm [,]		
Crystal color and habit	Red Plate		
Diffractometer	Rigaku MicroMax-007H	F	
Theta range for data collection	3.221 to 66.991°.		
Index ranges	-12<=h<=12, -15<=k<=	15, -16<=l<=16	
Reflections collected	60764		
Independent reflections	6046 [R(int) = 0.0579]		
Observed reflections (I > 2sigma(I))	5847		
Completeness to theta = 66.991°	98.1 %		
Absorption correction	Semi-empirical from equ	uivalents	
Max. and min. transmission	1.00000 and 0.71781		
Solution method	SHELXT-2014/5 (Sheldr	ick, 2014)	
Refinement method	SHELXL-2014/7 (Sheldr	ick, 2014)	
Data / restraints / parameters	6046 / 15 / 469		
Goodness-of-fit on F ^a	1.050		
Final R indices [l>2sigma(l)]	R1 = 0.0404, wR2 = 0.1032		
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0415, wR2 = 0.1040		
Extinction coefficient	n/a		
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.667 and -0.614 e.Å		

Table S5.	Hydrogen	bonds for	007-15137	[A and °]	•

D-HA	d(D-H)	d(HA)	d(DA)	(DHA)
O(1)-H(1)F(11)	0.65(4)	2.14(4)	2.788(3)	175(5)

г X

~1

2.4 Details for Diffraction and Refinement for 4.

Refinement Details:

Both PF groups are disordered over 2 positions. The disordered positions were first refined independently of each other. The site occupancies of the major and minor components of each PF were found to be nearly identical and were subsequently linked by the same free variable. The four sets of atoms which make up the disordered models are: {P1 F1 F2A F3A F4A F5A F6A}, {P1 F1 F2B F3B F4B F5B F6B}, {P2 F7 F8A F9A F10A F11A F12}, {P2 F7 F8B F9B F10B F11B F12}. The atoms of the major and minor components were distinguished with the suffixes "A" and "B", where their site occupancy factors refined to values of 0.70(1) and 0.30(1), respectively. The program SQUEEZE was used to compensate for the contribution of disordered solvents contained in voids within the crystal lattice from the diffraction intensities. This procedure was applied to the data file and the submitted model is based on the solvent removed data. Based on the total electron density found in the voids (18.6 e/Å), it is likely that ~2 water molecules are present in the unit cell. See "_platon_squeeze_details" in the CIF for more information.



Figure S20. The complete numbering scheme of **4** with 50% thermal ellipsoid probability levels. The hydrogen, fluorine and phosphorus atoms are shown as circles for clarity. The dashed bonds highlight disordered positions.

Table S6. Crystal data and structure r	efinement for 4 .			
Identification code	007-16120			
Empirical formula	C26 H26 F12 Mn N4 O2 P2			
Formula weight	771.39			
Temperature	93(2) K			
Wavelength	1.54178 Å			
Crystal system	Triclinic			
Space group	P-1			
Unit cell dimensions	a = 11.9537(8) Å	α = 116.911(4)°.		
	b = 12.4244(9) Å	$\beta = 102.591(7)^{\circ}.$		
	c = 12.5022(13) Å	γ = 97.214(5)°.		
Volume	1561.3(2) Å [,]			
Z	2			
Density (calculated)	1.641 Mg/m [,]			
Absorption coefficient	5.379 mm ⁻			
F(000)	778			
Crystal size	0.050 x 0.010 x 0.010 mm [,]			
Theta range for data collection	3.921 to 68.280°.			
Index ranges	-14<=h<=14, -14<=k<= ⁻	14, -14<=l<=14		
Reflections collected	55551			
Independent reflections	5518 [R(int) = 0.1839]			
Completeness to theta = 67.679°	97.6 %			
Absorption correction	Semi-empirical from equivalents			
Max. and min. transmission	1.000 and 0.703			
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F [,]			
Data / restraints / parameters	5518 / 225 / 511			
Goodness-of-fit on F ^a	0.947			
Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)]	R1 = 0.0662, wR2 = 0.16	671		
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0929, wR2 = 0.18	305		
Extinction coefficient	0.0040(5)			
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.562 and -0.579 e.Å [,]			

3. References:

- [1] H. Chen, R. Tagore, S. Das, C. Incarvito, J. W. Faller, R. H Crabtree, G. W. Brudvig, *Inorg. Chem.* **2005**, *44*, 7661–7670.
- [2] M. N. Collomb, A. Deronzier, A. Richardot J. Pecaut, New J. Chem. 1999, 23, 351– 353.
- [3] H. Chen, J. W. Faller, R. H. Crabtree, G. W. Brudvig, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 7345–7349.
- [4] H. Yamazaki, S. Igarashi, T. Nagata, M. Yagi, *Inorg. Chem.* **2012**, *51*, 1530–1539.