

DR ANDREW A STOLZ (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-1443-9351)

DR NAGA CHALASANI (Orcid ID : 0000-0003-2359-6030)

Article type : Original Scientific Paper

Severe and Protracted Cholestasis in 44 Young Men Taking Body Building Supplements: Assessment of Genetic, Clinical, and Chemical Risk Factors

Short Title: Liver injury due to body building supplements

Andrew Stolz¹, Victor Navarro², Paul H. Hayashi³, Robert J. Fontana⁴, Hulman X. Barnhart⁵, Jiezhun Gu⁵, Naga P. Chalasani⁶, Maricruz M. Vega², Herbert L. Bonkovsky⁷, Leonard B. Seeff², Jose Serrano⁸, Bharathi Avula⁹, Ikhlas Khan⁹, Elizabeth T. Cirulli⁵, David E. Kleiner¹⁰, and Jay H. Hoofnagle⁸ for the DILIN Investigators.

Authors Affiliations:

¹University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA; ²Einstein Medical Center, Philadelphia, PA; ³University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC; ⁴University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI; ⁵Duke University, Durham, NC; ⁶Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN; ⁷Wake Forest University School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, NC; ⁸Liver Diseases Research Branch, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, ⁹National Center for Natural Product Research, School of Pharmacy, University of Mississippi, University, MS; ¹⁰ Laboratory of Pathology, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD.

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as [doi: 10.1111/APT.15211](https://doi.org/10.1111/APT.15211)

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

Word Count – 6,604 including text, references, figure legends and tables.

Author E-mail addresses:

Bharathi Avula	bavula@olemiss.edu
Hulman Barnhart	huiman.barnhart@duke.edu
Herbert L. Bonkovsky	hbonkovs@wakehealth.edu
Elizabeth T. Cerulli	Liz.cirulli@duke.edu
Naga Chalasani	nchalasa@iu.edu
Robert Fontana	rfontana@med.umich.edu
Jiezhun Gu	jiezhun.gu@duke.edu
Paul Hayashi	Paul_hayashi@med.unc.edu
Jay Hoofnagle	Hoofnaglej@extra.niddk.nih.gov
Ikhlas A. Khan	ikhhan@olemiss.edu
David E. Kleiner	kleinerd@mail.nih.gov
Victor Navarro	navarroV@einstein.edu
Leonard B. Seeff	mahler68@hotmail.com
Jose Serrano	serranoj@extra.niddk.nih.gov
Andrew Stolz	astolz@usc.edu
Maricruz Vega	VegaMari@einstein.edu

Correspondence and reprint request to:

Andrew Stolz, M.D.
Keck School of Medicine of USC
HMR 101 A
2011 Zonal Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90089
Fax (323) 442-5425

Author contributions

All of the authors contributed to study concept and design, acquisition of data, analysis of data, and critical review of the early and final manuscript drafts. Statistical analysis was performed by (Barnhart, Gu), liver histology by Kleiner, acquisition and

chemical analysis of body building supplements by (Bonkovsky, Vega, Avula and Khan) and genetic analysis by Cirulli.

Collaborators – Appendix.

Financial support

The DILIN Network is structured as a U01 cooperative agreement with funds provided by the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (NIDDK) under grants: 2U01-DK065176-10 (Duke), 2U01-DK065201-15 (UNC), 2U01-DK065184-15 (Michigan), 2U01-DK065211-15 (Indiana), 1U01-DK083027-09 (TJH/UPenn), 1U01-DK082992-05 (Mayo), 1U01-DK083020-10 (USC). Additional funding is provided by CTSA grants: UL1 RR025761 (Indiana), UL1TR000083 (UNC), UL1 RR024986 (Michigan), UL1 RR TR001855 (USC) and in part by the Intramural Research Program of the NIH, National Cancer Institute.

Conflict of interest disclosure

Dr. Chalasani has ongoing consulting activities (or had in preceding 12 months) with NuSirt, Abbvie, Eli Lilly, Afimmune (DS Biopharma), Tobira (Allergan), Madrigal, Shire, Cempra, Ardelyx, Gen Fit and Amarin. These consulting activities are generally in the areas of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and drug hepatotoxicity. Dr. Chalasani receives research grant support from Intercept, Lilly, Gilead, Galectin Therapeutics and Cumberland where his institution receives the funding. Over the last decade, Dr. Chalasani has served as a paid consultant to more than 30 pharmaceutical companies and these outside activities have regularly been disclosed to his institutional authorities. **Dr. Fontana** has received research support from BMS, Janssen, and Gilead and served as a consultant to Alynam. **Dr. Bonkovsky** serves as consultant to Alynam Pharma, Blue, Mitsubishi-Tanabe, Moderna, Recordati rare Chemicals, and Stoke. He receives support for clinical studies from Alynam and Gilead Sciences in the area of porphyrias. Dr. Bonkovsky serves as a member of the Medical and Scientific Advisory Boards of the American Porphyria Foundation and the Iron Disorders Institute. **Dr. Seeff** is presently a consultant to Abbvie and Intercept and was a consultant to Cempra **Drs. Barnhart,**

Gu, Stolz, Hayashi, Navarro, Serrano, Kleiner, Cirruli, Avula, and Hoofnagle have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Abbreviations:

ALT	Alanine aminotransferase
Alk Phos	Alkaline phosphatase
AST	Aspartate aminotransferase
BMI	Body mass index
DEA	Drug enforcement administration
DILI	Drug induced liver injury
DILIN	Drug induced liver injury network
ERCP	Endoscopic retrograde cholangio-pancreatography
FDA	U.S. Food and Drug Administration
FSH	Follicle-stimulating hormone
GGT	Gamma glutamyl transpeptidase
INR	International normalized ratio
LH	Luteinizing hormone
MAF	Minor allele frequency
MRCP	Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography
R	Ratio of serum ALT/ULN to serum Alk Phos/ULN
ULN	Upper limit of normal
WGS	Whole genome sequencing

Summary

Background: Body building supplements can cause a profound cholestatic syndrome.

Aim: Describe the Drug Induced Liver Injury Network's experience with liver injury due to body building supplements.

Methods: Liver injury pattern, severity and outcomes, potential genetic associations, and exposure to anabolic steroids by product analysis were analyzed in

prospectively enrolled subjects with body building supplement induced liver injury with causality scores of probable or higher.

Results: Forty-four males (mean age 33 years) developed liver injury with a median latency of 73 days. Forty one percent presented with hepatocellular pattern of liver injury as defined by the R value > 5 ($[\text{Fold elevation of ALT}] \div [\text{Fold elevation of Alk Phos}]$) (Mean, range = 6.4, 0.5-31.4 n=42) despite all presenting with clinical features of cholestatic liver injury (100% with jaundice and 84% with pruritus). Liver biopsy (59% of subjects) demonstrated a mild hepatitis and profound cholestasis in most without bile duct injury, loss or fibrosis. Seventy-one percent were hospitalized and none died or required liver transplantation. In some, chemical analysis revealed anabolic steroid controlled substances not listed on the label. No enrichment of genetic variants associated with cholestatic syndromes were found, although mutations in ABCB11 (present in up to 20%), were significantly different than in ethnically matched controls.

Conclusions: Patients with body building supplements liver injury uniformly presented with cholestatic injury, which slowly resolved. The ingested products often contained anabolic steroids not identified on the label and no enrichment in genetic variants were found, indicating need for additional studies.

Key words

Androgenic anabolic steroids, drug induced liver injury, cholestasis, appearance and performance enhancing supplements, genetic association, chemical analysis

Introduction

Androgenic anabolic steroids are modified androgens or prohormones that promote muscle growth and are used to enhance athletic performance or improve physique^{1,2}. Several anabolic steroids have been approved as therapy of hypogonadism, cachexia, anaemia and other disorders. However, these products can also cause diverse liver abnormalities, including severe and prolonged cholestatic liver injury, peliosis hepatis, hepatic adenoma and even hepatocellular carcinoma with prolonged use, albeit in a minority of patients³⁻⁷. These risks, as well as cardiovascular injury, hyperlipidaemia, and infertility, along with the development of

dependence, have prompted increasing Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) regulation of anabolic steroids in the United States. Despite the increased oversight, numerous examples of contamination of body building supplements with illegal anabolic steroids have been reported, demonstrating that these supplements remain an important source of illicit anabolic steroid exposure^{3,8-10}.

Little is known about the pathophysiological mechanisms of prolonged cholestasis associated with anabolic steroids or who is at risk for developing liver injury. Previous small studies have identified a few variants associated with genetic cholestatic disorders in those that develop cholestatic liver injury after using body building supplements^{11,12}. Anabolic steroid modifications allowing for oral administration and those specifically with a C-17 alkylated modification are known to be associated with cholestatic liver injury¹, but a detailed time course of liver injury, histologic evaluation, genetic characterization and chemical analysis of supplements in a large cohort is lacking. The Drug Induced Liver Injury Network (DILIN) has prospectively enrolled patients with drug or herbal and dietary supplement - associated liver injury to characterize their clinical features and to generate a well-phenotyped biospecimen repository for future mechanistic and genetic association studies^{13,14}. A previous review of the DILIN's experience with herbal and dietary supplement, which constituted the second most common class of agents causing drug induced liver injury (DILI), identified supplements advertised to promote body building as the largest class within this category¹⁵. Patients consuming body building supplements often presented with a distinct clinical phenotype of a profound cholestatic liver injury in the absence of significant biliary obstruction. The current report now describes the clinical features, laboratory findings, histological features, and outcomes among 44 consecutive patients enrolled with body building supplements induced liver injury in this well characterized prospective cohort of patients. In addition, chemical analysis of the ingested products in 14 subjects and potential genetic associations were conducted in 41 cases, respectively, to explore potential exposure to anabolic steroids and mechanisms of liver injury.

Material and Methods

Recruitment of DILIN Prospective Study

All patients were enrolled into an ongoing, multicentre prospective Registry study which had been approved by Institutional Review Boards at all clinical sites and the data coordinating centre (DCC), as well as by an independent Data and Safety Monitoring Board appointed by the NIDDK. All subjects (NCT00345930) provided written informed consent for the collection and analysis of clinical data and biological specimens for genetic testing^{13,14}. The DILIN previously reported its experience with liver injury due to herbal and dietary supplement between 2004 and March 2013¹⁵. Reassessment of these original herbal and dietary supplement cases identified 44 attributed to body building supplements. For the study, liver injury onset was defined as the first day of documented liver injury after being exposed to the body building supplement and meeting one of the following criteria for inclusion into the study on 2 successive blood samples, collected at least 24 h apart: 1) a serum aspartate aminotransferase (AST) or alanine aminotransferase (ALT) level greater than 5 times the upper limit of normal (or 5 times the baseline elevated value if known); 2) a serum alkaline phosphatase (Alk Phos) level that exceeded 2 times the ULN (or 2 times a pretreated abnormal baseline value if known); or 3) a serum total bilirubin of 2.5 mg/dL or greater or an international normalized ratio (INR) greater than 1.5 in combination with any enzyme elevation. Patients with injury within the past 6 months were eligible for enrolment into the prospective study. A detailed review of the patient's medical history and record was performed at initial enrolment, and a narrative generated summarizing key clinical features. Additional laboratory tests and imaging studies were performed to exclude other potential aetiologies. In addition, patients were screened for hepatitis A, B, C, E, HIV, CMV and EBV infections and for routine autoantibodies. Patients were asked to return for follow up at 6 months and, if evidence of persistent liver injury was noted at that time, they were asked to return for further follow up at 12 and 24 months.

Assessment of causality between the liver injury and the implicated agent was carried out using a standardized process based upon the pertinent clinical, biochemical, radiological and histologic findings if available after the 6 month follow up visit¹⁶. DILIN expert opinion causality scores ranged from 1 (definite: $\geq 95\%$

likelihood), 2 (highly likely: 75% to 94% likelihood), 3 (probable: 50% to 74% likelihood), 4 (possible 25% to 49% likelihood), to 5 (unlikely: < 25% likelihood). The pattern of liver injury was defined by the R value = [ALT/ULN] ÷ [Alk Phos/ULN], based on which cases were defined as hepatocellular (R > 5), cholestatic (R < 2), or mixed (R 2 to 5). The severity of injury was scored from mild (1), moderate (2), moderate-hospitalized (3), severe (4), and fatal (5), if death from liver disease or liver transplantation occurred within 6 months of the onset¹⁴.

Liver histopathology

There was no requirement for a liver biopsy for enrolment, but if performed for routine clinical care, available slides were collected and sent to a single expert liver histopathologist (DEK) who examined and scored them for multiple histological features as well for a global pattern of liver injury¹⁷.

Anabolic Steroid Analysis

Chemical analysis of body building supplements products for botanicals, amino acids, vitamins, pharmaceutical agents and 37 different anabolic steroids were performed using Ultra-High Performance Liquid Chromatography-Quadrupole Time of Flight-Mass Spectrometry (UHPLC/QToF-MS) with modifications in the extraction methodology (see Supplemental Methods).

DNA Sequencing and Genotyping

Genomic DNA samples from 25 subjects with body building supplements DILI underwent whole genome sequencing at Duke University using Illumina GAIIx or HiSeq 2000 or 2500 sequencers. An additional 16 cases were genotyped with an exome chip: 10 cases were genotyped with the Illumina HumanExome chip, and 6 cases were genotyped with the Illumina Multi-Ethnic Genotyping Array (MEGA), which includes the same low frequency coding variants as the HumanExome chip. The genes *ABCB11* (BSEP), *ABCB4* (MDR3) and *ATP8B1* (PFIC 1) were chosen as candidate genes as they are known to cause progressive familial intrahepatic cholestasis¹⁸. Data were analysed according to standard protocols as described in the Supplemental Methods.

Data Collection and Statistics

Data from cases were deposited in electronic case report forms (eCRFs) via the InForm™ (Oracle Health Solutions, CA) and were downloaded in 2016. Summary statistics were reported as median with range for continuous data and frequency with percentage for categorical data using the SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) statistical software.

Results

Demographics, Clinical Features and Laboratory Findings

The demographic and clinical features of the 44 patients with liver injury attributed to body building supplements enrolled between 2004 to November 2013 are shown in Table 1 and laboratory and outcome features in Table 2. All patients were adult males with a median age of 31.5 years (range: 21 to 59) with 82% self-reporting their race as white or Caucasian, 11% as African-Americans, 2% Asian and 5% multiracial or other and 11% identified themselves as Latino. Twenty-one percent reported prior drug allergies and 80% admitted to drinking alcohol at least once in the past year. Of those that consumed alcohol, 5 were heavy drinkers as defined by consuming more than 3 drinks per day¹⁹. There was no significant clinical or laboratory differences in those that were heavy drinkers (n=5) compared to the non-heavy alcohol consumers (n= 30). No one reported any pre-existing diabetes or chronic medical condition and only 3 had hypertension. One patient was HIV positive and 3 had established chronic HCV infection. The median time from the start of the body building supplement to onset of liver injury was 76 days, 9% occurring within the first 2 to 4 weeks and 14% occurring after 24 weeks, although precise dates of starting and stopping the supplements were often lacking.

All patients presented with jaundice and most (84%) with pruritus, strongly suggesting cholestatic liver injury. Ninety-eight percent took an oral agent and one used self-administered intramuscular injections of reported testosterone-3-

propionate, which had been purchased in Mexico without a prescription. None of the body building supplements taken by the patients were prescribed or supervised by a physician. All of them consumed the supplements to enhance physique or augment muscle mass, often in combination with an exercise and weight loss program and with various nutritional supplements such as whey protein and creatine.

Table 2 includes results of initial laboratory tests obtained an average of 96 days after initiating body building supplements. The median initial serum ALT value was modestly elevated (169 U/L) with a wide range (61 to 1254 U/L) and over half had ALT levels > 3 ULN. All patients presented with significant jaundice with a median total serum bilirubin value of 9.8 mg/dL (range, 4.1 to 45.7 mg/dL). Despite the clinical symptoms suggestive of a cholestatic pattern of liver injury, the initial median Alk Phos value was only 111 U/L (range, 53 to 461 U/L), with 70% of subjects having values within the local normal range. The median calculated R value on presentation was 3.9, only 29% presenting with a cholestatic pattern of liver injury ($R < 2$) and 40% presenting with a hepatocellular pattern ($R > 5$), despite the prominence of jaundice and pruritus. The elevated R value was largely due to the normal or minimally elevated levels of Alk Phos, rather than high ALT values. One or more gamma glutamyl transpeptidase (GGT) levels were available in 39 patients, but only 2 at onset, and only 2 had raised values, with the peak median GGT of 44.5 U/L, with a range of 13 to 118. Elevated serum cholesterol (median of 202 mg/dL: $n = 34$, range 62 to 630) and triglyceride (median 233 mg/dL: $n = 36$, range 6.3 to 614) levels were also observed at onset. Twenty percent of subjects underwent an ERCP or MRCP and 59% had a liver biopsy as part of their initial evaluation.

Duration of Injury and Pattern of Hepatic Injury

Jaundice was typically prolonged, the median time from onset to serum bilirubin falling below 2.5 mg/dL being slightly more than 3 months (94 days). Typically, serum aminotransferase levels fell rapidly during the course of the disease, the peak median ALT value (189 U/L) being minimally higher than the median value on presentation (169 U/L). In contrast, serum Alk Phos levels typically rose, the peak

median value being twice that of the initial value (257 vs. 111 U/L). For these reasons, the R value fell rapidly during the course of the injury to a median of less than 2 (cholestatic) within 2 weeks. Figure 1 illustrates the pattern of serum ALT, Alk Phos and bilirubin over time after enrollment based on available data. Despite the marked hyperbilirubinemia, signs of hepatic failure were uncommon with the median peak INR being 1.1 (range from 0.9 to 2.1) with only 6 greater than 1.5. No patient developed ascites or hepatic encephalopathy. Several, however, developed renal insufficiency with serum creatinine levels rising above 1.5 mg/dL in 6 patients and above 2.5 mg/dL in 3 (peak values 2.7, 3.2 and 3.3 mg/dL). Peak serum bilirubin levels in these 3 patients with renal insufficiency were all very high (46.7, 46.8 and 50.9 mg/dL) and all patients had resolution of the renal insufficiency with recovery from the liver injury.

Liver Histology

Twenty-six patients underwent liver biopsies, of which 22 were available for independent review by the DILIN hepatic pathologist (DEK), who was masked to all clinical information. The median time from DILI onset to the liver biopsy was 15 days (range 1 to 68). The most common histologic pattern was a mixed hepatocellular and cholestatic injury (77%) and only 4 (18%) had acute cholestasis. Hepatocellular injury was typically spotty and mild, and no patient had bridging or confluent necrosis. Some degree of cholestasis was found in all biopsies but bile duct injury was found in only 14% without any bile duct loss. Steatosis was uncommon (10%) and no patient had more than mild portal fibrosis. One case showed microscopic evidence suggestive of peliosis hepatis and nodular regeneration. Figure 2 illustrates some common histological features identified.

Causality Assessment, Severity of Liver Injury and Chronicity

Of the 44 cases, 25 were scored as definite and 19 as highly likely, while none were considered probable, reflecting the consistent phenotype and demographic characteristics of those with body building supplement induced liver injury. The mean severity of illness was 3 with a range of 2 to 4 where 2 represented presence of

jaundice, 3 as jaundice with hospitalization and 4 as severe injury with evidence of hepatic or another organ failure¹³. Thirty-one subjects (71%) were hospitalized for the acute liver injury (severity 3), but none died or underwent liver transplantation (severity 5) as was recently reported in another cohort²⁰.

Of the 44 subjects with body building supplement induced liver injury, only 16 (36%) returned for their scheduled 6-month follow up visit, of whom half had ALT greater than ULN. Twelve subjects returned at 12 months with 2 having ALT greater than ULN. In all cases, the serum enzyme elevations were mild and no patient was persistently jaundiced or symptomatic.

Chemical Analysis of Body Building Supplement Components

Starting in 2009, the available incriminated herbal and dietary supplement products were submitted to a centralized repository, where they were catalogued and stored for future analysis. Thirty-three body building products were collected from 14 patients (subjects commonly consumed more than one body building supplement at a time) and were screened for anabolic steroids and related compounds. At least one anabolic steroid was identified in 14 body building supplements provided by 9 of the 14 patients but not in the 19 supplements from the remaining 5. The labels often did not accurately reflect the chemical structure of the components as 17 of the 21 body building supplements contained anabolic steroids that were different from those listed on the label and 12 of the steroids listed on the labels were not identified by chemical analysis. Only 6 anabolic steroids listed on the label were confirmed by chemical analysis. The anabolic steroids that were most frequently encountered were methyltestosterone (55%) followed by tetrahydrocorticosterone (44%), 4-androstenedione (33%) and DHEA (33%). Listed in Supplemental Table S1 are the case numbers, names of the primary body building supplements suspected to be the cause of the liver injury, whether the product label listed an anabolic steroid as an ingredient and, in 14 samples (9 subjects), whether an anabolic steroid was identified analytically. In some cases, none of the products contained detectable anabolic steroids or the product believed to contain one was not available for testing. Thirteen of the steroids identified were DEA controlled substances.

Genetic Association Studies

Twenty-five patients underwent whole genome sequencing. Among the 22 patients of European-American ethnicity who underwent whole genome sequencing (WGS), 4 were heterozygous (carriers) for rare missense variants in candidate genes previously reported to be associated with Progressive Familial Intrahepatic Cholestasis (PFIC) disorders: *ATP8B1* (PFIC1), *ABCB11* (BSEP) (PFIC2), or *ABCB4* (MDR3) (PFIC3) (Table 3). Three additional patients, identified by exomic chip analysis, had variants in *ATP8B1*: two carried the D70N missense variant associated with PFIC/BRIC1 cases, which also showed some evidence of enrichment in our cases (case MAF (Minor allele frequency) 2.9% vs. 0.4% in gnomAD controls, $p=0.04$) and one had the N45T missense variant, which has a frequency of 0.75% in European-American ancestry controls. Finally, a single subject had a rare variant in *ABCB4*, namely, R590Q, which has a frequency of 0.68% in controls. When including the 12 patients of European-American ethnicity who had exome chip data available, 3 additional carriers of rare missense variants were identified, all in the *ABCB11* gene. Two carried the E297G variant previously associated with PFIC2, which has a frequency of 0.04% in European ancestry controls, and one carried the I206V variant, which has a frequency of 0.002% in controls. E297G variant in *ABCB11* is extremely rare in population controls of European ancestry (MAF 0.04%) but was found in 2 of the 34 European ancestry cases (MAF 2.9%; Fisher's exact test $p=0.0004$) and demonstrated a significant difference between our patients and the European ancestry controls in gnomAD)²¹. In addition, one of the five African-American patients carried the variant V1112I in *ABCB11*, which has a frequency of 0.02% in African ancestry controls. The non-European cases did not have any rare functional variants in any of these three genes identified by exome chip analysis.

To assess for overall enrichment of rare functional variants in these 3 genes in cases as compared to population controls, data from the gnomAD database were used to conservatively estimate that 12.3% of European ancestry population controls have a coding variant with a MAF below 1% in at least one of these genes, as compared to 20.6% in our cases. As 12 of our European ancestry cases were genotyped only for low frequency coding variants by chip analysis (for included variants, see

Supplemental Table S2), some very rare variants may have been missed, but the frequency overall of cases with rare missense mutations in at least one of these genes was similar between our WGS cases (18%) and our exome chip cases (25%). When variants were removed as predicted by PolyPhen-2 HumDiv²² to be benign, only 8.1% of the population controls were predicted to be carriers, whereas 17.6% of cases remained carriers. Almost 9% of our European ancestry cases had rare missense variants predicted to be probably damaging in ATP8B1 as compared to 4.3% of controls (8.9% vs. 5.6% when including benign variants), 5.8% in ABCB11 compared to 1.0% in controls (8.9% vs. 3.3% with benign), and 2.9% in ABCB4 compared to 2.7% in controls (2.9% vs. 3.4% with benign). In the body building supplement cases, there was some clustering of variants in specific regions of ABCB11 gene as compared to controls. The two ABCB11 variants found in European ancestry cases, one of which was seen in two cases, were both in the ABC transmembrane domain. In contrast, no more than 0.4% of European ancestry gnomAD controls have rare missense variants in this region. Similarly, the two ATP8B1 variants found in our cases, one of which was seen in two cases, were within 25 amino acids of each other; in contrast, no more than 2.5% of controls have rare missense variants within 25 amino acids of either of these variants (Supplemental Figure S1). These findings suggest that genetic variants, particularly in ABCB11, might predispose to body building supplement associated cholestatic injury in some individuals, as has been postulated for cholestasis due to pharmaceutical agents²³.

Discussion

Clinical Features of Cholestatic Liver Injury Due to Anabolic Steroids

The clinical features of cholestatic liver injury in these 44 patients are consistent with prior observations of those exposed to anabolic steroids that include C-17 alpha alkylation^{3,24}. The next largest case series describes 25 cases of anabolic steroid-associated liver injury, predominately attributed to stanozolol²⁵. Other clinical descriptions consist of small case series with predominately oral agents. However, our study includes prospective data providing more detailed clinical course description and extensive genetic analysis in a majority of cases along with chemical

analysis of body building supplements ingested, the latter carrying important regulatory implications.

All of our cases arose in men, typically young with few other medical problems. The vast majority admitted to drinking some alcohol in the past year and we previously reported that heavy alcohol consumption was associated with exposure to body building supplements¹⁹. As compared to the subjects with body building supplement associated DILI, the entire cohort of subjects enrolled into the DILIN with causality score of probably or greater, reported that only 50% had some alcohol consumption in the past year and of those that completed the alcohol survey, less than 23% were heavy drinkers as previously defined¹⁹. Subjects with body building supplement associated DILI commonly presented with pruritus and reported weight loss during their cholestatic period. The rapid reduction of ALT followed by increasing values of the total serum bilirubin and Alk Phos as the injury progressed suggests that hepatocellular injury is an early event in body building supplement induced liver injury despite the cholestatic clinical presentation. Transient elevations in aminotransferase levels have been reported during acute biliary obstruction due to stones or as the initial presentation of intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy^{26,27}. There are also other case reports of elevation of the ALT and increased R value in anabolic steroid-associated liver injury^{25,28}. In addition, GGT levels, when obtained, were rarely elevated for unclear reason. Thus, the presenting clinical features of anabolic steroid-induced cholestasis were quite distinct leading to the high degree of certainty in their causality scores. In addition to the clinical presentation, exposure to anabolic steroids can also be confirmed by either the chemical detection of the anabolic steroid, typically in the urine or offending drug in the serum such as C-19 aromatase inhibitors as well as the physiological response to exogenously consumed anabolic steroid. These studies include: decrease in FSH and LH serum levels, changes in the epi-testosterone to testosterone ratio or in the C₁₃/C₁₂ ratio of androgenic steroids in the urine^{29,30}.

With regards to renal insufficiency (as defined by serum creatinine > 1.5 mg/dL), only 6 patients were affected and of these, only 3 had creatinine persistently elevated above 2.5 mg/dL. The mechanism for renal injury during severe and prolonged cholestasis is unknown but the anabolic steroid methasteron has been previously

reported to be associated with renal injury, suggesting that renal injury may be associated with a specific chemical structure of the anabolic steroid³¹. Alternatively, elevated serum bile salts have also been implicated in causing renal injury³². Fortunately, none of the patients died or required liver transplantation. However, 16% of the patients were treated with a trial of corticosteroids, with little or no apparent clinical response, although this was not formally assessed. In addition, almost half were enrolled on Ursodiol therapy, presumably for cholestatic symptoms. Follow up was difficult with these patients as only 36% returned for a 6 month follow up, a rate far lower than the average patient enrolled in DILIN (80%), probably reflecting the background good health of these patients and the illicit nature of the body building supplement use. None developed chronic cholestatic liver injury, vanishing bile duct syndrome³³ or liver failure requiring liver transplantation. There was eventual resolution of all liver tests in those that were followed for greater than 6 months.

Liver Biopsy Findings

All liver biopsies had histological features of profound intrahepatic cholestasis, without evidence of duct injury or loss. A mild hepatitis was noted without fibrosis, as has been previously reported²⁸. The liver histology features noted close to the time of elevated serum aminotransferases were not significantly different from those seen when the R value was < 5, although there was a trend to an increased presence of hepatocyte apoptosis in the former. As none of the biopsies revealed alternative diagnoses, a liver biopsy may not be necessary when the distinct clinical presentation is evident in a young male and exposure history is consistent.

Genetic Analysis

The prolonged cholestasis with a normal GGT level, when tested, is clinically reminiscent of patients who present with BRIC due to genetic variants in ATP8B1, the P type ATPase responsible for PFIC1 and BRIC-1, or ABCB11, the bile salt export protein responsible for PFIC2 or BRIC2. Prior genetic analysis of individuals with anabolic steroid associated cholestatic liver injury with normal GGT identified

heterozygous mutations in *ABCB11* and 2 bp deletion in exon 1 of *PXR*^{11,12}. Thus, a concerted effort was made to identify genetic mutations using WGS and chip-based discovery. The majority of patients with cholestasis due to anabolic steroids do not have causal mutations in the genes *ATP8B1*, *ABCB11* (BSEP), or *ABCB4* (MDR3). Of note, more variants were found in the first ABC membrane region of *ABCB11* and near the N terminus of *ATP8B1* as compared to controls (Supplemental Figure S1). This trend will require confirmation. There were some limitations as 16 patients were genotyped with an exome chip, in which case rare variants may not be identified. For example, 2 of the variants found in genome sequencing were not evaluated on the exome chip. Because 12% of controls had similar variants in these genes, the proportion of cholestatic cases genetically explained is likely to be far lower than the maximum estimate. Therefore, mutations in these 3 candidate genes can only account for a maximum of 20% of our cases. As only 20% at most had genetic variants in genes responsible for rare genetic cholestatic syndrome liver injury may be due to unknown hepatic transporters either at the canalicular or sinusoidal domain of hepatocytes, or variants in other genes³⁴. Other possible mechanism may include secondary metabolic effects of anabolic steroids, such as physical chemical changes in membrane fluidity due to modification of the lipid composition at the canalicular domain or a direct physical chemical interaction of the anabolic steroids on membrane or biliary transporters' function.

Chemical Analysis of Body Building Supplements

As previously reported, body building supplement product labels correlated poorly with the chemical analysis, and the steroids species that were found were often not on the label³⁵. The presence of anabolic steroids in body building supplement samples, which are currently listed as controlled substances (https://www.deadiversion.usdoj.gov/21cfr/cfr/1300/1300_01.htm), illustrates that these DEA controlled steroids are easily available for purchase. Although anabolic steroids were not identified in samples from 5 patients, not all body building supplement samples were available for analysis. In addition, the common phenotypic presentation of these patients without anabolic steroids detected in these supplements strongly suggests exposure. Our data are particularly pertinent in light

of the increasing use of anabolic steroids in the adolescent population. A recent survey revealed that up to 1% of adolescent males and 0.1 % of adolescent females have been exposed to anabolic steroids in high school with an estimated prevalence of use by 2.9 to 4 million Americans⁹. Our chemical analysis confirmed identification of controlled steroids and there was a poor correlation between label and actual content in body building supplements. These data along with others³⁵ suggest that greater regulatory oversight is required to protect the general public.

Strengths of our study include the prospective enrollment of consecutive cases, high causality scores, and extensive phenotyping including liver biopsies in over 50% of the cases. Available body building supplement samples were comprehensively analysed and the chemical structure of the anabolic steroid identified in the vast majority. In this same cohort, genetic variants were sought using either whole genome sequencing or chip based discovery, which included known variants in PFIC genes. Weaknesses in our study were the retrieval of body building supplement agents in only a third of the subjects, inability to demonstrate a clear dose response to liver injury, and inadequate follow up due to poor subject compliance.

In conclusion, patients with liver injury due to body building supplements presented with a uniform and distinctive pattern of marked cholestatic liver injury with pruritus, that was often intractable, prolonged and accompanied by disability and weight loss. Liver biopsy failed to identify another cause suggesting that the clinical diagnosis of severe cholestasis with minimal elevation of liver tests may be sufficient to make the diagnosis. As our patient population were predominately young males, body building supplement use with likely anabolic steroid exposure should be strongly considered in the appropriate patient population who present with new onset jaundice together with mild elevations in the serum aminotransferase levels. This may be confirmed by direct testing for the steroid or the physiological consequences of exposure to anabolic androgens as described.

References

1. Shahidi NT. A review of the chemistry, biological action, and clinical applications of anabolic-androgenic steroids. *Clin Ther.* 2001;23(9):1355-1390.
2. Bagatell CJ, Bremner WJ. Androgens in men--uses and abuses. *N Engl J Med.* 1996;334(11):707-714.
3. Ishak KG, Zimmerman HJ. Hepatotoxic effects of the anabolic/androgenic steroids. *Semin Liver Dis.* 1987;7(3):230-236.
4. Bagheri SA, Boyer JL. Peliosis hepatis associated with androgenic-anabolic steroid therapy. A severe form of hepatic injury. *Ann Intern Med.* 1974;81(5):610-618.
5. Soe KL, Soe M, Glud C. Liver pathology associated with the use of anabolic-androgenic steroids. *Liver.* 1992;12(2):73-79.
6. Barceloux DG, Palmer RB. Anabolic-androgenic steroids. *Dis Mon.* 2013;59(6):226-248.
7. National Library of Medicine. Anabolic Steroids
<https://livertox.nih.gov/AndrogenicSteroids.htm>. Accessed Oct 1, 2017.
8. Velazquez J, Alter BP. Androgens and liver tumors: Fanconi's anemia and non-Fanconi's conditions. *Am J Hematol.* 2004;77(3):257-267.
9. Pope HG, Jr., Kanayama G, Athey A, Ryan E, Hudson JI, Baggish A. The lifetime prevalence of anabolic-androgenic steroid use and dependence in Americans: current best estimates. *Am J Addict.* 2014;23(4):371-377.
10. Kanayama G, Pope HG, Jr. History and epidemiology of anabolic androgens in athletes and non-athletes. *Mol Cell Endocrinol.* 2017.
11. El Sherrif Y, Potts JR, Howard MR, et al. Hepatotoxicity from anabolic androgenic steroids marketed as dietary supplements: contribution from ATP8B1/ABCB11 mutations? *Liver Int.* 2013;33(8):1266-1270.
12. Liebe R, Krawczyk M, Raszeja-Wyszomirska J, et al. Heterozygous Inactivation of the Nuclear Receptor PXR/NR1I2 in a Patient With Anabolic Steroid-Induced Intrahepatic Cholestasis. *Hepat Mon.* 2016;16(8):e35953.
13. Chalasani N, Bonkovsky HL, Fontana R, et al. Features and Outcomes of 899 Patients With Drug-Induced Liver Injury: The DILIN Prospective Study. *Gastroenterology.* 2015;148(7):1340-1352 e1347.
14. Fontana RJ, Watkins PB, Bonkovsky HL, et al. Drug-Induced Liver Injury Network (DILIN) Prospective Study. *Drug Safety.* 2009;32(1):55-68.

15. Navarro VJ, Barnhart H, Bonkovsky HL, et al. Liver injury from herbals and dietary supplements in the U.S. Drug-Induced Liver Injury Network. *Hepatology*. 2014;60(4):1399-1408.
16. Hayashi PH, Barnhart HX, Fontana RJ, et al. Reliability of causality assessment for drug, herbal and dietary supplement hepatotoxicity in the Drug-Induced Liver Injury Network (DILIN). *Liver International*. 2014;35(5):1623-1632.
17. Kleiner DE, Chalasani NP, Lee WM, et al. Hepatic histological findings in suspected drug-induced liver injury: Systematic evaluation and clinical associations. *Hepatology*. 2013;59(2):661-670.
18. Jacquemin E. Progressive familial intrahepatic cholestasis. *Clin Res Hepatol Gastroenterol*. 2012;36 Suppl 1:S26-35.
19. Dakhoul L, Ghabril M, Gu J, et al. Heavy Consumption of Alcohol is Not Associated With Worse Outcomes in Patients With Idiosyncratic Drug-induced Liver Injury Compared to Non-Drinkers. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2018;16(5):722-729 e722.
20. Medina-Caliz I, Garcia-Cortes M, Gonzalez-Jimenez A, et al. Herbal and Dietary Supplement-Induced Liver Injuries in the Spanish DILI Registry. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2018;16(9):1495-1502.
21. Lek M, Karczewski KJ, Minikel EV, et al. Analysis of protein-coding genetic variation in 60,706 humans. *Nature*. 2016;536(7616):285-291.
22. Adzhubei I, Jordan DM, Sunyaev SR. Predicting functional effect of human missense mutations using PolyPhen-2. *Current protocols in human genetics / editorial board, Jonathan L Haines [et al]*. 2013;Chapter 7:Unit7 20.
23. Lang C, Meier Y, Stieger B, et al. Mutations and polymorphisms in the bile salt export pump and the multidrug resistance protein 3 associated with drug-induced liver injury. *Pharmacogenet Genomics*. 2007;17(1):47-60.
24. Schaffner F, Popper H, Chesrow E. Cholestasis produced by the administration of norethandrolone. *Am J Med*. 1959;26(2):249-254.
25. Robles-Diaz M, Gonzalez-Jimenez A, Medina-Caliz I, et al. Distinct phenotype of hepatotoxicity associated with illicit use of anabolic androgenic steroids. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2015;41(1):116-125.

26. Nathwani RA, Kumar SR, Reynolds TB, Kaplowitz N. Marked elevation in serum transaminases: an atypical presentation of choledocholithiasis. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2005;100(2):295-298.
27. Jurate K, Rimantas Z, Jolanta S, Vladas G, Limas K. Sensitivity and Specificity of Biochemical Tests for Diagnosis of Intrahepatic Cholestasis of Pregnancy. *Ann Hepatol.* 2017;16(4):569-573.
28. Stimac D, Milic S, Dintinjana RD, Kovac D, Ristic S. Androgenic/Anabolic steroid-induced toxic hepatitis. *J Clin Gastroenterol.* 2002;35(4):350-352.
29. Anawalt BD. Detection of anabolic androgenic steroid use by elite athletes and by members of the general public. *Mol Cell Endocrinol.* 2018;464:21-27.
30. Nieschlag E, Vorona E. MECHANISMS IN ENDOCRINOLOGY: Medical consequences of doping with anabolic androgenic steroids: effects on reproductive functions. *Eur J Endocrinol.* 2015;173(2):R47-58.
31. Shah NL, Zacharias I, Khettry U, Afdhal N, Gordon FD. Methasteron-associated cholestatic liver injury: clinicopathologic findings in 5 cases. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2008;6(2):255-258.
32. Alkhunaizi AM, ElTigani MA, Rabah RS, Nasr SH. Acute bile nephropathy secondary to anabolic steroids. *Clin Nephrol.* 2016;85(2):121-126.
33. Bonkovsky HL, Kleiner DE, Gu J, et al. Clinical presentations and outcomes of bile duct loss caused by drugs and herbal and dietary supplements. *Hepatology.* 2017;65(4):1267-1277.
34. Boyer JL. Bile formation and secretion. *Compr Physiol.* 2013;3(3):1035-1078.
35. Abbate V, Kicman AT, Evans-Brown M, et al. Anabolic steroids detected in bodybuilding dietary supplements - a significant risk to public health. *Drug Test Anal.* 2015;7(7):609-618.

ix) Tables

Table 1
Clinical and Demographic Features of 44 Patients
with Suspected Anabolic Steroid Jaundice

	Number Positive	Proportion
--	-----------------	------------

Feature	or median	or range
Sex (male)	44	100%
Age (years)	31.5	21-59
Self-reported race		
+ White/Caucasian	36	82%
Black/African American	5	11%
Asian-American	1	2 %
Other/Multiracial	2	5%
Weight (kg) [n=43]*	88.2	50.5-117.1
BMI (kg/m ²) [n=43]*	26.2	19.9-37.4
Pre-Existing Conditions		
Diabetes/Endocrine Ds	0	0%
Alcohol use [n=43]*	35	81%
HCV RNA positive [n=43]*	3	8%
HIV positive	1	2%
Family history liver ds	1	2%
Body building supplement latency (months)	2.5	0.45-15.5
Signs and Symptoms		
Jaundice	44	100%
Pruritus	37	84%
Abdominal Pain	26	59%
Nausea	27	61%
Rash	11	25%
+ Fever	7	16%

- Data not available on all 44 patients; number given in brackets

Table 2

**Laboratory Features of 44 Patients
with Suspected Anabolic Steroid Jaundice**

	Number Positive	Proportion
--	------------------------	-------------------

Feature	or median	or range
<i>Initial values at onset</i>		
ALT (U/L)	169	61-1254
AST (U/L)	82	38-278
Alk Phos (U/L)	111	53-461
Bilirubin (mg/dL)	9.8	4.1-45.7
R Value		
Injury Pattern* [n=42]		
Cholestatic	12	29%
Mixed	13	31%
Hepatocellular	17	41%
<i>Peak values during course</i>		
ALT (U/L)	189	64-1254
AST (U/L)	128	55-472
Alk Phos (U/L)	257	83-625
Bilirubin (mg/dL)	25.8	7.3-63.0
INR	1.1	0.9-2.1
<i>Duration of abnormalities</i>		
Bilirubin \geq 2.5 mg/dL [†] (days) (n=36)	94.3	34-459
<i>Chronic lab abnormalities*</i>		
6 months [n=31] [†]	4	39% [†]
12 months [n=12]	2	50% \pm
24 months [n=2]	2	100% \pm

Table 2 continued

Feature	Number Positive or median	Proportion or range
<i>Other Investigations or interventions</i>		
MRCP or ERCP	9	20%
Liver biopsy	22	50%

Corticosteroid therapy [n=43]	7	16%
Ursodiol therapy at time of enrollment	21	47%
Creatinine > 1.5 mg/dL	6	14%
Causality, Severity and Outcomes		
Severity score		
1 (mild, anicteric)	0	0%
2 (moderate, icteric)	10	23%
3 (moderate, hospitalized)	25	57%
4 (severe, organ failure)	9	21%
5 (fatal or liver transplant)	0	0%
Causality scores		
Definite	25	57%
Highly likely	19	43%
Probable	0	0%

Data not available on all 44 patients; number given in brackets.

* Chronicity defined as any abnormal of serum ALT, T Bili or Alk Phos 6 months after presentation

↑ Only 36 subjects whose duration can be calculated with observed bilirubin level < 2.5

+ Only 16 of the patients came for their 6 month follow up

± Percentage of chronic patients at 6 months followed up at 12 and 24 months

Table 3. Rare functional variants identified in selected patients.

Subject	Genetic ancestry	Genetic dataset&	Gene	Variant (amino acid position)	Variant (chromosomal position)	gnomad MAF ²¹ ±	Polyphen Humdiv Prediction ²²
5	Eur	Chip	ABCB11	E297G	2-169847329-T-C	0.04%	Probably damaging

7	Eur	Chip	ABCB11	I206V	2-169850388-T-C	0.00%	Benign
11	Eur	WGS	ATP8B1	D70N	18-55373793-C-T	0.44%	Probably damaging
13	Eur	WGS	ATP8B1	N45T	18-55398906-T-G	0.75%	Possibly damaging
18	Eur	WGS	ABCB4	R590Q	7-87060844-C-T	0.68%	Probably damaging
30	Eur	WGS	ATP8B1	D70N	18-55373793-C-T	0.44%	Probably damaging
39	Eur	Chip	ABCB11	E297G	2-169847329-T-C	0.04%	Probably damaging
41	AA	WGS	ABCB11	V1112I	2-169787252-C-T	0.02%	Probably damaging

"Eur indicates European, AA indicates African American; &Chip indicates Illumina HumanExome chip, WGS indicates whole-genome sequencing; [±]MAF is reported for non-Finnish Europeans for all cells except for the variant found in an African American patient, where the MAF reported is for Africans

Figure Legends:


Figure 1. Median total bilirubin (mg/dL) (uMol) or Alk Phos and ALT activity in U/L plotted over time in weeks after presentation.

The lines connect the median values over time for each serum test. The numbers of subjects at different time period for ALT are as follows (with about +/- 1 for others): 44 at onset (day 0); 36 at Week 1; 31 at Week 2; 35 for Week 3-4; 29 for Week 5-6; 22 for Week 7-8; 23 for Week 8-12 ; 36 for > 12 Weeks 36. Mean values are depicted as symbols inside the box with the top and bottom of the box representing the 25 and 75 percentiles, respectively.


Figure 2. Histological findings in anabolic steroid DILI.

Anabolic androgenic steroid liver injury is classically associated with bland cholestasis. There is canalicular and hepatocellular cholestasis with little or no lobular inflammation (A) and portal areas have a normal appearance without inflammation (B). (H&E, 600x and 400x respectively). Most of the cases in this report had mild degree of inflammation. Multiple small foci of lobular inflammation (arrowheads) are seen along with canalicular cholestasis (arrows) (C). The portal areas of this case also show inflammation (D).

Author Manuscript



apt_15211_f1.jpeg



apt_15211_f2.jpg