

OVERVIEW

On the edge of degradation: Autophagy regulation by RNA decay

Elizabeth Delorme-Axford | Daniel J. Klionsky

Life Sciences Institute, University of Michigan,
Ann Arbor, Michigan**Correspondence**Daniel J. Klionsky, Life Sciences Institute,
University of Michigan, Rm. 6036,
210 Washtenaw Ave., Ann Arbor, MI
48109-2216.

Email: klionsky@umich.edu

Funding informationNational Institutes of Health, Grant/Award
Number: GM053396

Cells must dynamically adapt to altered environmental conditions, particularly during times of stress, to ensure their ability to function effectively and survive. The macroautophagy/autophagy pathway is highly conserved across eukaryotic cells and promotes cell survival during stressful conditions. In general, basal autophagy occurs at a low level to sustain cellular homeostasis and metabolism. However, autophagy is robustly upregulated in response to nutrient deprivation, pathogen infection and increased accumulation of potentially toxic protein aggregates and superfluous organelles. Within the cell, RNA decay maintains quality control to remove aberrant transcripts and regulate appropriate levels of gene expression. Recent evidence has identified components of the cellular mRNA decay machinery as novel regulators of autophagy. Here, we review current findings that demonstrate how autophagy is modulated through RNA decay.

This article is categorized under:

RNA Turnover and Surveillance > Turnover/Surveillance Mechanisms

RNA Turnover and Surveillance > Regulation of RNA Stability

KEYWORDS

Dcp2, DDX6, Dhh1, mRNA decay, RNA degradation, vacuole, Xrn1/XRN1, yeast

1 | INTRODUCTION

1.1 | Overview

When encountering altered environments and fluctuating nutrient conditions, cells must respond and adapt through mechanisms that support their survival. Autophagy is a precisely controlled catabolic process of cellular “self-eating” that is highly conserved across eukaryotes (from yeast to human). Starvation, pathogen infection and/or the accumulation of damaged or superfluous organelles can activate the autophagy pathway, which enables eukaryotic cells to function and survive amid stress conditions. Autophagy also removes harmful cellular material, while at the same time providing a source of macromolecules to sustain cellular metabolism during low-energy periods. The *de novo* formation of the sequestering phagophore, which matures into a double-membrane autophagosome is the characteristic morphological feature of autophagy. At present, 42 autophagy-related (*ATG*) genes have been identified in fungi; many of these genes have homologs or at least functional counterparts in higher eukaryotes.

Autophagy is primarily a degradative pathway requiring the vacuole (in yeast or plants) or lysosomes (in metazoans) for the breakdown of sequestered cargo. However, the biosynthetic cytoplasm-to-vacuole targeting (Cvt) pathway utilizes most of

Abbreviations: *ATG*, autophagy-related; CPA, cleavage and polyadenylation; Cvt, cytoplasm-to-vacuole targeting; GABARAP, GABA type A receptor-associated protein; MAP1LC3/LC3, microtubule associated protein 1 light chain 3; miRNA, microRNA; mRNA, messenger RNA; MTOR, mechanistic target of rapamycin kinase; NMD, nonsense-mediated decay; qRT-PCR, quantitative real-time PCR; SG, stress granule; siRNA, small interfering RNA; TOR, target of rapamycin; TORC1, TOR complex 1; Ubl, ubiquitin-like; UTR, untranslated region.

the autophagy machinery (Lynch-Day & Klionsky, 2010), and secretory autophagy has been recently described as an alternate mechanism for unconventional protein secretion (Ponpuak et al., 2015). Briefly, canonical degradative autophagic flux includes five major steps (see Figure 1). Autophagy occurs constitutively, but enhanced autophagy is triggered by a stimulus such as nutrient deprivation or other types of stress including hypoxia, or by treatment with a pharmacological agent such as rapamycin or torin 1. During basal or induced autophagy, the phagophore (1) nucleates; (2) expands and (3) matures and closes to form the complete, double-membrane autophagosome. The autophagosome (4) fuses with the degradative compartment and (5) the sequestered cargo undergoes degradation. The resulting macromolecules are released into the cytosol as anabolic building blocks or for catabolism to maintain cellular function.

There are two major forms of cellular autophagy—selective and nonselective—and the primary mode of autophagy is termed macroautophagy. Autophagy mediates the clearance of cargo that exceeds the physical and spatial capacity of the proteasome, the other major degradative mechanism in eukaryotic cells. Furthermore, emerging evidence indicates likely




FIGURE 1 An overview of autophagy in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Following induction by either starvation or treatment with rapamycin, inactivation of TOR triggers autophagy. TOR/MTOR is a serine/threonine kinase and major negative regulator of autophagy (Noda & Ohsumi, 1998). In a stepwise mechanism in yeast, the phagophore nucleates at the perivacuolar phagophore assembly site (PAS). The phagophore expands to envelope proximal cytoplasmic cargo; this process requires 2 conserved Ub1-conjugation systems: Atg12 (consisting of Atg5, Atg7, Atg10, Atg12 and Atg16) and Atg8 (including Atg3, Atg4, Atg7 and Atg8). The phagophore closes and matures to form the complete double-membrane autophagosome. Atg4 has multiple roles, including proteolytic processing of Atg8 at its C-terminus during early autophagy. A second Atg4-mediated cleavage event subsequently deconjugates Atg8 from the autophagosome outer membrane (Kirisako et al., 2000; Nair et al., 2012). Fusion of the autophagosome with the vacuole is mediated by proteins such as the SNARE Vam7 (Liu et al., 2016). Atg15 is required for the degradation of autophagic body membranes, allowing subsequent degradation of the sequestered cargo by vacuolar hydrolases. The current data demonstrate an efflux model for amino acids into the cytosol (Yang, Huang, Geng, Nair, & Klionsky, 2006); however, products resulting from RNA catabolism are released from the cell (Huang et al., 2015), and the mechanisms used for the efflux of metabolic byproducts of other macromolecules (carbohydrates and lipids) have yet to be identified

cross-talk between autophagy and the ubiquitin-proteasome system (which typically targets short-lived or misfolded proteins) (Dikic, 2017; Grumati & Dikic, 2018; Klionsky & Schulman, 2014; Kwon & Ciechanover, 2017).

Although autophagy was initially characterized as being nonselective, the molecular details of such a process are not clear. For example, how does the phagophore, the initial sequestering compartment, nucleate in the absence of specific cargo? Are parts of the cell randomly sequestered, or is there some type of segregation such as a phase separation step that initially segregates portions of the cytoplasm? Without specific cargo, how does the phagophore achieve a particular curvature, which will determine the size of the autophagosome? Even for proteins within organelles such as mitochondria, degradation apparently occurs in a hierarchical manner (Dengjel, Kristensen, & Andersen, 2008; Kristensen et al., 2008). In selective autophagy, cargo is targeted through receptor-mediated interactions and physical proximity to the elongating phagophore (Sawa-Makarska et al., 2014). Examples of selective autophagy include but are not limited to: the Cvt pathway, which has only been identified in fungi (Farre, Vidal, & Subramani, 2007; Harding, Morano, Scott, & Klionsky, 1995; Scott, Guan, Hutchins, Kim, & Klionsky, 2001; Yorimitsu & Klionsky, 2005), mitophagy (Kanki, Wang, Cao, Baba, & Klionsky, 2009; Okamoto, Kondo-Okamoto, & Ohsumi, 2009), pexophagy (Hutchins, Veenhuis, & Klionsky, 1999), reticulophagy (Bernales, McDonald, & Walter, 2006; Mochida et al., 2015), ribophagy (Kraft, Deplazes, Sohrmann, & Peter, 2008) and granulophagy (Buchan, Kolaitis, Taylor, & Parker, 2013).

Recently there has been a call to classify autophagy based on its participation in metabolism versus quality control, rather than as selective versus nonselective. Metabolic autophagy is coupled with induction by nutrient deprivation and with nonselective autophagy. Both quality control and metabolic autophagy function in a complementary capacity, such as during mitophagy. Prolonged growth in media containing a nonfermentable carbon source increases mitochondrial proliferation in yeast. When these cells are shifted to nitrogen-free (starvation) medium containing glucose, cells undergo mitophagy to eliminate excess mitochondria. Alternatively, culturing yeast cells to post-log phase for several days in media containing a nonfermentable carbon source can also induce mitophagy; this is known as “stationary phase mitophagy” (Delorme-Axford, Guimaraes, Reggiori, & Klionsky, 2015; Guimaraes, Delorme-Axford, Klionsky, & Reggiori, 2015; for additional review on the mechanisms of selective autophagy see Anding & Baehrecke, 2017; Gatica, Lahiri, & Klionsky, 2018).

Given the significant role that autophagy plays in cell health and the need for precise mechanisms to strictly moderate its activity in the cell, abnormal autophagy activity can be highly detrimental and is associated with human diseases such as cancer, neurodegeneration and lysosomal storage disorders. Pharmaceutical targeting of autophagy is currently being pursued in the clinic, particularly in the fields of cancer therapeutics and neurodegenerative diseases (reviewed in Thorburn, 2018). Stimulation of autophagy has also been attributed to the metabolic and physiological benefits associated with exercise (He et al., 2012). Despite decades of research, the vast complex regulatory network modulating autophagy within the cell is still under active investigation. Thus, it is of critical importance to more fully understand the dynamic network controlling the autophagy response, not only in yeast, but also across eukaryotic cells. Cells regulate the magnitude of the autophagy response by controlling the size (Cawthon, Chakraborty, Roberts, & Backues, 2018; Xie, Nair, & Klionsky, 2008) and/or number (Cawthon et al., 2018; Jin et al., 2014) of autophagosomes formed. The cell has also evolved mechanisms to control autophagy at various stages of Atg protein synthesis and function, including at the transcriptional, post-transcriptional, translational and post-translational levels. Below we will concisely describe the components and processes involved in each stage of the autophagy pathway before reviewing relevant work on its post-transcriptional regulation by cellular mRNA decay, with an emphasis on yeast as a model system for this work.

1.2 | Components and main stages of autophagy in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*

Autophagy is commonly activated through nutrient starvation or by treatment with rapamycin in yeast (Delorme-Axford et al., 2015). In mammalian cells, amino acid starvation, glucose starvation or pharmacological agents are routinely used to induce autophagy. Rapamycin inhibits TOR (target of rapamycin) in yeast (or MTOR [mechanistic target of rapamycin kinase] in mammalian cells), a serine/threonine kinase that is a critical negative regulator of autophagy (Noda & Ohsumi, 1998); although MTOR-independent mechanisms have also been described (Mordier, Deval, Bechet, Tassa, & Ferrara, 2000; Sarkar, Davies, Huang, Tunnacliffe, & Rubinsztein, 2007). In yeast, the perivacuolar phagophore assembly site (PAS) is a single punctum that will eventually form the complete autophagosome (Kirisako et al., 1999). In mammalian cells, it is generally accepted that the phagophore (or the nascent forming autophagosome membrane) is generated at sites termed the omegasome derived from the endoplasmic reticulum; however, input of membrane from other organelles and structures appear to be involved as well (Axe et al., 2008; Yu, Chen, & Tooze, 2018).

Beginning with the Atg1 complex (which includes Atg1, Atg13 and the Atg17-Atg31-Atg29 ternary subcomplex), the “core” Atg components assemble at the PAS in a hierarchical order (Table 1 and Parzych & Klionsky, 2014; Mao et al., 2013). Subsequent to recruitment and assembly of the Atg1 complex, Atg9 (along with Atg2 and Atg18) localize to the PAS (Feng, He, Yao, & Klionsky, 2014). Atg9 is an integral membrane protein involved in supplying membrane for the expanding

TABLE 1 Core Atg/ATG proteins involved in autophagosome biogenesis

	Yeast	Mammals	
Atg1 complex	Atg1	ULK1	Induction
	Atg11		
	Atg13	ATG13	
	Atg17	RB1CC1/FIP200	
	Atg20		
	Snx4/Atg24		
	Atg29		
PtdIns3K complex		ATG101	Nucleation
	Vps34	PIK3C3/VPS34	
	Vps15	PIK3R4/p150/VPS15	
	Vps30/Atg6	BECN1	
	Atg14	ATG14/Barkor	
	Atg38	NRBF2	
Atg9 and cycling system	Atg9	ATG9A/B	Membrane donation
	Atg2	ATG2	
	Alg18	WIPI1/2	
	Atg41		
Atg8 Ubl conjugation system	Atg8	LC3A/B/B2/C GABARAP/L1/L2	Expansion
	Atg3	ATG3	
	Atg4	ATG4A/B/C/D	
	Atg7	ATG7	
Atg12 Ubl conjugation system	Atg12	ATG12	Expansion
	Atg5	ATG5	
	Atg7	ATG7	
	Atg10	ATG10	
	Atg16	ATG16L1	

phagophore, and cycles between the PAS and peripheral sites (Feng et al., 2016; Gomez-Sanchez et al., 2018; Reggiori, Shintani, Nair, & Klionsky, 2005). At these peripheral sites, Atg23 and Atg27 function in the formation of Atg9-containing vesicles (Backues et al., 2015). Levels of Atg9 directly control the frequency of autophagosome formation (Jin et al., 2014). Expansion of the phagophore to form the mature double-membrane autophagosome requires 2 conserved ubiquitin-like (Ubl) conjugation systems, involving Atg12 and Atg8. The Atg12 Ubl system (consisting of Atg5, Atg7, Atg10, Atg12 and Atg16) supports the formation of the Atg12–Atg5–Atg16 heterotrimeric complex, which functions as an E3-like enzyme for the Atg8 conjugation system (Hanada et al., 2007; Kuma, Mizushima, Ishihara, & Ohsumi, 2002; Mizushima, Noda, & Ohsumi, 1999; Sakoh-Nakatogawa et al., 2013). At the phagophore, the covalent conjugation of Atg12 to Atg5 requires Atg7, an E1-like enzyme that activates Atg12, and the E2-like enzyme Atg10 (Mizushima, Sugita, Yoshimori, & Ohsumi, 1998; Shintani et al., 1999; Tanida et al., 1999). The Atg8 Ubl system is required for the expansion and closure of the phagophore to form the complete autophagosome, and requires Atg3, Atg4, Atg7 and Atg8 (Feng et al., 2014). Nascent Atg8 undergoes proteolytic processing at its C-terminus by Atg4 (Kirisako et al., 2000). The processed Atg8 is subsequently activated by Atg7, and conjugated to phosphatidylethanolamine (PE) by Atg3, a second E2-like enzyme, to generate Atg8–PE (Ichimura et al., 2000; Kim, Huang, & Klionsky, 2001; Kirisako et al., 2000). Levels of Atg8 and Atg7 directly correlate with the size of autophagosomes formed (Cawthon et al., 2018; Xie et al., 2008). MAP1LC3/LC3 (microtubule associated protein 1 light chain 3) and GABARAP (GABA type A receptor-associated protein) isoforms form 2 subfamilies of mammalian homologs of yeast Atg8 (Kabeya et al., 2000); however, no studies have examined the role of these proteins in controlling the size of the autophagosome. Similarly, there is no information available on the role of mammalian ATG9 homologs in regulating the frequency of autophagosome formation.

Next, the autophagosome undergoes docking and fusion with the vacuole or lysosome; as with other fusion events, Rab and SNARE (soluble N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor attachment protein receptor) proteins are involved. In yeast, Atg17 (as part of the ternary Atg17–Atg31–Atg29 subcomplex) interacts with Atg11 to recruit the SNARE protein Vam7 to facilitate fusion of the autophagosome with the vacuole (Liu et al., 2016). Following fusion, the autophagosome inner vesicle is released as an autophagic body in the vacuole lumen; these structures are not observed in mammalian cells due to the

relatively small size of the mammalian lysosome. Finally, the remaining cargos undergo degradation by vacuolar or lysosomal hydrolases. At least for yeast, the lipase Atg15 is required for the degradation of Cvt vesicle and autophagic body membranes within the vacuole (Epple, Suriapranata, Eskelinen, & Thumm, 2001; Teter et al., 2001), and a mechanism for the efflux of amino acids from the vacuole (involving the vacuolar integral membrane protein Atg22 among other transporters) has been identified (Yang et al., 2006). Nonselective degradation and efflux of RNA in yeast seems to be inefficient, and nucleobases appear to be excreted by the cell (Huang et al., 2015). Currently the mechanism(s) by which other nucleic acids, lipid, and/or carbohydrates are recycled have yet to be identified (for a more comprehensive review on induction and flux through the autophagy pathway see Mercer, Gubas, & Tooze, 2018; Reggiori & Ungermann, 2017; Wen & Klionsky, 2016).

2 | THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN AUTOPHAGY AND RNA REGULATORY MECHANISMS

2.1 | Clearance of RNA by autophagy

Proper maintenance of RNA metabolism is critical to maintain cellular health. The cell must strike a critical balance between the synthesis, localization, folding, modification and decay of RNA. Emerging evidence for the interplay between autophagy and RNA degradation pathways has become increasingly clear in recent years, with work demonstrating roles for autophagy in RNA degradation and the reciprocal control of autophagy by the cellular RNA decay machinery (described further in Section 3 and reviewed in Frankel, Lubas, & Lund, 2017). Below, we highlight current findings supporting this mutual regulation.

2.2 | RNA degradation by nonselective starvation-induced autophagy

Recently, a role for autophagy has been described in the degradation of bulk RNA through starvation-dependent nonselective autophagy in yeast (Huang et al., 2015). The T2 family ribonuclease Rny1 is involved in the initial RNA cleavage events (in the vacuole), producing 3' nucleotides (Huang et al., 2015). These RNA degradation products are further processed by the phosphatase Pho8, resulting in the accumulation of nucleosides in the vacuole (Huang et al., 2015). These RNA metabolites are transported out of the vacuole and further catabolized by the nucleosidases Pnp1 and Urh1 in the cytosol (Huang et al., 2015); although the mechanism and the transporter(s) involved have yet to be identified. The resulting majority of nucleobases are then inefficiently secreted from the cell (Huang et al., 2015). The mechanism for bulk RNA degradation is also conserved in the fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* (Huang et al., 2015). This autophagy-dependent pathway for RNA degradation is a bit of a paradox—the cell apparently goes to great lengths to ensure that these macromolecules are available, and yet instead of reusing the nucleobases, the cell excretes them. Future studies will determine the specific details of RNA processing in the yeast vacuole, and the homeostatic and metabolic benefits to the cell for this seemingly costly form of RNA catabolism.

2.3 | Ribophagy: a selective autophagy mechanism targeting ribosomes

Ribophagy, a selective starvation-dependent mechanism for the removal of ribosomes, has been described in yeast (Kraft et al., 2008). The selective degradation of ribosomes requires the ubiquitin protease Ubp3 and its cofactor Bre5, and cells deficient in Ubp3-Bre5 demonstrate increased substrate ubiquitination, supporting a role for Ubp3-Bre5 deubiquitination during ribophagy (Kraft et al., 2008). Genetic deletion of *UBP3* or *BRE5* does not affect nonselective autophagy, but does indicate a role for both nonselective autophagy in the uptake of mature ribosomes and selective uptake of 40S and 60S ribosomal subunits (Kraft et al., 2008). Another report indicates that the chaperone-like protein Cdc48 and Doa1/Ufd3 (a ubiquitin binding cofactor of Cdc48) are also required for ribophagy in yeast (Ossareh-Nazari et al., 2010). A later study identified the E3 ubiquitin ligase Rkr1/Ltn1 as a negative regulator of starvation-dependent Ubp3-mediated ribophagy through ubiquitination of the 60S ribosomal protein Rpl25 (Ossareh-Nazari et al., 2014). However, a comparable mechanism involving metazoan homologs has yet to be identified.

A recent study from the Sabatini lab identified NUFIP1 (NUFIP1, FMR1 interacting protein 1) in mammalian cells as the selective ribosome receptor during starvation-induced ribophagy through quantitative proteomic analysis of purified lysosomes (Wyant et al., 2018); NUFIP1 is also required for efficient starvation-induced ribophagy (Wyant et al., 2018). NUFIP1 forms a heterodimer with ZNHIT3 (zinc finger HIT-type containing 3) (Quintern et al., 2016; Rothe et al., 2014). NUFIP1-ZNHIT3 accumulate on autophagosomes when MTOR complex 1 is inhibited and bind LC3B through a confirmed LC3-interacting region (Wyant et al., 2018). It is estimated that ~50% and ~80% of total cellular protein and RNA, respectively, are associated with ribosomes (Wyant et al., 2018). Selectively targeting ribosomes during conditions in which nutrients are scarce would thus provide a rich pool of potential macromolecular building blocks during prolonged starvation periods.

2.4 | Granulophagy: stress granule clearance through autophagy

Stress granules (SGs) form during times of stress when translation initiation is restricted, and are transient hubs of mRNA, messenger RNA ribonuclear complex and RNA-binding protein storage (see Sheinberger & Shav-Tal, 2017; Protter & Parker, 2016 for additional reviews). Stress granules (SGs) can be targeted to the vacuole through autophagy in a selective process termed “granulophagy” in both yeast and mammalian cells (Buchan et al., 2013). In a study by Buchan et al., stress granules are targeted for autophagy degradation in a process that requires Cdc48 in yeast and its ortholog VCP (valosin containing protein) in mammalian cells (Buchan et al., 2013). In yeast, these SGs are degraded within the vacuole in a process that is dependent on the vacuolar lipase Atg15 (Buchan et al., 2013). Simultaneous deletion of *ATG15* and genes encoding canonical 5' to 3' mRNA decay components—the decapping factor Dcp2 and the exonuclease Xrn1—increase the frequency of SG formation in yeast, also resulting in greater accumulation of the SG marker Pab1 in the vacuole (Buchan et al., 2013). Granulophagy occurs in the absence of autophagy induction (i.e., under nutrient-replete conditions following stress induction and SG formation) (Buchan et al., 2013), indicating a role for a quality control autophagy mechanism. In mammalian cells, the clearance of SGs is diminished when autophagy is inhibited or when VCP is depleted (Buchan et al., 2013). It is intriguing that both stress-induced granulophagy (Buchan et al., 2013) and starvation-induced ribophagy require Cdc48 (Ossareh-Nazari et al., 2010) for autophagy-mediated degradation. Thus far, no selective autophagy receptor has been identified for granulophagy in either yeast or mammalian cells.

2.5 | Retrotransposons are degraded by autophagy

Retrotransposons are transposable elements that integrate into the genome through a cytoplasmic RNA intermediate (reviewed in Elbarbary, Lucas, & Maquat, 2016). In *S. cerevisiae*, the Ty1 retrotransposon is the most abundant retrotransposon in yeast and inserts its cDNA into the genome upon entering the nucleus (Rowley, 2017; Suzuki, Morimoto, Kondo, & Ohsumi, 2011). During nutrient-rich conditions, Ty1 virus-like particles are transported from the cytoplasm to the vacuole through the biosynthetic Cvt pathway (Suzuki et al., 2011). During starvation conditions, Ty1 virus-like particles are trafficked to the vacuole through a selective autophagy mechanism involving the Cvt pathway cargo receptor Atg19 (Scott et al., 2001; Suzuki et al., 2011). Suzuki and colleagues propose that this mechanism may function to moderate cellular genomic change during nutrient-limiting conditions (Suzuki et al., 2011).

Similarly, autophagy is implicated in the clearance of retrotransposon RNA from both long and short interspersed elements in mammalian cells (Guo et al., 2014). Guo et al. reported that retrotransposon RNA colocalizes and copurifies with autophagosomes (delineated with the autophagosome marker LC3) (Guo et al., 2014). Autophagy-dependent targeting of long-interspersed nuclear elements RNA is dependent on the autophagy receptors CALCOCO2/NDP52 (calcium binding and coiled-coil domain 2) and SQSTM1/p62 (Guo et al., 2014). Autophagy inhibition occurs in mice that are partially deficient in BECN1/Vps30 (Guo et al., 2014). These *Becn1*^{+/-} mice show increased accumulation of cytoplasmic retrotransposon RNA and genomic insertions, further supporting the idea that autophagy may function in this mechanism to promote genome stability and mitigate evolutionary change (Guo et al., 2014). Although various RNA species have been identified as cargo for degradation through either nonselective or selective autophagy pathways, future studies will determine if additional RNA elements that have yet to be identified may be targeted through these pathways.

2.6 | Mammalian microRNA processing components are targeted by autophagy

In mammals, noncoding RNAs including microRNAs (miRNAs) are key post-transcriptional regulators of gene expression (see Bartel, 2018). Recent work has identified a selective autophagy mechanism to regulate miRNA activity (Gibbings et al., 2012). The RNA interference pathway components DICER1 (an miRNA-processing enzyme) and AGO2 (the main miRNA effector) are cleared by selective autophagy, thereby modulating miRNA activity (Gibbings et al., 2012). Ubiquitin-dependent CALCOCO2 was identified as the selective autophagy receptor for DICER1 (Gibbings et al., 2012).

3 | AUTOPHAGY REGULATION BY RNA DECAY

RNA decay mechanisms function to ensure that gene expression is maintained at appropriate levels to meet cellular needs while at the same time maintain quality control to regulate and remove aberrant transcripts from the cell. The two major pathways of RNA decay include degradation in either the 5' to 3' or the 3' to 5' direction (Figure 2). In yeast, canonical mRNA degradation typically occurs following shortening of the poly[A] tail (also referred to as deadenylation) by the Pan2-Pan3 and Pop2-Ccr4-Not complexes, followed by decay in either the 5' to 3' or the 3' to 5' direction (Labno, Tomecki, & Dziembowski, 2016; Parker, 2012). In 5' to 3' RNA decay, the Dcp2 decapping complex removes the 5' methylguanosine cap,




FIGURE 2 Canonical mRNA decay pathways. RNA decay pathways are essential for regulating gene expression post-transcriptionally. The 2 major pathways controlling mRNA degradation occur in either the 5' to 3' (left) or the 3' to 5' (right) direction by the multisubunit exosome. During canonical 5' to 3' RNA decay, transcripts undergo deadenylation and decapping by the Dcp2 catalytic complex followed by Xrn1-mediated hydrolysis. After 3' deadenylation, the multisubunit exosome complex degrades transcripts in the 3' to 5' direction

exposing 5'-monophosphate; the cytoplasmic exonuclease Xrn1 hydrolyzes the transcript. The multisubunit RNA exosome complex mediates degradation in the 3' to 5' direction. Other cytoplasmic RNA decay mechanisms also exist, including nonsense-mediated decay (NMD; further described below in Section 3.2), no-go decay (Doma & Parker, 2006) and nonstop decay (Frischmeyer et al., 2002; van Hoof, Frischmeyer, Dietz, & Parker, 2002). To note, much of the work that has been done to elucidate these pathways has come primarily from *S. cerevisiae* (Huch & Nissan, 2014; for a more comprehensive review on the detailed mechanisms governing RNA decay pathways, see Heck & Wilusz, 2018; Labno et al., 2016; Parker, 2012).

3.1 | 5' to 3' mRNA decay factors that regulate autophagy

3.1.1 | The decapping factor Dcp2 and RCK family RNA helicases Dhh1/Vad1/DDX6

The yeast kinase Rim15 positively regulates autophagy through the integration of signals from two major nutrient sensing pathways—TOR and protein kinase A (Delorme-Axford & Klionsky, 2018; Reggiori & Klionsky, 2013; Yorimitsu, Zaman, Broach, & Klionsky, 2007). Rim15 phosphorylates at least two mediators of *ATG* gene repression in yeast—Ume6 (Bartholomew et al., 2012) and Rph1 (Bernard et al., 2015). Additionally, Rim15 phosphorylates the paralogous proteins Igo1 and Igo2 when TOR complex 1 (TORC1) is inhibited by the pharmacological agent rapamycin (Talarek et al., 2010). Igo1/2 are required for cells to enter the reversible quiescent (G_0) program of the cell cycle characterized by a state of low metabolic activity (Talarek et al., 2010). In this mechanism, the phosphorylation of Igo1/2 by Rim15 leads to their association with the mRNA decapping activator Dhh1 (Talarek et al., 2010). The association of Igo1/2 with Dhh1 protects transcripts from RNA decay, thereby promoting their translation when TORC1 is inhibited (Talarek et al., 2010). Through this mechanism, the cell protects mRNAs from the RNA decay pathway during nutrient-limiting conditions that promote autophagy (Talarek et al., 2010). Thus, Igo1/2 phosphorylation promotes interaction with the decapping coactivator Dhh1 to facilitate transcript protection from the 5' to 3' degradation in a TORC1-dependent manner (Talarek et al., 2010); however, whether Igo1/2-Dhh1 are involved in the protection of *ATG* transcripts during starvation-induced autophagy remains to be investigated.

Further linking the complex relationship between autophagy regulation and the RNA decay machinery, nitrogen starvation in yeast induces the rapid depletion in a Ubp3-dependent manner of several factors important for RNA decay and translation, including: Dcp2 (a decapping factor), Pop2 (a deadenylation factor), Tif4631/eIF4G1 (a translation initiation factor) and Sup35/eRF3 (a translation termination factor) (Kelly & Bedwell, 2015). The depletion of the decapping enhancer Pat1 and Pab1 (a poly[A] binding protein that stimulates deadenylation) occur in an autophagy-dependent manner (Kelly & Bedwell, 2015). Dcp2 and Pop2 are degraded in a manner that is dependent on the proteasome and in response to rapamycin treatment, further supporting the involvement of TORC1 (Kelly & Bedwell, 2015).

More recently, Hu et al. identified Dcp2—the catalytic subunit of the 5' to 3' mRNA decay decapping complex—and RCK family RNA-binding proteins as post-transcriptional regulators of autophagy, *ATG* mRNAs and autophagy-dependent innate immunity in yeast and mammalian cells (Hu et al., 2015). RCK family members are RNA helicases (lacking classical helicase activity (Presnyak & Collier, 2013)) that include conserved homologs—Dhh1 in *S. cerevisiae*, Vad1 in *Cryptococcus*

neoformans and DDX6/p54 in mammals. Dhh1 and other RCK proteins have been implicated as translational mediators (Presnyak & Collier, 2013), although the precise mechanisms by which this occurs remain to be defined. However, it is thought that RCK family members interact with mRNAs through recruiting and binding the 5' untranslated region (UTR) of target transcripts (reviewed in Presnyak & Collier, 2013; Wen & Klionsky, 2016). Additionally, Dhh1 physically interacts with the decapping complex catalytic factor Dcp2 (Decker, Teixeira, & Parker, 2007).

Strains lacking *DHH1* or harboring a temperature-sensitive (*ts*) mutation of *DCP2* demonstrate significant upregulation of *ATG* transcripts (Hu et al., 2015). In *dhh1Δ* cells, increased levels of *ATG3*, 7, 8, 19, 20, 22 and *SNX4/ATG24* mRNA are observed under nutrient-replete conditions (Hu et al., 2015). In rich conditions, transcript levels for *ATG1* through *ATG9*, *ATG11*, *ATG13* through *ATG23*, *SNX4/ATG24*, *ATG29*, 31, 32 and 34 are greatly enhanced in the *dcp2-7Δ ts* strain (Hu et al., 2015). When starved for nitrogen, the *dhh1Δ* and *dcp2-7Δ ts* strains exhibit higher levels of autophagy activity when assessed by multiple assays (Hu et al., 2015). The regulation of *ATG* genes through RCK family members is highly conserved from yeast (*S. cerevisiae* and pathogenic *C. neoformans*) to mammalian cells (Hu et al., 2015). Decapping of *ATG* mRNAs, especially *ATG8*, is mediated by the Dhh1 homolog Vad1 in *C. neoformans* (Hu et al., 2015). Under nutrient-replete conditions, Dcp2 is phosphorylated by TORC1 in *C. neoformans*, driving its regulation of (at least) *ATG8* mRNA by recruitment to the decapping machinery (Hu et al., 2015). In this mechanism, TORC1 negatively regulates the translation of *ATG* transcripts under nutrient-replete conditions, but promotes the translation of the bulk of cellular transcripts (Delorme-Axford & Klionsky, 2018). A homologous mechanism was identified in mammalian cells wherein MTOR phosphorylates DDX6 (Hu et al., 2015). Subsequently, the *MAP1LC3* transcript undergoes decapping (and is presumably targeted for RNA degradation) under fed conditions, thereby inhibiting autophagy (Hu et al., 2015). The downregulation of *ATG* transcripts under nutrient-rich conditions maintains autophagy at a basal level. During starvation, TORC1 is inactivated, facilitating translation (rather than degradation) of these transcripts, further supporting autophagy progression.

3.1.2 | The exonuclease Xrn1

Downstream of Dhh1 and Dcp2 in the canonical 5' to 3' mRNA decay pathway, the exonuclease Xrn1 is highly conserved and hydrolyzes decapped transcripts. Xrn1/XRN1 is a post-transcriptional negative regulator of autophagy in yeast and mammalian cells (Delorme-Axford et al., 2018). In the absence of *XRN1*, cells induce a more rapid and robust autophagy response when starved for nitrogen. Cells lacking *XRN1* display an increased frequency (but not size) of autophagosome formation when analyzed by transmission electron microscopy (Delorme-Axford et al., 2018). Under nutrient-replete conditions, select *ATG* transcripts are upregulated including *ATG1*, 4, 5, 7, 8, 12, 14, 16, 29 and 31 in *xrn1Δ* cells when assessed by quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR). The ribonuclease activity of Xrn1 is required for the regulation of (at least) *ATG8*, 12 and 29 during nutrient-rich conditions. Xrn1 mRNA and protein levels are markedly diminished when wild-type cells are starved for nitrogen, further supporting its role as a negative autophagy regulator (Delorme-Axford et al., 2018).

Due to the high conservation of Xrn1/XRN1 in mammalian cells, the impact on autophagy was examined in mammalian cells by reducing XRN1 expression with small interfering RNA (siRNA) (Delorme-Axford et al., 2018). Autophagy flux was upregulated in a starvation-independent manner when examined by multiple assays in mammalian cells. In *BECN1* CRISPR knockout (KO) cells, the enhanced autophagy phenotype was lost, supporting the involvement of the canonical autophagy pathway (Delorme-Axford et al., 2018). BECN1 is a subunit of the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase complex I required for autophagy induction, and is the mammalian ortholog of yeast Vps30/Atg6 (Liang et al., 1999). Depletion of XRN1 in mammalian cells is associated with increased poliovirus replication through an autophagy-dependent mechanism (Delorme-Axford et al., 2018), supporting the conservation of Xrn1/XRN1 as a negative autophagy regulator. Poliovirus (and other picornaviruses) require host membranes for viral genome replication; these membranes are thought to be derived from autophagosomes (Jackson et al., 2005; Wong et al., 2008).

Tang et al. identified TORC1 regulation in RNA processing and modulation of *Atg* transcripts through the cleavage and polyadenylation (CPA) complex in *Drosophila* (Tang et al., 2018). Nutrient status (fed versus starved) and the CPA complex regulate 3' UTR length and alternative splicing of *Atg1* and *Atg8a* transcripts (Tang et al., 2018). CPA activity during starvation results in longer 3' UTR lengths, which are associated with improved transcript stability and enhanced protein expression (Tang et al., 2018). The data provided by Tang et al. (2018) further support the role of additional layers of gene regulation involved in controlling the magnitude of the autophagy response and provide a paradigm for further investigation into the role of UTRs and alternative splicing of *ATG* transcripts in autophagy modulation.

Although recent work has shed light on the regulation of autophagy through RNA decay mechanisms, intriguing questions remain, especially regarding the differential targeting of transcripts by Dhh1, Dcp2 and Xrn1. Are there other regulatory factors (in addition to Dhh1) involved in activating Dcp2 that may mediate selective transcript targeting (either stimulatory or inhibitory) to differentially regulate autophagy post-transcriptionally? What are the sequence-specific elements mediating the

regulation of these *ATG* transcripts? Components of the 5' to 3' decay pathway (Dcp2, Dhh1 and Xrn1) have been implicated as post-transcriptional regulators of autophagy under nutrient-rich conditions. However, whether there are additional RNA decay mechanisms controlling autophagy such as the 3' to 5' exosome-mediated pathway or other minor degradation mechanisms (either during nutrient-rich, starvation or selective conditions) has yet to be fully explored.

3.2 | Nonsense-mediated decay pathway

Nonsense-mediated decay (NMD) was first described as a surveillance mechanism for targeting and degrading mRNA transcripts with premature translation termination codons (Losson & Lacroute, 1979). More recently, it has become generally accepted that NMD also regulates normal transcripts; although the mechanisms by which this selection occurs are still under investigation (He & Jacobson, 2015; Lykke-Andersen & Jensen, 2015; Parker, 2012). Targeting by NMD requires 3 conserved factors, Nam7/Upf1/UPF1, Nmd2/Upf2/UPF2 and Upf3/UPF3 (reviewed in He & Jacobson, 2015). In a mammalian cell line, knockdown of UPF1 and UPF2 by short hairpin RNAs (shRNAs) increases autophagy during basal, amino acid starvation and rapamycin-treated conditions as measured by multiple assays (Wengrod et al., 2013). In contrast, autophagy is inhibited when NMD is hyperactivated (Wengrod et al., 2013). Wengrod et al. identified the NMD target ATF4 (a mammalian homolog of *S. cerevisiae* Gcn4, a major transcriptional regulator) as the mediator of autophagy induction during NMD inhibition (Wengrod et al., 2013). Cell viability is diminished when both the autophagy and NMD pathways are suppressed (Wengrod et al., 2013), supporting the importance of cellular programs for maintaining RNA quality control. To date, no such homologous mechanism(s) involving NMD factors has been identified as having an impact on autophagy in yeast.

4 | CONCLUSIONS

Here we present an overview of what is currently known regarding autophagy regulation by cellular mRNA decay pathways (see Figure 3 for a summary). The eukaryotic cell has evolved multiple layers of regulation to maintain autophagy at a level that is appropriate to accommodate cellular needs. The myriad of mechanisms that are exerted by the cell to control the




FIGURE 3 *ATG* regulation by 5' to 3' mRNA decay. (a) During nutrient-rich conditions in yeast, TORC1 performs multiple roles in autophagy inhibition. Rim15 (a positive regulator of autophagy) is inhibited by major cellular nutrient sensors TORC1 and protein kinase A (PKA). TORC1 phosphorylates the catalytic decapping factor Dcp2. Dcp2 (in conjunction with Dcp1) negatively regulates autophagy by targeting *ATG* transcripts for decapping. At the same time, the decapping stimulatory factor Dhh1 and the exonuclease Xrn1 negatively regulate select autophagy transcripts. (b) Autophagy induction by nutrient-starvation (or rapamycin treatment for Igo1/2) inactivates TORC1, enabling Rim15 to phosphorylate Igo1/2. Igo1/2 phosphorylation promotes its association with Dhh1, thereby protecting transcripts from RNA decay. Whether Igo1/2 associates with Dhh1 to influence *ATG* transcripts during nitrogen starvation remains to be investigated. Canonical 5' to 3' RNA decay components Dcp2 and Xrn1 are downregulated during nitrogen starvation. During starvation, select *ATG* mRNAs (which are targeted for decay by Dhh1, Dcp2 and Xrn1 under nutrient-replete conditions) are no longer marked for degradation and are instead likely translated to promote autophagy. For clarity, the physical interaction between Dcp2 and the C-terminal region of Dhh1 (Decker et al., 2007) is not depicted here

induction and magnitude of the autophagy response, along with the high level of pathway conservation throughout eukaryotes, highlight how important this process is for maintaining cellular integrity and survival during stress. Although here we focus mainly on post-transcriptional regulation by RNA decay, cells also utilize multiple layers of regulation through transcriptional (Bartholomew et al., 2012; Bernard, Jin, Gonzalez-Rodriguez, et al., 2015; Bernard, Jin, Xu, & Klionsky, 2015; Jin et al., 2014), translational (Lubas et al., 2018; Rojas-Rios et al., 2015; Wek, Zhu, & Wek, 1995) and post-translational (Feng et al., 2016; Mao et al., 2013; Russell et al., 2013) mechanisms (reviewed in Delorme-Axford & Klionsky, 2018; Feng, Yao, & Klionsky, 2015; Popelka & Klionsky, 2015). Despite the immense progress that has been achieved towards understanding how cells control autophagy, many questions remain. The identification and characterization of novel factors involved in the selective degradation of distinct *ATG* transcripts by RNA decay, and investigation into the post-transcriptional regulation of autophagy by noncanonical RNA decay pathways are areas for future inquiry.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors apologize to those whose work was not included here due to space limitations. D.J.K. is supported by funding from the National Institutes of Health (GM053396).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

RELATED WIREs ARTICLE

[Neurodegeneration and RNA-binding proteins](#)

REFERENCES

- Anding, A. L., & Baehrecke, E. H. (2017). Cleaning house: Selective autophagy of organelles. *Developmental Cell*, *41*, 10–22.
- Axe, E. L., Walker, S. A., Manifava, M., Chandra, P., Roderick, H. L., Habermann, A., ... Ktistakis, N. T. (2008). Autophagosome formation from membrane compartments enriched in phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate and dynamically connected to the endoplasmic reticulum. *The Journal of Cell Biology*, *182*, 685–701.
- Backues, S. K., Orban, D. P., Bernard, A., Singh, K., Cao, Y., & Klionsky, D. J. (2015). Atg23 and Atg27 act at the early stages of Atg9 trafficking in *S. cerevisiae*. *Traffic*, *16*, 172–190.
- Bartel, D. P. (2018). Metazoan MicroRNAs. *Cell*, *173*, 20–51.
- Bartholomew, C. R., Suzuki, T., Du, Z., Backues, S. K., Jin, M., Lynch-Day, M. A., ... Klionsky, D. J. (2012). Ume6 transcription factor is part of a signaling cascade that regulates autophagy. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA*, *109*, 11206–11210.
- Bernales, S., McDonald, K. L., & Walter, P. (2006). Autophagy counterbalances endoplasmic reticulum expansion during the unfolded protein response. *PLoS Biology*, *4*, e423.
- Bernard, A., Jin, M., Gonzalez-Rodriguez, P., Fullgrabe, J., Delorme-Axford, E., Backues, S. K., ... Klionsky, D. J. (2015). Rph1/KDM4 mediates nutrient-limitation signaling that leads to the transcriptional induction of autophagy. *Current Biology*, *25*, 546–555.
- Bernard, A., Jin, M., Xu, Z., & Klionsky, D. J. (2015). A large-scale analysis of autophagy-related gene expression identifies new regulators of autophagy. *Autophagy*, *11*, 2114–2122.
- Buchan, J. R., Kolaitis, R. M., Taylor, J. P., & Parker, R. (2013). Eukaryotic stress granules are cleared by autophagy and Cdc48/VCP function. *Cell*, *153*, 1461–1474.
- Cawthon, H., Chakraborty, R., Roberts, J. R., & Backues, S. K. (2018). Control of autophagosome size and number by Atg7. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, *503*, 651–656.
- Decker, C. J., Teixeira, D., & Parker, R. (2007). Edc3p and a glutamine/asparagine-rich domain of Lsm4p function in processing body assembly in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *The Journal of Cell Biology*, *179*, 437–449.
- Delorme-Axford, E., Abernathy, E., Lennemann, N. J., Bernard, A., Ariosa, A., Coyne, C. B., ... Klionsky, D. J. (2018). The exoribonuclease Xrn1 is a post-transcriptional negative regulator of autophagy. *Autophagy*, *14*, 898–912.
- Delorme-Axford, E., Guimaraes, R. S., Reggiori, F., & Klionsky, D. J. (2015). The yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: An overview of methods to study autophagy progression. *Methods*, *75*, 3–12.
- Delorme-Axford, E., & Klionsky, D. J. (2018). Transcriptional and post-transcriptional regulation of autophagy in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, *293*, 5396–5403.
- Dengjel, J., Kristensen, A. R., & Andersen, J. S. (2008). Ordered bulk degradation via autophagy. *Autophagy*, *4*, 1057–1059.
- Dikic, I. (2017). Proteasomal and autophagic degradation systems. *Annual Review of Biochemistry*, *86*, 193–224.
- Doma, M. K., & Parker, R. (2006). Endonucleolytic cleavage of eukaryotic mRNAs with stalls in translation elongation. *Nature*, *440*, 561–564.
- Elbarbary, R. A., Lucas, B. A., & Maquat, L. E. (2016). Retrotransposons as regulators of gene expression. *Science*, *351*, aac7247.
- Epple, U. D., Suriapranata, I., Eskelinen, E. L., & Thumm, M. (2001). Aut5/Cvt17p, a putative lipase essential for disintegration of autophagic bodies inside the vacuole. *Journal of Bacteriology*, *183*, 5942–5955.
- Farre, J. C., Vidal, J., & Subramani, S. (2007). A cytoplasm to vacuole targeting pathway in *P. pastoris*. *Autophagy*, *3*, 230–234.
- Feng, Y., Backues, S. K., Baba, M., Heo, J. M., Harper, J. W., & Klionsky, D. J. (2016). Phosphorylation of Atg9 regulates movement to the phagophore assembly site and the rate of autophagosome formation. *Autophagy*, *12*, 648–658.
- Feng, Y., He, D., Yao, Z., & Klionsky, D. J. (2014). The machinery of macroautophagy. *Cell Research*, *24*, 24–41.
- Feng, Y., Yao, Z., & Klionsky, D. J. (2015). How to control self-digestion: Transcriptional, post-transcriptional, and post-translational regulation of autophagy. *Trends in Cell Biology*, *25*, 354–363.

- Frankel, L. B., Lubas, M., & Lund, A. H. (2017). Emerging connections between RNA and autophagy. *Autophagy*, *13*, 3–23.
- Frischmeyer, P. A., van Hoof, A., O'Donnell, K., Guerrero, A. L., Parker, R., & Dietz, H. C. (2002). An mRNA surveillance mechanism that eliminates transcripts lacking termination codons. *Science*, *295*, 2258–2261.
- Gatica, D., Lahiri, V., & Klionsky, D. J. (2018). Cargo recognition and degradation by selective autophagy. *Nature Cell Biology*, *20*, 233–242.
- Gibbins, D., Mostowy, S., Jay, F., Schwab, Y., Cossart, P., & Voinnet, O. (2012). Selective autophagy degrades DICER and AGO2 and regulates miRNA activity. *Nature Cell Biology*, *14*, 1314–1321.
- Gomez-Sanchez, R., Rose, J., Guimaraes, R., Mari, M., Papinski, D., Rieter, E., ... Reggiori, F. (2018). Atg9 establishes Atg2-dependent contact sites between the endoplasmic reticulum and phagophores. *The Journal of Cell Biology*, *217*, 2743–2763.
- Grumati, P., & Dikic, I. (2018). Ubiquitin signaling and autophagy. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, *293*, 5404–5413.
- Guimaraes, R. S., Delorme-Axford, E., Klionsky, D. J., & Reggiori, F. (2015). Assays for the biochemical and ultrastructural measurement of selective and nonselective types of autophagy in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Methods*, *75*, 141–150.
- Guo, H., Chitiprolu, M., Gagnon, D., Meng, L., Perez-Iratxeta, C., Lagace, D., & Gibbins, D. (2014). Autophagy supports genomic stability by degrading retrotransposon RNA. *Nature Communications*, *5*, 5276.
- Hanada, T., Noda, N. N., Satomi, Y., Ichimura, Y., Fujioka, Y., Takao, T., ... Ohsumi, Y. (2007). The Atg12-Atg5 conjugate has a novel E3-like activity for protein lipidation in autophagy. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, *282*, 37298–37302.
- Harding, T. M., Morano, K. A., Scott, S. V., & Klionsky, D. J. (1995). Isolation and characterization of yeast mutants in the cytoplasm to vacuole protein targeting pathway. *The Journal of Cell Biology*, *131*, 591–602.
- He, C., Bassik, M. C., Moresi, V., Sun, K., Wei, Y., Zou, Z., ... Levine, B. (2012). Exercise-induced BCL2-regulated autophagy is required for muscle glucose homeostasis. *Nature*, *481*, 511–515.
- He, F., & Jacobson, A. (2015). Nonsense-mediated mRNA decay: Degradation of defective transcripts is only part of the story. *Annual Review of Genetics*, *49*, 339–366.
- Heck, A. M., & Wilusz, J. (2018). The interplay between the RNA decay and translation machinery in eukaryotes. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology*, *10*, a032839.
- Hu, G., McQuiston, T., Bernard, A., Park, Y. D., Qiu, J., Vural, A., ... Williamson, P. R. (2015). A conserved mechanism of TOR-dependent RCK-mediated mRNA degradation regulates autophagy. *Nature Cell Biology*, *17*, 930–942.
- Huang, H., Kawamata, T., Horie, T., Tsugawa, H., Nakayama, Y., Ohsumi, Y., & Fukusaki, E. (2015). Bulk RNA degradation by nitrogen starvation-induced autophagy in yeast. *The EMBO Journal*, *34*, 154–168.
- Huch, S., & Nissan, T. (2014). Interrelations between translation and general mRNA degradation in yeast. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews RNA*, *5*, 747–763.
- Hutchins, M. U., Veenhuis, M., & Klionsky, D. J. (1999). Peroxisome degradation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is dependent on machinery of macroautophagy and the Cvt pathway. *Journal of Cell Science*, *112*, Pt 22, 4079–4087.
- Ichimura, Y., Kirisako, T., Takao, T., Satomi, Y., Shimonishi, Y., Ishihara, N., ... Ohsumi, Y. (2000). A ubiquitin-like system mediates protein lipidation. *Nature*, *408*, 488–492.
- Jackson, W. T., Giddings, T. H., Jr., Taylor, M. P., Mulinyawe, S., Rabinovitch, M., Kopito, R. R., & Kirkegaard, K. (2005). Subversion of cellular autophagosomal machinery by RNA viruses. *PLoS Biology*, *3*, e156.
- Jin, M., He, D., Backues, S. K., Freeberg, M. A., Liu, X., Kim, J. K., & Klionsky, D. J. (2014). Transcriptional regulation by Pho23 modulates the frequency of autophagosome formation. *Current Biology*, *24*, 1314–1322.
- Kabeya, Y., Mizushima, N., Ueno, T., Yamamoto, A., Kirisako, T., Noda, T., ... Yoshimori, T. (2000). LC3, a mammalian homologue of yeast Apg8p, is localized in autophagosome membranes after processing. *The EMBO Journal*, *19*, 5720–5728.
- Kanki, T., Wang, K., Cao, Y., Baba, M., & Klionsky, D. J. (2009). Atg32 is a mitochondrial protein that confers selectivity during mitophagy. *Developmental Cell*, *17*, 98–109.
- Kelly, S. P., & Bedwell, D. M. (2015). Both the autophagy and proteasomal pathways facilitate the Ubp3p-dependent depletion of a subset of translation and RNA turnover factors during nitrogen starvation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *RNA*, *21*, 898–910.
- Kim, J., Huang, W. P., & Klionsky, D. J. (2001). Membrane recruitment of Aut7p in the autophagy and cytoplasm to vacuole targeting pathways requires Aut1p, Aut2p, and the autophagy conjugation complex. *The Journal of Cell Biology*, *152*, 51–64.
- Kirisako, T., Baba, M., Ishihara, N., Miyazawa, K., Ohsumi, M., Yoshimori, T., ... Ohsumi, Y. (1999). Formation process of autophagosome is traced with Apg8/Aut7p in yeast. *The Journal of Cell Biology*, *147*, 435–446.
- Kirisako, T., Ichimura, Y., Okada, H., Kabeya, Y., Mizushima, N., Yoshimori, T., ... Ohsumi, Y. (2000). The reversible modification regulates the membrane-binding state of Apg8/Aut7 essential for autophagy and the cytoplasm to vacuole targeting pathway. *The Journal of Cell Biology*, *151*, 263–276.
- Klionsky, D. J., & Schulman, B. A. (2014). Dynamic regulation of macroautophagy by distinctive ubiquitin-like proteins. *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology*, *21*, 336–345.
- Kraft, C., Deplazes, A., Sohrmann, M., & Peter, M. (2008). Mature ribosomes are selectively degraded upon starvation by an autophagy pathway requiring the Ubp3p/Bre5p ubiquitin protease. *Nature Cell Biology*, *10*, 602–610.
- Kristensen, A. R., Schandorff, S., Hoyer-Hansen, M., Nielsen, M. O., Jaattela, M., Dengiel, J., & Andersen, J. S. (2008). Ordered organelle degradation during starvation-induced autophagy. *Molecular & Cellular Proteomics*, *7*, 2419–2428.
- Kuma, A., Mizushima, N., Ishihara, N., & Ohsumi, Y. (2002). Formation of the approximately 350-kDa Apg12-Apg5-Apg16 multimeric complex, mediated by Apg16 oligomerization, is essential for autophagy in yeast. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, *277*, 18619–18625.
- Kwon, Y. T., & Ciechanover, A. (2017). The ubiquitin code in the ubiquitin-proteasome system and autophagy. *Trends in Biochemical Sciences*, *42*, 873–886.
- Labno, A., Tomecki, R., & Dziembowski, A. (2016). Cytoplasmic RNA decay pathways - enzymes and mechanisms. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*, *1863*, 3125–3147.
- Liang, X. H., Jackson, S., Seaman, M., Brown, K., Kempkes, B., Hibshoosh, H., & Levine, B. (1999). Induction of autophagy and inhibition of tumorigenesis by beclin 1. *Nature*, *402*, 672–676.
- Liu, X., Mao, K., Yu, A. Y. H., Omairi-Nasser, A., Austin, J., 2nd, Glick, B. S., ... Klionsky, D. J. (2016). The Atg17-Atg31-Atg29 complex coordinates with Atg11 to recruit the Vam7 SNARE and mediate autophagosome-vacuole fusion. *Current Biology*, *26*, 150–160.
- Losson, R., & Lacroute, F. (1979). Interference of nonsense mutations with eukaryotic messenger RNA stability. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA*, *76*, 5134–5137.
- Lubas, M., Harder, L. M., Kumsta, C., Tiessen, I., Hansen, M., Andersen, J. S., ... Frankel, L. B. (2018). eIF5A is required for autophagy by mediating ATG3 translation. *EMBO Reports*, *19*, e46072.
- Lykke-Andersen, S., & Jensen, T. H. (2015). Nonsense-mediated mRNA decay: An intricate machinery that shapes transcriptomes. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*, *16*, 665–677.
- Lynch-Day, M. A., & Klionsky, D. J. (2010). The Cvt pathway as a model for selective autophagy. *FEBS Letters*, *584*, 1359–1366.

- Mao, K., Chew, L. H., Inoue-Aono, Y., Cheong, H., Nair, U., Popelka, H., ... Klionsky, D. J. (2013). Atg29 phosphorylation regulates coordination of the Atg17-Atg31-Atg29 complex with the Atg11 scaffold during autophagy initiation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA*, *110*, E2875–E2884.
- Mercer, T. J., Gubas, A., & Tooze, S. A. (2018). A molecular perspective of mammalian autophagosome biogenesis. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, *293*, 5386–5395.
- Mizushima, N., Noda, T., & Ohsumi, Y. (1999). Apg16p is required for the function of the Apg12p-Apg5p conjugate in the yeast autophagy pathway. *The EMBO Journal*, *18*, 3888–3896.
- Mizushima, N., Sugita, H., Yoshimori, T., & Ohsumi, Y. (1998). A new protein conjugation system in human. The counterpart of the yeast Apg12p conjugation system essential for autophagy. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, *273*, 33889–33892.
- Mochida, K., Oikawa, Y., Kimura, Y., Kirisako, H., Hirano, H., Ohsumi, Y., & Nakatogawa, H. (2015). Receptor-mediated selective autophagy degrades the endoplasmic reticulum and the nucleus. *Nature*, *522*, 359–362.
- Mordier, S., Deval, C., Bechet, D., Tassa, A., & Ferrara, M. (2000). Leucine limitation induces autophagy and activation of lysosome-dependent proteolysis in C2C12 myotubes through a mammalian target of rapamycin-independent signaling pathway. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, *275*, 29900–29906.
- Nair, U., Yen, W. L., Mari, M., Cao, Y., Xie, Z., Baba, M., ... Klionsky, D. J. (2012). A role for Atg8-PE deconjugation in autophagosome biogenesis. *Autophagy*, *8*, 780–793.
- Noda, T., & Ohsumi, Y. (1998). Tor, a phosphatidylinositol kinase homologue, controls autophagy in yeast. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, *273*, 3963–3966.
- Okamoto, K., Kondo-Okamoto, N., & Ohsumi, Y. (2009). Mitochondria-anchored receptor Atg32 mediates degradation of mitochondria via selective autophagy. *Developmental Cell*, *17*, 87–97.
- Ossareh-Nazari, B., Bonzicek, M., Cohen, M., Dokudovskaya, S., Delalande, F., Schaeffer, C., ... Dargemont, C. (2010). Cdc48 and Ufd3, new partners of the ubiquitin protease Ubp3, are required for ribophagy. *EMBO Reports*, *11*, 548–554.
- Ossareh-Nazari, B., Nino, C. A., Bengtson, M. H., Lee, J. W., Joazeiro, C. A., & Dargemont, C. (2014). Ubiquitylation by the Ltn1 E3 ligase protects 60S ribosomes from starvation-induced selective autophagy. *The Journal of Cell Biology*, *204*, 909–917.
- Parker, R. (2012). RNA degradation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Genetics*, *191*, 671–702.
- Parzych, K. R., & Klionsky, D. J. (2014). An overview of autophagy: Morphology, mechanism, and regulation. *Antioxidants & Redox Signaling*, *20*, 460–473.
- Ponpuak, M., Mandell, M. A., Kimura, T., Chauhan, S., Cleyrat, C., & Deretic, V. (2015). Secretory autophagy. *Current Opinion in Cell Biology*, *35*, 106–116.
- Popelka, H., & Klionsky, D. J. (2015). Post-translationally-modified structures in the autophagy machinery: An integrative perspective. *The FEBS Journal*, *282*, 3474–3488.
- Presnyak, V., & Collier, J. (2013). The DHH1/RCKp54 family of helicases: An ancient family of proteins that promote translational silencing. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*, *1829*, 817–823.
- Protter, D. S., & Parker, R. (2016). Principles and properties of stress granules. *Trends in Cell Biology*, *26*, 668–679.
- Quinternet, M., Chagot, M. E., Rothe, B., Tiotiu, D., Charpentier, B., & Manival, X. (2016). Structural features of the box C/D snoRNP pre-assembly process are conserved through species. *Structure*, *24*, 1693–1706.
- Reggiori, F., & Klionsky, D. J. (2013). Autophagic processes in yeast: Mechanism, machinery and regulation. *Genetics*, *194*, 341–361.
- Reggiori, F., Shintani, T., Nair, U., & Klionsky, D. J. (2005). Atg9 cycles between mitochondria and the pre-autophagosomal structure in yeasts. *Autophagy*, *1*, 101–109.
- Reggiori, F., & Ungermann, C. (2017). Autophagosome maturation and fusion. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, *429*, 486–496.
- Rojas-Rios, P., Chartier, A., Pierson, S., Severac, D., Dantec, C., Busseau, I., & Simonelig, M. (2015). Translational control of autophagy by orb in the *Drosophila* germline. *Developmental Cell*, *35*, 622–631.
- Rothe, B., Saliou, J. M., Quinternet, M., Back, R., Tiotiu, D., Jacquemin, C., ... Charpentier, B. (2014). Protein Hit1, a novel box C/D snoRNP assembly factor, controls cellular concentration of the scaffolding protein Rsa1 by direct interaction. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *42*, 10731–10747.
- Rowley, P. A. (2017). The frenemies within: Viruses, retrotransposons and plasmids that naturally infect *Saccharomyces* yeasts. *Yeast*, *34*, 279–292.
- Russell, R. C., Tian, Y., Yuan, H., Park, H. W., Chang, Y. Y., Kim, J., ... Guan, K. L. (2013). ULK1 induces autophagy by phosphorylating Beclin-1 and activating VPS34 lipid kinase. *Nature Cell Biology*, *15*, 741–750.
- Sakoh-Nakatogawa, M., Matoba, K., Asai, E., Kirisako, H., Ishii, J., Noda, N. N., ... Ohsumi, Y. (2013). Atg12-Atg5 conjugate enhances E2 activity of Atg3 by rearranging its catalytic site. *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology*, *20*, 433–439.
- Sarkar, S., Davies, J. E., Huang, Z., Tunnacliffe, A., & Rubinsztein, D. C. (2007). Trehalose, a novel mTOR-independent autophagy enhancer, accelerates the clearance of mutant huntingtin and alpha-synuclein. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, *282*, 5641–5652.
- Sawa-Makarska, J., Abert, C., Romanov, J., Zens, B., Ibricic, I., & Martens, S. (2014). Cargo binding to Atg19 unmasks additional Atg8 binding sites to mediate membrane-cargo apposition during selective autophagy. *Nature Cell Biology*, *16*, 425–433.
- Scott, S. V., Guan, J., Hutchins, M. U., Kim, J., & Klionsky, D. J. (2001). Cvt19 is a receptor for the cytoplasm-to-vacuole targeting pathway. *Molecular Cell*, *7*, 1131–1141.
- Sheinberger, J., & Shav-Tal, Y. (2017). mRNPs meet stress granules. *FEBS Letters*, *591*, 2534–2542.
- Shintani, T., Mizushima, N., Ogawa, Y., Matsuura, A., Noda, T., & Ohsumi, Y. (1999). Apg10p, a novel protein-conjugating enzyme essential for autophagy in yeast. *The EMBO Journal*, *18*, 5234–5241.
- Suzuki, K., Morimoto, M., Kondo, C., & Ohsumi, Y. (2011). Selective autophagy regulates insertional mutagenesis by the Ty1 retrotransposon in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Developmental Cell*, *21*, 358–365.
- Talarek, N., Cameroni, E., Jaquenoud, M., Luo, X., Bontron, S., Lippman, S., ... De Virgilio, C. (2010). Initiation of the TORC1-regulated G0 program requires Igo1/2, which license specific mRNAs to evade degradation via the 5'-3' mRNA decay pathway. *Molecular Cell*, *38*, 345–355.
- Tang, H. W., Hu, Y., Chen, C. L., Xia, B., Zirin, J., Yuan, M., ... Perrimon, N. (2018). The TORC1-regulated CPA complex rewires an RNA processing network to drive autophagy and metabolic reprogramming. *Cell Metabolism*, *27*, 1040–1054 e8.
- Tanida, I., Mizushima, N., Kiyooka, M., Ohsumi, M., Ueno, T., Ohsumi, Y., & Kominami, E. (1999). Apg7p/Cvt2p: A novel protein-activating enzyme essential for autophagy. *Molecular Biology of the Cell*, *10*, 1367–1379.
- Teter, S. A., Eggerton, K. P., Scott, S. V., Kim, J., Fischer, A. M., & Klionsky, D. J. (2001). Degradation of lipid vesicles in the yeast vacuole requires function of Cvt17, a putative lipase. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, *276*, 2083–2087.
- Thorburn, A. (2018). Autophagy and disease. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, *293*, 5425–5430.
- van Hoof, A., Frischmeyer, P. A., Dietz, H. C., & Parker, R. (2002). Exosome-mediated recognition and degradation of mRNAs lacking a termination codon. *Science*, *295*, 2262–2264.
- Wek, S. A., Zhu, S., & Wek, R. C. (1995). The histidyl-tRNA synthetase-related sequence in the eIF-2 alpha protein kinase GCN2 interacts with tRNA and is required for activation in response to starvation for different amino acids. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, *15*, 4497–4506.
- Wen, X., & Klionsky, D. J. (2016). An overview of macroautophagy in yeast. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, *428*, 1681–1699.

- Wengrod, J., Martin, L., Wang, D., Frischmeyer-Guerrero, P., Dietz, H. C., & Gardner, L. B. (2013). Inhibition of nonsense-mediated RNA decay activates autophagy. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, *33*, 2128–2135.
- Wong, J., Zhang, J., Si, X., Gao, G., Mao, I., McManus, B. M., & Luo, H. (2008). Autophagosome supports coxsackievirus B3 replication in host cells. *Journal of Virology*, *82*, 9143–9153.
- Wyant, G. A., Abu-Remaileh, M., Frenkel, E. M., Laqtom, N. N., Dharamdasani, V., Lewis, C. A., ... Sabatini, D. M. (2018). NUFIP1 is a ribosome receptor for starvation-induced ribophagy. *Science*, *360*, 751–758.
- Xie, Z., Nair, U., & Klionsky, D. J. (2008). Atg8 controls phagophore expansion during autophagosome formation. *Molecular Biology of the Cell*, *19*, 3290–3298.
- Yang, Z., Huang, J., Geng, J., Nair, U., & Klionsky, D. J. (2006). Atg22 recycles amino acids to link the degradative and recycling functions of autophagy. *Molecular Biology of the Cell*, *17*, 5094–5104.
- Yorimitsu, T., & Klionsky, D. J. (2005). Atg11 links cargo to the vesicle-forming machinery in the cytoplasm to vacuole targeting pathway. *Molecular Biology of the Cell*, *16*, 1593–1605.
- Yorimitsu, T., Zaman, S., Broach, J. R., & Klionsky, D. J. (2007). Protein kinase a and Sch9 cooperatively regulate induction of autophagy in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Molecular Biology of the Cell*, *18*, 4180–4189.
- Yu, L., Chen, Y., & Tooze, S. A. (2018). Autophagy pathway: Cellular and molecular mechanisms. *Autophagy*, *14*, 207–215.

How to cite this article: Delorme-Axford E, Klionsky DJ. On the edge of degradation: Autophagy regulation by RNA decay. *WIREs RNA*. 2019;10:e1522. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wrna.1522>