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#### Abstract

The DNA damage response is a conserved process found in all domains of life that serves to protect the genetic material of living organisms. When an organism encounters DNA modifications or 'DNA damage,' a response is elicited resulting in increased levels of DNA repair proteins and activation of a cell cycle checkpoint. In bacteria the DNA damage response has been studied extensively in Escherichia coli. Although the activation of the DNA damage response is conserved in many bacteria, the downstream steps of DNA repair and cell cycle regulation diverge significantly. Therefore, exactly how bacteria respond to DNA damage is still not clear. I used forward genetics in the model organism Bacillus subtilis to screen for nonessential genes that are required for mitigating the toxicity of three distinct classes of DNA damage inducing drugs. I identified two proteases that function in the DNA damage checkpoint. Through studies employing genetics, cell biology, and biochemical approaches, I present that these two proteases degrade the cell division inhibitor that is expressed as part of the DNA damage response. Thus, these two proteases aid in establishing the level of cell division inhibitor required for checkpoint activation, while also serving to clear excess inhibitor preventing further checkpoint activation. Additionally, a detailed investigation using genetics and cell biology uncovered a DNA damage checkpoint antagonist that functions by preventing the cell division inhibitor from activating the checkpoint. Therefore, the checkpoint antagonist aids in establishing the threshold for checkpoint activation along with the checkpoint proteases. The genetic screens also revealed a putative DNA repair pathway. Two genes in a putative operon encoding a helicase and an exonuclease were important for DNA damage caused by a single DNA damaging agent. Genetic analyses indicate that these genes operate as part of a novel nucleotide excision repair pathway that appears to be specific to a natural antibiotic. Finally, I studied a protein that is annotated as a potential mismatch repair protein, yet had no detectable mismatch repair phenotype. My investigation demonstrated that this protein functions by promoting homologous recombination, likely by acting as a secondary Holliday junction endonuclease. Together, my studies have uncovered new pathways of DNA repair and cell cycle


regulation in the DNA damage response in bacteria. Further, in each of my investigations I identified at least two ways of performing a single function in the DNA damage response, uncovering a more general strategy of favoring a robust DNA damage response in B. subtilis.

## CHAPTER I The bacterial DNA damage response

## Introduction

Living organisms are defined by features that distinguish them from non-living matter, and one of the most fundamental aspects of living organisms is the ability to reproduce. The storage and passage of information allows this process to occur. Information in biological systems is stored in deoxyribonucleic acids (DNA). The identification of DNA as the genetic material $(1,2)$ and determination of DNA structure (3) provided the key background knowledge required to understand how cells replicate and protect their genetic material. Intriguingly, scientists had already started to recognize that DNA could be modified and repaired prior to fully understanding that DNA serves as the genetic material (4). Still, after understanding the structure and major function of DNA, scientists could begin to fully appreciate the complex assortment of cellular functions critical for DNA replication. DNA can be modified by light, ionizing radiation, and a plethora of chemicals, and such modifications impair the process of DNA replication. In response, cells have evolved numerous DNA repair mechanisms to cope with these environmental stresses. In addition, the cell must coordinate DNA replication with the process of cell growth and division and vice versa. Through coordinating DNA replication with cell division, cells ensure that each daughter cell will receive a complete set of genetic material. Given the importance of the genetic material, it is no surprise that cells have numerous mechanisms to repair and protect their DNA.

## DNA damage prevents accurate DNA replication

Although DNA is considered a stable medium for storing information, there are many ways that DNA can be modified $(5,6)$. The result of many DNA modifications is referred to as DNA damage. Sources of DNA damage include endogenous metabolic intermediates such as
reactive oxygen species as well as exogenous sources of radiation and chemicals that react directly with DNA (6-8). In addition, there are many antibiotics produced by plants and bacteria that cause DNA damage (9). Thus, DNA damage is a prevalent obstacle encountered by all organisms in nature.

DNA damage prevents accurate DNA replication, though the exact mechanism differs depending on the type of DNA damage encountered during DNA replication. For example, treatment with UV results in a very rapid decrease in DNA replication due to the production of thymine-thymine dimers $(10,11)$. Replicative DNA polymerases cannot utilize thymine dimers as a template because the active site only allows for a single templating base during catalysis (12-15). Similarly, alkylating agents, such as methyl methanesulfonate, can methylate DNA bases, preventing accurate base pairing during DNA synthesis (16). A distinct type of alkylating agents, bi-functional alkylating agents such as mitomycin C, can react with complementary DNA strands resulting in an interstrand cross-link (17). Interstrand DNA cross-links are particularly toxic because the two strands cannot be separated, thus preventing replication and transcription of DNA $(18,19)$. Yet another type of DNA damage is a break in the phosphodiester backbone of DNA caused by agents such as ionizing radiation and the naturally produced peptides bleomycin and phleomycin (6). A break is toxic to cells because the replication machinery depends on the integrity of the template for synthesis of the nascent DNA strand (20, 21). Therefore, although there are diverse types of DNA damage, the major impediment is the inability to access and replicate the information stored within DNA.

## DNA damage activates the SOS response in bacteria

The SOS response is a highly conserved stress response pathway that is activated when bacteria encounter DNA damage (22-25). Activation of the SOS response results in increased transcription of genes important for DNA repair, DNA damage tolerance, and cell cycle regulation (26-28). The collection of genes controlled by the SOS regulatory cascade is referred to as the SOS regulon. Proximal to the promoters of genes in the SOS regulon are DNA binding sites for the transcriptional repressor LexA (29-32). When bound to LexA binding sites, LexA prevents transcription of the SOS regulon (33-36). Thus, activation of the SOS response requires inactivation of LexA, resulting in activated gene transcription (Fig 1.1).

How is the signal of DNA damage transduced to inactivate LexA? Early genetic studies demonstrated that RecA is required for SOS activation (37). RecA catalyzes the pairing of ssDNA to the complementary sequence in dsDNA resulting in synapsis $(38,39)$. RecA was also shown to be required for LexA inactivation in vitro, which led to the suggestion that RecA was a protease that was responsible for cleaving LexA (40). Further study demonstrated that RecA acted as a co-factor when bound to ssDNA that stimulated the auto-proteolytic activity of LexA (41). Thus, LexA is a transcriptional repressor that undergoes auto-digestion stimulated by the RecA/ssDNA nucleoprotein filament (Fig 1.1). A unique feature of the SOS regulatory cascade is that many different DNA damaging treatments result in activation of the pathway. A diverse set of DNA lesions can cause activation of the SOS response because the signal that relays DNA damage to the SOS response is generation of a $\operatorname{Rec} \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{ssDNA}$ nucleoprotein filament that can be formed through DNA repair or replication of damaged template DNA.

How does DNA damage lead to the accumulation of ssDNA in vivo? Treatment with double strand break inducing agents such as bleomycins or ionizing radiation leads to induction of the SOS response (42-44). Repair of double stranded breaks occurs through homologous recombination $(38,45,46)$, during which ssDNA is generated by the helicase/nuclease complex RecBCD in E. coli (20), or AddAB in B. subtilis (47). RecBCD and AddAB bind to double strand DNA breaks $(47,48)$ and generate a free $3^{\prime}$ end onto which RecA is loaded (49-53). Thus, double strand breaks result in the generation of a $\operatorname{RecA} /$ ssDNA nucleoprotein filament that can activate the SOS response. In B. subtilis, replication is required for formation of a RecA-GFP focus and DNA damage is not sufficient, though it is still not clear whether replication is required for SOS activation (54). In E. coli, replication is not required to stimulate LexA cleavage following treatment with bleomycin (43). The generation of ssDNA following treatments that cause other types of DNA lesions such as pyrimidine dimers from UV light is less clear, though the process depends on DNA replication (43). One idea is that the stalling of DNA polymerase results in uncoupling of the replicative helicase from the replisome generating excess ssDNA (55). A second model is that the replication machinery stalls at lesions such as pyrimidine dimers and will skip ahead, leaving a gap of ssDNA that was not replicated (55). A primer generated from an RNA transcript (56) or by primase (57) can allow DNA polymerase to advance beyond a DNA lesion on the leading strand. The resulting ssDNA gap generated by DNA polymerase skipping ahead on the leading strand can be used as a substrate for loading

RecA by the RecFOR complex (58). Indeed, activation of the SOS response following UV exposure is also dependent on the RecFOR proteins (59). Thus, when cells encounter DNA damage, the general distress signal is a RecA/ssDNA nucleoprotein filament, which is the initial step in the DNA repair pathway homologous recombination. Therefore, bacteria make use of a DNA repair intermediate generated early in the repair process to activate expression of the genes important for mitigating the stress induced by DNA damage (Fig 1.1).

## Homologous recombination in bacteria

DNA damage is a ubiquitous stressor encountered by all organisms. Thus, to ensure the integrity of the genetic material, cells possess repair pathways as diverse as the DNA lesions encountered in the environment (6). Homologous recombination is a highly conserved pathway that functions in several DNA exchange reactions in bacteria (60). Homologous recombination participates in the repair of several types of DNA damage including lesions caused by DNA methylation, alkylation, cross-links, and double strand DNA breaks (18, 19, 61). In all cases, the purpose of homologous recombination as a DNA repair pathway is to restore lost sequence information using a second copy of the chromosome. For simplicity, the model for homologous recombination will be explained using the process of double strand break repair (Fig 1.2).

Repair of double strand DNA breaks begins when a helicase nuclease complex recognizes the broken end of the chromosome (20). In E. coli, the RecBCD complex can bind to a dsDNA end, whereas the AddAB complex performs this function in $B$. subtilis (62). The end processing enzymes RecBCD or AddAB then generate a free $3^{\prime}$ overhang $(20,62)$. RecA is loaded onto the ssDNA by RecBCD or the RecFOR complex (50, 51, 58). RecA performs a homology search to find and pair the broken chromosome with the intact sister chromosome (38, 46). The process continues with the branch migration enzymes RuvAB, which function as a helicase to separate the strands of the sister chromosome providing the template for DNA polymerase to synthesize the sequence information lost at the site of the DNA break (63). The resulting Holliday junction is resolved by the Holliday junction endonuclease RuvC in E. coli or RecU in B. subtilis resulting in two intact chromosomes (63-65). Although homologous recombination is a well-studied process in bacteria, there remain several genes present in bacterial genomes with phenotypes similar to genes involved in homologous recombination, yet
no clear role in the pathway (45). I present a reverse genetic analysis of the gene mutS2 in Chapter V, which suggests that MutS2 functions as a back-up to RecU in B. subtilis.

## Nucleotide excision repair in bacteria

Nucleotide excision repair (NER) is a major DNA repair pathway present in both prokaryotes and eukaryotes (66). The NER machinery is capable of removing many types of DNA lesions that result in bulky adducts or other helix distorting lesions (67). In bacteria, the mechanism of NER was elucidated through studies in the model organism E. coli (68-70). The genes $u v r A, u v r B$, and $u v r C$ were identified by mapping several mutants that were sensitive to UV light to three distinct loci in the E. coli genome (71). These three genes encode the nucleotide excision repair machinery UvrABC, which recognizes and initiates the removal of damaged DNA (Fig 1.3).

The process of nucleotide excision repair begins by recognition of a DNA lesion. Purified UvrA binds to UV irradiated DNA with greater affinity than untreated DNA, and ATP is required for preferential binding (72). The ATPase domains of UvrA are also required for recognizing damaged DNA preferentially (73). Based on studies in vitro with purified components, it was long thought that a UvrA dimer complexed with one or two UvrB molecules performed genome surveillance and DNA damage recognition (68, 69, 74). Recent work using single molecule microscopy in live cells demonstrated that UvrA can bind to damaged DNA in vivo in the absence of $\operatorname{UvrB}$ (75). Further, recruitment of UvrB stimulates the release of $\operatorname{UvrA}$ from DNA, and UvrA ATPase activity is required for UvrA release (75). UvrB is hypothesized to verify the presence of a DNA lesion by using its helicase activity to unwind the DNA $(76,77)$. Following lesion verification, $\operatorname{UvrB}$ recruits $\operatorname{UvrC}(78,79)$. Successful recruitment of $\operatorname{UvrC}$ ultimately leads to incisions occurring on either side of the DNA lesion at approximate 7-8 nucleotides $5^{\prime}$ to the lesion and 3-4 nucleotide $3^{\prime}$ to the lesion (80-82). The subsequent steps of repair are carried out by the helicase UvrD, the DNA polymerase Pol I, and DNA ligase in $E$. coli $(69,70)$. Repair synthesis by Pol I required the action of UvrD to stimulate the disassociation of UvrC and removal of the excised DNA $(83,84)$. The resulting product generated by Pol I is a substrate for DNA ligase ( 83,84 ), thus completing the repair process.

Although nucleotide excision repair is well studied in E. coli, the process in B. subtilis has not been studied in detail. Although $u v r A B C$ and polA (gene coding for Pol I) are conserved, cho and $u v r D$ are not. There is evidence to suggest that the helicase PcrA in B. subtilis can function in place of $\operatorname{UvrD}(85)$, though how the excision repair process occurs in vivo remains unclear. Further, there is evidence in E. coli that multiple NER pathways exist $(86,87)$, and it is unclear whether other pathways exist in other bacteria. In Chapter IV, I describe the discovery of a novel nucleotide excision repair pathway specific to DNA damage caused by the antibiotic mitomycin C.

## DNA damage-dependent cell cycle regulation in bacteria

DNA replication and cell division are essential processes that must be coordinated to ensure that subsequent generations receive an accurate and complete set of genetic material. Under normal conditions DNA replication and cell division occur at rates that allow DNA replication and segregation of a complete chromosome to each daughter cell. When a cell encounters DNA damage, however, DNA replication is blocked, which requires cell division to be delayed to provide enough time for DNA repair and completion of DNA replication. Bacteria make use of multiple mechanisms to ensure that cell division occurs after DNA replication and segregation of the chromosomes including nucleoid occlusion, SOS-independent regulation of the divisome, and an SOS-dependent DNA damage checkpoint. Below, I discuss each of these mechanisms that regulate cytokinesis in bacteria.

## Nucleoid Occlusion

A straightforward mechanism of preventing cell division prior to completion of DNA replication is nucleoid occlusion. Nucleoid occlusion prevents the cell division machinery from operating in the same location as the nucleoid. Thus, the nucleoid occludes the cell division machinery. In E. coli nucleoid occlusion is carried out by a protein called $\operatorname{SlmA}(88,89)$. $\operatorname{Slm} A$ was identified using a genetic screen searching for genes that were important for cell division in the absence of the Min system (90). The Min system, composed of MinCDE, prevents cell division from occurring at the cell poles (91). Therefore, the logic was that mutants defective in both pathways responsible for selection of the site of cell division would not survive. Indeed,
mutants in $\operatorname{slm} A$ were isolated (90). Intriguingly, deletion of $\operatorname{stm} A$ alone did not change the frequency of cell division septum formation over the nucleoid, however, deletion of both $\operatorname{slm} A$ and $\min C D E$ resulted in a drastic increase in septa forming over the nucleoid region (90). Importantly, when the researchers blocked DNA replication, deletion of $\operatorname{slm} A$ caused a marked increase in septum formation over nucleoids (90). Therefore, $\operatorname{SlmA}$ is required to prevent cell division from occurring at the same location as the nucleoid, thereby providing feedback inhibition to the cell division machinery based on DNA replication.

How does SlmA prevent cell division from occurring over the nucleoid? GFP-SlmA localizes to the nucleoid, and if the helix-turn-helix domain is removed GFP-SlmA becomes diffusely cytosolic (90). The helix-turn-helix domain of $\operatorname{SlmA}$ is similar to the sequence specific DNA binding domains of the TetR family of bacterial transcriptional repressors (90). Indeed, SlmA was found to be a sequence specific DNA binding protein that interacts with several distinct sites on the $E$. coli chromosome $(92,93)$. In addition to DNA binding activity, SlmA can also inhibit FtsZ filament formation (93). FtsZ is a tubulin-like protein that forms a ring structure composed of several filaments at the site of cell division (94). Although SlmA can inhibit FtsZ filament formation, SlmA bound to its DNA binding site inhibits FtsZ far more efficiently (93). Therefore, SlmA localizes to the nucleoid by binding at distinct chromosomal loci and prevents cell division by inhibiting FtsZ ring formation, thereby providing a mechanism to delay cell division if replication and segregation of the chromosome has not completed.

The model bacterium B. subtilis also uses nucleoid occlusion to inhibit cell division, though the mechanism differs from the system discovered in E. coli. The nucleoid occlusion gene noc was identified as a gene that was required in the absence of the Min system (95). When both Noc and the Min system were absent, FtsZ failed to form a distinct band at mid-cell (95). Further, when DNA replication was blocked in cells without Noc, division septa formed over the nucleoids, providing evidence that Noc prevents cell division over the nucleoid (95). Noc is a sequence specific DNA binding protein that binds to several distinct chromosomal loci (96). The N-terminus of Noc is an amphipathic helix that interacts with the cell membrane (97). Thus, Noc is thought to bind to the B. subtilis chromosome and localize to the inner leaflet of the cell membrane, which in turn prevents assembly of a complex of proteins necessary for cell division called the divisome (97), providing a mechanism by which the nucleoid can delay cell division to
allow for DNA replication to complete. In addition, a Noc-independent form of nucleoid occlusion following arrest of DNA replication has been observed in B. subtilis, though the mechanism and cellular factors responsible for this phenomenon are not clear (98).

## SOS-independent regulation of the divisome

In addition to the nucleoid regulating cell division directly, the status of DNA replication provides feedback inhibition to the cell division machinery. Transcriptomic studies of the SOS response in B. subtilis revealed that many genes were regulated by DNA damage independently of the SOS activating protein RecA (99). Further analysis showed that several operons were regulated by the essential replication initiator protein DnaA and had binding sites for DnaA in their promoters (99). One of the genes that is repressed following inhibition of DNA replication is $f t s L$ (99). FtsL is one of the essential protein components of the cell division machinery, and previous studies had shown that FtsL is a highly unstable protein (100-102). Inhibition of DNA replication caused an increase in cell length independent of the SOS-dependent cell division inhibitor (see below); however, overexpression of FtsL resulted in shorter cells on average, indicating that a decrease in FtsL is responsible for delaying cell division in response to a block in DNA replication (99). Thus, it appears that DnaA relays a block in DNA replication to the cell division machinery by repressing expression of the unstable divisome protein FtsL.

## SOS-dependent DNA damage checkpoint

Although the above mechanisms contribute to the regulation of cell division in response to DNA replication status, the most well understood mechanism of control in bacteria is the SOS-dependent cell cycle checkpoint. The bacterial DNA damage checkpoint was originally discovered in E. coli. Long before the effects of treatment with UV light on DNA synthesis were understood (11, 103), E. coli cells were found to elongate and grow into long filaments following exposure to UV light (104). More than three decades later, the existence of a specific cell division inhibitor was hypothesized (105). The hypothesis was that the gene encoding the cell division inhibitor was regularly repressed, and inhibition of DNA replication would result in derepression and therefore accumulation of a protein that could prevent cell division (105). Remarkably, this hypothesis was upheld over the course of several years of subsequent investigation of the DNA damage checkpoint in bacteria.

Mutants lacking the ability to elongate or filament in response to DNA damage were isolated and originally called sfiA because the mutations suppressed filamentation (106). A subsequent study determined that sfiA mutations were in the same gene as sulA mutations, which were so named because they suppressed DNA damage sensitivity of lon mutants $(107,108)$. To test whether sulA was controlled by exogenous DNA damage treatments, a LacZ reporter at the sulA locus was monitored following several types of DNA damage treatment, which verified that sulA expression was inducible by DNA damage (109). The promoter of sulA contains a binding site for the repressor LexA (110), and binding of LexA at the promoter of sulA repressed transcription (111). Thus, activation of the SOS-response, and therefore inactivation of LexA, results in high levels of sulA expression.

Other methods of increasing SulA protein levels, including over-expression in the absence of DNA damage or deletion of lon leads to filamentation (112-114). One of the first steps in cell division is the assembly of the FtsZ ring at the future division site (94). Overexpression of SulA prevents formation of the FtsZ ring in vivo (115). One of the original mutations that suppressed DNA damage-induced filamentation, sfiB, mapped to the $f t s Z$ locus. Further studies demonstrated that mutations in $f t s Z$ could suppress filamentation resulting from SulA accumulation, suggesting that FtsZ could be the direct target of SulA $(116,117)$. In addition, over-expression of FtsZ rescued inhibition of cell division following UV treatment in lon mutants, further establishing a link between SulA function and FtsZ (118). An interaction between SulA and FtsZ was detected using a yeast two-hybrid assay. Moreover, several mutations in SulA that abolished its ability to inhibit cell division also abolished the interaction with FtsZ, and mutations in FtsZ that are not susceptible to SulA over-expression also abolished the interaction (119). Experiments using an FtsZ polymerization assay in vitro demonstrated that SulA could directly inhibit FtsZ polymerization (117, 120). Mutations in SulA that cannot block cell division failed to inhibit FtsZ polymerization, whereas mutations in FtsZ that are refractory to SulA accumulation were insensitive to $\operatorname{SulA}(117,120)$. A detailed kinetic analysis of SulA inhibition of FtsZ polymerization revealed that SulA increased the concentration of FtsZ required for polymerization, and that SulA interaction with FtsZ functions by sequestering FtsZ monomers (121). Therefore, increased SulA production that results from SOS activation results in temporary inhibition of FtsZ ring assembly, thereby delaying cell division until the SOS response is turned off and SulA is degraded (Fig 1.4).

The accumulation of SulA is reversed by Lon protease activity. As mentioned above, sulA was originally identified by isolation of a mutation that suppressed DNA damage sensitivity in a lon mutant $(107,108)$. The Lon protein was later determined to be an ATP-dependent protease (122), leading to the hypothesis that SulA could be a substrate of Lon. Indeed, SulA was found to be highly unstable in Lon ${ }^{+}$cells; however, in a lon mutant SulA was stabilized, indicating that SulA stability is regulated by Lon protease (113). Intriguingly, despite a significant increase in SulA stability in lon mutants, pulse-chase labeling experiments demonstrated that SulA was still susceptible to proteolysis in vivo (113). Subsequent studies identified a second ATP-dependent protease, ClpYQ, that affects the stability of SulA in vivo and degrades SulA in vitro (123-125). Although the evidence that Lon protease activity regulated SulA stability in vivo was convincing, it was not clear that SulA was a direct substrate of Lon protease. Studies using purified Lon protease demonstrated that SulA was indeed a direct substrate (126). Thus, Lon protease provides recovery from the DNA damage cell cycle checkpoint imposed by SulA, thereby allowing for cell division to proceed (Fig 1.4).

The identification of a DNA damage checkpoint in bacteria was an important conceptual advance; however, extrapolation of the mechanism beyond $E$. coli has proven challenging. The cell division inhibitor SulA is not well conserved in bacteria. Nonetheless, treatment with DNA damaging agents does lead to cell filamentation in B. subtilis (127). The SOS response is conserved in B. subtilis, however sulA is not conserved (128). Thus, it was not clear what gene product acted as the inhibitor in B. subtilis. To identify the gene coding for the cell division inhibitor, a micro-array experiment was performed using wild-type and lexA mutant cells, reasoning that if there is an SOS-dependent cell division inhibitor it will be highly transcribed in cells lacking the LexA repressor (129). One of the highly transcribed genes in the lexA mutant was yneA, and a Northern blot analysis confirmed that the yneA operon was induced in the absence of functional LexA (129). The yneA operon is located adjacent to lexA in the B. subtilis genome, and inspection of the promoter region of yneA revealed two binding sites for LexA, providing evidence that LexA regulates yneA expression directly (129). Indeed, purified LexA can bind to the promoter region of yneA (31).

Examination of lexA mutant cells showed that they were significantly longer relative to the wild-type strain, indicating that cell division is inhibited in the lexA mutant (129). Deletion of
the yneA operon returned cell length to normal in the lexA mutant strain, suggesting that yneA is necessary for inhibiting cell division (129). To test if expression of yneA is sufficient for increased cell length, yneA was placed under the control of an IPTG inducible promoter, and cells were found to increase in length following addition of IPTG (129). Cell elongation following treatment with DNA damage was also mostly dependent on yneA, strongly suggesting that YneA is the SOS induced cell division inhibitor (129). Thus, yneA expression is induced by DNA damage, and inhibition of cell division is dependent on yneA (Fig 1.5).

The mechanism of YneA-dependent inhibition of cell division is still not understood. Given that SulA prevents FtsZ ring assembly (115), it was hypothesized that YneA could also inhibit FtsZ (129). Visualization of FtsZ following YneA expression showed that FtsZ rings still form at mid-cell, suggesting that YneA inhibits a different cellular target (130). YneA is a small protein containing an N -terminal transmembrane domain and a C-terminal LysM domain (129, 130). LysM domains in proteins often interact with the peptidoglycan cell wall (131). Expression of YneA causes increased cell length; however, following a shift to medium that does not induce YneA expression, the cells begin to divide and YneA is rapidly degraded (130). These experiments suggest that YneA reversibly inhibits cell division. An alanine scanning mutagenesis analysis of the transmembrane domain demonstrated that several residues that clustered to a single face of the alpha helix were critical for YneA function in vivo, suggesting that YneA may interact with one of the proteins with a transmembrane domain that functions as part of the divisome (130). The transmembrane domain is necessary, though not sufficient for YneA to inhibit cell division (130). Interestingly, Mo and Burkholder found that YneA is cleaved, releasing the YneA C-terminus from the transmembrane domain and into the medium (130). Mutation of the putative signal peptide cleavage site did not appreciably decrease YneA processing, though mutation to a canonical signal peptide cleavage site resulted in increased YneA processing (130). Further, a mutation at the C-terminus of YneA was found to increase YneA stability (130). An analysis of cell elongation using these YneA variants demonstrated that YneA was processed more quickly resulting in shorter cells, whereas the stabilizing mutation resulted in increased cell length, suggesting that full-length YneA is the active form of the protein (130). Together, these studies lead to a model wherein YneA is the SOS-dependent cell division inhibitor that establishes the DNA damage checkpoint, and degradation of YneA by an unknown protease results in cell cycle progression (Fig 1.5).

As several studies continued the search for DNA damage cell cycle checkpoints in other bacteria, it became clear that the mechanism discovered in E. coli was not representative of other species (132-136). In fact, the use of a small membrane bound protein similar to YneA in $B$. subtilis appears to be the more wide-spread mechanism. Identification of additional cell division inhibitors has proved challenging as homology searches have failed to identify homologs in distantly related bacterial species, requiring empirical identification. The differences in DNA damage checkpoint mechanisms likely reflect the diversity of bacterial life styles, given that the need to delay cell division in response to DNA damage will depend on growth rate, the frequency and severity of DNA damage encountered in the environment, and DNA repair capability of each organism.

Following the discovery of YneA in B. subtilis, the next cell division inhibitor was identified in Mycobacterium tuberculosis. The cell division inhibitor Rv2719c was identified by a micro-array experiment as an SOS induced gene (137, 138); and it is located adjacent to LexA similar to YneA (139). Intriguingly, many of the DNA damage inducible genes in $M$. tuberculosis are induced independent of RecA (137). Rv2719c is DNA damage inducible, and its regulation is not dependent on RecA (138). A mutational analysis of the promoter of Rv2719c demonstrated that mutation of LexA binding sites resulted in high levels of reporter expression regardless of DNA damage treatment, suggesting that Rv2719c is regulated by LexA but not RecA (139). Further analysis of Rv2719c expression and cell length demonstrated that increased expression is correlated with increased cell length, and specific over-expression of Rv2719c is sufficient to cause an increase in cell length (132). Increased expression of Rv2719c does not appear to affect FtsZ as is the case for SulA (132). Rv2719c is a protein containing a transmembrane domain and a LysM domain similar to YneA. Purified Rv2719c was found to possess cell wall hydrolase activity in vitro, and expression of a GFP-Rv2719c fusion had a localization pattern similar to nascent peptidoglycan synthesis, suggesting that inhibitor activity may be exerted on the cell wall by Rv2719c (132). Overall, Rv2719c is an SOS-inducible cell division inhibitor regulated by LexA independent of RecA, that may act on the cell wall or cell wall synthesis machinery to establish a DNA damage-dependent cell cycle checkpoint.

The observation that $y n e A$ and $r v 2719 c$ are adjacent to lexA in the genomes of their respective organisms and that they are transcribed divergently led to the discovery of the DNA
damage inducible cell division inhibitor in Corynebacterium glutamicum and S. aureus (133, 136). The $d i v S$ gene in C. glutamicum is located adjacent to lexA and its expression is dependent on RecA (133). Cell elongation following treatment with DNA damaging agents is dependent on recA and $\operatorname{divS}(133)$. An analysis of the promoter uncovered LexA binding sites, and LexA binds to the promoter region in vitro (140). Over-expression of DivS resulted in increased cell length, indicating that increased DivS expression is sufficient to inhibit cell division (133). The DivS protein has three domains: an N-terminal domain, a transmembrane domain, and a C-terminal domain. The N -terminus was found to be dispensable for cell division inhibition, whereas nine amino acids at the C-terminus were required (133). The sites of nascent peptidoglycan synthesis and formation of FtsZ rings were found to be decreased following treatment with DNA damage, which required DivS (133). Over-expression of DivS also resulted in decreased sites of nascent peptidoglycan synthesis (133). The authors hypothesized that disruption of septal peptidoglycan synthesis by DivS was a result of blocking FtsZ ring formation (133), though the exact mechanism by which DivS activates the DNA damage checkpoint and prevents cell division is not clear. The cell division inhibitor SosA in S. aureus is also located adjacent to lexA in the genome (136). Investigation of SosA function revealed a direct interaction with PBP1 (136), which is crucial for peptidoglycan synthesis at the division septum (141, 142). Although SosA appears to interact with PBP1, exactly how SosA inhibits cell division remains unclear.

The discovery of the SOS inducible cell division inhibitor in Caulobacter crescentus required the use of micro-arrays because the inhibitor is not located near lexA in the genome. Expression of the gene encoding the cell division inhibitor sidA increased rapidly following treatment with MMC or UV light, and inspection of the promoter revealed putative LexA binding sites (134). Deletion of lexA resulted in severe cell elongation that could be rescued by deletion of $\operatorname{sid} A$, and over-expression of $\operatorname{sid} A$ resulted in significant cell elongation (134), demonstrating that SidA over-expression is sufficient to inhibit cell division (134). SidA is a small protein that contains a transmembrane domain. A genetic suppressor screen identified mutations in $f t s W$ and $f t s I$, genes encoding two essential components of the divisome involved in peptidoglycan synthesis at the division septum, that could suppress cell division inhibition from over-expressing SidA (134). SidA was found to interact with FtsW in a bacterial two-hybrid assay, and when an untagged FtsW was produced with tagged SidA and FtsI a slight interaction was observed (134). SidA did not alter the localization or recruitment of these divisome proteins
to the site of division, and experiments using fluorescently labeled vancomycin did not find a defect in septal peptidoglycan synthesis following SidA over-expression (134). Together, these data support a model wherein SidA is an SOS-induced cell division inhibitor that inhibits the activity of the divisome through an undetermined mechanism to establish the DNA damage checkpoint.

## SOS-independent DNA damage checkpoint

A subsequent study in C. crescentus identified a second, SOS-independent cell division inhibitor, DidA, whose expression is still DNA damage inducible and that acts by inhibiting the divisome (135). DidA is also a small protein containing a transmembrane domain. The authors identified a new transcription factor, DriD, that positively regulates $\operatorname{didA}$ expression. Interestingly, DriD is activated via a DNA damage-dependent mechanism, though it is still unclear how DNA damage activates DriD (135). Therefore, in C. crescentus there are two cell division inhibitors that interact with the divisome to prevent cell division following DNA damage, thus establishing the cell cycle checkpoint. The mechanism of relieving the checkpoint remains unknown.

## Conclusion

The bacterial DNA damage response has been studied for decades. Although significant progress has been made using the model organism E. coli, it is becoming clear that the mechanisms of DNA damage-dependent cell division regulation in other bacteria differ significantly. Instead of the small cytoplasmic inhibitor of FtsZ, SulA, the cell division inhibitors in other bacteria are small membrane bound proteins that in some cases have been found to interact with other components of the cell division machinery (134-136). Still, the mechanism of establishing the DNA damage cell cycle checkpoint by membrane bound cell division inhibitors has remained unclear, and the mechanism of recovery has not been determined in any organism. In the highly tractable model organism B. subtilis, the cell division inhibitor has been identified; however, the mechanism of action remains to be elucidated. Although YneA is rapidly degraded (130), it was not clear what proteases were responsible for degrading YneA and how they are regulated. In Chapter II, I demonstrate that YneA is degraded by two previously uncharacterized
proteases. Further, in Chapter III I identify another previously uncharacterized gene that negatively regulates YneA, thereby helping to set the threshold for checkpoint activation. Thus, a major thrust of this dissertation is illuminating how the DNA damage checkpoint is established and relieved in B. subtilis.

Figures


Figure 1.1 A model for activation of the bacterial SOS response. Activation of the SOS response begins with accumulation of ssDNA that occurs when high levels of DNA damage are present (green polygons). The ssDNA is subsequently coated with the protein RecA. The resulting RecA/ssDNA nucleoprotein filament stimulates the protease activity of the transcriptional repressor LexA (yellow protein). LexA undergoes auto-cleavage, which results in de-repression of the LexA regulon. Many of the genes in the LexA regulon are involved in DNA repair, DNA damage tolerance, and regulation of cell division a process known as a cell cycle checkpoint. Yellow boxes represent LexA binding sites, and purple boxes represent -35 and -10 promoter sequences.


Figure 1.2 Double strand break repair via homologous recombination in bacteria. A double strand break is recognized by the end processing machinery, which generates a free $3^{\prime}$ end. RecA is loaded onto ssDNA and performs a homology search. After synthesis of the sequence information lost at the site of the break, the resulting Holliday junction is resolved, yielding two intact chromosomes.


Figure 1.3 Nucleotide excision repair in bacteria. A bulky adduct or thymine dimer is recognized by UvrA. After lesion recognition, UvrB is recruited and lesion verification is performed. Subsequent recruitment of the nuclease UvrC results in two incisions, one on either side of the lesion.


Figure 1.4 DNA damage-dependent cell cycle checkpoint in $\boldsymbol{E}$ coli. When $E$. coli cells encounter DNA damage (green polygons), the SOS response is activated and the cell division inhibitor SulA is over-expressed. SulA prevents cell division by inhibiting assembly of the FtsZ ring. Following DNA repair and SOS termination, SulA is degraded by Lon protease, allowing cell division to proceed.


Figure 1.5 DNA damage-dependent cell cycle checkpoint in B. subtilis. When B. subtilis cells encounter DNA damage (green polygons), the SOS response is activated and the cell division inhibitor YneA is over-expressed. YneA inhibits cell division through an unknown mechanism and subsequent to DNA repair is degraded by unknown proteases, which allows cell division to proceed.
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## CHAPTER II

## Discovery of a dual protease mechanism that promotes DNA damage checkpoint recovery


#### Abstract

The DNA damage response is a signaling pathway found throughout biology. In many bacteria the DNA damage checkpoint is enforced by inducing expression of a small, membrane bound inhibitor that delays cell division providing time to repair damaged chromosomes. How cells promote checkpoint recovery after sensing successful repair is unknown. By using a highthroughput, forward genetic screen, we identified two unrelated proteases, YlbL and CtpA, that promote DNA damage checkpoint recovery in Bacillus subtilis. Deletion of both proteases leads to accumulation of the checkpoint protein YneA. We show that DNA damage sensitivity and increased cell elongation in protease mutants depends on yneA. Further, expression of YneA in protease mutants was sufficient to inhibit cell proliferation. Finally, we show that both proteases interact with YneA and that one of the two proteases, CtpA, directly cleaves YneA in vitro. With these results, we report the mechanism for DNA damage checkpoint recovery in bacteria that use membrane bound cell division inhibitors.


## Author summary

Prokaryotes and eukaryotes coordinate cell division to genome integrity using DNA damage checkpoints. Many bacteria express a small, membrane binding protein to slow cell division when obstacles to DNA replication are encountered. Cell division inhibitors of this class have been identified in several bacterial species, yet the mechanism used to alleviate inhibition

[^0]has remained unknown. Using forward genetics, we identified two unstudied genes, coding for the proteases YlbL and CtpA , that when deleted result in sensitivity to drugs that directly damage DNA. We show that sensitivity to DNA damage in protease mutants is a result of accumulation of the cell division inhibitor. Further, we show that YlbL and CtpA are responsible for degrading the cell division inhibitor allowing for cell division to resume. Importantly, these two proteases are not homologs, demonstrating a striking example of a bacterium using non-homologous enzymes to degrade a single substrate. Our investigation uncovers the previously unknown mechanism used to remove a cell division inhibitor, while also illuminating a potential strategy that bacteria can use to regulate signaling pathways. The use of multiple, unrelated proteins to perform a single function may represent a strategy employed throughout biological systems.

## Introduction

The DNA damage response (DDR, SOS response in bacteria) is an important pathway for maintaining genome integrity in all domains of life. Misregulation of the DDR in humans can result in various disease conditions $(1,2)$, and in bacteria the SOS response has been found to be important for survival under many stressors (3-5). The DNA damage response in all organisms results in three principle outcomes: a transcriptional response, which can vary depending on the type of DNA damage incurred, DNA repair, and activation of a DNA damage checkpoint (6-9). In eukaryotes, the G1/S and G2/M checkpoints are established by checkpoint kinases, which transduce the signal of DNA damage through inactivation of the phosphatase Cdc25 (7). Checkpoint kinase dependent inhibition of Cdc25 leads to accumulation of phosphorylated cyclin dependent kinases, which prevents cell cycle progression (7). In bacteria, the SOSdependent DNA damage checkpoint relies on expression of a cell division inhibitor, though the type of inhibitor varies between bacterial species.

In Escherichia coli, the SOS-dependent DNA damage checkpoint is the best understood bacterial checkpoint (6). Upon activation of the SOS response, the cytoplasmic cell division inhibitor SulA is expressed (10). SulA accumulation leads to a block in septum formation by preventing the assembly of the cytokinetic ring by FtsZ, a homolog of eukaryotic tubulin (11, 12). SulA binds directly to FtsZ (13) and inhibits FtsZ polymerization (14, 15). Recovery from the SulAinduced checkpoint occurs through proteolysis of SulA. Lon is the primary protease responsible
for clearing SulA (16-18), although ClpYQ (HslUV) were found to contribute to SulA degradation in the absence of Lon (19-21). Thus, the mechanisms of DNA damage checkpoint activation by the cytoplasmic protein SulA and subsequent recovery are well understood in $E$. coli. The SulA-dependent checkpoint, however, is restricted to $E$. coli and a subset of closely related bacteria. It is becoming increasingly clear that most other bacteria use a DNA damage checkpoint with an entirely different mechanism of enforcement and recovery.

An evolutionarily broad group of bacterial organisms have been shown to use a notably different DNA damage checkpoint mechanism (22-25). In these Gram-positive and Gramnegative organisms, a small protein with a transmembrane domain is expressed that inhibits cell division without targeting FtsZ. One example is in the Gram-negative bacterium Caulobacter crescentus, where the SidA and DidA proteins bind to the essential membrane bound divisome components, FtsW/N, that contribute to peptidoglycan remodeling (22, 26). Another example is the Gram-positive bacterium Bacillus subtilis in which the SOS-dependent cell division inhibitor is YneA (23). YneA contains an N-terminal transmembrane domain with the majority of the protein found in the extracellular space (27). Upon SOS activation, LexA-dependent repression of yneA is relieved and yneA is expressed (23). Increased expression of yneA results in cell elongation, though FtsZ ring formation still occurs (27), suggesting YneA inhibits cell division through a mechanism distinct from that of SulA. Further investigation found that overexpressed YneA is released into the medium, and that full length YneA is likely the active form of the protein (27). The mechanism(s) responsible for YneA inactivation is unknown. Therefore, although the use of a small, membrane bound cell division inhibitor is wide-spread among bacteria, in all cases studied the mechanism of checkpoint recovery remains unknown (22-26).

We report a set of forward genetic screens to three different classes of DNA damaging agents using transposon mutagenesis followed by deep sequencing ( Tn -seq). Our screen identified two proteases, YlbL and CtpA, that are important for growth in the presence of DNA damage. Mechanistic investigation demonstrates that YlbL and CtpA have overlapping functions, and in the absence of these two proteases, DNA damage-dependent cell elongation is increased and checkpoint recovery is slowed. A proteomic analysis identified accumulation of YneA in the double protease mutant. We also found that DNA damage sensitivity of protease mutants depends solely on yneA. Further, we show that both proteases interact with full length

YneA in a bacterial two-hybrid assay, and that CtpA is able to digest YneA in a purified system. With these results, we present a model of DNA damage checkpoint recovery for bacteria that use the more wide-spread mechanism employing a small, membrane bound cell division inhibitor.

## Results

## Forward genetic screen rationale and analysis

In order to better understand the DNA damage response in bacteria, we performed three forward genetic screens using $B$. subtilis. We generated a transposon insertion library consisting of more than 120,000 distinct insertions (Table 2.1). The coverage of each transposon mutant in the library was plotted against the genome coordinates, which showed that the distribution of insertions was approximately uniform across the chromosome in the population of mutants (Fig 2.1A). Two small exceptions were detected where coverage decreased. Decreased coverage corresponds to regions where many essential genes are clustered (Fig 2.1A, arrow heads). With the goal of identifying mutants important for the DNA damage response, we grew parallel cultures of either control or DNA damage treatment over three growth periods, modelling our experimental design after a previous report (Fig 2.1B) (28). Mitomycin C (MMC), methyl methane sulfonate (MMS), and phleomycin (Phleo) were chosen for screening because these agents represent three different classes of antibiotics that damage DNA directly. MMC causes inter- and intra-strand crosslinks and larger adducts ( 29,30 ), MMS causes smaller adducts consisting of DNA methylation (31), and Phleo results in single and double stranded breaks (32, 33). As a result, we reasoned that the combined data would provide a collection of genes that are generally important for the DNA damage response.

After sequencing, we performed quality control analysis. First, given that sequencing data are count data, the distribution of the coverage should be log-normal (34). Indeed, the distribution of each replicate for the initial library and starter culture samples is approximately log-normal (Fig 2.2A). We also found that the distributions for the remaining time points of the pooled replicates followed an approximate log-normal distribution (Fig 2.2B). The sequencing data and viable cell count data (Table 2.1) were used to calculate the fitness of each insertion mutant in each condition (Fig 2.1C) $(35,36)$. The relative fitness of each insertion was calculated by taking the ratio of treatment to control (Fig 2.1C), thereby isolating fitness effects
of the treatments. The relative fitness of each gene was determined by averaging the relative fitness calculated for each insertion within a gene (Fig 2.1C). To verify that a $t$-test would be appropriate for determining relative fitness deviating significantly from one, we plotted the distribution of insertion relative fitness. All the distributions were normal with a mean close to one (Fig 2.2C). We determined the relative fitness for every gene with sufficient data (see supplemental methods), and report the relative fitness values and the adjusted p-values for genes with the lowest 200 relative fitness values in each growth period (37) (Table 2.3).

## Tn-seq identified genes involved in DNA repair and genes of unknown function

Initial inspection found that transposon insertion in several genes known to be involved in DNA repair (recA, ruvAB, recN, and recOR (38)) resulted in decreased relative fitness in growth period one of all experiments (Table 2.2). A closer analysis of recN, addA, and polA, three genes that are found toward the top of the lists in all treatments, showed that relative fitness is less than one in most cases, though in the Phleo experiment, it appears that the cultures were adapting to the treatment by growth period three (Fig 2.1D). For comparison, we also plotted the relative fitness of $t h r C$, a gene involved in threonine biosynthesis, and found the relative fitness to be about one in all conditions examined (Fig 2.1D). Importantly, insertion in uvrA, a component of the nucleotide excision repair machinery $(38,39)$ which helps repair MMC adducts but not MMS or Phleo related damage (40, 41), decreased relative fitness in growth periods 2 and 3 with MMC, but did not significantly decrease relative fitness in MMS or Phleo (Fig 2.1D and Table 2.2). Taken together, these results validate the approach by demonstrating that we were able to identify genes known to be involved in DNA repair.

We also wondered whether our results contained false positives. To test this, we decided to experimentally validate the genes with the forty lowest relative fitness values from growth period two in the MMC experiment. We found that eight of the forty gene deletions were not sensitive to MMC in a spot titer assay (Table 2.3). Several genes that were false positives are located in the genome near genes with validated phenotypes, suggesting that polar effects explain some of the false positives (Table 2.3; see supplemental results for detailed analysis). To identify genes required generally as part of the DNA damage response, we examined the 200 genes from growth period two with the lowest relative fitness and an adjusted $p$-value less than 0.01 from all three experiments (Table 2.4). We found that 21 genes overlapped for all three
experiments (Fig 2.1E), some of which are known to be involved in DNA repair (recN, addB, polA, $\operatorname{rad} A$ ), while several genes have no known function (e.g., $y l b L$ and $\operatorname{ctp} A$ ) (Table 2.4).

## YlbL and CtpA require putative catalytic residues for function

Among the genes important for growth in the presence of DNA damage, we focused on two putative proteases YlbL and CtpA . YlbL is predicted to have three domains: a transmembrane domain, a Lon protease domain, and a PDZ domain (Fig 2.3A). CtpA is predicted to have four domains: a transmembrane domain, a S41 peptidase domain, a PDZ domain, and a C-terminal peptidoglycan (PG) binding domain (Fig 2.3A). In all three Tn -seq experiments, the relative fitness of insertions in either $y l b L$ or $\operatorname{ctp} A$ was significantly less than one in the second and third growth periods (Fig 2.3B), suggesting that absence of either protease results in sensitivity to DNA damage. In contrast, a control gene $a m y E$, which is involved in starch utilization, had a relative fitness of approximately one in all conditions examined (Fig 2.3B). To verify the Tn -seq results, we constructed clean deletions of $y l b L$ and $\operatorname{ctp} A$ and found both mutants to be sensitive to DNA damage in a spot titer assay (Fig 2.3C). Each phenotype was also complemented by ectopic expression of each protease in its respective mutant background (Fig 2.3C). To identify putative catalytic residues, we aligned the protease domain of YlbL to LonA and LonB from B. subtilis and Lon from E. coli. The sequence alignment revealed that YlbL contains a putative catalytic dyad consisting of a serine (S234) and a lysine (K279) (Fig 2.4A). Similarly, we aligned CtpA to its homologs CtpB from B. subtilis and Prc from E. coli, which identified a putative catalytic triad consisting of a serine (S297), a lysine (K322), and a glutamine (Q326) (Fig 2.4B). To test whether these putative catalytic residues were required for function, we attempted to complement the DNA damage sensitivity phenotype via ectopic expression of serine and lysine mutants. Both the serine and lysine mutants of YlbL and $C \operatorname{tpA}$ failed to complement the deletion phenotypes (Fig 2.3C). The variants and the wildtype proteases were ectopically expressed to the same level in vivo (Fig 2.3D), suggesting that the lack of complementation is not due to instability caused by the amino acid changes. With these results, we conclude that protease activity is required for YlbL and CtpA to function in response to DNA damage.

## YlbL and CtpA have overlapping functions

The similarity in phenotypes led us to hypothesize that YlbL and CtpA have overlapping functions. To test this, we performed a cross-complementation experiment using spot titer assays for MMC sensitivity. Over-expression of YlbL, but not YlbL-S234A, complemented a ctp $A$ deletion (Fig 2.5A \& B). Similarly, over-expression of CtpA, but not CtpA-S297A, complemented a $y l b L$ deletion (Fig 2.5A \& B). In addition, deletion of both proteases rendered B. subtilis hypersensitive to MMC, even more so than loss of $u v r A$, which codes for the protein responsible for recognizing MMC adducts as part of nucleotide excision repair (Fig 2.5C) (38, 39). To further test the hypothesis that YlbL and CtpA have overlapping functions, we overexpressed each of the proteases separately in the double protease mutant background and observed a complete rescue of MMC sensitivity upon expression of the wild type (WT), but not the serine variants ( $\mathbf{F i g} \mathbf{2 . 5 D} \& \mathbf{E}$ ).

## DNA damage-dependent cell division delay is increased in protease deletions

The experiments performed thus far cannot distinguish between sensitivity to MMC resulting from cell death, growth inhibition or both. To determine whether sensitivity arises from cell death, we performed a survival assay using an acute treatment of MMC. We detected a slight decrease in percent survival as MMC concentration increased in the $\Delta y l b L$ and the double mutant strain (Fig 2.6A). We compared the decrease in percent survival in single and double protease mutants to a $\Delta u v r A$ strain, which has been shown previously to be acutely sensitive to MMC (40). The strain lacking $u v r A$ was very sensitive to an acute treatment of MMC (Fig 2.6A), whereas, the double protease deletion strain was significantly less sensitive to acute exposure compared with $\Delta u v r A$ (compare Fig 2.5C \& 2.6A). Taken together, we conclude that MMC sensitivity of the protease mutants observed in spot titer assays is primarily caused by growth inhibition.

We hypothesized that sensitivity to DNA damage resulting from growth inhibition could also be explained by inhibiting cell proliferation, or by inhibiting cell division rather than cell growth. To distinguish between these two possibilities, we measured cell length, because inhibition of proliferation should be observed as an increase in cell length, consistent with a failure in checkpoint recovery. Thus, we designed a MMC recovery assay, reasoning that
following treatment with MMC, cells lacking YlbL, CtpA, or both, would remain elongated showing slower checkpoint recovery relative to the WT strain. We grew cultures either in a vehicle control or in the presence of MMC. After a two-hour treatment, the MMC containing media was removed and cells were washed. Cells were then transferred to fresh media without MMC and allowed to continue growth to assay for checkpoint recovery. Although cells appeared to be elongated in the $\Delta y l b L$ and double mutant strains, there was heterogeneity in the population (Fig 2.7A). As a result, we measured the cell length of at least 900 cells for each genotype and each condition and plotted the cell length distributions as histograms (Fig 2.7B). There was no difference in the vehicle control cell length distributions (Fig 2.7B). The MMC treatment of all strains resulted in a rightward shift in the distribution for all strains (Fig 2.7B, compare upper panels). When comparing the protease deletions to the WT, the difference in distribution could be visualized by considering the percentage of cells greater than $6.75 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length, which is about three cell lengths of $2.25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ each. We found that deletion of $y l b L$ resulted in an increase in the percentage of cells longer than $6.75 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in MMC treated cultures and after both two hours and four hours of recovery (Fig 2.7C). Deletion of $\operatorname{ctp} A$, however, resulted in a very slight, though significant $(p-v a l u e=0.0142$ for one-tailed Z-test), increased percentage of cells longer than $6.75 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ after 4 hours of recovery ( $\mathbf{F i g} \mathbf{2 . 7 C}$ ). The double mutant resulted in a percentage of cells slightly greater than $\Delta y l b L$ alone after both two hours ( p -value $=0.0001$ for one-tailed Ztest) and four hours ( p -value $=0.0088$ for one-tailed Z-test) of recovery $(\mathbf{F i g} \mathbf{2 . 7 C})$.

Taken together, we conclude that YlbL is the primary protease under these conditions, with CtpA also contributing. We also conclude that cells lacking YlbL or both YlbL and CtpA take longer to divide following exposure to MMC, which is consistent with DNA damage sensitivity resulting from inhibition of cell proliferation. Further, the observation of inhibition of cell proliferation suggests that YlbL and CtpA proteases could be important for DNA damage checkpoint recovery (see below).

## YlbL and CtpA levels are not regulated by DNA damage

A potential model to regulate YlbL and CtpA in response to DNA damage is to increase protein levels following exposure to DNA damage. Increased protease levels in response to DNA damage could promote the DNA damage checkpoint recovery when needed. To test this model, we monitored YlbL and CtpA protein levels via Western blotting over the course of the MMC
recovery assay. YlbL and CtpA protein levels did not change relative to the loading control DnaN throughout the course of the experiment (Fig 2.6B \& C). As a positive control, we performed the same experiment and monitored RecA protein levels and found that, indeed, RecA protein levels increased ( $\mathbf{F i g} \mathbf{2 . 6 B} \& \mathbf{C}$ ), as expected because rec $A$ is induced as part of the SOS response $(42,43)$. We conclude that YlbL and CtpA protein levels are not regulated by DNA damage.

## The cell division inhibitor YneA accumulates in protease mutants

The data presented thus far led us to hypothesize that in the absence of YlbL and CtpA, a protein accumulates which results in inhibition of cell division (Fig 2.8A). To identify the accumulating protein, we performed an analysis of the entire proteome of WT and double protease mutant cell extracts. We chose to analyze the proteomes of cells after two hours of recovery, because the cell length distributions differed most between WT and the double protease mutant (Fig 2.7B). We found that the normalized spectral count data had similar distributions for both WT and the double mutant, which were approximately log normal (Fig 2.9A). We verified that the distribution of the test statistic (the difference in double mutant average and WT average) was normally distributed (Fig 2.9B), thus allowing a t-test to be used. We also performed a principle component analysis and found that WT replicates and double mutant replicates each clustered together ( $\mathbf{F i g} \mathbf{2 . 9 C}$ ).

In total, 2329 proteins were detected, and 183 proteins were found to be differentially represented ( p -value $<0.05$ ) in the double mutant relative to WT (Table 2.5). Of the proteins differentially represented in the double mutant, 104 had a fold change greater than one (Fig 2.8B, red points). There are three major mechanisms that have been reported in B. subtilis to inhibit cell division: 1) Noc dependent nucleoid occlusion (44), 2) FtsL depletion (45, 46), and 3) expression of YneA (23). One possibility was that Noc protein levels were higher in the double mutant, but we observed no difference in Noc levels (Fig 2.9D). Another possibility was that FtsL or the protease RasP, which degrades FtsL, was affected in the protease mutant background (46). We found no difference in relative protein abundance of FtsL or RasP (Fig 2.9D), ruling out the FtsL/RasP pathway. Among the top 10 proteins that were more abundant in the double mutant was YneA, the SOS-dependent cell division inhibitor (Table 2.5). We asked if the enrichment of YneA was simply because it is SOS induced. We analyzed the relative abundance
of several other proteins that are known to be SOS induced, including RecA, UvrA, UvrB, DinB, and YneB (42), which is encoded in an operon with YneA (23). We found that none of these other proteins were enriched in the double mutant (Fig 2.9E). These results suggest that YneA accumulation is not a result of increased SOS activation, and regulation of YneA accumulation is likely to be post translational, because the protein levels of another member of the operon, YneB were unchanged. Taken together, our proteomics data suggest that YlbL and CtpA promote DNA damage checkpoint recovery through regulating YneA protein abundance.

We directly tested for YneA accumulation in protease mutants throughout the MMC recovery assay using Western blotting. YneA accumulated in all protease deletion strains after 2 hours and 4 hours of recovery, though YneA accumulation in $\Delta \operatorname{ctp} A$ was slight (Fig 2.8C). In the double mutant, YneA accumulated in the MMC treatment condition in addition to both recovery time points (Fig 2.8C). In the double mutant we observed multiple YneA species, which we hypothesize to be the result of unnaturally high YneA protein levels resulting in non-specific cleavage by other proteases. With these results, we suggest that YneA is a substrate of YlbL and CtpA, both of which degrade YneA allowing for checkpoint recovery.
$y n e A$ is required for DNA damage sensitivity and cell elongation phenotypes
Although accumulation of YneA fit our data well, we considered that the other proteins enriched greater than five-fold in the double mutant may have contributed to the DNA damage sensitivity phenotype. To test this, we constructed deletions of each gene in WT and the double mutant and tested for MMC sensitivity. We found that no single deletion of each of the 10 genes resulted in sensitivity to MMC (Fig 2.10A). In the double mutant, only deletion of yneA was able to rescue the sensitivity to MMC (Fig 2.10A). We verified that deletion of yneA could rescue MMC sensitivity in all protease mutant backgrounds (Fig 2.11A). We examined cell length in the DNA damage recovery assay. As expected, deletion of yneA resulted in less severe cell elongation relative to WT (compare WT in Fig 2.7B and $\Delta y n e A: l o x P$ in Fig 2.11B). In addition, deletion of $y l b L, \operatorname{ctp} A$, or both no longer changed the cell length distribution in the absence of yne $A$ at the two-hour recovery time point (Fig 2.11B, 2.11C, and 2.10B). In the MMC treatment, we did observe a slight increase ( p -value $=0.0004$ for one-tailed Z-test) in the percentage of cells greater than $6.75 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in the double protease deletion strain compared to WT (Fig 2.11C). Given that MMC sensitivity and most cell elongation in protease mutants depends
on yneA, we hypothesized that expression of YneA alone would be sufficient to inhibit growth to a greater extent in the protease mutants. Indeed, strains lacking YlbL, CtpA or both were more sensitive to over-expression of yneA from an IPTG inducible promoter than WT (Fig 2.11D). Further, we show that YneA accumulated in the protease mutant strains following yneA ectopic expression (Fig 2.11E). We conclude that YneA accumulation results in severe growth inhibition in cells lacking YlbL and CtpA.

## CtpA specifically digests YneA in vitro

To test the hypothesis that YneA is a direct substrate of the proteases we purified YneA (a.a. 28-103), CtpA (a.a 38-466), and YlbL (a.a 36-341) lacking their N-terminal transmembrane domains to allow for isolation. We were unable to detect protease activity from YlbL using YneA, lysozyme, or casein as substrates (Figure 2.12; see discussion). When purified CtpA was incubated with YneA, we observed digestion of YneA over time, but no digestion was observed using CtpA-S297A (Fig 2.13A). To test if CtpA activity against YneA was specific we completed the same reaction using lysozyme as a substrate and detected no activity (Fig 2.13B). We conclude that YneA is a direct and specific substrate of CtpA.

## YlbL-S234A and CtpA-S297A interact with YneA

Although we could not detect YlbL protease activity in vitro we asked if YlbL could interact with YneA. To test this, we used a bacterial two-hybrid assay $(47,48)$. We used this assay because it is effective at detecting interactions between membrane proteins (22, 26, 49). We tested YlbL-S234A and CtpA-S297A to prevent digestion of YneA, and assayed for interaction with full length YneA or YneA without its transmembrane domain (YneA $\Delta \mathrm{N}$ ) as a control. We found that YlbL and CtpA both interacted with full length YneA (Fig 2.13C), but no interaction was detected with YneA $\Delta \mathrm{N}(\mathbf{F i g}$ 2.13C), likely due to YneA failing to localize to the membrane. Given that YlbL did not have activity in vitro and we detected an interaction with YneA in the bacterial two-hybrid assay, we suggest that YneA is a direct substrate of YlbL and that YlbL requires full length YneA for interaction.

## Discussion

How do YlbL and CtpA recognize YneA as a substrate? An intriguing facet of this checkpoint recovery mechanism is the use of unrelated proteases. YlbL and CtpA both have transmembrane domains and PDZ domains, but the peptidase domains are very different. CtpA has a S41 peptidase domain and is homologous to Tail-specific protease or Tsp (also Prc), which recognizes the C -terminus of its substrate through its PDZ domain (50, 51). We suggest that CtpA also recognizes YneA through the PDZ domain and that this mechanism explains how CtpA recognizes its other cognate substrates. In fact, the study by Mo and Burkholder identified a residue at the C-terminus of YneA (D97) which when mutated to alanine stabilizes YneA (27). It is tempting to speculate that D97 in YneA is important for CtpA to recognize YneA. The mechanism by which YlbL recognizes YneA is less clear. YlbL has a unique domain organization not found in other studied proteases. YlbL does not have the AAA+ ATPase domain common in other Lon proteases, which is logical given that YlbL likely resides extracellularly in order to degrade YneA. Instead of an ATPase domain, YlbL has a PDZ domain, which could act as a substrate recognition domain or as an inhibitory domain similar to the PDZ domain of DegS (52-54). The bacterial two hybrid assay suggests that YlbL does recognize YneA directly $(\mathbf{F i g} \mathbf{2 . 1 3 C})$, though we cannot rule out the possibility that there is an adaptor protein that recognizes YneA when these proteins are tethered to the membrane. We also did not identify a potential adaptor in the Tn-seq data further suggesting a direct interaction does occur between YlbL and YneA. A final possibility is that YlbL recognizes YneA through the transmembrane domain, which then activates the Lon peptidase domain to degrade or cleave YneA. This would also explain the reason we were unable to detect activity using YlbL lacking its transmembrane domain. In any case, further experiments are necessary to elucidate the mechanism by which YlbL recognizes YneA.

All organisms control cellular processes through regulated signaling. To regulate a cellular process a signaling pathway must have mechanisms of activation and inactivation. Many bacteria use a small membrane protein as an SOS-induced DNA damage checkpoint protein (2225). The mechanism of checkpoint recovery, however, for organisms using membrane protein checkpoints has remained unclear. Our comprehensive study identified a dual protease mechanism of DNA damage checkpoint recovery (Fig 2.13D). Proteases YlbL and CtpA are constitutively present in the plasma membrane of cells even in the absence of DNA damage.

After encountering DNA damage, YneA expression is induced. We hypothesize that YlbL and CtpA activities become saturated by increased YneA expression, which results in a delay of cell division. Following DNA repair, expression of YneA decreases and YlbL and CtpA clear any remaining YneA allowing cell division to resume.

DNA damage checkpoints are of fundamental importance to biology, and we have discovered the pathway responsible for checkpoint inactivation and cell cycle re-entry in $B$. subtilis. These findings represent an important advance in identifying how checkpoint recovery occurs in bacteria. The membrane bound cell division inhibitors identified to date (22-25) are not homologs of YneA, and in fact the only unifying feature is that they are small membrane bound proteins (22-25). This poses a great challenge because the components of checkpoint enforcement and recovery need to be experimentally identified. Our study serves as a model to identify the checkpoint recovery proteases through forward genetics, which in turn could be used to identify the enforcement protein through proteomics. The critical feature of our approach was the use of several growth periods in Tn -seq, which allowed us to identify both proteases. Thus, we propose a strategy using the combined approaches of forward genetics and targeted proteomics to identify the DNA damage checkpoint pathways in genetically tractable bacterial pathogens.

Recovery from a DNA damage checkpoint is a critical process for all organisms. One theme found throughout biology is the use of multiple proteins with overlapping functions. In eukaryotes, the phosphorylation events that establish the checkpoint are removed by multiple phosphatases $(55,56)$. In E. coli, there are two cytoplasmic proteases, Lon and ClpYQ, that have been found to degrade the cell division inhibitor SulA (16, 18-20). Our study further extends the use of multiple factors in regulating checkpoint recovery to B. subtilis by describing a mechanism using two proteases. In eukaryotes, multiple proteins with overlapping functions often exist due to spatial or temporal restrictions, which appears to at least partially explain the use of multiple factors in checkpoint recovery $(55,56)$. In E. coli, ClpYQ was found to be important at higher temperatures in the absence of Lon (19), again suggesting that each protease functions under specific conditions. In the case of YlbL and CtpA, however, there appears to be a shared responsibility in rich media. Deletion of each protease results in DNA damage sensitivity and the double mutant has a more severe sensitivity. In contrast, during growth in
minimal media, YlbL appears to be the primary protease, as the cell elongation phenotype is more pronounced in cells lacking $y l b L$. Still it is unclear how or when each protease functions. Why isn't one protease sufficient to degrade YneA? Do the proteases occupy distinct loci in the cell, requiring that each protease degrades a specific YneA pool? Another possibility is that protease levels are constrained by another evolutionary pressure, such as substrates unique to each protease. Thus, the cell cannot maintain the individual proteases at levels required to titrate YneA as part of the DDR, because the levels of another substrate would be too low. Another explanation is that using multiple factors is an evolutionary strategy that increases the fitness of an organism. It is clear that checkpoint recovery is crucial, because the fitness of cells lacking $y l b L$ or $\operatorname{ctp} A$ is significantly decreased in the presence of DNA damage (Table 2.2).

Although the dual protease mechanism described here resolves an important step in the DDR, our data also reveal the complexity of the system. After we exposed cells to MMC the cells elongated. We noticed, however, that not all elongation depended on yneA (Fig 2.11B), suggesting another mechanism for cell cycle control. In B. subtilis, there have been reports of $y n e A$-independent control of cell division following replication stress $(45,57,58)$. The essential cell division component FtsL has been reported to be unstable, and depletion leads to inhibition of cell division (58). Further, ftsL transcript levels were reported to decrease following replication stress independent of the SOS response (45), thus linking depletion of the unstable FtsL protein to cell division control following replication stress. A study using a replication block, consisting of the Tet-repressor bound to a Tet-operator array, observed cell division inhibition independent of $y n e A$, noc, and FtsL (57). Interestingly, recent studies of Caulobacter crescentus uncovered two cell division inhibitors that are expressed in response to DNA damage, with one inhibitor SOS-dependent and the other SOS-independent (22, 26). In B. megaterium, a recent study found that the transcript of yneA is unstable following exposure to DNA damage (59), suggesting yet another layer of regulation. No factor was identified to regulate yneA transcripts in the previous study, though it is possible that one of the genes of unknown function identified in our screens could regulate yneA mRNA. Together, these studies highlight the complexity of regulating the DNA damage checkpoint in bacteria.

## Materials and Methods

## Bacteriological methods and chemicals

Bacterial strains, plasmids, and oligonucleotides used in this study are listed in Tables 2.6, 2.7, and 2.8 and the construction of strains and plasmids is detailed in the supplemental methods. All Bacillus subtilis strains are isogenic derivatives of PY79 (60). Bacillus subtilis strains were grown in $\mathrm{LB}\left(10 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}\right.$ tryptone, and $5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ yeast extract) or $\mathrm{S}_{50}$ minimal media with $2 \%$ glucose ( $1 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{S}_{50}$ salts (diluted from $10 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{S} 7_{50}$ salts: $104.7 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ MOPS, $13.2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$, ammonium sulfate, $6.8 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ monobasic potassium phosphate, pH 7.0 adjusted with potassium hydroxide), 1 x metals (diluted from 100x metals: $0.2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 70 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}, 5 \mathrm{mM}$ $\mathrm{MnCl}_{2}, 0.1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}, 100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ thiamine- $\mathrm{HCl}, 2 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{HCl}, 0.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{FeCl} 3$ ), $0.1 \%$ potassium glutamate, $2 \%$ glucose, $40 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ phenylalanine, $40 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ tryptophan) at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with shaking (200 rpm). Mitomycin C (MMC), methyl methane sulfonate (MMS), and phleomycin were used at the concentrations indicated in the figures. The following antibiotics were used for selection in B. subtilis as indicated in the method details: spectinomycin $(100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$, chloramphenicol (5 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), and erythromycin ( $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ). Selection of Escherichia coli (MC1061 or TOP10 cells for cloning or BL21 for protein expression) transformants was performed using the following antibiotics: spectinomycin $(100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$ or kanamycin $(50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$.

## Tn-seq

A transposon insertion library was constructed similar to (61) with modifications described in the supplemental methods. Tn-seq experiments were designed with multiple growth periods similar to a prior description (28), with a detailed description in the supplemental methods. Sequencing library construction and data analysis were performed as described previously $(35,61)$ with modifications described in the supplemental methods. Sequencing data were deposited into the GEO database with accession number GSE109366.

## Spot titer assays

B. subtilis strains were struck out on LB agar and incubated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The next day, a single colony was used to inoculate a 2 mL LB culture in a 14 mL round bottom culture tube, which was incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on a rolling rack until $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ was $0.5-1$. Cultures were normalized to $\mathrm{OD}_{600}=0.5$ and serial diluted. The serial dilutions were spotted $(4 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ on the agar
media indicated in the figures and the plates were incubated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight (16-20 hours). All spot titer assays were performed at least twice.

## Survival assays

Survival assays using an acute treatment of mitomycin C were performed as previously described (62). Cultures were grown to an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ of about 1 , and triplicate samples of 0.6 mL of an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}=1$ equivalent were taken and cells were pelleted via centrifugation: $10,000 g$ for 5 minutes at room temperature (all subsequent centrifugation steps were identical). Cells were washed with $0.6 \mathrm{~mL} 0.85 \% \mathrm{NaCl}$ (saline) and pelleted via centrifugation. Cell pellets were resuspended in 0.6 mL saline, and $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ aliquots were distributed for each MMC concentration. MMC was added to each tube to yield the final concentration stated in the figure in a total volume of $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, and cells were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes. Cells were pelleted via centrifugation to remove MMC, re-suspended in saline, and a serial dilution yielding a scorable number of cells (about 30-300) was plated on LB agar to determine the surviving fraction of cells. Each experiment was performed three times in triplicate for each strain.

## Antiserum production

Purified proteins (see supplemental methods for purification protocols) were submitted to Covance for antibody production using rabbits. Two rabbits were used in the 77 day protocol, and the serum with the least background was used for Western blots.

## Western blotting

For YlbL, CtpA, RecA, and DnaN Western blots, a cell pellet equivalent of $1 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{OD}_{600}$ $=1$ was re-suspended in $100 \mu \mathrm{~L} 1 \mathrm{x}$ SMM buffer ( 0.5 M sucrose, 0.02 M maleic acid, 0.02 M $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$, adjusted to pH 6.5 ) containing $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ lysozyme and 2x Roche protease inhibitors at room temperature for 1 or 2 hours. Samples were then lysed by addition of $6 x$ SDS loading dye ( 0.35 M Tris, $\mathrm{pH} 6.8,30 \%$ glycerol, $10 \%$ SDS, 0.6 M DTT , and $0.012 \%$ bromophenol blue) to 1 x . Samples ( $12 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) were separated via $10 \%$ SDS-PAGE, and transferred to nitrocellulose using a Trans-Blot Turbo (BioRad) according to the manufacturer's directions. Membranes were blocked in $5 \%$ milk in TBST ( 25 mM Tris, $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}$, and $0.1 \%$ Tween 20) at room temperature for 1 hour or at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. Blocking buffer was removed, and primary
antibodies were added in $2 \%$ milk in TBST ( $\alpha$ YlbL, 1:5000 or 1:8000; $\alpha$ CtpA, 1:5000; $\alpha$ RecA, 1:4000; $\alpha$ DnaN, $1: 4000$ ). Primary antibody incubation was performed at room temperature for 1 hour or overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Primary antibodies were removed and membranes were washed three times with TBST for 5 minutes at room temperature. Secondary antibodies (Licor; 1:15000) were added in $2 \%$ milk in TBST and incubated at room temperature for 1 hour. Membranes were washed three times as above and imaged using the Li-COR Odyssey imaging system. All Western blot experiments were performed at least twice with independent samples. Molecular weight markers were used in the YlbL and CtpA blots. YlbL migrates to a location between the 30 and 40 kDa markers, consistent with its predicted molecular weight of 37.6 kDa . CtpA migrated to a location between the 40 and 80 kDa markers consistent with its molecular weight of 51.1 kDa .

For YneA Western blots, cell pellets, $10 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{OD}_{600}=1$ for MMC recovery assay and 25 $\mathrm{mL} \mathrm{OD}_{600}=1$ for over-expression, were re-suspended in 400 or $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, respectively, of sonication buffer ( 50 mM Tris, $\mathrm{pH} 8.0,10 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, $20 \%$ glycerol, 2 x Roche protease inhibitors, and 5 mM PMSF), and lysed via sonication. SDS loading dye was added to 2 x and samples were incubated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 7 minutes. Samples ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) were separated using $16.5 \%$ Tris-Tricine-SDS-PAGE (BioRad) and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane using a Transblot Turbo (BioRad) according to the manufacturer's directions. All subsequent steps were performed as above with a 1:3000 primary antibody dilution.

## Mitomycin C recovery assay

An LB agar plate grown at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight was washed with pre-warmed $\mathrm{S} 7_{50}$ minimal media and used to inoculate a culture of $\mathrm{S} 7_{50}$ minimal media at an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}=0.1$. The cultures were incubated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ of about 0.2 (2-2.5 hours). MMC was added to 100 $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ and cultures were incubated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hours. Cells were pelleted via centrifugation (4,696 g for 7 minutes) and the media was removed. Cell pellets were washed in an equal volume of 1 x PBS, pH 7.4 , and pelleted again via centrifugation as above. Cell pellets were resuspended in an equal volume of pre-warmed $\mathrm{S} 7_{50}$ minimal media and incubated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for four hours. Samples for microscopy and Western blot analysis were taken after the two hour MMC treatment and at two and four hours following recovery, as indicated in the figures. The vehicle
control samples were treated for 2 hours with an equivalent volume of the vehicle in which MMC was suspended ( $25 \%$ (v/v) DMSO).

## Microscopy

A $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ sample from the MMC recovery assay above was taken and FM4-64 was added to $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ and incubated at room temperature for 5 minutes. Samples were then transferred to $1 \%$ agarose pads made of 1x Spizizen's salts. Images were captured using an Olympus BX61 microscope.

## Cell length analysis

Cells were scored for cell length using the measuring tool in ImageJ software. For each image scored, all cells that were in focus were measured. The number of cells scored for each strain/condition is stated in the figures ( $\mathrm{n}=$ cells measured). The histograms were generated using ggplot2 in R. All scoring was done using unadjusted images. Representative images shown in the figures were modified in ImageJ by subtracting the background (rolling ball radius method) and adjusting the brightness and contrast. Any adjustments made were applied to the entire image.

## Proteomics experimental details

Samples $\left(5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{OD}_{600}=1\right)$ were harvested from cultures grown as described in the MMC recovery assay section at 2 hours recovery via centrifugation: 4,696 g at room temperature for 10 minutes. Samples were washed twice with $500 \mu \mathrm{~L} 1 \mathrm{x}$ PBS, pH 7.4 and pelleted via centrifugation: $10,000 g$ at room temperature for 5 minutes. Samples were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Samples were submitted for mass-spectrometry analysis to MS Bioworks. Further sample processing and data analysis was performed by MS Bioworks as described in the supplemental methods. The raw data files are available upon request and the processed data table is provided (Table 2.5).

## YneA and lysozyme digestion assays

YneA digestion reactions were prepared as a $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ reaction in 20 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,20$ mM NaCl , and $20 \%$ glycerol containing $150 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{YneA} ,\mathrm{and} 2 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CtpA}$. Reactions were incubated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the time indicated in the figure. Reactions were stopped by addition of 6 x

SDS-dye to 1 x and incubating at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes. Reaction products were separated via $16.5 \%$ Tris-Tricine SDS-PAGE. Proteins were detected by staining with coomassie blue.
Lysozyme digestion assays were performed as for YneA using $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ lysozyme and reactions were incubated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours.

## Bacterial two-hybrid assays

Bacterial two hybrid assays were performed as previously described (47, 48, 63). Briefly, T18 and T25 fusion plasmids were co-transformed into BTH101 cells and co-transformants were selected on LB agar $+100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ampicillin $+50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ kanamycin at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. Cultures of $\mathrm{LB}+100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ampicillin $+50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ kanamycin were inoculated using several colonies and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 90 minutes. Cultures were diluted 250 -fold and $4 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ were spotted on LB agar $+100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ampicillin $+50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ kanamycin +0.5 mM IPTG $+40 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ X-gal and incubated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 hours, then at room temperature for 24 hours. The brightness and contrast of the images were adjusted using Adobe Photoshop with changes applied to the entire image. All bacterial two-hybrid assays were performed at least twice.
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Figure 2.1 Forward genetic screen experimental design and data analysis. (A) A plot of the $\log _{10}$ of insertion coverage on the y -axis and genomic position in nucleotides on the x -axis. (B) Experimental design for Tn-seq experiments. The transposon library was used to inoculate starter cultures to allow cultures to reach exponential phase. Cultures were split into control and treatment and grown for three growth periods. (C) Equations used to calculate relative fitness (W, fitness; G, generations, $N$, number of cells at the start $\left(\mathrm{N}_{0}\right)$ or end $\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ of growth period; F , insertion frequency at the start $\left(\mathrm{F}_{0}\right)$ or end $\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ of growth period; n , number of insertions used to calculate average). (D) The mean gene relative fitness is plotted as a bar graph for the genes indicated for all three Tn -seq experiments, error bars represent the $95 \%$ confidence interval. (E)

A Venn diagram depicting overlaps of the 200 genes with the lowest fitness and an adjusted pvalue less than 0.01 for all three Tn -seq experiments in growth period two.


Figure 2.2 Tn-seq data analysis. (A) Sequencing read distributions for transposon (Tn) insertion locations containing greater than 0 reads for each replicate of the library samples (left) or the starter culture samples (right) from the MMC experiment. The y-axis is the frequency of Tn sites, and the x -axis is the $\log _{10}$ of sequencing reads. The dotted vertical line is drawn at $\log _{10}(100)$. (B) Sequencing read distributions are plotted for the indicated samples as in panel A, except the replicates were summed prior to plotting. The library and starter cultures are the same in the Ctrl and MMC plots; the library and starter cultures are the same in the MMS and Phleo plots, which are shown twice in each case to allow direct comparison. The dotted vertical line is drawn at $\log _{10}(350)$. (C) Relative fitness distributions are plotted for Tn insertions with more than 10 sequencing reads in the control samples. The y -axis is the frequency of Tn sites and the x -axis is the relative fitness. The dotted vertical line is drawn at 1.0. All three growth periods are plotted for the indicated experiments.


Figure 2.3 YlbL and CtpA require putative catalytic residues for function. (A) Schematics of YlbL and CtpA proteins depicting the domain organization. (B) The mean gene relative fitness is plotted as a bar graph for $a m y E$, $y l b L$, and $\operatorname{ctpA}$ for all three Tn -seq experiments, error bars represent the $95 \%$ confidence interval. (C) Spot titer assays using the genotypes indicated and plated on LB agar media containing the indicated drugs. (D) Western blot analysis of cell
lysates from the indicated genotypes grown with or without xylose using antiserum against YlbL (upper panel) and DnaN (lower panel). (E) Western blot analysis of cell lysates from the indicated genotypes grown with or without xylose using antiserum against CtpA (upper panel) and DnaN (lower panel).
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Figure 2.4 YlbL and CtpA catalytic residue identification. (A) The Lon protease domain of YlbL was aligned to LonA and LonB from B. subtilis and Lon from E. coli. The alignments show that YlbL contains the conserved catalytic dyad of Lon proteases consisting of serine 234 and lysine 279. (B) The S 41 protease domain of CtpA was aligned to CtpB from B. subtilis and Prc from E. coli. The alignments showed that CtpA contains a conserved catalytic triad consisting of serine 297, lysine 322, and glutamine 326.


Figure 2.5 YlbL and CtpA have overlapping functions. (A) Spot titer assay using the indicated genotypes and media. (B) Western blot analysis of cell lysates from the genotypes in panel A, using the indicated antiserum. (C\&D) Spot titer assay using the indicated genotypes and media. (E) Western blot analysis of cell lysates from the genotypes indicated in panel D, using the indicated antiserum.



Figure 2.6 YlbL and CtpA levels are not regulated by DNA damage. (A) MMC survival assay using strains with the indicated genotypes to test if MMC sensitivity is caused by cell death. The concentration of MMC used during a 30 minute incubation is listed on the x -axis, and the $y$-axis is the percent of cells surviving the treatment relative to the no treatment $(0 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL})$ condition. Each point is the average of three technical replicates from three individual experiments ( $n=9$ ), and the error bars represent the standard error of the mean. (B) Representative Western blot analysis of cell lysates throughout the MMC recovery assay using YlbL, CtpA, DnaN, or RecA antiserum. (C) Quantification of Western blot data plotted as a bar graph. The bars represent the average from three experiments (YlbL, CtpA, and DnaN) or two experiments (RecA), and the error bars are the standard deviation (YlbL and CtpA) or the range (RecA) of the measurements. The y-axis is the relative protein levels, which is the indicated protein level normalized to the loading control, DnaN, and the no treatment measurement.


Figure 2.7 DNA damage delays cytokinesis in cells with protease deletions. (A)
Representative micrographs of cells with the indicated genotypes at the indicated time points. Membranes were stained with FM4-64. Scale bar is $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. (B) Cell length distributions plotted as
histograms. The y-axis in all graphs is normalized by the sample size yielding the density, and the x -axis is the cell length in $\mu \mathrm{m}$. The number of cells scored in each distribution is indicated as " $\mathrm{n}=$ " and the genotype of each strain is indicated. The dotted vertical line in the "Vehicle" distributions is plotted at $2.25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, the approximate mean for all strains. The dotted vertical line in the remaining distributions is at $6.75 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, which is three times the average length of untreated cells. (C) The proportion of cells represented by the histograms in panel B with length greater than $6.75 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ is plotted as a bar graph. The error bars represent the standard deviation, $\sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}$, where p represents the proportion and n is the sample size. The asterisk indicates a p-value less than 0.05 .


Figure 2.8 YneA accumulates in protease mutants. (A) A model for the function of YlbL and CtpA in regulating cell division. (B) Proteomics data plotted as fold change (Double Mutant/WT) vs. the p-value. Points plotted in black have a fold change less than one or a p-value greater than or equal to 0.05 , and points plotted in red have a fold change greater than one and a p-value less than 0.05 . (C) Western blot analysis of cell lysates from strains with the indicated genotypes at the indicated time points from the MMC recovery assay (see methods), using YneA or DnaN antiserum.


Figure 2.9 YneA accumulates in protease mutants. (A) The averages of the normalized spectral counts are plotted as histograms for WT (blue) and $\Delta y l b L, \Delta c t p A$ double mutant (DM; red). The y -axis is the count and the x -axis is the $\log _{10}$ (normalized spectral counts for the average of three replicates). (B) The distribution of the test statistic (WT average - DM average) is plotted as a histogram. (C) A principle component analysis was performed using the normalized spectral counts from WT (blue) and DM (red) samples using the "prcomp" function in R. The first two coordinates are plotted as the x - and y-axes, respectively. (D \& E) The average relative protein levels (WT/DM) from the proteomics dataset are plotted for the indicated proteins, and the error bars represent the standard deviation. The inset in panel E shows a closer look around one for clarity.


Figure 2.10 ynea is required for DNA damage sensitivity and cell elongation phenotypes. (A) Strains with the indicated genotypes (plates at left) were struck onto the indicated media (column labels) and incubated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. Deletion of $y n e A$ suppresses the $\Delta y l b L, \Delta c t p A$ double mutant (DM) MMC sensitivity phenotype. (B) Representative micrographs of cells stained with FM4-64 from the indicated genotypes at the indicated time points in the MMC recovery assay. The scale bar is $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figure 2.11 ynea is required for DNA damage sensitivity and cell elongation phenotypes. (A) Spot titer assay using the indicated genotypes and media. (B) Cell length distributions plotted as histograms. The number of cells scored in each distribution is indicated as " $\mathrm{n}=$ " and the genotype of each strain is indicated above the distributions. The dotted vertical line in the "Vehicle" distributions is at the approximate mean of $2.25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. The dotted vertical line in the remaining distributions is at $6.75 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. The y-axis in all graphs is normalized by the sample size yielding the density, and the x-axis is the cell length in $\mu \mathrm{m}$. (C) The proportion of cells greater than $6.75 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ from the distributions in panel B is plotted as a bar graph. The error bars represent the standard deviation, $\sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}$, where p represents the proportion and n is the sample size. The asterisk indicates a p-value less than 0.05 . (D) Spot titer assay testing the effect of over production of YneA using the indicated concentration of the inducer IPTG. (E) Western blot
analysis of cell lysates using $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ IPTG for YneA expression with the indicated genotypes, using the indicated antiserum.


Figure 2.12 Purified YlbL lacking its N-terminal transmembrane shows no protease activity in vitro. (A) Protease assay using fluorescently labeled casein. YlbL, YlbL-S234A, CtpA, and CtpA-S297A, all lacking their N-terminal transmembrane domains were incubated with casein. The casein was fluorescently labeled such that the signal was quenched until digested by a protease. (B) YneA digestion assay. YlbL and CtpA were incubated with increasing concentrations of YneA. The first lane is a molecular weight marker (M). (C) Lysozyme digestion assay. YlbL was incubated with lysozyme.


Figure 2.13 DNA damage checkpoint recovery in Bacillus subtilis. (A) Protease assay incubating purified CtpA or CtpA-S297A with purified YneA for the indicated time followed by SDS-PAGE and staining with coomassie blue. (B) Protease assay incubating purified CtpA or CtpA-S297A with commercially available lysozyme for 3 hours followed by SDS-PAGE and staining with coomassie blue. (C) Bacterial two hybrid assay using T18 fusions (rows) and T25 fusions (columns) co-transformed into E. coli. The T25 plasmids are: the empty vector (T25), T25-YlbL-S234A (T25-YlbL), and T25-CtpA-S297A (T25-CtpA). The T18 plasmids are: the empty vector (T18), T18-YneA, and T18-YneA $\Delta \mathrm{N}$ (which lacks the transmembrane domain). (D) A model for YlbL- and CtpA-dependent DNA damage checkpoint recovery. YlbL and CtpA are present as membrane proteases, and when high amounts of DNA damage are present, YneA production overwhelms both proteases resulting in delayed cell division. After DNA repair is complete and YneA expression decreases, YneA is cleared and cell division proceeds.


Figure 2.14 Disruption of $\boldsymbol{y l b K}$ results in a polar effect on $\boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{l b L}$. (A) Spot titer assay using the indicated genotypes and media. (B) Schematic of $y l b K$ and $y l b L$ loci, with the putative ribosome binding site, proposed to control ylbL translation, labeled in yellow. (C) Western blot analysis of cell lysates of the indicated genotypes using YlbL or DnaN antiserum.

| Viable cell counts per 0.1 mL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Experiment | Sample | Aliquot | Treatment | OD600 after outgrowth | Time (h) | Volume for back dilution $(\mathrm{mL})$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | Average |
| Mitomycin C | 1 | A | Library | N/A initial library | N/A | 0.27 | 40 | 51 | 18 | 36.3 |
|  | 2 | B | Library | N/A initial library | N/A | 0.27 | 46 | 57 | 67 | 56.7 |
|  | 3 | C | Library | N/A initial library | N/A | 0.27 | 31 | 128 | 57 | 72.0 |
|  | 4 | A | Starter Culture | 0.801 | 4.5 | 1.561 | 54 | 37 | 37 | 42.7 |
|  | 5 | B | Starter Culture | 0.795 | 4.5 | 1.572 | 70 | 53 | 57 | 60.0 |
|  | 6 | C | Starter Culture | 0.923 | 4.5 | 1.354 | 31 | 60 | 83 | 58.0 |
|  | 7 | A | Vehicle | 1.91 | 3 | 0.654 | 243 | 168 | 209 | 206.7 |
|  | 8 | B |  | 1.84 | 3 | 0.679 | 183 | 185 | 231 | 199.7 |
|  | 9 | C |  | 1.97 | 3 | 0.635 | 258 | 254 | 252 | 254.7 |
|  | 10 | A | $15 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL} \mathrm{MMC}$ | 1.52 | 3 | 0.822 | 47 | 41 | 55 | 47.7 |
|  | 11 | B |  | 1.57 | 3 | 0.796 | 41 | 57 | 47 | 48.3 |
|  | 12 | C |  | 1.63 | 3 | 0.767 | 66 | 52 | 55 | 57.7 |
|  | 13 | A | Vehicle | 1.5 | 3 | 0.833 | 163 | 171 | 189 | 174.3 |
|  | 14 | B |  | 1.45 | 3 | 0.862 | 173 | 151 | 154 | 159.3 |
|  | 15 | C |  | 1.32 | 3 | 0.947 | 133 | 154 | 174 | 153.7 |
|  | 16 | A | $15 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ MMC | 1.45 | 4.75 | 0.862 | 36 | 42 | 41 | 39.7 |
|  | 17 | B |  | 1.46 | 4.75 | 0.856 | 46 | 42 | 47 | 45.0 |
|  | 18 | C |  | 1.37 | 4.75 | 0.912 | 63 | 52 | 30 | 48.3 |
|  | 19 | A | Vehicle | 1.94 | 3 | N/A | 173 | 214 | 223 | 203.3 |
|  | 20 | B |  | 1.55 | 3 | N/A | 219 | 185 | 202 | 202.0 |
|  | 21 | C |  | 1.86 | 3 | N/A | 250 | 251 | 228 | 243.0 |
|  | 22 | A | $15 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ MMC | 1.53 | 5.25 | N/A | 42 | 48 | 36 | 42.0 |
|  | 23 | B |  | 1.53 | 5.25 | N/A | 66 | 39 | 67 | 57.3 |
|  | 24 | C |  | 1.6 | 5.25 | N/A | 63 | 82 | 82 | 75.7 |


| Std Dev | Dilution factor | cells/mL | Number of cells in inoculum | Number of cells after growth | Reads mapped | Tnsites with $>=10$ reads |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16.8 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $3.63 \mathrm{E}+09$ | N/A | N/A | 25,717,552 | 124,742 |
| 10.5 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $5.67 \mathrm{E}+09$ | N/A | N/A | 20,998,165 | 122,781 |
| 50.2 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $7.20 \mathrm{E}+09$ | N/A | N/A | 27,266,350 | 125,359 |
| 9.8 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $4.27 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $9.81 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $2.14 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 18,068,418 | 112,657 |
| 8.9 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $6.00 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.53 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $3.02 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 26,157,733 | 117,909 |
| 26.1 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $5.80 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.94 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $2.92 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 20,234,204 | 113,777 |
| 37.6 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.07 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $6.66 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $5.49 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 26,868,722 | 110,169 |
| 27.2 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.00 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $9.43 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $5.31 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 20,347,670 | 106,578 |
| 3.1 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.55 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $7.85 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $6.71 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 26,068,577 | 109,800 |
| 7.0 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $4.77 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $6.66 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.27 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 18,355,525 | 104,348 |
| 8.1 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $4.83 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $9.43 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.28 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 21,188,844 | 107,052 |
| 7.4 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $5.77 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $7.85 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.52 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 34,690,534 | 112,553 |
| 13.3 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.74 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $1.35 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $4.47 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 15,422,397 | 100,441 |
| 11.9 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.59 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $1.36 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $4.09 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 23,506,462 | 107,195 |
| 20.5 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.54 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $1.62 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $3.94 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 16,657,662 | 101,421 |
| 3.2 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.97 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $3.92 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.02 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 18,050,792 | 102,356 |
| 2.6 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $4.50 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $3.85 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.16 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 21,321,795 | 105,193 |
| 16.8 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $4.83 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $4.42 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.25 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 16,814,156 | 101,041 |
| 26.7 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.03 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $1.45 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $5.25 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 17,323,113 | 99,837 |
| 17.0 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.02 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $1.37 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $5.22 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 18,945,785 | 103,569 |
| 13.0 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.43 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $1.46 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $6.31 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 19,070,938 | 102,109 |
| 6.0 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $4.20 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $3.42 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.09 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 22,090,553 | 102,536 |
| 15.9 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $5.73 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $3.85 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.48 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 33,129,406 | 108,112 |
| 11.0 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $7.57 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $4.41 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.96 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 43,808,521 | 110,543 |


| Experiment | Sample | Aliquot | Treatment | OD600 after outgrowth | Time (h) | Volume for back dilution (mL) |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MMS \& Pheomycin | 1 | A | N/A | N/A initial library | N/A | 0.5 | ND |  | ND |  | ND |  | ND |
|  | 2 | B | N/A | N/A initial library | N/A | 0.5 | ND |  | ND |  | ND |  | ND |
|  | 3 | C | N/A | N/A initial library | N/A | 0.5 | ND |  | ND |  | ND |  | ND |
|  | 4 | A | N/A | 0.82 | 9.5 | 1.52 |  | 97 |  | 72 |  | 75 | 81.3 |
|  | 5 | B | N/A | 0.879 | 9.5 | 1.42 |  | 71 |  | 81 |  | 82 | 78.0 |
|  | 6 | C | N/A | 0.781 | 9.5 | 1.6 |  | 56 |  | 46 |  | 63 | 55.0 |
|  | 7 | A |  | 1.496 | 3 | 0.836 |  | 372 |  | 220 |  | 188 | 260.0 |
|  | 8 | B | Vehicle | 1.512 | 3 | 0.827 |  | 264 |  | 192 |  | 198 | 218.0 |
|  | 9 | C |  | 1.5 | 3 | 0.834 |  | 244 |  | 155 |  | 185 | 194.7 |
|  | 10 | A |  | 1.51 | 3.25 | 0.828 |  | 82 |  | 102 |  | 109 | 97.7 |
|  | 11 | B | $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ MMS | 1.508 | 3.25 | 0.829 |  | 139 |  | 146 |  | 167 | 150.7 |
|  | 12 | C |  | 1.466 | 3.25 | 0.853 |  | 128 |  | 121 |  | 129 | 126.0 |
|  | 13 | A |  | 1.482 | 3.25 | 0.844 |  | 140 |  | 143 |  | 151 | 144.7 |
|  | 14 | B | $25 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ Phleomycin | 1.534 | 3.25 | 0.815 |  | 129 |  | 156 |  | 155 | 146.7 |
|  | 15 | C |  | 1.494 | 3.25 | 0.837 |  | 140 |  | 141 |  | 123 | 134.7 |
|  | 16 | A |  | 1.404 | 3 | 0.891 |  | 150 |  | 133 |  | 145 | 142.7 |
|  | 17 | B | Vehicle | 1.432 | 3 | 0.873 |  | 125 |  | 129 |  | 187 | 147.0 |
|  | 18 | C |  | 1.404 | 3 | 0.891 |  | 110 |  | 157 |  | 135 | 134.0 |
|  | 19 | A |  | 1.484 | 3.75 | 0.843 |  | 142 |  | 185 |  | 138 | 155.0 |
|  | 20 | B | $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ MMS | 1.45 | 3.75 | 0.862 |  | 119 |  | 120 |  | 113 | 117.3 |
|  | 21 | C |  | 1.424 | 3.75 | 0.878 |  | 139 |  | 113 |  | 136 | 129.3 |
|  | 22 | A | $25 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ <br> Phleomycin | 1.478 | 4 | 0.846 |  | 173 |  | 131 |  | 176 | 160.0 |
|  | 23 | B |  | 1.578 | 4 | 0.793 |  | 162 |  | 154 |  | 244 | 186.7 |
|  | 24 | C |  | 1.404 | 4 | 0.891 |  | 158 |  | 149 |  | 209 | 172.0 |
|  | 25 | A | Vehicle | 1.546 | 3 | N/A |  | 146 |  | 118 |  | 204 | 156.0 |
|  | 26 | B |  | 1.38 | 3 | N/A |  | 194 |  | 141 |  | 114 | 149.7 |
|  | 27 | C |  | 1.392 | 3 | N/A |  | 137 |  | 172 |  | 147 | 152.0 |
|  | 28 | A | $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ MMS | 1.768 | 4 | N/A |  | 166 |  | 165 |  | 213 | 181.3 |


| 29 | B | 1.784 | 4 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 173 | 170 | 236 | 193.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 30 | C | 1.892 | 4 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 178 | 166 | 181 |
| 175.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 31 | A | 1.882 | 4 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 288 | 400 | 320 |
| 3236.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 32 | B | $25 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ | 1.718 | 4 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 220 | 211 |
|  | Phleomycin | 1.716 | 4 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 213 | 214.7 |  |  |


| Std Dev | Dilution factor | cells/mL | Number of cells in inoculum | Number of cells after growth | Reads mapped | Tnsites with $>=10$ reads |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ND | ND | ND | N/A | N/A | 35,843,091 | 126,497 |
| ND | ND | ND | N/A | N/A | 16,541,861 | 118,456 |
| ND | ND | ND | N/A | N/A | 15,754,152 | 117,697 |
| 13.7 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $8.13 \mathrm{E}+07$ | ND | $4.11 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 27,956,965 | 115,134 |
| 6.1 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $7.80 \mathrm{E}+07$ | ND | $3.94 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 25,538,172 | 114,266 |
| 8.5 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $5.50 \mathrm{E}+07$ | ND | $2.78 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 23,836,182 | 113,304 |
| 98.3 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.60 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $1.24 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $6.90 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 22,106,601 | 96,669 |
| 39.9 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.18 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $1.11 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $5.76 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 20,265,859 | 95,577 |
| 45.3 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.95 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $8.80 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $5.18 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 44,365,650 | 103,508 |
| 14.0 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $9.77 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.24 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $2.59 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 60,006,387 | 106,978 |
| 14.6 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.51 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $1.11 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $3.98 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 11,507,552 | 85,925 |
| 4.4 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.26 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $8.80 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $3.35 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 41,343,336 | 103,165 |
| 5.7 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.45 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $1.24 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $3.84 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 48,823,094 | 105,073 |
| 15.3 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.47 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $1.11 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $3.87 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 13,240,328 | 88,983 |
| 10.1 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.35 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $8.80 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $3.58 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 14,655,221 | 89,930 |
| 8.7 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.43 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $2.17 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $3.69 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 15,374,897 | 90,181 |
| 34.7 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.47 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $1.80 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $3.80 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 19,755,901 | 94,282 |
| 23.5 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.34 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $1.62 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $3.46 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 14,092,828 | 88,014 |
| 26.1 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.55 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $8.09 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $4.00 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 20,493,595 | 94,277 |
| 3.8 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.17 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $1.25 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $3.03 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 19,078,748 | 93,789 |


| $\mathbf{1 4 . 2}$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.29 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $1.07 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $3.34 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $17,204,870$ | 92,051 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 5 . 2}$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.60 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $1.22 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $4.14 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $15,834,903$ | 90,135 |
| $\mathbf{4 9 . 8}$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.87 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $1.20 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $4.82 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $11,148,113$ | 84,358 |
| $\mathbf{3 2 . 4}$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.72 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $1.13 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $4.44 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $37,289,108$ | 100,198 |
| $\mathbf{4 3 . 9}$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.56 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $1.27 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $4.04 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $15,933,177$ | 90,922 |
| $\mathbf{4 0 . 7}$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.50 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $1.28 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $3.87 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $15,080,780$ | 89,795 |
| $\mathbf{1 8 . 0}$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.52 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $1.19 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $3.94 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $22,500,677$ | 95,409 |
| $\mathbf{2 7 . 4}$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.81 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $1.31 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $4.69 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $20,224,601$ | 94,064 |
| $\mathbf{3 7 . 3}$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.93 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $1.01 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $4.99 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $19,106,876$ | 92,938 |
| $\mathbf{7 . 9}$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.75 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $1.14 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $4.53 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $19,327,030$ | 93,310 |
| $\mathbf{5 7 . 7}$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.36 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $1.35 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $8.68 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $15,917,971$ | 89,971 |
| $\mathbf{4 . 7}$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.15 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $1.48 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $5.54 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $18,353,865$ | 92,047 |
| $\mathbf{7 7 . 1}$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.99 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $1.53 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $7.74 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $18,317,223$ | 91,222 |

Table 2.1 Tn-seq data collected. $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ measurements, incubation times, viable cell counts, growth rates estimated based on viable cell counts, number of generations estimated based on viable cell counts and incubation times, sequencing sample IDs, sequencing reads, reads mapped, and the number of Tn insertions with more than 10 reads for each sample are presented.

| Mitomycin C Growth period 1 |  |  | Mitomycin C Growth period 2 |  |  | Mitomycin C Growth period 3 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feature/gene | Mean Relative fitness | Adjusted p-value | Feature/gene | Mean Relative fitness | Adjusted p-value | Feature/gene | Mean <br> Relative fitness | Adjusted p-value |
| ktrC | 0.580 | $7.3 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $\underline{r e c N}$ | 0.200 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-16$ | $u v r B$ | 0.423 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-40$ |
| recN | 0.613 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-54$ | $\underline{s e p F}$ | 0.400 | $8.0 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $\boldsymbol{u v r A}$ | 0.466 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-67$ |
| mntB | 0.634 | $4.2 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $\underline{u v r B}$ | 0.409 | $7.2 \mathrm{E}-68$ | uvrC | 0.478 | $4.0 \mathrm{E}-33$ |
| mint ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.638 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-19$ | $\underline{u v r C}$ | 0.484 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-56$ | recN | 0.536 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| mnt $A$ | 0.641 | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-13$ | $\underline{u v r A}$ | 0.489 | 1.7E-135 | U712_09520 | 0.553 | $5.1 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| ponA | 0.660 | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-85$ | $\underline{\text { add } A}$ | 0.537 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-10$ | ylbL | 0.561 | $5.8 \mathrm{E}-36$ |
| recA | 0.666 | $8.1 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $\underline{r n h C}$ | 0.544 | $3.6 \mathrm{E}-07$ | rnhC | 0.564 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| mntD | 0.671 | $4.9 \mathrm{E}-20$ | crh | 0.565 | $3.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ | yprA | 0.587 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-106$ |
| recG | 0.679 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-17$ | ruvB | 0.568 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $y p r B$ | 0.589 | $3.6 \mathrm{E}-35$ |
| addA | 0.684 | $3.3 \mathrm{E}-33$ | $\underline{y l b L}$ | 0.581 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-45$ | polA | 0.607 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-54$ |
| addB | 0.689 | $6.4 \mathrm{E}-26$ | ponA | 0.587 | $3.5 \mathrm{E}-14$ | lgt | 0.608 | $5.8 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| rect | 0.708 | $8.2 \mathrm{E}-06$ | bcrC | 0.595 | $4.6 \mathrm{E}-10$ | ctpA | 0.610 | $6.5 \mathrm{E}-38$ |
| gtaB | 0.711 | $4.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $\underline{e c s} A$ | 0.603 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-04$ | cymR | 0.621 | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-11$ |
| codV | 0.720 | $5.6 \mathrm{E}-06$ | recR | 0.606 | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $y \operatorname{sos}$ A | 0.630 | $5.4 \mathrm{E}-20$ |
| clpY | 0.723 | $8.8 \mathrm{E}-08$ | que ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.612 | $5.3 \mathrm{E}-06$ | ylbK | 0.635 | $5.2 \mathrm{E}-16$ |
| pgeA | 0.728 | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $\underline{a d d B}$ | 0.622 | $4.0 \mathrm{E}-09$ | ig1981 | 0.651 | $5.2 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| codY | 0.739 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-06$ | ig3151 | 0.627 | $5.2 \mathrm{E}-09$ | ig1437 | 0.660 | $8.7 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| ig1685 | 0.739 | $5.5 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $\underline{e c s B}$ | 0.627 | $4.5 \mathrm{E}-16$ | dnaH | 0.664 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| ruvB | 0.744 | $6.4 \mathrm{E}-15$ | polA | 0.649 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-68$ | ig2 | 0.665 | $3.7 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| ahrC | 0.746 | $7.2 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $\underline{y l m G}$ | 0.656 | $5.4 \mathrm{E}-12$ | $\boldsymbol{y l m G}$ | 0.667 | $3.3 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| odh $A$ | 0.755 | $5.9 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $\underline{l g t}$ | 0.661 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-13$ | recD2 | 0.672 | $3.2 \mathrm{E}-57$ |
| ftsH | 0.761 | $5.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $\underline{\operatorname{ctp} A}$ | 0.673 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-52$ | bcrC | 0.673 | $5.3 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| yaaO | 0.774 | $5.8 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $\underline{r i p X}$ | 0.674 | $3.4 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ecsB | 0.673 | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| recO | 0.783 | $5.4 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $\underline{\mathrm{vtm}}$ | 0.690 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-23$ | ig2807 | 0.683 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-11$ |
| ig3172 | 0.785 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $\underline{r e c G}$ | 0.695 | $3.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig3151 | 0.684 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| ruvA | 0.785 | $8.1 \mathrm{E}-12$ | ig3116 | 0.700 | $9.3 \mathrm{E}-07$ | radA | 0.690 | $3.3 \mathrm{E}-34$ |
| ig2955 | 0.792 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-04$ | dnaN | 0.702 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig100 | 0.705 | $3.1 \mathrm{E}-11$ |
| $y m d B$ | 0.794 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-12$ | ig1437 | 0.705 | $3.5 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig2610 | 0.706 | $4.1 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| metN | 0.805 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-08$ | ig1200 | 0.714 | $4.5 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig2551 | 0.712 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| whia | 0.807 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $\underline{v d z U}$ | 0.714 | $3.1 \mathrm{E}-06$ | yneB | 0.712 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-14$ |
| $\boldsymbol{y j b H}$ | 0.808 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-06$ | walH | 0.715 | $3.2 \mathrm{E}-17$ | spoIIIE | 0.713 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-59$ |
| glpD | 0.810 | $5.2 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $\underline{\operatorname{tag} O}$ | 0.717 | $3.5 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $y l m E$ | 0.714 | $8.9 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| pnpA | 0.811 | $6.4 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $y \operatorname{lmE}$ | 0.718 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-08$ | ig1935 | 0.714 | $5.3 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| ig37 | 0.813 | $3.2 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $\underline{s d a A B}$ | 0.724 | $5.5 \mathrm{E}-12$ | yycI | 0.715 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| metQ | 0.816 | $5.8 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $\underline{\mathrm{ys} 0} \mathrm{~A}$ | 0.725 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-22$ | ig1717 | 0.715 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-10$ |
| ccpA | 0.824 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}-11$ | ig2551 | 0.726 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $y \mathrm{rrB}$ | 0.719 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-15$ |
| ripX | 0.824 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-09$ | recX | 0.729 | $5.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ | sdaAB | 0.730 | $8.5 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| sdhB | 0.824 | $3.2 \mathrm{E}-03$ | walJ | 0.729 | $4.1 \mathrm{E}-18$ | ig1921 | 0.732 | $3.2 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| ig1503 | 0.828 | $6.3 \mathrm{E}-02$ | recD2 | 0.731 | 6.4E-68 | ig2801 | 0.744 | $5.7 \mathrm{E}-09$ |


| bcd | 0.829 | 1.1E-06 | ig812 | 0.741 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-03$ | nrdR | 0.748 | 6.6E-06 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ig1525 | 0.829 | $5.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig2801 | 0.742 | $3.8 \mathrm{E}-14$ | recJ | 0.751 | 1.0E-35 |
| minJ | 0.829 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $\underline{\text { radA }}$ | 0.742 | $5.4 \mathrm{E}-30$ | ctsR | 0.758 | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| ynea | 0.831 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-07$ | ylbK | 0.746 | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-11$ | recG | 0.759 | 6.7E-05 |
| walH | 0.835 | 1.1E-10 | $\underline{41209520}$ | 0.752 | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ig2774 | 0.759 | $3.8 \mathrm{E}-11$ |
| braB | 0.836 | 5.7E-15 | ig3234 | 0.755 | $4.7 \mathrm{E}-07$ | addA | 0.759 | 5.1E-04 |
| ig1977 | 0.838 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}-03$ | sodA | 0.763 | $5.1 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $\boldsymbol{y j z G}$ | 0.761 | $3.4 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| sodA | 0.839 | 1.7E-06 | cymR | 0.770 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-11$ | ig3623 | 0.761 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| ctaB | 0.843 | $6.2 \mathrm{E}-12$ | yprA | 0.773 | $3.6 \mathrm{E}-92$ | ig3404 | 0.762 | 1.3E-13 |
| $y t x G$ | 0.844 | 5.7E-03 | yprB | 0.777 | 7.7E-32 | ig2409 | 0.764 | 5.8E-09 |
| rnc | 0.846 | 7.1E-03 | ig199 | 0.777 | $9.2 \mathrm{E}-08$ | ig3234 | 0.765 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| buk | 0.848 | 7.8E-14 | ywrC | 0.785 | $4.1 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig199 | 0.766 | 5.2E-07 |
| yvrJ | 0.849 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig2940 | 0.788 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-03$ | atpI | 0.769 | 5.5E-11 |
| que $A$ | 0.850 | $9.0 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $\underline{\nu} \boldsymbol{c}$ I | 0.790 | $3.9 \mathrm{E}-15$ | ig3558 | 0.775 | $9.7 \mathrm{E}-22$ |
| rnhC | 0.851 | $3.0 \mathrm{E}-09$ | ig2409 | 0.790 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-09$ | ig1983 | 0.778 | 5.1E-07 |
| metP | 0.851 | 7.0E-09 | ig2807 | 0.792 | $7.8 \mathrm{E}-09$ | U712_00035 | 0.783 | 2.2E-02 |
| czrA | 0.852 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $\underline{i g 3518}$ | 0.792 | $6.5 \mathrm{E}-04$ | walH | 0.784 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| ig1623 | 0.853 | 2.3E-04 | vhcF | 0.795 | $3.0 \mathrm{E}-10$ | ig1925 | 0.784 | 5.0E-11 |
| ssrSA | 0.853 | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $y \operatorname{lmH}$ | 0.796 | $4.2 \mathrm{E}-13$ | rnjB | 0.788 | 4.7E-44 |
| menH | 0.854 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-07$ | ig589 | 0.800 | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-24$ | ctaB | 0.788 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| asnS | 0.856 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-08$ | vufK | 0.804 | $3.1 \mathrm{E}-17$ | ponA | 0.792 | 7.4E-06 |
| $\min C$ | 0.856 | $3.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ | vfh H | 0.804 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-04$ | atpD | 0.792 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| ig2118 | 0.857 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $\underline{y r r B}$ | 0.805 | $5.0 \mathrm{E}-11$ | ig3116 | 0.792 | $5.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| $y v c J$ | 0.857 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $\underline{a t p I}$ | 0.805 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-10$ | perA | 0.793 | 8.6E-06 |
| yneB | 0.857 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-09$ | spxA | 0.805 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $y l m D$ | 0.795 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-10$ |
| aroB | 0.859 | 1.2E-05 | $\underline{y l m D}$ | 0.809 | $4.8 \mathrm{E}-07$ | abh | 0.796 | $3.6 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| yozB | 0.862 | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-04$ | recJ | 0.809 | $3.7 \mathrm{E}-34$ | ig1505 | 0.797 | 3.7E-11 |
| ptsI | 0.862 | 2.4E-09 | pcrA | 0.810 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig110 | 0.798 | $3.1 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| mswH | 0.862 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-04$ | pbpD | 0.812 | 4.1E-42 | $a b r B$ | 0.798 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| ugtP | 0.863 | 2.2E-06 | ig2610 | 0.813 | $7.2 \mathrm{E}-09$ | lyta | 0.799 | 2.6E-09 |
| yozO | 0.864 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig2683 | 0.815 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-14$ | ig1456 | 0.806 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| ig1843 | 0.866 | $9.8 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $\underline{a b r B}$ | 0.818 | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-08$ | bsuMB | 0.813 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| ig1836 | 0.866 | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-05$ | BSU30466 | 0.818 | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig1602 | 0.814 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| fliQ | 0.867 | $3.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ | U712 17635 | 0.819 | $8.2 \mathrm{E}-04$ | tig | 0.814 | $3.0 \mathrm{E}-11$ |
| ig1596 | 0.867 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ig100 | 0.819 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-09$ | ig1515 | 0.816 | $4.5 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| nagBB | 0.867 | 1.2E-05 | ig1983 | 0.821 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $y v r J$ | 0.817 | 1.7E-03 |
| ig50 | 0.867 | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig2628 | 0.823 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig3123 | 0.818 | 3.4E-06 |
| ig1907 | 0.868 | 3.2E-06 | rpmGB | 0.823 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig1708 | 0.819 | 5.5E-04 |
| $\operatorname{csh} B$ | 0.868 | $3.1 \mathrm{E}-07$ | ig3131 | 0.825 | $8.0 \mathrm{E}-06$ | ig1601 | 0.821 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-11$ |
| spoVE | 0.868 | $5.0 \mathrm{E}-04$ | yaaT | 0.835 | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-05$ | yaat | 0.821 | 3.3E-04 |
| yppC | 0.868 | 4.4E-04 | $\underline{\nu v s G}$ | 0.837 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-17$ | yyaN | 0.822 | 1.1E-09 |
| ig1515 | 0.870 | $3.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $\underline{\text { ItaSB }}$ | 0.838 | $5.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ccp $A$ | 0.822 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| aroF | 0.870 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | U712 17630 | 0.839 | $5.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig99 | 0.824 | 6.8E-04 |


| ig1859 | 0.871 | 5.4E-04 | vitW | 0.842 | $5.6 \mathrm{E}-05$ | bcd | 0.824 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ezrA | 0.871 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig1717 | 0.843 | $3.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $b k d A B$ | 0.824 | $9.5 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| $\operatorname{sig} H$ | 0.872 | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $\underline{t a g} F$ | 0.843 | $9.5 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig1266 | 0.825 | 2.3E-06 |
| rttM | 0.872 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $\underline{y t z H}$ | 0.844 | 3.3E-04 | ig1418 | 0.826 | $9.9 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| ig1928 | 0.872 | $6.5 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ig3404 | 0.844 | $5.7 \mathrm{E}-11$ | ig248 | 0.827 | 5.5E-09 |
| ig3659 | 0.872 | 3.5E-04 | ig2365 | 0.845 | 3.9E-06 | ig286 | 0.827 | 4.2E-12 |
| ndo $A$ | 0.872 | 8.5E-03 | vdjO | 0.846 | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-05$ | men $\boldsymbol{A}$ | 0.831 | $9.6 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| polC | 0.873 | 3.9E-02 | ig1161 | 0.848 | $3.9 \mathrm{E}-03$ | spoVE | 0.832 | $4.0 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| ig1633 | 0.874 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | yhaJ | 0.848 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-20$ | rsiV | 0.832 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-12$ |
| ig2418 | 0.874 | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig2961 | 0.850 | 4.6E-05 | spxA | 0.832 | 6.6E-04 |
| qoxC | 0.875 | 2.2E-03 | $\underline{s t t A}$ | 0.850 | 4.6E-38 | cdnD | 0.833 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-60$ |
| уриС | 0.875 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $y d e S$ | 0.851 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-14$ | $n d o A$ | 0.834 | $3.8 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| ptb | 0.875 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-06$ | gtaB | 0.852 | $6.4 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $\boldsymbol{t a g} A$ | 0.834 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| recR | 0.876 | $3.4 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig1981 | 0.856 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | pbpA | 0.835 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-28$ |
| rex | 0.876 | 4.8E-09 | ig587 | 0.856 | $4.9 \mathrm{E}-13$ | ig1281 | 0.835 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| $y m c A$ | 0.877 | 2.2E-02 | ig644 | 0.856 | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-05$ | rpmGB | 0.835 | $5.3 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| ig1580 | 0.878 | $7.6 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig2502 | 0.858 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-09$ | qoxA | 0.835 | 8.9E-03 |
| pgi | 0.878 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ig2650 | 0.858 | $3.1 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig2365 | 0.836 | 5.2E-09 |
| yxeJ | 0.878 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | ig590 | 0.858 | 4.8E-12 | bsuMA | 0.836 | 4.8E-03 |
| ig1602 | 0.879 | 4.7E-04 | $\underline{U 71200035}$ | 0.858 | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-03$ | yneT | 0.837 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-12$ |
| mraW | 0.879 | 5.5E-06 | ig3206 | 0.859 | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig2420 | 0.838 | $5.1 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| U712_00035 | 0.880 | 5.8E-04 | $\underline{y w z} \boldsymbol{H}$ | 0.859 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $y l b F$ | 0.838 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| qox $A$ | 0.880 | $3.3 \mathrm{E}-09$ | rex | 0.859 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-04$ | yufK | 0.838 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}-13$ |
| yprA | 0.881 | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-55$ | ig2756 | 0.860 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-05$ | pgl | 0.838 | 4.4E-06 |
| comGC | 0.881 | 4.7E-02 | $\underline{m r p A}$ | 0.861 | $7.3 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig3639 | 0.839 | $3.3 \mathrm{E}-19$ |
| fliL | 0.881 | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-06$ | sigV | 0.861 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-07$ | ig3614 | 0.839 | $8.7 \mathrm{E}-12$ |
| mia $A$ | 0.882 | $3.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ | pheT | 0.862 | 2.2E-01 | ig374 | 0.840 | 3.9E-03 |
| ig2391 | 0.882 | $7.3 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig588 | 0.863 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig1689 | 0.841 | $5.7 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| ig35 | 0.882 | $3.5 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig7 | 0.864 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | yoch | 0.843 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-17$ |
| fin | 0.883 | 7.3E-03 | hemL | 0.864 | 4.4E-08 | sigV | 0.843 | 7.6E-11 |
| dnaK | 0.884 | $9.8 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $\underline{\operatorname{acc} A}$ | 0.865 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | fapR | 0.843 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| $y f m N$ | 0.884 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | mutM | 0.865 | 5.2E-06 | $y \mathrm{deS}$ | 0.844 | 5.3E-13 |
| aroD | 0.884 | $4.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig3118 | 0.866 | $5.6 \mathrm{E}-07$ | ig1429 | 0.844 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| yoyC | 0.884 | $5.3 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig3011 | 0.867 | $5.5 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ig2114 | 0.844 | $4.0 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| ig2293 | 0.884 | 5.7E-04 | ig3623 | 0.867 | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ | gpsB | 0.845 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| pyk | 0.884 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $\underline{s d p R}$ | 0.867 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-14$ | ig2558 | 0.845 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| rnpZA | 0.885 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $\underline{v t n I}$ | 0.868 | 6.3E-04 | bkdAA | 0.845 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| spoIIAB | 0.885 | $3.1 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig3558 | 0.869 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-14$ | ig3099 | 0.846 | 7.7E-05 |
| $y q a H$ | 0.886 | $3.4 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $\underline{y t r B}$ | 0.869 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-15$ | ig1582 | 0.846 | $5.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| ig112 | 0.886 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig2513 | 0.869 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}-04$ | metQ | 0.847 | 4.2E-03 |
| $y l b F$ | 0.886 | 1.2E-06 | ig3279 | 0.870 | 7.7E-12 | ypoC | 0.847 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| ig1479 | 0.886 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig3556 | 0.870 | $3.1 \mathrm{E}-07$ | ig55 | 0.847 | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| ig2117 | 0.887 | $3.8 \mathrm{E}-05$ | U712 13350 | 0.871 | 4.7E-03 | spoVAB | 0.847 | $3.9 \mathrm{E}-07$ |


| yxIC | 0.887 | 5.4E-02 | vufS | 0.872 | 2.4E-02 | ig1369 | 0.848 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| yndH | 0.887 | 7.4E-08 | ig3123 | 0.872 | 4.8E-02 | yobS | 0.848 | 2.4E-08 |
| yuxK | 0.888 | $5.1 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig633 | 0.872 | 4.1E-03 | ig3161 | 0.848 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| ig1381 | 0.888 | 2.2E-03 | $\underline{r s h}$ | 0.874 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-03$ | yufS | 0.848 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| ksgA | 0.889 | $5.7 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig2798 | 0.875 | $9.7 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig1108 | 0.848 | $3.9 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| ig1981 | 0.889 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | ig3155 | 0.877 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig1479 | 0.848 | 4.4E-02 |
| ig2479 | 0.890 | $4.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $\underline{\cot T}$ | 0.877 | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig3423 | 0.848 | 4.7E-06 |
| $y p o P$ | 0.890 | 9.1E-03 | ig2639 | 0.877 | 1.4E-03 | U712_09140 | 0.848 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| cheB | 0.891 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $\underline{\text { lmr }} \boldsymbol{B}$ | 0.877 | 5.2E-31 | ylbJ | 0.849 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-10$ |
| atpH | 0.891 | $3.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $\underline{v t r C}$ | 0.878 | $4.4 \mathrm{E}-35$ | ig2955 | 0.849 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| U712_10355 | 0.892 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ | vtrA | 0.879 | 2.3E-05 | ig1898 | 0.849 | $4.5 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| ig2492 | 0.893 | $6.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ygab | 0.879 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig1381 | 0.850 | 9.5E-07 |
| aroc | 0.893 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-07$ | ig3113 | 0.879 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-04$ | U712_02515 | 0.851 | $3.5 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| ig3099 | 0.893 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ | yneB | 0.880 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-05$ | yyab | 0.851 | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-13$ |
| ytrA | 0.894 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $\underline{k t r C}$ | 0.880 | $6.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ | U712_06030 | 0.852 | $3.9 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| ig1906 | 0.894 | 4.6E-09 | $\underline{\operatorname{tag} A}$ | 0.881 | 2.2E-03 | ig480 | 0.852 | 1.4E-10 |
| $y q k E$ | 0.894 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig3114 | 0.881 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-03$ | addB | 0.852 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| $r n r$ | 0.894 | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $\underline{\text { ig } 499}$ | 0.882 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}-07$ | ig1692 | 0.852 | 1.7E-11 |
| $y q z D$ | 0.894 | $8.4 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ig987 | 0.883 | $9.9 \mathrm{E}-08$ | ig489 | 0.852 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| $y l y A$ | 0.894 | $4.9 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $\underline{U 71214410}$ | 0.883 | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig1332 | 0.853 | 8.8E-06 |
| ig125 | 0.895 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $\underline{k t r} A$ | 0.884 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-09$ | ig987 | 0.853 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-13$ |
| bsrI | 0.896 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | vxaD | 0.884 | $3.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ig2103 | 0.854 | 2.7E-04 |
| mgtE | 0.896 | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig3120 | 0.885 | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig963 | 0.854 | 4.6E-04 |
| yobD | 0.896 | $7.7 \mathrm{E}-05$ | gerAA | 0.885 | $9.2 \mathrm{E}-19$ | ktrD | 0.855 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-24$ |
| ig2397 | 0.896 | 2.1E-01 | vtrD | 0.885 | $5.4 \mathrm{E}-30$ | ig3279 | 0.855 | 7.6E-13 |
| yncF | 0.897 | 8.5E-05 | $\underline{y d z F}$ | 0.885 | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-09$ | ltaSB | 0.855 | $8.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| ig3244 | 0.897 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | ig2774 | 0.885 | $6.3 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $y n z D$ | 0.855 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| ig2205 | 0.897 | 2.1E-03 | yrhO | 0.886 | 8.6E-16 | ig2502 | 0.855 | 5.9E-09 |
| $f t s E$ | 0.897 | 3.1E-06 | ig3219 | 0.887 | $9.5 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig1478 | 0.855 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| U712_13765 | 0.897 | 2.2E-02 | $\underline{c s b} A$ | 0.887 | 3.3E-08 | ig2047 | 0.855 | 7.4E-05 |
| pupG | 0.897 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $\underline{U 71204285}$ | 0.887 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-10$ | ig415 | 0.856 | $3.7 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| ig2013 | 0.898 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $\underline{\text { vuxG }}$ | 0.888 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-27$ | ig7 | 0.856 | $5.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| trmF | 0.898 | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $\underline{x p a C}$ | 0.889 | $4.6 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig3487 | 0.857 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| dnaJ | 0.898 | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-05$ | vteS | 0.890 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig1089 | 0.857 | $3.7 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| ig2030 | 0.898 | $3.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig2713 | 0.890 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-06$ | ig1078 | 0.857 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rhaU | 0.898 | 8.3E-02 | ig3161 | 0.891 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig1941 | 0.858 | $3.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| ig3299 | 0.899 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig3244 | 0.891 | 3.2E-02 | hemL | 0.858 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| $h f q$ | 0.899 | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-02$ | capB | 0.891 | 3.1E-16 | ig2065 | 0.858 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| ig1452 | 0.899 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ | vvaN | 0.892 | $3.4 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig2650 | 0.858 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| $l p d V$ | 0.899 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $\underline{y q z I}$ | 0.893 | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig256 | 0.859 | $6.3 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| ig2020 | 0.899 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $\underline{n r n A}$ | 0.893 | $6.2 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig1857 | 0.860 | $9.8 \mathrm{E}-22$ |
| ig1782 | 0.899 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-04$ | spoIIIE | 0.893 | 3.9E-19 | U712_18390 | 0.860 | 8.7E-04 |
| ig1649 | 0.899 | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $r$ rsiV | 0.893 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-06$ | dnaE | 0.860 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-04$ |


| cymR | 0.899 | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-04$ | U712 15675 | 0.894 | $3.1 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig1827 | 0.861 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-10$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ig1804 | 0.900 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-04$ | vyaB | 0.894 | $5.0 \mathrm{E}-07$ | ig1845 | 0.861 | 8.1E-06 |
| ig1978 | 0.900 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ | apt | 0.895 | 7.2E-05 | ptsI | 0.861 | $9.8 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| speE | 0.901 | $5.5 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $\underline{a b h}$ | 0.895 | $9.1 \mathrm{E}-05$ | yxaD | 0.861 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| $y n z G$ | 0.901 | $5.5 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig2690 | 0.895 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-10$ | ig3638 | 0.862 | $3.2 \mathrm{E}-16$ |
| yozi | 0.901 | $9.4 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $\underline{\operatorname{cop} Z}$ | 0.896 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | ig617 | 0.862 | $3.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| sdata | 0.901 | $6.5 \mathrm{E}-06$ | vhaH | 0.896 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $\boldsymbol{y l m H}$ | 0.862 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| ig1451 | 0.901 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $\underline{\text { csoR }}$ | 0.896 | $5.7 \mathrm{E}-07$ | ig1371 | 0.862 | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| yodL | 0.902 | $8.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $\underline{\operatorname{man} A}$ | 0.896 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-08$ | ig1963 | 0.862 | 7.3E-07 |
| metA | 0.902 | $4.6 \mathrm{E}-13$ | ig1283 | 0.897 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-04$ | rplI | 0.863 | $3.9 \mathrm{E}-13$ |
| ig2079 | 0.902 | $4.5 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $\underline{\text { mutS2 }}$ | 0.897 | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-14$ | $s b p$ | 0.863 | $5.1 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| cwlC | 0.903 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-06$ | bsuMA | 0.897 | $3.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig3617 | 0.863 | 1.6E-07 |
| cheD | 0.904 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig925 | 0.897 | $3.3 \mathrm{E}-04$ | mutM | 0.863 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| ig1773 | 0.904 | $5.6 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ygaF | 0.897 | $7.4 \mathrm{E}-06$ | ig2963 | 0.863 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| $f t s X$ | 0.904 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig3659 | 0.897 | $5.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ | nth | 0.863 | 1.4E-03 |
| cdd | 0.904 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $\underline{s d h B}$ | 0.897 | $3.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ | metP | 0.863 | $5.1 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| accA | 0.904 | $3.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig3181 | 0.898 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-06$ | ig1938 | 0.864 | 1.6E-08 |
| yodC | 0.904 | $8.3 \mathrm{E}-09$ | vfkF | 0.898 | $4.1 \mathrm{E}-11$ | ig212 | 0.864 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| $m m m A$ | 0.905 | $5.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ | vhcG | 0.898 | $9.4 \mathrm{E}-07$ | ig1228 | 0.864 | 2.0E-06 |
| $\operatorname{rimP}$ | 0.905 | $7.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $\underline{y v z B}$ | 0.898 | $9.3 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig2513 | 0.864 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| $y l q D$ | 0.905 | $4.7 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $y l b F$ | 0.898 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig2475 | 0.864 | 3.2E-14 |
| $r s m B$ | 0.905 | $9.9 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $\underline{\text { ig508 }}$ | 0.899 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig988 | 0.865 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| cheY | 0.905 | $4.8 \mathrm{E}-06$ | vfhO | 0.900 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-48$ | ig2476 | 0.865 | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| ig2136 | 0.905 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig502 | 0.900 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig261 | 0.865 | $8.5 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| fur | 0.905 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-07$ | ig958 | 0.900 | $5.5 \mathrm{E}-05$ | yyaH | 0.866 | 2.2E-06 |
| men $A$ | 0.906 | $6.3 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig1162 | 0.900 | $4.9 \mathrm{E}-06$ | walJ | 0.866 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| yock | 0.906 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig3018 | 0.900 | $8.9 \mathrm{E}-07$ | ig2355 | 0.866 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| ig2308 | 0.906 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $\underline{\nu w r B}$ | 0.901 | $4.8 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ig1503 | 0.867 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| bkdAA | 0.906 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-09$ | vusO | 0.901 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ytnI | 0.867 | 3.9E-03 |
| $n r d R$ | 0.906 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ | vhcD | 0.901 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ig1920 | 0.867 | $8.7 \mathrm{E}-12$ |
| sda $A B$ | 0.906 | $4.1 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $\underline{k t r D}$ | 0.901 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-17$ | $r s i X$ | 0.867 | 5.6E-20 |
| $y \mathrm{maC}$ | 0.906 | $9.7 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig1101 | 0.901 | $4.5 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig1344 | 0.867 | 2.6E-09 |


| MMS Growth period 1 |  |  | MMS Growth period 2 |  |  | MMS Growth period 3 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feature/gene | Mean Relative fitness | Adjusted p-value | Feature/gene | Mean Relative fitness | Adjusted p-value | Feature/gene | Mean Relative fitness | Adjusted p-value |
| ruv $A$ | 0.586 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-06$ | polA | 0.589 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-54$ | polA | 0.601 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-28$ |
| ruvB | 0.621 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-07$ | hemL | 0.650 | $9.7 \mathrm{E}-05$ | walJ | 0.705 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| pgeA | 0.631 | $6.4 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ezrA | 0.673 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-17$ | ezrA | 0.712 | $3.0 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| atpD | 0.684 | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-03$ | walJ | 0.743 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-05$ | recN | 0.793 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-24$ |


| recR | 0.695 | 5.2E-06 | mitD | 0.769 | $4.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ | cymR | 0.798 | $3.5 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rho | 0.718 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $s d a A B$ | 0.782 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $s d a A B$ | 0.805 | 5.8E-08 |
| ponA | 0.721 | 3.9E-04 | radA | 0.782 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-33$ | $y y c I$ | 0.820 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| hemL | 0.750 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-10$ | res $E$ | 0.783 | $3.9 \mathrm{E}-04$ | recD 2 | 0.837 | $5.4 \mathrm{E}-23$ |
| $y \operatorname{tpQ}$ | 0.761 | $5.7 \mathrm{E}-04$ | recN | 0.791 | $8.3 \mathrm{E}-30$ | radA | 0.840 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-26$ |
| addA | 0.769 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-15$ | ig2551 | 0.808 | 4.8E-03 | addA | 0.840 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| addB | 0.769 | $3.2 \mathrm{E}-14$ | yycI | 0.823 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig100 | 0.854 | $7.6 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| $\operatorname{rec} G$ | 0.782 | $8.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $y / m E$ | 0.827 | $4.8 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig3623 | 0.858 | $3.1 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| ccp $A$ | 0.803 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ | cymR | 0.827 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ig1200 | 0.858 | $3.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| ugtP | 0.806 | $5.3 \mathrm{E}-03$ | recD2 | 0.829 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-34$ | sodA | 0.868 | $9.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| ig3284 | 0.808 | $3.2 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ig2204 | 0.833 | $3.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig1717 | 0.876 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| $y b b R$ | 0.817 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig1200 | 0.834 | $5.6 \mathrm{E}-03$ | yozN | 0.877 | $8.5 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| yitW | 0.818 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | ig3219 | 0.846 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig2409 | 0.879 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| spoIIP | 0.818 | $6.0 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $a d d B$ | 0.853 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig3590 | 0.885 | $3.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| pabC | 0.821 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig1503 | 0.854 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $y s \mathrm{~s} \boldsymbol{A}$ | 0.887 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}-10$ |
| $\boldsymbol{a t p} A$ | 0.825 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $y f h H$ | 0.856 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $y l m D$ | 0.893 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| $\boldsymbol{m c s} A$ | 0.827 | $6.4 \mathrm{E}-06$ | parB | 0.861 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-04$ | spoIIIE | 0.893 | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| pabA | 0.829 | $7.8 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig100 | 0.862 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-06$ | ig1503 | 0.894 | $4.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| walJ | 0.833 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $y l m G$ | 0.863 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $y r r B$ | 0.894 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| ig2118 | 0.835 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ | spxA | 0.864 | $3.3 \mathrm{E}-06$ | ponA | 0.895 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| aroC | 0.836 | $4.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $y r r B$ | 0.865 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-12$ | $y \operatorname{lm} E$ | 0.896 | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| spoIIIE | 0.838 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $y t x G$ | 0.868 | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ | spxA | 0.896 | 5.2E-03 |
| pgi | 0.839 | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-04$ | rec J | 0.875 | $8.7 \mathrm{E}-22$ | ig3284 | 0.899 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| mitD | 0.844 | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-07$ | ig2409 | 0.881 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ | rec J | 0.900 | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-21$ |
| clpC | 0.848 | $4.6 \mathrm{E}-07$ | ponA | 0.882 | $9.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ | gpsB | 0.900 | $3.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| $y h d K$ | 0.848 | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $r s f S$ | 0.883 | $4.4 \mathrm{E}-02$ | pyrB | 0.900 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| kinC | 0.848 | $9.4 \mathrm{E}-06$ | ylbK | 0.887 | $5.2 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $y p p C$ | 0.901 | $9.8 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| que $A$ | 0.850 | $8.1 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $y \operatorname{soc} A$ | 0.888 | 2.2E-09 | addB | 0.903 | 4.4E-03 |
| yycI | 0.850 | $5.3 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $y t x M$ | 0.892 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ | ig2688 | 0.906 | $5.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| sigG | 0.850 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $y q a G$ | 0.894 | 2.7E-04 | bsuMA | 0.907 | $3.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| ig112 | 0.853 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig812 | 0.894 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $\operatorname{ctp} A$ | 0.907 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-16$ |
| zпиB | 0.854 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-13$ | abrB | 0.897 | $5.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $y \operatorname{sh} A$ | 0.908 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| zпиC | 0.856 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ig2205 | 0.900 | $6.5 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig2639 | 0.908 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| rnhC | 0.857 | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $e f p$ | 0.901 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ygaF | 0.909 | 4.0E-03 |
| nagBB | 0.857 | $5.9 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $y l b L$ | 0.902 | $3.1 \mathrm{E}-15$ | ig1835 | 0.911 | $4.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| $\operatorname{sig} E$ | 0.857 | $5.7 \mathrm{E}-03$ | spoIIB | 0.904 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $\operatorname{rnp} \boldsymbol{Z} A$ | 0.912 | $8.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| $y w l E$ | 0.857 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-07$ | phrE | 0.904 | 4.1E-03 | $y q x D$ | 0.912 | $6.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| $\operatorname{csh} B$ | 0.859 | 8.0E-02 | addA | 0.905 | $8.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ppiB | 0.915 | $5.3 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| dltc | 0.860 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $x \mathrm{se} A$ | 0.907 | 8.3E-04 | U712_12375 | 0.915 | $5.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| $y b b P$ | 0.860 | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig159 | 0.908 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig1611 | 0.916 | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| $\operatorname{rocG}$ | 0.861 | $5.0 \mathrm{E}-06$ | ig2269 | 0.909 | 4.4E-02 | ig3118 | 0.918 | $5.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| $m c s B$ | 0.861 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-06$ | ypuI | 0.909 | 8.3E-04 | pgl | 0.919 | $4.4 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| mntA | 0.862 | $6.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $y l m H$ | 0.909 | $3.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig2551 | 0.919 | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ |


| asnO | 0.862 | 5.1E-07 | $y w z G$ | 0.910 | 4.1E-03 | ig588 | 0.919 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| spoVT | 0.862 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ykuD | 0.910 | $3.6 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig1814 | 0.920 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| fabHA | 0.862 | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ | speE | 0.910 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ | ig1773 | 0.920 | $4.8 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| speA | 0.863 | $9.6 \mathrm{E}-03$ | dis $A$ | 0.911 | 4.2E-19 | alkA | 0.920 | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-15$ |
| yjbI | 0.865 | $7.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig2280 | 0.911 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $y l b L$ | 0.921 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-13$ |
| mntB | 0.865 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-03$ | abh | 0.912 | 4.8E-03 | U712_07230 | 0.921 | 8.9E-02 |
| men $A$ | 0.866 | $5.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ | leuD | 0.912 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ | xseA | 0.922 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| ig1388 | 0.866 | 8.1E-03 | ig993 | 0.912 | $9.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig3006 | 0.923 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| lgt | 0.867 | $3.3 \mathrm{E}-04$ | sigV | 0.912 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig991 | 0.924 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| pdaA | 0.867 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ | yrkL | 0.913 | $9.6 \mathrm{E}-10$ | asnS | 0.924 | 3.3E-01 |
| pbpA | 0.868 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-03$ | tgt | 0.915 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig112 | 0.924 | 3.5E-01 |
| ig375 | 0.869 | $3.0 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ctp $A$ | 0.915 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-17$ | ig1745 | 0.924 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| ig3518 | 0.869 | $7.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig2248 | 0.915 | $8.5 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig624 | 0.925 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| zпиA | 0.869 | $3.8 \mathrm{E}-21$ | ig2195 | 0.916 | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig2722 | 0.925 | 9.8E-04 |
| ig3006 | 0.871 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-03$ | apt | 0.917 | $8.3 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig1582 | 0.925 | 4.9E-02 |
| cysK | 0.871 | 4.1E-07 | yjlB | 0.917 | $7.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ | mecA | 0.926 | 8.5E-02 |
| ig1071 | 0.871 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $y y c D$ | 0.918 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig1283 | 0.926 | $9.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| cwlD | 0.872 | $3.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ | spoIVA | 0.918 | $6.1 \mathrm{E}-03$ | yyab | 0.926 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| ig2955 | 0.872 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $h t p X$ | 0.918 | $3.4 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig2183 | 0.926 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| metP | 0.874 | $8.9 \mathrm{E}-03$ | pycA | 0.918 | 7.6E-23 | ig3106 | 0.928 | 3.9E-01 |
| U712_14655 | 0.875 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ecff | 0.919 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-01$ | yebD | 0.929 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| rsiW | 0.876 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $y q f T$ | 0.919 | 5.4E-02 | ig694 | 0.929 | 6.6E-03 |
| parB | 0.876 | $5.1 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $r p s T$ | 0.920 | $9.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig644 | 0.929 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| spoIIIAH | 0.879 | $5.6 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $n t h$ | 0.921 | 8.6E-02 | zпиC | 0.929 | 2.0E-01 |
| ywrC | 0.880 | $3.6 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig2560 | 0.921 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ | pbpA | 0.929 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| ig331 | 0.880 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ypoC | 0.921 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ | aroH | 0.930 | 5.6E-02 |
| walH | 0.881 | $8.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ | prkC | 0.921 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig680 | 0.930 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| ig2204 | 0.881 | $7.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $y t b D$ | 0.921 | 1.6E-03 | $y q f C$ | 0.930 | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| spoIIR | 0.882 | $6.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $y t p P$ | 0.922 | $7.4 \mathrm{E}-02$ | cspD | 0.930 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| ig46 | 0.882 | 4.4E-06 | $y q f A$ | 0.923 | 4.7E-04 | yoyD | 0.930 | 3.0E-01 |
| ig3423 | 0.882 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-03$ | pabC | 0.923 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | walH | 0.931 | 4.8E-01 |
| $y w z H$ | 0.883 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig1429 | 0.923 | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $\boldsymbol{y y c}$ D | 0.931 | 5.5E-03 |
| spoIVCA | 0.883 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig2235 | 0.924 | 4.1E-02 | ig2774 | 0.931 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| ig3250 | 0.883 | $8.3 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig1129 | 0.924 | 5.4E-04 | ig2560 | 0.931 | 3.1E-03 |
| mntC | 0.885 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-04$ | U712_07190 | 0.924 | $4.9 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig3607 | 0.931 | $6.3 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| ig166 | 0.885 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig2348 | 0.924 | $3.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig3151 | 0.931 | 2.4E-01 |
| relP | 0.885 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-03$ | comER | 0.924 | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-06$ | ig444 | 0.931 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| $y q f D$ | 0.886 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-02$ | yojB | 0.924 | 4.2E-02 | ig3502 | 0.932 | 7.9E-03 |
| $r n p Z A$ | 0.886 | $3.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $y l z J$ | 0.926 | $5.4 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig3385 | 0.934 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| $g p r$ | 0.886 | 8.1E-02 | $y l q D$ | 0.926 | $3.5 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig2755 | 0.934 | 5.4E-02 |
| ig159 | 0.887 | $6.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $y \operatorname{shE}$ | 0.926 | $3.6 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig1682 | 0.934 | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| ig1465 | 0.887 | $6.3 \mathrm{E}-03$ | yjcD | 0.927 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-14$ | $y w z H$ | 0.934 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| ig1482 | 0.888 | $5.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $r s i V$ | 0.927 | $3.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ | fin | 0.934 | 2.8E-01 |


| ig298 | 0.889 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $\operatorname{sspB}$ | 0.927 | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig1963 | 0.934 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| purR | 0.889 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-06$ | spla | 0.927 | $6.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $\operatorname{dis} A$ | 0.934 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-14$ |
| dacB | 0.889 | 2.0E-06 | walH | 0.928 | 4.4E-01 | yoyE | 0.935 | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| glpD | 0.890 | 3.6E-06 | ig3155 | 0.928 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ | U712_14285 | 0.935 | $7.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| ig3474 | 0.890 | $5.7 \mathrm{E}-04$ | spoVID | 0.928 | $5.4 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig1308 | 0.935 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| ig98 | 0.890 | $3.4 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $m h q R$ | 0.929 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | ig2420 | 0.935 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| U712_02515 | 0.891 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ | gntZ | 0.929 | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig2019 | 0.935 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| $\boldsymbol{a l b} A$ | 0.891 | $3.1 \mathrm{E}-09$ | ig2264 | 0.929 | $8.0 \mathrm{E}-04$ | arob | 0.936 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| ig62 | 0.892 | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-03$ | mifM | 0.929 | $4.1 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ypoC | 0.936 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| glt $T$ | 0.893 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ig727 | 0.929 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $y n e B$ | 0.936 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| tru $A$ | 0.893 | $7.8 \mathrm{E}-07$ | mgtE | 0.930 | $3.6 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig1671 | 0.936 | $9.8 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| pgl | 0.894 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $y v z F$ | 0.931 | 8.6E-03 | ig1818 | 0.936 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| ig3184 | 0.895 | 2.5E-02 | kinC | 0.931 | $9.9 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig1141 | 0.936 | $5.9 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| ig1226 | 0.895 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $y q z I$ | 0.931 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | ig1906 | 0.936 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| dltB | 0.896 | $9.2 \mathrm{E}-10$ | yqeM | 0.931 | 8.1E-04 | spoVD | 0.936 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| efeM | 0.896 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-10$ | ig1307 | 0.931 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ | parB | 0.937 | $7.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| ig3356 | 0.896 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-09$ | dgk $A$ | 0.931 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-05$ | U712_16090 | 0.937 | $3.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| spoIIIAA | 0.897 | $4.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $y k z D$ | 0.931 | $3.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $y k z M$ | 0.937 | $3.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| yunB | 0.897 | $7.7 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $y \operatorname{lmD}$ | 0.931 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ | ig1071 | 0.937 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| $e c f A B$ | 0.897 | $5.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ | fabHA | 0.932 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | ig1885 | 0.937 | $9.8 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| oxaAA | 0.897 | $3.8 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $\boldsymbol{m c s} A$ | 0.932 | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ | yoaF | 0.938 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| ig3247 | 0.898 | 2.3E-03 | drm | 0.932 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-04$ | gntZ | 0.938 | 8.6E-03 |
| ig2120 | 0.898 | $7.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ | spoIIM | 0.932 | $5.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig3194 | 0.938 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| atpI | 0.899 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig2241 | 0.933 | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $y l b K$ | 0.938 | $5.9 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| ig3276 | 0.899 | $7.2 \mathrm{E}-03$ | nfo | 0.933 | $5.9 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ymaB | 0.938 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| yaaL | 0.900 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig2365 | 0.933 | $8.5 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig1341 | 0.938 | $3.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| ig34 | 0.900 | $6.2 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $y r p D$ | 0.933 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-08$ | yobD | 0.938 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| $y w p F$ | 0.900 | 2.2E-03 | $e \operatorname{ecsB}$ | 0.934 | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ | yyzH | 0.938 | $3.4 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| resE | 0.900 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ | htrC | 0.934 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ugtP | 0.939 | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| ig3235 | 0.900 | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-03$ | mutS2 | 0.934 | $4.9 \mathrm{E}-06$ | ig1405 | 0.939 | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| ig1633 | 0.900 | 5.5E-04 | $y q f Q$ | 0.934 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig3009 | 0.939 | $5.6 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| ig1154 | 0.900 | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig2349 | 0.934 | $6.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $y c z N$ | 0.939 | $5.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| spoIID | 0.900 | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-04$ | U712_13115 | 0.935 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig653 | 0.939 | $3.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| ig1325 | 0.901 | $7.3 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig3593 | 0.935 | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig2617 | 0.939 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| ig3303 | 0.901 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-04$ | suff | 0.935 | $3.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | ig1976 | 0.940 | $4.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| mscL | 0.902 | $3.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ | pyrAB | 0.935 | 8.6E-05 | U712_03800 | 0.940 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| pit | 0.902 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $y v g O$ | 0.935 | $5.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig3105 | 0.940 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| $y v r J$ | 0.902 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $y q f D$ | 0.935 | 8.3E-02 | ig490 | 0.940 | $5.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| speE | 0.902 | $4.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ | argI | 0.936 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig1752 | 0.940 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| $a l b B$ | 0.902 | $4.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig2368 | 0.936 | $4.1 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig688 | 0.940 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| fliL | 0.902 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $y r h D$ | 0.936 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ | U712_18660 | 0.940 | $7.9 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| ig1281 | 0.903 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ytrH | 0.936 | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-06$ | ig3334 | 0.940 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| ig3264 | 0.903 | 8.4E-03 | ig2074 | 0.936 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ | yocN | 0.941 | $3.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ |


| ig1921 | 0.903 | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ | ig1434 | 0.936 | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ig1101 | 0.941 | 6.2E-02 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| spoVD | 0.903 | $5.8 \mathrm{E}-03$ | yqbI | 0.937 | $8.3 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig2234 | 0.941 | 7.0E-02 |
| ig3428 | 0.904 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-06$ | rsmE | 0.937 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig2756 | 0.941 | 2.1E-02 |
| qoxB | 0.904 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig1070 | 0.937 | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | ykuJ | 0.942 | 1.7E-02 |
| ig2397 | 0.905 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | sigG | 0.937 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | ctsR | 0.942 | $6.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| ig3590 | 0.905 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig807 | 0.937 | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | ig1708 | 0.942 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| ig321 | 0.905 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig1142 | 0.937 | $7.4 \mathrm{E}-02$ | yfmL | 0.942 | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| ig2396 | 0.906 | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig2225 | 0.937 | $8.6 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ykuK | 0.942 | $5.9 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| ig3411 | 0.906 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-05$ | yrhO | 0.937 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $\cot T$ | 0.942 | 2.1E-02 |
| ig3279 | 0.906 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $y i z B$ | 0.937 | $8.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig1349 | 0.942 | 1.6E-01 |
| U712_17630 | 0.906 | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-02$ | pyrK | 0.938 | $4.1 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig3505 | 0.942 | 1.2E-01 |
| ig3391 | 0.906 | 4.2E-06 | ypp C | 0.938 | $7.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig1110 | 0.943 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| ig2805 | 0.907 | $5.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig2338 | 0.938 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-01$ | ig658 | 0.943 | 5.7E-02 |
| ecsC | 0.907 | $5.9 \mathrm{E}-03$ | U712_11340 | 0.938 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | ig78 | 0.943 | 7.8E-02 |
| ig1240 | 0.907 | $3.2 \mathrm{E}-03$ | thiG | 0.938 | $3.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ | tapA | 0.943 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| spoIVFB | 0.907 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $y q e C$ | 0.938 | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig1915 | 0.943 | $4.4 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| dlta | 0.908 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $y p h F$ | 0.938 | $5.6 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig1829 | 0.943 | 4.8E-04 |
| whiA | 0.908 | $8.3 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $y r z E$ | 0.939 | $9.3 \mathrm{E}-03$ | apt | 0.944 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| $y c g E$ | 0.909 | $9.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $y b b P$ | 0.939 | $3.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | ig660 | 0.944 | $9.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| ig2723 | 0.909 | $5.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ | yrrO | 0.939 | $3.5 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ywlE | 0.944 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| rec X | 0.909 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ytoI | 0.939 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ig2598 | 0.944 | $3.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| $y c z E$ | 0.909 | $3.5 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig1201 | 0.939 | $5.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig3117 | 0.944 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| walK | 0.909 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $y q f Z$ | 0.939 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | treA | 0.944 | 3.4E-03 |
| ig220 | 0.910 | $8.2 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $y r d N$ | 0.939 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ | yusD | 0.944 | $3.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| U712_05070 | 0.910 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $y p o P$ | 0.940 | $4.4 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig1806 | 0.945 | $3.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| ig644 | 0.910 | $4.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $t s a D$ | 0.940 | $3.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ | yozG | 0.945 | 8.4E-03 |
| ig360 | 0.911 | $6.2 \mathrm{E}-03$ | yoy $G$ | 0.941 | $3.4 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig1680 | 0.945 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| ygaF | 0.911 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig1430 | 0.941 | $7.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ | U712_09520 | 0.945 | 3.3E-01 |
| psd | 0.911 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $y r d C$ | 0.941 | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-08$ | ig1233 | 0.945 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| ig2684 | 0.911 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $y q j X$ | 0.941 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig2092 | 0.946 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| ig222 | 0.911 | $8.9 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $y t x O$ | 0.941 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ | yuzH | 0.946 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| ig3564 | 0.911 | $3.0 \mathrm{E}-12$ | polyB | 0.941 | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-06$ | ig2773 | 0.946 | $6.5 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| U712_02080 | 0.911 | $4.3 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig1461 | 0.941 | $4.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig1201 | 0.946 | 4.2E-02 |
| ig3219 | 0.911 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $y q b O$ | 0.941 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-27$ | yyaN | 0.946 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| ig455 | 0.911 | $4.1 \mathrm{E}-03$ | spoVB | 0.941 | $5.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig1589 | 0.946 | $3.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| ig3292 | 0.912 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | sftt | 0.941 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-13$ | ig2845 | 0.946 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| ори $A B$ | 0.912 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-08$ | ig1264 | 0.941 | $3.9 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig917 | 0.946 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| ecfT | 0.912 | $5.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ | yraJ | 0.941 | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $e c s B$ | 0.946 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| cdnD | 0.912 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $y q z E$ | 0.942 | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ | U712_09540 | 0.946 | $9.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| U712_01385 | 0.912 | $5.6 \mathrm{E}-03$ | comZ | 0.942 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ | U712_17570 | 0.947 | 2.2E-01 |
| yqfC | 0.913 | $4.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ | dck | 0.942 | $6.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ | sigG | 0.947 | $5.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| ig870 | 0.913 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ | ykzQ | 0.942 | $7.6 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig102 | 0.947 | 4.3E-02 |
| ig1611 | 0.913 | $5.3 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig969 | 0.942 | $9.3 \mathrm{E}-02$ | yobR | 0.947 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ |


| rttM | 0.913 | 1.0E-02 | $y q \times D$ | 0.942 | 1.9E-01 | yozK | 0.947 | 1.7E-01 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dnaE | 0.913 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | proB | 0.942 | 4.6E-03 | ig1887 | 0.947 | 9.7E-03 |
| U712_16090 | 0.913 | 1.6E-01 | slp | 0.942 | 8.7E-03 | gerT | 0.947 | 4.8E-03 |
| ig125 | 0.913 | 8.6E-03 | fruA | 0.942 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}-07$ | ig3470 | 0.947 | 8.7E-04 |
| ig118 | 0.914 | 3.3E-04 | ktrC | 0.942 | 8.4E-03 | ig1652 | 0.947 | 3.1E-01 |
| ig2610 | 0.914 | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | ig2420 | 0.942 | 3.7E-02 | ig3610 | 0.947 | 4.4E-02 |
| ig199 | 0.914 | 2.2E-02 | pstC | 0.942 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig203 | 0.947 | 5.3E-05 |
| dltE | 0.914 | 2.2E-03 | $y q a S$ | 0.942 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-04$ | yobU | 0.947 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| U712_14500 | 0.914 | 4.7E-03 | $\operatorname{sig} Z$ | 0.943 | 4.3E-03 | ig2718 | 0.947 | $3.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| tatCy | 0.914 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ | comGF | 0.943 | 8.3E-02 | ig1250 | 0.948 | 2.7E-01 |
| ig3306 | 0.915 | 5.7E-03 | $y q c B$ | 0.943 | 1.4E-02 | ig1879 | 0.948 | 1.4E-01 |
| ig88 | 0.915 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig2515 | 0.943 | 4.7E-02 | ig1742 | 0.948 | $5.9 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| yrzS | 0.915 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ | adh $A$ | 0.943 | 1.8E-06 | ig833 | 0.948 | 2.6E-02 |
| ig342 | 0.916 | 4.5E-05 | moeA | 0.943 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-06$ | BSU30466 | 0.948 | $9.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| dtd | 0.916 | 6.1E-02 | ig2038 | 0.943 | 2.3E-02 | ig633 | 0.948 | 2.6E-01 |
| U712_08775 | 0.916 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $y q \times K$ | 0.943 | 9.3E-06 | ig2611 | 0.948 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| ig612 | 0.916 | 3.2E-04 | $y q a M$ | 0.943 | 3.1E-03 | ig3656 | 0.948 | 1.9E-01 |
| bact | 0.916 | $8.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $y q e F$ | 0.944 | 5.1E-06 | ig580 | 0.948 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| ig3502 | 0.916 | $9.5 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $g l p G$ | 0.944 | 4.7E-07 | yrzL | 0.948 | 2.1E-01 |
| spe B | 0.916 | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ohrB | 0.944 | 2.4E-02 | ig1184 | 0.948 | 1.7E-01 |
| spoIIIAE | 0.916 | 8.6E-02 | $q \mathrm{crB}$ | 0.944 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig2492 | 0.949 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| ig 78 | 0.916 | $3.5 \mathrm{E}-04$ | bact | 0.944 | 2.2E-01 | U712_14500 | 0.949 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| $y g z B$ | 0.916 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | relP | 0.944 | 8.2E-02 | spolVB | 0.949 | 8.2E-02 |
| U712_09520 | 0.917 | $3.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $y p b G$ | 0.945 | $6.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $y f h F$ | 0.949 | $6.4 \mathrm{E}-02$ |


| Phleomycin Growth period 1 |  |  | Phleomycin Growth period 2 |  |  | Phleomycin Growth period 2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feature/gene | Mean <br> Relative <br> fitness | Adjusted p-value | Feature/gene | Mean <br> Relative <br> fitness | Adjusted p-value | Feature/gene | Mean <br> Relative <br> fitness | Adjusted p-value |
| atpA | 0.566 | 7.8E-04 | ezrA | 0.617 | 1.2E-16 | ezrA | 0.696 | 1.1E-04 |
| ruvA | 0.624 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-06$ | ig1200 | 0.649 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-05$ | U712_09520 | 0.751 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| oxatA | 0.652 | $7.6 \mathrm{E}-15$ | tig | 0.652 | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-11$ | bkdAB | 0.781 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| ig2955 | 0.672 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ | bkdAA | 0.652 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-16$ | tig | 0.787 | $5.0 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| recA | 0.680 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-04$ | oxatA | 0.655 | $3.8 \mathrm{E}-13$ | yrbF | 0.791 | $6.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| ruvB | 0.702 | $6.3 \mathrm{E}-06$ | ig1556 | 0.671 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $b k d B$ | 0.795 | 4.1E-05 |
| $y t p Q$ | 0.708 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-03$ | recN | 0.681 | $4.9 \mathrm{E}-33$ | dltB | 0.797 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-15$ |
| U712_06030 | 0.740 | $4.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ | dltD | 0.696 | $5.3 \mathrm{E}-13$ | spxA | 0.797 | $3.7 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| pgcA | 0.764 | $8.6 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig3276 | 0.697 | $8.0 \mathrm{E}-04$ | dlta | 0.801 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| $y w z H$ | 0.764 | $4.7 \mathrm{E}-08$ | dltc | 0.708 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-03$ | dnaK | 0.802 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-35$ |
| addB | 0.776 | $5.6 \mathrm{E}-11$ | ig2807 | 0.720 | $3.5 \mathrm{E}-08$ | ig2807 | 0.806 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| rho | 0.789 | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-05$ | bkdB | 0.722 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-13$ | ugtP | 0.809 | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-03$ |


| ig2118 | 0.791 | 3.8E-03 | dlta | 0.722 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-16$ | bkdAA | 0.810 | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| yqeY | 0.794 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ | fabHA | 0.728 | $9.1 \mathrm{E}-05$ | recN | 0.814 | 5.4E-15 |
| recR | 0.804 | 7.7E-04 | $y l m G$ | 0.735 | 1.9E-03 | ig248 | 0.821 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| $y a b P$ | 0.809 | $4.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig1503 | 0.742 | 7.7E-06 | $y t m P$ | 0.824 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| dltc | 0.810 | 3.5E-04 | $b k d A B$ | 0.743 | $6.1 \mathrm{E}-09$ | ig100 | 0.824 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| $y a m B$ | 0.810 | 2.3E-03 | dltB | 0.748 | $3.7 \mathrm{E}-17$ | $y k z P$ | 0.825 | 5.0E-06 |
| walH | 0.813 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-04$ | U712_09520 | 0.748 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-03$ | oxa $A$ A | 0.829 | 5.2E-03 |
| addA | 0.814 | $5.4 \mathrm{E}-11$ | cymR | 0.758 | 5.4E-06 | $s d a A B$ | 0.834 | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| recN | 0.815 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-33$ | $y h g E$ | 0.762 | $4.6 \mathrm{E}-37$ | $k t r D$ | 0.837 | $5.7 \mathrm{E}-24$ |
| spoIIQ | 0.816 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig2551 | 0.763 | $8.4 \mathrm{E}-05$ | yugI | 0.838 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| ig3219 | 0.817 | $4.6 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $y k z P$ | 0.779 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-06$ | ig199 | 0.840 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| $p b p A$ | 0.818 | 8.1E-06 | $y r b F$ | 0.780 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-04$ | spoIIIE | 0.844 | $3.9 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| recX | 0.818 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig2476 | 0.781 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-15$ | ig1437 | 0.845 | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| mnt $A$ | 0.819 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $k t r D$ | 0.783 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-34$ | flgD | 0.845 | $7.7 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| walJ | 0.822 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-04$ | spxA | 0.784 | 7.2E-06 | kinC | 0.847 | $5.7 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| sigG | 0.828 | 2.2E-02 | $s d a A B$ | 0.785 | $9.6 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $y q x D$ | 0.851 | $9.0 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| pon $A$ | 0.828 | $3.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ | pit | 0.786 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-08$ | ig3623 | 0.854 | $6.2 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| dltB | 0.830 | $3.1 \mathrm{E}-27$ | buk | 0.787 | 4.1E-04 | ig1589 | 0.855 | 5.3E-02 |
| spoIID | 0.832 | 5.6E-07 | ig1437 | 0.793 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $\operatorname{defB}$ | 0.857 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-13$ |
| dltD | 0.834 | $5.4 \mathrm{E}-10$ | ktrC | 0.800 | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-14$ | pon $A$ | 0.858 | $9.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rnhC | 0.835 | 4.3E-04 | $y r r B$ | 0.801 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-18$ | yhaJ | 0.864 | 4.2E-21 |
| recG | 0.837 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $e c f A B$ | 0.801 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-03$ | pit | 0.864 | 3.4E-05 |
| dlta | 0.838 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-13$ | ig2133 | 0.802 | $5.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | U712_05070 | 0.867 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| que $A$ | 0.843 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig890 | 0.802 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-07$ | dnaJ | 0.869 | 2.3E-13 |
| clpC | 0.846 | 4.4E-07 | ig2204 | 0.804 | $7.6 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig1071 | 0.871 | $3.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| ecfA | 0.846 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | yjlB | 0.804 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-02$ | walJ | 0.873 | 6.4E-03 |
| pabC | 0.849 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig1108 | 0.804 | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-08$ | yhgE | 0.877 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-15$ |
| U712_17630 | 0.853 | $6.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $y q x D$ | 0.808 | $5.1 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig588 | 0.878 | $5.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| ig110 | 0.853 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-03$ | que $A$ | 0.808 | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $y w h A$ | 0.879 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| $y w r C$ | 0.856 | $5.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $y \operatorname{som}$ A | 0.809 | $5.8 \mathrm{E}-18$ | $e \operatorname{ecsB}$ | 0.880 | $4.6 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| U712_17635 | 0.856 | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $l p d V$ | 0.811 | $4.7 \mathrm{E}-09$ | mind | 0.880 | 2.8E-05 |
| cymR | 0.857 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ptsG | 0.813 | $4.6 \mathrm{E}-26$ | ig3151 | 0.881 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| walK | 0.858 | $5.2 \mathrm{E}-03$ | yhaJ | 0.813 | $4.7 \mathrm{E}-25$ | cysK | 0.881 | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| cysK | 0.861 | $5.0 \mathrm{E}-08$ | rppG | 0.814 | 5.6E-06 | $y p p C$ | 0.881 | $3.2 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| dltE | 0.861 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ig1589 | 0.815 | $3.5 \mathrm{E}-03$ | fliF | 0.883 | $4.0 \mathrm{E}-15$ |
| spoIIIAA | 0.864 | 4.1E-04 | kinC | 0.816 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-08$ | ktr $C$ | 0.884 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| $m n t C$ | 0.865 | 6.4E-06 | ig2127 | 0.816 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $\boldsymbol{y j z}$ D | 0.884 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| pgi | 0.865 | $9.9 \mathrm{E}-03$ | tgt | 0.816 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $y w z D$ | 0.886 | $3.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| cwlD | 0.867 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ylmH | 0.817 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-06$ | ig2140 | 0.886 | $3.8 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| $y g z B$ | 0.868 | $5.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $\boldsymbol{y j z}$ D | 0.817 | 6.6E-09 | $m e s B$ | 0.886 | $3.9 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| ig3006 | 0.869 | $9.0 \mathrm{E}-04$ | recD 2 | 0.818 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-29$ | $y h c F$ | 0.888 | 3.6E-02 |
| ig62 | 0.870 | $8.0 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $y l m E$ | 0.819 | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-03$ | dltD | 0.889 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| ig367 | 0.871 | $7.6 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ylbK | 0.823 | $7.2 \mathrm{E}-17$ | ig2042 | 0.889 | $3.0 \mathrm{E}-06$ |


| sigE | 0.871 | $9.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ | addB | 0.823 | 3.6E-03 | $y b b P$ | 0.890 | 4.1E-03 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ig3276 | 0.871 | 8.0E-04 | ypoP | 0.823 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-04$ | treA | 0.891 | $7.3 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| ecfT | 0.875 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ | ig2365 | 0.824 | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $y r r B$ | 0.891 | $8.5 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| pabA | 0.876 | $5.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig1887 | 0.825 | 3.3E-10 | $y v y C$ | 0.891 | 2.8E-04 |
| ig212 | 0.877 | $5.6 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ygaC | 0.831 | $4.8 \mathrm{E}-10$ | fabHA | 0.891 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| ydzH | 0.877 | 2.2E-03 | ig248 | 0.833 | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig1390 | 0.892 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| $y w b E$ | 0.877 | $5.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ | add $A$ | 0.834 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig2756 | 0.893 | $9.3 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| spoIVCA | 0.878 | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-05$ | veg | 0.835 | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-03$ | yycI | 0.894 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| ig199 | 0.879 | $5.5 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig2116 | 0.836 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-10$ | motB | 0.894 | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| mscL | 0.880 | $7.9 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ktrA | 0.840 | $3.9 \mathrm{E}-11$ | bsuMA | 0.895 | 1.6E-01 |
| spoVFB | 0.881 | $5.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $e c s B$ | 0.841 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-06$ | fliG | 0.896 | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| ig474 | 0.883 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $y b b P$ | 0.842 | $6.2 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig2133 | 0.896 | 3.1E-02 |
| ig3171 | 0.884 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $r n p Z A$ | 0.846 | $8.6 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ypoP | 0.896 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| speE | 0.884 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ | flgD | 0.846 | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $y \mathrm{yzO}$ | 0.897 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| ugtP | 0.885 | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | ig2269 | 0.852 | 5.6E-04 | ig1299 | 0.897 | $3.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| ig2801 | 0.885 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-03$ | defB | 0.852 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-15$ | yjbK | 0.898 | 5.6E-08 |
| purR | 0.886 | $7.4 \mathrm{E}-07$ | ig3234 | 0.854 | 9.6E-04 | sigW | 0.898 | 4.4E-06 |
| pit | 0.886 | $9.2 \mathrm{E}-08$ | gpsB | 0.855 | $5.5 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ytoI | 0.898 | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-12$ |
| ig3279 | 0.886 | $4.8 \mathrm{E}-07$ | ig1101 | 0.856 | 4.3E-05 | glcT | 0.898 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| gerR | 0.887 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | yfh H | 0.856 | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $y o z N$ | 0.899 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| ig3427 | 0.887 | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ytg $P$ | 0.857 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-17$ | ig1556 | 0.900 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| ig3428 | 0.888 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-06$ | ig969 | 0.857 | 4.8E-06 | spoVAA | 0.900 | 4.6E-09 |
| whiA | 0.888 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | xseA | 0.858 | 7.1E-07 | ig680 | 0.900 | $8.4 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| nagBB | 0.889 | $3.5 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig1283 | 0.858 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-02$ | flgE | 0.900 | 2.4E-09 |
| $y w c I$ | 0.890 | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-06$ | ig2140 | 0.860 | 4.4E-09 | fliz | 0.903 | 3.8E-03 |
| ig248 | 0.890 | 4.8E-05 | yozO | 0.860 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig2220 | 0.904 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| ig125 | 0.890 | 8.1E-04 | ig514 | 0.862 | $6.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig1380 | 0.905 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| $y v r J$ | 0.890 | $4.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ | glcT | 0.862 | 5.9E-14 | $y l b K$ | 0.905 | 4.7E-07 |
| ig1685 | 0.891 | 4.1E-02 | ig3131 | 0.862 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $r p f A$ | 0.905 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| ig295 | 0.891 | $9.8 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig1461 | 0.863 | 3.9E-04 | ig1108 | 0.905 | 2.0E-03 |
| clsA | 0.891 | $7.5 \mathrm{E}-11$ | yhch | 0.863 | 4.4E-06 | $\sec D F$ | 0.906 | 8.4E-62 |
| spoIIIAH | 0.892 | $3.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig1226 | 0.864 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-03$ | pepF | 0.906 | 5.7E-24 |
| ig3230 | 0.892 | 3.6E-06 | mntD | 0.864 | $4.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ | fliS | 0.906 | 2.1E-09 |
| yunB | 0.892 | 8.4E-05 | $y m f M$ | 0.864 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ig2116 | 0.907 | 2.1E-06 |
| ig3518 | 0.893 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig1095 | 0.865 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig382 | 0.907 | 7.1E-02 |
| mes $B$ | 0.894 | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-06$ | mot $A$ | 0.866 | $4.4 \mathrm{E}-15$ | U712_12375 | 0.908 | 1.3E-02 |
| ig3086 | 0.895 | $6.5 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig1717 | 0.866 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-07$ | ig1110 | 0.908 | $5.8 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| albA | 0.895 | $3.9 \mathrm{E}-07$ | ig2042 | 0.867 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}-07$ | spoIIIAH | 0.909 | 4.1E-03 |
| mntD | 0.895 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-04$ | sft $A$ | 0.867 | 4.6E-37 | $f t s E$ | 0.909 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-14$ |
| ig3292 | 0.897 | $6.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ | levD | 0.868 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $m h q R$ | 0.909 | 6.8E-02 |
| U712_02515 | 0.898 | $6.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig2087 | 0.869 | $3.9 \mathrm{E}-03$ | lsp $A$ | 0.910 | 5.2E-04 |
| $y w q B$ | 0.898 | 3.1E-14 | ig2338 | 0.869 | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-02$ | recD2 | 0.910 | 3.3E-14 |
| ig3206 | 0.898 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig1071 | 0.869 | $7.9 \mathrm{E}-03$ | fliK | 0.910 | 4.0E-06 |


| ig3502 | 0.899 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ | yfkF | 0.870 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-06$ | lipM | 0.911 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ig3235 | 0.899 | $5.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $y p p C$ | 0.870 | 5.8E-04 | $m t n D$ | 0.911 | 3.5E-03 |
| asnO | 0.899 | $5.9 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig2220 | 0.871 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $y g a B$ | 0.911 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| $y y c I$ | 0.899 | 5.2E-03 | $y t m P$ | 0.871 | $9.4 \mathrm{E}-08$ | ig2424 | 0.912 | 3.9E-03 |
| $a b r B$ | 0.900 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $e c f A$ | 0.871 | $5.3 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $y d e S$ | 0.913 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| ig581 | 0.900 | $6.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ | spoVAB | 0.871 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig1325 | 0.914 | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| rocG | 0.901 | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-06$ | yknV | 0.872 | 6.9E-33 | $l p d V$ | 0.915 | $3.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| ybaN | 0.901 | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ig2647 | 0.872 | $3.5 \mathrm{E}-13$ | ptsG | 0.915 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| ig1388 | 0.901 | $6.3 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig719 | 0.872 | 4.6E-07 | sigD | 0.915 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| $\operatorname{lgt}$ | 0.901 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $\operatorname{ctp} A$ | 0.873 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-27$ | $y \operatorname{lm} E$ | 0.915 | 4.1E-03 |
| ig159 | 0.901 | $6.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $y l m D$ | 0.873 | $7.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ | yneB | 0.915 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| $y c n L$ | 0.901 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-06$ | yhff | 0.874 | $9.2 \mathrm{E}-12$ | ig1717 | 0.915 | 4.2E-06 |
| ig439 | 0.902 | $5.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ywnF | 0.874 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ | mot $A$ | 0.916 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| ig3184 | 0.902 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig2205 | 0.875 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig907 | 0.916 | $3.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| ig46 | 0.902 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ylbL | 0.876 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-22$ | $y m f K$ | 0.916 | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| ig375 | 0.903 | $5.7 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig1178 | 0.876 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $y q z D$ | 0.917 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| glcR | 0.903 | 4.2E-04 | ponA | 0.876 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ | glt $C$ | 0.917 | $3.4 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| mntB | 0.903 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig1390 | 0.876 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-04$ | cymR | 0.917 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| U712_17570 | 0.903 | 8.5E-02 | sigV | 0.877 | $7.4 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $y k \boldsymbol{q} A$ | 0.917 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| $e c f A B$ | 0.904 | 8.1E-02 | ig2019 | 0.877 | $6.2 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ppiB | 0.917 | 6.3E-05 |
| csbb $A$ | 0.904 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $\operatorname{sig} O$ | 0.877 | $7.6 \mathrm{E}-06$ | ygaF | 0.918 | $3.4 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| ig3423 | 0.904 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $m h q R$ | 0.878 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-02$ | addB | 0.918 | $9.4 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| ig3264 | 0.905 | 6.6E-04 | spoVAA | 0.878 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-08$ | pbpA | 0.918 | $4.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| ig3337 | 0.905 | $3.9 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $y k g \boldsymbol{A}$ | 0.878 | $7.8 \mathrm{E}-11$ | yrzL | 0.918 | $5.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| ig520 | 0.906 | 3.3E-03 | fliG | 0.878 | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-12$ | ig581 | 0.918 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| $y w z D$ | 0.906 | $3.2 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ygaB | 0.878 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ig1503 | 0.918 | $3.4 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| ig387 | 0.906 | $3.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $y h c F$ | 0.878 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $y l x F$ | 0.918 | $7.5 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| atpI | 0.906 | $3.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ | yhgD | 0.879 | 4.5E-04 | mec $A$ | 0.919 | $9.3 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| ig98 | 0.907 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig2648 | 0.880 | 4.9E-04 | ig3105 | 0.919 | 6.1E-03 |
| rpf $A$ | 0.907 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | skfF | 0.880 | $3.6 \mathrm{E}-51$ | $y h d K$ | 0.919 | 1.3E-01 |
| ccp $A$ | 0.908 | $3.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | ig1384 | 0.880 | $3.5 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $y k r P$ | 0.920 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| $y v c I$ | 0.908 | $9.4 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig2475 | 0.880 | $3.5 \mathrm{E}-12$ | spoIIQ | 0.920 | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| ig3310 | 0.908 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $y k n U$ | 0.880 | 2.6E-46 | moaC | 0.921 | 5.2E-03 |
| ig3468 | 0.909 | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-04$ | cysK | 0.880 | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig633 | 0.921 | $5.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| $\boldsymbol{m c s} A$ | 0.909 | $5.4 \mathrm{E}-04$ | dnaK | 0.881 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-24$ | ig2476 | 0.921 | 4.5E-06 |
| $y c z E$ | 0.909 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-03$ | pol $A$ | 0.881 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-20$ | levD | 0.921 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| ydiK | 0.909 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig3151 | 0.883 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig1103 | 0.921 | $5.7 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| ig329 | 0.910 | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $y q j X$ | 0.883 | 4.6E-04 | $y f i Y$ | 0.922 | 7.9E-03 |
| $s d h B$ | 0.910 | $3.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ymfK | 0.883 | $6.5 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $y \mathrm{~s} \boldsymbol{O} A$ | 0.922 | 7.8E-05 |
| ig 7 | 0.910 | $7.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ | yjbI | 0.884 | $3.5 \mathrm{E}-03$ | spoVG | 0.922 | $4.0 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| ig70 | 0.910 | $4.3 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig100 | 0.884 | $3.2 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $e c f A B$ | 0.922 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| ig3247 | 0.911 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ | cysH | 0.884 | 4.6E-07 | $y p l P$ | 0.922 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| ig226 | 0.911 | 5.2E-04 | spoIIIE | 0.884 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-02$ | fliT | 0.922 | $3.1 \mathrm{E}-10$ |


| ig34 | 0.912 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig1299 | 0.885 | 1.0E-02 | yhgD | 0.922 | 2.1E-02 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| metP | 0.912 | 2.0E-01 | ig2035 | 0.885 | 7.7E-05 | ig2533 | 0.923 | 2.4E-02 |
| ig78 | 0.912 | 9.6E-03 | skfE | 0.886 | $9.5 \mathrm{E}-23$ | ig993 | 0.923 | $3.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| $y q f C$ | 0.912 | 2.1E-01 | yutC | 0.886 | 2.7E-05 | yloV | 0.924 | 5.0E-05 |
| cspD | 0.912 | 1.2E-01 | ig3206 | 0.886 | 2.3E-01 | fliD | 0.924 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-23$ |
| speA | 0.913 | 3.7E-02 | ig1505 | 0.886 | 2.6E-02 | ig1101 | 0.924 | 4.0E-02 |
| spoVT | 0.913 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig1201 | 0.887 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-04$ | relP | 0.924 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| ориAC | 0.913 | $7.9 \mathrm{E}-06$ | ig2050 | 0.887 | $4.9 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $f l h F$ | 0.925 | 4.7E-15 |
| ig463 | 0.913 | 8.5E-04 | ig2317 | 0.887 | $3.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig2639 | 0.925 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| spoVD | 0.913 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ylzJ | 0.887 | $8.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig2317 | 0.925 | $4.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| ig3106 | 0.913 | $5.3 \mathrm{E}-02$ | fliI | 0.888 | 8.3E-10 | cggR | 0.926 | $8.9 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| $y b b R$ | 0.914 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig1107 | 0.888 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $f t s X$ | 0.926 | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| rtto | 0.914 | 3.3E-02 | ig1328 | 0.888 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $y t g P$ | 0.926 | 7.1E-07 |
| jag | 0.914 | $9.9 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ylxM | 0.889 | 4.8E-04 | ig1362 | 0.926 | 3.1E-09 |
| ig407 | 0.914 | $9.8 \mathrm{E}-04$ | cotV | 0.889 | $4.3 \mathrm{E}-07$ | flgB | 0.926 | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| spoIIP | 0.914 | $3.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ytoI | 0.889 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}-16$ | hag | 0.926 | $3.3 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| spoIIR | 0.914 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-03$ | yqeM | 0.889 | $3.3 \mathrm{E}-08$ | ig3596 | 0.927 | $5.5 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| $y d z U$ | 0.914 | $3.1 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig1287 | 0.889 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ig1349 | 0.927 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| ig324 | 0.915 | 3.8E-03 | ig3301 | 0.891 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $y / m H$ | 0.927 | 4.2E-02 |
| ig3306 | 0.915 | 1.3E-04 | slp | 0.891 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $y h c G$ | 0.927 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| ig3151 | 0.915 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | ig1123 | 0.892 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-05$ | spoVAEA | 0.927 | 8.2E-04 |
| ig100 | 0.915 | 1.5E-05 | ig907 | 0.892 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig2019 | 0.928 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| ig1556 | 0.915 | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $h t p X$ | 0.892 | $7.9 \mathrm{E}-08$ | flgC | 0.928 | $3.1 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| spoVB | 0.915 | 4.8E-03 | parB | 0.893 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $y q h L$ | 0.928 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| $y w p F$ | 0.915 | $3.7 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig1418 | 0.894 | 4.6E-04 | rsmD | 0.928 | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| ig512 | 0.916 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-04$ | pbpA | 0.894 | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-04$ | cheD | 0.928 | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| lutC | 0.916 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-04$ | yneB | 0.894 | $5.8 \mathrm{E}-13$ | U712_19710 | 0.929 | 4.6E-02 |
| ig292 | 0.916 | 4.4E-06 | mect | 0.894 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-02$ | tgt | 0.929 | 1.2E-02 |
| fts $X$ | 0.916 | $8.3 \mathrm{E}-12$ | comER | 0.895 | 3.4E-09 | $y t z H$ | 0.929 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| $y w l E$ | 0.916 | 1.3E-04 | yhaL | 0.895 | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-04$ | yutC | 0.929 | $7.4 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| U712_18660 | 0.917 | $8.4 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $y k h t$ | 0.895 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-06$ | BSU27786 | 0.929 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| ig179 | 0.917 | $4.3 \mathrm{E}-07$ | cheY | 0.895 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig726 | 0.929 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| ig3161 | 0.917 | $4.0 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ypoC | 0.895 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $y q z C$ | 0.930 | $5.2 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| cdnD | 0.917 | 3.5E-09 | yhgB | 0.895 | $3.2 \mathrm{E}-03$ | ig2409 | 0.930 | $3.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| ig3391 | 0.917 | $5.9 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ig1302 | 0.896 | $3.0 \mathrm{E}-11$ | ig1769 | 0.930 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| ykaA | 0.918 | 3.1E-01 | ig1895 | 0.896 | $5.0 \mathrm{E}-06$ | che $A$ | 0.931 | 8.5E-22 |
| ftsE | 0.918 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-11$ | radA | 0.896 | $5.7 \mathrm{E}-23$ | fliI | 0.931 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| ywmB | 0.918 | 3.1E-10 | spoIIIAF | 0.896 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | fliM | 0.931 | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| ig19 | 0.918 | $4.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $\min D$ | 0.897 | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ydfH | 0.932 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| $y w d I$ | 0.918 | 3.3E-03 | ig1241 | 0.897 | $3.6 \mathrm{E}-06$ | ig380 | 0.932 | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| bacA | 0.918 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ig2033 | 0.897 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-03$ | yycP | 0.932 | 1.7E-11 |
| U712_01385 | 0.918 | 3.1E-03 | yplP | 0.897 | $3.4 \mathrm{E}-09$ | ig2013 | 0.932 | $8.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| ctsR | 0.918 | 4.1E-02 | $y h c G$ | 0.898 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ | yyaN | 0.932 | $6.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ |


| ig406 | 0.919 | 2.9E-06 | U712_06080 | 0.898 | 2.4E-05 | ig2961 | 0.932 | 5.5E-01 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ig478 | 0.919 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $p s t S$ | 0.898 | 2.9E-05 | U712_14285 | 0.933 | 1.0E-01 |
| yrbF | 0.919 | 1.1E-02 | ecfT | 0.898 | 6.1E-02 | ykuK | 0.933 | 1.1E-07 |
| U712_00035 | 0.919 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ | ydeS | 0.898 | 2.1E-08 | pgl | 0.933 | 6.8E-02 |
| yxeE | 0.919 | 3.9E-07 | $y k z Q$ | 0.898 | 1.6E-06 | ywnH | 0.933 | 3.8E-03 |
| bsdC | 0.919 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-08$ | fruR | 0.898 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-05$ | ig3136 | 0.933 | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| ig2961 | 0.919 | 8.1E-02 | yabP | 0.898 | 5.4E-02 | ig3423 | 0.933 | $9.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| veg | 0.919 | 2.6E-02 | ig1070 | 0.898 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-04$ | fliY | 0.933 | $5.7 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| yyab | 0.919 | 3.6E-05 | ig2628 | 0.899 | 6.2E-02 | dltE | 0.933 | $6.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| U712_01115 | 0.919 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | ygaE | 0.899 | 3.8E-09 | ig3117 | 0.933 | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| ig3181 | 0.919 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-06$ | sdaAA | 0.900 | 1.6E-06 | ig2628 | 0.934 | 5.1E-01 |
| ycz H | 0.919 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-06$ | ampS | 0.900 | 2.8E-14 | ig807 | 0.934 | $3.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| ig488 | 0.919 | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-04$ | kduI | 0.900 | 1.2E-08 | flgL | 0.934 | 5.2E-10 |
| $t d k$ | 0.919 | 3.9E-03 | yhcK | 0.900 | 2.6E-13 | ig1845 | 0.934 | $8.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| $y q f D$ | 0.919 | 4.5E-02 | ig1392 | 0.900 | 1.2E-11 | pyrC | 0.934 | 1.2E-01 |
| ig3558 | 0.920 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-07$ | ig977 | 0.901 | 4.2E-04 | $r b s D$ | 0.935 | 7.7E-02 |

Table 2.2 Tn-seq relative fitness lists. The relative fitness values for genes with the lowest 200 relative fitness for each growth period for all three Tn -seq experiments are presented along with the adjusted p-value (BH method; see materials and methods). The gene names or locus tags are listed, and intergenic regions are annotated as "ig" with a number.

| Gene | Mean Fitness | Annotated function | Validation | Proximal gene |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| recN | 0.200 | Homologous Recombination | (64); this study |  |
| sepF | 0.400 | Cell Division | This study |  |
| $u v r B$ | 0.409 | Nucleotide excision repair | This study |  |
| $u v r C$ | 0.484 | Nucleotide excision repair | This study |  |
| $u v r A$ | 0.489 | Nucleotide excision repair | (40); this study |  |
| add $A$ | 0.537 | Homologous Recombination | (65) |  |
| rnhC | 0.544 | RNase HIII | This study |  |
| crh | 0.565 | regulation of carbon metabolism | This study |  |
| ruvB | 0.568 | Homologous Recombination | (66); this study |  |
| $y l b L$ | 0.581 | putative lon-like protease | This study |  |
| ponA | 0.587 | Peptidoglycan glycosyl transferase | This study |  |
| bcrC | 0.595 | UPP phosphatase | This study |  |
| $e \operatorname{ecs} A$ | 0.603 | ABC transporter | This study |  |
| recR | 0.606 | Homologous Recombination | (67); this study |  |
| que ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.612 | S-adenosylmethionine tRNA ribosyltransferase-isomerase | Not Sensitive | downstream of ruv $A B$ |
| addB | 0.622 | Homologous Recombination | (68) |  |


| $e c s B$ | 0.627 | ABC transporter | This study |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| polA | 0.649 | DNA polymerase I | (40) |  |
| $y l m G$ | 0.656 | hypothetical protein | Not sensitive | downstream of sepF |
| lgt | 0.661 | lipomodification of lipoproteins | Not sensitive |  |
| $\operatorname{ctp} A$ | 0.673 | c-terminal processing protease | This study |  |
| ripX | 0.674 | Homologous Recombination | (69); this study |  |
| ytmP | 0.690 | putative kinase/phosphotransferase | This study |  |
| recG | 0.695 | Homologous Recombination | (69); this study |  |
| $y d z U$ | 0.714 | unknown | This study |  |
| walH | 0.715 | Regulation of cell wall metabolism | This study |  |
| $y l m E$ | 0.718 | hypothetical protein | Not sensitive | upstream of sepF |
| sda $A B$ | 0.724 | L-serine dehydratase | Not sensitive | upstream of $\mathrm{rec} G$ |
| ysoA | 0.725 | putative hydrolase | This study |  |
| recX | 0.729 | Homologous Recombination | (70) |  |
| walJ | 0.729 | Regulation of cell wall metabolism | This study |  |
| recD2 | 0.731 | Homologous Recombination | (41) |  |
| radA | 0.742 | Homologous Recombination | This study |  |
| ylbK | 0.746 | putative phospholipase | Not sensitive | upstream of $y l b L$ |
| sodA | 0.763 | super-oxide dismutase | This study |  |
| cymR | 0.770 | regulation of sulfur metabolism | Not sensitive | upstream of recD2 |
| $y p r A$ | 0.773 | putative helicase | This study |  |
| $y p r B$ | 0.777 | putative DnaQ-like exonuclease | This study |  |
| ywrC | 0.785 | regulation of chromate export | Not sensitive |  |
| $y y c I$ | 0.790 | Regulation of cell wall metabolism | This study |  |

Table 2.3 Tn-seq yields many false positive results. The forty genes with the lowest relative fitness in the second growth period of MMC Tn-seq experiment are listed. Each gene was deleted and the deletion mutants were tested for sensitivity to MMC using a spot titer assay and a range of MMC concentrations. Genes labeled as not sensitive had no difference in growth relative to the WT strain on MMC containing media, with the exception of $y l b K$, which resulted in a polar effect on $y l b L$ (see Figure 2.14).

| MMC, MMS, \& | MMC \& | MMC \& MMS | MMS \& Phleomycin |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Phleomycin | Phleomycin |  |  |
| recN | addA | uvrC | ezrA |
| ylbL | ecsB | ponA | ig1503 |
| addB | ylmG | walJ | parB |
| polA | ytmP | ig2409 | xseA |
| ctpA | ig1437 | recJ | htpX |
| ig1200 | U712_09520 | hemL | comER |
| ylmE | ig3234 | yrhO | ylqD |
| sdaAB | ig2807 | ysiV | kinC |
| ysoA | yfhH | apt | abh |
| ig2551 | ig1717 | yhaH | ytoI |
| recD2 | yhaJ | mutS2 | ykzQ |
| radA | ydeS |  | slp |
| ylbK | ygaB |  | ktrC |
| cymR | yneB |  | ptsG |
| ylmH | ktrA |  | ykzP |
| yrrB | yfkF |  | yknV |
| spxA | yhcG |  |  |
| ig100 | ktrD |  |  |
| ig2365 | ig1101 |  |  |
| sftA | ytgP |  |  |
| sigV | ig719 |  |  |
|  | sigO |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Table 2.4 Tn-seq list overlaps. The genes with the lowest relative fitness with an adjusted pvalue less than 0.01 are listed for each experiment.

| Identified Proteins (183) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Iron(3+)-hydroxamate import system permease protein FhuG OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=fhuG PE=3 SV=1 |
| Putative ribonuclease-like protein YfkH OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=yfkH PE=4 SV=1 |  |
| Lipoteichoic acid synthase $1 \mathrm{OS}=$ Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=ItaS1 PE=1 SV=1 (aka ltaSA) |  |
| Uncharacterized protein YkgA OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=ykgA PE=3 SV=2 |  |
| Uncharacterized N -acetyltransferase CgeE OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=cgeE PE=4 SV=2 |  |
| Putative phosphotransferase YtmP OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=ytmP PE=3 SV=1 |  |
| Cell division suppressor protein YneA OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=yneA PE=1 SV=1 |  |
| Stress response protein YsnF OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=ysnF PE=2 SV=3 |  |
| Exo-glucosaminidase LytG OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=lytG PE=1 SV=1 |  |
| General stress protein 30 OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=yxaB PE=1 SV=5 |  |
| Putative S-adenosyl-L-methionine-dependent methyltransferase YktD OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=yktD PE=3 SV=1 |  |
|  |  |
| Uncharacterized protein YqgF OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=yqgF PE=3 SV=1 |  |
| 1-phosphofructokinase OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=fruK PE=3 SV=1 |  |
| Uncharacterized protein YvyC OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=yvyC PE=1 SV=1 |  |
| Two-component system WaIR/WalK regulatory protein YycI OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=yycI PE=1 SV=1 |  |
| Putative ATP-dependent DNA helicase YjcD OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=yjcD PE=1 SV=1 |  |
| General stress protein $39 \mathrm{OS}=$ Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=ydad PE=1 SV=3 |  |
| Adapter protein MecA 2 OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=mecB PE=1 SV=1 |  |
| Anti-sigma-W factor RsiW OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=rsiW PE=1 SV=2 |  |
| Probable manganese catalase OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=ydbD PE=1 SV=1 |  |
| Uncharacterized protein YvyF OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=yvyF PE=4 SV=1 |  |
| Holliday junction ATP-dependent DNA helicase RuvB OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=ruvB PE=1 SV=2 |  |
| Flagellar motor switch protein FliM OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=fliM PE=1 SV=1 |  |
| General stress protein 69 OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=yhdN PE=1 SV=2 |  |
| Transcriptional regulatory protein YvrH OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=yvrH PE=3 SV=4 |  |
| Pyrophosphatase PpaX OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=ppaX PE=1 SV=1 |  |
| Phage-like element PBSX protein XkdA OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=xkdA PE=4 SV=4 |  |
| Prolipoprotein diacylglyceryl transferase OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=lgt PE=1 SV=1 |  |
| Probable polyketide biosynthesis zinc-dependent hydrolase PksB OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=pksB PE=1 SV=1 |  |
|  |  |
| Chemotaxis protein CheV OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=cheV PE=1 SV=1 |  |
| Aliphatic sulfonates import ATP-binding protein SsuB OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=ssuB PE=1 SV=4 |  |
| Uncharacterized protein YlzI OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=ylzI PE=4 SV=1 |  |
| Heme-degrading monooxygenase HmoB OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=hmoB PE=1 SV=1 |  |
| UPF0374 protein YgaC OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=ygaC PE=3 SV=2 |  |
| Molybdenum cofactor biosynthesis protein B OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=moaB PE=3 SV=1 |  |
| Putative aldehyde dehydrogenase YfmT OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=yfmT PE=2 SV=1 |  |
| GTP cyclohydrolase 1 type 2 homolog OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=yqfO PE=3 SV=2 |  |
| Sensory transduction protein LytT OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=lytT PE=3 SV=1 |  |
|  | Uncharacterized protein ymdB OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=ymdB PE=1 SV=2 |


| Peptidyl-tRNA hydrolase OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=spoVC PE=3 SV=1 |
| :---: |
| Ribosomal RNA large subunit methyltransferase H OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=rlmH PE=1SV=1 |
| Catalase-2 OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=katE PE=3 SV=2 |
| DNA polymerase III PolC-type OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=polC PE=1 SV=2 |
| Uncharacterized $\mathbf{N}$-acetyltransferase YesJ OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=yesJ PE=3 SV=1 |
| Methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein McpB OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=mepB PE=1 SV=2 |
| Methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein McpA OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=mcpA PE=1 SV=2 |
| Uncharacterized protein YtwF OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=ytwF PE=4 SV=2 |
| FMN-dependent NADH-azoreductase $1 \mathrm{OS}=$ Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=azoR1 PE=2 SV=1 |
| L-aspartate oxidase OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=nadB PE=3 SV=1 |
| 2-oxoisovalerate dehydrogenase subunit alpha OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=bfmBAA PE=1 SV=1 |
| Uncharacterized HTH-type transcriptional regulator YobS OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=yobS PE=4 SV=1 |
| Uncharacterized oxidoreductase YjdA OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=yjdA PE=3 SV=1 |
| Thioredoxin reductase OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=trxB PE=1 SV=3 |
| General stress protein 160 OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=yocK PE=1 SV=3 |
| UPF0111 protein YkaA OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=ykaA PE=3 SV=1 |
| Ribosome biogenesis GTPase A OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=rbgA PE=1 SV=1 |
| Putative glycosyltransferase CsbB OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=csbB PE=2 SV=1 |
| Uncharacterized protein YhjD OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=yhjD PE=4 SV=1 |
| Minor teichoic acid biosynthesis protein GgaB OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=ggaB PE=3 SV=1 |
| Putative ribonuclease YeeF OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=yeeF PE=1 SV=2 |
| Phosphate acetyltransferase OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=pta PE=1 SV=3 |
| Pantothenate synthetase OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=panC PE=3 SV=1 |
| Chemotaxis protein CheA OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=cheA PE=1 SV=2 |
| UDP-N-acetylmuramoyl-L-alanyl-D-glutamate--2,6-diaminopimelate ligase OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) $\mathbf{G N}=$ murE $\mathbf{P E}=\mathbf{3} \mathbf{S V}=1$ <br> 3-methyl-2-oxobutanoate hydroxymethyltransferase $\mathrm{OS}=$ Bacillus subtilis ( (train 168) GN=panB $\mathrm{PE}=\mathbf{3} \mathbf{S V}=1$ |
|  |  |
|  |
| Uncharacterized protein YtxK OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=ytxK PE=4 SV=2 |
| HTH-type transcriptional regulator NsrR OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=nsrR PE=4 SV=1 |
| Response regulator aspartate phosphatase B OS=Bacillus subtilis ( (train 168) GN=rapB PE=3 SV=1 |
| Methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein McpC OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=mcpC PE=1 SV=2 |
| Uncharacterized ATP-dependent helicase YprA OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=yprA PE=3 SV=1 |
| Transcriptional regulatory protein CssR OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=cssR PE=1 SV=1 |
| Branched-chain-amino-acid aminotransferase 2 OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=ilvK PE=1 SV=5 |
| Recombination protein RecR OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=recR PE=3 SV=2 |
| Uncharacterized protein YpjQ OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=ypjQ PE=1 SV=1 |
| Uncharacterized protein YwnB OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=ywnB PE=4 SV=1 |
| Dihydroxy-acid dehydratase OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=ilvD PE=1 SV=4 |
| Cystathionine beta-lyase MetC OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=metC PE=1 SV=1 |
| UPF0403 protein YphP OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=yphP PE=1 SV=1 |
| DNA polymerase III subunit alpha OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=dnaE PE=3 SV=1 |
| 33 kDa chaperonin OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=hslO PE=1 SV=1 |

RNA polymerase sigma factor SigA OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=sigA PE=1 SV=2
Carbamoyl-phosphate synthase pyrimidine-specific large chain $\mathrm{OS}=$ Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=pyrAB PE=3 SV=1
ATP-dependent helicase/deoxyribonuclease subunit B OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=addB PE=1 SV=2
Methionine--tRNA ligase OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=metG PE=3 SV=1
Ferrochelatase OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=hemH PE=1 SV=1
Glycine--tRNA ligase beta subunit OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=glyS PE=3 SV=2
Holliday junction ATP-dependent DNA helicase RuvA OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=ruvA PE=3 SV=2
Putative uncharacterized hydrolase YsaA OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=ysaA PE=3 SV=2
Phosphoglycerate kinase OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=pgk PE=1 SV=3
Dihydroorotase OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=pyrC PE=3 SV=2
Pyruvate carboxylase OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=pyc PE=3 SV=1
2,3-dihydroxybenzoate-AMP ligase OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=dhbE PE=1 SV=2
Transaldolase OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=tal PE=1 SV=4
Swarming motility protein SwrC OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=swrC PE=1 SV=2
Uncharacterized membrane protein YhaH OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=yhaH PE=4 SV=1
Nuclease SbcCD subunit C OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=sbcC PE=3 SV=3
Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase 1 OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=gapA PE=1 SV=2
Hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyltransferase OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=hprT PE=3 SV=1
Uncharacterized protein YxjG OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=yxjG PE=4 SV=3
DNA gyrase subunit B OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=gyrB PE=3 SV=1
Carbamoyl-phosphate synthase pyrimidine-specific small chain $\mathrm{OS}=$ Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=pyrAA PE=3 SV=1
3-dehydroquinate dehydratase $\mathrm{OS}=$ Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=yqhS $\mathrm{PE}=1 \mathrm{SV}=1$
Uncharacterized protein YqhY OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=yqhY PE=3 SV=2
50S ribosomal protein L23 OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=rplW PE=1 SV=2
Transcriptional regulatory protein DegU OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=degU PE=1 SV=2
Sporulation initiation inhibitor protein Soj OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=soj PE=1 SV=1
Uncharacterized oxidoreductase YcsN OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=ycsN PE=3 SV=1
Heme-degrading monooxygenase HmoA OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=hmoA PE=1 SV=2
Putative aldehyde dehydrogenase DhaS OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=dhaS PE=3 SV=1
Immunity protein WapI OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=wapI PE=1 SV=1
Putative esterase YitV OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=yitV PE=4 SV=2
Citrate synthase 2 OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=citZ PE=1 SV=2
Guanylate kinase OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=gmk PE=3 SV=2
3-oxoacyl-[acyl-carrier-protein] reductase FabG OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=fabG PE=3 SV=3
Uncharacterized protein YkvS OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=ykvS PE=4 SV=2
Uncharacterized protein YxaI OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=yxaI PE=4 SV=1
10 kDa chaperonin OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=groS PE=1 SV=2
Probable phosphate butyryltransferase $\mathrm{OS}=$ Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=yqiS PE=3 SV=2
Uncharacterized protein YvfG OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=yvfG PE=1 SV=1
Glutamyl-tRNA(GIn) amidotransferase subunit C OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=gatC $\mathrm{PE}=\mathbf{3} \mathrm{SV}=\mathbf{3}$
Uncharacterized protein YwfO OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=ywfO PE=4 SV=2
Uncharacterized protein YdjO OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=ydjO PE=4 SV=1


| Uncharacterized protein YtfP OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=ytfP PE=4 SV=2 |
| :---: |
| Uncharacterized protein YxnB OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=yxnB PE=4 SV=1 |
| N-acetylmuramoyl-L-alanine amidase XlyA OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=xlyA PE=1 SV=1 |
| ComG operon protein 1 OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=comGA PE=1 SV=2 |
| Uncharacterized protein YebG OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=yebG PE=4 SV=1 |
| SkfA peptide export ATP-binding protein SkfE OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=skfE PE=1 SV=1 |
| Uncharacterized protein YlbL OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=ylbL PE=1 SV=2 |
| Uncharacterized protein YpmA OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=ypmA PE=4 SV=1 |
| Uncharacterized protein YxbB OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=yxbB PE=3 SV=1 |
| Carboxy-terminal processing protease CtpA OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=ctpA PE=2 SV=1 |
| Sporulation inhibitor sda OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=sda PE=1 SV=1 |
| Uncharacterized protein XkzA OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=xkzA PE=4 SV=1 |
| Putative peptide biosynthesis protein YydG OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=yydG PE=4 SV=1 |
| Endonuclease V OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=nfi PE=1 SV=2 |
| HTH-type transcriptional regulator GanR OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=ganR PE=4 SV=1 |
| Uncharacterized protein YjcM OS=Bacillus subtilis (strain 168) GN=yjcM PE=3 SV=1 |


| Accession Number | Molecular weight kDa | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SpC } \\ & 27255 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SpC } \\ & 27256 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{S p C} \\ & 27257 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SpC } \\ & 27258 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{S p C} \\ & \mathbf{2 7 2 5 9} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{S p C} \\ & \mathbf{2 7 2 6 0} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sp\|P49937|FHUG_BACSU | 36 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| sp\|O34437|YFKH_BACSU | 30 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 3 |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| sp\|Q797B3|LTAS1_BACSU | 73 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 9 |  | 16 |  | 15 |
| sp\|O34497|YKGA_BACSU | 32 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| sp\|P42093|CGEE_BACSU | 30 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 3 |  | 3 |  | 2 |
| sp\|C0SPC1|YTMP_BACSU | 24 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 3 |
| sp\|Q45056|YNEA_BACSU | 12 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 5 |  | 10 |  | 7 |
| sp\|P94560|YSNF_BACSU | 31 |  | 0 |  | 2 |  | 0 |  | 3 |  | 7 |  | 9 |
| sp\|O32083|LYTG_BACSU | 32 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 6 |
| sp\|P42101|GS30_BACSU | 40 |  | 0 |  | 2 |  | 0 |  | 4 |  | 4 |  | 4 |
| sp\|Q45500|YKTD_BACSU | 35 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 2 |  | 4 |  | 2 |  | 4 |
| sp\|P40398|YHXD_BACSU | 32 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  | 2 |  | 6 |  | 11 |  | 12 |
| sp\|P54488|YQGFA_BACSU | 80 |  | 5 |  | 6 |  | 0 |  | 10 |  | 9 |  | 13 |
| sp\|O31714|K1PF_BACSU | 33 |  | 4 |  | 0 |  | 4 |  | 7 |  | 6 |  | 7 |
| sp\|P39737|YVYC_BACSU | 13 |  | 6 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  | 10 |  | 9 |  | 13 |
| sp\|Q45612|YYCI_BACSU | 33 |  | 3 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 6 |  | 4 |  | 5 |
| sp\|O31626|YJCD_BACSU | 87 |  | 2 |  | 4 |  | 2 |  | 5 |  | 7 |  | 5 |
| sp\|P80873|GS39_BACSU | 31 |  | 4 |  | 3 |  | 3 |  | 8 |  | 5 |  | 8 |
| sp\|P50734|MECA2_BACSU | 22 |  | 3 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 4 |  | 4 |  | 6 |
| sp\|Q45588|RSIW_BACSU | 23 |  | 12 |  | 14 |  | 16 |  | 29 |  | 23 |  | 25 |
| sp\|P80878|MCAT_BACSU | 30 |  | 5 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  | 9 |  | 8 |  | 8 |
| sp\|P39807|YVYF_BACSU | 16 |  | 7 |  | 6 |  | 5 |  | 8 |  | 12 |  | 11 |


| sp\|O32055|RUVB_BACSU | 37 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sp\|P23453|FLIM_BACSU | 38 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 12 | 12 | 15 |
| sp\|P80874|GS69_BACSU | 37 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| sp\|P94504|YVRH_BACSU | 27 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 13 | 11 | 14 |
| sp\|Q9JMQ2|PPAX_BACSU | 24 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 16 | 10 | 15 |
| sp\|P39780|XKDA_BACSU | 24 | 7 | 11 | 10 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| sp\|O34752|LGT_BACSU | 31 | 12 | 10 | 10 | 17 | 15 | 18 |
| sp\|O34769|PKSB_BACSU | 26 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 12 | 10 | 12 |
| sp\|O34589|FLAW_BACSU | 17 | 26 | 22 | 18 | 37 | 31 | 34 |
| sp\|P37599|CHEV_BACSU | 35 | 27 | 22 | 28 | 45 | 34 | 40 |
| sp\|P97027|SSUB_BACSU | 29 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| sp\|C0H412|YLZI_BACSU | 8 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| sp\|P38049|HMOB_BACSU | 19 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 11 | 8 | 11 |
| sp\|Q796Z1|YGAC_BACSU | 21 | 14 | 11 | 12 | 20 | 15 | 20 |
| sp\|O34457|MOAB_BACSU | 18 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 16 | 17 | 13 |
| sp\|O06478|ALDH5_BACSU | 53 | 42 | 52 | 55 | 80 | 78 | 62 |
| sp\|P54472|GCH1L_BACSU | 41 | 18 | 12 | 15 | 21 | 24 | 21 |
| sp\|P94514|LYTT_BACSU | 28 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 6 |
| sp\|O31775|YMDB_BACSU | 29 | 18 | 13 | 18 | 24 | 22 | 25 |
| sp\|P37470|PTH_BACSU | 21 | 21 | 16 | 16 | 27 | 24 | 25 |
| sp\|Q45601|RLMH_BACSU | 18 | 20 | 18 | 17 | 27 | 26 | 25 |
| sp\|P42234|CATE_BACSU | 77 | 33 | 34 | 39 | 48 | 53 | 49 |
| sp\|P13267|DPO3_BACSU | 163 | 104 | 99 | 91 | 147 | 134 | 135 |
| sp\|O31513|YESJ_BACSU | 20 | 13 | 9 | 12 | 17 | 16 | 15 |
| sp\|P39215|MCPB_BACSU | 72 | 79 | 73 | 70 | 102 | 92 | 118 |
| sp\|P39214|MCPA_BACSU | 72 | 37 | 50 | 43 | 61 | 57 | 64 |
| sp\|O32072|YTWF_BACSU | 12 | 15 | 15 | 17 | 22 | 20 | 23 |
| sp\|O35022|AZOR1_BACSU | 23 | 66 | 47 | 51 | 81 | 76 | 67 |
| sp\|P38032|NADB_BACSU | 58 | 54 | 68 | 54 | 79 | 75 | 86 |
| sp\|P37940|ODBA_BACSU | 36 | 15 | 19 | 16 | 21 | 23 | 24 |
| sp\|O34892|YOBS_BACSU | 21 | 10 | 8 | 10 | 13 | 11 | 14 |
| sp\|O31642|YJDA_BACSU | 27 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 17 | 17 | 13 |
| sp\|P80880|TRXB_BACSU | 35 | 26 | 28 | 26 | 37 | 33 | 37 |
| sp\|P80872|G16O_BACSU | 19 | 9 | 8 | 10 | 11 | 13 | 12 |
| sp\|O34454|YKAA_BACSU | 24 | 68 | 49 | 54 | 80 | 74 | 74 |
| sp\|O31743|RBGA_BACSU | 32 | 25 | 29 | 29 | 40 | 34 | 37 |
| sp\|Q45539|CSBB_BACSU | 38 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 10 | 9 | 9 |
| sp\|O07558|YHJD_BACSU | 14 | 8 | 10 | 9 | 13 | 12 | 11 |
| sp\|P46918|GGAB_BACSU | 107 | 93 | 93 | 81 | 131 | 103 | 119 |
| sp\|O31506|YEEF_BACSU | 74 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 13 | 10 | 10 |
| sp\|P39646|PTAS_BACSU | 35 | 82 | 74 | 69 | 100 | 102 | 94 |
| sp\|P52998|PANC_BACSU | 32 | 26 | 26 | 27 | 40 | 31 | 33 |
| sp\|P29072|CHEA_BACSU | 75 | 70 | 68 | 57 | 89 | 77 | 90 |


| sp\|Q03523|MURE_BACSU | 54 | 25 | 28 | 24 | 33 | 34 | 34 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sp\|P52996|PANB_BACSU | 30 | 27 | 29 | 31 | 42 | 33 | 39 |
| sp\|O34932|COAE_BACSU | 22 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 18 | 17 | 16 |
| sp\|P37876|YTXK_BACSU | 37 | 19 | 21 | 20 | 26 | 27 | 25 |
| sp\|O07573|NSRR_BACSU | 17 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 11 | 10 |
| sp\|P70962|RAPB_BACSU | 45 | 20 | 16 | 17 | 22 | 21 | 25 |
| sp\|P54576|MCPC_BACSU | 72 | 49 | 52 | 57 | 68 | 63 | 72 |
| sp\|P50830|YPRA_BACSU | 85 | 24 | 19 | 21 | 30 | 24 | 28 |
| sp\|O32192|CSSR_BACSU | 26 | 13 | 14 | 12 | 17 | 17 | 16 |
| sp\|P39576|ILVE2_BACSU | 40 | 93 | 102 | 92 | 128 | 119 | 119 |
| sp\|P24277|RECR_BACSU | 22 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 10 | 9 | 9 |
| sp\|P54173|YPJQ_BACSU | 20 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 18 | 18 | 21 |
| sp\|P71037|YWNB_BACSU | 23 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| sp\|P51785|ILVD_BACSU | 60 | 126 | 122 | 108 | 150 | 156 | 142 |
| sp\|O31632|METC_BACSU | 42 | 61 | 61 | 52 | 73 | 72 | 73 |
| sp\|P54170|YPHP_BACSU | 16 | 50 | 48 | 41 | 59 | 57 | 58 |
| sp\|O34623|DPO3A_BACSU | 125 | 66 | 74 | 76 | 88 | 84 | 96 |
| sp\|P37565|HSLO_BACSU | 32 | 39 | 40 | 35 | 50 | 47 | 44 |
| sp\|P06224|SIGA_BACSU | 43 | 52 | 47 | 49 | 68 | 57 | 57 |
| sp\|P25994|CARB_BACSU | 118 | 412 | 358 | 419 | 497 | 492 | 455 |
| sp\|P23477|ADDB_BACSU | 135 | 47 | 52 | 49 | 64 | 54 | 61 |
| sp\|P37465|SYM_BACSU | 76 | 95 | 100 | 100 | 113 | 122 | 121 |
| sp\|P32396|HEMH_BACSU | 35 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 13 | 12 | 12 |
| sp\|P54381|SYGB_BACSU | 76 | 79 | 86 | 80 | 94 | 98 | 99 |
| sp\|O05392|RUVA_BACSU | 23 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 19 | 18 | 20 |
| sp\|P94512|YSAA_BACSU | 30 | 35 | 30 | 36 | 39 | 41 | 39 |
| sp\|P40924|PGK_BACSU | 42 | 153 | 151 | 179 | 193 | 189 | 186 |
| sp\|P25995|PYRC_BACSU | 47 | 99 | 102 | 92 | 113 | 110 | 121 |
| sp\|Q9KWU4|PYC_BACSU | 128 | 642 | 587 | 656 | 791 | 692 | 693 |
| sp\|P40871|DHBE_BACSU | 60 | 233 | 242 | 240 | 299 | 267 | 259 |
| sp\|P19669|TAL_BACSU | 23 | 221 | 192 | 220 | 250 | 248 | 228 |
| sp\|O31501|SWRC_BACSU | 114 | 249 | 242 | 257 | 290 | 272 | 295 |
| sp\|O07516|YHAH_BACSU | 13 | 43 | 43 | 45 | 54 | 50 | 46 |
| sp\|O06714|SBCC_BACSU | 129 | 114 | 107 | 115 | 140 | 126 | 118 |
| sp\|P09124|G3P1_BACSU | 36 | 431 | 416 | 432 | 504 | 478 | 476 |
| sp\|P37472|HPRT_BACSU | 20 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 58 | 56 | 53 |
| sp\|P42318|YXJG_BACSU | 43 | 182 | 166 | 192 | 206 | 199 | 207 |
| sp\|P05652|GYRB_BACSU | 72 | 78 | 74 | 79 | 89 | 86 | 85 |
| sp\|P25993|CARA_BACSU | 40 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 92 | 90 | 90 |
| sp\|P54517|AROQ_BACSU | 16 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| sp\|P54519|YQHY_BACSU | 15 | 46 | 43 | 42 | 40 | 39 | 41 |
| sp\|P42924|RL23_BACSU | 11 | 171 | 158 | 173 | 159 | 157 | 138 |
| sp\|P13800|DEGU_BACSU | 26 | 104 | 99 | 112 | 100 | 91 | 91 |


| sp\|P37522|SOJ_BACSU | 28 | 25 | 26 | 25 | 25 | 21 | 22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sp\|P42972|YCSN_BACSU | 34 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| sp\|O31534|HMOA_BACSU | 13 | 78 | 71 | 72 | 65 | 67 | 62 |
| sp\|O34660|ALDH4_BACSU | 54 | 37 | 39 | 37 | 35 | 31 | 30 |
| sp\|Q07836|WAPI_BACSU | 16 | 83 | 81 | 92 | 74 | 70 | 72 |
| sp\|P70948|YITV_BACSU | 29 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 19 | 23 | 19 |
| sp\|P39120|CISY2_BACSU | 42 | 53 | 53 | 51 | 44 | 40 | 45 |
| sp\|O34328|KGUA_BACSU | 23 | 17 | 19 | 17 | 15 | 14 | 14 |
| sp\|P51831|FABG_BACSU | 26 | 90 | 76 | 78 | 67 | 63 | 66 |
| sp\|O31684|YKVS_BACSU | 7 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| sp\|P42108|YXAI_BACSU | 17 | 19 | 17 | 18 | 15 | 13 | 15 |
| sp\|P28599|CH10_BACSU | 10 | 95 | 81 | 92 | 79 | 76 | 58 |
| sp\|P54530|PTB_BACSU | 32 | 15 | 17 | 17 | 15 | 12 | 12 |
| sp\|P71066|YVFG_BACSU | 8 | 24 | 21 | 22 | 17 | 20 | 15 |
| sp\|O06492|GATC_BACSU | 11 | 44 | 49 | 45 | 32 | 39 | 36 |
| sp\|P39651|YWFO_BACSU | 51 | 16 | 16 | 20 | 14 | 14 | 12 |
| sp\|O34759|YDJO_BACSU | 8 | 25 | 23 | 20 | 18 | 17 | 16 |
| sp\|P33911|CSRA_BACSU | 8 | 17 | 15 | 14 | 11 | 12 | 11 |
| sp\|O34725|YJHA_BACSU | 24 | 18 | 21 | 17 | 16 | 13 | 12 |
| sp\|O07598|YHAA_BACSU | 43 | 44 | 53 | 44 | 31 | 39 | 32 |
| sp\|O31818|YNZC_BACSU | 9 | 17 | 16 | 21 | 12 | 14 | 10 |
| sp\|P54484|YQGA_BACSU | 15 | 42 | 40 | 41 | 24 | 24 | 32 |
| sp\|P26380|PTFB_BACSU | 18 | 20 | 21 | 29 | 17 | 13 | 15 |
| sp\|P39839|YUXL_BACSU | 74 | 11 | 11 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 5 |
| sp\|O05501|YDHI_BACSU | 18 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| sp\|O34588|YKUJ_BACSU | 9 | 31 | 29 | 39 | 23 | 21 | 17 |
| sp\|O31697|YKZF_BACSU | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 6 | 4 | 5 |
| sp\|Q04747|SRFAB_BACSU | 401 | 2687 | 2358 | 2041 | 1478 | 1329 | 1422 |
| sp\|O31804|TATAC_BACSU | 7 | 13 | 12 | 14 | 8 | 9 | 6 |
| sp\|P96714|YWQB_BACSU | 63 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| sp\|P12667|NUCA_BACSU | 16 | 15 | 15 | 11 | 9 | 7 | 8 |
| sp\|P54507|COTN_BACSU | 28 | 75 | 62 | 60 | 45 | 37 | 32 |
| sp\|O05522|TATAY_BACSU | 6 | 10 | 9 | 11 | 7 | 6 | 4 |
| sp\|P45693|SP5S_BACSU | 9 | 14 | 14 | 20 | 9 | 10 | 8 |
| sp\|O32242|SDPR_BACSU | 10 | 22 | 16 | 16 | 12 | 10 | 7 |
| sp\|Q08787|SRFAC_BACSU | 144 | 508 | 455 | 398 | 262 | 213 | 253 |
| sp\|O34344|SDPC_BACSU | 22 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 7 | 7 | 13 |
| sp\|P54506|LEPW_BACSU | 21 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 2 |
| sp\|P96720|YWQH_BACSU | 16 | 10 | 10 | 13 | 3 | 7 | 7 |
| sp\|P42113|ASNH_BACSU | 86 | 17 | 20 | 13 | 10 | 7 | 9 |
| sp\|O34538|YCDA_BACSU | 39 | 20 | 23 | 16 | 11 | 9 | 10 |
| sp\|P94576|YWOF_BACSU | 51 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 4 |
| sp\|P27206|SRFAA_BACSU | 402 | 2032 | 1788 | 1563 | 891 | 874 | 878 |


| sp\|P71002|RAPF_BACSU | 46 | 39 | 38 | 42 | 9 | 26 | 22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sp\|P26942|YSXB_BACSU | 12 | 5 | 9 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 3 |
| sp\|O34313|NTPES_BACSU | 160 | 13 | 12 | 13 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| sp\|O34722|YFMG_BACSU | 57 | 47 | 55 | 46 | 21 | 25 | 22 |
| sp\|P46325|YXBA_BACSU | 10 | 17 | 15 | 12 | 5 | 8 | 5 |
| sp\|O31852|CWLS_BACSU | 44 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| sp\|P02968|FLA_BACSU | 33 | 78 | 71 | 73 | 35 | 24 | 30 |
| sp\|P46327|YXBC_BACSU | 37 | 21 | 23 | 24 | 8 | 8 | 9 |
| sp\|O32201|LIAH_BACSU | 26 | 29 | 24 | 22 | 12 | 5 | 10 |
| sp\|C0SPB6|SSBB_BACSU | 13 | 36 | 29 | 22 | 9 | 5 | 15 |
| sp\|Q00828|RAPA_BACSU | 45 | 12 | 15 | 14 | 3 | 3 | 6 |
| sp\|O31430|SKFH_BACSU | 16 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 4 |
| sp\|O07567|NTDR_BACSU | 38 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 2 |
| sp\|P50733|YPBG_BACSU | 29 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| sp\|P94415|RAPC_BACSU | 46 | 12 | 13 | 22 | 0 | 4 | 6 |
| sp\|O34433|YOBO_BACSU | 88 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| sp\|Q795R8|YTFP_BACSU | 46 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| sp\|O34704|YXNB_BACSU | 19 | 8 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| sp\|P39800|XLYA_BACSU | 32 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| sp\|P25953|COMGA_BACSU | 40 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| sp\|O34700|YEBG_BACSU | 8 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| sp\|O31427|SKFE_BACSU | 27 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| sp\|O34470|YLBL_BACSU | 38 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| sp\|P54395|YPMA_BACSU | 7 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| sp\|P46326|YXBB_BACSU | 28 | 11 | 8 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| sp\|O34666|CTPA_BACSU | 51 | 19 | 22 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| sp\|Q7WY62|SDA_BACSU | 6 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| sp\|C0H3Z9|XKZA_BACSU | 10 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| sp\|Q45595|YYDG_BACSU | 37 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| sp\|P96724|NFI_BACSU | 27 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| sp\|O07008|GANR_BACSU | 37 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| sp\|O31635|YJCM_BACSU | 47 | 2 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| NSAF <br> $\mathbf{2 7 2 5 5}$ | NSAF 27256 |  | NSAF 27257 | NSAF 27258 | NSAF 27259 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | NSAF 27260


| 0.00E+00 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.52 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.17 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.23 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.52 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $8.59 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.11 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.38 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $4.55 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $7.13 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $7.16 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.94 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.64 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.80 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.82 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 0.00E +00 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.17 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $4.16 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.17 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $4.36 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 3.51E-05 | $4.85 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.38 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $6.83 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.31 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.43 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 2.34E-05 | $2.91 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.55 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $4.28 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $6.20 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| $4.53 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.61 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $7.72 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $6.91 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $8.10 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| $1.73 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $8.95 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.17 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.80 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.63 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.82 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| $3.40 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.35 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.31 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $6.62 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $4.61 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $5.78 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 8.60E-06 | $1.78 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 8.75E-06 | $2.09 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.06 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.19 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| $4.82 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.75 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.68 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $9.40 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $6.13 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $9.85 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 5.10E-05 | $3.52 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.46 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $6.62 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $6.91 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.04 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| $1.95 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.36 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.65 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.59 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.80 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.15 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| $6.23 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $5.17 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $6.34 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.09 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.01 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.02 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 1.64E-04 | $1.45 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.19 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.82 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.85 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.62 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 3.03E-05 | $5.24 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $7.20 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $8.86 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $8.22 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $9.28 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| $6.89 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $7.14 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $9.01 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.15 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.20 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.51 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| $4.04 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $5.24 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $7.20 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $7.87 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $9.25 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.03 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| $9.69 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.15 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.13 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.75 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.55 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.98 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| $1.25 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.45 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.27 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.43 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.58 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.39 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| $1.09 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.78 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.59 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.12 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.38 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.54 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| $1.45 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.25 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.23 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.84 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.22 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| $1.15 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.04 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.02 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.68 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.46 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.76 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 5.72E-04 | $5.02 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.03 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $7.92 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $6.93 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $7.63 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 2.88E-04 | $2.44 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.04 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.68 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.69 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.36 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| $9.02 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $6.68 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $7.87 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.18 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.32 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| $1.87 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.45 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.38 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.73 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.85 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.86 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| $1.38 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.43 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.20 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.11 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.60 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.21 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| $2.49 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.03 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.17 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.47 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.72 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.64 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 2.28E-04 | $2.15 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.11 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.24 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.59 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.76 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 2.96E-04 | $3.80 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.95 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $5.50 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $5.60 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.47 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 1.64E-04 | $1.13 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.39 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.86 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.23 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.96 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 6.68E-05 | $5.54 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $5.43 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $9.10 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $8.15 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $8.18 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 2.32E-04 | $1.74 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.36 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.01 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.88 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.29 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 3.74E-04 | $2.95 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.90 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.68 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.35 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.54 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 4.15E-04 | $3.88 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.59 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $5.46 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $5.49 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $5.30 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| $1.60 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.71 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.93 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.27 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.62 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.43 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 2.39E-04 | $2.35 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.12 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.28 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.13 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.16 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| $2.43 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.74 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.28 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.09 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.04 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.86 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 4.10E-04 | $3.93 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.70 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $5.16 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.86 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $6.26 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| $1.92 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.69 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.27 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.08 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.01 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.39 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 4.67E-04 | $4.85 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $5.39 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $6.68 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $6.34 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $7.32 \mathrm{E}-04$ |


| 1.07E-03 | $7.92 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $8.44 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.28 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.26 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.11 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.48E-04 | $4.54 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.54 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.96 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.92 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $5.66 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 1.56E-04 | $2.05 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.69 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.12 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.43 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.54 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 1.78E-04 | $1.48 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.81 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.25 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.99 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.54 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 1.66E-04 | $1.72 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.55 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.29 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.39 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.84 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 2.78E-04 | $3.10 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.83 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.85 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.59 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.04 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 1.77E-04 | $1.63 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.11 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.60 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.41 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 1.06E-03 | $7.91 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $8.56 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.21 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.17 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.18 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 2.92E-04 | $3.51 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.45 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.55 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.04 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.41 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 6.89E-05 | $7.14 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $7.01 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $9.58 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $9.01 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $9.04 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 2.14E-04 | $2.77 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.45 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.38 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.26 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 3.25E-04 | $3.37 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.88 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.46 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.66 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.25 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| $4.55 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $4.19 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $4.11 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $6.40 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $5.14 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $5.16 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 8.76E-04 | $8.20 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $7.50 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.04 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.11 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.03 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 3.04E-04 | $3.15 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.21 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.55 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.68 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.94 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 3.49E-04 | $3.51 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.89 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.32 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.90 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.58 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 1.73E-04 | $2.01 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.69 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.23 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.39 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.40 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 3.37E-04 | $3.75 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.93 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $5.10 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.18 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.96 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 2.21E-04 | $2.29 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.25 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.98 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.94 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.78 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 1.92E-04 | $2.20 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.06 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.56 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.77 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.58 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 1.76E-04 | $1.82 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.79 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.14 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.46 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.25 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 1.66E-04 | $1.38 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.44 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.78 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.77 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.12 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 2.54E-04 | $2.80 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.01 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.44 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.33 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.82 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 1.06E-04 | $8.67 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $9.40 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.29 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.07 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.26 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 1.87E-04 | $2.09 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.76 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.38 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.49 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.35 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 8.69E-04 | $9.88 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $8.75 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.17 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.13 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.14 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 1.36E-04 | $1.23 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.21 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.66 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.56 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.56 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 2.62E-04 | $2.91 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.04 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.28 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.42 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.01 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 2.11E-04 | $2.19 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.98 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.37 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.65 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.82 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 7.85E-04 | $7.88 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $6.85 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $9.10 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $9.89 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $9.03 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 5.43E-04 | $5.63 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.71 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $6.33 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $6.52 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $6.63 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 1.17E-03 | $1.16 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $9.75 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.34 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.35 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.38 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 1.97E-04 | $2.29 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.31 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.56 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.56 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.93 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 4.56E-04 | $4.85 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.16 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $5.69 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $5.58 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $5.25 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 4.52E-04 | $4.24 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.33 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $5.76 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $5.04 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $5.06 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| $1.31 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.18 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.35 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.53 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.59 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.47 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 1.30E-04 | $1.49 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.38 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.73 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.52 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.72 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 4.67E-04 | $5.10 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $5.01 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $5.41 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $6.10 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $6.08 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 1.07E-04 | $1.11 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.20 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.35 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.30 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.31 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 3.89E-04 | $4.39 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.50 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.90 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.97 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 2.44E-04 | $2.70 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.81 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.01 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.98 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.32 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 4.36E-04 | $3.88 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.57 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.73 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $5.20 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.96 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 1.36E-03 | $1.39 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.62 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.67 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.71 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.69 \mathrm{E}-03$ |


| 7.88E-04 | $8.41 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $7.45 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $8.75 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $8.90 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $9.83 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.88E-03 | $1.78 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.95 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $2.25 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $2.06 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $2.07 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 1.45E-03 | $1.56 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.52 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.81 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.69 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.65 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 3.59E-03 | $3.24 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $3.64 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $3.96 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $4.10 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $3.78 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 8.17E-04 | $8.23 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $8.58 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $9.26 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $9.07 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $9.88 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 1.24E-03 | $1.28 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.32 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.51 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.46 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.35 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 3.30E-04 | $3.22 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.39 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.95 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.71 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.49 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 4.48E-03 | $4.48 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $4.57 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $5.10 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $5.05 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $5.05 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 9.16E-04 | $9.50 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $9.32 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.06 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.06 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.01 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 1.58E-03 | $1.50 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.70 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.74 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.76 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.84 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 4.05E-04 | $3.98 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.17 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.50 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.54 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.51 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 7.57E-04 | $7.85 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $7.70 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $8.37 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $8.56 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $8.59 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 3.74E-04 | $3.63 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.80 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.41 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.56 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.58 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 1.15E-03 | $1.11 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.07 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $9.71 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $9.89 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.04 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 5.81E-03 | $5.57 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $5.98 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $5.26 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $5.43 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $4.79 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 1.50E-03 | $1.48 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.64 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.40 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.33 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.34 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 3.34E-04 | $3.60 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.40 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.25 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.85 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 1.21E-04 | $1.37 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.23 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.07 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.12 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.12 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 2.24E-03 | $2.12 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $2.11 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.82 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.96 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.82 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 2.56E-04 | $2.80 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.61 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.36 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.18 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.12 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 1.94E-03 | $1.96 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $2.19 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.68 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.66 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.72 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 3.22E-04 | $3.34 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.15 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.39 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.02 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 4.72E-04 | $4.89 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.62 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.81 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.62 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.09 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 2.76E-04 | $3.20 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.81 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.37 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.31 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.32 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 1.29E-03 | $1.13 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.14 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $9.38 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $9.21 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $9.69 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 2.67E-04 | $2.77 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.72 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.08 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.17 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.18 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 4.18E-04 | $3.88 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.03 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.21 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.91 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.37 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 3.55E-03 | $3.14 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $3.50 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $2.88 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $2.89 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $2.21 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 1.75E-04 | $2.06 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.02 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.71 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.43 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.43 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 1.12E-03 | $1.02 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.05 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $7.74 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $9.51 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $7.16 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 1.50E-03 | $1.73 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.56 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.06 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.35 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.25 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 1.17E-04 | $1.22 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.49 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.04 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $8.98 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 1.17E-03 | $1.11 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $9.51 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $8.19 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $8.08 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $7.63 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 7.95E-04 | $7.27 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 6.66E-04 | $5.01 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $5.70 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $5.25 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 2.80E-04 | $3.39 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.69 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.43 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.06 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.91 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 3.83E-04 | $4.78 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.89 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.63 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.45 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.84 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 7.06E-04 | $6.89 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $8.88 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.85 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $5.91 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.24 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 1.05E-03 | $1.03 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.04 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $5.83 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $6.08 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $8.14 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 4.15E-04 | $4.52 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $6.13 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.44 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.75 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.18 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 5.56E-05 | $5.76 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $4.11 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.44 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.60 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.58 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 8.31E-05 | $8.61 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.06 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.05 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $6.34 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $6.36 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 1.29E-03 | $1.25 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.65 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $9.31 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $8.87 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $7.21 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 3.74E-04 | $3.88 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.28 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.73 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.90 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.39 \mathrm{E}-04$ |


| 2.51E-03 | 2.28E-03 | $1.94 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.34 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.26E-03 | $1.35 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6.94E-04 | $6.65 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $7.61 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.16 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.89 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.27 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 2.97E-05 | $4.31 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.02 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.73 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.81 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.42 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 3.51E-04 | $3.63 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.62 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.05 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.66 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.91 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| $1.00 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $8.58 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $8.15 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $5.85 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $5.02 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.36 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 6.23E-04 | $5.81 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $6.97 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.25 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.80 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.54 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 5.82E-04 | $6.03 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $8.45 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.64 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.22 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.39 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 8.23E-04 | $6.20 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $6.09 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.37 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.80 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.67 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 1.32E-03 | $1.22 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.05 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $6.62 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $5.62 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $6.71 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 3.06E-04 | $3.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.77 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.16 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.21 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.26 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 1.07E-04 | $1.29 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.09 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $6.94 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $7.24 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.64 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 2.34E-04 | $2.42 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.09 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $6.83 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.66 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.67 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| $7.39 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $9.02 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $5.75 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $4.23 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.09 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.99 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 1.92E-04 | $2.29 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.56 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.03 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $8.77 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $9.79 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 3.67E-05 | $5.32 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $4.48 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.14 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.49 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.99 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| $1.89 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.72 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.48 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $8.07 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $8.27 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $8.34 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 3.17E-04 | $3.20 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.47 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $7.12 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.15 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.83 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| $1.56 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.91 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.22 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $9.10 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.27 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $9.54 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 3.04E-05 | $2.91 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.09 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.14 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.43 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.67 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 3.08E-04 | $3.74 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.07 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.34 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.67 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.47 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 6.36E-04 | $5.81 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.57 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.82 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.04 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.91 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| $7.65 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $8.81 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $9.51 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.48 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.46 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $4.34 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 8.84E-04 | $8.34 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $8.42 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.86 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.77 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.47 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 2.12E-04 | $2.41 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.47 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $7.87 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $8.22 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $9.28 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 4.17E-04 | $3.58 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.22 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.68 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $7.31 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.47 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| $1.04 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $8.65 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $6.44 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.52 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.46 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.40 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| $9.97 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.29 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.18 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.43 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.53 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $5.09 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| $1.87 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.18 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.66 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $6.83 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $9.54 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| $6.89 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $6.12 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $5.01 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.01 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 5.16E-05 | $2.67 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.94 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.51 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| $9.75 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.10 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.82 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.31 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $4.98 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| $1.27 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.32 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.16 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $8.28 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| $7.32 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $6.74 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $5.79 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.32 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| $1.57 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $8.16 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.40 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| $7.01 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $8.48 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $7.13 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.56 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 5.61E-05 | $4.85 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.85 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.82 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 2.80E-04 | $1.94 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.38 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $9.51 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| $2.77 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $4.31 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.82 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| $1.97 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.06 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| $1.07 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.11 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.09 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| $1.47 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.11 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.36 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 1.39E-04 | $1.67 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.12 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| $1.87 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.94 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.27 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |


| $7.48 \mathrm{E}-\mathbf{0 5}$ | $7.75 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.14 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{5 . 0 5 E - 0 5}$ | $3.14 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $5.14 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| $\mathbf{5 . 5 4 E - 0 5}$ | $2.87 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $4.23 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| $\mathbf{2 . 0 2 E - 0 5}$ | $4.19 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.06 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| $\mathbf{1 . 5 9 E - 0 5}$ | $5.77 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $6.48 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |


| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Average } \\ & \text { NSAF WT } \end{aligned}$ | Average NSAF <br> Mutant | Fold Change <br> Average NSAF WT <br> / Average NSAF <br> Mutant | T-Test | Estimated <br> Fold change <br> (Mut/WT) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.09 \mathrm{E}-05$ | \#DIV/0! | $3.0 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 6.02 |
| $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 E}+00$ | $2.91 \mathrm{E}-05$ | \#DIV/0! | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 7.00 |
| $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.89 \mathrm{E}-05$ | \#DIV/0! | $4.7 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 40.35 |
| $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.35 \mathrm{E}-05$ | \#DIV/0! | $3.0 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 6.02 |
| $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.33 \mathrm{E}-05$ | \#DIV/0! | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 8.01 |
| $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.66 \mathrm{E}-05$ | \#DIV/0! | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 7.04 |
| $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.30 \mathrm{E}-04$ | \#DIV/0! | $8.5 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 22.19 |
| $8.34 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $7.73 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 9.27 | $4.4 \mathrm{E}-02$ |  |
| $7.93 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $6.28 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 7.92 | $9.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ |  |
| 6.46E-06 | $3.75 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 5.81 | $8.7 \mathrm{E}-03$ |  |
| $7.25 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $3.57 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 4.92 | $4.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ |  |
| 3.58E-05 | $1.14 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 3.19 | $3.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ |  |
| $1.75 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $5.01 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 2.87 | $3.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ |  |
| 3.05E-05 | $7.58 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 2.49 | $4.4 \mathrm{E}-02$ |  |
| $1.26 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.08 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 2.44 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ |  |
| $2.68 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $5.67 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 2.11 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ |  |
| $1.17 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.45 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 2.09 | $4.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ |  |
| $4.09 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $8.46 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 2.07 | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-02$ |  |
| $4.03 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $7.98 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.98 | $4.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ |  |
| $2.32 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.18 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.80 | $3.6 \mathrm{E}-03$ |  |
| $5.91 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.04 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.76 | $5.7 \mathrm{E}-04$ |  |
| $1.43 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.43 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.71 | $4.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ |  |
| 5.16E-05 | $8.79 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.70 | $4.3 \mathrm{E}-02$ |  |
| $7.68 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.29 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.67 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-02$ |  |
| 5.49E-05 | $9.15 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.67 | $3.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ |  |
| $1.08 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.76 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.63 | $7.6 \mathrm{E}-03$ |  |
| $1.32 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.13 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.61 | $4.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ |  |
| $1.48 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.35 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.58 | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ |  |
| $1.31 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.02 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.54 | $5.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ |  |
| 1.07E-04 | $1.63 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.52 | $4.5 \mathrm{E}-03$ |  |
| $4.92 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $7.50 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.52 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ |  |
| 2.79E-04 | $4.25 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.52 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-02$ |  |
| $7.86 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.17 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.48 | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ |  |


| 1.90E-04 | $2.82 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.48 | 2.8E-02 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.34E-04 | $1.97 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.48 | 3.4E-02 |
| 2.23E-04 | $3.27 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.47 | 3.0E-02 |
| 2.18E-04 | $3.19 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.46 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| 3.57E-04 | $5.19 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.45 | 2.7E-02 |
| 1.39E-04 | $2.02 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.45 | 2.6E-02 |
| 5.88E-05 | $8.48 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.44 | 6.9E-03 |
| 2.14E-04 | $3.06 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.43 | 1.7E-02 |
| 3.20E-04 | $4.52 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.42 | 1.0E-02 |
| 3.87E-04 | $5.42 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.40 | 8.6E-04 |
| 1.75E-04 | $2.44 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.40 | 7.5E-03 |
| 2.29E-04 | $3.19 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.39 | 7.0E-04 |
| 2.15E-04 | $3.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.39 | 1.8E-02 |
| 3.91E-04 | $5.42 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.39 | 2.6E-02 |
| 2.30E-04 | $3.16 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.38 | 2.6E-02 |
| 4.97E-04 | $6.78 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.36 | 7.3E-03 |
| 9.03E-04 | $1.22 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.35 | 3.6E-02 |
| 3.86E-04 | $5.18 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.34 | 3.5E-02 |
| 1.76E-04 | $2.37 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.34 | 3.5E-02 |
| 1.69E-04 | $2.26 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.34 | $4.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| 1.64E-04 | $2.17 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.32 | 4.1E-02 |
| 2.90E-04 | $3.82 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.32 | 5.0E-03 |
| 1.80E-04 | $2.37 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.32 | 3.3E-02 |
| 9.02E-04 | $1.19 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.32 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| 3.29E-04 | $4.33 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.32 | 1.3E-02 |
| $7.01 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $9.21 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.31 | 3.9E-04 |
| 2.45E-04 | $3.21 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.31 | 2.4E-02 |
| 3.17E-04 | $4.12 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.30 | 2.7E-02 |
| 4.28E-05 | $5.56 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.30 | 4.3E-02 |
| 8.15E-04 | $1.06 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.30 | 5.5E-03 |
| 3.13E-04 | 4.06E-04 | 1.30 | 2.4E-02 |
| 3.30E-04 | $4.27 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.29 | 2.7E-02 |
| 1.81E-04 | $2.34 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.29 | 1.0E-02 |
| 3.68E-04 | $4.75 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.29 | 3.2E-02 |
| 2.25E-04 | $2.90 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.29 | $6.1 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 2.06E-04 | $2.64 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.28 | $5.5 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 1.79E-04 | $2.28 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.27 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 1.49E-04 | $1.89 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.27 | $5.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| 2.79E-04 | $3.53 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.27 | 2.1E-02 |
| 9.54E-05 | $1.21 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.26 | 4.3E-02 |
| 1.90E-04 | $2.41 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.26 | $9.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| $9.11 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.14 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.26 | 4.4E-03 |
| 1.27E-04 | $1.59 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.25 | 4.6E-03 |


| 2.86E-04 | $3.57 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.25 | $5.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.10E-04 | $2.61 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.25 | 2.2E-02 |
| $7.53 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $9.34 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.24 | 1.4E-02 |
| 5.26E-04 | $6.49 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.24 | 1.4E-02 |
| 1.10E-03 | $1.36 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.23 | 1.6E-02 |
| 2.19E-04 | $2.68 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.22 | 4.2E-02 |
| 4.52E-04 | $5.51 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.22 | 1.5E-02 |
| 4.36E-04 | $5.29 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.21 | 2.1E-02 |
| 1.28E-03 | $1.53 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.20 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| 1.39E-04 | $1.66 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.19 | 3.9E-02 |
| 4.93E-04 | $5.86 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.19 | 2.3E-02 |
| 1.12E-04 | $1.32 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.18 | 8.3E-03 |
| 4.09E-04 | $4.79 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.17 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| 2.65E-04 | $3.10 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.17 | 4.4E-02 |
| 4.27E-04 | $4.96 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.16 | 4.6E-02 |
| 1.46E-03 | $1.69 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.16 | 4.8E-02 |
| 7.91E-04 | $9.16 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.16 | 4.6E-02 |
| 1.87E-03 | $2.12 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.14 | 3.3E-02 |
| $1.51 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.72 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.14 | 2.6E-02 |
| 3.49E-03 | $3.95 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.13 | 4.3E-02 |
| 8.32E-04 | $9.40 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.13 | 1.7E-02 |
| 1.28E-03 | $1.44 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.13 | 3.7E-02 |
| 3.30E-04 | $3.72 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.13 | 4.3E-02 |
| $4.51 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $5.06 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.12 | 7.6E-05 |
| $9.33 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.04 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.12 | 4.3E-03 |
| 1.59E-03 | $1.78 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.12 | 4.5E-02 |
| 4.07E-04 | $4.52 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.11 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| $7.71 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $8.51 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.10 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 3.73E-04 | $3.52 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.94 | 4.6E-02 |
| 1.11E-03 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.90 | 2.9E-02 |
| 5.79E-03 | $5.16 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.89 | $5.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| 1.54E-03 | $1.36 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.88 | 3.2E-02 |
| 3.44E-04 | $3.03 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.88 | 4.3E-02 |
| 1.27E-04 | $1.10 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.87 | 3.4E-02 |
| 2.16E-03 | $1.87 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.87 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| 2.66E-04 | $2.22 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.84 | 1.3E-02 |
| 2.03E-03 | $1.69 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.83 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| 3.24E-04 | $2.63 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.81 | 4.0E-02 |
| 4.74E-04 | $3.84 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.81 | 4.6E-03 |
| 2.93E-04 | $2.34 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.80 | 1.4E-02 |
| 1.19E-03 | $9.43 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.79 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| 2.72E-04 | $2.14 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.79 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| $4.03 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.16 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.79 | $5.8 \mathrm{E}-03$ |


| 3.40E-03 | $2.66 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.78 | 4.6E-02 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.94E-04 | $1.52 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.78 | 3.4E-02 |
| 1.06E-03 | $8.13 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.77 | 3.2E-02 |
| 1.59E-03 | $1.22 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.77 | 2.7E-02 |
| 1.29E-04 | $9.80 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.76 | 4.5E-02 |
| 1.08E-03 | $7.97 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.74 | 1.4E-02 |
| 7.29E-04 | $5.32 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.73 | 9.7E-03 |
| 2.96E-04 | $2.13 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.72 | $3.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| 4.17E-04 | $2.97 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.71 | 3.9E-02 |
| 7.61E-04 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.66 | 3.1E-02 |
| 1.04E-03 | $6.68 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.64 | 7.2E-03 |
| 4.94E-04 | $3.12 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.63 | 4.7E-02 |
| 5.14E-05 | $3.21 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.62 | 3.3E-02 |
| 9.16E-05 | $5.58 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.61 | 2.7E-02 |
| 1.40E-03 | $8.46 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.61 | 1.8E-02 |
| 3.97E-04 | $2.34 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.59 | 5.0E-03 |
| 2.24E-03 | $1.32 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.59 | 5.4E-03 |
| 7.07E-04 | $4.11 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.58 | 5.7E-03 |
| 3.43E-05 | $1.99 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.58 | 4.2E-02 |
| 3.25E-04 | $1.87 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.58 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| 8.92E-04 | $5.08 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.57 | 5.6E-03 |
| 6.34E-04 | $3.53 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.56 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| 6.77E-04 | $3.75 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.55 | 2.7E-02 |
| 6.84E-04 | $3.61 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.53 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| 1.20E-03 | $6.32 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.53 | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 2.94E-04 | $1.54 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.52 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| 1.15E-04 | $5.94 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.52 | 1.5E-02 |
| 2.62E-04 | $1.34 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.51 | 3.4E-02 |
| 7.39E-05 | $3.77 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.51 | 2.3E-02 |
| 1.92E-04 | $9.61 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.50 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| 4.49E-05 | $2.21 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.49 | 2.4E-02 |
| 1.70E-03 | $8.22 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.48 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 3.28E-04 | $1.56 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.48 | 1.8E-02 |
| 2.23E-04 | $1.04 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.47 | 4.3E-02 |
| 3.01E-05 | $1.41 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.47 | 6.1E-04 |
| 3.30E-04 | $1.49 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.45 | 1.7E-03 |
| 5.58E-04 | $2.26 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.40 | 7.3E-03 |
| 8.66E-05 | $3.43 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.40 | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 8.53E-04 | $3.37 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.39 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 2.33E-04 | $8.46 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.36 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 3.66E-04 | $1.29 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.35 | 4.1E-03 |
| 8.48E-04 | $2.80 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.33 | 1.6E-02 |
| 1.16E-04 | $3.35 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.29 | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-03$ |


| $\mathbf{1 . 9 0 E - 0 4}$ | $5.46 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.29 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{6 . 0 0 E - 0 5}$ | $1.67 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.28 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| $\mathbf{3 . 9 2 E - 0 5}$ | $8.37 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.21 | $4.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| $\mathbf{1 . 3 0 E - 0 4}$ | $2.76 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.21 | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| $\mathbf{1 . 5 9 E - 0 5}$ | $2.76 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.17 | $3.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| $\mathbf{6 . 6 2 E - 0 5}$ | $1.11 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.17 | $9.9 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| $\mathbf{1 . 2 6 E - 0 4}$ | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.16 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| $\mathbf{7 . 5 4 E - 0 5}$ | $1.19 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.16 | $7.6 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| $\mathbf{4 . 4 4 E - 0 5}$ | $6.07 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.14 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| $\mathbf{2 . 3 7 E - 0 4}$ | $3.17 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.13 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| $\mathbf{3 . 3 0 E - 0 5}$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.00 | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| $\mathbf{2 . 3 4 E - 0 5}$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.00 | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| $\mathbf{1 . 0 9 E - 0 4}$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.00 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| $\mathbf{1 . 3 1 E - 0 4}$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.00 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| $\mathbf{1 . 3 9 E - 0 4}$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.00 | $9.5 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| $\mathbf{1 . 6 9 E - 0 4}$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.00 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| $\mathbf{8 . 8 8 E - 0 5}$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.00 | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| $\mathbf{4 . 4 5 E - 0 5}$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.00 | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| $\mathbf{4 . 2 1 E - 0 5}$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.00 | $5.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| $\mathbf{2 . 7 6 E - 0 5}$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.00 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| $\mathbf{4 . 6 1 E - 0 5}$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.00 | $3.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ |

Table 2.5 Proteomics data set. The proteomics data for the 183 proteins identified as having significantly different levels ( $p$-value $<0.05$ ) in the protease double mutant relative to the control are presented.

| Strain | Genotype | Reference/Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PY79 | B. subtilis PY79 | Youngman et al., 1984 |
| BTH101 | E. coli BTH101 | Euromedex (EUB001) |
| PEB66 | E. coli Top10 | ThermoFisher (C404010) |
| PEB67 | E. coli BL21 DE3 | Lab stock |
| PEB69 | E. coli NiCo21 DE3 | New England Biolabs |
|  | (C2529H) |  |
| PEB75 | B. subtilis 168 drecN::erm (used to generate other | Bacillus Genetic Stock Center <br> (BK24240) |
|  | strains oly) | This study |
| PEB85 | B. subtilis पrecN | New England Biolabs (C3013) |
| PEB111 | E. coli BL21 DE3 pLysY/lacIq | Bacillus Genetic Stock Center <br> (ECE310) |
| PEB233 | E. coli XL1-Blue pCJ41 | Bacillus Genetic Stock Center |
| PEB234 | E. coli (TB1) pMalC9 |  |


|  |  | (ECE309) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PEB235 | B. subtilis $\Delta r e c R$ | This study |
| PEB278 | B. subtilis $\Delta r n h C$ | JWS224 |
| PEB307 | B. subtilis $\triangle u v r A$ | This study |
| PEB308 | B. subtilis $\triangle u v r B$ | This study |
| PEB310 | B. subtilis $\Delta u v r C$ | This study |
| PEB316 | B. subtilis $\triangle y p r A$ | This study |
| PEB318 | B. subtilis $\Delta y p r B$ | This study |
| PEB322 | B. subtilis $\Delta y l b K$ | This study |
| PEB324 | B. subtilis $\Delta y l b L$ | This study |
| PEB334 | B. subtilis $\triangle$ sodA | This study |
| PEB336 | E. coli MC1061 | Lab stock |
| PEB346 | B. subtilis amyE::Pxyl-ylbL-S234A (used to generate other strains only) | This study |
| PEB349 | B. subtilis $\triangle y l b L$, amy $E:: P x y l-y l b L$ (used to generate other strains only) | This study |
| PEB350 | B.subtilis amyE::Pxyl-ylbK (used to generate other strains only) | This study |
| PEB353 | B. subtilis $\triangle$ que $A$ | This study |
| PEB355 | B. subtilis $\triangle$ ctpA | This study |
| PEB357 | B. subtilis $\triangle y$ soA | This study |
| PEB373 | B. subtilis $\Delta y l b K$, amyE::Pxyl-ylbK | This study |
| PEB375 | B. subtilis $\Delta y l b L$, amyE::Pxyl-ylbL | This study |
| PEB377 | B. subtilis $\Delta y l b L$, amyE::Pxyl-ylbL-S243A | This study |
| PEB382 | B. subtilis $\triangle y l m E$ | This study |
| PEB384 | B. subtilis $\Delta$ sepF | This study |
| PEB386 | B. subtilis $\Delta y \operatorname{lmG}$ | This study |
| PEB390 | B. subtilis $\Delta y l b K$, amyE::Pxyl-ylbL | This study |
| PEB392 | B. subtilis $\triangle y l b K$, amyE::Pxyl-ylbL-S243A | This study |
| PEB418 | B. subtilis $\triangle y$ tmP | This study |
| PEB420 | B. subtilis $\triangle$ bcr $C$ | This study |
| PEB422 | B. subtilis $\triangle$ ecs $A$ | This study |
| PEB424 | B. subtilis $\triangle$ ecs $B$ | This study |
| PEB427 | B. subtilis $\Delta y l b K-2$ | This study |
| PEB432 | B. subtilis 168 AyneA::erm (used to generate other strains only) | Bacillus Genetic Stock Center (BKE17860) |
| PEB433 | B. subtilis $\Delta y n e A:: e r m$ | This study |
| PEB436 | B. subtilis $\Delta y l b L, \Delta y n e A:: \mathrm{erm}$ | This study |
| PEB439 | B. subtilis $\Delta y n e A:: l o x p$ | This study |
| PEB441 | B. subtilis $\Delta y l b L, \Delta y n e A:: l o x p$ | This study |
| PEB449 | B. subtilis $168 \Delta$ crh::erm (used to generate other strains only) | Bacillus Genetic Stock Center (BKE34740) |
| PEB450 | B. subtilis $168 \Delta$ lgt::erm (used to generate other strains only) | Bacillus Genetic Stock Center (BKE34990) |


| PEB452 | B. subtilis $168 \Delta s d a A B::$ erm (used to generate other strains only) | Bacillus Genetic Stock Center (BKE15850) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PEB453 | B. subtilis $168 \Delta y d z U::$ erm (used to generate other strains only) | Bacillus Genetic Stock Center (BKE06048) |
| PEB454 | B. subtilis $168 \Delta$ radA::erm (used to generate other strains only) | Bacillus Genetic Stock Center (BKE00870) |
| PEB455 | B. subtilis $168 \Delta$ cymR::erm (used to generate other strains only) | Bacillus Genetic Stock Center (BKE27520) |
| PEB456 | B. subtilis $168 \Delta y w r C::$ erm (used to generate other strains only) | Bacillus Genetic Stock Center (BKE36110) |
| PEB461 | B. subtilis $\Delta$ crh::erm | This study |
| PEB463 | B. subtilis $\Delta l g t:: \mathrm{erm}$ | This study |
| PEB467 | B. subtilis $\triangle$ sdaAB::erm | This study |
| PEB469 | B. subtilis $\Delta y d z U::$ erm | This study |
| PEB471 | B. subtilis $\Delta \mathrm{radA}: \because \mathrm{erm}$ | This study |
| PEB473 | B. subtilis $\Delta$ cymR::erm | This study |
| PEB475 | B. subtilis $\Delta y w r C::$ erm | This study |
| PEB477 | B. subtilis 168 recG::erm (used to generate other strains only) | Bacillus Genetic Stock Center (BKE15870) |
| PEB478 | B. subtilis $\Delta r e c G::$ erm | This study |
| PEB482 | B. subtilis $\triangle$ walH | This study |
| PEB485 | B. subtilis $\Delta y y c I$ | This study |
| PEB488 | B. subtilis $\triangle$ walJ | This study |
| PEB491 | B. subtilis $\triangle r u v B$ | This study |
| PEB493 | B. subtilis $\triangle$ rip $X$ | This study |
| PEB533 | B. subtilis $\Delta y l b L$, amyE : Pxyl-ylbL-K279A | This study |
| PEB535 | B. subtilis $168 \Delta$ ponA::erm (used to generate other strains only) | Bacillus Genetic Stock Center (BKE22320) |
| PEB551 | B. subtilis $\triangle$ ponA $:$ erm | This study |
| PEB555 | B. subtilis $\Delta \mathrm{ylbL}, \Delta \mathrm{ctpA}$ | This study |
| PEB557 | B. subtilis $\Delta y l b L$, amyE::Pxyl-ylbL, $\Delta$ ctpA | This study |
| PEB559 | B. subtilis $\Delta y n e A:: l o x p, \Delta$ ctpA | This study |
| PEB561 | B. subtilis $\Delta y l b L, \Delta y n e A:: 10 x p, \Delta \mathrm{ctpA}$ | This study |
| PEB585 | B. subtilis $\Delta u v r A, \Delta y n e A:: 10 x p$ | This study |
| PEB595 | B. subtilis $\Delta y l b L$, amyE::Pxyl-ctpA | This study |
| PEB597 | B. subtilis $\Delta y l b L$, amyE: $:$ Pxyl-ctpA-S297A | This study |
| PEB599 | B. subtilis $\triangle \mathrm{ctp} A$, amyE::Pxyl-ctpA | This study |
| PEB601 | B. subtilis $\triangle$ ctpA, amyE::Pxyl-ctpA-S297A | This study |
| PEB603 | B. subtilis $\triangle$ ctpA, amyE::Pxyl-ctpA-K322A | This study |
| PEB605 | B. subtilis $\triangle$ ctpA, amyE::Pxyl-ylbL | This study |
| PEB607 | B. subtilis $\triangle$ ctpA, amyE::Pxyl-ylbL-S234A | This study |
| PEB619 | B. subtilis $\Delta y l b L, \Delta \operatorname{ctpA}$, amyE::Pxyl-ctpA | This study |
| PEB621 | B. subtilis $\Delta y l b L, \Delta c t p A, ~ a m y E:: P x y l-c t p A-S 297 A ~$ | This study |
| PEB623 | B. subtilis $\Delta y \mathrm{lbL}, \Delta \mathrm{ctpA}$, amyE::Pxyl-ylbL-S234A | This study |
| PEB677 | B. subtilis amyE::Phyp-yneA | This study |


| PEB681 | B. subtilis $\Delta y l b L$, amyE::Phyp-yneA | This study |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PEB685 | B. subtilis $\Delta c t p A$, amyE::Phyp-yneA | This study |
| PEB689 | B. subtilis $\Delta y l b L, \Delta c t p A$, amyE::Phyp-yneA | This study |
| PEB778 | B. subtilis $\Delta$ fhuG | This study |
| PEB780 | B. subtilis $\Delta y l b L, \Delta c t p A, \Delta$ fhuG | This study |
| PEB782 | B. subtilis $\Delta y f k H$ | This study |
| PEB784 | B. subtilis $\Delta y l b L, \Delta c t p A, \Delta y f k H$ | This study |
| PEB786 | B. subtilis $\Delta l t a S A$ | This study |
| PEB788 | B. subtilis $\Delta y l b L, \Delta c t p A, \Delta l t a S A$ | This study |
| PEB790 | B. subtilis $\Delta y k g A$ | This study |
| PEB792 | B. subtilis $\Delta y l b L, \Delta c t p A, \Delta y k g A$ | This study |
| PEB794 | B. subtilis $\Delta c g e E$ | This study |
| PEB796 | B. subtilis $\Delta y l b L, \Delta c t p A, \Delta c g e E$ | This study |
| PEB798 | B. subtilis $\Delta y \operatorname{yF}$ | This study |
| PEB800 | B. subtilis $\Delta y l b L, \Delta c t p A, \Delta y s n F$ | This study |
| PEB802 | B. subtilis $\Delta l y t G$ | This study |
| PEB804 | B. subtilis $\Delta y l b L, \Delta c t p A, \Delta l y t G$ | This study |
| PEB806 | B. subtilis $\Delta y x a B$ | This study |
| PEB808 | B. subtilis $\Delta y l b L, \Delta c t p A, \Delta y x a B$ | This study |
| PEB810 | B. subtilis $\Delta y l b L, \Delta c t p A, \Delta y t m P$ | This study |

Table 2.6 Strains used in this study.

| Plasmid <br> number | Plasmid name | Reference/Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pDR244 | pDR244 | Bacillus Genetic Stock Center <br> (ECE274) |
| pDR110 | pDR110 | A gift from David Rudner |
| pBR322 | pBR322 | New England Biolabs (N3033S) |
| pKT25 | pKT25 | Euromedex (EUP-25C) |
| pUT18C | pUT18C | Euromedex (EUP-18C) |
| pPB12 | pET28b-10xHis-Smt3 | A gift from Jayakrishnan <br> Nandakumar |
| pPB13 | pET28b-6xHis-Ulp1-403-621 | A gift from Jayakrishnan <br> Nandakumar |
| pPB41 | pPB41 | Burby and Simmons, 2017a, b |
| pPB44 | pPB41-CRISPR::recR | This study |
| pPB46 | pPB44-recR recombination template | This study |
| pPB47 | pPB47 | This study |
| pPB72 | pPB41-CRISPR::uvrA | This study |
| pPB73 | pPB41-CRISPR::uvrB | This study |
| pPB74 | pPB41-CRISPR::uvrC | This study |


| pPB75 | pPB41-CRISPR::yprA | This study |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pPB76 | pPB41-CRISPR::yprB | This study |
| pPB77 | pPB41-CRISPR::ylbK | This study |
| pPB78 | pPB41-CRISPR::ylbL | This study |
| pPB81 | pPB41-CRISPR::sodA | This study |
| pPB82 | pPB72- $\triangle$ uvrA recombination template | This study |
| pPB83 | $\mathrm{pPB} 73-\Delta u v r B$ recombination template | This study |
| pPB85 | $\mathrm{pPB} 74-\Delta u v r C$ recombination template | This study |
| pPB86 | $\mathrm{pPB} 75-\Delta y \mathrm{yp}$ A recombination template | This study |
| pPB87 | $\mathrm{pPB} 76-\Delta y \mathrm{yrB}$ recombination template | This study |
| pPB98 | $\mathrm{pPB} 77-\Delta y \mathrm{lbK}$ recombination template | This study |
| pPB99 | $\mathrm{pPB} 78-\Delta y \mathrm{lbL}$ recombination template | This study |
| pPB103 | $\mathrm{pPB} 81-\triangle$ sodA recombination template | This study |
| pPB107 | pPB47-amyE::Pxyl-ylbK-camR | This study |
| pPB108 | pPB47-amyE::Pxyl-ylbL-camR | This study |
| pPB111 | pPB47-amyE::Pxyl-ylbL-S234A-camR | This study |
| pPB113 | pPB41-CRISPR::queA | This study |
| pPB114 | pPB41-CRISPR::ctpA | This study |
| pPB115 | pPB41-CRISPR::ysoA | This study |
| pPB116 | pPB41-CRISPR::ylmE | This study |
| pPB117 | pPB41-CRISPR::sepF | This study |
| pPB118 | pPB41-CRISPR::ylmG | This study |
| pPB120 | pPB113- $\Delta$ queA recombination template | This study |
| pPB121 | pPB114- $\Delta$ ctpA recombination template | This study |
| pPB122 | pPB115- $\Delta \mathrm{ysoA}$ recombination template | This study |
| pPB123 | pPB116- $\Delta \mathrm{ylmE}$ recombination template | This study |
| pPB124 | $\mathrm{pPB} 117-\Delta$ sepF recombination template | This study |
| pPB125 | pPB118- $\Delta \mathrm{ylmG}$ recombination template | This study |
| pPB130 | pPB41-CRISPR::ytmP | This study |
| pPB131 | pPB41-CRISPR::bcrC | This study |
| pPB132 | pPB41-CRISPR::ecsA | This study |
| pPB133 | pPB41-CRISPR::ecsB | This study |
| pPB140 | $\mathrm{pPB} 130-\Delta y t m P$ recombination template | This study |
| pPB141 | pPB131- $\Delta \mathrm{bcrC}$ recombination template | This study |
| pPB142 | pPB132- $\triangle$ ecsA recombination template | This study |
| pPB143 | pPB133- $\triangle$ ecsB recombination template | This study |
| pPB149 | pPB77- $\Delta \mathrm{ylbK}$ recombination template-2 | This study |
| pPB154 | pPB41-CRISPR::walH | This study |
| pPB155 | pPB41-CRISPR::yycI | This study |
| pPB156 | pPB41-CRISPR::yycJ | This study |
| pPB157 | pPB12-ylbL-36-341 | This study |
| pPB173 | pPB47-amyE::Pxyl-ylbL-K279A-camR | This study |
| pPB174 | pPB154- $\Delta$ walH recombination template | This study |


| pPB175 | pPB155-4yycI recombination template | This study |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pPB176 | pPB156- 4 yycJ recombination template | This study |
| pPB177 | pPB41-CRISPR::ruvB | This study |
| pPB178 | pPB41-CRISPR::ripX | This study |
| pPB179 | pPB177- $\Delta$ ruvB recombination template | This study |
| pPB180 | pPB178- $\triangle$ ripX recombination template | This study |
| pPB181 | pPB12-ylbL-36-341-S234A | This study |
| pPB184 | pPB47-amyE::Pxyl-ctpA-camR | This study |
| pPB185 | pPB47-amyE::Pxyl-ctpA-S297A-camR | This study |
| pPB186 | pPB47-amyE::Pxyl-ctpA-K322A-camR | This study |
| pPB194 | pDR110-Phyp-yneA | This study |
| pPB200 | pET28b-MBP-6xHis-Ulp1-403-621 | This study |
| pPB203 | pET28b-MBP-Smt3-ctpA-38-466 | This study |
| pPB204 | pPB12-yneA-28-103 | This study |
| pPB214 | pET28b-MBP-Smt3-ctpA-38-466-S297A | This study |
| pPB227 | pPB41-CRISPR::fhuG | This study |
| pPB228 | pPB41-CRISPR::yfkH | This study |
| pPB229 | pPB41-CRISPR::ItaSA | This study |
| pPB230 | pPB41-CRISPR::ykgA | This study |
| pPB231 | pPB41-CRISPR::cgeE | This study |
| pPB232 | pPB41-CRISPR::ysnF | This study |
| pPB233 | pPB41-CRISPR::lytG | This study |
| pPB234 | pPB41-CRISPR::yхаВ | This study |
| pPB237 | pPB227-fhuG | This study |
| pPB238 | pPB228-yfkH | This study |
| pPB239 | pPB229-ltaSA | This study |
| pPB240 | pPB230-ykgA | This study |
| pPB241 | pPB231-cgeE | This study |
| pPB242 | pPB232-ysnF | This study |
| pPB243 | pPB233-lytG | This study |
| pPB244 | pPB234-yxaB | This study |
| pPB267 | pU-T18-YneA | This study |
| pPB268 | pU-T18-YneA 4 N | This study |
| pPB270 | pK-T25-YlbL-S234A | This study |
| pPB271 | pK-T25-CtpA-S297A | This study |

Table 2.7 Plasmids used in this study.

| Primer | Sequence |
| :--- | :--- |
| oPEB3F | GCTAGCCGCATGCAAGCTAATTCG |
| oPEB54 | ggcattgatggacgcatttgtg |


| oPEB55 | gtttcaatcgcttgtgtttgggtttc |
| :---: | :---: |
| oPEB56 | ACCTCCAATCTGTTCGCGGTG |
| oPEB57 | taaTCGAGCACCACCACCACCAC |
| oPEB58 | GCTAGTTATTGCTCAGCGG |
| oPEB116 | ctctcgtttcatcggtatcattac |
| oPEB117 | cgcttcgttaatacagatgtaggt |
| oPEB217 | GAACCTCATTACGAATTCAGCATGC |
| oPEB218 | GAATGGCGATTTTCGTTCGTGAATAC |
| oPEB227 | CCGTCAATTGTCTGATTCGTTA |
| oPEB232 | GCTGTAGGCATAGGCTTGGTTATG |
| oPEB234 | GTATTCACGAACGAAAATCGCCATTCCTAGCAGCACGCCATAGTGACTG |
| oPEB241 | aaacGCGCTATTTCTCCAATGGACGGCATCGGACg |
| oPEB242 | aaaacGTCCGATGCCGTCCATTGGAGAAATAGCGC |
| oPEB243 | GCATGCTGAATTCGTAATGAGGTTCcttttaattacgggtgctgtttct |
| oPEB244 | cccatggatcgctttttcctccgttcttttatccccctagaataaacctggcatg |
| oPEB245 | tattctagggggataaaagaacggaggaaaaagcgatccatgggt |
| oPEB246 | GCATAACCAAGCCTATGCCTACAGCactctcctcttctgcactcaagtt |
| oPEB253 | GAAGGGTAGTCCAGAAGATAACGA |
| oPEB259 | ATGTATACCTCCTTAGTCGACTAAGCTTAATTGTTATCCGCTCACAATTACACACATTAT GCCACACCTTGTAGATA |
| oPEB267 | TACGTTATTAGTTATAGTTActaacggattcaccactccaag |
| oPEB268 | AACCCTTGCATAGGGGGATCgcctcgtgatacgcctatttttat |
| oPEB269 | TAACTATAACTAATAACGTAACGTGACTGGCAAG |
| oPEB270 | GATCCCCCTATGCAAGGGTTTATTGT |
| oPEB286 | GCATGCTGAATTCGTAATGAGGTTCaagcacaatggggtaaaataagag |
| oPEB287 | GCATAACCAAGCCTATGCCTACAGCggtattcctaaaattccgctgata |
| oPEB312 | ACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTNN |
| oPEB313 | AGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGTAGAT |
| oPEB314 | AATGATACGGCGACCACCGAGATCTACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTC |
| oPEB315 | CAAGCAGAAGACGGCATACGAGATATCACGGTGACTGGAGTTCAGACGTGTGCTCTTCCG ATCAGACCGGGGACTTATCATCCAACCTGT |
| oPEB316 | CAAGCAGAAGACGGCATACGAGATCGATGTGTGACTGGAGTTCAGACGTGTGCTCTTCCG ATCAGACCGGGGACTTATCATCCAACCTGT |
| oPEB317 | CAAGCAGAAGACGGCATACGAGATTTAGGCGTGACTGGAGTTCAGACGTGTGCTCTTCCG ATCAGACCGGGGACTTATCATCCAACCTGT |
| oPEB318 | CAAGCAGAAGACGGCATACGAGATTGACCAGTGACTGGAGTTCAGACGTGTGCTCTTCCG ATCAGACCGGGGACTTATCATCCAACCTGT |
| oPEB319 | CAAGCAGAAGACGGCATACGAGATACAGTGGTGACTGGAGTTCAGACGTGTGCTCTTCCG ATCAGACCGGGGACTTATCATCCAACCTGT |
| oPEB320 | CAAGCAGAAGACGGCATACGAGATGCCAATGTGACTGGAGTTCAGACGTGTGCTCTTCCG ATCAGACCGGGGACTTATCATCCAACCTGT |
| oPEB321 | CAAGCAGAAGACGGCATACGAGATCAGATCGTGACTGGAGTTCAGACGTGTGCTCTTCCG ATCAGACCGGGGACTTATCATCCAACCTGT |


| oPEB322 | CAAGCAGAAGACGGCATACGAGATACTTGAGTGACTGGAGTTCAGACGTGTGCTCTTCCG ATCAGACCGGGGACTTATCATCCAACCTGT |
| :---: | :---: |
| oPEB323 | CAAGCAGAAGACGGCATACGAGATGATCAGGTGACTGGAGTTCAGACGTGTGCTCTTCCG ATCAGACCGGGGACTTATCATCCAACCTGT |
| oPEB324 | CAAGCAGAAGACGGCATACGAGATTAGCTTGTGACTGGAGTTCAGACGTGTGCTCTTCCG ATCAGACCGGGGACTTATCATCCAACCTGT |
| oPEB325 | CAAGCAGAAGACGGCATACGAGATGGCTAGGTGACTGGAGTTCAGACGTGTGCTCTTCCG ATCAGACCGGGGACTTATCATCCAACCTGT |
| oPEB326 | CAAGCAGAAGACGGCATACGAGATCTTGTAGTGACTGGAGTTCAGACGTGTGCTCTTCCG ATCAGACCGGGGACTTATCATCCAACCTGT |
| oPEB345 | actcctttgtttatccaccgaac |
| oPEB348 | TTATTTTTGACACCAGACCAACTG |
| oPEB368 | CGACGGCCAGTGAATTGTAATACG |
| oPEB369 | CAGGAAACAGCTATGACCATG |
| oPEB370 | cacctacatctgtattaacgaagcgTCAATGGGGAAGAGAACCGCTTAAG |
| oPEB377 | ggtaatgataccgatgaaacgagagAACAAAATTCTCCAGTCTTCACATCG |
| oPEB383 | atgtatacctccttaggatcccatttcc |
| oPEB417 | aaacGCTATGGATCGGATAGAGGTGAAGGGAGCCg |
| oPEB418 | aaaacGGCTCCCTTCACCTCTATCCGATCCATAGC |
| oPEB419 | GCATGCTGAATTCGTAATGAGGTTCCTTCTTGATTATTTCCCAGACGAT |
| oPEB420 | taacagagggttttgttcaTCATCCTTCCGCTTTTAG |
| oPEB421 | CTAAAAGCGGAAGGATGAtgaacaaaaccctctgttaagaggggacagcttgtcggcaag tccatccttgggcttagcaggcaagctttttctttac |
| oPEB422 | GCATAACCAAGCCTATGCCTACAGCgaagactttgtaattgcggaaaac |
| oPEB423 | ATATGATCTTGAAATGATGCGTGA |
| oPEB424 | agaatgaatcgtgaaatgatcacc |
| oPEB425 | CGCCGATAAAATAACGAAGTCTAT |
| oPEB426 | aaacGCTTTGAGTTAGTCTCGAAATATCAGCCCCg |
| oPEB427 | aaaacGGGGCTGATATTTCGAGACTAACTCAAAGC |
| oPEB428 | GCATGCTGAATTCGTAATGAGGTTCtagtctcttgaagctggttgtcct |
| oPEB429 | ATCCGATCCATAGCCATttgttcaaaaaataagcctccgtttctttaacg |
| oPEB430 | ttaaagaaacggaggcttattttttgaacaaATGGCTATGGATCGGAT |
| oPEB431 | GCATAACCAAGCCTATGCCTACAGCGCATTCTCCTTCAATGACAAATCT |
| oPEB432 | acggatcgatatgattctctaagc |
| oPEB433 | TACAGCACTTTATCCAGTTGATGC |
| oPEB434 | ctgaggagggtttttgttgattac |
| oPEB437 | aaacAGAAAAACTCGCCCTCCTTCCTGATCAACCg |
| oPEB438 | aaaacGGTTGATCAGGAAGGAGGGCGAGTTTTTCT |
| oPEB439 | GCATGCTGAATTCGTAATGAGGTTCcaatgtcagtcgtcttacttacgc |
| oPEB440 | cagatcttatttaaaaggacaacaatgttacccttccttatgtttaatcct |
| oPEB441 | attaaacataaggaagggtaacattgttgtccttttaaataagatctgataaaatg |
| oPEB442 | GCATAACCAAGCCTATGCCTACAGCtgtcgtaatttcacaatcctctgt |
| oPEB443 | aaaccggaatccttcagacaatac |


| oPEB444 | cttctaacggcacttggtaatttt |
| :---: | :---: |
| oPEB445 | gtaaaatgattgcacctgttcttg |
| oPEB446 | a a cATCACTGACTGAACTCATTTCTGATTTAAAg |
| oPEB447 | aaaacTTTAAATCAGAAATGAGTTCAGTCAGTGAT |
| oPEB448 | GCATGCTGAATTCGTAATGAGGTTCcgagttgattaggttctgaaatcc |
| oPEB449 | TAATGACATatccggcctccctcctctttacacctctttgtcaagtac |
| oPEB450 | gtacttgacaaagaggtgtaaagaggagggaggccggatATGTCATTA |
| oPEB451 | GCATAACCAAGCCTATGCCTACAGCCATTATGATGCAGGACACCTTTTA |
| oPEB452 | tcttgtcatgcttgtaaaggtagc |
| oPEB453 | CTGGTCCTCAAACGATTTTTCTAT |
| oPEB454 | agaaaatgatgggagaaggaatag |
| oPEB455 | aaacAAGGGAAACTCCAACGGATGAAAAAGCACAg |
| oPEB456 | aaaacTGTGCTTTTTCATCCGTTGGAGTTTCCCTT |
| oPEB457 | GCATGCTGAATTCGTAATGAGGTTCTCCCAGGAAAATGTCGTTATAGTT |
| oPEB458 | gggaatatatccggcctccctccTTACGACA |
| oPEB459 | AATGTCGTAAggagggaggccggatatattccccgggaaagcgcaaaagacgacttgttt cgccatgaatttt |
| oPEB460 | GCATAACCAAGCCTATGCCTACAGCatggtgtgatgacagctaccttta |
| oPEB461 | AGCCATGGAAGTCAGTGATATTCT |
| oPEB462 | tctttattcggttctttccagttc |
| oPEB463 | GTACGTCATTGCACTACGGAGAC |
| oPEB466 | a a acCGGGTTAGCGTTAGGATCGGGAGGGGCCAGg |
| oPEB467 | aaaacCTGGCCCCTCCCGATCCTAACGCTAACCCG |
| oPEB468 | GCATGCTGAATTCGTAATGAGGTTCcataagaagcgtttgaacagaaga |
| oPEB469 | CTAAAATGTTTTTTACGTAGCAAaagcgttaacctcctgcctgaatt |
| oPEB470 | gaattcaggcaggaggttaacgcttTTGCTACGTAAAAAACATTTTAGCTGGAT |
| oPEB471 | GCATAACCAAGCCTATGCCTACAGCAATATCGTAGCCTTTTGTTTCGTC |
| oPEB472 | aaaacagacaaaacatcagtcagc |
| oPEB473 | ACTGCGTTCTTATAATCGGAATTG |
| oPEB474 | gcataaaaggctcaagcaaaac |
| oPEB475 | aaacCATAAtTTGCTACGTAAAAAACATTTTAGCg |
| oPEB476 | aaaacGCTAAAATGTTTTTTACGTAGCAAaTTATG |
| oPEB477 | GCATGCTGAATTCGTAATGAGGTTCagcagtacctgtcctcttgattct |
| oPEB478 | aatgtatagaaaaagcacctgagaaaTTATGAGCCCTCCCAATTCTCTAT |
| oPEB479 | ATAGAGAATTGGGAGGGCTCATAAtttctcaggtgctttttctatacattt |
| oPEB480 | GCATAACCAAGCCTATGCCTACAGCccagtatgtgacctcgattctaac |
| oPEB481 | TACATAAGCACCAAATTGAAGTGG |
| oPEB482 | agaaacagcacagcttattgatga |
| oPEB483 | GAGAAAAGATTGTGTTCCGAAAAG |
| oPEB492 | tgatgttctttttcctcctattcg |
| oPEB493 | acgatattgccgtattcctcttat |
| oPEB504 | a a cCGTACGATGCTTTAGAACCGCATATCGACAg |
| oPEB505 | aaaacTGTCGATATGCGGTTCTAAAGCATCGTACG |


| oPEB506 | GCATGCTGAATTCGTAATGAGGTTCaacaggacagagagcaaaaataca |
| :---: | :---: |
| oPEB507 | ataatgaggaccttgtttgtgccagataattcctccttagtatatatgtac |
| oPEB508 | catatatactaaggaggaattatctggcacaaacaaggtcctcattat |
| oPEB509 | GCATAACCAAGCCTATGCCTACAGCagctcgctgtttgtctcaataaat |
| oPEB510 | attgattttacggtccctgtactt |
| oPEB511 | gcaaagtagtaggcaaagaaggaa |
| oPEB512 | gtttattaatcgggccttttgtc |
| oPEB527 | TAAAAGACAGGGTAAGGAAATGGA |
| oPEB557 | taaCGGTTTCCATATGGGGATTGGTG |
| oPEB558 | aatgggatcctaaggaggtatacatTTGGCCAAACCTAAAATCGGGTTAG |
| oPEB559 | ACCAATCCCCATATGGAAACCGttaTTATGAGCCCTCCCAATTCTCTATT |
| oPEB560 | aatgggatcctaaggaggtatacatTTGCTACGTAAAAAACATTTTAGCTG |
| oPEB561 | ACCAATCCCCATATGGAAACCGttaTCAGGTGCTTTTCGCTTTCAGCTT |
| oPEB566 | AATCGAAAATATTGGCGGCCCGGCTGCAGGGTTAATGATGTCCCTTGA |
| oPEB567 | CAAGGGACATCATTAACCCTGCAGCCGGGCCGCCAATATTTTCGA |
| oPEB574 | cattcttccgacataaattgtgag |
| oPEB575 | attagtggtggctgttgctttatc |
| oPEB577 | aaacTACCAGAACGTTTAATTGCACAAGTACCGTg |
| oPEB578 | aaaacACGGTACTTGTGCAATTAAACGTTCTGGTA |
| oPEB579 | GCATGCTGAATTCGTAATGAGGTTCgattgttgctaatgaaatgggagt |
| oPEB580 | ttgatgaggcctcctgttctcaaagatgatttcacctttattctagtctt |
| oPEB581 | gactagaataaaggtgaaatcatctttgagaacaggaggcctcatc |
| oPEB582 | GCATAACCAAGCCTATGCCTACAGCgcatttttcatattgagtcgtcct |
| oPEB583 | gtatatcgggcagcataaggtaaa |
| oPEB584 | actcttctctgaaatcacccagac |
| oPEB585 | cccgatatgactgaatttcctaa |
| oPEB586 | a a cACGGAGCAATTAAAGGAATGATTCAATCACg |
| oPEB587 | aaaacGTGATTGAATCATTCCTTTAATTGCTCCGT |
| oPEB588 | GCATGCTGAATTCGTAATGAGGTTCcccctcctatcctgactttctatc |
| oPEB589 | cgcggcagccgcgtatggttttttttaaacaccacctttttcttcttac |
| oPEB590 | aagaagaaaaaggtggtgtttaaaaaaaaccatacgcggctgccgc |
| oPEB591 | GCATAACCAAGCCTATGCCTACAGCtatgggtcattatgctgtttatgg |
| oPEB592 | acgactttaccttgatggtttttg |
| oPEB593 | gttgctctttacacattcttcagc |
| oPEB594 | ggatacagcaaatgtcctaaataagc |
| oPEB595 | aaacATGATACTGAATCCGATCTTCACTTAGGGAg |
| oPEB596 | aaaacTCCCTAAGTGAAGATCGGATTCAGTATCAT |
| oPEB597 | GCATGCTGAATTCGTAATGAGGTTCacatgatcaaagggaagaaggtag |
| oPEB598 | gcgctttcaactatatggcgcgatacctatattcctttcaggcatttatt |
| oPEB599 | taaatgcctgaaaggaatataggtatcgcgccatatagttgaaagc |
| oPEB600 | GCATAACCAAGCCTATGCCTACAGCagttaattcagcaagtgtttccac |
| oPEB601 | cgtgcttatgaatatatgggattg |
| oPEB602 | aacaagctcttcacgcaatttag |


| oPEB603 | cattcgctgatattttccatca |
| :---: | :---: |
| oPEB604 | aaacTCACAAAATATGTATCACCTGAAAGAGCACg |
| oPEB605 | aaaacGTGCTCTTTCAGGTGATACATATTTTGTGA |
| oPEB606 | GCATGCTGAATTCGTAATGAGGTTCtaagtgaaccacatgcataaacct |
| oPEB607 | TTTCAGTTTATTTTTCATACTCATgatcttttatgcctccttcattcc |
| oPEB608 | ggaatgaaggaggcataaaagatcATGAGTATGAAAAATAAACTGAAAAACTTT |
| oPEB609 | GCATAACCAAGCCTATGCCTACAGCccacctccatttttacatttcagt |
| oPEB610 | aggtaaaggatgtcgtcaatgtct |
| oPEB611 | cgtaatcttttctgacttgggcta |
| oPEB612 | cctcagatatttacgctgttattgg |
| oPEB613 | a a cAATATATTGAGACAGAGCGGGAATCTCATGg |
| oPEB614 | aaaacCATGAGATTCCCGCTCTGTCTCAATATATT |
| oPEB615 | CGAAAAAACTTGATAAAGGATCATTGCTGTACACCCCCTGTTTCAT |
| oPEB616 | AAATGAAACAGGGGGTGTACAGCAATGATCCTTTATCAAGTTTTTTCGGT |
| oPEB617 | GAAGTCATTCCATTCATACAGGAG |
| oPEB618 | aaacTTTGCCCTGATCATATATATTTTTATGTCAg |
| oPEB619 | aaaacTGACATAAAAATATATATGATCAGGGCAAA |
| oPEB620 | ccaagcccctatcaaactgtcacactcgctTTACCACCTCTGATGTTC |
| oPEB621 | GAACATCAGAGGTGGTAAagcgagtgtgacagtttgataggggcttg |
| oPEB622 | TCCTCTAAAGTGGTGTTGAGTGAG |
| oPEB623 | tctaaaaagtcggtcagcttcatc |
| oPEB624 | GCATAACCAAGCCTATGCCTACAGCccaaaacctctgatagacagca |
| oPEB638 | aaacTTGGGAGATCTTCCCCGCCGGAGGAGCTACg |
| oPEB639 | aaaacGTAGCTCCTCCGGCGGGGAAGATCTCCCAA |
| oPEB640 | GCATGCTGAATTCGTAATGAGGTTCcaagccagtccatcaattatgtc |
| oPEB641 | aaggctaatcgtttctagtctatccagcgaatg |
| oPEB642 | aaaggcattcgctggatagactagaaacgattagccttaattctaaaatattttaagaaa tgatgggtcaggaatacgaatccatttgct |
| oPEB643 | GCATAACCAAGCCTATGCCTACAGCaaaagttcaagtagaccogtttca |
| oPEB644 | gatatgtttcgtgacgctgtaaaa |
| oPEB645 | tactcaaataaacctcggttgtcc |
| oPEB646 | agacccaacagaaatcaagaaaac |
| oPEB647 | aaacCTCATCACAATTCAGTTCTCGATTCCATTAg |
| oPEB648 | a aaacTAATGGAATCGAGAACTGAATTGTGATGAG |
| oPEB649 | GCATGCTGAATTCGTAATGAGGTTCctcgcttaaaaagaaattcggatac |
| oPEB650 | ttcagacgccggcttttgtctttcataatcaccttttacatttttatatttagtag |
| oPEB651 | tataaaatgtaaaaggtgattatgaaagacaaaagccggcgtctgaa |
| oPEB652 | GCATAACCAAGCCTATGCCTACAGCcgtagagcaaggtgacaaagtaaa |
| oPEB653 | cttccattattatcggcatcatc |
| oPEB654 | tcgactgtgtatcaatctctttcc |
| oPEB655 | aaatagaaattgtggtcagcaagg |
| oPEB656 | aaacTCGGTAAAAGACTTGACCGGCGGATATACAg |
| oPEB657 | aaaacTGTATATCCGCCGGTCAAGTCTTTTACCGA |


| oPEB658 | GCATGCTGAATTCGTAATGAGGTTCgttttgcgatagaggaagagatgt |
| :---: | :---: |
| oPEB659 | CAAATATCAAGCATATTATTCATaagtttctccccttatagtgtttttc |
| oPEB660 | aaaaacactataaggggagaaacttATGAATAATATGCTTGATATTTGGCAG |
| oPEB661 | GCATAACCAAGCCTATGCCTACAGCCTGACAAGAATGCCCAAATAATC |
| oPEB662 | attatgatctctccctgttttcca |
| oPEB663 | GTAATGAAAATGGCAAACACAGTC |
| oPEB664 | acttgtttaatgcctaacgattcc |
| oPEB665 | aaacATATGCTTGATATTTGGCAGTCGCGGCTGCg |
| oPEB666 | aaaacGCAGCCGCGACTGCCAAATATCAAGCATAT |
| oPEB667 | GCATGCTGAATTCGTAATGAGGTTCggccttgtttttggtactatgaag |
| oPEB668 | gtcatttgattacctcttttcagtaTTATTCATGGCCAGCGTCTTCCTT |
| oPEB669 | AGGAAGACGCTGGCCATGAATAAtactgaaaagaggtaatcaaatgacag |
| oPEB670 | GCATAACCAAGCCTATGCCTACAGCgaacagccagagcgagtctatt |
| oPEB671 | atgtccagaaccotgtctctttat |
| oPEB672 | ggcgaggattttgcttattactta |
| oPEB673 | TGAAGCAGAAGGTTATGATTATGTG |
| oPEB677 | TGGTGGTGGTGGTGGTGCTCGAttaTCAGGTGCTTTTCGCTTTCAGCT |
| oPEB709 | TATTTCTTTTCTTATCTTGCTGATTTTGGCCAAagcgttaacctcct |
| oPEB710 | caggaggttaacgctTTGGCCAAAATCAGCAAGATAAGAAAAGAAATAGAGAA |
| oPEB738 | aaacACCCAAAAGCTGTCTGAAACGGTAAGGCCAg |
| oPEB739 | aaaacTGGCCTTACCGTTTCAGACAGCTTTTGGGT |
| oPEB740 | GCATGCTGAATTCGTAATGAGGTTCttagtggaacagcaggattctatg |
| oPEB741 | CTTCCTCAATAAATCCTTTGTAATTTCACGCTTCATcccaatcatcct |
| oPEB742 | gaggatgattgggATGAAGCGTGAAATTACAAAGGATTTATTGAGGAAGGAGG |
| oPEB743 | GCATAACCAAGCCTATGCCTACAGCtactcgaccgtaattctccatacc |
| oPEB744 | cttagaaatgccgattacgagttt |
| oPEB745 | tctaaaatcgtactctccaaagca |
| oPEB746 | tctatatcagcgtgaaggatgaag |
| oPEB747 | aaacGTATGAAGGCTTGAATAAGGAAGCAACAGAg |
| oPEB748 | aaaacTCTGTTGCTTCCTTATTCAAGCCTTCATAC |
| oPEB749 | GCATGCTGAATTCGTAATGAGGTTCaatcagcaaactaccggaacatta |
| oPEB750 | tcatgtttgtctcactccattatttTCACTTATTCCACTCCACtgttagc |
| oPEB751 | ggggctaacaGTGGAGTGGAATAAGTGAaaataatggagtgagacaaacatga |
| oPEB752 | GCATAACCAAGCCTATGCCTACAGCggatcggtgtcgtataagtcaaat |
| oPEB753 | agtcgtttgaatacagttcgtttg |
| oPEB754 | taatccaccatattccatcctttc |
| oPEB755 | ccgattaaaacaagtgaaacagag |
| oPEB756 | a a cGGACGCCGGTTTGAGCGGAAAAGCCATGGAg |
| oPEB757 | aaaacTCCATGGCTTTTCCGCTCAAACCGGCGTCC |
| oPEB758 | GCATGCTGAATTCGTAATGAGGTTCtcgttgccttcctcattttagata |
| oPEB759 | aatagtcatgatttacatagaaaaagtttgtctcactccattattttcattg |
| oPEB760 | atgaaaataatggagtgagacaaactttttctatgtaaatcatgactattctagg |
| oPEB761 | GCATAACCAAGCCTATGCCTACAGCcaatgaccttcccatccatattta |


| oPEB762 | ccgattacaaaggatttattgagg |
| :---: | :---: |
| oPEB763 | cctttccgtatctctctaaatcctc |
| oPEB764 | aattacggtcgagtacgagaaaaa |
| oPEB771 | CCCGATCGGCGGCATTGATCAAGCTGTCGTCGCCGCAGACAAAGCC |
| oPEB772 | CGGCTTTGTCTGCGGCGACGACAGCTTGATCAATGCCGCCGATCGG |
| oPEB773 | GGCTCACCGCGAACAGATTGGAGGTACAGAGCTTGCTTCATTGATAAAAG |
| oPEB780 | gaaagagctaggggaaatggtag |
| oPEB781 | gccatagctcttcttttgtgatct |
| oPEB782 | aaatcttgcagtcatcatcgaagt |
| oPEB783 | gattcagcatatttcccagtacaa |
| oPEB786 | gtattccatgtgatgaggttatcg |
| oPEB787 | cttcatctgtaataaagggggttg |
| oPEB788 | gtacgaggagattgaggttgagat |
| oPEB789 | tcgaatataggcctgcaatttaac |
| oPEB790 | taaaggacaacaacactggtgaaa |
| oPEB791 | ttccttctcaactagcccattatc |
| oPEB792 | aggacagcatattgttcttgatgt |
| oPEB793 | cgtccaccacttcttttactttatc |
| oPEB794 | aatggcttcatctatcatctctcc |
| oPEB795 | tctctgccaaatgaatgaatactg |
| oPEB796 | ggagcctgatttttcacatctact |
| oPEB797 | atttctccgaattcatcatcgt |
| oPEB798 | a a cTAGATGCGGCGAAAATGAGACAGGAGACGCg |
| oPEB799 | aaaacGCGTCTCCTGTCTCATTTTCGCCGCATCTA |
| oPEB800 | GCATGCTGAATTCGTAATGAGGTTCattgatttagaaaggctggaaagc |
| oPEB801 | taatgatcttaggaaatTCAGTCATgaacatcaccTTACTTTAATAGTTTTTG |
| oPEB802 | AAACTATTAAAGTAAggtgatgttcATGACTGAatttcctaagatcattatg |
| oPEB803 | GCATAACCAAGCCTATGCCTACAGCatattatgctcttccacttcatcg |
| oPEB804 | aggtcaacgggtatatcggtgtat |
| oPEB805 | ccgccattttctcttactttgtt |
| oPEB806 | AGAAAGGCTTGAAAAGCAAACA |
| oPEB807 | aaacTACATATTATCCAATACTTAAAGCATTTGAg |
| oPEB808 | aaaacTCAAATGCTTTAAGTATTGGATAATATGTA |
| oPEB809 | GCATGCTGAATTCGTAATGAGGTTCaactgcactcatccagctataaac |
| oPEB810 | ttggaaataatgagaaacttcaatattttctgtcgctctaaacaaaaaagccgcagccac ctgcggtcttgatctactccccgttttggt |
| oPEB811 | gagcgacagaaaatattgaagtttc |
| oPEB812 | GCATAACCAAGCCTATGCCTACAGCccttctccgtcgtaaatatcagag |
| oPEB813 | ttagcgctgatttcatctctcttt |
| oPEB814 | aagctcagacccgtaaaatcttct |
| oPEB815 | tataactggaaccatagggaaagg |
| oPEB817 | aatgggatcctaaggaggtatacatTTGAAACGGCAATTAAAACTGTTTTTTATTG |
| oPEB818 | ACCAATCCCCATATGGAAACCGttaTTACATTTCTTTTTTCAGTGTTTCAATTGC |


| oPEB819 | AATGACGGTACAGCAGCTGCAGCCGAAATTATGGCCGCTGCCCTTCATGAATCGTCAAAC |
| :---: | :---: |
| oPEB820 | CAGCGGCCATAATTTCGGCTGCAGCTGCTGTACCGTCATTTACTAAAACG |
| oPEB821 | GTTAATCGGCGAGACGACATTCGGCGCTGGTACAGTACAAACGGCAAAAGAATATG |
| oPEB822 | ATATTCTTTTGCCGTTTGTACTGTACCAGCGCCGAATGTCGTCTCGCCGATTAA |
| oPEB831 | AGGCTCACCGCGAACAGATTGGAGGTAGCACAGGAGACAGCAAGTTCGAC |
| oPEB832 | GTGGTGGTGGTGGTGGTGCTCGAttaTTACATTTCTTTTTTCAGTGTTTCAATTGC |
| oPEB833 | GGTAAATGGAAAAAGCGTAAAAGG |
| oPEB835 | ACCCATGGTATATCTCCTTCTTAAA |
| oPEB836 | AAGAAGGAGATATACCATGGGTgaagaaggtaaactggtaatctggattaacggcgataa aggctataacggtctcgctgaagtcggtaagaaattcgagaaagataccggaattaaagt caccgttgagcatccggataaactggaagagaaattcccacaggttgcggcaactggcga tggccetgacattatcttctgggcacacgaccgctttggtggctacgctcaatctggcct gttggctgaaatcaccccggacaaagcgttccaggacaagctgtatccgtttacctggga tgccgtacgttacaacggcaagctgattgcttacccgatcgctgttgaagcgttatcgct gatttataacaaagatctgctgccgaacccgccaaaaacctgggaagagatcccggcgct ggataaagaactgaaagcgaaaggtaagagcgcgctgatgttcaacctgcaagaaccgta cttcacctggccgctgattgctgctgacgggggttatgcgttcaagtatgaaaacggcaa gtacgacattaaagacgtgggcgtggataacgctggcgcgaaagcgggtctgaccttcct ggttgacctgattaaaaacaaacacatgaatgcagacaccgattactccatcgcagaagc tgcctttaataaaggcgaaacagcgatgaccatcaacggcccgtgggcatggtccaacat cgacaccagcaaagtgaattatggtgtaacggtactgccgaccttcaagggtcaaccatc caaaccgttcgttggcgtgctgagcgcaggtattaacgccgccagtccgaacaaagagct ggcaaaagagttcctcgaaaactatctgctgactgatgaaggtctggaagcggttaataa agacaaaccgctgggtgccgtagcgctgaagtcttacgaggaagagttggcgaaagatcc acgtattgccgccactatggaaaacgcccagaaaggtgaaatcatgccgaacatcccgca gatgtccgctttctggtatgccgtgcgtactgcggtgatcaacgccgccagcggtcgtca gactgtcgatgaagccctgaaagacgcgcagactaattcgagctcgGGTGGTGGTGGTTC TGGTGGTGGTGGTTCTGGGTCCCTGCAGGACTCAGAAGTCAATCAAGAAGCTAAGCCAGA GGTCAAGCCAGAAGTCAAGCCTGAGACTCACATCAATTTAAAGGTGTCCGATGGATCTTC AGAGATCTTCTTCAAGATCAAAAAGACCACTCCTTTAAGAAGGCTGATGGAAGCGTTCGC TAAAAGACAGGGTAAGGAAATGGACTCCTTAAGATTCTTGTACGACGGTATTAGAATTCA AGCTGATCAGGCCCCTGAAGATTTGGACATGGAGGATAACGATATTATTGAGGCTCACCG CGAACAGATTGGAGGT |
| oPEB837 | aagtatgaaaacggcaagtacga |
| oPEB838 | TTTAAGAAGGAGATATACCATGGGTgaagaaggtaaactggtaatctgga |
| oPEB839 | cgagctcgaattagtctgcgcgtc |
| oPEB840 | gaaagacgcgcagactaattcgagctcgGGTGGTGGTGGTTCTGGTGGTGGTGGTTCTat gcggggttctcatcatcatc |
| oPEB841 | TGGTGGTGGTGGTGGTGCTCGAttattattttaaagcgtcggttaaaatcaaatg |
| oPEB842 | AGGCTCACCGCGAACAGATTGGAGGTAGCGGCCAAGAGCTTAATCAGTAT |
| oPEB843 | TGGTGGTGGTGGTGGTGCTCGAttaCTATCTTACAGTTGCTAATTCATATG |
| oPEB856 | CTTAGTCGACTAAGGAGGTATACATATGAGTAAAGAATCTATTATTTTTGTCGGT |
| oPEB857 | GAATTAGCTTGCATGCGGCTAGCttaCTATCTTACAGTTGCTAATTCATATG |
| oPEB866 | TTTATGCAGCAATGGCAAGAAC |
| oPEB867 | GCCGACTCAAACATCAAATCTTAC |
| oPEB912 | a a cAAGCGTGTCTCAAAATGAACTTGCCGAGCCg |
| oPEB913 | aaaacGGCTCGGCAAGTTCATTTTGAGACACGCTT |


| oPEB914 | GCATGCTGAATTCGTAATGAGGTTCcaaattatacatgatcttcggcttc |
| :---: | :---: |
| oPEB915 | aatgaattcatattcggccccctccttacagccetctcctttcacgacgt |
| oPEB916 | gcacgtcgtgaaaggagagggctgtaaggagggggccgaatatgaattca |
| oPEB917 | GCATAACCAAGCCTATGCCTACAGCcaagtcatatgtcaagcatacagg |
| oPEB918 | atatacggactgcatcagaagaaa |
| oPEB919 | tttcttcttggacacaacgatct |
| oPEB920 | actggttggcatgcttattgtagt |
| oPEB921 | aaacTCTGCGTCTAATGGAATGAATGCGATCGTCg |
| oPEB922 | a a acGACGATCGCATTCATTCCATTAGACGCAGA |
| oPEB923 | GCATGCTGAATTCGTAATGAGGTTCagagaaataggggcaaagaatagg |
| oPEB924 | tgaaccgaagttcgtttatagggtgcggtcgtctcacctcgcatgtttga |
| oPEB925 | tgatcaaacatgcgaggtgagacgaccgcaccotataaacgaacttcggt |
| oPEB926 | GCATAACCAAGCCTATGCCTACAGCcgtggctttttgttttctttctac |
| oPEB927 | tccatcctttctatgcgcaatata |
| oPEB928 | acctgtctatttatgggctttcct |
| oPEB929 | tcactgtacaaaagcaacccagag |
| oPEB930 | aaacCATTCTCAAGCGCCGTCTTCTTTTTAGGACg |
| oPEB931 | aaaacGTCCTAAAAAGAAGACGGCGCTTGAGAATG |
| oPEB932 | GCATGCTGAATTCGTAATGAGGTTCgtgatgatgtcgtgatgtttatcc |
| oPEB933 | atgcgcccgctcaaggctctttttcatcatttacctgctctttatatcctg |
| oPEB934 | aggcaggatataaagagcaggtaaatgatgaaaaagagccttgagcgg |
| oPEB935 | GCATAACCAAGCCTATGCCTACAGCcatacttgttgtccttgattccac |
| oPEB936 | tactattcgatttcaagctctcca |
| oPEB937 | caccaaatagcttcctaaaagacc |
| oPEB938 | aaggcacacatgacaaaagaaatc |
| oPEB939 | aaacCGTTGAGGTCGTCCTTTTGCCCGTTCGCGAg |
| oPEB940 | a aacTCGCGAACGGGCAAAAGGACGACCTCAACG |
| oPEB941 | GCATGCTGAATTCGTAATGAGGTTCcaatacgggtacagtgcttttaat |
| oPEB942 | aaaacatgaaaaaggggaagattctttgtttttcctcctaaagtgtgaag |
| oPEB943 | cttcacactttaggaggaaaaacaaagaatcttcccctttttcatgttttg |
| oPEB944 | GCATAACCAAGCCTATGCCTACAGCcctggctgttatctgtaaaatcatc |
| oPEB945 | gtttcctaattggtcaatgtttgc |
| oPEB946 | ttccttctgtcgacactctttctac |
| oPEB947 | gtattatggttgttcgtgtcaagc |
| oPEB948 | aaacGTTCAGCTCCATGATACGTTTCCATTGGAGg |
| oPEB949 | aaaacCTCCAATGGAAACGTATCATGGAGCTGAAC |
| oPEB950 | GCATGCTGAATTCGTAATGAGGTTCgccgttcgttacttaatctcattc |
| oPEB951 | ccaaatggtttgtgcaaacgcctattgaacgtctcctttttatgacctat |
| oPEB952 | gtataggtcataaaaaggagacgttcaataggcgtttgcacaaaccatttg |
| oPEB953 | GCATAACCAAGCCTATGCCTACAGCtttaatcatggtgtccagttcatc |
| oPEB954 | caagagttttcacataagcctgaa |
| oPEB955 | cacttcatctgcaataaaaagcac |
| oPEB956 | gattacgtggaaacgatctgaa |


| oPEB957 | aaacCAGAAGTCAATCAAGCTATCGATGCACATCg |
| :---: | :---: |
| oPEB958 | aaaacGATGTGCATCGATAGCTTGATTGACTTCTG |
| oPEB959 | GCATGCTGAATTCGTAATGAGGTTCactccctctggaatgtactcctc |
| oPEB960 | aggttttttgttgtcggtctatgatttatcaatctcctcctttatgaatttgca |
| oPEB961 | tgcaaattcataaaggaggagattgataaatcatagaccgacaacaaaaaacct |
| oPEB962 | GCATAACCAAGCCTATGCCTACAGCtgttgaagggtatattgctgaaga |
| oPEB963 | caactttccgcagcagtactatc |
| oPEB964 | gagctgaaaggtatcgaaaaagaa |
| oPEB965 | gaagaaattgtgagacggctttat |
| oPEB966 | a a cGCATCACAATTGCTCAGGCCATTCTCGAATg |
| oPEB967 | aaaacATTCGAGAATGGCCTGAGCAATTGTGATGC |
| oPEB968 | GCATGCTGAATTCGTAATGAGGTTCgtttgctcattggtctattgattg |
| oPEB969 | gaattaaaaataccagtaaaagggcgaaaaccttccttcgaattaaaagat |
| oPEB970 | atcttttaattcgaaggaaggttttcgccctttttactggtatttttaattc |
| oPEB971 | GCATAACCAAGCCTATGCCTACAGCctagattttggaagcatgagagaa |
| oPEB972 | atttctcaggagcatctcattctt |
| oPEB973 | cgctatgcttacggtctttatttt |
| oPEB974 | cgaaggcaactgtcaaatcata |
| oPEB975 | aaacATGAATACATTGAGATTATGGATTATGCGAg |
| oPEB976 | aaaacTCGCATAATCCATAATCTCAATGTATTCAT |
| oPEB977 | GCATGCTGAATTCGTAATGAGGTTCctcatcactacaacaaggggaac |
| oPEB978 | ccccgggggagggcagtctttttcgtatctgcgtcctccttctgggat |
| oPEB979 | aattcatcccagaaggaggacgcagatacgaaaaagactgccetcccccg |
| oPEB980 | GCATAACCAAGCCTATGCCTACAGCgcagggtttttattatccttcctt |
| oPEB981 | gatcatagagcaagttgtcacagg |
| oPEB982 | ccattcagcatctgtttcatttac |
| oPEB983 | ggatcaatttcccgagacagta |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { oPEB101 } \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | TTGGCCTTGTCCTTGACCTTCACCGGGATCCTCTAGAGTCGACCCTG |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { oPEB101 } \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | TAActaagaattcggccgtcgttt |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { oPEB101 } \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | TTGGCCTTGTCCTTGACCTTCACCCTCTAGAGTCGACCTGCAGTGG |
| oPEB101 8 | TAActaagtaatatggtgcactctcagt |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { oPEB102 } \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | ATTATGCCGCATCTGTCCAACT |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { oPEB102 } \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | gcaaggcgattaagttgggtaa |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { oPEB102 } \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | TTCTCGCCGGATGTACTGGAAAC |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { oPEB102 } \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | tggcttaactatgcggcatcaga |
| oPEB103 4 | CTAGAGGGTGAAGGTCAAGGACAAGGCCAAATGAGTAAAGAATCTATTATTTTTGTCGGT |

```
0PEB103 gtactgagagtgcaccatattacttagTTACTATCTTACAGTTGCTAATTCATATGCA
5
oPEB103 TAGAGGGTGAAGGTCAAGGACAAGGCCAAATGAGTAGCGGCCAAGAGCTTAATCAGT
6
oPEB103 GGATCCCGGTGAAGGTCAAGGACAAGGCCAATTGCTACGTAAAAAACATTTTAGCTGGA
9
0PEB104 acgttgtaaaacgacggccgaattcttagTTATCAGGTGCTTTTCGCTTTCAGCTT
0
oPEB104 ATCCCGGTGAAGGTCAAGGACAAGGCCAATTGAAACGGCAATTAAAACTGTTTTTTATTG
1
oPEB104 gttgtaaaacgacggccgaattcttagTTATTACATTTCTTTTTTCAGTGTTTCAATTGC
2
```

Table 2.8 Oligonucleotides used in this study.

## Supplemental text

## Supplemental Results

## $y l b K$ disruption results in a polar effect on $y l b L$

We noticed that $y l b K$, the gene upstream of $y l b L$, had a phenotype similar to $y l b L$ in the Tn -seq experiments (Tables 2.2, 2.3, \& 2.4). Therefore, we tested whether $y l b K L$ functioned together in the DNA damage response. Deletion of $y l b K$ resulted in sensitivity to MMC (Fig 2.14A). Ectopic expression of $y l b K$ failed to complement the $\Delta y l b K$ phenotype (Fig 2.14A). Given that $y l b K$ is upstream of $y l b L$ we attempted to complement the $\Delta y l b K$ phenotype using $y l b L$ and found that sensitivity to MMC was rescued (Fig 2.14A). Closer examination of the $y l b K L$ locus revealed that a putative ribosome binding site (RBS) for $y l b L$ translation was present within the $3^{\prime}$ end of $y l b K$ (Fig 2.14B). Thus, a second deletion of $y l b K$ was made ( $\Delta y l b K-2$ ) which included deletion of the codons for all but the first 3 and the last 14 amino acids, leaving the RBS for $y l b L$ intact ( $\mathbf{F i g} \mathbf{2 . 1 4 B}$ ). This deletion was not sensitive to MMC (Fig 2.14A). Western blotting revealed that the initial $\Delta y l b K$ strain did not express YlbL, whereas $\Delta y l b K-2$ did (Fig 2.14C). We conclude that disruption of $y l b K$ results in a polar effect on $y l b L$, indicating that YlbL functions independently of YlbK.

In order to better understand the prevalence of false positives in Tn -seq experiments we attempted to validate the MMC phenotypes of the forty genes with the lowest relative fitness
values in the second growth period of the experiment. Intriguingly, we found that seven additional genes, queA, $y \operatorname{lm} G, \lg t, y \operatorname{lm} E, s d a A B, c y m R$, and $y w r C$, resulted in no sensitivity to MMC when deleted (Table 2.3). We also found that the genomic loci of queA, $\operatorname{cym} R, y \operatorname{lm} G$, $y \operatorname{lm} E$, and $s d a A B$, were proximal to genes with validated phenotypes (Table 2.3). The other two genes, $y w r C$ and $\lg t$ have less obvious explanations. For $l g t$ it is possible that the polar effect is on the upstream gene $h p r K$, which codes for the kinase HprK that phosphorylates Crh (71). Deletion of $c r h$ resulted in sensitivity to MMC (Table 2.3), but we did not detect $h p r K$ in our Tnseq experiments. Finally, $y w r C$ does not have a clear explanation. We could not identify validated mutant phenotypes or essential genes proximal to $y w r C$. It is possible that the transposon resulted in increased expression of neighboring genes that resulted in sensitivity to MMC, and that increased expression is not duplicated in the deletion mutant, though other explanations exist. Taken together, our results underscore the importance of validating results from forward genetic screens.

## Cell wall metabolism genes are sensitive to DNA damage

Our forward genetic screens identified several cell wall metabolism genes as being sensitive to DNA damaging agents, including walH, yycI, walJ, ponA, and brcC (Tables 2.2 \& 2.3). We validated that deletion mutants were indeed sensitive to MMC (Table 2.3). These genes have not previously been implicated in the DNA damage response, though it is possible that they function in regulating cell division. Specifically, the genes walH and yycI are negative regulators of the essential two-component system WalRK (72-75). A recent publication provided evidence that the WalRK system interacts with components of the divisome (76). Further, a study of walJ found that WalJ likely coordinates cell division with DNA replication (77). As a result, it is tempting to speculate that WalRK and the associated WalHIJ represent one of the connections between DNA replication, the DNA damage response, cell wall metabolism, and cell division.

## Supplemental Materials and Methods

## Transposon insertion mutant library construction

## Extraction of PY79 chromosomal DNA

Cell pellets ( $10 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{OD}_{600}=1$ equivalent) from stationary phase cultures were resuspended in lysis buffer ( 50 mM Tris, $\mathrm{pH} 8.0,10 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, pH 8.0 , $1 \%$ (v/v) Triton X-100, $0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ RNase A, $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ lysozyme) and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes. Proteins were digested by addition of $40 \mu \mathrm{~L} 10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ proteinase K (dissolved in TE buffer plus $10 \%$ glycerol) and $30 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $10 \%$ SDS and incubated at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes. $600 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of PB buffer ( 5 M guanidine -HCl , and $30 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ isopropanol) were added, mixed well by pipetting and added directly to a silica spin column (Epoch life sciences) and centrifuged at $12,000 \mathrm{~g}$ for 1 minute at room temperature. The column was washed with $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ PB buffer, then $750 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ PE buffer ( 10 mM Tris, pH 7.5 , and $80 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ ethanol), centrifuging as above to remove buffer. The column was dried by centrifugation as above. Chromosomal DNA was eluted by adding $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ultrapure water and centrifugation as above.

## Purification of Himar1-C9 transposase

Himar1-C9 was purified as described previously (78). E. coli TB1 cells with plasmid pMalC9 (Strain PEB234) were struck out on LB $+100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ampicillin and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. An overnight starter culture was grown in $\mathrm{LB}+100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ampicillin at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The starter culture was diluted 1:100 and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until $\mathrm{OD}_{600}=0.5$ and Himar1-C9 was induced by addition of IPTG to a final concentration of 0.3 mM . The culture was incubated for 2 hours at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cells were collected via centrifugation: $5,000 \mathrm{~g}$ for 20 minutes at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cell pellets were re-suspended in 20 mL ice-cold column buffer (CB; 20 mM Tris, $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,200 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1$ mM EDTA, and 1x Roche protease inhibitors). Cells were lysed via French press, and the lysate was clarified via centrifugation: $18,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ (Sorvall SS-34 rotor) for 30 minutes at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The lysate was loaded onto 1 mL amylose resin (NEB) pre-equilibrated with CB , and placed on a rotator at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour. Resin was collected via centrifugation: $3,000 \mathrm{~g}$ for 10 minutes at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The supernatant was removed and the resin was washed with 4 volumes wash buffer ( 20 mM Tris, $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,200 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, 2 mM DTT, and $10 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ glycerol) five times by resuspending the resin, then collecting via centrifugation and aspirating the wash buffer. The column was eluted by adding 0.8 volume of elution buffer ( 20 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.5,200 \mathrm{mM}$
$\mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, 2 mM DTT, $10 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ glycerol, and 10 mM maltose) and incubating on ice for 5 minutes and agitating frequently. The protein was analyzed via SDS-PAGE and concentration was determined using a Bradford assay. The protein was aliquoted, frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Transposition reaction

A transposon insertion library was constructed in vitro as described with minor modifications (61). A $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ transposition was prepared: $3 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ chromosomal DNA, $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ PCR product mariner transposon (The mariner transposon was PCR amplified from pCJ41 using primers oPEB368/369 and Q5 DNA polymerase (NEB)), $10 \mu \mathrm{~L} 5 \mathrm{x}$ Buffer A ( 102.5 mM HEPES pH 7.9, $47.5 \%$ glycerol, $467.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 47.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,1.19 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ BSA, 9.5 mM DTT), 100 nM Himar1-C9. Reactions were incubated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 hours. DNA was precipitated via addition of 0.1 volume sodium acetate, pH 5.2 and 2.5 volume $100 \%$ ice-cold ethanol. DNA was collected via centrifugation: $16,000 \mathrm{~g}$ for 20 minutes at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. DNA pellet was washed with 5 volumes (relative to reaction volume) ice-cold $70 \%$ ethanol and pelleted again via centrifugation: $16,000 \mathrm{~g}$ for 10 minutes at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The ethanol wash was aspirated and the DNA pellet was dried at room temperature. The transposon junctions were repaired by re-suspending the DNA pellet in the following $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ reaction: $1 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{T}_{4}$ DNA ligase buffer (Lucigen), $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ BSA (NEB), 0.5 mM dNTPs, 1 mM ATP, $50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 6$ units $\mathrm{T}_{4}$ DNA polymerase exo- (Lucigen), and 480 units $\mathrm{T}_{4}$ DNA ligase (Lucigen), and incubating at room temperature for 2 hours. The reactions were mixed by pipetting every 30 minutes over the 2 hour incubation. The reactions were moved to $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 hours, and then stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until used to transform PY79.

## Transformation of PY79

PY79 was struck out on LB agar and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. A single colony was used to inoculate a 2 mL LM culture ( $\mathrm{LB}+3 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgSO} 4$ ) in a 14 mL round bottom culture tube. The culture was incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on a rolling rack until $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ of about 1.5 . Then, $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the LM culture was transferred to 1.2 mL pre-warmed MD media (1x PC buffer ( $107 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{HPO} 4,60 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, 11.8 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ trisodium citrate dihydrate), $2 \%$ glucose, $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ phenylalanine, $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ tryptophan, $11 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ferric ammonium citrate, $2.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ potassium aspartate, 3 mM MgSO 4 ) and incubated on a rolling rack at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 hours. To each 1.2 mL
competent cell culture, $15 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the transposase reaction were added, and the cultures were incubated on a rolling rack at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for an additional 1.5 hours. Transformations were plated on LB agar $+100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ spectinomycin ( $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ per 100 cm plate, and 124 plates in total), and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The Library consisted of approximately 900,000 transformants, which were pooled in $1 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{S7} 7_{50}$ salts $+15 \%$ glycerol with a resulting $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ of approximately 37.0 . The library was distributed into 1 mL aliquots, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Tn-seq experimental details

Tn -seq experiments were designed with multiple growth periods similar to a prior description (28). The experiment was performed using triplicate samples for each condition, which originated from three aliquots of the transposon insertion library. The experiment was initiated by thawing three aliquots of the transposon insertion library in a beaker of water at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Each aliquot of the thawed library was used to inoculate 50 mL starter cultures in 500 mL beakers. For the MMC experiment $270 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ were used to inoculate, and $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ were used for the MMS and phleomycin experiment. The three starter cultures were incubated with shaking (200 rpm ) at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ of about 0.8 . Starter cultures were used to inoculate paired 25 mL cultures in 250 mL flasks at an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}=0.05$ for control or treatment. For the MMC experiment, MMC was added to a final concentration of $15 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ and an equal volume of the vehicle in which MMC was dissolved ( $25 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ DMSO) was added to the control flasks. For MMS, a final concentration of $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ was used, and a final concentration of $25 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ was used for phleomycin. An equal volume of water was used for the vehicle control in the MMS and phleomycin experiment. The paired cultures were incubated with shaking ( 200 rpm ) at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ of about 1.5 (growth period 1). Then the cultures were back diluted into fresh control or treatment media at an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}=0.05$, and incubated with shaking ( 200 rpm ) at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ of about 1.5 (growth period 2). The cultures were back diluted as above one more time and grown as above (growth period 3). At all steps of the experiment three samples of $\mathrm{OD}_{600}=$ 10 were saved as cell pellets, and each sample was serially diluted and plated for viable cells in triplicate to estimate the number of cells at the start and end of the growth periods (Table 2.1), which were used in the fitness calculations.

## Tn-seq sequencing library construction

Sequencing libraries were prepared similar to previous reports ( 35,61 ), with some modifications in adaptor sequences to increase compatibility with standard Hi-seq reagents. Genomic DNA was extracted from each sample as described in "Extraction of PY79 genomic DNA." A $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ restriction digest using MmeI was assembled for each sample as follows: $6 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ gDNA, $1 x$ Cutsmart buffer (NEB), $64 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{SAM} \mathrm{(NEB)}$,12 units MmeI (NEB). Reactions were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 hours. CIP ( 20 units) was added and the reactions were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour. MmeI was heat inactivated by incubating at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes, and the digested genomic DNA was extracted by addition of $600 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of PB buffer and binding to a silica spin-column. The column was washed with $500 \mu \mathrm{LPB}$, then $750 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ PE buffer, and then eluted with $65 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ultra-pure water. Adaptors (oPEB312/313) were annealed in 1x annealing buffer (10 mM Tris, $\mathrm{pH} 8.0,100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ EDTA) at a concentration of 25 mM each, by boiling at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes, followed by transferring to a beaker of $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ water which was allowed to cool slowly to room temperature. The adaptors were ligated to the digested genomic DNA in two $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ reactions, each containing $30 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the eluate from above, $1 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{T}_{4}$ DNA ligase buffer (NEB), $2.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ annealed adaptors, and 800 units $\mathrm{T}_{4}$ DNA ligase (NEB). Reactions were left at room temperature for 30 minutes, and then transferred to $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 hours. The resulting reactions were pooled for each sample, and the DNA was extracted via spin-column as above, but the PB wash was excluded, and the sample was eluted with $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ultra-pure water. Transposon/genomic DNA junctions were PCR amplified in two $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ reactions each containing $4 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of eluate from the previous step, 1x Q5 DNA polymerase buffer (NEB), $200 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{dNTPs}$, 400 nM forward primer (oPEB314), 400 nM reverse primer with multiplexing barcode (one of oPEB315-326), and 1 unit of Q5 DNA polymerase (NEB). The reactions were cycled as follows: 1) $98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 minutes; 2) $98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 seconds; 3) $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 seconds; 4) $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 seconds; 5) repeat steps $2-4$ for 18 cycles; 6) $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 minutes; 7) hold at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reactions were separated on a $2.5 \%$ agarose gel and the reaction product at approximately 160 bp was excised. The DNA was extracted by dissolving the gel slice in $400 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ QG buffer ( 5.5 M guanidine thiocyanate and 20 mM Tris, pH 6.6 ) by heating at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and then $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of isopropanol were added and the mixture was added to a silica spin-column. The column was washed with PB and PE buffer as above, and eluted with $120 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ultra-pure water. The eluate was submitted for
sequencing using a Hi-seq 2500 instrument on high output mode with v3 (MMC experiment) or v4 reagents (MMS and phleomycin experiment).

## Tn-seq data analysis

The 50 bp sequencing reads were trimmed to 43 bp using Fastx Trimmer, because the sequencing reaction went outside of the inverted repeat, and those seven base pairs could not be aligned to our reference database. The trimmed reads were aligned to a reference database in which every TA site found in the PY79 genome was placed adjacent to the transposon sequence (reference database fasta file and sequencing data accession number GSE109366) using bwa (79). The reads were imported into the R statistical software package RStudio (RStudio 80) for further analysis. Each transposon insertion site was provided a coverage value where each read was equal to a coverage of one. Each transposon insertion site was indexed to its position in the genome and the gene or intergenic region in which it resides. Fitness was calculated for each insertion for the control and the treatment using the equation:

$$
W=\frac{\ln \frac{\left(N_{f} \cdot F_{f}\right)}{N_{0} \cdot F_{0}}}{\ln \frac{N_{f}\left(1-F_{f}\right)}{N_{0}\left(1-F_{0}\right)}}
$$

where $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{f}}$ are the number of bacteria at the start and end of the growth period, respectively, and $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{f}}$ are the transposon frequency in the population as measured by Illumina sequencing (insertion coverage divided by total reads in the sample), respectively (35) (also see Fig 2.1C). The ratio of treatment to control was calculated and defined as the relative fitness (see Fig 2.1C). For each gene (or intergenic region), the insertions containing less than 10 reads were removed and any gene without at least 12 insertions from the combined triplicate data were also removed, thus requiring that each gene have at least 4 insertions in each replicate to be included in the analysis. Each gene's average relative fitness was then calculated by determining the mean relative fitness of all insertion sites within each gene. We trimmed insertions in our fitness calculation that were in the upper or lower five percent of the data for that gene, reasoning that not all insertions will be a true representation of a null allele. A t-test was used to determine if the gene relative fitness differed significantly from one. P-values were adjusted for multiple comparisons using the method of Benjamini and Hochberg (37).

## Proteomics experimental details

Ms Bioworks processed samples as described below. Submitted samples were washed three times with PBS. The washed pellets were suspended in modified RIPA buffer ( $2 \%$ SDS, $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 50 \mathrm{mM}$ Tris HCl pH 8$)$ and lysed using mechanical disruption in a Next Advance Bullet Blender using 1.0 mm silica beads, setting 8 for 3 minutes. The lysate was centrifuged at $10,000 \mathrm{~g}$ for 10 minutes. Protein concentrations were determined by Qubit fluorometry. $20 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of each sample was processed by SDS-PAGE using a 10\% Bis-Tris NuPAGE gel (Invitrogen) with the MES buffer system; the gel was electrophoresed approximately 5 cm . The mobility region was excised into 20 equal sized segments for further processing by in-gel digestion. In-gel digestion was performed on each submitted sample using a robot (ProGest, DigiLab) with the following protocol: 1) Washed with 25 mM ammonium bicarbonate followed by acetonitrile. 2) Reduced with 10 mM dithiothreitol at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by alkylation with 50 mM iodoacetamide at room temperature. 3) Digested with trypsin (Promega) at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h .4 ) Quenched with formic acid and the supernatant was analyzed directly without further processing.

Each gel digest was analyzed by nano LC-MS/MS with a Waters NanoAcquity HPLC system interfaced to a ThermoFisher Q Exactive. Peptides were loaded on a trapping column and eluted over a $75 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ analytical column at $350 \mathrm{~nL} / \mathrm{min}$; both columns were packed with Luna C18 resin (Phenomenex). The mass spectrometer was operated in data-dependent mode, with the Orbitrap operating at 60,000 FWHM and 17,500 FWHM for MS and MS/MS, respectively. The fifteen most abundant ions were selected for MS/MS.

## Proteomics data analysis

Data were processed as described by MS Bioworks. Data were searched using a local copy of Mascot with the following parameters: Enzyme: Trypsin/P; Database: UniProt Bacillus subtilis (concatenated forward and reverse plus common potential contaminants); Fixed modification: Carbamidomethyl (C); Variable modifications: Oxidation (M), Acetyl (N-term), Pyro-Glu (N-term Q), Deamidation (N/Q); Mass values: Monoisotopic; Peptide Mass Tolerance: 10 ppm ; Fragment Mass Tolerance: 0.02 Da ; Max Missed Cleavages: 2. Mascot DAT files were parsed into Scaffold (Proteome Sciences) for validation, filtering and to create a non-redundant list per sample. Data were filtered using at $1 \%$ protein and peptide FDR and requiring at least
two unique peptides per protein. A student's $t$-test was performed to determine if wild-type and the double mutant levels were significantly different ( $\alpha=0.05$ ).

## Protein purification

## YlbL

YlbL for antibody production was purified as follows. 10xHis-Smt3-YlbL (a.a 36-341) was expressed from plasmid pPB157 in E. coli NiCo21 cells (NEBC2529H). One liter LB +50 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ kanamycin cultures were inoculated with an overnight culture (1:100) and grown at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with shaking ( 200 rpm ) until $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ of about 0.5 . Cultures were iced briefly and IPTG was added to 0.5 mM and incubated at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with shaking overnight. Cells were harvested via centrifugation: $4,000 \mathrm{~g}$ for 20 minutes at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cell pellets were re-suspended in lysis buffer ( 50 mM potassium phosphate $\mathrm{pH} 8.0,300 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 5 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ glycerol, and 20 mM imidazole). Cells were lysed via sonication and lysates were cleared via centrifugation: 18,000 rpm (Sorvall SS- 34 rotor) for 45 minutes at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The supernatant was removed and incubated with $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$-NTAagarose (Qiagen) for 1 hour at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The lysate/bead slurry was loaded onto a gravity flow column and the beads were allowed to settle for 5-10 minutes. The lysate was collected as the flow-through. The column was washed with 50 column volumes wash buffer ( 50 mM potassium phosphate $\mathrm{pH} 8.0,300 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 5 \%$ (v/v) glycerol, and 30 mM imidazole). YlbL was eluted from the column via digestion with $6 x$ His-Ulp1 in digestion buffer ( 50 mM potassium phosphate $\mathrm{pH} 8.0,150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 5 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ glycerol, 1 mM DTT, and 10 mM imidazole) on a rotator at room temperature for 2 hours, yielding untagged YlbL (a.a. 36-341). The digestion buffer was collected as the flow-through and concentrated to approximately 5 mL using a 10 kDa Amicon centrifugal filter. YlbL was then loaded onto a HiLoad superdex 200-PG 16/60 column preequilibrated with SEC buffer ( 50 mM potassium phosphate $\mathrm{pH} 8.0,150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}$, and $5 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) glycerol) and the column was washed using SEC buffer at a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. The peak fractions were pooled, glycerol was added to a final concentration of $20 \%$, and concentrated using a 10 kDa Amicon centrifugal filter. Aliquots were frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

YlbL and YlbL-S234A were purified for in vitro assays as follows. 10xHis-Smt3-YlbL (a.a 36-341) and 10His-Smt3-YlbL-S234A (a.a. 36-341) were expressed from plasmids pPB157
and pPB181, respectively, as described above for antibody production. Cell pellets from a one liter culture were re-suspended in 30 mL lysis buffer ( 50 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,250 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \%$ sucrose, and 20 mM imidazole) and lysed via sonication. Cell lysates were clarified via centrifugation: 18,000 rpm (Sorvall SS-34 rotor) for 30 minutes at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Clarified lysates were applied to $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$-NTA-agarose pre-equilibrated with lysis buffer. The column was washed with 20 column volumes wash buffer ( 25 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 8.0,100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 30 \mathrm{mM}$ imidazole, and $5 \%$ glycerol). The $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ column was eluted in four fractions of 1.2 column volumes using elution buffer ( 25 mM Tris pH 8.0, $100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \%$ glycerol, and 250 mM imidazole). Fractions 1-3 were pooled, DTT was added to 2.5 mM and 0.3 mg of Ulp1 was added. The elution was digested at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight yielding untagged YlbL (a.a. 36-341) or untagged YlbL-S234A (a.a 36-341). The digest was desalted using a Zeba spin column into equilibration buffer ( 25 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 8.0,50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 5 \%$ glycerol, and 10 mM imidazole). The desalted digest was applied to a second column of $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$-NTA-agarose and the flow-through was collected. The column was washed with one column volume of equilibration buffer. The flow-through and wash fractions were pooled and concentrated using a 10 kDa Amicon centrifugal filter. The concentrated protein was loaded onto a Sephacryl S-200 size exclusion column pre-equilibrated with SEC buffer ( 25 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,5 \%$ glycerol, and 25 mM NaCl ) and eluted over 1 column volume with SEC buffer at a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$. Peak fractions were pooled, glycerol was added to $20 \%$ and the final protein was concentrated using a 10 kDa Amicon centrifugal filter. The concentrated protein was aliquoted, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## YneA

10xHis-Smt3-YneA (a.a. 28-103) was expressed from pPB204 and harvested as described for YlbL above. Cell pellets were re-suspended in lysis buffer ( 50 mM Tris pH 7.5 , $250 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ sucrose, 20 mM imidazole). Cells were lysed via sonication and the lysate was clarified as described for YlbL above. The clarified lysate was incubated with $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ -NTA-agarose beads, pre-equilibrated with lysis buffer, and incubated on rotator at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour. The lysate/bead slurry was loaded into a gravity flow column and the beads were allowed to settle for 5-10 minutes. The lysate was collected as the flow-through. The column was washed with 30 column volumes wash buffer ( 25 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 5 \%$ (v/v) glycerol, and 20 mM imidazole). The column was eluted in 6 fractions of 1 column volume each of elution
buffer ( 25 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \%$ (v/v) glycerol, 250 mM imidazole). The fractions with the highest protein concentration were pooled, DTT was added to 1 mM and digested with MBP-Ulp1 overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting digest, yielding untagged YneA (a.a. 28-103), was loaded onto a sephacryl S200 26/60 column pre-equilibrated with SEC buffer ( 25 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 8.0,100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}$, and $5 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ glycerol) and washed at a flow rate of $2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. The peak fractions were pooled, and glycerol was added to $20 \%$. The protein was loaded onto a HiTrap Q column (GE life sciences), pre-equilibrated with 7.5\% Q-finish buffer ( 25 mM Tris pH 8.0, $5 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) glycerol, and 1 M NaCl ) and $92.5 \%$ Q-start buffer ( 25 mM Tris pH 8.0, and 5\% (v/v) glycerol). The column was washed with 5 column volumes $7.5 \%$ Q-finish buffer. The column was eluted with 30 column volumes over a linear gradient from 7.5\% to 50\% Q-finish buffer at a flow rate of $2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Peak fractions were pooled, glycerol was added to a final concentration of $20 \%$, and the protein was concentrated using a 3 kDa Amicon centrifugal filter. Aliquots were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## CtpA and CtpA-S297A

Expression conditions for CtpA fusions were similar to previous reports for CtpB (81). MBP-Smt3-CtpA and MBP-Smt3-CtpA-S297A were expressed in BL21 pLysY/LacIq (NEBC3013) cells from pPB203 and pPB214, respectively. A starter culture of LB $+50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ kanamycin was inoculated using several colonies from a fresh transformation and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with shaking ( 200 rpm ) until an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}=0.5$. One liter $\mathrm{LB}+50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ kanamycin cultures were inoculated by diluting the starter culture 1:50 and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ of about 0.7. Glucose was added to $0.2 \%$ and expression was induced by addition of IPTG to 1 mM and incubating at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 minutes. Cells were harvested via centrifugation: $4,000 \mathrm{~g}$ for 20 minutes at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cell pellets were re-suspended in 30 mL lysis buffer ( 50 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,250$ $\mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ sucrose) per one liter of culture and lysed via sonication. Lysates were clarified via centrifugation: $12,500 \mathrm{rpm}$ (Sorvall SS-34 rotor) for 45 minutes at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Clarified lysates were mixed with pre-equilibrated 2.5 mL amylose resin (NEB) on a rotator at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The lysate/resin mixture was loaded into a gravity flow column and the resin was allowed to settle for 5-10 minutes. The lysate was allowed to flow over the packed resin. The column was washed with 20 column volumes of wash buffer ( 25 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 8.0,100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ glycerol). The column was eluted with 4 fractions of 3 mL each in elution buffer ( 25 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 8.0,100$
$\mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ glycerol, and 50 mM maltose). Fractions 1-3 were pooled, DTT was added to 2 mM , and digested with MBP-Ulp1 overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting digest, yielding untagged CtpA (or CtpA-S297A), was diluted two-fold with Q-start buffer ( 25 mM Tris, $\mathrm{pH} 8.0,5 \%$ glycerol) to bring the NaCl concentration to 50 mM , and loaded onto a HiTrap Q-column preequilibrated with $5 \%$ Q-finish buffer ( 25 mM Tris, $\mathrm{pH} 8.0,1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}, 5 \%$ glycerol). The column was washed with 5 column volumes $5 \%$ Q-finish buffer. The column was eluted with 25 column volumes over a linear gradient from 5 to $50 \% \mathrm{Q}$ finish buffer. The peak fractions were pooled, concentrated using a 30 kDa Amicon centrifugal filter, and loaded onto a sephacryl 16/60 S-200 column pre-equilibrated with SEC buffer ( 25 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,25 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 5 \%$ glycerol). The column was washed with SEC buffer at a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Peak fractions were pooled, glycerol was added to $20 \%$, and concentrated using a 30 kDa Amicon centrifugal filter. Aliquots were frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## 6xHis-Ulp1

6xHis-Ulp1 was expressed from plasmid pPB13 in E. coli NiCo21 cells (NEBC2529H). One liter cultures of $\mathrm{LB}+50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ kanamycin were inoculated with an overnight culture at 1:100 and grown at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ of about 0.7 . Cultures were induced with 0.5 mM IPTG and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours. Cells were pelleted via centrifugation: $4,000 \mathrm{~g}$ for 20 minutes at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cells were re-suspended in lysis buffer ( 50 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,300 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 5 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ sucrose, and 20 mM imidazole) and lysed via sonication. Lysates were clarified via centrifugation: 18,000 rpm (Sorvall SS-34 rotor) for 45 minutes at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Clarified lysates were loaded onto $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$-NTA-agarose beads pre-equilibrated with lysis buffer and incubated at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour on a rotator. The lysate was collected as the flow through and the column was washed with 25 column volumes wash buffer ( 25 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,300 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}$, and 20 mM imidazole). The column was eluted in elution buffer ( 25 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,300 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}$, and 250 mM imidazole). The most concentrated fractions were pooled; aliquots were frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## MBP-Ulp1

MBP-Ulp1 was expressed from pPB200 in E. coli BL21 DE3 cells. One liter LB + 50 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ kanamycin cultures were inoculated with an overnight culture at $1: 100$. Cultures were
grown at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ of about 0.5 , glucose was added to $0.2 \%$, and then protein expression was induced by addition of 0.25 mM IPTG. Cultures were incubated at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. Cells were pelleted via centrifugation: $4,000 \mathrm{~g}$ for 20 minutes at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cell pellets were re-suspended in lysis buffer ( 50 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,250 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \%$ (w/v) sucrose, and 1 mM DTT), and lysed via sonication. Lysates were cleared via centrifugation and MBP-Ulp1 was purified on an amylose column as described for CtpA above. Peak fractions from the amylose column were loaded onto a sephacryl S200 26/60 column, pre-equilibrated with SEC buffer ( 25 mM Tris pH $7.5,200 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 2 \%$ glycerol). The column was washed with SEC buffer at a flow rate of 2 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Peak fractions were pooled, glycerol was added to $20 \%$, and DTT was added to 1 mM . MBP-Ulp1 was concentrated to about $1.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, aliquots were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Strain construction

## General strain construction methods

Generation of competent B. subtilis cultures for generating new genotypes was performed as described below in "Transposon insertion mutant library construction," or as previously reported (62).

Genome editing using CRISPR/Cas9 was performed as described previously ( 62,82 ). $B$. subtilis strains were transformed with the indicated plasmid (prepared from E. coli MC1061), plated on LB agar $+100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ spectinomycin and incubated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. Isolates were colony purified by restreaking on LB agar $+100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ spectinomycin and incubating at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The editing plasmid was evicted by restreaking isolates on LB agar and incubating at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8-12 hours or overnight. Loss of the editing plasmid was verified by restreaking LB agar $+100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ spectinomycin and on LB agar. Isolates that were unable to grow in the presence of spectinomycin were used for PCR genotyping.

Gene deletions using the $B$. subtilis knockout library were performed as described (83). Chromosomal DNA, extracted as described below (see extraction of PY79 chromosomal DNA), was used to transform PY79 or the indicated strain. Incorporation of the erm cassette at the appropriate locus was verified via PCR genotyping. Removal of the erm cassette was performed following transformation with pDR244, which contains cre recombinase. Eviction of pDR244
was performed as described for a CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing plasmid. Loss of the erm cassette was verified by sensitivity to erythromycin and PCR genotyping.

Integration of inducible constructs at the $a m y E$ locus was achieved via double crossover recombination. For constructs containing a xylose inducible promoter $\left(P_{x y}\right)$, strains were transformed with plasmids that had been digested with two unique restriction enzymes (KpnI-HF and ScaI-HF) or with genomic DNA of a strain already generated (see detailed strain construction) and transformants were selected using LB agar $+5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ chloramphenicol. Isolates were colony purified by restreaking on LB agar $+5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ chloramphenicol. Incorporation via double cross-over at $a m y E$ was determined by screening for an inability to utilize starch and by testing for the absence of a spectinomycin resistance cassette that is present on part of the plasmid that is not integrated. For constructs containing an IPTG inducible promoter ( $P_{\text {hyp }}$ ), strains were transformed with plasmids that had been digested with two unique restriction enzymes (SpeI and SacI-HF) and transformants were selected using LB agar +100 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ spectinomycin. Isolates were colony purified by restreaking on LB agar $+100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ spectinomycin. Incorporation via double cross-over at $a m y E$ was determined by screening for an inability to utilize starch.

## Individual strain construction

PEB85 ( $\Delta r e c N:: e r m$ ): PY79 was transformed with genomic DNA isolated from PEB75. Replacement of recN with the erm cassette was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB54/55.

PEB235 ( $\Delta r e c R$ ): PY79 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB46. Deletion of recR was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB243/246.

PEB278 ( $\Delta r n h C$ ): PEB278 is a clonal isolate of JWS224, which was generated by deletion of rnhC from PY79 using the pMiniMAD method as described previously (62).

PEB307 ( $\Delta u v r A$ ): PY79 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB82. Deletion of $u v r A$ was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB423/424.

PEB308 ( $\Delta u v r B$ ): PY79 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB83. Deletion of $u v r B$ was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB432/433.

PEB310 ( $\Delta u v r C$ ): PY79 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB85. Deletion of $u v r C$ was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB443/444.

PEB316 ( $\Delta y p r$ A): PY79 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB86. Deletion of yprA was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB452/453.

PEB318 ( $\Delta y p r B$ ): PY79 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB87. Deletion of $y p r B$ was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB461/462.

PEB322 ( $\Delta y l b K$ ): PY79 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB98. Deletion of $y l b K$ was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB472/473.

PEB324 ( $\Delta y l b L$ ): PY79 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB99. Deletion of $y l b L$ was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB481/482.

PEB334 ( $\Delta \operatorname{sod} A$ ): PY79 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB103. Deletion of $\operatorname{sod} A$ was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB510/511.

PEB346: PY79 was transformed with plasmid pPB111. This strain was only used to generate other strains using extracted genomic DNA.

PEB349: PEB324 was transformed with plasmid pPB108. This strain was only used to generate other strains using extracted genomic DNA.

PEB350: PY79 was transformed with plasmid pPB107. This strain was only used to generate other strains using extracted genomic DNA.

PEB353 ( $\Delta q u e A$ ): PY79 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB120. Deletion of queA was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB583/584.

PEB355 ( $\Delta \operatorname{ctp} A$ ): PY79 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB121. Deletion of $\operatorname{ctp} A$ was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB592/593.

PEB357 ( $\Delta y$ soA): PY79 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB122. Deletion of yso $A$ was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB601/602.

PEB373 ( $\Delta y l b K$, amyE::Pxyl-ylbK): PEB322 was transformed with genomic DNA from PEB350. Retention of the $\Delta y l b K$ allele was verified via PCR genotyping oPEB472/473.

PEB375 ( $\Delta y l b L$, amyE::Pxyl-ylbL): PEB324 was transformed with genomic DNA from PEB349.

PEB377 ( $\Delta y l b L$, amyE::Pxyl-ylbL-S243A): PEB324 was transformed with genomic DNA from PEB346. Retention of the $\Delta y l b L$ allele was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB481/482.

PEB382 ( $\Delta y / m E$ ): PY79 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB123 as a Gibson assembly reaction because the plasmid was not able to be isolated from E. coli. Deletion of $y l m E$ was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB610/611.

PEB384 ( $\Delta s e p F$ ): PY79 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB124 as a Gibson assembly reaction because the plasmid was not able to be isolated from E. coli.

Deletion of sep $F$ was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB612/623.
PEB386 ( $\Delta y \operatorname{lmG}$ ): PY79 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB125 as a Gibson assembly reaction because the plasmid was not able to be isolated from E. coli. Deletion of $y l m G$ was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB612/623.

PEB390 ( $\Delta y l b K$, amyE:: $P_{x y l}-y l b L$ ): PEB322 was transformed with genomic DNA from PEB349. Retention of the $\Delta y l b K$ allele was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB472/473. A wild-type $y l b L$ locus was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB481/482.

PEB392 ( $\Delta y l b K$, amyE $\left.: \because P_{x y} l-y l b L-S 243 A\right)$ : PEB322 was transformed with genomic DNA from PEB346. Retention of the $\Delta y l b K$ allele was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB472/473.

PEB418 ( $\Delta y$ tmP): PY79 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB140. Deletion of $y t m P$ was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB644/645.

PEB420 ( $\Delta b c r C$ ): PY79 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB141. Deletion of $b c r C$ was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB653/654.

PEB422 ( $\Delta e c s A$ ): PY79 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB142. Deletion of ecsA was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB662/663.

PEB424 ( $\Delta e c s B$ ): PY79 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB143. Deletion of ecsB was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB671/672.

PEB427 (4ylbK-2): PY79 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB149. Deletion of $y l b K-2$ (deletion of amino acids 4-246; leaving amino acids 1-3 and 247-260) was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB472/473.

PEB433 (DyneA::erm): PY79 was transformed using genomic DNA from PEB 432. Replacement of yneA with the erm cassette was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB492/493.

PEB436 ( $\Delta y l b L$, $\Delta y n e A:: e r m$ ): PEB433 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB99. Deletion of $y l b L$ was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB481/482.

PEB439 ( $\Delta y n e A:: l o x P$ ): PEB433 was transformed with pDR244, which was then evicted.

PEB441 ( $\Delta y l b L$, $\Delta y n e A:: l o x P$ ): PEB436 was transformed with pDR 244 , which was then evicted.

PEB461 ( $\Delta c r h:: e r m$ ): PY79 was transformed using genomic DNA from PEB 449. Replacement of $c r h$ with the erm cassette was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB780/781.

PEB463 ( $\Delta l g t:: e r m$ ): PY79 was transformed using genomic DNA from PEB 450. Replacement of lgt with the erm cassette was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB780/781.

PEB465 (4crh::erm): PY79 was transformed using genomic DNA from PEB 449. Replacement of $c r h$ with the erm cassette was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB782/783.

PEB467 ( $\Delta s d a A B:: e r m$ ): PY79 was transformed using genomic DNA from PEB 452. Replacement of $s d a A B$ with the erm cassette was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB788/789.

PEB469 ( $\Delta y d z U:: e r m$ ): PY79 was transformed using genomic DNA from PEB 453. Replacement of $y d z U$ with the erm cassette was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB790/791.

PEB471 ( $\mathrm{rradA}:: \mathrm{:erm}$ ): PY79 was transformed using genomic DNA from PEB 454. Replacement of radA with the erm cassette was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB792/793.

PEB473 ( $\Delta c y m R:: e r m$ ): PY79 was transformed using genomic DNA from PEB 455. Replacement of $\operatorname{cym} R$ with the erm cassette was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB794/795.

PEB475 ( $\Delta y w r C:: e r m$ ): PY79 was transformed using genomic DNA from PEB 456. Replacement of $y w r C$ with the erm cassette was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB796/797.

PEB478 ( $\mathrm{rrec} G:: e r m$ ): PY79 was transformed using genomic DNA from PEB 477. Replacement of $r e c G$ with the erm cassette was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB786/787.

PEB482 ( $\Delta$ walH): PY79 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB174. Deletion of walH was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB744/745.

PEB485 ( $\Delta y y c l$ ): PY79 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB175. Deletion of $y y c I$ was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB753/754.

PEB488 ( $\Delta$ walJ): PY79 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB176. Deletion of walJ was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB762/763.

PEB491 ( $\Delta r u v B$ ): PY79 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB179. Deletion of $r u v B$ was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB804/805.

PEB493 ( $\Delta$ ripX): PY79 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB180. Deletion of rip $X$ was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB813/814.

PEB533 ( $\Delta y l b L$, amyE: $: P_{x y}-y l b L-K 279 A$ ): PEB324 was transformed with plasmid pPB173.
PEB551 ( $\Delta$ ponA): PY79 was transformed using genomic DNA from PEB 535. Replacement of ponA with the erm cassette was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB574/575.

PEB555 ( $\Delta y l b L, ~ \Delta c t p A$ ): PEB324 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB121. Deletion of $\operatorname{ctp} A$ was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB592/593.

PEB557 ( $\left.\Delta y l b L, ~ \Delta c t p A, ~ a m y E:: P_{x y l}-y l b L\right)$ : PEB375 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB121. Deletion of $\operatorname{ctp} A$ was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB592/593.

PEB559 ( $\Delta y n e A:: l o x P, \Delta c t p A)$ : PEB439 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB121. Deletion of $\operatorname{ctp} A$ was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB592/593.

PEB561 ( $\Delta y l b L, \Delta \operatorname{ctp} A, \Delta y n e A: \because l o x P)$ : PEB441 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB121. Deletion of $\operatorname{ctp} A$ was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB592/593.

PEB585 ( $\Delta u v r A, \Delta y n e A:: l o x P$ ): PEB439 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB82. Deletion of $u v r A$ was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB423/424.

PEB595 ( $\Delta y l b L$, amyE $:: P_{x y}-$-ctpA): PEB324 was transformed with plasmid pPB184.

PEB597 ( $\Delta y l b L$, amyE $:: P_{x y}-$-ctpA-S297A): PEB324 was transformed with plasmid pPB185. PEB599 ( $\triangle$ ctpA, amyE $: \because P_{x y}-$-ctpA) : PEB355 was transformed with plasmid pPB184.

PEB601 ( $\left.\Delta c t p A, ~ a m y E: \because P_{x y} l-c t p A-S 297 A\right)$ : PEB355 was transformed with plasmid pPB185. PEB603 ( $\Delta c t p A, ~ a m y E: \because P_{x y}-$-ctpA-K322A): PEB355 was transformed with plasmid pPB186. PEB605 ( $\Delta c t p A, ~ a m y E: \because P_{x y} y$-ylbL): PEB355 was transformed with plasmid pPB108.

PEB607 ( $\Delta c t p A$, amyE $\left.: \because P_{x y l}-y l b L-S 234 A\right)$ : PEB355 was transformed with plasmid pPB111.

PEB619 ( $\left.\Delta y l b L, \Delta c t p A, ~ a m y E:: P_{x y} l-c t p A\right)$ : PEB555 was transformed with plasmid pPB184.

PEB621 ( $\Delta y l b L, \Delta c t p A$, amyE: $\left.: P_{x y l}-c t p A-S 297 A\right)$ : PEB555 was transformed with plasmid pPB185.

PEB623 ( $\Delta y l b L, ~ \Delta c t p A$, amyE $: P_{x y l}-y l b L-S 234 A$ ): PEB555 was transformed with plasmid pPB111.

PEB677 (amyE::P $P_{\text {hyp }}$-yneA): PY79 was transformed with plasmid pPB194.

PEB681 ( $\Delta y l b L$, amyE $\because: P_{\text {hyp }}$-yneA): PEB324 was transformed with plasmid pPB194.

PEB685 ( $\Delta$ ctpA, amyE $\because: P_{\text {hyp }}$-yneA): PEB355 was transformed with plasmid pPB194.
PEB689 ( $\Delta y l b L, \Delta c t p A$, amyE:: $\left.P_{\text {hyp }}-y n e A\right)$ : PEB555 was transformed with plasmid pPB194.

PEB778 ( $\Delta f h u G$ ): PY79 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB237. Deletion of $f h u G$ was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB918/919.

PEB780 ( $\Delta y l b L, \Delta c t p A, \Delta f h u G)$ : PEB555 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB237. Deletion of $f h u G$ was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB918/919.

PEB782 ( $\Delta y f k H$ ): PY79 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB238. Deletion of $y f k H$ was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB927/928.

PEB784 ( $\Delta y l b L, \Delta c t p A, \Delta y f k H)$ : PEB555 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB238. Deletion of $y f k H$ was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB927/928.

PEB786 ( $\Delta l t a S A$ ): PY79 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB239. Deletion of ltaSA was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB936/937.

PEB788 ( $\Delta y l b L, \Delta c t p A, \Delta l t a S A)$ : PEB555 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB239. Deletion of ltaSA was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB936/937.

PEB790 ( $\Delta y \mathrm{~kg}$ A): PY79 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB240. Deletion of $y k g A$ was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB945/946.

PEB792 ( $\Delta y l b L, \Delta c t p A, \Delta y k g A$ ): PEB555 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB240. Deletion of $y k g A$ was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB945/946.

PEB794 ( $\Delta c g e E$ ): PY79 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB241. Deletion of cgeE was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB954/955.

PEB796 ( $\Delta y l b L, ~ \Delta c t p A, \Delta c g e E$ ): PEB555 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB241. Deletion of cgeE was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB954/955.

PEB798 ( $\Delta y s n F$ ): PY79 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB242. Deletion of $y s n F$ was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB963/964.

PEB800 ( $\Delta y l b L, \Delta c t p A, \Delta y s n F)$ : PEB555 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB242. Deletion of $y s n F$ was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB963/964.

PEB802 ( $\Delta l y t G$ ): PY79 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB243. Deletion of lyt $G$ was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB972/973.

PEB804 ( $\Delta y l b L, \Delta \operatorname{ctp} A, \Delta l y t G$ ): PEB555 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB243. Deletion of lyt $G$ was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB972/973.

PEB806 ( $\Delta y x a B$ ): PY79 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB244. Deletion of $y x a B$ was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB981/982.

PEB808 ( $\Delta y l b L, \Delta c t p A, \Delta y x a B$ ): PEB555 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB244. Deletion of $y x a B$ was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB981/982.

PEB810 ( $\Delta y l b L, \Delta c t p A, \Delta y t m P)$ : PEB555 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB140. Deletion of $y$ tm $P$ was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB644/645.

## Plasmid construction

## General cloning techniques

Plasmids were assembled using Gibson assembly (84). Gibson assembly reactions were 10 or $12 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ consisting of 1 x Gibson assembly master mix ( 0.1 M Tris $\mathrm{pH} 8.0,5 \%$ PEG-8000, 10 $\mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{DTT}, 0.2 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{dNTPs}, 1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NAD}{ }^{+}, 4$ units $/ \mathrm{mL} \mathrm{T}_{5}$ exonuclease, 25 units $/ \mathrm{mL}$ Phusion DNA polymerase, 4,000 units/mL Taq DNA ligase) and 40-100 ng of each PCR product and incubated at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 60 minutes or 90 minutes for CRISPR/Cas9 editing plasmids. All PCR products were isolated via gel extraction from an agarose gel. Gibson assembly reactions were used to transform Top10 or MC1061 E. coli.

Plasmids that were intermediate products of editing plasmids containing only the targeting spacer were generated by ligation of the proto-spacer into pPB 41 as described previously $(62,82)$. Briefly, pPB41 was digested with BsaI-HF (NEB), and then treated with CIP (NEB). The digestion product was purified by gel extraction from an agarose gel. Proto-spacers were annealed in 1x annealing buffer ( 10 mM Tris, $\mathrm{pH} 8.0,100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ EDTA) at a concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ each by incubation in a $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ heat block for 5 minutes, followed by
transferring to a beaker of water pre-heated to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The annealing reactions were allowed to cool slowly to room temperature in the beaker of water. The annealed proto-spacers were phosphorylated using $\mathrm{T}_{4}$ PNK (NEB). The phosphorylated proto-spacers were ligated to digested pPB41 using $\mathrm{T}_{4}$ DNA ligase (NEB). The resulting ligations were used to transform Top10 or MC1061 E. coli. Plasmids sequences were verified by Sanger sequencing using oPEB253.

## Individual plasmid construction

pPB44: A proto-spacer targeting recR (oPEB241/242) was ligated to pPB41.
pPB46: The upstream and downstream portions of the $\Delta r e c R$ editing template were PCR amplified using oPEB286/244 and oPEB245/287, respectively. CRISPR/Cas9 was PCR amplified using oPEB232/234 and pPB46 as the template. The pPB 41 vector was PCR amplified using oPEB217/218. These four PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a $\Delta r e c R$ editing plasmid. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing with oPEB227 and oPEB253.
pPB47: pBR322 was PCR amplified using oPEB267/268, and the spectinomycin resistance cassette was PCR amplified from pDR110 using oPEB269/270. The resulting PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction generating a plasmid in which the tetracycline resistance cassette of pBR 322 had been replaced with the spectinomycin resistance cassette of pDR110.
pPB72: A proto-spacer targeting $u v r A$ (oPEB417/418) was ligated to pPB41.
pPB73: A proto-spacer targeting $u v r B$ (oPEB426/427) was ligated to pPB41.
pPB74: A proto-spacer targeting $u v r C$ (oPEB437/438) was ligated to pPB41.
pPB75: A proto-spacer targeting yprA (oPEB446/447) was ligated to pPB41.
pPB76: A proto-spacer targeting $y p r B$ (oPEB455/456) was ligated to pPB 41 .
pPB77: A proto-spacer targeting $y l b K$ (oPEB466/467) was ligated to pPB41.
pPB78: A proto-spacer targeting $y l b L$ (oPEB475/476) was ligated to pPB 41.
pPB81: A proto-spacer targeting sodA (oPEB504/505) was ligated to pPB41.
pPB82: The upstream and downstream portions of the $\Delta u v r A$ editing template were PCR amplified using oPEB419/420 and oPEB421/422, respectively. CRISPR/Cas9 was PCR amplified using oPEB232/234 and pPB 72 as the template. The pPB 41 vector was PCR amplified using oPEB217/218. These four PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a $\Delta u v r A$ editing plasmid. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing with oPEB227, oPEB253, and oPEB425.
pPB83: The upstream and downstream portions of the $\Delta u v r B$ editing template were PCR amplified using oPEB428/429 and oPEB430/431, respectively. CRISPR/Cas9 was PCR amplified using oPEB232/234 and pPB73 as the template. The pPB 41 vector was PCR amplified using oPEB217/218. These four PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a $\Delta u v r B$ editing plasmid. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing with oPEB227, oPEB253, and oPEB434.
pPB85: The upstream and downstream portions of the $\Delta u v r C$ editing template were PCR amplified using oPEB439/440 and oPEB441/442, respectively. CRISPR/Cas9 was PCR amplified using oPEB232/234 and pPB74 as the template. The pPB 41 vector was PCR amplified using oPEB217/218. These four PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a $\Delta u v r C$ editing plasmid. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing with oPEB227, oPEB253, and oPEB445.
pPB86: The upstream and downstream portions of the $\triangle y p r A$ editing template were PCR amplified using oPEB448/449 and oPEB450/451, respectively. CRISPR/Cas9 was PCR amplified using oPEB232/234 and pPB75 as the template. The pPB 41 vector was PCR amplified using oPEB217/218. These four PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a $\Delta y p r A$ editing plasmid. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing with oPEB227, oPEB253, and oPEB454.
pPB87: The upstream and downstream portions of the $\Delta y p r B$ editing template were PCR amplified using oPEB457/458 and oPEB459/460, respectively. CRISPR/Cas9 was PCR amplified using oPEB232/234 and pPB76 as the template. The pPB41 vector was PCR amplified using oPEB217/218. These four PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to
generate a $\Delta y p r B$ editing plasmid. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing with oPEB227, oPEB253, and oPEB463.
pPB98: The upstream and downstream portions of the $\Delta y l b K$ editing template were PCR amplified using oPEB468/469 and oPEB470/471, respectively. CRISPR/Cas9 was PCR amplified using oPEB232/234 and pPB77 as the template. The pPB41 vector was PCR amplified using oPEB217/218. These four PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a $\Delta y l b K$ editing plasmid. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing with oPEB227, oPEB253, and oPEB474.
pPB99: The upstream and downstream portions of the $\Delta y l b L$ editing template were PCR amplified using oPEB477/478 and oPEB479/480, respectively. CRISPR/Cas9 was PCR amplified using oPEB232/234 and pPB78 as the template. The pPB41 vector was PCR amplified using oPEB217/218. These four PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a $\Delta y l b L$ editing plasmid. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing with oPEB227, oPEB253, and oPEB483.
pPB103: The upstream and downstream portions of the $\Delta \operatorname{sod} A$ editing template were PCR amplified using oPEB506/507 and oPEB508/509, respectively. CRISPR/Cas9 was PCR amplified using oPEB232/234 and pPB81 as the template. The pPB41 vector was PCR amplified using oPEB217/218. These four PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a $\triangle \operatorname{sod} A$ editing plasmid. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing with oPEB227, oPEB253, and oPEB512.
pPB107: The upstream portion of $a m y E$ and the $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{xyl}}$ promoter were PCR amplified using oPEB370/383. The chloramphenicol resistance cassette and the downstream portion of $a m y E$ were amplified using oPEB557/377. pPB47 was PCR amplified using oPEB116/117. The open reading frame (ORF) of $y l b K$ was PCR amplified using oPEB558/559. These four PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a plasmid to integrate $y l b K$ under the control of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{xyl}}$ at the $a m y E$ locus. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing with oPEB345 and oPEB348.
pPB 108 : The upstream portion of $a m y E$ and the $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{xyl}}$ promoter were PCR amplified using oPEB370/383. The chloramphenicol resistance cassette and the downstream portion of amyE
were amplified using oPEB557/377. pPB47 was PCR amplified using oPEB116/117. The ORF of $y l b L$ was PCR amplified using oPEB560/561. These four PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a plasmid to integrate $y l b L$ under the control of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{xyl}}$ at the $a m y E$ locus. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing with oPEB345 and oPEB348.
pPB 111 : The upstream portion of $a m y E$ and the $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{xyl}}$ promoter were PCR amplified using oPEB370/383. The chloramphenicol resistance cassette and the downstream portion of $a m y E$ were amplified using oPEB557/377. Plasmid pPB47 was PCR amplified using oPEB116/117. The upstream portion of the $y l b L$ ORF containing the $S 234 A$ mutation was PCR amplified using oPEB560/567. The downstream portion of the $y l b L$ ORF containing the $S 234 A$ mutation was PCR amplified using oPEB566/561. These five PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a plasmid to integrate $y l b L-S 234 A$ under the control of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{xyl}}$ at the $a m y E$ locus. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing with oPEB345 and oPEB348.
pPB113: A proto-spacer targeting queA (oPEB577/578) was ligated to pPB41.
pPB114: A proto-spacer targeting $\operatorname{ctp} A$ (oPEB586/587) was ligated to pPB 41.
pPB115: A proto-spacer targeting ysoA (oPEB595/596) was ligated to pPB41.
pPB116: A proto-spacer targeting $y l m E$ (oPEB604/605) was ligated to pPB 41.
pPB117: A proto-spacer targeting sepF (oPEB613/614) was ligated to pPB41.
pPB118: A proto-spacer targeting $y l m G$ (oPEB618/619) was ligated to pPB 41 .
pPB120: The upstream and downstream portions of the $\Delta q u e A$ editing template were PCR amplified using oPEB579/580 and oPEB581/582, respectively. CRISPR/Cas9 was PCR amplified using oPEB232/234 and pPB113 as the template. The pPB41 vector was PCR amplified using oPEB217/218. These four PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a $\Delta q u e A$ editing plasmid. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing with oPEB227, oPEB253, and oPEB585.
pPB121: The upstream and downstream portions of the $\triangle c t p A$ editing template were PCR amplified using oPEB588/589 and oPEB590/591, respectively. CRISPR/Cas9 was PCR amplified using oPEB232/234 and pPB114 as the template. The pPB41 vector was PCR
amplified using oPEB217/218. These four PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a $\Delta c t p A$ editing plasmid. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing with oPEB227, oPEB253, and oPEB594.
pPB122: The upstream and downstream portions of the $\Delta y s o A$ editing template were PCR amplified using oPEB597/598 and oPEB599/600, respectively. CRISPR/Cas9 was PCR amplified using oPEB232/234 and pPB115 as the template. The pPB41 vector was PCR amplified using oPEB217/218. These four PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a $\triangle y s o A$ editing plasmid. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing with oPEB227, oPEB253, and oPEB603.
pPB123: The upstream and downstream portions of the $\Delta y l m E$ editing template were PCR amplified using oPEB606/607 and oPEB608/609, respectively. CRISPR/Cas9 was PCR amplified using oPEB232/234 and pPB116 as the template. The pPB 41 vector was PCR amplified using oPEB217/218. These four PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a $\Delta y l m E$ editing plasmid. The Gibson assembly reaction was used to transform PY79.
pPB124: The upstream and downstream portions of the $\Delta s e p F$ editing template were PCR amplified using oPEB606/615 and oPEB616/609, respectively. CRISPR/Cas9 was PCR amplified using oPEB232/234 and pPB117 as the template. The pPB41 vector was PCR amplified using oPEB217/218. These four PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a $\Delta s e p F$ editing plasmid. The Gibson assembly reaction was used to transform PY79.
pPB125: The upstream and downstream portions of the $\Delta y l m G$ editing template were PCR amplified using oPEB606/620 and oPEB621/609, respectively. CRISPR/Cas9 was PCR amplified using oPEB232/234 and pPB118 as the template. The pPB41 vector was PCR amplified using oPEB217/218. These four PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a $\Delta y \operatorname{lm} G$ editing plasmid. The Gibson assembly reaction was used to transform PY79.
pPB130: A proto-spacer targeting $y \operatorname{tmP}$ (oPEB638/639) was ligated to pPB 41 .
pPB131: A proto-spacer targeting $b c r C$ (oPEB647/648) was ligated to pPB41.
pPB132: A proto-spacer targeting ecsA (oPEB656/657) was ligated to pPB41.
pPB 133 : A proto-spacer targeting ecs $B$ (oPEB665/666) was ligated to pPB 41 .
pPB140: The upstream and downstream portions of the $\Delta y t m P$ editing template were PCR amplified using oPEB640/641 and oPEB642/643, respectively. CRISPR/Cas9 was PCR amplified using oPEB232/234 and pPB130 as the template. The pPB 41 vector was PCR amplified using oPEB217/218. These four PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a $\Delta y t m P$ editing plasmid. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing with oPEB227, oPEB253, and oPEB646.
pPB141: The upstream and downstream portions of the $\Delta b c r C$ editing template were PCR amplified using oPEB649/650 and oPEB651/652, respectively. CRISPR/Cas9 was PCR amplified using oPEB232/234 and pPB131 as the template. The pPB41 vector was PCR amplified using oPEB217/218. These four PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a $\Delta b c r C$ editing plasmid. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing with oPEB227, oPEB253, and oPEB655.
pPB142: The upstream and downstream portions of the $\Delta e c s A$ editing template were PCR amplified using oPEB658/659 and oPEB660/661, respectively. CRISPR/Cas9 was PCR amplified using oPEB232/234 and pPB132 as the template. The pPB41 vector was PCR amplified using oPEB217/218. These four PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a $\Delta e c s A$ editing plasmid. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing with oPEB227, oPEB253, and oPEB664.
pPB143: The upstream and downstream portions of the $\Delta e c s B$ editing template were PCR amplified using oPEB667/668 and oPEB669/670, respectively. CRISPR/Cas9 was PCR amplified using oPEB232/234 and pPB133 as the template. The pPB41 vector was PCR amplified using oPEB217/218. These four PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a $\Delta e c s B$ editing plasmid. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing with oPEB227, oPEB253, and oPEB673.
pPB149: The upstream and downstream portions of the $\Delta y l b K-2$ (deletion of all but the codons for the first 3 and the last 14 amino acids) editing template were PCR amplified using oPEB468/709 and oPEB710/471, respectively. CRISPR/Cas9 was PCR amplified using oPEB232/234 and pPB77 as the template. The pPB41 vector was PCR amplified using oPEB217/218. These four PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a $\Delta y l b K-2$ editing plasmid. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing with oPEB227, oPEB253, and oPEB474.
pPB154: A proto-spacer targeting walH (oPEB738/739) was ligated to pPB 41 .
pPB155: A proto-spacer targeting $y y c I$ (oPEB747/748) was ligated to pPB 41 .
pPB156: A proto-spacer targeting walJ (oPEB756/757) was ligated to pPB 41.
pPB157: The ORF coding for a.a. 36-341 of YlbL was PCR amplified using oPEB773/677. The plasmid pPB12 was PCR amplified using oPEB56/57. These two PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly to generate a plasmid for overexpression of a 10xHis-Smt3-YlbL(36-341) fusion protein in E. coli. Clones were verified via Sanger sequencing using oPEB527 and oPEB58.
pPB 173 : The upstream portion of $a m y E$ and the $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{xyl}}$ promoter were PCR amplified using oPEB370/383. The chloramphenicol resistance cassette and the downstream portion of $a m y E$ were amplified using oPEB557/377. pPB47 was PCR amplified using oPEB116/117. The upstream portion of the $y l b L$ ORF containing the $K 279 A$ mutation was PCR amplified using oPEB560/772. The downstream portion of the $y l b L$ ORF containing the $K 279 A$ mutation was PCR amplified using oPEB771/561. These five PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a plasmid to integrate $y l b L-K 279 A$ under the control of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{xyl}}$ at the $a m y E$ locus. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing with oPEB345 and oPEB348.
pPB174: The upstream and downstream portions of the $\Delta w a l H$ editing template were PCR amplified using oPEB740/741 and oPEB742/743, respectively. CRISPR/Cas9 was PCR amplified using oPEB232/234 and pPB154 as the template. The pPB 41 vector was PCR amplified using oPEB217/218. These four PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly
reaction to generate a $\Delta w a l H$ editing plasmid. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing with oPEB227, oPEB253, and oPEB746.
pPB175: The upstream and downstream portions of the $\Delta y y c I$ editing template were PCR amplified using oPEB749/750 and oPEB751/752, respectively. CRISPR/Cas9 was PCR amplified using oPEB232/234 and pPB155 as the template. The pPB41 vector was PCR amplified using oPEB217/218. These four PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a $\Delta y y c I$ editing plasmid. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing with oPEB227, oPEB253, and oPEB755.
pPB176: The upstream and downstream portions of the $\Delta$ walJ editing template were PCR amplified using oPEB758/759 and oPEB760/761, respectively. CRISPR/Cas9 was PCR amplified using oPEB232/234 and pPB156 as the template. The pPB41 vector was PCR amplified using oPEB217/218. These four PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a $\Delta w a l J$ editing plasmid. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing with oPEB227, oPEB253, and oPEB764.
pPB177: A proto-spacer targeting ruvB (oPEB798/799) was ligated to pPB41.
pPB178: A proto-spacer targeting ripX (oPEB807/808) was ligated to pPB41.
pPB179: The upstream and downstream portions of the $\Delta r u v B$ editing template were PCR amplified using oPEB800/801 and oPEB802/803, respectively. CRISPR/Cas9 was PCR amplified using oPEB232/234 and pPB177 as the template. The pPB 41 vector was PCR amplified using oPEB217/218. These four PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a $\Delta r u v B$ editing plasmid. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing with oPEB227, oPEB253, and oPEB806.
pPB180: The upstream and downstream portions of the $\Delta r i p X$ editing template were PCR amplified using oPEB809/810 and oPEB811/812, respectively. CRISPR/Cas9 was PCR amplified using oPEB232/234 and pPB178 as the template. The pPB41 vector was PCR amplified using oPEB217/218. These four PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a $\Delta$ rip $X$ editing plasmid. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing with oPEB227, oPEB253, and oPEB815.
pPB181: The ORF coding for a.a. 36-341 of YlbL was PCR amplified with primers oPEB773/677 using pPB111 as a template to incorporate the S234A mutation. The plasmid pPB12 was PCR amplified using oPEB56/57. These two PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly to generate a plasmid for overexpression of a 10xHis-Smt3-YlbL-S234A-(36-341) fusion protein in E. coli. Clones were verified via Sanger sequencing using oPEB527 and oPEB58.
pPB 184 : The upstream portion of $a m y E$ and the $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{xyl}}$ promoter were PCR amplified using oPEB370/383. The chloramphenicol resistance cassette and the downstream portion of $a m y E$ were amplified using oPEB557/377. pPB47 was PCR amplified using oPEB116/117. The ORF of $\operatorname{ctp} A$ was PCR amplified using oPEB817/818. These four PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a plasmid to integrate $\operatorname{ctp} A$ under the control of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{xyl}}$ at the $a m y E$ locus. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing with oPEB345 and oPEB348.
pPB185: The upstream portion of $a m y E$ and the $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{xyl}}$ promoter were PCR amplified using oPEB370/383. The chloramphenicol resistance cassette and the downstream portion of $a m y E$ were amplified using oPEB557/377. pPB47 was PCR amplified using oPEB116/117. The upstream portion of the $\operatorname{ctp} A$ ORF containing the $S 297 A$ mutation was PCR amplified using oPEB817/820. The downstream portion of the ctpA ORF containing the $S 297 A$ mutation was PCR amplified using oPEB819/818. These five PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a plasmid to integrate $\operatorname{ctp} A-S 297 A$ under the control of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{xyl}}$ at the $a m y E$ locus. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing with oPEB345 and oPEB348.
pPB 186 : The upstream portion of $a m y E$ and the $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{xyl}}$ promoter were PCR amplified using oPEB370/383. The chloramphenicol resistance cassette and the downstream portion of amyE were amplified using oPEB557/377. pPB47 was PCR amplified using oPEB116/117. The upstream portion of the $\operatorname{ctp} A$ ORF containing the $K 322 A$ mutation was PCR amplified using oPEB817/822. The downstream portion of the $\operatorname{ctpA}$ ORF containing the $K 322 A$ mutation was PCR amplified using oPEB821/818. These five PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a plasmid to integrate $\operatorname{ctpA} A-K 322 A$ under the control of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{xyl}}$ at the $a m y E$ locus. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing with oPEB345 and oPEB348.
pPB194: pDR110 was amplified using primers oPEB3F/259, resulting in the conversion of promoter $\mathrm{P}_{\text {spac }}$ to $\mathrm{P}_{\text {hyp }}$. The yneA ORF was amplified using oPEB856/857. These two PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a plasmid to integrate yneA under the control of $\mathrm{P}_{\text {hyp }}$ at the $a m y E$ locus. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing using oPEB866 and oPEB867.
pPB200: The pET28b vector was PCR amplified using oPEB835/57. The ORF of MBP was PCR amplified using oPEB838/839 with the IDT gBlock oPEB836 as a template. The Ulp1 ORF (a.a. 403-621) was PCR amplified using oPEB840/841 with plasmid pPB13 as a template. These three PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a plasmid for overexpression of the fusion protein MBP-Ulp1(403-621) in E. coli. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing using oPEB527, oPEB58, and oPEB837.
pPB203: The pET28b vector was PCR amplified using oPEB835/57. The CtpA ORF (coding for a.a. 38-466) was PCR amplified using oPEB831/832. These two PCR products and the IDT gBlock oPEB836 were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a plasmid for overexpression of the fusion protein MBP-Smt3-CtpA(38-466) in E. coli. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing using oPEB527, oPEB58, oPEB833, and oPEB837.
pPB204: The ORF coding for a.a. 28-103 of YneA was PCR amplified using oPEB842/843. The plasmid pPB12 was PCR amplified using oPEB56/57. These two PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly to generate a plasmid for overexpression of a $10 x H i s-S m t 3-Y n e A(28-103)$ fusion protein in E. coli. Clones were verified via Sanger sequencing using oPEB527 and oPEB58.
pPB214: The pET28b-MBP-Smt3 vector was PCR amplified using oPEB56/57 using pPB203 as a template. The $\operatorname{ctp} A-S 297 A$ ORF (coding for a.a. 38-466) was PCR amplified using oPEB831/832 using pPB185 as a template. These two PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a plasmid for overexpression of the fusion protein MBP-Smt3-CtpA-S297A (38-466) in E. coli. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing using oPEB527, oPEB58, and oPEB833.
pPB227: A proto-spacer targeting $f h u G$ (oPEB912/913) was ligated to pPB41.
pPB228: A proto-spacer targeting $y f k H$ (oPEB921/922) was ligated to pPB 41. pPB229: A proto-spacer targeting ltaSA (oPEB930/931) was ligated to pPB41. pPB230: A proto-spacer targeting $y k g A$ (oPEB939/940) was ligated to pPB41. pPB231: A proto-spacer targeting cgeE (oPEB948/949) was ligated to pPB41. pPB232: A proto-spacer targeting $y \operatorname{snF}$ (oPEB957/958) was ligated to pPB41. pPB233: A proto-spacer targeting $l y t G$ (oPEB966/967) was ligated to pPB41. pPB234: A proto-spacer targeting $y x a B$ (oPEB975/976) was ligated to pPB41. pPB237: The upstream and downstream portions of the $\Delta f h u G$ editing template were PCR amplified using oPEB914/915 and oPEB916/917, respectively. CRISPR/Cas9 was PCR amplified using oPEB232/234 and pPB227 as the template. The pPB41 vector was PCR amplified using oPEB217/218. These four PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a $\Delta f h u G$ editing plasmid. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing with oPEB227, oPEB253, and oPEB920.
pPB238: The upstream and downstream portions of the $\Delta y f k H$ editing template were PCR amplified using oPEB923/924 and oPEB925/926, respectively. CRISPR/Cas9 was PCR amplified using oPEB232/234 and pPB228 as the template. The pPB 41 vector was PCR amplified using oPEB217/218. These four PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a $\Delta y f k H$ editing plasmid. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing with oPEB227, oPEB253, and oPEB929.
pPB239: The upstream and downstream portions of the $\triangle l t a S A$ editing template were PCR amplified using oPEB932/933 and oPEB934/935, respectively. CRISPR/Cas9 was PCR amplified using oPEB232/234 and pPB229 as the template. The pPB41 vector was PCR amplified using oPEB217/218. These four PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a $\triangle l t a S A$ editing plasmid. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing with oPEB227, oPEB253, and oPEB938.
pPB240: The upstream and downstream portions of the $\triangle y k g A$ editing template were PCR amplified using oPEB941/942 and oPEB943/944, respectively. CRISPR/Cas9 was PCR amplified using oPEB232/234 and pPB230 as the template. The pPB 41 vector was PCR amplified using oPEB217/218. These four PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a $\Delta y k g A$ editing plasmid. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing with oPEB227, oPEB253, and oPEB947.
pPB241: The upstream and downstream portions of the $\Delta c g e E$ editing template were PCR amplified using oPEB950/951 and oPEB952/953, respectively. CRISPR/Cas9 was PCR amplified using oPEB232/234 and pPB231 as the template. The pPB41 vector was PCR amplified using oPEB217/218. These four PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a $\Delta c g e E$ editing plasmid. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing with oPEB227, oPEB253, and oPEB956.
pPB242: The upstream and downstream portions of the $\Delta y s n F$ editing template were PCR amplified using oPEB959/960 and oPEB961/962, respectively. CRISPR/Cas9 was PCR amplified using oPEB232/234 and pPB232 as the template. The pPB 41 vector was PCR amplified using oPEB217/218. These four PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a $\Delta y s n F$ editing plasmid. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing with oPEB227, oPEB253, and oPEB965.
pPB243: The upstream and downstream portions of the $\Delta l y t G$ editing template were PCR amplified using oPEB968/969 and oPEB970/972, respectively. CRISPR/Cas9 was PCR amplified using oPEB232/234 and pPB233 as the template. The pPB41 vector was PCR amplified using oPEB217/218. These four PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a $\Delta l y t G$ editing plasmid. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing with oPEB227, oPEB253, and oPEB974.
pPB244: The upstream and downstream portions of the $\Delta y x a B$ editing template were PCR amplified using oPEB977/978 and oPEB979/980, respectively. CRISPR/Cas9 was PCR amplified using oPEB232/234 and pPB234 as the template. The pPB41 vector was PCR amplified using oPEB217/218. These four PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly
reaction to generate a $\Delta y x a B$ editing plasmid. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing with oPEB227, oPEB253, and oPEB983.
pPB267: YneA was amplified with primers oPEB1034/1035 and the plasmid pUT18C was amplified using primers oPEB1017/1018. These two PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a T18-YneA fusion for expression in BTH101 cells in a bacterial two-hybrid assay. Clones were selected on LB agar containing $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ampicillin and $0.2 \%$ glucose. Clones were verified via Sanger sequencing using oPEB1024 and 1025.
pPB268: YneA $\Delta \mathrm{N}$ (a.a 28-103) was amplified with primers oPEB1036/1035 and the plasmid pUT18C was amplified using primers oPEB1017/1018. These two PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a T18-YneA $\Delta \mathrm{N}$ (a.a 28-103) fusion for expression in BTH101 cells in a bacterial two-hybrid assay. Clones were selected on LB agar containing 100 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ampicillin and $0.2 \%$ glucose. Clones were verified via Sanger sequencing using oPEB1024 and 1025.
pPB270: YlbL-S234A was amplified with primers oPEB1039/1040 and using pPB111 as a template. The plasmid pKT25 was amplified with primers oPEB1014/1015. These two PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a T25-YlbL-S234A fusion for expression in BTH101 cells in a bacterial two-hybrid assay. Clones were selected on LB agar containing $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ kanamycin and $0.2 \%$ glucose. Clones were verified via Sanger sequencing using oPEB1021 and 1022.
pPB271: CtpA-S297A was amplified with primers oPEB1041/1042 and using pPB185 as a template. The plasmid pKT25 was amplified with primers oPEB1014/1015. These two PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a T25-CtpA-S297A fusion for expression in BTH101 cells in a bacterial two-hybrid assay. Clones were selected on LB agar containing $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ kanamycin and $0.2 \%$ glucose. Clones were verified via Sanger sequencing using oPEB833, 1021, and 1022.
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## CHAPTER III

## DdcA antagonizes a bacterial DNA damage checkpoint


#### Abstract

Bacteria coordinate DNA replication and cell division, ensuring a complete set of genetic material is passed onto the next generation. When bacteria encounter DNA damage, a cell cycle checkpoint is activated by expressing a cell division inhibitor. The prevailing model is that activation of the DNA damage response and protease mediated degradation of the inhibitor is sufficient to regulate the checkpoint process. Our recent genome-wide screens identified the gene $d d c A$ as critical for surviving exposure to DNA damage. Similar to the checkpoint recovery proteases, the DNA damage sensitivity resulting from $d d c A$ deletion depends on the checkpoint enforcement protein YneA. Using several genetic approaches, we show that DdcA function is distinct from the checkpoint recovery process. Deletion of $d d c A$ resulted in sensitivity to yneA overexpression independent of YneA protein levels and stability, further supporting the conclusion that DdcA regulates YneA independent of proteolysis. Using a functional GFP-YneA fusion we found that DdcA prevents YneA-dependent cell elongation independent of YneA localization. Together, our results suggest that DdcA acts by helping to set a threshold of YneA required to establish the cell cycle checkpoint, uncovering a new regulatory step controlling activation of the DNA damage checkpoint in Bacillus subtilis.


## Introduction

The logistics of the cell cycle are of fundamental importance in biology. All organisms need to control cell growth, DNA replication, and the process of cell division. In bacteria the
initiation of DNA replication is coupled to growth rate and the cell cycle (1-4). Bacteria also regulate cell division in response to DNA replication status through the use of DNA damage checkpoints $(5,6)$. The models for the DNA damage response (SOS) were developed based on studies of Escherichia coli and subsequently extended to other bacteria. In these models, DNA damage results in perturbations to DNA replication and the accumulation of ssDNA (7). RecA is loaded onto ssDNA (8-12), and the resulting RecA/ssDNA nucleoprotein filament induces the SOS response by activating auto-cleavage of the transcriptional repressor LexA (13). LexA inactivation results in increased transcription of genes involved in DNA repair and the DNA damage checkpoint (14-18). The DNA damage checkpoint is established by relieving the LexAdependent repression of a cell division inhibitor that enforces the checkpoint by blocking cell division (19-22). Once the checkpoint is established, the delay in cytokinesis provides the cell with enough time to repair and complete DNA replication, thereby ensuring a complete and accurate copy of the chromosome is segregated to both daughter cells. Over several decades of study, this overarching model has been consistently demonstrated among bacteria that contain a RecA and LexA-dependent DNA damage checkpoint mechanism $(5,23)$.

Where the DNA damage response varies between bacteria is in the process that enforces and alleviates the checkpoint. In E. coli and closely related Gram-negative bacteria, the checkpoint is enforced by SulA, which is a cytoplasmic protein that acts by directly inhibiting formation of the FtsZ proto-filament blocking cell division (20, 24-27). In many other bacteria the checkpoint is enforced by a small membrane binding protein (21, 28-31). In Caulobacter crescentus, the small membrane proteins SidA and DidA inhibit cell division through direct interactions with components of the essential cell division complex known as the divisome (30, 31). In other bacteria the exact mechanism of checkpoint enforcement remains unclear. In the Gram-positive bacterium Bacillus subtilis, the checkpoint enforcement protein YneA inhibits cell division in response to DNA damage (21). YneA is a small protein containing a transmembrane domain as well as a LysM domain (22). A previous study found that several amino acids on one side of the transmembrane alpha helix are important for function, which led the authors to speculate that YneA may also interact with a component of the divisome (22). The same study also suggested full length YneA is the active form, and that the transmembrane domain alone is not sufficient for activity (22). Although YneA is clearly involved in cell division inhibition, the role of this checkpoint in ensuring that daughter cells each receive an intact copy of the genome
has not yet been firmly established, and the mechanism by which YneA enforces the checkpoint is still unknown.

The mechanism of relieving the DNA damage checkpoint has only been identified in two bacterial species, E. coli and B. subtilis. Despite the checkpoint mechanisms functioning in different cellular compartments, the strategy for checkpoint recovery is remarkably similar between these two organisms. In E. coli, Lon protease is the major protease responsible for degrading SulA (32-34), and the protease ClpYQ appears to play a secondary role (35-37). In $B$. subtilis, there are two proteases YlbL, which we rename here to DdcP ( $\underline{D N A}$ damage checkpoint recovery protease) and CtpA that degrade YneA (38). In the case of DdcP and CtpA, the former seems to be the primary protease in minimal media; however, during chronic exposure to DNA damage in rich media both proteases are important and they can functionally replace each other when overexpressed (38). DdcP and CtpA are not regulated by DNA damage (38), suggesting that the proteases act as a buffer to YneA accumulation helping to set the threshold for checkpoint activation. Thus, in order for the checkpoint to be enforced both proteases must be saturated. Following repair of damaged DNA, LexA represses expression of YneA, and the remaining YneA is cleared by DdcP and CtpA, allowing cell division to proceed (38).

Although the DNA damage checkpoint in bacteria is well understood, it is becoming increasingly clear that establishing the checkpoint is more complex than what earlier models suggest. Work from Goranov and co-workers demonstrated that the initiation protein and transcription factor DnaA regulates $f t s L$ levels in response to DNA replication perturbations, which contributes to cell filamentation (39). Further, our recent report identified several genes not previously implicated in genome maintenance or cell cycle control that are critical for surviving chronic exposure to a broad spectrum of DNA damage (38). We identified genes involved in cell division and cell wall synthesis as well as genes of unknown function that rendered the deletion mutants sensitive to DNA damage (38). To understand how the DNA damage response in bacteria is regulated, we investigated the contribution of one of the unstudied genes $d d c A$ (formerly $y s o A$, see below) in the DNA damage response. We report here that DdcA antagonizes YneA action, functioning to help set a threshold of DNA damage required for checkpoint activation.

## Results

## Deletion of $d d c A(y s o A)$ results in sensitivity to DNA damage

We recently published a set of genome wide screens using three distinct classes of DNA damaging agents, uncovering many genes that have not been previously implicated in the DNA damage response or DNA repair (38). One gene that conferred a sensitive phenotype to all three types of DNA damage tested was $y s o A$, which we rename here to DNA damage checkpoint antagonist $(d d c A)$. DdcA is a protein that is predicted to have three tetratrichopeptide repeats (Fig 3.1A), which are often involved in protein-protein interactions, protein complex formation, and virulence mechanisms in bacteria (40). In order to better understand the mechanism of the DNA damage response in B. subtilis, we investigated the contribution of DdcA. To begin, we tested the sensitivity of the $d d c A$ deletion to DNA damage. Deletion of $d d c A$ resulted in sensitivity to mitomycin C (MMC), an agent that causes DNA crosslinks and bulky adducts (41, 42), and phleomycin a peptide that forms double and single strand DNA breaks (43, 44). We found that expression of $P_{x y} /-d d c A$ from an ectopic locus ( $a m y E$ ) was sufficient to complement deletion of $d d c A$ with or without inducing expression using xylose (Fig 3.1B). We conclude that deletion of $d d c A$ results in a bona-fide sensitivity to DNA damage.

## DNA damage sensitivity of $d d c \boldsymbol{A}$ deletion is dependent on $\boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{x e} \boldsymbol{A}$.

We asked how DdcA functions in the DNA damage response. Our observation that a $d d c A$ deletion allele results in sensitivity to several DNA damaging agents is similar to the result of deleting the checkpoint recovery proteases (38). Our prior study (38) showed that DNA damage phenotypes in checkpoint recovery protease mutants depend on the checkpoint enforcement protein, YneA, which is likely the result of aberrant activation of the checkpoint in the absence of YneA degradation. We asked whether deletion of yneA could rescue DNA damage sensitivity resulting from $d d c A$ deletion. Indeed, deletion of $y n e A$ in the $d d c A$ deletion background rescued sensitivity to MMC (Fig 3.2).

We also tested for a genetic interaction with nucleotide excision repair, reasoning that the absence of nucleotide excision repair would result in increased yneA expression and increased sensitivity in the $d d c A$ deletion. Indeed, deletion of $u v r A B$, genes coding for components of nucleotide excision repair (45), resulted in hypersensitivity to MMC (Fig 3.2). These data,
together with the initial observation of general DNA damage sensitivity and suppression of the sensitivity with loss of yneA function suggests that DdcA participates in regulating the DNA damage checkpoint protein YneA.

## DdcA functions independent of DNA damage checkpoint recovery proteases

Based on the observation that sensitivity to DNA damage in a $\Delta d d c A$ mutant was rescued by deletion of $y n e A$, similar to our observations with the checkpoint recovery proteases (38), we hypothesized that DdcA could function within the checkpoint recovery process. For example, DdcA could affect CtpA and/or DdcP activity. To test this idea, we generated double mutant strains of $\Delta d d c A$ with $\Delta c t p A$ or $\Delta d d c P$. If DdcA functions together with CtpA or DdcP we would expect that the double mutant would have the same phenotype as the single mutant. In contrast, we observed that deletion of $d d c A$ in a $c t p A$ or $d d c P$ mutant resulted in increased sensitivity to MMC (Fig 3.3A). These results support the hypothesis that DdcA does not function with the proteases in checkpoint recovery. To test this idea further, we determined the effect of deletion of $d d c A$ in a $\Delta d d c P, \Delta c t p A$ double mutant on MMC sensitivity. We found that deletion of $d d c A$ resulted in increased MMC sensitivity relative to the double protease mutants (Fig 3.3B), suggesting that DdcA functions independently of both DdcP and CtpA. We then asked if yneA was responsible for the phenotype of $\Delta d d c A$ in the absence of the checkpoint recovery proteases. Strikingly, we found that the sensitivity of the triple mutant was mostly dependent on yneA, but at elevated concentrations of MMC, there was a slight but reproducible difference when $d d c A$ was deleted in the $\Delta d d c P, \Delta c t p A$, $\Delta y n e A:: l o x P$ mutant background (Fig 3.3B). Taken together, these data suggest that DdcA regulation of the checkpoint is independent of the recovery proteases. Further, because the $d d c A$ phenotype is dependent on yneA we suggest that DdcA negatively regulates the checkpoint enforcement protein YneA.

In our previous study we found that the checkpoint recovery proteases could substitute for each other (38). Therefore to more firmly establish when DdcA regulates the checkpoint we asked if DdcA could replace the checkpoint recovery proteases or if the proteases could function in place of DdcA. To test this idea, we overexpressed $d d c P$ and $\operatorname{ctp} A$ in a $\Delta d d c A$ mutant and found that neither protease could rescue a $d d c A$ deletion phenotype (Fig 3.4A). We also found that expression of $d d c A$ in the double protease mutant could not rescue the MMC sensitive phenotype (Fig 3.4B). Further, expression of $d d c P$ or $\operatorname{ctp} A$ were each able to partially
complement the phenotype of the triple mutant, but expression of $d d c A$ had no effect at higher concentrations of MMC (Fig 3.4B). As a control, we verified that overexpression of $d d c A$ using high levels of xylose ( $0.5 \%$ xylose) could complement a $\Delta d d c A$ mutant (Fig 3.5). We also found that at lower concentrations of MMC, expression of $d d c A$ could rescue the $d d c A$ deficiency of the triple mutant resulting in a phenotype indistinguishable from the double protease mutant ( $\mathbf{F i g}$ 3.4C). Given that DdcA cannot substitute for DdcP and CtpA, we hypothesized that DdcA would not affect YneA protein levels following DNA damage. We tested this by monitoring YneA protein levels following MMC treatment and after recovering from MMC treatment for two hours. Deletion of $d d c A$ alone did not result in a detectable difference in YneA protein levels compared to WT (Fig 3.6). Further, deletion of $d d c A$ in the double protease mutant also did not result in an increase in YneA protein levels relative to the double protease mutant with $d d c A$ intact (Fig 3.6). With these data we conclude that DdcA does not regulate YneA protein abundance.

## $d d c A$ deletion results in sensitivity to yneA overexpression independent of YneA stability

Prior work established that overexpression of yneA resulted in growth inhibition $(21,22)$. Previously, we demonstrated that the double checkpoint recovery protease mutant was considerably more sensitive than the WT strain or the single checkpoint protease mutants to yneA overexpression (38). Given that treatment with DNA damage has cellular consequences in addition to expression of $y n e A$, we wanted to test whether overexpression of yneA was sufficient for enhanced growth inhibition in the absence of $d d c A$. Indeed, we found that the $\Delta d d c A$ mutant was more sensitive to $y n e A$ overexpression than WT (Fig 3.7A), and that deletion of $d d c A$ in the double protease mutant background resulted in even greater sensitivity to yneA overexpression than the double mutant or each single mutant (Fig 3.7A) (38). Therefore, we asked whether YneA protein levels changed under these conditions, and again there was no detectable difference when $d d c A$ was deleted alone or in combination with the double protease mutant (Fig 3.7B). We also considered the possibility that DdcA could affect the stability of YneA rather than the overall amount. To test this idea, we performed a translation shut-off experiment and monitored YneA stability over time. We induced expression of yneA in the double protease mutant with and without $d d c A$ and blocked translation. We found that YneA protein abundance
decreased at a similar rate regardless of whether $d d c A$ was present (Fig 3.7C). We conclude that DdcA negatively regulates YneA independent of protein stability.

## DdcA is an intracellular protein and DdcP and CtpA are membrane anchored with extracellular protease domains

The observation that DdcA and the checkpoint recovery proteases have distinct functions led us to ask where these proteins are located within the cell to determine if there are spatial constraints on their regulation of the DNA damage checkpoint. YneA is a membrane protein with the majority of the protein located extracellularly (22). We hypothesized that proteases DdcP and CtpA should be similarly localized since YneA is a direct substrate (38). We used the transmembrane prediction software TMHMM (46) and found that both DdcP and CtpA were predicted to have an N-terminal transmembrane domain, as reported previously (47). We tested this prediction directly using a subcellular fractionation assay (48). We found that DdcP and CtpA were present predominantly in the membrane fraction (Fig 3.8A). DdcP is predicted to have a signal peptide cleavage site (47), however, we did not detect DdcP in the media (Fig 3.8A), suggesting that $\operatorname{DdcP}$ is membrane anchored and not secreted. The membrane topology of DdcP and CtpA could put the protease domains inside or outside of the cell (Fig 3.8B). To determine their location we used a protease sensitivity assay (Fig 3.8B) (49). Cells were treated with lysozyme, followed by incubation with proteinase K . We found that DdcP and CtpA were digested by proteinase K, but that the intracellular protein DnaN was not (Fig 3.8C). In control reactions we added Triton X-100 to disrupt the plasma membrane, which rendered all three proteins susceptible to proteinase K (Fig 3.8C). To verify that the N -terminal transmembrane domain is required for DdcP and CtpA to be extracellular we created N -terminal truncations (Fig 3.8D) and repeated the proteinase K sensitivity assay. With these variants, DdcP and CtpA should be locked inside the cell, and indeed, both N-terminal truncations were now resistant to proteinase K similar to DnaN (Fig 3.8E). We conclude that DdcP and CtpA are tethered to the plasma membrane through N -terminal transmembrane domains and their protease domains are extracellular (Fig 3.8B, left panel).

YneA has a transmembrane domain and has previously been shown to be localized to the plasma membrane (22), and we now show that DdcP and CtpA are membrane anchored as well. To better understand how DdcA limits YneA activity, we asked where DdcA is located. We were
unable to find DdcA detected in any previous proteomic experiments that interrogated cytosolic or extracellular proteins (50-52). Also, the secretome of B. subtilis was analyzed using bioinformatics and did not report DdcA as a secreted protein (47). Therefore, we used several programs to predict the subcellular location of DdcA (46,53-55), all of which suggested that DdcA is cytosolic.

In order to experimentally determine the location of DdcA, we generated GFP fusions to the N - and C-termini of DdcA. We tested whether GFP-DdcA and DdcA-GFP were functional by assaying for the ability to complement a $d d c A$ deletion. We found that GFP-DdcA was able to complement a $d d c A$ deletion in the presence or absence of xylose for induced expression (Fig 3.9A), similar to that observed with untagged DdcA (Fig 3.1). In contrast, DdcA-GFP was partially functional, because complete complementation was only observed when expression of $d d c A-g f p$ was induced using xylose, but not in the absence of xylose (Fig 3.9A). As a control we asked if we could detect free GFP via Western blotting using GFP specific antiserum. We did not detect the fusion proteins in lysates if expression was not induced using xylose. We found that both DdcA fusions were detectable at their approximate molecular weight of 67.6 kDa when induced with $0.05 \%$ xylose (Fig 3.9B), though we did see that the C-terminal fusion had a slight increase in mobility (Figure 3.9B, arrowhead). Importantly, we did not detect a significant band near 25 kDa , the approximate size of GFP (Fig 3.9B), suggesting that GFP is not cleaved from DdcA. We did detect a very faint proteolytic fragment (Fig 3.9B, arrow) that seemed to occur during the lysis procedure. After establishing the functionality and integrity of the GFP-DdcA fusion we chose to visualize DdcA localization via fluorescence microscopy.

To compare the background fluorescence of $B$. subtilis cells, we imaged WT (PY79) cells under the same conditions as the GFP-DdcA fusion strain. We found a low level of background fluorescence in WT cells, and when a line scan of fluorescence intensity through a cell was plotted there was a very slight increase in signal intensity in the span between the fluorescent membrane peaks ( $\mathbf{F i g} \mathbf{3 . 9 C}$ ). The GFP-DdcA fusion was detectable throughout the cell at very low levels in the absence of xylose induction, with the intensity being slightly greater than WT cells (Fig 3.9C). We then imaged cells under conditions in which $g f p-d d c A$ expression was induced with $0.05 \%$ xylose. This experiment shows that GFP-DdcA was found throughout the cytosol, and the scan of fluorescence intensity was significantly greater than WT (Fig 3.9C). We
observed that the partially functional DdcA-GFP fusion was also present diffusely throughout the cytosol (Fig 3.6A). Finally, we tested DdcA localization using subcellular fractionation. We found that GFP-DdcA was detectable in the membrane and cytosolic fractions (Fig 3.9D), and similar results were obtained with DdcA-GFP (Fig 3.10B). As controls, we found that DdcP was found in the membrane fraction and not the cytosolic fraction (Fig 3.9D), and a cross-reacting protein detected by our GFP antiserum was found in the cytosol and not the membrane fractions (Fig 3.9D). Taken together, DdcA appears to be an intracellular protein that is primarily located in the cytosol with some molecules localized to the membrane. Importantly we now show that DdcA and the checkpoint recovery proteases are separated in space by the plasma membrane, demonstrating that YneA regulators are present in the cytosol (DdcA) and in the extracellular space ( DdcP and CtpA ). Further, the demonstration of DdcA occupying a different subcellular location from DdcP and CtpA explains their distinct roles in regulating YneA.

## YneA-dependent cell elongation is enhanced in cells lacking DdcA and the recovery proteases.

DdcA appears to regulate YneA activity independently of protein abundance and stability. We initially hypothesized that DdcA could interact directly with YneA to inhibit its activity. To test this hypothesis, we assayed for a protein-protein interaction using a bacterial two-hybrid, but did not detect an interaction (Fig 3.11). We then asked whether DdcA affected the localization of YneA, hypothesizing that DdcA could prevent YneA from reaching the plasma membrane. To address this question, we built a strain in which GFP was fused to the N terminus of YneA, and placed $g f p-y n e A$ under the control of the xylose-inducible promoter $P_{x y}$. We expressed both YneA and GFP-YneA in strains lacking $d d c A$, the checkpoint recovery proteases, or the triple mutant and found that GFP-YneA is able to inhibit growth to a similar extent as YneA (Fig 3.12A), suggesting that the GFP fusion is functional. We visualized GFPYneA following induction with $0.1 \%$ xylose for 30 minutes. We found that GFP-YneA localized to the mid-cell, while also demonstrating diffuse intracellular fluorescence (Fig 3.12B), which we suggest is free GFP generated by the checkpoint recovery proteases after YneA cleavage. Deletion of $d d c A$ alone did not affect GFP-YneA localization, with both WT and $\Delta d d c A$ strains having similar mid-cell localization frequencies (Fig 3.12B). The absence of both checkpoint recovery proteases resulted in puncta throughout the plasma membrane (Fig 3.12B).

Intriguingly, deletion of $d d c A$ in addition to the checkpoint recovery proteases resulted in severe cell elongation, however, GFP-YneA localization was not affected (Fig 3.12B). The difference in cell length was quantified by measuring the cell length of at least 600 cells following growth in the presence of $0.1 \%$ xylose for 30 minutes. The cell length distributions of strains lacking $d d c A$ or $d d c P$ and $\operatorname{ctp} A$ were similar to the WT control (Fig 3.12C). The distribution for the strain lacking $d d c A, d d c P$, and $c t p A$ had a significant skew to the right indicating greater cell lengths (Fig 3.12C). The percentage of cells greater than $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length was approximately $22 \%$ for the triple mutant and significantly greater than the other three strains in which approximately $1 \%$ of cells were greater than $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (Table 3.1). As a control, we determined the cell length distributions prior to xylose addition and found all four strains to have similar cell length distributions in the absence of xylose (Fig 3.12C). With these data, we conclude that DdcA prevents YneA from inhibiting cell division.

## Discussion

## A model for DNA damage checkpoint activation and recovery

The DNA damage checkpoint in bacteria was discovered through seminal work using $E$. coli as a model organism (7). An underlying assumption in the models is that the input signal of RecA coated ssDNA and the affinity of LexA for its binding site is sufficient to control the rate of cell division in response to DNA damage. A finding that the initiator protein, DnaA, controls the transcription of $f t s L$, and as a result the rate of cell division, in response to replication stress, gave a hint that coordination of cell division and DNA replication may be more complex (39). Here, we elaborate on the complexity of regulating cell division in response to DNA damage by uncovering a DNA damage checkpoint antagonist, DdcA (Fig 3.13). In response to DNA damage, the repressor LexA is inactivated, which results in expression of yneA. Accumulation of YneA must saturate two proteases, DdcP and CtpA, and overcome DdcA-dependent inhibition in order to block cell division. We previously reported that DdcP and CtpA are not induced by DNA damage (38), and a previous study reported that transcripts of $d d c A, d d c P$, and $c t p A$ are not induced by DNA damage or inhibition of DNA replication (18). Thus, we model all three proteins functioning to set a threshold of YneA required for checkpoint activation with DdcA located in the cytosol and DdcP and CtpA protease domains located extracellularly. These
regulators require that YneA expression overcomes a cytosolic regulator and then two extracellular regulators before the checkpoint can be activated. After the checkpoint is established, DNA repair occurs and the integrity of the DNA is restored, the SOS response is turned off, LexA represses yneA expression, and the checkpoint recovery proteases degrade the remaining YneA. The genetic experiments attempting to substitute the checkpoint proteases for DdcA and vice versa strongly suggest that DdcA does not function in the checkpoint recovery process (Fig 3.4). Together, our results uncover a unique strategy in regulating a bacterial DNA damage checkpoint by identifying a proteolysis independent mechanism of setting a threshold for DNA damage checkpoint activation.

## How does DdcA inhibit YneA?

Our results are most supportive of DdcA acting as an antagonist to YneA, rather than functioning in checkpoint recovery. Two lines of evidence support this model. First, DdcA does not affect YneA protein levels, stability, or localization (Fig 3.6 \& 3.7). Second, if DdcA was involved in checkpoint recovery, we would predict that expression of one of the checkpoint proteases would be able to compensate for deletion of $d d c A$. Instead, we found that the checkpoint recovery proteases and DdcA cannot replace each other (Fig 3.4). As a result, we hypothesized that DdcA acts by preventing YneA from accessing its target. We tested for an interaction between YneA and DdcA using a bacterial two-hybrid assay and we were unable to identify an interaction with full length or a cytoplasmic "locked" YneA mutant lacking its transmembrane domain (Fig 3.11). We also ruled out the hypothesis that DdcA affects the subcellular localization of YneA using a GFP-YneA fusion, which had similar localization patterns with and without $d d c A$ (Fig 3.12B). Taken together, all these results support a model where DdcA prevents YneA from inhibiting cell division, which could occur through preventing access to the target of YneA or through an indirect mechanism.

The YneA target that results in the inhibition of cell division is unknown. YneA is a membrane bound cell division inhibitor. This class of inhibitor in bacteria is typified as being a small protein that contains an N -terminal transmembrane domain, and they have been identified in several species $(21,28-31,56)$. In Caulobacter crescentus, the cell division inhibitors SidA and DidA inhibit the activity of FtsW/N, which are components of the divisome. A recent study in Staphylococcus aureus identified a small membrane division inhibitor, SosA, and its target
appears to be PBP1 (56), which is involved in peptidoglycan synthesis at the septum $(57,58)$. It is tempting to speculate that YneA could target an essential component of the cell division machinery, in particular because previous work found a conserved face of the transmembrane domain that was required for activity (22). Prior studies of C. crescentus and S. aureus were able to detect interactions between the cell division inhibitors and their targets using the bacterial twohybrid assay $(30,31,56)$. We reasoned that we might be able to identify an interacting partner of YneA or DdcA using this approach. We used DdcA and YneA in a bacterial two-hybrid assay using several proteins involved in cell division and cell wall synthesis, many of which had phenotypes in our previous Tn -seq genetic screens (38), but we were unable to identify a positive interaction (data not shown). Still, there are fundamental differences between YneA and other membrane bound cell division inhibitors. YneA has two major predicted features: an N-terminal transmembrane domain and a C-terminal LysM domain, and both have been found to be required for full activity (22). The other cell division inhibitors SidA, DidA, and SosA do not have a LysM domain (30, 31, 56). LysM domains bind to peptidoglycan (PG) and many proteins containing LysM domains have cell wall hydrolase activity (59). Thus, another possibility is that YneA acts directly on the cell wall to inhibit cell division instead of or in addition to targeting a membrane protein.

Intriguingly, the cell division inhibitor of Mycobacterium tuberculosis, Rv2719c, also contains a LysM domain and was shown to have cell wall hydrolase activity in vitro (28). The localization of GFP-YneA is also similar to previous reports of fluorescent vancomycin labeling of nascent peptidoglycan synthesis (Fig 3.12B) (60, 61). The difficulty with the model of targeting cell wall synthesis directly is that it is not clear how DdcA would prevent YneA activity given that these proteins are separated by the plasma membrane. One explanation is that DdcA directly or indirectly affects the folding of YneA as it is transported across the membrane, resulting in a form of YneA that is not competent for PG binding. DdcA contains a TPR domain and proteins containing TPR domains have been found to have chaperone activity and act as cochaperones (62). It is intriguing that $d d c A$ is just upstream of the chaperone trigger factor ( tig ) in the $B$. subtilis genome, and this organization is conserved in some bacterial species.

## Negative regulation of YneA occurs through three distinct mechanisms

The checkpoint recovery proteases and DdcA utilize multiple strategies to inhibit YneA. Although both DdcP and CtpA degrade YneA, they are very different proteases. DdcP has a Lon peptidase domain and a PDZ domain, whereas CtpA has an S 41 peptidase domain and a PDZ domain. The PDZ domains of DdcP and CtpA have different functions in vivo and show homology to different classes of PDZ domains found in proteases in E. coli (Fig 3.14, see supplemental results). Thus, it appears that the proteases utilize different strategies to degrade YneA. DdcA is unique, because it acts as an antagonist without affecting protein abundance, stability, or localization. Also, DdcA appears to function prior to checkpoint establishment and not in recovery, whereas the proteases perform both functions. Together, DdcA, DdcP, and CtpA provide a buffer to expression of YneA, thereby setting a threshold of YneA for checkpoint enforcement.

The discovery of a specific DNA damage checkpoint antagonist brings the total known proteins to negatively regulate YneA to three, which begs the question: why isn't a single protein sufficient? One explanation is that the process can be fine-tuned. By utilizing several proteins, the process has more nodes for regulation, which is advantageous at least for B. subtilis. A second explanation is that this strategy evolved in response to more efficient DNA repair. The SOS-regulon is highly conserved in bacteria and yet the checkpoint strategies vary significantly (23). If an organism evolves a more efficient DNA repair system in which DNA repair could be completed faster, the same level of checkpoint protein will no longer be required, because the checkpoint would delay cell division longer than necessary to complete DNA repair. This could be the explanation for the highly divergent nature of cell division inhibitors in bacteria as well as the explanation for the complex control over YneA found in B. subtilis.

## Materials and Methods

## Bacteriological and molecular methods

All B. subtilis strains are derivatives of PY79 (63), and are listed in Table 3.2.
Construction of individual strains is detailed in the supporting methods using double cross-over recombination or CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing as previously described $(38,64)$. B. subtilis
strains were grown in $\mathrm{LB}\left(10 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}\right.$ tryptone, $5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ yeast extract) or $\mathrm{S} 7_{50}$ media [1x $\mathrm{S} 7_{50}$ salts (diluted from 10x S7 ${ }_{50}$ salts: $104.7 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ MOPS, $13.2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$, ammonium sulfate, $6.8 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ monobasic potassium phosphate, pH 7.0 adjusted with potassium hydroxide), 1 x metals (diluted from 100x metals: $0.2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 70 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl} 2,5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MnCl}_{2}, 0.1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{ZnCl} 2,100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ thiamine- $\mathrm{HCl}, 2 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{HCl}, 0.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{FeCl}_{3}$ ), $0.1 \%$ potassium glutamate, $40 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ phenylalanine, $40 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ tryptophan] containing either $2 \%$ glucose or $1 \%$ arabinose as indicated in each method. Plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 3.3. Individual plasmids were constructed using Gibson assembly as described previously $(38,65)$. The details of plasmid construction are described in the supporting methods. Oligonucleotides used in this study are listed in Table 3.4 and were obtained from Integrated DNA technologies (IDT). Antibiotics for selection in $B$. subtilis were used at the following concentrations: $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ spectinomycin, $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ chloramphenicol, and $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ erythromycin. Antibiotics used for selection in Escherichia coli were used at the following concentrations: $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ spectinomycin, $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ampicillin, and $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ kanamycin. Mitomycin C (Fisher bioreagents) and phleomycin (Sigma) were used at the concentrations indicated in the figures and legends.

## Spot titer assays

Spot titer assays were performed as previously described (38). Briefly, B. subtilis strains were grown on an LB agar plate at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight and a single colony was used to inoculate a liquid LB culture. The cultures were grown at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ between 0.5 and 1 . Cultures were normalized to an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}=0.5$, and serial dilutions were spotted on to LB agar media containing the drugs as indicated in the figures. Plates were grown at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight (16-20 hours). All spot titer assays were performed at least twice.

## Western blotting

Western blotting experiments for YneA were performed essentially as described (38). Briefly, for the MMC recovery assay, samples of an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}=10$ were harvested via centrifugation and washed twice with 1x PBS pH 7.4 and re-suspended in $400 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of sonication buffer ( 50 mM Tris, $\mathrm{pH} 8.0,10 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, $20 \%$ glycerol, 2x Roche protease inhibitors, and 5 mM PMSF) and lysed via sonication. SDS sample buffer was added to 2 x and samples ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) were incubated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and separated using $10 \%$ SDS-PAGE (DnaN) or $16.5 \%$ Tris-Tricine

SDS-PAGE (YneA). Proteins were transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane using the BioRad transblot-turbo following the manufacturer's instructions. Membranes were blocked in 5\% milk in TBST for 1 hour at room temperature. Membranes were incubated with YneA antiserum at a 1:3000 dilution in $2 \%$ milk in TBST for two hours at room temperature or at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. Membranes were washed three times with TBST for five minutes each and secondary antibodies (LiCor goat anti-Rabbit-680LT; 1:15000) were added and incubated for one hour at room temperature. Membranes were washed three times with TBST for five minutes each. Images of membranes were captured using the LiCor Odyssey.

For overexpression of YneA, cultures of LB were inoculated at an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}=0.05$ and incubated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ of about 0.2 (about 90 minutes). Xylose was added to $0.1 \%$ and cultures were incubated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hours. Samples of an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}=25$ were harvested and resuspended in $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ sonication buffer as above. All subsequent steps were performed as described above.

For GFP-DdcA and DdcA-GFP, samples of an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}=1$ were harvested from LB + $0.05 \%$ xylose cultures via centrifugation and washed twice with 1 x PBS pH 7.4. Samples were re-suspended in $100 \mu \mathrm{~L} 1 \mathrm{x}$ SMM buffer ( 0.5 M sucrose, 0.02 M maleic acid, $0.02 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$, adjusted to pH 6.5 ) containing $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ lysozyme and 2 x Roche protease inhibitors. Samples were incubated at room temperature for one hour and SDS sample buffer was added to 1 x and incubated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 7 minutes. Samples ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) were separated via $10 \%$ or $4-20 \%$ SDSPAGE. All subsequent steps were as described above, except GFP antisera (lot 1360-ex) was used at a 1:5000 dilution at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight.

## YneA stability assay

Cultures of LB were inoculated at an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}=0.05$ and incubated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ of about 0.2 (about 90 minutes). Xylose was added to $0.1 \%$ and cultures were incubated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hours. To stop translation, erythromycin was added to $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ and samples $\left(\mathrm{OD}_{600}=10\right)$ were taken at $0,60,120$, and 180 minutes (the strains for this experiment contain the chloramphenicol resistant gene, cat, which prevents chloramphenicol from being used). Western blotting was performed as described above.

## Subcellular fractionation

Fractionation experiments were performed as described previously (48). A cell pellet equivalent to $1 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{OD}_{600}=1$ was harvested via centrifugation (10,000 $g$ for 5 minutes at room temperature), and washed with $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ 1x PBS. Protoplasts were generated by resuspension in $100 \mu \mathrm{~L} 1 \mathrm{x}$ SMM buffer ( 0.5 M sucrose, 0.02 M maleic acid, $0.02 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$, adjusted to pH 6.5 ) containing $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ lysozyme and 1x Roche protease inhibitors at room temperature for 2 hours. Protoplasts were pelleted via centrifugation: $5,000 g$ for 6 minutes at room temperature. Protoplasts were re-suspended in $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ TM buffer ( 20 mM Tris, $\mathrm{pH} 8.0,5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}{ }_{2}, 40$ units/mL DNase I (NEB), $200 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ RNase A (Sigma), $0.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$, and 1x Roche protease inhibitors) and left at room temperature for 30 minutes. The membrane fraction was pelleted via centrifugation: $20,800 \mathrm{~g}$ for 30 minutes at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The cytosolic fraction (supernatant) was transferred to a new tube and placed on ice, and the pellet was washed with $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of TM buffer and pelleted via centrifugation as above. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet was resuspended in $120 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 1 x SDS dye. SDS loading dye was added to 1 x to the cytosolic fraction and $12 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of each fraction were used for Western blot analysis.

## Culture supernatant protein precipitation

Culture supernatants were concentrated by TCA precipitation as described previously with minor modifications (66). A culture was grown at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ about 1 , and the cells were pelleted via centrifugation: $7,000 g$ for 10 minutes at room temperature. The culture supernatant ( 30 mL ) was filtered using a $0.22 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ filter and placed on ice. Proteins were precipitated by addition of 6 mL ice-cold $100 \%$ TCA $(6.1 \mathrm{~N})$, and left on ice for 30 minutes. Precipitated proteins were pelleted via centrifugation: 18,000 rpm (Sorvall SS-34 rotor) for 30 minutes at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Pellets were washed with 1 mL ice-cold acetone and pelleted again via centrifugation: $20,000 \mathrm{~g}$ for 15 minutes at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The supernatant was discarded, and the residual acetone was evaporated by placing tubes in $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ heat block for 1-2 minutes. Protein pellets were re-suspended in $120 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ 6x SDS-loading dye and $12 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ were used in Western blot analysis.

## Proteinase K sensitivity assay

Proteinase K sensitivity assays were performed similar to previous reports (49, 67). A cell pellet from $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{OD}_{600}=1$ equivalent was harvested and washed as in "subcellular
fractionation." Protoplasts were generated by resuspension in $36 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ 1x SMM buffer ( 0.5 M sucrose, 0.02 M maleic acid, $0.02 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$, adjusted to pH 6.5 ) containing $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ lysozyme at room temperature for 1 hour. Either $9 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 1 x SMM buffer or $0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ proteinase K (dissolved in 1x SMM buffer) was added (final proteinase K concentration of $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the time indicated in the figures. Reactions were stopped by the addition of $5 \mu \mathrm{~L} 50 \mathrm{mM}$ PMSF (final concentration of 5 mM ) and $25 \mu \mathrm{~L} 6 \mathrm{x}$ SDS-dye (final concentration of $2 \mathrm{x})$. For Western blot analysis, $12 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ were used.

## Microscopy

Strains were grown on LB agar plates containing $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ chloramphenicol at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. For GFP-DdcA and DdcA-GFP, LB agar plates were washed with $\mathrm{S} 7_{50}$ media containing $1 \%$ arabinose and cultures of $\mathrm{S}_{50}$ media containing $1 \%$ arabinose and $0.05 \%$ xylose were inoculated at an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}=0.1$ and incubated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ of about 0.4. Samples were taken and incubated with $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ FM4-64 for 5 minutes and transferred to pads of 1 x Spizizen salts and $1 \%$ agarose. Images were captured with an Olympus BX61 microscope using 250 ms and 1000 ms exposure times for FM4-64 (membranes) and GFP, respectively. The brightness and contrast were adjusted for FM4-64 images with adjustments applied to the entire image. Strains with GFP-YneA were grown on LB agar plates containing $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ chloramphenicol overnight at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Plates were washed with $\mathrm{S} 7_{50}$ minimal media containing $1 \%$ arabinose and cultures started at an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}=0.1$. Cultures were grown at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ of about 0.3 and xylose was added to $0.1 \%$. Cultures were grown for 30 minutes at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and imaged as for GFP-DdcA with exposure times of 300 ms for FM4-64 and 500 ms for GFP.
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Figure 3.1 Deletion of $d d c A(y s o A)$ results in sensitivity to DNA damage. (A) A schematic of the DdcA protein. DdcA is predicted to have 334 amino acids and 3 tetratrichopeptide repeats at its N-terminus. (B) A spot titer assay in which exponentially growing cultures of B. subtilis strains WT (PY79), $\Delta d d c A$ (PEB357), and $\Delta d d c A$, amyE $:: P_{x y l}-d d c A$ (PEB503) were spotted on the indicated media and incubated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight.


Figure 3.2 DNA damage sensitivity of $d d c A$ deletion is dependent on DNA damage checkpoint protein YneA and independent of nucleotide excision repair. A spot titer assay using B. subtilis strains WT (PY79), $\Delta d d c A$ (PEB357), $\Delta u v r A B$ (PEB309), $\Delta y n e A:: e r m$ (PEB433), $\Delta d d c A$ $\Delta y n e A:: e r m ~(P E B 495), ~ a n d ~ \triangle d d c A ~ \triangle u v r A B ~(P E B 497) ~ s p o t t e d ~ o n ~ t h e ~ i n d i c a t e d ~$ media.


Figure 3.3 DdcA functions independent of the checkpoint recovery proteases. (A) Spot titer assay using $B$. subtilis strains WT (PY79), $\Delta d d c A$ (PEB357), $\Delta d d c P$ (PEB324), $\Delta d d c A \Delta d d c P$ (PEB499), $\Delta c t p A$ (PEB355), and $\Delta d d c A \Delta c t p A$ (PEB579) spotted on the indicated media. (B) Spot titer assay using B. subtilis strains WT (PY79), $\Delta d d c A$ (PEB357), $\Delta d d c P \Delta c t p A$ (PEB555), $\Delta d d c A \Delta d d c P \Delta c t p A$ (PEB639), $\Delta y n e A:: l o x P$ (PEB439), $\Delta d d c A \Delta y n e A:: l o x P$ (PEB587), $\Delta d d c P$ $\Delta c t p A \Delta y n e A:: l o x P$ (PEB561), and $\Delta d d c A \Delta d d c P \Delta c t p A \Delta y n e A:: l o x P$ (PEB643) spotted on the indicated media.


Figure 3.4 DdcA cannot complement loss of checkpoint recovery proteases. (A) Spot titer assay using B. subtilis strains WT (PY79), $\Delta d d c A$ (PEB357), $\Delta d d c A$ amyE $:: P_{x y l}-d d c P$ (PEB836), and $\Delta d d c A$ amyE $:: P_{x y}-c t p A$ (PEB837) spotted on the indicated media. (B) Spot titer assay using B. subtilis strains WT (PY79), $\Delta d d c P \Delta c t p A(P E B 555), ~ \Delta d d c P, \Delta c t p A, ~ a m y E:: P_{x y} l-d d c A$ (PEB838), $\Delta d d c P, \Delta c t p A, ~ a m y E:: P_{x y}-d d c P$ (PEB557), $\Delta d d c A \Delta d d c P \Delta c t p A$ (PEB639), $\Delta d d c P$, $\Delta c t p A, \Delta d d c A$, amyE $:: P_{x y}-d d c A(\mathrm{PEB} 840), \Delta d d c P, \Delta c t p A, \Delta d d c A, ~ a m y E:: P_{x y} /-d d c P$ (PEB839), and $\Delta d d c P, \Delta c t p A, \Delta d d c A$, amy $E:: P_{x y l}-c t p A$ (PEB841) spotted on the indicated media. (C) Spot titer assay using $B$. subtilis strains WT (PY79), $\Delta d d c P \Delta c t p A(P E B 555), ~ \Delta d d c P, \Delta c t p A$, $a m y E:: P_{x y}-d d c A(\mathrm{PEB} 838), \Delta d d c A \Delta d d c P \Delta c t p A(\mathrm{PEB639}), \Delta d d c P, \Delta c t p A$, and $\Delta d d c A$, amy $::: P_{x y l}-d d c A$ (PEB840) spotted on the indicated media.


Figure 3.5 Deletion of $d d c A$ can be complemented by ectopic expression using high levels of xylose. A Spot titer assay using WT (PY79), $\Delta d d c A$ (PEB357), and $\Delta d d c A$ amyE $:: P_{x y} l-d d c A$ (PEB503) spotted on the indicated media and incubated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight.


Figure 3.6 Deletion of $\boldsymbol{d d c} \boldsymbol{A}$ does not increase YneA protein levels following MMC treatment and recovery. Western blotting using antisera against YneA (top panel) or DnaN (bottom panel) using whole cell extracts from WT (PY79), $\Delta d d c A$ (PEB357), $\Delta d d c P \Delta c t p A$ (PEB555), $\Delta d d c A \Delta d d c P \Delta c t p A$ (PEB639) after a two hour treatment with $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ MMC (lanes labeled "MMC") or after recovering for two hours from MMC treatment (lanes labeled "2h Rec").


Figure 3.7 Deletion of $\boldsymbol{d d c} \boldsymbol{A}$ results in sensitivity to ynea overexpression independent of YneA stability. (A) Spot titer testing the effect of yneA overexpression. B. subtilis strains WT (PY79), amyE $:: P_{x y}-$-yneA (PEB846), $\Delta d d c A$ amyE $:: P_{x y}{ }^{\prime}-y n e A(P E B 848), ~ \Delta d d c P, \Delta c t p A$, amyE:: $P_{x y l}-y n e A$ (PEB850), and $\Delta d d c A \Delta d d c P \Delta c t p A$, amyE $:: P_{x y} l-y n e A$ (PEB852) were spotted on LB agar media containing increasing concentrations of xylose to induce yneA expression. (B) A Western blot using antisera against YneA (Upper panels), or DnaN lower panel using $B$. subtilis strains WT (PY79), amyE::P $P_{x y}-$-yneA (PEB846), $\Delta d d c A$ amyE $:: P_{x y l}-y n e A ~(P E B 848), ~$ $\Delta d d c P, \Delta c t p A$, amyE $:: P_{x y l}-y n e A(P E B 850)$, and $\Delta d d c A \Delta d d c P \Delta c t p A$, amyE $:: P_{x y}-$-yneA (PEB852) after growing in the presence of $0.1 \%$ xylose for two hours. The panel on the right is an increased exposure to show the faint bands of WT and $\Delta d d c A$. (C) A Western blot using antisera against YneA (upper panel) or DnaN (lower panel). Cultures of $\Delta d d c P, \Delta c t p A, a m y E:: P_{x y}-y n e A$ (PEB850) and $\Delta d d c A \Delta d d c P \Delta c t p A$, amyE::P $P_{x y l}-y n e A$ (PEB852) were grown as in panel B, except at 0 hours erythromycin was added and samples were harvest every hour for three hours.


Figure 3.8 DdcP and $\operatorname{CtpA}$ are membrane anchored with extracellular protease domains. (A) Subcellular fractionation followed by Western blot analysis of WT (PY79) lysates using DdcP and CtpA antisera (M, molecular weight standard, WCL, whole cell lysates; Media, precipitated media proteins; Cyt, cytosolic fraction; Mem, membrane fraction). (B) Competing models for membrane topology of DdcP and CtpA tested using a proteinase K sensitivity assay. (C) Proteinase K sensitivity assay followed by Western blot detection of DdcP, CtpA, and DnaN with antiserum. Samples were treated with lysozyme to generate protoplasts and incubated with proteinase K for the indicated time (lanes 1-6), or the samples were incubated with lysozyme and Triton X-100 to disrupt the plasma membrane and incubated with proteinase K for the indicated time (lanes 7-9). (D) Schematics depicting the DdcP $\Delta \mathrm{TM}$ (left) and CtpA $\Delta \mathrm{TM}$ (right) in which the transmembrane domain was deleted. (E) Proteinase K sensitivity assay followed by Western blot analysis of strains expressing DdcP $\Delta \mathrm{TM}$ (left, PEB719) or CtpA $\Delta \mathrm{TM}$ (right, PEB772) performed as in panel C using a 2 hour incubation with proteinase K .


Figure 3.9 GFP-DdcA is an intracellular protein and is present in the cytosolic and membrane fractions. (A) Spot titer assay using B. subtilis strains WT (PY79), $\Delta d d c A$ (PEB357), $\Delta d d c A$ amyE $:: P_{x y}-g f p-d d c A$ (PEB854), and $\Delta d d c A$ amy $E:: P_{x y}-d d c A-g f p$ (PEB856) spotted on the indicated media. (B) Western blot of cell extracts from B. subtilis strains WT (PY79), $\Delta d d c A$ amyE $:: P_{x y l}-g f p-d d c A$ (PEB854), and $\Delta d d c A$ amyE $:: P_{x y l}-d d c A-g f p$ (PEB856) using antiserum against GFP. The arrowhead highlights the slightly increased mobility of DdcA-GFP, and the asterisk denotes a cross-reacting species detected by the GFP antiserum. The smaller arrow indicates the expected migration of free GFP. (C) Micrographs from WT (PY79) and
$\Delta d d c A$ amy $E:: P_{x y}-g f p-d d c A$ (PEB854) cultures grown in $\mathrm{S}_{50}$ minimal media containing $1 \%$ arabinose with (far left and right panels) or without (middle panels) $0.05 \%$ xylose. Images in red are the membrane stain FM4-64, green are GFP fluorescence and the bottom images are a merge of FM4-64 and GFP fluorescence. The white lines through cells in the images are a representation of the line scans of fluorescence intensity generated in ImageJ and plotted below the micrographs. Scale bar is $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. (D) Western blot of whole cell lysate (WCL), cytosolic fraction (Cyt), and membrane fraction (Mem) from $\Delta d d c A$ amyE $:: P_{x y l}-g f p-d d c A$ (PEB854) cell extracts using antisera against GFP (upper panel) or DdcP (lower panel). The asterisk denotes a cross-reacting species detected by the GFP antiserum.


Figure 3.10 DdcA-GFP is intracellular and found in the cytosolic and membrane fractions. (A) Micrographs from WT (PY79) and $\Delta d d c A$ amy $E:: P_{x y} l-d d c A$-gfp (PEB856) cultures grown in $\mathrm{S} 7_{50}$ minimal media containing $1 \%$ arabinose and $0.05 \%$ xylose. Images in red are the membrane stain FM4-64, green are GFP fluorescence and the bottom images are a merge of FM4-64 and GFP fluorescence. The white lines through cells in the images are a representation of the line scans of fluorescence intensity generated in ImageJ and plotted below the micrographs. Scale bar
is $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. (B) Western blot of the whole cell lysate (WCL), cytosolic fraction (Cyt), and membrane fraction (Mem) from $\Delta d d c A$ amy $E:: P_{x y} y-d d c A-g f p$ (PEB856) cell extracts using antisera against GFP (upper panel) or DdcP (lower panel). The asterisk denotes a cross-reacting species detected by the GFP antiserum.


Figure 3.11 DdeA and YneA do not interact in bacterial two hybrid assay. Plasmids containing the indicated T18 (rows) and T25 (columns) fusions were used to co-transform E. coli BTH101 cells, which were spotted onto LB containing X-gal and IPTG.


Figure 3.12 DdcA inhibits YneA. (A) B. subtilis strains amyE:: $P_{x y l}-y n e A$ (PEB846), $\Delta d d c A$ amyE $:: P_{x y}-$-yneA (PEB848), $\Delta d d c P$, $\Delta c t p A$, amyE $:: P_{x y}-y n e A(P E B 850)$, and $\Delta d d c A \Delta d d c P$ $\Delta c t p A$, amyE $:: P_{x y}-y n e A(P E B 852), ~ a m y E:: P_{x y} l-g f p-y n e A(P E B 876), ~ \Delta d d c A ~ a m y E:: P_{x y}-g f p-y n e A$ (PEB882), $\Delta d d c P, \Delta c t p A, ~ a m y E:: P_{x y} l-g f p-y n e A(P E B 888)$, and $\Delta d d c A \Delta d d c P \Delta c t p A, ~ a m y E:: P_{x y} l^{-}$ gfp-yneA (PEB894) were struck onto LB or LB $+0.1 \%$ xylose and incubated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. (B) Micrographs from the indicated strains from Panel A, grown in minimal media and treated with $0.1 \%$ xylose for 30 minutes. Green images are GFP fluorescence and red images are FM4-

64 membrane stain. The percentage of septal localization is shown for PEB876 (n=591) and PEB882 ( $\mathrm{n}=542$ ). The p -value of a two-tailed z-test was 0.516 . Scale bar is $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. (C) Cell length distributions of strains grown with (right) or without (left) $0.1 \%$ xylose. The number of cells measured ( n ) for each condition is indicated. The black vertical dashed line is at $2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and the blue vertical dashed line is at $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figure 3.13 DdcA inhibits enforcement of the DNA damage checkpoint. A working model for how DdcA inhibits YneA. DdcA prevents access to the target of YneA, however, when the SOS response has been activated for a prolonged period of time, YneA is able to overcome DdcA dependent inhibition to prevent cell division. Following DNA repair and completion of DNA replication the SOS response is turned off and the checkpoint recovery proteases degrade YneA allowing cell division to resume.


Figure 3.14 DdcP and CtpA PDZ domains have different functions in vivo. (A) Alignment of the PDZ domain of DdcP to the PDZ domains of DegP and DegS from E. coli. (B) Alignment of
the PDZ domain of CtpA to the PDZ domains of CtpB from B. subtilis and Prc from E. coli. (C) Schematics of $\triangle \mathrm{PDZ}$ constructs used in panels B and C. (D) Spot titer assay using B. subtilis strains WT (PY79), $\Delta d d c P$ (PEB324), $d d c P \Delta P D Z$ (PEB774), $\Delta c t p A$ (PEB355), and $c t p A \Delta P D Z$ (PEB776) media. (E) Western blot analysis of WT (PY79), $d d c P \Delta P D Z$ (PEB774), and $\operatorname{ctp} A \triangle P D Z$ (PEB776) cell lysates using DdcP, CtpA, and DnaN antiserum.

|  |  | No Xylose | 0.1\% Xylose |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strain | Genotype | Cell length (mean $\pm \mathbf{s d}$ ) | Cell length (mean $\pm \mathbf{s d}$ ) | $\% \geq 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | p-value |
| PEB876 | $a m y E:: P_{x y} /$-gfp-yneA | $\begin{gathered} 1.98 \pm 0.51 \\ (\mathrm{n}=685) \end{gathered}$ | $2.91 \pm 0.75$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.84 \% \\ & (6 / 717) \end{aligned}$ | N/A |
| PEB882 | $\Delta d d c A$, amy $E:: P_{x y}-g f p-$ yneA | $\begin{gathered} 2.48 \pm 0.73 \\ (\mathrm{n}=672) \end{gathered}$ | $2.86 \pm 0.85$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.16 \% \\ & (7 / 601) \end{aligned}$ | 0.55 |
| PEB888 | $\Delta d d c P, \Delta c t p A$, amyE $:: P_{x y l}-g f p-y n e A$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.18 \pm 0.60 \\ (\mathrm{n}=690) \end{gathered}$ | $2.49 \pm 0.70$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.68 \% \\ (5 / 734) \end{gathered}$ | 0.73 |
| PEB894 | $\Delta d d c P, \Delta c t p A, \Delta d d c A$, amyE $\because: P_{x y}-g f p-y n e A$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.39 \pm 1.10 \\ (\mathrm{n}=695) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $4.09 \pm 2.09$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.4 \% \\ (159 / 711) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $<0.00001$ |

Table 3.1 Over-expression of GFP-YneA results in a significant increase in cells greater than $\mathbf{5 \mu m}$ in cells lacking $d d c P, c t p A$, and $d d c A$. Data are from expression of GFP-YneA using $0.1 \%$ xylose for 30 minutes. The mean cell length $\pm$ the standard deviation is listed. The percent of cells greater than $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (number/total cells scored) and the p-value from a two-tailed z-test are listed.

| Strain | Genotype | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PY79 | PY79 | (63) |
| PEB309 | $\triangle u v r A B$ | This study |
| PEB324 | $\Delta d d c P(y l b L)$ | (38) |
| PEB355 | $\triangle \operatorname{ctp} A$ | (38) |
| PEB357 | $\triangle d d c A(y s o A)$ | (38) |
| PEB433 | DyneA::erm | (38) |
| PEB439 | \yneA: $: 10 x P$ | (38) |
| PEB495 | $\Delta d d c A, \Delta y n e A:: \mathrm{erm}$ | This study |
| PEB497 | $\triangle u v r A B, \triangle d d c A$ | This study |
| PEB499 | $\Delta d d c P, \Delta d d c A$ | This study |
| PEB503 | $\triangle d d c A$, amyE $\because: P_{x y l}-d d c A$ | This study |
| PEB555 | $\Delta d d c P, \Delta c t p A$ | (38) |
| PEB557 | $\Delta d d c P, \Delta c t p A, ~ a m y E ~: ~: ~ P ~>~ x y l ~-~ d d c P ~$ | (38) |
| PEB561 | $\Delta d d c P, \Delta c t p A, \Delta y n e A:: l o x P$ | (38) |
| PEB579 | $\triangle \operatorname{ctp} A, \triangle d d c A$ | This study |
| PEB587 | $\Delta d d c A, \Delta y n e A: \because l o x P$ | This study |
| PEB619 | $\Delta d d c P, \triangle c t p A, ~ a m y E: ~\left(P_{x y l}-c t p A\right.$ | (38) |
| PEB639 | $\Delta d d c P, \Delta c t p A, \Delta d d c A$ | This study |
| PEB643 | $\Delta d d c P, \Delta c t p A, \Delta d d c A, \Delta y n e A: \because l o x P$ | This study |
| PEB719 | $\Delta d d c P$, amy $E:: P_{x y}-d d c P \Delta T M$ | This study |
| PEB772 | $\triangle c t p A$, amy $: \because: P_{x y} 1-c t p A \Delta T M$ | This study |
| PEB774 | $d d c P \triangle P D Z$ | This study |
| PEB776 | $\operatorname{ctp} A \triangle P D Z$ | This study |
| PEB836 | $\Delta d d c A$, amy $E:: P_{x y l}-d d c P$ | This study |
| PEB837 | $\Delta d d c A$, amy $E: \because P_{x y l}-c t p A$ | This study |
| PEB838 | $\Delta d d c P, \Delta c t p A, ~ a m y E:: P_{x y l}-d d c A$ | This study |
| PEB839 | $\Delta d d c P, \Delta c t p A, \Delta d d c A$, amy $E: P_{x y} 1-d d c P$ | This study |
| PEB840 | $\Delta d d c P, \Delta c t p A, \Delta d d c A, ~ a m y E:: P_{x y} /-d d c A$ | This study |
| PEB841 | $\triangle d d c P, \triangle \operatorname{ctp} A, \triangle d d c A, a m y E: \because P_{x y l}-$ ctp $A$ | This study |
| PEB846 | amyE $:: P_{x y l}$-yne $A$ | This study |
| PEB848 | $\triangle d d c A$, amyE $\because: P_{x y} l-y n e A$ | This study |
| PEB850 | $\Delta d d c P, \Delta c t p A, ~ a m y E:: P_{x y}-$ - ${ }^{\prime}$ neA | This study |
| PEB852 | $\Delta d d c P, \Delta c t p A, \Delta d d c A$, amy $E: \because P_{x y} 1-y n e A$ | This study |
| PEB854 | $\Delta d d c A, ~ a m y E:: P_{x y l}-$ gfp-ddcA | This study |
| PEB856 | $\Delta d d c A$, amy $: \because P_{x y l}-d d c A-g f p$ | This study |
| PEB876 | amyE $: \because P_{x y}$-gfp-yneA | This study |
| PEB882 | $\Delta d d c A$, amy E $: \because P_{x y l}-$-gfp-yne $A$ | This study |
| PEB8888 | $\Delta d d c P, \Delta c t p A$, amy $:: P_{x y}{ }^{-g f p-y n e A ~}$ | This study |
| PEB894 | $\Delta d d c P, \Delta c t p A, \Delta d d c A$, amy $E:: P_{x y} /$-gfp-yneA | This study |

Table 3.2 Strains used in this study.

| Plasmid number | Plasmid name | Reference/Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pKT25 | pKT25 | Euromedex (EUP-25C) |
| pUT18C | pUT18C | Euromedex (EUP-18C) |
| pDR244 | pDR244 | Bacillus Genetic Stock Center |
| pPB41 | pPB41 | $(64,68)$ |
| pPB47 | pPB47 | (38) |
| pPB73 | pPB41-CRISPR::uvrB | (38) |
| pPB84 | pPB73- $\triangle u v r A B$ editing template | This Study |
| pPB108 | pPB47-amyE: $: P_{x y l}$-ddcP-cam ${ }^{R}$ | (38) |
| pPB122 | $\mathrm{pPB} 115-\triangle d d c A$ editing template | (38) |
| pPB147 | pPB47-amyE: $: P_{x y l}$-ddcA-cam ${ }^{R}$ | This study |
| pPB184 | pPB47-amyE $: \therefore P_{x y l}$-ctp $A$-cam ${ }^{R}$ | (38) |
| pPB192 | pPB47-amyE: $P_{\text {xyl }}$-yneA-cam ${ }^{R}$ | This study |
| pPB216 | pPB47--amyE $: \because P_{x y l}-d d c P \Delta T M-c a m^{R}$ | This study |
| pPB235 | pPB41-CRISPR::ddcP-PDZ | This study |
| pPB236 | pPB41-CRISPR::ctpA-PDZ | This study |
| pPB245 | pPB235-ddcP $\triangle P D Z$ editing template | This study |
| pPB246 | pPB236-ctpA $\triangle P D Z$ editing template | This study |
| pPB254 | pPB47-amyE: $: P_{x y l}-$ gfp-ddcA-cam ${ }^{R}$ | This study |
| pPB255 | pPB47-amyE: $: P_{\text {xyl }}$-ddcA-gfp-cam ${ }^{R}$ | This study |
| pPB257 | pPB47-amyE: $: P_{x y l}-$ gfp-yneA-cam ${ }^{R}$ | This study |
| pPB267 | pUT18-YneA | (38) |
| pPB268 | pUT18-YneA 4 N | (38) |
| pPB269 | PKT25-DdcA | This study |
| pPB270 | pKT25-DdcP-S234A | (38) |
| pPB271 | pKT25-CtpA-S297A | (38) |

Table 3.3 Plasmids used in this study.

| Primer name | Sequence |
| :---: | :---: |
| oPEB116 | Ctctcgtttcatcggtatcattac |
| oPEB117 | Cgcttcgttaatacagatgtaggt |
| oPEB217 | GAACCTCATTACGAATTCAGCATGC |
| oPEB218 | GAATGGCGATTTTCGTTCGTGAATAC |
| oPEB227 | CCGTCAATTGTCTGATTCGTTA |
| oPEB232 | GCTGTAGGCATAGGCTTGGTTATG |
| oPEB234 | GTATTCACGAACGAAAATCGCCATTCCTAGCAGCACGCCATAGTGACTG |
| oPEB253 | GAAGGGTAGTCCAGAAGATAACGA |
| oPEB345 | Actcctttgtttatccaccgaac |
| oPEB348 | TTATTTTTGACACCAGACCAACTG |
| oPEB370 | cacctacatctgtattaacgaagcgTCAATGGGGAAGAGAACCGCTTAAG |
| oPEB377 | ggtaatgataccgatgaaacgagagAACAAAATTCTCCAGTCTTCACATCG |
| oPEB383 | Atgtatacctccttaggatcccatttcc |
| oPEB422 | GCATAACCAAGCCTATGCCTACAGCgaagactttgtaattgcggaaaac |
| oPEB424 | Agaatgaatcgtgaaatgatcacc |
| oPEB428 | GCATGCTGAATTCGTAATGAGGTTCtagtctcttgaagctggttgtcct |
| oPEB432 | Acggatcgatatgattctctaagc |
| oPEB434 | Ctgaggagggtttttgttgattac |
| oPEB435 | Taacagagggttaaaataagcctccgtttctttaacg |
| oPEB436 | Ttcgttaaagaaacggaggcttatttttaaccctctgttaagaggggacagcttgt cggcaagtccatccttgggcttagcaggcaagctttttctttac |
| oPEB477 | GCATGCTGAATTCGTAATGAGGTTCagcagtacctgtcctcttgattct |
| oPEB480 | GCATAACCAAGCCTATGCCTACAGCccagtatgtgacctcgattctaac |
| oPEB481 | TACATAAGCACCAAATTGAAGTGG |
| oPEB482 | Agaaacagcacagcttattgatga |
| oPEB483 | GAGAAAAGATTGTGTTCCGAAAAG |
| oPEB492 | Tgatgttctttttcctcctattcg |
| oPEB493 | Acgatattgccgtattcctcttat |
| oPEB557 | taaCGGTTTCCATATGGGGATTGGTG |
| oPEB561 | ACCAATCCCCATATGGAAACCGttaTCAGGTGCTTTTCGCTTTCAGCTT |
| oPEB588 | GCATGCTGAATTCGTAATGAGGTTCcccctcctatcctgactttctatc |
| oPEB591 | GCATAACCAAGCCTATGCCTACAGCtatgggtcattatgctgtttatgg |
| oPEB592 | Acgactttaccttgatggtttttg |
| oPEB593 | Gttgctctttacacattcttcagc |
| oPEB594 | Ggatacagcaaatgtcctaaataagc |
| oPEB601 | Cgtgcttatgaatatatgggattg |
| oPEB602 | Aacaagctcttcacgcaatttag |
| oPEB706 | aatgggatcctaaggaggtatacatATGACGCATGACAAGAAAAACGCA |
| oPEB707 | CACCAATCCCCATATGGAAACCGttaTTACAAATAAGAAATTTCTTCAATATCTTT TAAC |
| oPEB708 | AGAAGTCAAAAGCACCATTGAAG |


| oPEB818 | ACCAATCCCCATATGGAAACCGttaTTACATTTCTTTTTTCAGTGTTTCAATTGC |
| :--- | :--- |
| oPEB854 | aatgggatcctaaggaggtatacatATGAGTAAAGAATCTATTATTTTTGTCGGT |
| oPEB855 | CACCAATCCCCATATGGAAACCGttaCTATCTTACAGTTGCTAATTCATATG |
| oPEB887 | aatgggatcctaaggaggtatacatTTGACAGAGCTTGCTTCATTGATAA |
| oPEB911 | gaaatgggatcctaaggaggtatacatTTGAGCACAGGAGACAGCAAGTTCGAC |
| oPEB984 | aaacAGAAGTCGGTGATAAAATCATCAGCGCAGAg |
| oPEB985 | aaaacTCTGCGCTGATGATTTTATCACCGACTTCT |
| oPEB986 | TTCCTGCCCGATCCGGCTCATCAGGGCTGACTTTTTTTCCTGCCTTTTGA |
| oPEB987 | ATCAAAAGGCAGGAAAAAAAGTCAGCCCTGATGAGCCGGATCGGGCAG |
| oPEB988 | aaacGAATGTAAATGAAGCCGTCGCTTTAATCCGg |
| oPEB989 | aaaacCGGATTAAAGCGACGGCTTCATTTACATTC |
| oPEB990 | CATCTCTGAATAGACAGTCTCTACAGGTGCTGAAATCGTCTCGTCGAATG |
| oPEB991 | AATCATTCGACGAGACGATTTCAGCACCTGTAGAGACTGTCTATTCAGAG |
| oPEB998 | aaatgggatcctaaggaggtatacatATGAGTAAAGGAGAAGAACTTTTCAC |
| oPEB999 | TTTTGCGTTTTTCTTGTCATGCGTCATGAGCTCAGAGCGGTAAGCGTAA |
| oPEB1000 | GTGGTTACGCTTACCGCTCTGAGCTCATGACGCATGACAAGAAAAACGCA |
| oPEB1001 | AAAGATATTGAAGAAATTTCTTATTTGGGTGAAGGTCAAGGACAAGGCCA |
| oPEB1002 | CCACCAATCCCCATATGGAAACCGttaTTATTTGTATAGTTCATCCATGCCATGTG |
| oPEB1003 | TCCTTGGCCTTGTCCTTGACCTTCACCCAAATAAGAAATTTCTTCAATATCTTTTA |
| oPEB1005 | ACAGCTCAGAGCGGTAAGCGTAAC |
| oPEB1006 | GGACGTGGTTACGCTTACCGCTCTGAGCTCATGAGTAAAGAATCTATTATTTTTGT |
| oPEB1014 | TTGGCCTTGTCCTTGACCTTCACCGGGATCCTCTAGAGTCGACCCTG |
| oPEB1015 | TAActaagaattcggccgtcgttt |
| oPEB1021 | ATTATGCCGCATCTGTCCAACT |
| oPEB1022 | Gcaaggcgattaagttgggtaa |
| oPEB1037 | AGGATCCCGGTGAAGGTCAAGGACAAGGCCAAATGACGCATGACAAGAAAAACGCA |
| oPEB1038 | taaaacgacggccgaattcttagTTATTACAAATAAGAAATTTCTTCAATATCTTT |
|  | TAAC |

Table 3.4 Oligonucleotides used in this study.

## Supplemental text

## Supplemental Results

## The PDZ domains of DdcP and CtpA have different functions in vivo

The influence of a PDZ domain on protease activity has been found to be both positive and negative (69). Both DdcP and CtpA have PDZ domains, but the function of the PDZ domains in YneA degradation is unknown. We performed a PSI-BLAST search using the PDZ domain of DdcP against the E. coli protein database. We found that the PDZ domain of DdcP was most similar to the PDZ domain of DegS and PDZ1 from DegP (Fig 3.14A), both of which have been reported to inhibit protease activity (70-73). We asked if CtpA would yield the same PSI-BLAST result, so we repeated our search using the PDZ domain of CtpA. CtpA was most similar to the PDZ domain of the C-terminal processing protease Prc (also known as Tsp; Fig 3.14B), which was expected because CtpA and its homolog CtpB return Prc in a standard BLAST search (data not shown). Intriguingly, the PDZ domains of CtpB and Prc have been reported to function in substrate recognition $(74,75)$. Together, our homology searches predict that the PDZ domains of DdcP and CtpA may have different functions.

To test our hypothesis that DdcP and CtpA have PDZ domains with different functions, we constructed $\triangle \mathrm{PDZ}$ constructs for both DdcP and CtpA (Fig 3.14C) at the native locus of each gene. We tested for MMC sensitivity using a spot titer assay. Deletion of the PDZ domain of DdcP had no effect on MMC sensitivity (Fig 3.14D), suggesting that this PDZ domain is inhibitory similar to the PDZ domain of DegS. Deletion of the PDZ domain of CtpA resulted in a mutant that was sensitive to MMC, but not to the same extent as deletion of $\operatorname{ctp} A$ (Fig 3.14D), suggesting that the PDZ domain of CtpA functions in substrate recognition similar to Prc and CtpB. As a control, we verified that the $\triangle \mathrm{PDZ}$ variants were stably expressed in vivo (Fig 3.14E). Taken together, our results support the bioinformatics predictions, and we conclude that the PDZ domains of DdcP and CtpA have different functions in regulating protease activity.

## Supporting Materials and Methods

## Bacterial two-hybrid assays

Bacterial two-hybrid assays were performed as previously described (38, 76, 77).

## Strain construction

Individual strains were generated using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing or double crossover recombination as previously described (38). Strains using $P_{x y l}$ at the $a m y E$ locus have a chloramphenicol resistance cassette and were selected for using LB agar $+5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ chloramphenicol.

PEB309 ( $\Delta u v r A B$ ): PY79 was transformed with editing plasmid pPB84 to delete $u v r A B$. Deletion of $u v r A B$ was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB424/432.

PEB495 ( $\Delta d d c A$, $\Delta y n e A:: e r m)$ : PEB357 ( $\Delta d d c A$ ) was transformed with chromosomal DNA purified from PEB432 ( yyneA ::erm, BKE17860 from Bacillus Genetic Stock Center). Replacement of yneA with the erm cassette was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB492/493.

PEB497 ( $\Delta u v r A B, \Delta d d c A$ ): PEB309 was transformed with editing plasmid pPB 122 to delete $d d c A$. Deletion of $d d c A$ was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB601/602.

PEB499 ( $\Delta d d c P, \Delta d d c A$ ): PEB324 was transformed with editing plasmid pPB122 to delete $d d c A$. Deletion of $d d c A$ was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB601/602.

PEB503 ( $\Delta d d c A$, amyE $:: P_{x y l}-d d c A$ ): PEB357 was transformed with pPB147 digested with two restriction enzymes (KpnI-HF and ScaI-HF). Replacement of amyE via double crossover recombination was verified by testing for an inability to utilize starch and for the absence of a spectinomycin resistance cassette present on the portion of the plasmid that is not incorporated.

PEB579 ( $\Delta c t p A, \Delta d d c A$ ): PEB355 was transformed with editing plasmid pPB122 to delete $d d c A$. Deletion of $d d c A$ was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB601/602.

PEB587 ( $\Delta d d c A$, $\Delta y n e A: \because l o x P$ ): The erm cassette at the yneA locus was removed via Cre recombinase by transforming PEB495 with pDR244, which was subsequently evicted. The
absence of the erm cassette was verified by testing for sensitivity to erythromycin and by PCR genotyping using oPEB492/493.

PEB639 ( $\Delta d d c P, \Delta c t p A, \Delta d d c A$ ): PEB555 was transformed with editing plasmid pPB122 to delete $d d c A$. Deletion of $d d c A$ was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB601/602.

PEB643 ( $\Delta d d c P, \Delta \operatorname{ctp} A, \Delta d d c A, \Delta y n e A:: l o x P)$ : PEB561 was transformed with editing plasmid pPB 122 to delete $d d c A$. Deletion of $d d c A$ was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB601/602.

PEB719 ( $\Delta d d c P$, amyE $\left.:: P_{x y}-d d c P \Delta T M\right)$ : PEB324 was transformed with plasmid pPB216.
PEB772 ( $\Delta c t p A$, amyE $\left.: \because P_{x y}-c t p A \Delta T M\right)$ : PEB355 was transformed with a PCR product containing the portion of $a m y E:: P_{x y l}-\operatorname{ctp} A \Delta T M$ using oPEB370/377, as the plasmid could not be isolated from E. coli. Briefly, ctpADTM was amplified using oPEB911/818, the upstream portion of $a m y E$ and the $P_{x y}$ promoter were amplified using oPEB370/383, and the chloramphenicol resistance cassette and the downstream portion of $a m y E$ were amplified using oPEB557/377. The final PCR product used for transformation of PEB355 was generated using the three preceding PCR products as a template and oPEB370/377.

PEB774 ( $d d c P \triangle P D Z$ ): PY79 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB245. Deletion of the sequence encoding the PDZ domain of DdcP was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB481/482.

PEB776 (ctpA $\triangle P D Z$ ): PY79 was edited using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with plasmid pPB246. Deletion of the sequence encoding the PDZ domain of CtpA was verified via PCR genotyping using oPEB592/593.

PEB836 ( $\Delta d d c A$, amyE $:: P_{x y l}-d d c P$ ): PEB357 was transformed with pPB108 digested with two restriction enzymes (KpnI-HF and ScaI-HF). Replacement of $a m y E$ via double crossover recombination was verified by testing for an inability to utilize starch and for the absence of a spectinomycin resistance cassette present on the portion of the plasmid that is not incorporated.

PEB837 ( $\Delta d d c A$, amyE $\left.:: P_{x y}-c t p A\right)$ : PEB357 was transformed with pPB 184 digested with two restriction enzymes (KpnI-HF and ScaI-HF). Replacement of $a m y E$ via double crossover
recombination was verified by testing for an inability to utilize starch and for the absence of a spectinomycin resistance cassette present on the portion of the plasmid that is not incorporated.

PEB838 ( $\Delta d d c P, \Delta c t p A, ~ a m y E:: P_{x y}-d d c A$ ): PEB555 was transformed with pPB147 digested with two restriction enzymes (KpnI-HF and ScaI-HF). Replacement of $a m y E$ via double crossover recombination was verified by testing for an inability to utilize starch and for the absence of a spectinomycin resistance cassette present on the portion of the plasmid that is not incorporated.

PEB839 ( $\Delta d d c P, \Delta c t p A, \Delta d d c A$, amyE $\left.:: P_{x y l}-d d c P\right)$ : PEB639 was transformed with pPB108 digested with two restriction enzymes (KpnI-HF and Scal-HF). Replacement of $a m y E$ via double crossover recombination was verified by testing for an inability to utilize starch and for the absence of a spectinomycin resistance cassette present on the portion of the plasmid that is not incorporated.

PEB840 ( $\Delta d d c P, \Delta c t p A, \Delta d d c A$, amyE $\left.:: P_{x y}-d d c A\right)$ : PEB639 was transformed with pPB147 digested with two restriction enzymes (KpnI-HF and ScaI-HF). Replacement of amyE via double crossover recombination was verified by testing for an inability to utilize starch and for the absence of a spectinomycin resistance cassette present on the portion of the plasmid that is not incorporated.

PEB841 ( $\left.\Delta d d c P, \Delta c t p A, \Delta d d c A, a m y E:: P_{x y}-c t p A\right)$ : PEB639 was transformed with pPB184 digested with two restriction enzymes (KpnI-HF and ScaI-HF). Replacement of $a m y E$ via double crossover recombination was verified by testing for an inability to utilize starch and for the absence of a spectinomycin resistance cassette present on the portion of the plasmid that is not incorporated.

PEB846 (amyE:: $\left.P_{x y l}-y n e A\right)$ : PY79 was transformed with pPB192 digested with two restriction enzymes (KpnI-HF and ScaI-HF). Replacement of amyE via double crossover recombination was verified by testing for an inability to utilize starch and for the absence of a spectinomycin resistance cassette present on the portion of the plasmid that is not incorporated.

PEB848 ( $\Delta d d c A$, amyE $:: P_{x y}-y n e A$ ): PEB357 was transformed with pPB 192 digested with two restriction enzymes (KpnI-HF and ScaI-HF). Replacement of amyE via double crossover
recombination was verified by testing for an inability to utilize starch and for the absence of a spectinomycin resistance cassette present on the portion of the plasmid that is not incorporated.

PEB850 ( $\left.\Delta d d c P, \Delta c t p A, ~ a m y E:: P_{x y}-y n e A\right)$ : PEB555 was transformed with pPB192 digested with two restriction enzymes (KpnI-HF and ScaI-HF). Replacement of amyE via double crossover recombination was verified by testing for an inability to utilize starch and for the absence of a spectinomycin resistance cassette present on the portion of the plasmid that is not incorporated.

PEB852 ( $\Delta d d c P, \Delta c t p A, \Delta d d c A$, amyE::P $P_{x y}$-yneA): PEB639 was transformed with pPB192 digested with two restriction enzymes (KpnI-HF and ScaI-HF). Replacement of $a m y E$ via double crossover recombination was verified by testing for an inability to utilize starch and for the absence of a spectinomycin resistance cassette present on the portion of the plasmid that is not incorporated.

PEB854 ( $\Delta d d c A$, amyE $:: P_{x y}-g f p-d d c A$ ): PEB357 was transformed with pPB254 digested with two restriction enzymes (KpnI-HF and ScaI-HF). Replacement of amyE via double crossover recombination was verified by testing for an inability to utilize starch and for the absence of a spectinomycin resistance cassette present on the portion of the plasmid that is not incorporated.

PEB856 ( $\Delta d d c A$, amyE $:: P_{x y l}-d d c A-g f p$ ): PEB357 was transformed with pPB255 digested with two restriction enzymes (KpnI-HF and ScaI-HF). Replacement of amyE via double crossover recombination was verified by testing for an inability to utilize starch and for the absence of a spectinomycin resistance cassette present on the portion of the plasmid that is not incorporated.

PEB876 (amyE: : $P_{x y l}-$ gfp-yneA): PY79 was transformed with pPB257 digested with two restriction enzymes (KpnI-HF and ScaI-HF). Replacement of amyE via double crossover recombination was verified by testing for an inability to utilize starch and for the absence of a spectinomycin resistance cassette present on the portion of the plasmid that is not incorporated.

PEB882 ( $\Delta d d c A$, amyE $:: P_{x y} l$-gfp-yneA): PEB357 was transformed with pPB257 digested with two restriction enzymes (KpnI-HF and ScaI-HF). Replacement of $a m y E$ via double crossover recombination was verified by testing for an inability to utilize starch and for the absence of a spectinomycin resistance cassette present on the portion of the plasmid that is not incorporated.

PEB888 ( $\Delta d d c P, \Delta c t p A, ~ a m y E:: P_{x y} l$-gfp-yneA): PEB555 was transformed with pPB257 digested with two restriction enzymes (KpnI-HF and ScaI-HF). Replacement of $a m y E$ via double crossover recombination was verified by testing for an inability to utilize starch and for the absence of a spectinomycin resistance cassette present on the portion of the plasmid that is not incorporated.

PEB894 ( $\Delta d d c P, \Delta c t p A, \Delta d d c A$, amyE $\left.:: P_{x y} /-g f p-y n e A\right)$ : PEB639 was transformed with pPB257 digested with two restriction enzymes (KpnI-HF and ScaI-HF). Replacement of amyE via double crossover recombination was verified by testing for an inability to utilize starch and for the absence of a spectinomycin resistance cassette present on the portion of the plasmid that is not incorporated.

## Plasmid construction

Plasmids were constructed via Gibson assembly (65) using the PCR amplicons listed below as previously described (38).
pPB84: Plasmid pPB84 was constructed via Gibson assembly using four PCR products: 1) the vector pPB41 was amplified using oPEB217/218; 2) Cas9/CRISPR::uvrB was amplified using pPB73 as a template with oPEB232/234; 3) the sequence upstream of $u v r A B$ for the editing template was amplified using oPEB428/435; and 4) the sequence downstream of $u v r A B$ for the editing template was amplified using oPEB436/422. Clones were verified via Sanger sequencing using oPEB227, oPEB253, and oPEB434.
pPB147: Plasmid pPB147 was constructed via Gibson assembly using four PCR products: 1) pPB47 was amplified using oPEB116/117; 2) the upstream portion of $a m y E$ and the $P_{x y l}$ promoter was amplified using oPEB370/383; 3) the chloramphenicol resistance cassette and the downstream portion of $a m y E$ were amplified using oPEB557/377; 4) the $d d c A$ ORF was amplified using oPEB706/707. Clones were verified via Sanger sequencing using oPEB345, oPEB348, and oPEB708.
pPB192: Plasmid pPB192 was constructed via Gibson assembly using four PCR products: 1) pPB47 was amplified using oPEB116/117; 2) the upstream portion of $a m y E$ and the $P_{x y l}$ promoter was amplified using oPEB370/383; 3) the chloramphenicol resistance cassette and the
downstream portion of $a m y E$ were amplified using oPEB557/377; 4) the yneA ORF was amplified using oPEB854/855. Clones were verified via Sanger sequencing using oPEB345 and oPEB348.
pPB216: The upstream portion of $a m y E$ and the $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{xyl}}$ promoter were PCR amplified using oPEB370/383. The chloramphenicol resistance cassette and the downstream portion of $a m y E$ were amplified using oPEB557/377. Plasmid pPB47 was PCR amplified using oPEB116/117. The ORF of $d d c P$ (coding for a.a. 36-341) was PCR amplified using oPEB887/561. These four PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a plasmid to integrate $d d c P \Delta \mathrm{TM}$ under the control of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{xyl}}$ at the $a m y E$ locus. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing with oPEB345 and oPEB348.
pPB235: A proto-spacer targeting $d d c P$ in the sequence coding for the PDZ domain (oPEB984/985) was ligated to pPB41.
pPB236: A proto-spacer targeting $\operatorname{ctpA}$ in the sequence coding for the PDZ domain (oPEB988/989) was ligated to pPB41.
pPB245: The upstream and downstream portions of the $d d c P \triangle P D Z$ editing template were PCR amplified using oPEB477/986 and oPEB987/480, respectively. CRISPR/Cas9 was PCR amplified using oPEB232/234 and pPB235 as the template. The pPB41 vector was PCR amplified using oPEB217/218. These four PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a $d d c P \triangle P D Z$ editing plasmid. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing with oPEB227, oPEB253, and oPEB483.
pPB246: The upstream and downstream portions of the $\operatorname{ctp} A \triangle P D Z$ editing template were PCR amplified using oPEB588/990 and oPEB991/591, respectively. CRISPR/Cas9 was PCR amplified using oPEB232/234 and pPB236 as the template. The pPB41 vector was PCR amplified using oPEB217/218. These four PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a $\operatorname{ctp} A \triangle P D Z$ editing plasmid. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing with oPEB227, oPEB253, and oPEB594.
pPB254: Plasmid pPB254 was constructed via Gibson assembly using five PCR products: 1) pPB47 was amplified using oPEB116/117; 2) the upstream portion of $a m y E$ and the $P_{x y l}$
promoter was amplified using oPEB370/383; 3) the chloramphenicol resistance cassette and the downstream portion of $a m y E$ were amplified using oPEB557/377; 4) $g f p$ with a linker was amplified using oPEB998/999; 5) the $d d c A$ ORF was amplified using oPEB1000/707. Clones were verified via Sanger sequencing using oPEB345, oPEB348, and oPEB708.
pPB255: Plasmid pPB255 was constructed via Gibson assembly using five PCR products: 1) pPB47 was amplified using oPEB116/117; 2) the upstream portion of $a m y E$ and the $P_{x y l}$ promoter was amplified using oPEB370/383; 3) the chloramphenicol resistance cassette and the downstream portion of $a m y E$ were amplified using oPEB557/377; 4) the $d d c A$ ORF was amplified using oPEB706/1003; 5) $g f p$ with a linker was amplified using oPEB1001/1002. Clones were verified via Sanger sequencing using oPEB345, oPEB348, and oPEB708. pPB257: Plasmid pPB257 was constructed via Gibson assembly using five PCR products: 1) pPB47 was amplified using oPEB116/117; 2) the upstream portion of $a m y E$ and the $P_{x y l}$ promoter was amplified using oPEB370/383; 3) the chloramphenicol resistance cassette and the downstream portion of $a m y E$ were amplified using oPEB557/377; 4) $g f p$ with a linker was amplified using oPEB998/1005; 5) the yneA ORF was amplified using oPEB1006/855. Clones were verified via Sanger sequencing using oPEB345 and oPEB348.
pPB269: DdcA was amplified with primers oPEB1037/1038. The plasmid pKT25 was amplified with primers oPEB1014/1015. These two PCR products were used in a Gibson assembly reaction to generate a T25-DdcA fusion for expression in BTH101 cells in a bacterial two-hybrid assay. Clones were selected on LB agar containing $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ kanamycin and $0.2 \%$ glucose. Clones were verified via Sanger sequencing using oPEB708, 1021 and 1022.
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## CHAPTER IV

# A bacterial DNA repair pathway specific to a natural antibiotic 


#### Abstract

All organisms possess DNA repair pathways that are used to maintain the integrity of their genetic material. Although many DNA repair pathways are well understood, new pathways continue to be discovered. Here, we report an antibiotic specific DNA repair pathway in Bacillus subtilis that is composed of a previously uncharacterized helicase ( $m r f A$ ) and exonuclease ( $m r f B$ ). Deletion of $m r f A$ and $m r f B$ results in sensitivity to the DNA damaging agent mitomycin C, but not to any other type of DNA damage tested. We show that MrfAB function independent of canonical nucleotide excision repair, forming a novel excision repair pathway. We demonstrate that MrfB is a metal-dependent exonuclease and that the N -terminus of MrfB is required for interaction with MrfA. We determined that MrfAB failed to unhook inter-strand crosslinks in vivo, suggesting that MrfAB are specific to the monoadduct or the intra-strand crosslink. A phylogenetic analysis uncovered MrfAB homologs in diverse bacterial phyla, and cross-complementation indicates that MrfAB function is conserved in closely related species. $B$. subtilis is a soil dwelling organism and mitomycin C is a natural antibiotic produced by the soil bacterium Streptomyces lavendulae. The specificity of MrfAB suggests that these proteins are an adaptation to environments with mitomycin producing bacteria.


## Introduction

A defining feature of biology is the ability to reproduce, which requires replication of the genetic material. High fidelity DNA replication depends on the integrity of the template DNA which can be damaged by UV light, ionizing radiation, and numerous chemicals (1). Many DNA

[^1]damaging agents have been used as chemotherapeutics and are also produced from natural sources such as bacteria, fungi, or plants (2). One such naturally produced antibiotic is mitomycin C (MMC), originally isolated from Streptomyces lavendulae (3). MMC is produced as an inactive metabolite that must be activated by enzymatic or chemical reduction to react with DNA (4). MMC reacts specifically with guanine residues in DNA and results in three principle modifications (5). MMC forms a mono-adduct by reacting with a single guanine, however, MMC has two reactive centers, which can result in intra-strand crosslinks on adjacent guanines on the same strand, or in inter-strand crosslinks wherein the two guanines on opposite strands of CpG sequences are covalently linked (6-12). The toxicity of these different adducts is a result of preventing DNA synthesis (5).

In bacteria, MMC adducts and intra-strand crosslinks are repaired by nucleotide excision repair and inter-strand crosslinks are repaired by a combination of nucleotide excision repair and homologous recombination (13-15). Both mono-adducts and crosslinks are recognized in genomic DNA by UvrA to initiate repair (16-19). In some nucleotide excision repair models UvrB functions in complex with $\operatorname{UvrA}(17,20,21)$, while in vitro studies and a recent in vivo study using single molecule microscopy suggests that UvrB is recruited by $\operatorname{UvrA}(19,22)$. In any event, once UvrA and UvrB are present at the lesion, the subsequent step is the disassociation of UvrA and the recruitment of UvrC which incises the DNA on either side of the lesion (22).

In E. coli there is a second UvrC-like protein called Cho that can also perform the incision function (23, 24). Mono-adducts and intra-strand crosslinks are removed from the DNA via UvrD helicase in E. coli after UvrC excision. The resulting single-stranded gap is resynthesized by DNA polymerase with DNA ligase sealing the remaining nick, completing the repair process $(17,25)$. For an inter-strand crosslink, the process requires another step because the lesion containing DNA remains covalently bonded to the opposite strand. Most current models propose that homologous recombination acts subsequently to pair the lesion containing strand with a second copy of the chromosome if present and then an additional round of nucleotide excision repair can remove the crosslink followed by DNA polymerase and DNA ligase to complete the repair process $(13,14)$. Importantly, homologous recombination and UvrABC-dependent nucleotide excision repair are general DNA repair pathways that participate in the repair of many different types of DNA lesions including MMC adducted DNA.

Although the pathways discussed above are known to function in the repair of MMC damaged DNA, it is unclear if other pathways exist in bacteria that also repair MMC lesions. We recently reported a forward genetic screen in B. subtilis where we identified two genes, $m r f A$ and $m r f B$ (formerly yprA and $y p r B$, respectively) that when deleted resulted in sensitivity to MMC (26). Here, we report that MrfAB are part of a MMC specific DNA repair pathway in B. subtilis. Deletion of the $\operatorname{mrfAB}$ (formerly yprAB) operon renders B. subtilis sensitive to MMC, but not to other DNA damaging agents known to be repaired by the canonical nucleotide excision repair pathway. MrfAB are a putative helicase and exonuclease, respectively, and we demonstrate that conserved residues required for their activities are important for function in vivo. We show that MrfAB operate independent of UvrABC. We monitored DNA repair status over time using RecA-GFP as a reporter, and we show that deletion of $m r f A B$ and $u v r A B C$ results in a synergistic decrease in RecA-GFP foci, suggesting that MrfAB are part of a novel nucleotide excision repair pathway in bacteria. We also found that MrfAB do not contribute to inter-strand crosslink repair, suggesting that MrfAB are specific to MMC mono-adducts or intra-strand crosslinks. A phylogenetic analysis shows that MrfAB homologs are present in many bacterial species and that the function of MrfAB is conserved in closely related species. Together, our study identifies a novel strategy used by bacteria to counteract the natural antibiotic MMC.

## Results

## DNA damage sensitivity of $\Delta m r f A B$ is specific to mitomycin $C$

Our recent study using a forward genetic screen identified genes important for surviving exposure to several DNA damaging agents, uncovering many genes that had not previously been implicated in DNA repair or regulation of the SOS-response (26). As part of this screen, we identified a gene pair, $y p r A B$, in which disruption by a transposon resulted in sensitivity to MMC but not phleomycin or methyl methanesulfonate (Fig 4.1A) (26). Because the phenotypes
 ( $m r f A B$ ). To follow up on the phenotype of the transposon insertions we tested clean deletion strains of $m r f A$ and $m r f B$ and found that deletion of either gene resulted in sensitivity to MMC (Fig 4.1B). Further, we ectopically expressed each gene in its respective deletion background and were able to complement the MMC sensitive phenotype (Fig 4.1B).

The absence of phenotypes with phleomycin and methyl methanesulfonate, is similar to the phenotypic profile of nucleotide excision repair (NER) mutants (Fig 4.1A) (26). Therefore, we asked if deletion of $m r f A$ would result in sensitivity to other agents known to be repaired by NER. We tested for sensitivity to three other agents that cause DNA lesions that are repaired by NER: UV light, 4-NQO, and the DNA crosslinking agent psoralen (trioxsalen) (25).
Interestingly, we found that deletion of $m r f A$ did not cause sensitivity to any of these agents (Fig 4.1C). We also tested whether the presence of $u v r A B$ was masking the effect, but no additional sensitivity was observed when $m r f A$ was deleted in the $\Delta u v r A B$ background (Fig 4.1C). Given the absence of phenotypes to other DNA damaging agents, MrfAB do not function as a general nucleotide excision repair pathway. In addition, $\operatorname{mrf} A B$ deletion did not result in sensitivity to another crosslinking agent, psoralen, indicating that MrfAB are not part of a general crosslink repair mechanism. We conclude that MrfAB are important for mitigating the toxicity of MMCgenerated DNA lesions.

## MrfA and MrfB function in the same pathway

The phenotypes of $m r f A$ and $m r f B$ mutants were identical (Fig 4.1A \& B), and the two genes are predicted to be an operon. Therefore, we hypothesized that MrfA and MrfB function together. We tested this hypothesis by combining the deletion mutants. We found that deletion of both genes gave the same sensitivity to MMC as each single mutant (Fig 4.2A), indicating that they function in the same pathway. If MrfAB function in the same pathway, it is possible that each protein acts successively, MrfA and MrfB interact forming a complex, or one protein serves to recruit the other in a stepwise fashion.

To provide insight into these possible mechanisms we tested for a protein-protein interaction between MrfA and MrfB using a bacterial two-hybrid assay $(27,28)$. We found that MrfA and MrfB formed a robust interaction, indicated by the formation of blue colonies (Fig 4.2B). Next, we wanted to understand how these proteins interacted and whether we could localize the interaction to a particular domain. We performed a deletion analysis with MrfA and found that deletion of either the N -terminus or the C -terminus was sufficient to abolish the interaction with MrfB (Fig 4.2C), and the N -terminus of MrfA was not sufficient for MrfB interaction (Fig 4.2C). Thus, it appears that the portion of MrfA that is required for the interaction is not limited to a single domain. We tested whether the N -terminus or C -terminus of

MrfB was required for MrfA interaction. We found that the C-terminus of MrfB was not required, though the signal was reduced, whereas deletion of the N -terminus of MrfB abolished the interaction with MrfA (Fig 4.2D). Therefore, the N -terminus of MrfB is required for interaction with MrfA. We conclude that MrfAB interaction is specific and that these proteins function as a complex or one protein subsequently recruits the other.

## MrfA helicase motifs and C-terminus is required for function in vivo

MrfA is a predicted DEXH box helicase containing a C-terminal domain of unknown function ( $\mathbf{F i g} 4.3 \boldsymbol{\&} \mathbf{4 . 4 A}$ ). The C-terminal domain of unknown function contains four conserved cysteines that are thought to function in coordinating a metal ion $(29,30)$. We initially searched for a similar helicase in other well studied organisms. We were unable to identify a homolog of MrfA containing both the ATPase domain and the C-terminal domain in E. coli, however, Hrq1 from Saccharomyces cerevisiae shares the same domain structure with $32 \%$ identity and $55 \%$ positives. Hrq1 has been shown to be a RecQ family helicase with $3^{\prime} \rightarrow 5^{\prime}$ helicase activity and has been observed to exist as a heptamer $(31,32)$. We performed an alignment with Hrq1 and identified helicase motifs typical of super family 2 helicases (Fig 4.3). A homolog of MrfA from Mycobacterium smegmatis has also been shown to be a $3^{\prime} \rightarrow 5^{\prime}$ helicase, however, unlike Hrq1, SftH exists as a monomer in solution (29).

To address whether residues predicted to be important for MrfA helicase activity are required for function, we used a complementation assay using variants containing alanine substitutions in several conserved helicase motifs. Mutations in helicase motif I (K82A), motif II (DE185-186AA), and motif III (S222A) all failed to complement a mrfA deficiency (Fig 4.4B). Intriguingly, when motif Ib (T134V) was mutated mrfa MMC sensitivity could still be complemented, and this residue, although conserved in Hrq1, it is not conserved in SftH (Fig 4.4B). We asked whether the C-terminal domain of unknown function and the conserved cysteines were required for function. Deletion of the entire C-terminal domain, mutation of the first two cysteines, or mutation of all four cysteines all resulted in a failure to complement MMC sensitivity in a $\Delta m r f A$ strain (Fig 4.4B). Together, we suggest that both the putative helicase domain and the C-terminal domain of unknown function are required for MrfA in vivo.

## MrfB is a metal-dependent exonuclease

MrfB is predicted to be a DnaQ-like exonuclease and to have three tetratrichopeptide repeats at the C-terminus ( $\mathbf{F i g} \mathbf{4 . 5 A}$ ). To search for putative catalytic residues in MrfB , we aligned MrfB to ExoI, ExoX, and DnaQ from E. coli (Fig 4.6A). MrfB has the four acidic residues typical of DnaQ-like exonucleases (Fig 4.6A). This type of nuclease also has a histidine located proximal to the last aspartate (33), and we identified two histidine residues, one of which was conserved (Fig 4.6A, conserved histidine highlighted in red and the other in green). DnaQ exonucleases coordinate a metal ion that is used in catalysis (33). We hypothesized that MrfB catalytic residues would cluster together in the tertiary structure. We modelled MrfB using Phyre2.0 (34), which used DNA polymerase epsilon catalytic subunit A (DnaQ) [pdb structure c5okiA (35)], and show that the conserved aspartate and glutamate residues are indeed clustered together in the model (Fig 4.6B).

Interestingly, we found that the histidine conserved in the E. coli exonucleases was facing the opposite direction, whereas the non-conserved histidine was facing the putative catalytic residues in the MrfB model (Fig 4.6C). An alignment of MrfB homologs demonstrates that the histidine (labeled in green) facing the other putative catalytic residues is conserved in MrfB homologs, whereas the other is not (see supplemental text). To test whether these residues were important for function, we used variants with alanine substitutions at each putative catalytic residue in a complementation assay. We found that all five mutants could not complement the $\Delta m r f B$ mutant phenotype (Fig 4.5B).

With these results we wanted to test whether MrfB had exonuclease activity in vitro. We overexpressed and purified MrfB to homogeneity as determined by SDS-PAGE (Fig 4.5C). We tested for exonuclease activity using a plasmid linearized by restriction digest. We found that MrfB could degrade linear dsDNA in the presence of $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$, demonstrating that MrfB is a metaldependent exonuclease (Fig 4.5D). With exonuclease activity established we tested the substrate preference of MrfB using a covalently closed circular plasmid (CCC), a nicked plasmid or a linear plasmid using $\mathrm{T}_{5}$ and $\lambda$ exonucleases as controls. $\mathrm{T}_{5}$ exonuclease is able to degrade both nicked and linear substrates but $\mathrm{T}_{5}$ cannot degrade a CCC plasmid ( 36,37 ). In contrast, $\lambda$ exonuclease can only degrade a linear substrate (38). The $\mathrm{T}_{5}$ and $\lambda$ exonuclease controls performed as predicted, and MrfB demonstrated activity on a linear substrate and lower activity
using a nicked substrate (Fig 4.5E). We conclude that MrfB is a metal-dependent exonuclease with a preference for linear DNA.

## MrfAB function independent of UvrABC dependent nucleotide excision repair

Given that DNA damage sensitivity in mrfAB mutants was restricted to MMC and that both proteins have nucleic acid processing activities, we hypothesized that MrfAB were part of a nucleotide excision repair pathway. We tested whether MrfAB were within the canonical, UvrABC-dependent nucleotide excision repair pathway using an epistasis analysis. We found that deletion of $m r f A$ or $m r f B$ rendered B. subtilis hypersensitive to MMC in the absence of $u v r A B$ (Fig 4.7A), $u v r C$, or $u v r A B C$ (Fig 4.7B). We also show that $u v r A B C$ function as a single pathway showing that deletion of each gene resulted in the same phenotype as the triple deletion (Fig 4.8). It is important to note that $B$. subtilis uvr $A B C$ functioning as a single pathway differs from E. coli $(23,39)$.

To test whether deletion of $m r f A B$ have an effect on acute treatment with MMC, we performed an epistasis analysis using a MMC survival assay. We tested mutants in $m r f A B$, $u v r A B C$, and the double pathway mutant. We found that deletion of $m r f A B$ had a limited, yet statistically significant (Mann-Whitney U-test; p-value $<0.05$ ) effect on acute sensitivity to MMC at the 150 and $200 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ treatments. Deletion of $u v r A B C$ had a significant and more pronounced decrease in survival following MMC treatment (Fig 4.7C). Deletion of both pathways resulted in hypersensitivity to acute MMC exposure, suggesting that MrfAB are part of a second nucleotide excision repair pathway. The difference in phenotypes between the individual pathway mutants suggests that the roles of each pathway may be specific for different MMC induced lesions. Given that the inter-strand crosslink is the more toxic lesion, our data suggest that UvrABC could be more efficient for repair of crosslinks and MrfAB could be more specific to the mono-adducted lesions (see below). We conclude that MrfAB and UvrABC are part of two distinct pathways for MMC repair.

## MrfAB are not required for unhooking inter-strand DNA crosslinks

As stated previously, MMC results in several DNA lesions, one of which is the interstrand crosslink. Our results from treating acutely with MMC suggested that MrfAB may not function in repair of the inter-strand crosslink. Therefore, we asked whether one or both
pathways contribute to unhooking DNA crosslinks in vivo. Crosslinked DNA can be detected by heat denaturing and snap cooling due to the fact that crosslinked DNA will renature during the rapid cooling process and DNA that is not crosslinked will remain denatured when cooled rapidly (7). Therefore, we hypothesized that if both pathways contributed to unhooking a crosslink, we would observe stable DNA crosslinks only in the double pathway mutant. If only a single pathway was required, we would observe stable DNA crosslinks in one mutant and the double pathway mutant background. To test these ideas, we treated B. subtilis strains with MMC to crosslink genomic DNA, and then allowed the cells to recover for 45 or 90 minutes. We monitored DNA crosslinks by denaturing and snap cooling the DNA followed by analysis on an agarose gel. We found that in WT and $\Delta m r f A B$ cells we could detect some crosslinked DNA that decreased slightly over time (Fig 4.9A). Additionally, at the 90 minute recovery time point we observed a smaller DNA fragment in WT and $\Delta m r f A B$ samples, which we suggest is a result of a repair intermediate generated by UvrABC-dependent incision because formation of the intermediate requires UvrABC (Fig 4.9A). In the absence of $u v r A B C$ there was a significant stabilization of crosslinked DNA that did not decrease over time and deleting $m r f A B$ had no effect in the $u v r A B C$ mutant strain on crosslink stabilization (Fig 4.9A). We quantified the crosslinked species and found that the inter-strand crosslink was stabilized in the absence of uvrABC and in the double pathway mutant (Fig 4.9B). We conclude that UvrABC are the primary proteins responsible for repair of inter-strand crosslinks and MrfAB likely repair the more abundant mono-adducts (40) and potentially intra-strand crosslinks that form, though we cannot formerly exclude the possibility that MrfAB act on an intermediate of a crosslink repair pathway that is specific to MMC.

## MrfAB and UvrABC are required for efficient RecA-GFP focus formation

The synergistic sensitivity to MMC observed in the double pathway mutant suggests that MrfAB are part of a novel nucleotide excision repair pathway that does not function in interstrand crosslink repair. Thus, we sought to determine if DNA repair is altered following MMC treatment in the absence of $\operatorname{mrfAB}$. Previous studies have demonstrated that RecA-GFP forms foci in response to DNA damage such as treatment with MMC (41-43). Additionally, the activation of the SOS response following treatment with MMC in bacteria requires the generation of a RecA/ssDNA nucleoprotein filament (44), which was also found to depend on
nucleotide excision repair (45). Therefore, to test whether the response of RecA was affected by the absence of $m r f A B, u v r A B C$, or both pathways, we used a RecA-GFP fusion as a reporter to monitor RecA status over time (Fig 4.10A \& 4.11). We quantified the percentage of cells containing a focus or foci of RecA-GFP, and found an increase in RecA-GFP focus formation over time (Fig 4.10B). In all three mutant strains there was a significant increase in RecA-GFP foci prior to MMC addition (Fig 4.10B). We found that deletion of $m r f A B$ did not have a significant impact on RecA-GFP focus formation (Fig 4.10B). Deletion of $u v r A B C$ led to a slight decrease in RecA-GFP focus formation (Fig 4.10B \& 4.11). The double pathway mutant had a significant decrease in RecA-GFP foci relative to WT (Fig 4.10B). With these results we suggest that the RecA response is substantially decreased in cells that lack the excision activity of $u v r A B C$ and $m r f A B$. These results further support the conclusion that MrfAB participate in the repair of MMC damaged DNA.

## MrfAB are conserved in diverse bacterial phyla

Given the specificity of MrfAB for MMC, we became interested in understanding how conserved $m r f A$ and $m r f B$ are across different bacterial phyla. We performed a PSI-BLAST search using MrfA or MrfB against the proteomes of bacterial organisms from several phyla (Fig 4.12A). We found that MrfA and MrfB are both present in organisms from 5 different phyla, though MrfA is more broadly conserved in bacteria (Fig 4.12A). To test if MrfA and MrfB function is conserved, we attempted to complement the MMC sensitive phenotype using codonoptimized versions of the homologs from three organisms, Bacillus cereus, Streptococcus pneumoniae, and Pseudomonas aeruginosa. We found that expression of $B c-m r f A$ and $B c-m r f B$ were capable of complementing their respective deletions (Fig 4.12B). Interestingly, $S p-m r f B$ complemented, but $S p-m r f A$ did not (Fig 4.12B). The more distantly related homologs from $P$. aeruginosa were not able to complement the corresponding deletion alleles (Fig 4.12B). We conclude that MrfA and MrfB function is conserved in closely related species, and that they likely have been adapted to other uses in more distantly related bacteria.

## Discussion

MrfAB are founding members of a novel bacterial nucleotide excision repair pathway. The observation that RecA-GFP foci changes in a synergistic manner with deletion of both
$u v r A B C$ and $m r f A B$ suggests that MrfAB are acting as a second excision repair pathway. Indeed, a study of SOS activation in E. coli found that deletion of $u v r A$ results in decreased SOS response activation when treated with MMC (45). The activation of the SOS response requires the formation of the RecA/ssDNA nucleoprotein filament that can be observed in vivo using a RecA-GFP fusion (42, 43, 46-48). Thus, our data are supportive of the excision repair model. We cannot formerly exclude the possibility that MrfAB act on a DNA repair intermediate, however, given that the mrfAB deletion did not render cells sensitive to other DNA damaging agents, this intermediate would have to be specific to the repair of MMC generated lesions.

Our current model is that a MMC mono-adduct or intra-strand crosslink is recognized by MrfA or an unknown factor (Fig 4.13). After the lesion is recognized it is possible that incisions occur on either side of the lesion or a single incision is used. It is also possible that no incision is required and that MrfAB make use of transient nicks in the chromosome that would be present during synthesis of the lagging strand, though this model would limit the lesions that MrfAB could repair. Once a nick is present, we hypothesize that MrfA acts as helicase to separate the DNA, exposing the MMC lesion. If a nick is generated $3^{\prime}$ to the lesion, MrfA could access the DNA at the nick and use its putative $3^{\prime} \rightarrow 5^{\prime}$ helicase activity to separate the lesion containing strand for degradation by MrfB (Fig 4.13). If MrfA made use of transient nicks in the chromosome generated during lagging strand synthesis, then it is possible that MrfA could recognize or be recruited to the MMC lesion and use its $3^{\prime} \rightarrow 5^{\prime}$ helicase activity on the strand opposite the lesion thereby exposing the lesion containing strand which could be stabilized by SSB, and upon reaching the nick in the DNA strand containing the lesion, MrfB could access the $3^{\prime}$ end to degrade the lesion containing strand. Our data cannot distinguish between these models, however, Hrq1 and SftH have been observed to require a $3^{\prime}$ tail for helicase activity (29, 31, 49, 50). Therefore, we hypothesize that a $3^{\prime}$ tail is necessary after lesion recognition, to allow for MrfA to separate the lesion containing strand.

The specificity of the $\triangle m r f A B$ phenotype suggests that lesion recognition depends on MMC adduct structure. Our reported screen did not identify other candidates for this pathway (26) , though it remains possible that an essential protein or a protein that functions in homologous recombination, which would have a more severe phenotype than $m r f A B$, also acts as a lesion recognition factor. Nonetheless, we hypothesize that lesion recognition is a function
accomplished by either MrfA, MrfB, or by both proteins in complex. MrfA is a putative helicase with a C-terminal domain of unknown function containing four well conserved cysteine residues. A high throughput X-ray absorption spectroscopy study of over 3000 proteins including MrfA reported finding that MrfA binds zinc (30). Intriguingly, UvrA, the recognition factor of canonical nucleotide excision repair, also contains a zinc finger which is required for regulating recognition of damaged DNA (51). Indeed, three of the four recognition factors in eukaryotic nucleotide excision repair, XPA, RPA, and TFIIH also each contain a zinc finger component (25). Therefore, it is tempting to speculate that MrfA functions as the lesion recognition factor through its putative C -terminal zinc finger domain.

The initial finding that sensitivity to DNA damage in $m r f A B$ mutants is specific to MMC suggested an antibiotic specific repair pathway. The major source of toxicity from MMC has long been thought to be the inter-strand crosslink (5). We found that MrfAB do not contribute to unhooking an inter-strand crosslink in vivo and yet deletion of $m r f A B$ in the $u v r A B C$ mutant resulted in a significant decrease in survival following MMC treatment. These observations strongly suggest that the mono-adducts and/or the intra-strand crosslink make a significant contribution to the overall toxicity of MMC. Therefore, through identifying a new repair pathway in bacteria, we are able to provide new insight into the toxicity profile of a well-studied, natural antibiotic.

MrfAB homologs have likely evolved to perform different functions depending on the environments of their respective bacterial species, despite significant sequence similarity. We speculate that MrfAB specificity for MMC is a reflection of habitat overlap between B. subtilis and mitomycin producing bacteria such as $S$. lavendulae. Thus, MrfAB are an adaptation that allows B. subtilis to effectively compete in habitats where MMC is produced. Given that only closely related species could substitute for MrfA and MrfB in B. subtilis, we hypothesize that the MMC specific repair activity is restricted to those species. In fact, the homologs present in $P$. aeruginosa have diverged significantly. The N -terminus of $P a$-MrfA is quite different from that of $B s$-MrfA, and the C-terminal TPR domain of MrfB is completely absent in Pa - MrfB (see supplemental alignments), consistent with the notion that MrfAB function has diverged in more distantly related bacteria. Additionally, our results with MrfAB from S. pneumoniae are supportive of our hypothesis that MrfAB function in MMC repair is restricted to closely related
organisms. We speculate the interaction between $S p-\mathrm{MrfA}$ and $S p-\mathrm{MrfB}$ is conserved such that $S p-\mathrm{MrfB}$ can still be recruited by $B s$-MrfA and MrfB retains exonuclease activity, while the function of $S p$-MrfA has diverged and the lesion recognition or recruitment activity is no longer present.

We recently investigated the mismatch repair homolog MutS2 and arrived at a similar conclusion-MutS2 has been adapted to the specific DNA repair needs of different organisms. MutS2 in B. subtilis promotes homologous recombination (52), whereas MutS2 in several other organisms inhibits homologous recombination (53-56). The reality that distantly related organisms have adapted their genetic repertoire inherited from the most recent common ancestor would seem obvious. Still, a major thrust of biological exploration is often to examine processes that are highly conserved. While well conserved processes are often critical for more organisms, it is the divergent functions that make each organism unique, which is a property of inherent value found throughout nature.

## Materials and Methods

## Bacteriological methods

All B. subtilis strains used in this study are isogenic derivatives of PY79 (57), and listed in Table 4.1. Detailed construction of strains, plasmids and a description of oligonucleotides used in this study are provided in the supplemental text. Plasmids and oligonucleotides are listed in Tables 4.2 and 4.3, respectively. Media used to culture B. subtilis include $\mathrm{LB}(10 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{NaCl}$, $10 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ tryptone, and $5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ yeast extract) and $\mathrm{S} 7_{50}$ minimal media with $2 \%$ glucose ( $1 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{S} 7_{50}$ salts (diluted from 10x S7 ${ }_{50}$ salts: $104.7 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ MOPS, $13.2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$, ammonium sulfate, $6.8 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ monobasic potassium phosphate, pH 7.0 adjusted with potassium hydroxide), 1x metals (diluted from 100x metals: $0.2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 70 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}, 5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MnCl}_{2}, 0.1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{ZnCl} 2,100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ thiamine- $\mathrm{HCl}, 2$ $\mathrm{mM} \mathrm{HCl}, 0.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{FeCl} 3$ ), $0.1 \%$ potassium glutamate, $2 \%$ glucose, $40 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ phenylalanine, 40 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ tryptophan). Selection of B. subtilis strains was done using spectinomycin $(100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$ or chloramphenicol ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ).

## Spot titer and survival assays

Spot titer assays were performed as described previously (26). Survival assays were performed as previously described (26), except cells were treated at a density of $\mathrm{OD}_{600}=1$ instead of 0.5 .

## Microscopy

Strains containing RecA-GFP were grown on LB agar with $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ spectinomycin at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. Plates were washed with $\mathrm{S} 7_{50}$ minimal media with $2 \%$ glucose. Cultures of $\mathrm{S} 7_{50}$ minimal media with $2 \%$ glucose and $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ spectinomycin were inoculated at an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}=$ 0.1 and incubated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ protected from light until an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ of about 0.3 (about 3.5 hours). Cultures were treated with $5 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ MMC and samples were taken for imaging prior to MMC addition, 45 minutes, 90 minutes, and 180 minutes after MMC addition. The vital membrane stain FM4-64 was added to $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ and left at room temperature for five minutes. Samples were transferred to $1 \%$ agarose pads containing 1x Spizizen salts as previously described (26). Images were captured using an Olympus BX61 microscope using 250 ms exposure times for both FM464 (membranes) and GFP. RecA-GFP foci were determined by using the find maxima function in ImageJ with the threshold set to the background of the image by comparing a line trace of an area without cells. The number of cells with foci was determined by taking the total number of foci and subtracting the foci greater than one in cells having multiple foci (i.e., if a cell had two foci, one would be subtracted and if a cell had 3 foci two would be subtracted and so on). The percentage was determined by dividing the number of cells with a focus or foci by the total number of cells observed.

## DNA crosslinking assay

Strains of B. subtilis were struck out on LB agar and incubated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. Plates were washed with LB and samples of $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{OD}_{600}=3$ were aliquoted. One sample was untreated and three samples were treated with $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ MMC. Samples were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour. For the untreated and MMC treatment samples, one volume $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ of methanol was added and samples were mixed by inversion. Samples were harvested via centrifugation ( $12,000 \mathrm{~g}$ for 5 minutes, washed twice with 0.5 mL 1 x PBS pH 7.4 and stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight). For recovery samples, cells from the remaining two treated samples were pelleted via
centrifugation ( $10,000 \mathrm{~g}$ for 5 minutes) washed twice with 1 mL LB media and then resuspended in 0.6 mL LB media. Samples were then transferred to 14 mL round bottom culture tubes and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on a rolling rack for 45 or 90 minutes. An equal volume ( 0.6 mL ) of methanol was added and samples were mixed by inversion. Samples were harvested as stated above and stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. Chromosomal DNA was extracted using a silica spincolumn as previously described (26). Samples were normalized by A 260 to $15 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{L}$. Samples were heat denatured by incubating at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 minutes followed by placing directly into an ice-water bath for 5 minutes. For native samples and heat denatured samples, 300 ng and 600 ng , respectively, were loaded onto a $0.8 \%$ agarose gel with ethidium bromide and electrophoresed at 90 volts for approximately one hour. The crosslinked species was quantified in gels from two independent experiments in ImageJ. The intensity of the crosslinked band was determined using the Gel Analyzer tool, and the background from the region above the crosslinked band was subtracted and the difference was normalized to the intensity of the native chromosomal DNA band (Fig 4.9A, lower panel). The average of two independent experiments is shown, with error bars representing the range of the two measurements.

## Bacterial two-hybrid assays

Bacterial two-hybrid assays were performed as described (26, 27).

## MrfB protein purification

MrfB was purified from E. coli cells as follows. 10xHis-Smt3-MrfB was expressed from plasmid pPB97 in E. coli NiCo21 cells (NEB) at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cells were pelleted and resuspended in lysis buffer ( 50 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,300 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 5 \%$ sucrose, 25 mM imidazole, 1 x Roche protease inhibitor cocktail). Cells were lysed via sonication and lysates were clarified via centrifugation: 18,000 rpm (Sorvall SS-34 rotor) for 45 minutes at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Clarified lysates were loaded onto $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$-NTA-agarose pre-equilibrated in lysis buffer in a gravity column. The column was washed with 25 column volumes wash buffer ( 50 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,500 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \%$ (v/v) glycerol, 40 mM imidazole). MrfB was eluted from the column by cleavage of the 10xHisSmt3 tag using 6xHis-Ulp1 in 10 column volumes of digestion buffer ( 50 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,150$ $\mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \%$ glycerol, 10 mM imidazole, 1 mM DTT , and $20 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL} 6 x$ His-Ulp1) at room temperature for 150 minutes. The eluate containing untagged MrfB was collected as the flow
through. MrfB was concentrated using a 10 kDa Amicon centrifugal filter. MrfB was loaded onto a HiLoad superdex 200-PG 16/60 column pre-equilibrated with gel filtration buffer ( 50 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,250 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}$, and $5 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ glycerol). The column was eluted with gel filtration buffer at a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Peak fractions were pooled, glycerol was added to a final concentration of $20 \%$, and concentrated using a 10 kDa Amicon centrifugal filter. MrfB aliquots were frozen at a final concentration of $2.6 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in liquid nitrogen, and stored at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Exonuclease assays

Exonuclease reactions ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) were performed in 25 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,20 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}$, and 5 $\mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}{ }_{2}$ as indicated in the figure legends. The plasmid pUC19 was used as a substrate at a concentration of $13.5 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{L}$. To generate linear or nicked substrate, pUC 19 was first incubated with BamHI-HF (NEB) or Nt.BSPQ1 (NEB), respectively, for 30 minutes at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To test metal dependency of MrfB , the linearized $\mathrm{pUC1} 19$ was purified using a silica spin-column. Reactions were initiated by adding MrfB to $130 \mathrm{nM}, 10$ units of $\mathrm{T}_{5}$ exonuclease (NEB), or 5 units of $\lambda$ exonuclease (NEB) and incubating at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ as indicated in the figure legends. Reactions were terminated by the addition of $8 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of nuclease stop buffer ( $50 \%$ glycerol and 100 mM EDTA) followed by resolving reaction products by agarose gel electrophoresis.

## Phylogenetic analysis

The protein sequences of MrfA (AHA78094.1) and MrfB (AHA78093.1) were used in a PSI-BLAST search in several organisms (Fig 4.12A). If a putative homolog was detected, the coverage and percent identity were both recorded. For MrfA, the protein was considered a homolog if the DEXH helicase domain, the C-terminal domain, and the four conserved cysteines were all present. For MrfB, the protein was considered a homolog if the putative catalytic residues were conserved.

## Figures and Tables
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Figure 4.1 DNA damage sensitivity of $\Delta m r f A B$ is specific to mitomycin C. (A) Relative fitness plots for the indicated gene disruptions from Tn-seq experiments previously reported (26). The mean fitness is plotted as a bar graph and the error bars represent the $95 \%$ confidence interval. (B) Spot titer assay using strains with the indicated genotypes grown on LB with the indicated supplements. (C) Spot titer assay using strains with the indicated genotypes grown on LB media with the indicated treatments. For UV irradiation, cells were exposed to the indicated dose after serial dilutions were spotted on plates. For trioxsalen plates, $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ was used and the UV wavelength for irradiation was 365 nm .


Figure 4.2 MrfA and MrfB function in the same pathway. (A) Spot titer assay using strains with the indicated genotypes grown on the indicated media. (B) Bacterial two-hybrid assay using the indicated T18 and T25 fusions. (C) MrfA constructs used in deletion analysis of MrfA-MrfB interaction (upper) and a bacterial two-hybrid assay using T25-MrfB and the indicated MrfAT18 fusions (lower). (D) MrfB constructs used in deletion analysis of MrfA-MrfB interaction (upper) and a bacterial two-hybrid assay using MrfA-T18 and the indicated T25-MrfB fusions (lower).


Figure 4.3 Helicase motifs of MrfA. An alignment of MrfA to Hrq1 from S. cerevisiae. Helicase motifs are highlighted as shown. The alignment was constructed using SIM (58).
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Figure 4.4 MrfA helicase motifs and conserved cysteines are required for function. (A) A schematic of MrfA depicting putative helicase motifs, C-terminal (Ct) domain, and conserved cysteines. (B) Spot titer assay using strains with the indicated genotypes spotted on the indicated media.


Figure 4.5 MrfB is a metal-dependent exonuclease. (A) A schematic of MrfB depicting putative catalytic residues and C-terminal tetratrichopeptide repeat (TPR) domain. (B) Spot titer assay using strains with the indicated genotypes spotted on the indicated media. (C) $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of purified MrfB stained with Coomassie brilliant blue. (D) Exonuclease assay using pUC19 linearized with BamHI (lanes 3-7). Reactions were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 minutes with or without $\mathrm{MrfB}, \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$, or EDTA as indicated, and separated on an agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide. Lane 1 is a 1 kb plus molecular weight marker and lane 2 is undigested pUC19 plasmid. (E) Exonuclease assay testing substrate preference. The indicated exonucleases
were incubated with a covalently closed circular plasmid (CCC), a nicked plasmid (Nicked) or a linear plasmid (Linear) in the presence of $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes. Reaction products were separated on an agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide. Lane 1 is a 1 kb plus molecular weight marker (M).

| MrfB | VEYPLSHRHGLYSFSELEEVITLWNQSGLSHTLSAKGYNKNNLFFFTTETTGLGGGA--- | 117 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exol | --MMNDGKQQSTFLFHPY TFGTHPA- | 24 |
| DnaQ | -MST--AITRQIVLT TTGMNQIGAHY | 25 |
| ExoX | -----MLRIIDT自TCGLQ------ | 13 |
| MrfB | -GNTIFLLGHAR-VYEDRVT--------------------------VKQHLLPKPGNEVA | 149 |
| ExoI | -LDRPAQFAAIRTDSEFNVIGEPEVFYCKPADDYLPQPGAVLITGITPQEARAKGENEAA | 83 |
| DnaQ | EGHKIIEIGAVE-VVNRRLTGNNFHVYLKPDRLV--DPEAFGVHGIADEFLLDKP----T | 78 |
| ExoX | --GGIVEIASVD-VIDGKIV-NPMSHLVRPDRPI--SPQAMAIHRITEAMVADKP----W | 63 |
| MrfB | LYQSFLS--EVDITSLVTYNGKAFD------------------WPQVKTRHTLIRDRLP | 188 |
| Exol | FAARIHSLFTVPKTCILGYNNVRF DEVTRNIFYRNFYDPYAWSWQHDNSRW-----DLL | 138 |
| DnaQ | FAEVADEFMDYIRGAELVIHNAAF IG----------FMDYEFSLLKR---------DIP | 119 |
| ExoX | IEDVIPHY---YGSEWYVAHNASFDRR----------VLPE----------------MP | 93 |
|  | : :. ** |  |
| MrfB | KLPEFG-HFDLLHGARRLWKHKMDRVSLGTVEKEELGIRRLEDTPGYLAPMLYFHFIKAQ | 247 |
| ExoI | DVMRACY---ALRPEGINWPENDDGLPSFRL---------------------EHLTKAN | 173 |
| DnaQ | KTNTFCKVTDSLAVARKMFPGKRNSLDALCA-----------------------RYEIDNS | 157 |
| ExoX | G-EWIC----TMKLARRLWPGIKYSNMALYK----------------------TRKLNVQ | 126 |
|  | : |  |
| MrfB | EPDLLKGVLHHNEMDVLSLISLYIHMSKKILSESHA | 284 |
| Exol | GIE--HSNA DDAMA VYATIAMAK- | 195 |
| DnaQ | -----KRTL GALL AQILAEVYLAM--------------TGGQTSMAFAMEGETQQQQG | 198 |
| ExoX | TPP--GLHH $\underset{*}{\text { RALYCYITAALLIDIMNTSGWTAEQMADITGRPSLMTTFTFGK---YRG }}$ | 181 |
| MrfB | ---KEHSEAYAMAKWFMAH- | 300 |
| ExoI | ----LVKTRQPRLFDYLFTHRNKHKLMALIDVPQMKPLVHVSGMFGAWRGNTSWVAPLAW | 251 |
| DnaQ | EATIQRIVRQASKLRVVFATDEEIAA-----HEARLDLVQKKGGSCLWRA | 243 |
| ExoX |  | 220 |

Putative catalytic residues, Putative catalytic residue from structural model


Figure 4.6 Putative catalytic residues of MrfB. (A) Alignment of the exonuclease domain of MrfB to ExoI (SbcD), DnaQ, and ExoX from E. coli using Clustal Omega (59). Putative catalytic residues are highlighted in red, and a putative non-conserved catalytic residue is highlighted in green. (B) A structural model of MrfB, modelled on DNA polymerase epsilon catalytic subunit A (pdb structure c5okiA (35)), was generated using Phyre2 (34). The model depicting amino acids $100-270$ of MrfB is shown as a cartoon in blue, and the putative catalytic residues are colored as in A. (C) A close up view of the putative catalytic residues from the model shown in B .
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Figure 4.7 MrfAB function independent of UvrABC dependent nucleotide excision repair. $(\mathbf{A} \& B)$ Spot titer assays using strains with the indicated genotypes grown on the indicated media. (C) Survival assay using strains with the indicated genotypes. The y-axis is the percent survival relative to the untreated $(0 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL})$ condition. The x -axis indicates the concentration of MMC used for a 30 minute acute exposure. The data points represent the mean of three independent experiments performed in triplicate $(\mathrm{n}=9) \pm$ SEM.


Figure 4.8 UvrABC function in the same pathway. Spot titer assay using the indicated $u v r$ deletion strains grown on the indicated media.


Figure 4.9 MrfAB are not required for unhooking inter-strand DNA crosslinks. (A) DNA crosslinking repair assay. Chromosomal DNA from untreated samples (U), $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ MMC treated samples (T), and recovery samples ( $45^{\prime}$ and $90^{\prime}$ ) were heat denatured and snap cooled (upper) or native chromosomal DNA (lower) was separated on an agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide. A 1 kb plus molecular weight marker is shown in the first lane. (B) A bar graph showing the mean percent of crosslinked DNA (see methods) from two independent experiments, and error bars represent the range of the two measurements.


Figure 4.10 MrfAB and UvrABC are required for efficient RecA-GFP focus formation. (A) Representative micrographs of strains containing RecA-GFP expressed from the native locus in addition to the indicated genotypes. Images were captured at the indicated times following MMC addition ( $5 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ ). RecA-GFP is shown in green and the merged images show RecA-GFP (green) and membranes stained with FM4-64 (red). The white bar indicates $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (B) Percentage
of cells with a RecA-GFP focus or foci over the indicated time course of MMC treatment (5 $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ ). The error bars represent the $95 \%$ confidence interval.


Figure 4.11 MrfAB are not required for unhooking inter-strand DNA crosslinks.
Representative micrographs of cells from the indicated genotypes that also contain RecA-GFP expressed from the native locus. Time of imaging post MMC treatment ( $5 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) is indicated (rows). Membranes, stained with FM4-64 are shown in red, RecA-GFP is shown in green, and both are shown in the merged images. The white scale bar indicates $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. The images for WT and $\Delta m r f A B, \Delta u v r A B C$ are also in Figure 4.10 and are shown here for comparison.


Figure 4.12 MrfAB are conserved in diverse bacterial phyla. (A) A rooted phylogenetic tree constructed using 16 s rRNA sequences ( 18 s r RNA for $S$. cerevisiae), aligned with muscle (60), using the neighbor joining method (61), and the evolutionary distances were calculated using the p-distance method (62). The percentage of replicate trees that resulted in the associated species clustering together in a bootstrap test (500 replicates) is indicated next to the branches (63). Evolutionary analysis was performed in MEGA (64). *In this organism MrfA and MrfB homologs are fused into a single protein. (B) Spot titer assay using codon optimized versions of MrfA and MrfB from the indicated species to complement $\Delta m r f A$ (upper) or $\Delta m r f B$ (lower).


Figure 4.13 A model for MrfAB mediated nucleotide excision repair. We propose that either an unknown factor or MrfA recognizes an MMC adduct. MrfB is then recruited, and MrfA uses its helicase activity to separate the strand containing the MMC adduct, facilitating MrfBdependent degradation of the adduct containing DNA. The source of the nick used to direct excision is unknown.

| Strain | Genotype | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PY79 | PY79 | (57) |
| LAS40 | recA::recA-gfp | (43) |
| PEB125 | -recU: $:$ erm | (52) |
| PEB307 | $\triangle u v r A$ | (26) |
| PEB308 | $\triangle u v r B$ | (26) |
| PEB309 | $\triangle u v r A B$ | (65) |
| PEB310 | $\triangle u v r C$ | (26) |
| PEB316 | $\triangle m r f A(y p r A)$ | (26) |
| PEB318 | $\Delta m r f B(y p r B)$ | (26) |
| PEB320 | $\triangle m r f A B$ | This study |
| PEB337 | $\triangle m r f A, \triangle u v r A B$ | This study |
| PEB339 | $\triangle m r f B, \triangle u v r A B$ | This study |
| PEB369 | $\triangle m r f A$, amy $E:: P_{x y l}-m r f A$ | This study |
| PEB371 | $\Delta m r f B$, amy $:: P_{x y}-m r f B$ | This study |
| PEB505 | $\triangle m r f A, ~ a m y E:: P_{x y}-$-mrfA-K82A | This study |
| PEB507 | \mrfA, amyE::Pxyl-mrfA-DE185-186AA | This study |
| PEB509 | $\triangle m r f A, ~ a m y E:: P x y l-m r f A-T 134 V ~$ | This study |
| PEB511 | $\Delta m r f A, ~ a m y E:: P x y l-m r f A-S 222 A ~$ | This study |
| PEB513 | $\Delta m r f A$, amy E $:$ Pxyl-mrfA $-\Delta C$ | This study |
| PEB515 | $\triangle m r f A$, amyE: Pxyl -mrfA-C718A \& C720A | This study |
| PEB517 | $\triangle m r f A$, amyE: $:$ Pxyl-mrfA-C718A, C720A, C724C, \& C727A | This study |
| PEB519 | $\triangle m r f B$, amyE: $:$ Pxyl-mrfB-D107A | This study |
| PEB521 | $\triangle m r f B$, amyE: $:$ Pxyl-mrfB-E109A | This study |
| PEB523 | $\triangle m r f B$, amyE: $:$ Pxyl-mrfB-D172A | This study |
| PEB525 | $\triangle m r f B$, amyE: $:$ Pxyl-mrfB-D262A | This study |
| PEB527 | $\triangle m r f B$, amyE: $:$ Pxyl-mrfB-H258A | This study |
| PEB529 | $\triangle m r f B$, amyE: $:$ Pxyl-mrfB- $\Delta C$ | This study |
| PEB812 | $\triangle m r f A B, \triangle u v r A B$ | This study |
| PEB822 | $\triangle u v r A B C$ | This study |
| PEB824 | $\triangle m r f A B, \triangle u v r C$ | This study |
| PEB826 | $\triangle m r f A B, \triangle u v r A B C$ | This study |
| PEB828 | recA::recA-gfp | This study |
| PEB830 | $\triangle m r f A B, \operatorname{recA}:: r e c A-g f p$ | This study |
| PEB832 | $\triangle u v r A B C$, recA $:$ rec $A$-gfp | This study |
| PEB834 | $\triangle m r f A B, \triangle u v r A B C$, recA $:=r e c A-g f p$ | This study |
| PEB866 | $\Delta m r f A, ~ a m y E:: P_{x y l}-B c-m r f A$ | This study |
| PEB870 | $\triangle m r f B$, amy $E: \because P_{x y l}-B c-m r f B$ | This study |
| PEB872 | $\Delta m r f B, a m y E:: P_{x y}-$-Pa-mrfB | This study |
| PEB898 | $\triangle m r f A$, amy $E: \because P_{x y l}-$ Sp-mrfA | This study |
| PEB900 | $\Delta m r f B$, amyE $:: P_{x y} /-S p-m r f B$ | This study |
| PEB902 | $\triangle m r f A$, amy $E: \because P_{x y}-$-Pa-mrfA | This study |

Table 4.1 Strains used in this study.

| Plasmid number | Plasmid name | Reference/Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pUC19 | pUC19 | NEB (3041S) |
| pKT25 | pKT25 | Euromedex (EUP-25C) |
| pKNT25 | pKNT25 | Euromedex (EUP-25N) |
| pUT18 | pUT18 | Euromedex (EUP-18N) |
| pUT18C | pUT18C | Euromedex (EUP-18C |
| pPB41 | pPB41 | $(52,66)$ |
| pPB47 | pPB47 | (26) |
| pPB73 | pPB41-CRISPR::uvrB | (26) |
| pPB74 | pPB41-CRISPR:: $u v r$ C | (26) |
| pPB75 | pPB41-CRISPR::mrfA | (26) |
| pPB84 | $\mathrm{pPB} 73-\triangle u v r A B$ editing template | (65) |
| pPB85 | pPB74- $\Delta u v r C$ editing template | (26) |
| pPB88 | pPB75- $\triangle m r f A B$ editing template | This study |
| pPB97 | pET-28b-10xHis-Smt3-MrfB | This study |
| pPB109 | pPB47-amyE: : Pxyl-mrfA-camR | This study |
| pPB110 | pPB47-amyE: :Pxyl-mrfB-camR | This study |
| pPB159 | pPB47-amyE: $:$ Pxyl-mrfA-K82A-camR | This study |
| pPB160 | pPB47-amyE::Pxyl-mrfA-DE185-186AA-camR | This study |
| pPB161 | pPB47-amyE::Pxyl-mrfA-T134V-camR | This study |
| pPB162 | pPB47-amyE: $:$ Pxyl-mrfA-S222A-camR | This study |
| pPB163 | pPB47-amyE: Pxyl -mrfA- $\Delta C$-camR | This study |
| pPB164 | pPB47-amyE::Pxyl-mrfA-C718A \& C720A-camR | This study |
| pPB165 | pPB47-amyE::Pxyl-mrfA-C718A, C720A, C724A, \& C727A-camR | This study |
| pPB166 | pPB47-amyE: Pxyl -mrfB-D107A-camR | This study |
| pPB167 | pPB47-amyE: $:$ Pxyl-mrfB-E109A-camR | This study |
| pPB168 | pPB47-amyE: Pxyl -mrfB-D172A-camR | This study |
| pPB169 | pPB47-amyE: $:$ Pxyl-mrfB-D262A-camR | This study |
| pPB170 | pPB47-amyE: :Pxyl-mrfB-H258A-camR | This study |
| pPB171 | pPB47-amyE: $:$ Pxyl-mrfB- $\Delta C$-camR | This study |
| pPB263 | pU-T18-MrfA | This study |
| pPB264 | pU-MrfA-T18 | This study |
| pPB265 | pK-T25-MrfB | This study |
| pPB266 | pK-MrfB-T25 | This study |
| pPB273 | pK-T25-MrfB $\Delta$ C | This study |
| pPB274 | pK-T25-MrfB $\Delta \mathrm{N}$ | This study |
| pPB283 | pU-MrfA $4 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{T} 18$ | This study |
| pPB284 | pU-MrfA $\triangle$ C-T18 | This study |
| pPB285 | pU-MrfA-N-T18 | This study |

Table 4.2 Plasmids used in this study.

| Primer name | Sequence |
| :---: | :---: |
| oPEB56 | ACCTCCAATCTGTTCGCGGTG |
| oPEB57 | taaTCGAGCACCACCACCACCAC |
| oPEB58 | GCTAGTTATTGCTCAGCGG |
| oPEB116 | ctctcgtttcatcggtatcattac |
| oPEB117 | cgcttcgttaatacagatgtaggt |
| oPEB217 | GAACCTCATTACGAATTCAGCATGC |
| oPEB218 | GAATGGCGATTTTCGTTCGTGAATAC |
| oPEB227 | CCGTCAATTGTCTGATTCGTTA |
| oPEB232 | GCTGTAGGCATAGGCTTGGTTATG |
| oPEB234 | GTATTCACGAACGAAAATCGCCATTCCTAGCAGCACGCCATAGTGACTG |
| oPEB253 | GAAGGGTAGTCCAGAAGATAACGA |
| oPEB345 | actcctttgtttatccaccgaac |
| oPEB348 | TTATTTTTGACACCAGACCAACTG |
| oPEB370 | cacctacatctgtattaacgaagcgTCAATGGGGAAGAGAACCGCTTAAG |
| oPEB377 | ggtaatgataccgatgaaacgagagAACAAAATTCTCCAGTCTTCACATCG |
| oPEB383 | atgtatacctccttaggatcccatttcc |
| oPEB424 | agaatgaatcgtgaaatgatcacc |
| oPEB432 | acggatcgatatgattctctaagc |
| oPEB443 | aaaccggaatccttcagacaatac |
| oPEB444 | cttctaacggcacttggtaatttt |
| oPEB448 | GCATGCTGAATTCGTAATGAGGTTCcgagttgattaggttctgaaatcc |
| oPEB452 | tcttgtcatgcttgtaaaggtagc |
| oPEB454 | agaaaatgatgggagaaggaatag |
| oPEB460 | GCATAACCAAGCCTATGCCTACAGCatggtgtgatgacagctaccttta |
| oPEB461 | AGCCATGGAAGTCAGTGATATTCT |
| oPEB462 | tctttattcggttctttccagttc |
| oPEB464 | gggaatattctttacacctctttgtcaagtac |
| oPEB465 | tgtacttgacaaagaggtgtaaagaatattccccgggaaagcgcaaaagacgacttgtttcg ccatgaatttt |
| oPEB527 | TAAAAGACAGGGTAAGGAAATGGA |
| oPEB543 | CAATCAGACAAAAGGTGAGAAAAG |
| oPEB544 | AGAAATCGAAAGAGGACTGAGAGA |
| oPEB545 | GCTCACCGCGAACAGATTGGAGGTATGTCATTAAAAGGGAAACTCCAAC |
| oPEB546 | CTCAGTGGTGGTGGTGGTGGTGCTCGAttaTTAAGAGGAATATTTCCTCTTTAGCCGGGCAA TTCTCACATGCAGTT |
| oPEB547 | TAAGCGAGGTTGACATTACATCAC |
| oPEB557 | taaCGGTTTCCATATGGGGATTGGTG |
| oPEB562 | aatgggatcctaaggaggtatacatATGAAAAAGAAATCACTGACTGAACT |
| oPEB563 | ACCAATCCCCATATGGAAACCGttaTTACGACATTTGATCCAACAGCTG |
| oPEB564 | aatgggatcctaaggaggtatacatATGTCATTAAAAGGGAAACTCCAAC |
| oPEB565 | ACCAATCCCCATATGGAAACCGttaTTAAGAGGAATATTTCCTCTTTAGCCGGGCAATTCTC |


|  | ACATGCAGTT |
| :---: | :---: |
| oPEB720 | GTAACGCCAACAGCATCAGGAGCTACGTTATGCTACAACCTCCCAGTC |
| oPEB721 | TGGGAGGTTGTAGCATAACGTAGCTCCTGATGCTGTTGGCGTTACGGT |
| oPEB722 | ACCTTAAGTATATCGTCATCGCTGCACTTCATACGTATCGAGGTGTGTTC |
| oPEB723 | ACACCTCGATACGTATGAAGTGCAGCGATGACGATATACTTAAGGTTTTCAAAC |
| oPEB724 | GGGCATTGATATTAAAAGCTTTGTATATGACGGGGATACGTCTCCGGCA |
| oPEB725 | CCGGAGACGTATCCCCGTCATATACAAAGCTTTTAATATCAATGCCCATTTCATC |
| oPEB726 | GTGATCCAGTTTTTATTTGTACTGCTGCAACGATTGCCAACCCAAAGGAA |
| oPEB727 | CCTTTGGGTTGGCAATCGTTGCAGCAGTACAAATAAAAACTGGATCACTTCCA |
| oPEB728 | CAATCCCCATATGGAAACCGttaTTAAGGGACAATATGCTGCAGCACA |
| oPEB729 | TCGCCACCAATCCCCATATGGAAACCGttaTTACGACATTTGATCCAACAGCTGCAAAATTC TTTCCTTTGCTTTTATCCCTTCTATTTCCGTACCTATACAAGACGGACAGCCGTCATGAGCA GGAGCATGTGTAATCAGTTGTTTCGCCGCTT |
| oPEB730 | TCGCCACCAATCCCCATATGGAAACCGttaTTACGACATTTGATCCAACAGCTGCAAAATTC TTTCCTTTGCTTTTATCCCTTCTATTTCCGTACCTATAGCAGACGGAGCGCCGTCATGAGCA GGAGCATGTGTAATCAGTTGTTTCGCCGCTT |
| oPEB731 | ACAAAAACAACCTCTTTTTCTTTGCTACAGAAACAACCGGTCTTGGGGGT |
| oPEB732 | CCCCAAGACCGGTTGTTTCTGTAGCAAAGAAAAAGAGGTTGTTTTTGTTATACCCT |
| oPEB733 | CAACCTCTTTTTCTTTGATACAGCTACAACCGGTCTTGGGGGTGGA |
| oPEB734 | CTCCACCCCCAAGACCGGTTGTAGCTGTATCAAAGAAAAAGAGGTTGTTTTTGTT |
| oPEB735 | GACCTACAACGGCAAAGCCTTTGCTTGGCCGCAGGTGAAAACAAGGCA |
| oPEB736 | GCCTTGTTTTCACCTGCGGCCAAGCAAAGGCTTTGCCGTTGTAGGTCAC |
| oPEB737 | CAATCCCCATATGGAAACCGttaTTATGGCGCATGTGATTCTGAAAGGAT |
| oPEB765 | TGTCCTGCATCATAATGAAATGGCTGTGTTATCACTCATTTCATTGTACATC |
| oPEB766 | ACAATGAAATGAGTGATAACACAGCCATTTCATTATGATGCAGGACACCT |
| oPEB767 | TCTTTTAAAAGGTGTCCTGCATGCTAATGAAATGGATGTGTTATCACTCATTTC |
| oPEB768 | GTGATAACACATCCATTTCATTAGCATGCAGGACACCTTTTAAAAGATCC |
| oPEB1012 | CATagctgtttcctgtgtgaaattg |
| oPEB1013 | GGTGAAGGTCAAGGACAAGGCCAAGCCTGCAGGTCGACTCTAGAGGA |
| oPEB1014 | TTGGCCTTGTCCTTGACCTTCACCGGGATCCTCTAGAGTCGACCCTG |
| oPEB1015 | TAActaagaattcggccgtcgttt |
| oPEB1016 | GGTGAAGGTCAAGGACAAGGCCAACCGAGCTCGAATTCAGCCGCCA |
| oPEB1017 | TTGGCCTTGTCCTTGACCTTCACCCTCTAGAGTCGACCTGCAGTGG |
| oPEB1018 | TAActaagtaatatggtgcactctcagt |
| oPEB1019 | caggctttacactttatgcttcc |
| oPEB1020 | GTAACCAGCCTGATGCGATT |
| oPEB1021 | ATTATGCCGCATCTGTCCAACT |
| oPEB1022 | gcaaggcgattaagttgggtaa |
| oPEB1023 | GATTTTCCACAACAAGTCGATG |
| oPEB1024 | TTCTCGCCGGATGTACTGGAAAC |
| oPEB1025 | tggcttaactatgcggcatcaga |
| oPEB1026 | GGTGAAGGTCAAGGACAAGGCCAAATGAAAAAGAAATCACTGACTGAACT |


| oPEB1027 | actgagagtgcaccatattacttagTTATTACGACATTTGATCCAACAGCTG |
| :--- | :--- |
| oPEB1028 | acaatttcacacaggaaacagctATGAAAAAGAAATCACTGACTGAACT |
| oPEB1029 | CTCGGTTGGCCTTGTCCTTGACCTTCACCCGACATTTGATCCAACAGCTGCA |
| oPEB1030 | CCCGGTGAAGGTCAAGGACAAGGCCAAATGTCATTAAAAGGGAAACTCCAAC |
| oPEB1031 | aaaacgacggccgaattcttagTTATTAAGAGGAATATTTCCTCTTTAGCCGGGCAATTC |
| oPEB1032 | gataacaatttcacacaggaaacagctATGTCATTAAAAGGGAAACTCCAAC |
| oPEB1033 | AGGCTTGGCCTTGTCCTTGACCTTCACCAGAGGAATATTTCCTCTTTAGCCGGGCAATTC |
| oPEB1053 | cggataacaatttcacacaggaaacagctATGAAAGGAGAGAGCATCGTTACCGTAA |
| oPEB1054 | GAGCTCGGTTGGCCTTGTCCTTGACCTTCACCAGGGACAATATGCTGCAGCACATTC |
| oPEB1055 | CGAGCTCGGTTGGCCTTGTCCTTGACCTTCACCTTTTTGCACATATTGAAAAGCGGAA |
| oPEB1056 | ttgtaaaacgacggccgaattcttagTTATGGCGCATGTGATTCTGAAAGGAT |
| oPEB1057 | GGATCCCGGTGAAGGTCAAGGACAAGGCCAAATGAAAGAACACAGTGAAGCCTATG |

Table 4.3 Oligonucleotides used in this study.
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## Supplemental Methods

## Strain construction

Strains were constructed using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing $(52,66)$ or double crossover recombination(26).

PEB320 ( $\Delta m r f A B$ ): PY79 was transformed with pPB88 to delete $m r f A B$ using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing. Deletion of mrfAB was verified by PCR genotyping using oPEB452/462.

PEB337 ( $\Delta m r f A, \Delta u v r A B$ ): PEB316 was transformed with pPB84 to delete $u v r A B$ using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing. Deletion of $u v r A B$ was verified by PCR genotyping using oPEB424/432.

PEB339 ( $\Delta m r f B, \Delta u v r A B$ ): PEB316 was transformed with pPB84 to delete $u v r A B$ using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing. Deletion of $u v r A B$ was verified by PCR genotyping using oPEB424/432.

PEB369 ( $\Delta m r f A$, amyE $:: P_{x y l}-m r f A$ ): PEB316 was transformed with pPB109. Replacement of $a m y E$ with $P_{x y l}-m r f A$ by double crossover recombination was verified by testing for an inability to utilize starch and for the absence of a spectinomycin resistance marker found on the plasmid,
but not on the integrated construct. Genomic DNA from the resulting strain, PEB347, was used to transform PEB316, and replacement of $a m y E$ was verified by an inability to utilize starch.

PEB371 ( $\Delta m r f B$, amyE: $: P_{x y l}-m r f B$ ): PY79 was transformed with pPB110. Replacement of $a m y E$ with $P_{x y l}-m r f B$ by double crossover recombination was verified by testing for an inability to utilize starch and for the absence of a spectinomycin resistance marker found on the plasmid, but not on the integrated construct. Genomic DNA from the resulting strain, PEB345, was used to transform PEB318, and replacement of $a m y E$ was verified by an inability to utilize starch. Retention of the $m r f B$ deletion allele was verified by PCR genotyping using oPEB461/462. PEB505 ( $\Delta m r f A$, amyE $: \therefore P_{x y l}-m r f A-K 82 A$ ): PEB316 was transformed with pPB159 digested with the restriction enzymes ScaI and BsaI. Replacement of $a m y E$ with $P_{x y l}-m r f A-K 82 A$ by double crossover recombination was verified by testing for an inability to utilize starch and for the absence of a spectinomycin resistance marker found on the plasmid, but not on the integrated construct.

PEB507 ( $\Delta m r f A, ~ a m y E:: P x y l-m r f A-D E 185-186 A A)$ : PEB316 was transformed with pPB160 digested with the restriction enzymes ScaI and BsaI. Replacement of $a m y E$ with $P_{x y l}-m r f A-$ DE185-186AA by double crossover recombination was verified by testing for an inability to utilize starch and for the absence of a spectinomycin resistance marker found on the plasmid, but not on the integrated construct.

PEB509 ( $\Delta m r f A$, amyE::Pxyl-mrfA-T134V): PEB316 was transformed with pPB161 digested with the restriction enzymes ScaI and BsaI. Replacement of $a m y E$ with $P_{x y}-m r f A-T 134 V$ by double crossover recombination was verified by testing for an inability to utilize starch and for the absence of a spectinomycin resistance marker found on the plasmid, but not on the integrated construct.

PEB511 ( $\Delta m r f A$, amyE::Pxyl-mrfA-S222A): PEB316 was transformed with pPB162 digested with the restriction enzymes ScaI and BsaI. Replacement of $a m y E$ with $P_{x y}-m r f A-S 222 A$ by double crossover recombination was verified by testing for an inability to utilize starch and for the absence of a spectinomycin resistance marker found on the plasmid, but not on the integrated construct.

PEB513 ( $\Delta m r f A$, amyE $: \because$ Pxyl-mrfA- $\Delta C$ ): PEB316 was transformed with pPB163 digested with the restriction enzymes ScaI and BsaI. Replacement of $a m y E$ with $P_{x y} /-m r f A \Delta C$ by double crossover recombination was verified by testing for an inability to utilize starch and for the absence of a spectinomycin resistance marker found on the plasmid, but not on the integrated construct.

PEB515 ( $\Delta m r f A$, amyE::Pxyl-mrfA-C718A \& C720A): PEB316 was transformed with pPB164 digested with the restriction enzymes ScaI and BsaI. Replacement of $a m y E$ with $P_{x y} l-m r f A-$ $C 718 A \& C 720 A$ by double crossover recombination was verified by testing for an inability to utilize starch and for the absence of a spectinomycin resistance marker found on the plasmid, but not on the integrated construct.

PEB517 ( $\Delta m r f A$, amyE::Pxyl-mrfA-C718A, C720A, C724C, \& C727A): ): PEB316 was transformed with pPB165 digested with the restriction enzymes ScaI and BsaI. Replacement of $a m y E$ with $P_{x y}-m r f A-C 718 A, C 720 A, C 724 C, \& C 727 A$ by double crossover recombination was verified by testing for an inability to utilize starch and for the absence of a spectinomycin resistance marker found on the plasmid, but not on the integrated construct.

PEB519 ( $\Delta m r f B$, amyE::Pxyl-mrfB-D107A): PEB318 was transformed with pPB166 digested with the restriction enzymes ScaI and KpnI. Replacement of $a m y E$ with $P_{x y}-m r f B-D 107 A$ by double crossover recombination was verified by testing for an inability to utilize starch and for the absence of a spectinomycin resistance marker found on the plasmid, but not on the integrated construct.

PEB521 ( $\Delta m r f B$, amyE::Pxyl-mrfB-E109A): PEB318 was transformed with pPB167 digested with the restriction enzymes ScaI and KpnI. Replacement of $a m y E$ with $P_{x y l}-m r f B-E 109 A$ by double crossover recombination was verified by testing for an inability to utilize starch and for the absence of a spectinomycin resistance marker found on the plasmid, but not on the integrated construct.

PEB523 ( $\Delta m r f B$, amyE: $:$ Pxyl-mrfB-D172A): PEB318 was transformed with pPB168 digested with the restriction enzymes ScaI and KpnI. Replacement of $a m y E$ with $P_{x y}-m r f B-D 172 A$ by double crossover recombination was verified by testing for an inability to utilize starch and for
the absence of a spectinomycin resistance marker found on the plasmid, but not on the integrated construct.

PEB525 ( $\Delta m r f B$, amyE $::$ Pxyl-mrfB-D262A): PEB318 was transformed with pPB169 digested with the restriction enzymes ScaI and KpnI. Replacement of $a m y E$ with $P_{x y}-m r f B-D 262 A$ by double crossover recombination was verified by testing for an inability to utilize starch and for the absence of a spectinomycin resistance marker found on the plasmid, but not on the integrated construct.

PEB527 ( $\Delta m r f B$, amyE::Pxyl-mrfB-H258A): PEB318 was transformed with pPB170 digested with the restriction enzymes ScaI and KpnI. Replacement of $a m y E$ with $P_{x y}-m r f B-H 258 A$ by double crossover recombination was verified by testing for an inability to utilize starch and for the absence of a spectinomycin resistance marker found on the plasmid, but not on the integrated construct.

PEB529 ( $\Delta m r f B$, amyE::Pxyl-mrfB- $\Delta C$ ): PEB318 was transformed with pPB171 digested with the restriction enzymes ScaI and KpnI. Replacement of $a m y E$ with $P_{x y l}-m r f B-\Delta C$ by double crossover recombination was verified by testing for an inability to utilize starch and for the absence of a spectinomycin resistance marker found on the plasmid, but not on the integrated construct.

PEB812 ( $\Delta m r f A B, ~ \triangle u v r A B$ ): PEB320 was transformed with pPB84 to delete $u v r A B$ using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing. Deletion of $u v r A B$ was verified by PCR genotyping using oPEB424/432.

PEB822 ( $\Delta u v r A B C$ ): PEB309 was transformed with pPB85 to delete $u v r C$ using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing. Deletion of $u v r C$ was confirmed by PCR genotyping using oPEB443/444.

PEB824 ( $\Delta m r f A B, \Delta u v r C$ ): PEB320 was transformed with pPB85 to delete $u v r C$ using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing. Deletion of $u v r C$ was confirmed by PCR genotyping using oPEB443/444.

PEB826 ( $\Delta m r f A B, \Delta u v r A B C$ ): PEB812 was transformed with pPB85 to delete $u v r C$ using CRISPR/Cas 9 genome editing. Deletion of $u v r C$ was confirmed by PCR genotyping using oPEB443/444.

PEB828 (recA::recA-gfp): PY79 was transformed with chromosomal DNA from LAS40.

PEB830 ( $\Delta m r f A B$, recA::recA-gfp): PEB320 was transformed with chromosomal DNA from LAS40. Retention of the $\triangle m r f A B$ allele was verified by PCR genotyping using oPEB452/462.

PEB832 ( $\Delta u v r A B C$, recA::recA-gfp): PEB822 was transformed with chromosomal DNA from LAS40. Retention of the $\Delta u v r A B$ allele was verified by PCR genotyping using oPEB424/432, and retention of the $\Delta u v r C$ allele was verified by PCR genotyping using oPEB443/444.

PEB834 ( $\Delta m r f A B, ~ \triangle u v r A B C$, recA::recA-gfp): PEB826 was transformed with chromosomal DNA from LAS40. Retention of the $\Delta m r f A B$ allele was verified by PCR genotyping using oPEB452/462. Retention of the $\triangle u v r A B$ allele was verified by PCR genotyping using oPEB424/432, and retention of the $\Delta u v r C$ allele was verified by PCR genotyping using oPEB443/444.

PEB866 ( $\Delta m r f A$, amyE $:: P_{x y l}-B c-m r f A$ ): The $m r f A$ homolog from Bacillus cereus (CUB17870.1) was codon optimized and used to generate a gBlock (IDT). The gBlock oPEB1044 was used in an overlap PCR reaction with two other PCR amplicons ( $a m y E$ upstream and $P_{x y l}$ generated using oPEB370/383 and a chloramphenicol resistance cassette and amyE downstream generated with oPEB557/377) using oPEB370/377. The resulting PCR product containing amyE-up- $P_{x y} l^{-}$ Bc-mrfA-camR-amyE-down was gel extracted and used to transform PEB316. Replacement of $a m y E$ with $P_{x y}$-Bc-mrfA-cam $R$ was verified by testing for an inability to utilize starch.

PEB870 ( $\Delta m r f B$, amyE::P $P_{x y l}-B c-m r f B$ ): The $m r f B$ homolog from Bacillus cereus (CUB17873.1) was codon optimized and used to generate a gBlock (IDT). The gBlock oPEB1046 was used in an overlap PCR reaction with two other PCR amplicons ( $a m y E$ upstream and $P_{x y l}$ generated using oPEB370/383 and a chloramphenicol resistance cassette and amyE downstream generated with oPEB557/377) using oPEB370/377. The resulting PCR product containing amyE-up- $P_{x y} l^{-}$ Bc-mrfB-camR-amyE-down was gel extracted and used to transform PEB318. Replacement of $a m y E$ with $P_{x y} l-B c-m r f B-c a m R$ was verified by testing for an inability to utilize starch.

PEB872 ( $\Delta m r f B$, amyE: $: P_{x y l}-P a-m r f B$ ): The $m r f B$ homolog from Pseudomonas aeruginosa (CRP88025.1) was codon optimized and used to generate a gBlock (IDT). The gBlock oPEB1045 was used in an overlap PCR reaction with two other PCR amplicons (amyE upstream
and $P_{x y l}$ generated using oPEB370/383 and a chloramphenicol resistance cassette and amyE downstream generated with oPEB557/377) using oPEB370/377. The resulting PCR product containing amyE-up- $P_{x y l}-P a-m r f B-c a m R-a m y E-d o w n$ was gel extracted and used to transform PEB318. Replacement of $a m y E$ with $P_{x y l}-P a-m r f B-c a m R$ was verified by testing for an inability to utilize starch.

PEB898 ( $\Delta m r f A$, amyE $\left.: \because P_{x y} l-S p-m r f A\right)$ : The mrfA homolog from Streptococcus pneumoniae (COD01438.1) was codon optimized and used to generate a gBlock (IDT). The gBlock oPEB1047 was used in an overlap PCR reaction with two other PCR amplicons (amyE upstream and $P_{x y l}$ generated using oPEB370/383 and a chloramphenicol resistance cassette and amyE downstream generated with oPEB557/377) using oPEB370/377. The resulting PCR product containing amyE-up- $P_{x y l}$-Sp-mrfA-camR-amyE-down was gel extracted and used to transform PEB318. Replacement of $a m y E$ with $P_{x y} l-S p-m r f A-c a m R$ was verified by testing for an inability to utilize starch.

PEB900 ( $\Delta m r f B$, amyE $:: P_{x y}-S p-m r f B$ ): The $m r f B$ homolog from Streptococcus pneumoniae (COD01468.1) was codon optimized and used to generate a gBlock (IDT). The gBlock oPEB1048 was used in an overlap PCR reaction with two other PCR amplicons (amyE upstream and $P_{x y l}$ generated using oPEB370/383 and a chloramphenicol resistance cassette and amyE downstream generated with oPEB557/377) using oPEB370/377. The resulting PCR product containing amyE-up- $P_{x y l}$-Sp-mrfB-camR-amyE-down was gel extracted and used to transform PEB318. Replacement of $a m y E$ with $P_{x y l}-S p-m r f B-c a m R$ was verified by testing for an inability to utilize starch.

PEB902 ( $\Delta m r f A$, amyE: $: P_{x y l}-$ Pa-mrfA): The mrfA homolog from Pseudomonas aeruginosa (CRP88044.1) was codon optimized and used to generate a gBlock (IDT). The gBlock oPEB1043 was used in an overlap PCR reaction with two other PCR amplicons (amyE upstream and $P_{x y l}$ generated using oPEB370/383 and a chloramphenicol resistance cassette and amyE downstream generated with oPEB557/377) using oPEB370/377. The resulting PCR product containing amyE-up- $P_{x y l}-P a-m r f A-c a m R-a m y E-d o w n$ was gel extracted and used to transform PEB318. Replacement of $a m y E$ with $P_{x y} l-P a-m r f A-c a m R$ was verified by testing for an inability to utilize starch.

## Plasmid construction

Plasmids were constructed via Gibson assembly as described $(26,67)$. Plasmids for the bacterial two-hybrid assays were constructed using $0.2 \%$ glucose in the media for selection of clones and cultures grown for plasmid isolation.
pPB88: Plasmid pPB88 was constructed using four PCR products: 1) the vector pPB 41 was amplified using oPEB217/218; 2) Cas9/CRISPR::mrfA was amplified using pPB75 as a template with oPEB232/234;3) the sequence upstream of $m r f A$ for the editing template was amplified using oPEB448/464; and 4) the sequence downstream of $m r f B$ for the editing template was amplified using oPEB465/460. Clones were verified via Sanger sequencing using oPEB227, oPEB253, and oPEB454.
pPB97: Plasmid pPB97 was constructed using two PCR products: 1) the vector pET28b-10xHisSmt3 was amplified using oPEB56/57; and 2) the MrfB ORF was amplified using oPEB545/546. Clones were verified via Sanger sequencing using oPEB58, oPEB527, and oPEB547.
pPB109: Plasmid oPEB109 was constructed using four PCR products: 1) the vector pPB47 was amplified using oPEB116/117; 2) the upstream portion of $a m y E$ and the $P_{x y l}$ promoter were amplified using oPEB370/383; 3) the chloramphenicol resistance cassette and the downstream portion of $a m y E$ was amplified using oPEB557/377; and 4) the $m r f A$ ORF was amplified using oPEB562/563. Clones were verified via Sanger sequencing using oPEB345, oPEB348, oPEB543, and oPEB544.
pPB110: Plasmid oPEB110 was constructed using four PCR products: 1) the vector pPB47 was amplified using oPEB116/117; 2) the upstream portion of $a m y E$ and the $P_{x y l}$ promoter were amplified using oPEB370/383; 3) the chloramphenicol resistance cassette and the downstream portion of $a m y E$ was amplified using oPEB557/377; and 4) the $m r f B$ ORF was amplified using oPEB564/565. Clones were verified via Sanger sequencing using oPEB345, oPEB348, and oPEB547.
pPB159: Plasmid oPEB159 was constructed using five PCR products: 1) the vector pPB 47 was amplified using oPEB116/117; 2) the upstream portion of $a m y E$ and the $P_{x y l}$ promoter were
amplified using oPEB370/383; 3) the chloramphenicol resistance cassette and the downstream portion of $a m y E$ was amplified using oPEB557/377; 4) the $5^{\prime}$ portion of the $m r f A-K 82 A$ ORF was amplified using oPEB562/721; and 5) the 3'portion of the $m r f A-K 82 A$ ORF was amplified using oPEB720/563. Clones were verified via Sanger sequencing using oPEB345, oPEB348, oPEB543, and oPEB544.
pPB160: Plasmid oPEB160 was constructed using five PCR products: 1 ) the vector pPB 47 was amplified using oPEB116/117; 2) the upstream portion of $a m y E$ and the $P_{x y l}$ promoter were amplified using oPEB370/383; 3) the chloramphenicol resistance cassette and the downstream portion of amyE was amplified using oPEB557/377; 4) the 5' portion of the mrfA-DE185-186AA ORF was amplified using oPEB562/723; and 5) the 3' portion of the mrfAK-DE185-186AA ORF was amplified using oPEB722/563. Clones were verified via Sanger sequencing using oPEB345, oPEB348, oPEB543, and oPEB544.
pPB161: Plasmid oPEB161 was constructed using five PCR products: 1) the vector pPB 47 was amplified using oPEB116/117; 2) the upstream portion of $a m y E$ and the $P_{x y l}$ promoter were amplified using oPEB370/383; 3) the chloramphenicol resistance cassette and the downstream portion of $a m y E$ was amplified using oPEB557/377; 4) the $5^{\prime}$ portion of the $m r f A-T 134 V$ ORF was amplified using oPEB562/725; and 5) the 3' portion of the mrfA-T134V ORF was amplified using oPEB724/563. Clones were verified via Sanger sequencing using oPEB345, oPEB348, oPEB543, and oPEB544.
pPB162: Plasmid oPEB162 was constructed using five PCR products: 1) the vector pPB47 was amplified using oPEB116/117; 2) the upstream portion of $a m y E$ and the $P_{x y l}$ promoter were amplified using oPEB370/383; 3) the chloramphenicol resistance cassette and the downstream portion of amyE was amplified using oPEB557/377; 4) the 5'portion of the $m r f A-S 222 A$ ORF was amplified using oPEB562/727; and 5) the 3' portion of the $m r f A-S 222 A$ ORF was amplified using oPEB726/563. Clones were verified via Sanger sequencing using oPEB345, oPEB348, oPEB543, and oPEB544.
pPB163: Plasmid oPEB163 was constructed using four PCR products: 1 ) the vector pPB 47 was amplified using oPEB116/117; 2) the upstream portion of $a m y E$ and the $P_{x y l}$ promoter were amplified using oPEB370/383; 3) the chloramphenicol resistance cassette and the downstream
portion of $a m y E$ was amplified using oPEB557/377; and 4) the $m r f A \Delta C$ ORF was amplified using oPEB562/728. Clones were verified via Sanger sequencing using oPEB345, oPEB348, oPEB543, and oPEB544.
pPB164: Plasmid oPEB164 was constructed using four PCR products: 1) the vector pPB47 was amplified using oPEB116/117; 2) the upstream portion of $a m y E$ and the $P_{x y l}$ promoter were amplified using oPEB370/383; 3) the chloramphenicol resistance cassette and the downstream portion of amyE was amplified using oPEB557/377; and 4) the $m r f A-C 718 A \& C 720 A$ ORF was amplified using oPEB562/729. Clones were verified via Sanger sequencing using oPEB345, oPEB348, oPEB543, and oPEB544.
pPB165: Plasmid oPEB165 was constructed using four PCR products: 1) the vector pPB47 was amplified using oPEB116/117; 2) the upstream portion of $a m y E$ and the $P_{x y l}$ promoter were amplified using oPEB370/383; 3) the chloramphenicol resistance cassette and the downstream portion of amyE was amplified using oPEB557/377; and 4) the $m r f A-C 718 A, C 720 A, C 724 A$, \& C727A ORF was amplified using oPEB562/730. Clones were verified via Sanger sequencing using oPEB345, oPEB348, oPEB543, and oPEB544.
pPB166: Plasmid oPEB166 was constructed using five PCR products: 1) the vector pPB47 was amplified using oPEB116/117; 2) the upstream portion of $a m y E$ and the $P_{x y l}$ promoter were amplified using oPEB370/383; 3) the chloramphenicol resistance cassette and the downstream portion of $a m y E$ was amplified using oPEB557/377; 4) the $5^{\prime}$ portion of the $m r f B-D 107 A$ ORF was amplified using oPEB564/732; and 5) the $3^{\prime}$ portion of the $m r f B-D 107 A$ ORF was amplified using oPEB731/565. Clones were verified via Sanger sequencing using oPEB345, oPEB348, and oPEB547.
pPB167: Plasmid oPEB167 was constructed using five PCR products: 1) the vector pPB47 was amplified using oPEB116/117; 2) the upstream portion of $a m y E$ and the $P_{x y l}$ promoter were amplified using oPEB370/383; 3) the chloramphenicol resistance cassette and the downstream portion of $a m y E$ was amplified using oPEB557/377; 4) the $5^{\prime}$ portion of the $m r f B-E 109 A$ ORF was amplified using oPEB564/734; and 5) the $3^{\prime}$ portion of the $m r f B-E 109 A$ ORF was amplified using oPEB733/565. Clones were verified via Sanger sequencing using oPEB345, oPEB348, and oPEB547.
pPB168: Plasmid oPEB168 was constructed using five PCR products: 1) the vector pPB 47 was amplified using oPEB116/117; 2) the upstream portion of $a m y E$ and the $P_{x y l}$ promoter were amplified using oPEB370/383; 3) the chloramphenicol resistance cassette and the downstream portion of $a m y E$ was amplified using oPEB557/377; 4) the $5^{\prime}$ portion of the $m r f B-D 172 A$ ORF was amplified using oPEB564/736; and 5) the $3^{\prime}$ portion of the $m r f B-D 172 A$ ORF was amplified using oPEB735/565. Clones were verified via Sanger sequencing using oPEB345, oPEB348, and oPEB547.
pPB169: Plasmid oPEB169 was constructed using five PCR products: 1) the vector pPB47 was amplified using oPEB116/117; 2) the upstream portion of $a m y E$ and the $P_{x y l}$ promoter were amplified using oPEB370/383; 3) the chloramphenicol resistance cassette and the downstream portion of $a m y E$ was amplified using oPEB557/377; 4) the 5 'portion of the $m r f B-D 262 A$ ORF was amplified using oPEB564/766; and 5) the $3^{\prime}$ portion of the $m r f B-D 262 A$ ORF was amplified using oPEB765/565. Clones were verified via Sanger sequencing using oPEB345, oPEB348, and oPEB547.
pPB170: Plasmid oPEB170 was constructed using five PCR products: 1) the vector pPB47 was amplified using oPEB116/117; 2) the upstream portion of $a m y E$ and the $P_{x y l}$ promoter were amplified using oPEB370/383; 3) the chloramphenicol resistance cassette and the downstream portion of $a m y E$ was amplified using oPEB557/377; 4) the 5 'portion of the $m r f B-H 258 A$ ORF was amplified using oPEB564/768; and 5) the $3^{\prime}$ portion of the $m r f B-H 258 A$ ORF was amplified using oPEB767/565. Clones were verified via Sanger sequencing using oPEB345, oPEB348, and oPEB547.
pPB171: Plasmid oPEB171 was constructed using four PCR products: 1) the vector pPB47 was amplified using oPEB116/117; 2) the upstream portion of $a m y E$ and the $P_{x y l}$ promoter were amplified using oPEB370/383; 3) the chloramphenicol resistance cassette and the downstream portion of $a m y E$ was amplified using oPEB557/377; and 4) the $m r f B \Delta C$ ORF was amplified using oPEB564/737. Clones were verified via Sanger sequencing using oPEB345, oPEB348, and oPEB547.
pPB263: Plasmid pPB263 was constructed using two PCR products: 1) the vector pUT18C was amplified using oPEB1017/1018; and 2) the $m r f A$ ORF was amplified using oPEB1026/1027.

Clones were verified via Sanger sequencing using oPEB543, oPEB544, oPEB1024, and oPEB1025.
pPB264: Plasmid pPB264 was constructed using two PCR products: 1) the vector pUT18 was amplified using oPEB1016/1012; and 2) the mrfA ORF was amplified using oPEB1028/1029. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing using oPEB543, oPEB544, oPEB1019, and oPEB1023.
pPB265: Plasmid pPB265 was constructed using two PCR products: 1) the vector pKT25 was amplified using oPEB1014/1015; and 2) the $m r f B$ ORF was amplified using oPEB1030/1031. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing using oPEB547, oPEB1021, and oPEB1022.
pPB266: Plasmid pPB266 was constructed using two PCR products: 1) the vector pKNT25 was amplified using oPEB1012/1013; and 2) the $m r f B$ ORF was amplified using oPEB1032/1033. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing using oPEB547, oPEB1019, and oPEB1020. pPB273: Plasmid pPB273 was constructed using two PCR products: 1) the vector pKT25 was amplified using oPEB1014/1015; and 2) the $m r f B \Delta$ C ORF was amplified using oPEB1030/1056. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing using oPEB1021 and oPEB1022.
pPB274: Plasmid pPB274 was constructed using two PCR products: 1) the vector pKT25 was amplified using oPEB1014/1015; and 2) the $m r f B \Delta$ N ORF was amplified using oPEB1057/1031. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing using oPEB1021 and oPEB1022.
pPB283: Plasmid pPB283 was constructed using two PCR products: 1) the vector pUT18 was amplified using oPEB1016/1012; and 2) the mrfA Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing using oPEB543, oPEB544, oPEB1019, and oPEB1023.
pPB284: Plasmid pPB284 was constructed using two PCR products: 1) the vector pUT18 was amplified using oPEB1016/1012; and 2) the $m r f A \Delta$ C ORF was amplified using oPEB1028/1054. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing using oPEB543, oPEB544, oPEB1019, and oPEB1023.
pPB285: Plasmid pPB285 was constructed using two PCR products: 1) the vector pUT18 was amplified using oPEB1016/1012; and 2) the mrfA-N ORF was amplified using oPEB1028/1055. Clones were verified by Sanger sequencing using oPEB1019 and oPEB1023.

## gBlocks used in this study

## oPEB1043:

caaagggggaaatgggatcctaaggaggtatacatATGGCGTACGAACTGGCGAAACGGACTGCGGACGCTGAACAG AAGCTCGCTACTCGCGACGGACTTCCTGCCCGGGACGGGGCCCTGTTATCTGCTCGCCTTCAGAGAAGATATCAAGA CCGTATTACGGGAAGCTTTGCGATCCCTGGACGTGAGGGCCGTTACGCTCCAATACCTGACTCTGTTCCACCTGCCC TGGCAGCAGCCTTAAAGGCGCGTGGTATTGAACAGCTTTACAGCCATCAAGCTGAGGCCTGGGAGGCCTCTCAACGC GGAGAGCACGTCGCGATCGTAACGCCGACAGCATCCGGCAAGAGCCTGTGCTATACCTTGCCTGTTGTTTCTGCAGC TATGCAGGATAAGGCGAAGGCGCTCTACCTCTTCCCTACTAAGGCACTGGCTCAAGACCAGGTCGCCGAGCTCCTGG AGTTGAACAGAGCAGGAGATCTGGGTGTCAAAGCATTCACTTTCGATGGCGATACGCCGGGGGATGCACGTCAAGCT ATTCGCTTACATGGCGATATTGTCGTGAGTAACCCAGATATGTTACATCAAGCGATACTCCCACATCATACCAAATG GGCACAGTTTTTTGAGAATTTGCGTTATATAGTGATCGATGAAGTTCATACGTACCGCGGAGTATTCGGGTCCCATG TGACTAACGTATTGAGACGGCTCAAAAGAATCTGCGCGTTTTACGGCGTACAACCTCAGTTCATTCTCTGTTCTGCA ACCATTGGCAATCCTCAGGCGCATGCAGAAGCACTCATCGAGGCTCCTGTAACTGCTGTTACTGAATCTGGCGCACC TACAGGGCCGAAGCAAGTACTTTTGTGGAACCCACCGGTGATAAACCCGGATTTAGGGCTCCGTGCTAGCGCGAGAA GTCAAAGCAATCGCATAGCCAGAATAGCTATCAAGTCTGGCCTTAAAACTTTAGTATTCGCCCAAACTCGCCTCATG GTAGAAGTTTTGACGAAGTACTTAAAAGACATTTTCGATCACGACCCGCGTAAACCGCCGCGTATCCGCGCGTACAG AGGAGGTTATTTACCGACTGAACGGCGGGAAACTGAAAGAGCCATGCGGGCCGGTAATATCGACGGGATAGTATCTA CTAGCGCTTTGGAACTGGGTGTAGATATCGGAAGCTTGGACGTCGTCATTCTGAATGGCTATCCGGGAAGCGTAGCG GCCACATGGCAGCGCTTCGGAAGAGCGGGACGTCGCCAACAACCTGCGTTGGGAGTCATGGTCGCCTCAAGCCAACC ATTAGACCAATACGTTGTGCGGCATCCGGACTTCTTCGCCGAGGCCTCTCCTGAGCACGCCCGGATTGCTCCGGATC AGCCACTGATTCTCTTCGATCATATAAGATGTGCCGCTTTTGAATTACCGTTTCGGGTGGGCGACGGTTTCGGGCCT ATTGATCCTGAGGTCTTTCTCGAAGCATTGGCTGAGACAGAGGTGATTCATCGGGAAGGTGAGCGTTGGGAATGGAT AGCCGACTCATATCCGGCGAATGCTGTGTCCTTGCGGGCTGTGGCAGATGGCAATTTCGTCGTTGTTGACCGGTCTG ACGGTAGACAACAGATAATCGCGGAGGTTGATTATAGTGCTGCAGCTTTGACACTGTACGAAGGCGCGATCCACATG GTGCAATCAACTCCATACCAAGTAGAAACTCTTGATTGGGAGGGACGTAAGGCTTACGTGACACGTACTCACGTCGA СТАСТАTACGGACTCAATCGACTTTACAAAACTGAAGGTCCTTGATAGATTTGATGGAGGAGTCGCAGGACGTGGCG ACTCCCATCATGGGGAGGTCCATGTAGTACGTCGCGTCGCTGGTTACAAAAAGATTCGCTATTATACCCATGAGAAT ATCGGATACGGACCTGTTAACTTGCCGGACCAAGAACTTCACACCACCGCTGTCTGGTGGCAATTGCCACAGGCATT ACTGCTGAGAGCCTTTGCCAGCCGGCAAGATCCTTTAGATGGTTTCTTGGGAGCTGCATATGCGTTGCACATCGTGG CAACTGTCGCAGTAATGGCCGATGCAAGAGACTTGCAAAAGTCTGTAGGAAACGGAGATGGCTCATGGTTCGCAATT GCAGACCAGTCAGGACGCGGTCAACTCCGGGGGAGTGAAGGTGACCCGGGCGGTGTTGAACTCTTGCAGGAATTTGT TCCGACGGTGTATCTCTATGACAACTTTCCGGGAGGCGTGGGACTGAGCGAACCTCTCTGGCAACGGCAGGCAGAGC TTGTGCAAAGAGCGAGAGAGCTCGTCCAAAGATGTGACTGCAAGGCCGGTTGCCCTGCTTGCGTAGGGCCGGTGTTG GCAGCGCAAGAGGAAGACGAAACATCCCCTCGGGCGCTGGCACTCAGAGTCCTTGACTTGTTTGACGCGGAGGCCTG TAGACATGTACCGGACGTAGTGGTGACTACACGCGACCCTATGGAATTACTTGCCCCGTAAtaaCGGTTTCCATATG GGGATTGGTGGCGACGACT

## oPEB1044:

caaagggggaaatgggatcctaaggaggtatacatATGAAAAAAAAAAGTTTAACCGAGTTGATAAGTGAACTCAAA GGTAACGAAAACATAGTTAACTGGCACGAAATAGAACCGAGAGAAGCTAGAACGCGGCCTATGCCTGAAAGTATCGA TGAGAGAATAAAGGCCGCCTTGAGCAAAAGAGGTATCGACGAATTATATACGCACCAATTCTCAGCTTTCCAATACG TGCAAAAAGGGGAAAGTATTGTTACTGTCACCCCGACTGCTTCAGGAAAGACACTCAGTTACAATTTGCCAGTTCTG CAAAGTATAGCCCAAGATGAGACGAATCGCGCACTTTACCTTTTCCCGACTAAGGCCCTGGCTCAAGATCAAAAATC

TGAGCTGAACGAAATAATAGACGAAATGGGAATCGATATTAAAAGCTTTACGTACGATGGTGATACCAGCCCTGCTA TACGGCAGAAAGTACGGAAAGCCGGTCATATCGTCATTACCAATCCTGACATGCTTCACAGTGCCATATTGCCGCAC CATACAAAGTGGGTCAGTTTATTTGAAAACCTGAAGTACATCGTAATTGATGAGCTCCATACATATCGGGGCGTCTT CGGCTCCCACGTAGCAAATGTTATACGTCGCCTGAAAAGAATATGTCGTTTTTACGGATCAGACCCAGTATTCATCT GTACTTCAGCCACTATTGCTAATCCTAAGGAGTTGGGCGAGCAGTTGACTGGCAAGCCGATGCGTCTGGTCGATGAC AATGGTGCGCCTTCTGGTAGAAAGCATTTTGTATTTTACAATCCTCCGATAGTTAACAAGCCGCTCAATATTCGTAA GAGCGCGACAGCGGAGGTAAATGAACTGGCCAAGGAATTTCTTAAGAACAAGGTACAGACTATCGTCTTTGCACGGT CACGCGTCCGTGTTGAAATTATATTGAGCCACATCCAGGAACTTGTAAAAAAGGAGATTGGTACTAAGAGCATCCGC GGTTACCGGGGCGGGTACCTCCCGAAGGAAAGACGCGAGATAGAGCGCGGACTCCGGGAAGGTGAAATCCTGGGGGT GGTAAGTACGAATGCTCTGGAACTCGGAGTGGACATCGGACAGTTACAGGTGTGTGTGATGACAGGCTATCCGGGAA GTGTCGCGTCCGCATGGCAGCAAGCGGGCCGGGCCGGACGGAGACATGGCGAAAGCTTAATAATCATGGTAGCCAAC TCCACGCCGATCGACCAGTATATAGTACGTCACCCGGAATACTTTTTTAACCGCTCTCCTGAAAGTGCTCGCATTAA CCCGGAGAATCTTATTATCTTGGTCGATCACCTTAAGTGCGCGGCCTATGAATTACCATTCAGAGCTGATGAGGAGT TCGGCCCTATGGACGTGTCTGATATTCTTGAATATTTACAAGAAGAAGCCGTCTTACATCGGAATGGCGAGCGTTAC CATTGGGCATCCGAGAGCTTCCCTGCTAGCAATATCAGCCTCCGTTCTGCGTCACAGGAAAACGTTGTGATAGTTGA TCAGTCTGACATAGCCAACGTTAGAATTATAGGAGAAATGGACCGTTTCTCCGCCATGACCCTTTTACATGATGAGG CAATATACCTGCATGAAGGAGTGCAATATCAAGTTGAGAAACTCGATTGGGACCACAAAAAAGCGTACGTCCGGAAA GTGGACGTGGAGTATTATACAGATGCCAACTTAGCCGTCCAGCTGAAGGTTTTAGAAATAGACAAGACTAAAGAAAA GTCTAGAACGTCCCTCCATTATGGTGATGTCACAGTCAACGCGCTCCCAACGATTTTCAAAAAAATTAAAATGACAA CCTTTGAGAACATTGGGAGCGGGCCTATCCACTTGCCGGAGGAAGAGTTGCATACCTCTGCCGCTTGGCTCGAAATT AAGACGGCCGATGAAGATATAGGAGAAAAGACGCTCGAACAGCTCTTACTTGGTATATCAAATGTTTTACAGCACAT AGTGCCGGTCTATATCATGTGCGACCGGAACGATGTTCATGTAATATCCCAGATCAAAGCTGCCCATACCGGACTTC CGACAATTTTCTTGTATGATCACTATCCTGGGGGCATTGGCCTTGCTGAAGAGGTTTTTAAACGCTTCAGCGATATA AACGAAGCAGCCAAACAGCTGATAAAGCAATGCCCATGCCACGACGGTTGTCCTTCATGTATTGGAACTGAAATCGA GGGTATAAAAGCTAAAGAGCGTATACTGCAGTTGTTAGTTCAAATGGCGTAAtaaCGGTTTCCATATGGGGATTGGT GGCGACGACT

## oPEB1045:

caaagggggaaatgggatcctaaggaggtatacatATGTCATTAAGTTTGGATAAGCTTCGCCTGCTTCGGCGGCAA GCCGGAGACCCAAAAGCAAGCACTCCTGCAGTCCCAGATGTACCGCCGGCCCCACCTGCCCCAGTTGCTGCTAACGA CGCCCGCCAGCCACCTGCAGAGCGTAGTGTATTCGCCTGGGTGGAGCAAGAGATCCGCCACAAGCCAACAGGCGCGG CTGCGCCAACCCCTGCTTCAGCACCTCTGCGGCGGCCAGAGGTTGGGTCCCTGCATAGACTCTTGGGGCTGCGCACC AGAAGCGGGGCCACTCCTGCTCGCGCCAGTGCACAGGATCGCCAGTTGCCTGGAGAGGAAATAGCACCGGGCCTGTT ССТСАTTGAATCCCTTCAGCCGCAGGCTATACCGGCTCAACCTCTTAGCTTAGATTTCGCTCGGCGGGATGGCGAAC ACGTTGCCGCTAGAGATTTGTTGTTTTTTGACACAGAAACCACGGGTCTTGCTGGCGGAACTGGCACGCGCGCTTTT ATGATCGGAGCAGCCGACTGGCATGTATGCCCTCAACGTGGGGAGGGCCTGAGAATCAGACAACTCCTTATGGCTAC GATGGCTGCTGAAGACGCTATGTTAGCAACGTTTGCAGGTTGGTTGCAGCCAAGTACGGTATTTTGCAGTTACAATG GCCGTAGCTATGACGCCCCTTTATTGAAAGCTCGGTATCGTTTGGCAAGACAGCGCGACCCAATCAGTGCGTTAGAT CACGTGGACCTGCTCTACCCAACACGTAGACGTTATCGCGGAACCTGGGAGAATTGCAAGCTTAGCACCATAGAACG TCAGCTCCTTCGTGTAGTACGTGAGGATGACCTCCCTGGTAGTGAAGCGCCAGGTGCTTGGCTTCGGTTTCTGCGGG GAGGAGACGCCGTTAACTTGAGACGCGTTGCAGACCATAATCACCAGGATGTAGTAACCCTTGCCCTGCTCCTGCAA AGACTTGTCCGCGAGGAGCAACGTGAACGCGAGACACTTGCCCTTGTCGGACAGTAAtaaCGGTTTCCATATGGGGA TTGGTGGCGACGACT

## oPEB1046:

caaagggggaaatgggatcctaaggaggtatacatATGTCTTTGAAGGGAAAGTTACAACGTATGAAGAAACACATG GTCCTTGACGAAGGAGAGCACAAAATAGAGGCGGGTCAGCGCGAGAACAATTTTGCAGAAATCCCATTTTTAGAGGA ATGGGAGGCTTTTGGCATGAAGCCTTTCTTTTTTGAAGACGAATATTGTTTGATCCGTGAGGTAGAATATCCATTAT CCCACCGCCATGGACTTTATCGGTTCAGTGAGTTAGATGAGGTCATAACATTGTGGAACCAAAGCAGTCTCAGTCAT

ACGCTCAGTGCTAAGGGTTACAACAAAAATAGCCTTTTTTTTTTTGATACTGAAACCACAGGATTGGGAGGGGGGGC TGGGAATACGATTTTTTTATTAGGACACGCACGGGTCTATGAAGACCGCGTGACAGTCAAGCAACATCTTCTCCCTA AACCTGGTAACGAGGTGGCCTTGTATCAGTCCTTCCTGAGTGAGGTGGACATTACAAGCCTTGTTACATATAATGGC AAAGCGTTCGATTGGCCACAGGTAAAGACTCGGCACACATTAATACGTGATCGTCTGCCAAAACTTCCTGAATTTGG CCATTTCGATCTTCTTCATGGTGCTCGTCGCTTGTGGAAACACAAGATGGATCGGGTAAGCCTGGGAACGGTGGAAA AGGAAGAATTGGGTATTCACCGGCAGGAGGACACCCCTGGGTACCTCGCTCCAATGCTCTATTTTCATTTCATTAAA GCGCAAGAACCAGACCTTCTTAAAGGTGTACTTCACCACAATGAGATGGACGTTCTGTCTTTGATTTCTTTATATAT CCACATGTCAAAAAAAATCTTATCCGCTAGTTACGCTAGTAAGGAACATATTGAGCACTCCGAAGCATATGCCATGG CGAAGTGGTTTATGGCTCACAAAGAAACCGACCAAGCGGTGAAGCAACTTGAGCGGTTAAAGGAAAAATCATTCGAG GACCAGGACCGTGCTCGGCTTGATCTCTCCCTCCTTTATAAAAAACAAAACAGACTCGAAGAGGCAGTACCTTTGTG GGAAAAACTGAGCCGCTCTCAGAATCAGAAGTGTCGTTACACTGCCGTTATAGAGTTAGCCAAGTACTTTGAGCATA AAAAAAAGGAATTCGGCAAAGCCTTATACATAGCGGAGCAACTTTTGAGTGATGCGGCGTTTCTGTCAGAAAAGGAA AGTGAGAAGTTACAAGTACGCATAGCAAGACTTAAAAGAAAGTATTCCAGCTAAtaaCGGTTTCCATATGGGGATTG GTGGCGACGACT

## oPEB1047:

caaagggggaaatgggatcctaaggaggtatacatATGAAAAAGAAGAGCCTCTCAGAGCTTATTCAAGAGTTAAAG AATCATGAGAATATAGTGCATTGGCATGAGGAGGAGCCGCGGGAAGCCAAAACTATGCCAATGCCGGAACAAGTTGA CCCTAATATACGTGCAGCGCTGGAAAAGCGTGGAATTGAGCGGTTATTTACTCACCAGTACTCCGCGTTCCAAACTG TCCAGAACGGTGAGAGTATTGTTGCAGTCACGCCGACCGCCTCTGGAAAGACTTTATGTTATAATCTTCCTGTATTA CAGAGCATCGCCGAAGACGCGAGTTCCCGGGCTTTGTACTTGTTCCCAACCAAGGCGCTCGCACAGGACCAAAAGAG CGAGCTCAACGAAATTATTGACGAGACAGGCATGGATATTAAGAGTTTCACATATGACGGCGATACTTCTCCGGCGA TAAGACAGAAAGTACGTAAAGCCGGGCACATCGTTATCACTAACCCGGATATGCTCCATTCTGCGATCCTGCCTCAC CATACCAAGTGGGTTAGCCTTTTCGAAAACTTGAAATATATCGTGATTGATGAACTTCATACCTACAGAGGCGTGTT TGGTAGCCATGTCGCTAACGTGATTCGTCGTCTTATGCGCATTTGTGCCTTTTACGGATCAAAACCTTCTTTTATTT GCACTTCAGCGACGATTGCTAATCCACGGGAATTGGCAGAACAGTTGACAGGGAAGTCAGTGCGTCTGATCGACGAT AACGGGGCTCCAGCAGGGCGGAAACATTTTGCGTTCTATAATCCTCCGATAGTCAACAAACCGCTGCATATCCGCAA GTCTGCAACGGTAGAGGTGAACGAATTAGCCAAGACTTTCCTTAAGAATAAAATACAAACGATCGTGTTTGCGCGGT CCCGGGTGAGAGTGGAAATCATCCTTAGCCACATACAAGAGATAGTTAAGAAGGAGATCGGTGCTAAAAGCGTTCGT GGTTATCGGGGCGGGTACCTCAGTAAGGAGAGAAGAGAGATCGAACGCGGACTCAGAGATGGAAGCATCCTTGGTGT AGTCAGTACAAATGCTCTTGAGCTCGGTGTTGACATTGGACAGCTCCAAGTGTGCGTTATGACTGGTTATCCTGGAT CTGTTGCAAGCGCTTGGCAGCAGGCAGGACGGGCCGGTAGACGGCAAGGCGAGGCATTGATTGTCATGGTTGCAAAC TCAGATCCAATAGACCAGTACATAGTTAGACACCCTGATTACTTCTTCAAACGTAGTCCTGAAAGCGCACGCATAAA CCCGGACAATCTGATTATACTTGTAGACCACTTAAAATGCGCAGCCTACGAGCTCCCTTTCCGTGCTGACGAGACAT TCGGCGAGAACGACGCCCGTGATATTTTGGAATACCTCGAAGAAGAAGGCGTATTACATGAAAATCGGGAAAGATAT CATTGGGCATCAGAATCATTTCCGGCGTCTAACATCAGTTTGCGGTCAGCATCTCAGGAGAACGTAGTCATTGTGGA CCGCTCTGAGACGGCGGATGTCAAAATCATAGGGGAAATGGATCGCTTCTCTGCGATGACCCTCTTGCATGATGAAG CGATCTATCTTCACGAAGGGGTGCAGTACCAGGTTGAAAAATTAGATTGGGATCATAAAAAGGCATACGTCAGAAAA GTTGACGTAGAGTACTACACTGATGCAAACCTGGCAGTACAACTCAAGGTGCTTGACATAGATCGCACAGATAGCCG TAAGAAAACAGCACTCCACTTTGGAGATGTTACCGTGAATGCGCTTCCGACTATATTTAAAAAAATTAAAATGACGA CGTTCGAAAACATAGGTTCTGGTCCAATACACCTCCCGGAGGAAGAGTTGCACACTTCAGCAGCATGGTTGGAACTT AAAGAAACTGATTCCGAGATAGGTGAAAAGACATTAGAGCAGCTGCTCCTTGGTATCGCACACGTTTTGCAGCACAT TGTCCCTGTCTATGTCATGTGTGATCGTAATGACGTCCATGTAGTTCCTCAAATCAAGGCAGCACATACTGGCCTCC CAACAATTTTTCTCTACGATCACTATCCTGGTGGCATTGGTTTAGCCGATGAAGTTTATAAGCGCTTCGATGAAATA AATGAGGGAGCAGAACGCCTTATTCGTCAATGTCCATGTCAAGATGGATGTCCGTCTTGCATTGGGAGCGAAATAGA AGGGATAGATGCGAAGAAGGCCATTCTTCGCTTACTGAATTATGTTTAAtaaCGGTTTCCATATGGGGATTGGTGGC GACGACT

## oPEB1048:

caaagggggaaatgggatcctaaggaggtatacatATGTCTCTTAAAAATAAATTAAAGCGTATGAAGAATCACTTA AATGTGCCGGAGGCAGAGAAAAAGCCAGCAGCAAGTCAATTGTCTGTCCCGGATATTCAAGTACCGTTCCGGGAGGA GTGGGAAGCTCTGGGAGTCAAGCCGTTTTTTTTTGAAGACGAATACTGTTTGATTAGAGAGACGGTATATCCGTTAT CCCATCGCCATGGGAGATATAGTTTTAGTGAGCTCGACGACGTGATGGCCTTATGGAATAAGGGAGGGCTCACACAC ACCTTGTCTGCGAAAGGGTATGAGAAGAGCCAACTCTTTTTTTTCGATACGGAAACCACAGGCTTAGGAGGAGGTGC GGGCAATATGATCTTTTTGCTTGGACACGCTCGTGTTTACGAAGATCGGGTTGCGGTGAAGCAGCACTTATTGCCAA AGCCGGGCAATGAAACGGCATTGTACAAGAGCTTTCTGAGCGAGGTGGATATAACATCCCTTGTGACCTACAATGGA AAAGCCTTCGATTGGCCACAGGTGAAAACGCGCCACACGCTTTTACGCGATAGACTGCCAAAGCTGCCAGATTTCGG GCATTTCGACCTGTTGCATGGCGCTAGACGCCTGTGGAAACACAAATTGGAGCGTGTCTCATTATCCGCGGTAGAAA ATGAGGAGTTAGCATTCAAACGTGACGAAGATACTCCTGGTTACCTCGCTCCGATGCTTTACTTCCAATTCCTTAAA GCGGAAGACCCTGCCTTACTCAAGGGAGTGTTAAGCCATAATGAGCAGGACGTCCTCTCCCTTATAGCGCTTTACAT CCATATGTCCAAAAAAATATTTGCTTCCTCAGACCAAACGTCCGAACGGCAAGAAGCGTATGCCATGGCTAAATGGT TCATAGCTCACAAAGAGACCGACCGCGCGGTCAGTCAACTTGAGGCATTACAGGGTAAGGATTTCGAAGACTCTGAC AGAGCCCTTTTTGATCTCGCTATGCTTTATAAGAAGCAAAATCGCCGTCAAGATGCTGTACCGCTCTGGGAAAAACT TACAGATAGTGATTTACACACGTGTCGTCACCATAGTGCGGTCGAACTCGCCATCTACTTCGAACATCACGCTAAGG ATTATAAGAAGGCACTCCAAGCCGCCCAGCAGGCGGCGGAGGACGGAGAGATATCTGAAAAAGAGGCAGAGAAACTC CATGTCCGTATAGCCCGTCTGAAAAGAAAGTACAGTAGCTAAtaaCGGTTTCCATATGGGGATTGGTGGCGACGACT

## Supplemental alignments

## MrfA alignment

| Pa-MrfA | MAYELAKRTADAEQKLATRDGLPARDGALLSARLQRRYQDRITGSFAIPGREGRYAPIPD | 60 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sp-MrfA | KKKSLSELIQELKNHENIVHWHEEEPREAKTMPMPE | 37 |
| Bs-MrfA | -MKKKSLTELISDLKGNENVVNWHEIEPREAKTRPMPE | 37 |
| Bc-MrfA | -MKKKSLTELISELKGNENIVNWHEIEPREARTRPMPE | 37 |
| Pa-MrfA | SVPPALAAALKARGIEQLYSHQAEAWEASQRGEHVAIVTPTASGKSLCYTLPVVSAAMQD | 120 |
| Sp-MrfA | QVDPNIRAALEKRGIERLFTHQYSAFQTVQNGES IVAVTPTASGKTLCYNLPVLQSIAED | 97 |
| Bs-MrfA | SIDERIKAALSKRGIDELYTHQYSAFQYVQKGESIVTVTPTASGKTLCYNLPVLQSIAQD | 97 |
| Bc-MrfA | SIDERIKAALSKRGIDELYTHQFSAFQYVQKGESIVTVTPTASGKTLSYNLPVLQSIAQD | 97 |
| Pa-MrfA | -KAKALYLFPTKALAQDQVAELLELNRAGDLGVKAFTFDGDTPGDARQAIRLHGDIVVSN | 179 |
| Sp-MrfA | ASSRALYLFPTKALAQDQKSELNEIIDETGMDIKSFTYDGDTSPAIRQKVRKAGHIVITN | 157 |
| Bs-MrfA | ETNRALYLFPTKALAQDQKSELNEIIDEMGIDIKSFTYDGDTSPAIRQKVRKAGHIVITN | 157 |
| Bc-MrfA | ETNRALYLFPTKALAQDQKSELNEIIDEMGIDIKSFTYDGDTSPAIRQKVRKAGHIVITN <br> . : ************** : ** *: .: : *:**:**** ** :* *.**: : * | 157 |
| Pa-MrfA | PDMLHQAILPHHTKWAQFFENLRYIVIDEVHTYRGVFGSHVTNVLRRLKRICAFYGVQPQ | 239 |
| Sp-MrfA | PDMLHSAILPHHTKWVSLFENLKYIVIDELHTYRGVFGSHVANVIRRLMRICAFYGSKPS | 217 |
| Bs-MrfA | PDMLHSAILPHHTKWVSLFENLKYIVIDELHTYRGVFGSHVANVIRRLKRICRFYGSDPV | 217 |
| Bc-MrfA | PDMLHSAILPHHTKWVSLFENLKYIVIDELHTYRGVFGSHVANVIRRLKRICRFYGSDPV <br> *****。*********..:****:******:***********:**:*** *** *** . * | 217 |
| Pa-MrfA | FILCSATIGNPQAHAEALIEAPVTAVTESGAPTGPKQVLLWNPPVINPDLGLRASARSQS | 299 |
| Sp-MrfA | FICTSATIANPRELAEQLTGKSVRLIDDNGAPAGRKHFAFYNPPIVNKPLHIRKSATVEV | 277 |
| Bs-MrfA | FICTSATIANPKELGEQLTGKPMRLVDDNGAPSGRKHFVFYNPPIVNKPLNIRRSATAEV | 277 |
| Bc-MrfA | FICTSATIANPKELGEQLTGKPMRLVDDNGAPSGRKHFVFYNPPIVNKPLNIRKSATAEV <br> ** ****.**: .* * : : : ***:* *: : : ***: : * * : ** : | 277 |
| Pa-MrfA | NRIARIAIKSGLKTLVFAQTRLMVEVLTKYLKDIFDHDPRKPPRIRAYRGGYLPTERRET | 359 |
| Sp-MrfA | NELAKTFLKNKIQTIVFARSRVRVEIILSHIQEIVKKE-IGAKSVRGYRGGYLSKERREI | 336 |
| Bs-MrfA | NELAKEFLKNKVQTIVFARSRVRVEIILSHIQELVKKE-IGTKSIRGYRGGYLPKERREI | 336 |
| Bc-MrfA | NELAKEFLKNKVQTIVFARSRVRVEIILSHIQELVKKE-IGTKSIRGYRGGYLPKERREI | 336 |

```
*.:*: :*. ::*:***::*: **:: .:::::..:: :*.****** .****
```

| Pa-MrfA | ERAMRAGNIDGIVSTSALELGVDIGSLDVVILNGYPGSVAATWQRFGRAGRRQQPALGVM | 419 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sp-MrfA | ERGLRDGSILGVVSTNALELGVDIGQLQVCVMTGYPGSVASAWQQAGRAGRRQGEALIVM | 396 |
| Bs-MrfA | ERGLREGDILGVVSTNALELGVDIGQLQVCVMTGYPGSVASAWQQAGRAGRRHGESLIIM | 396 |
| Bc-MrfA | ERGLREGEILGVVSTNALELGVDIGQLQVCVMTGYPGSVASAWQQAGRAGRRHGESLIIM <br> **: : * * * : ***, *********.*:* : : *******: : **: ******: : : * | 396 |
| Pa-MrfA | VASSQPLDQYVVRHPDFFAEASPEHARIAPDQPLILFDHIRCAAFELPFRVGDGFGPIDP | 479 |
| Sp-MrfA | VANSDPIDQYIVRHPDYFFKRSPESARINPDNLIILVDHLKCAAYELPFRADETFGENDA | 456 |
| Bs-MrfA | VANSTPIDQYIVRHPEYFFNRSPESARINPENLIILVDHLKCAAYELPFRADEEFGAMEV | 456 |
| Bc-MrfA | VANSTPIDQYIVRHPEYFFNRSPESARINPENLIILVDHLKCAAYELPFRADEEFGPMDV | 456 |
| Pa-MrfA | EVFLEALAETEVIHREGERWEWIADSYPANAVSLRAVADGNFVVVDRSDGRQ-QIIAEVD | 538 |
| Sp-MrfA | RDILEYLEEEGVLHENRERYHWASESEPASNISLRSASQENVVIVDRSETADVKIIGEMD | 516 |
| Bs-MrfA | SDILEYLQEEAVLHRNGERYHWASESFPASNISLRSASQENVVIVDQSDIANVRIIGEMD | 516 |
| Bc-MrfA | SDILEYLQEEAVLHRNGERYHWASESFPASNISLRSASQENVVIVDQSDIANVRIIGEMD <br> :** * * *:*.: **:.* : : *:**. : ***:.: : *.*:**:*: : : **.*:* | 516 |
| Pa-MrfA | YSAAALTLYEGAIHMVQSTPYQVETLDWEGRKAYVTRTHVDYYTDSIDFTKLKVLDRFDG | 598 |
| Sp-MrfA | RFSAMTLLHDEAIYLHEGVQYQVEKLDWDHKKAYVRKVDVEYYTDANLAVQLKVLDIDRT | 576 |
| Bs-MrfA | RFSAMTLLHDEAIYLHEGVQYQVEKLDWDHKKAYVRKVDVEYYTDANLAVQLKVLEIDKT | 576 |
| Bc-MrfA | RFSAMTLLHDEAIYLHEGVQYQVEKLDWDHKKAYVRKVDVEYYTDANLAVQLKVLEIDKT <br> :* *: **: : : . ****.***: :**** :..*:****: . : ****: | 576 |
| Pa-MrfA | GVAGRGDSHHGEVHVVRRVAGYKKIRYYTHENIGYGPVNLPDQELHTTAVWWQLPQALLL | 658 |
| Sp-MrfA | DSRKKTALHFGDVTVNALPTIFKKIKMTTFENIGSGPIHLPEEELHTSAAWLELKETDSE | 636 |
| Bs-MrfA | KEKSRTSLHYGDVTVNALPTIFKKIKMTTFENIGSGPIHLPEEELHTSAAWLEIKTADED | 636 |
| Bc-MrfA | KEKSRTSLHYGDVTVNALPTIFKKIKMTTFENIGSGPIHLPEEELHTSAAWLEIKTADED <br>  | 636 |
| Pa-MrfA | RAFASRQDPLDGFLGAAYALHIVATVAVMADARDLQKSVGNGDGSWFAIADQSGRGQLRG | 718 |
| Sp-MrfA |  | 671 |
| Bs-MrfA |  | 671 |
| Bc-MrfA |  | 671 |
| Pa-MrfA | SEGDPGGVELLQEFVPTVYLYDNFPGGVGLSEPLWQRQAELVQRARELVQRCDCKAGCPA | 778 |
| Sp-MrfA | -----PQIKAAHTGLPTIFLYDHYPGGIGLADEVYKRFDEINEGAERLIRQCPCQDGCPS | 726 |
| Bs-MrfA | -----SQIKAAHTGLPTIFLYDHYPGGIGLAEEVFKRFSDINEAAKQLITHCPCHDGCPS | 726 |
| Bc-MrfA | -----SQIKAAHTGLPTIFLYDHYPGGIGLAEEVFKRFSDINEAAKQLIKQCPCHDGCPS <br> : : : :**: :***: :***:**: : : : * : : *..*: :* *: ***: | 726 |
| Pa-MrfA | CVGPVLAAQEEDETSPRALALRVLDLFDAEACRHVPDVVVTTRDPMELLAP 829 |  |
| Sp-MrfA | CIGSEIEGIDAKKAI-----LRLLNYV--------------------------148 |  |
| Bs-MrfA |  |  |
| Bc-MrfA | CIGTEIEGIKAKERI-----LQLLVQMA---------------------------749 |  |
|  | *:* : . . . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ :* |  |

## MrfB alignment

| Pa-MrfB | MSLSLDKLRLLRRQAGDPKASTPAVPDVPPAPPAPVAANDARQPPAERSVFAWVEQEIRH | 60 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sp-MrfB |  | 21 |
| Bs-MrfB |  | 21 |
| Bc-MrfB |  | 21 |
|  |  |  |
| Pa-MrfB | KPTGAAAPTP-ASAPLRRPEVGSLHRLLGLRTRSGATPARASA----QDRQLPGEEIAP | 11 |
| Sp-MrfB | KPAASQLSVPDIQVPFREE-----WEALGV------KPFFFEDEYCLIRETVYPL-SHRH | 69 |
| Bs-MrfB | KIEAGKQENHFDDIPFLEE-----WEAFGM------KPFIFEDEYCLIREVEYPL-SHRH | 69 |


| $B C-M r f B$ | KIEAGQRENNFAEIPFLEE-----WEAFGM------KPFFFEDEYCLIREVEYPL-SHRH | 69 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pa-MrfB | GLFLIESLQPQAIPAQPLSLDFARRDGEHVAARDLLFFDTETTGLAGGTGTRAFMIGAAD | 174 |
| Sp-MrfB | GRYSFSELDDVMALWNKGGLT-HTLSAKGYEKSQLFFFDTETTGLGGGAGNMIFLLGHAR | 128 |
| Bs-MrfB | GLYSFSELEEVITLWNQSGLS-HTLSAKGYNKNNLFFFDTETTGLGGGAGNTIFLLGHAR | 128 |
| $B C-M r f B$ | GLYRFSELDEVITLWNQSSLS-HTLSAKGYNKNSLFFFDTETTGLGGGAGNTIFLLGHAR * : :...*: : . . : *:********.**:*. *: : * | 128 |
| Pa-MrfB | WHVCPQRGEGLRIRQLLMATMAAEDAMLATFAGWLQPSTVFCSYNGRSYDAPLLKARYRL | 234 |
| Sp-MrfB | VYE-----DRVAVKQHLLPKPGNETALYKSFLSEV-DITSLVTYNGKAFDWPQVKTRHTL | 182 |
| Bs-MrfB | VYE-----DRVTVKQHLLPKPGNEVALYQSFLSEV-DITSLVTYNGKAFDWPQVKTRHTL | 182 |
| $B C-M r f B$ | VYE-----DRVTVKQHLLPKPGNEVALYQSFLSEV-DITSLVTYNGKAFDWPQVKTRHTL | 182 |
| Pa-MrfB | ARQRDP-ISALDHVDLLYPTRRRYRGTWENCKLSTIERQLLRVVREDDLPGSEAPGAWLR | 293 |
| Sp-MrfB | LRDRLPKLPDFGHFDLLHGARRLWKHKLERVSLSAVENEELAFKRDEDTPGYLAPMLYFQ | 242 |
| Bs-MrfB | IRDRLPKLPEFGHFDLLHGARRLWKHKMDRVSLGTVEKEELGIRRLEDTPGYLAPMLYFH | 242 |
| $B C-M r f B$ | IRDRLPKLPEFGHFDLLHGARRLWKHKMDRVSLGTVEKEELGIHRQEDTPGYLAPMLYFH *:* * : :.*.***: :** :: . :. .*.: :*.: * . * :* ** ** :: : | 242 |
| Pa-MrfB | FLRGGDAVNLRRVADHNHQDVVTLALLLQRLVREEQR--------E-RETLALVGQ--- | 340 |
| Sp-MrfB | FLKAEDPALLKGVLSHNEQDVLSLIALYIHMSKKIFASSDQT---SERQEAYAMAKWFIA | 299 |
| Bs-MrfB | FIKAQEPDLLKGVLHHNEMDVLSLISLYIHMSKKILSESHAPK---EHSEAYAMAKWFMA | 299 |
| $B C-M r f B$ | FIKAQEPDLLKGVLHHNEMDVLSLISLYIHMSKKILSASYASKEHIEHSEAYAMAKWFMA <br> *: . : *: * **. **: :* * : : : * *: | 302 |
| Pa-MrfB |  | 340 |
| Sp-MrfB | HKETDRAVSQLEALQGKDFEDSDRALFDLAMLYKKQNRRQDAVPLWEKLTDSDLHTCRHH | 359 |
| Bs-MrfB | HKETDQAIKQLERLIEKSFEDQDSARLDLSLLYKKQNRLEEAVPLWEKLSRSQNQKCRYA | 359 |
| $B C-M r f B$ | HKETDQAVKQLERLKEKSFEDQDRARLDLSLLYKKQNRLEEAVPLWEKLSRSQNQKCRYT | 362 |

Pa-MrfB -----------------------------------------------------------30 340
Sp-MrfB SAVELAIYFEHHAKDYKKALQAAQQAAED-GEISEKEAEKLHVRIARLKRKYSS 412
Bs-MrfB AVIELAKYFEHKKKEFGKALQVAEQSLSDAACLSEKETEKLHVRIARLKRKYSS 413 AVIELAKYFEHKKKEFGKALYIAEQLLSDAAFLSEKESEKLQVRIARLKRKYSS 416
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## CHAPTER V

# MutS2 promotes homologous recombination in Bacillus subtilis 


#### Abstract

Bacterial MutS proteins are subdivided into two families, MutS1 and MutS2. MutS1 family members recognize DNA replication errors during their participation in the wellcharacterized mismatch repair pathway (MMR). In contrast, to the well-described function of MutS1, the function of MutS2 in bacteria has remained less clear. In Helicobacter pylori and Thermus thermophilus, MutS2 has been shown to suppress homologous recombination. The role of MutS2 is unknown in the Gram-positive bacterium Bacillus subtilis. In this work, we investigated the contribution of MutS2 to maintaining genome integrity in B. subtilis. We found that deletion of mutS2 renders B. subtilis sensitive to the natural antibiotic mitomycin C (MMC), which requires homologous recombination for repair. We demonstrate that the C-terminal Smr domain is necessary but not sufficient for tolerance to MMC. Further, we developed a CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing system to test if the inducible prophage PBSX was the underlying cause of the observed MMC sensitivity. Genetic analysis revealed that MMC sensitivity was dependent on recombination and not on nucleotide excision repair or a symptom of prophage PBSX replication and cell lysis. We found that deletion of mutS2 resulted in decreased transformation efficiency using both plasmid and chromosomal DNA. Further, deletion of mutS2 in a strain lacking the Holliday junction endonuclease rec $U$ resulted in increased MMC sensitivity and decreased transformation efficiency, suggesting that MutS2 could function redundantly with RecU. Together, our results support a model where B. subtilis MutS2 helps to promote homologous recombination, demonstrating a new function for bacterial MutS2.


[^2]
## Importance

Cells contain pathways that promote or inhibit recombination. MutS2 homologs are Smrendonuclease domain containing proteins that have been shown to function in anti-recombination in some bacteria. We present evidence that B. subtilis MutS2 promotes recombination providing a new function for MutS2. We found that cells lacking mutS2 are sensitive to DNA damage that requires homologous recombination for repair, and have reduced transformation efficiency. Further analysis indicates that the C-terminal Smr domain requires the N -terminal portion of MutS2 for function in vivo. Moreover, we show that a mut $S 2$ deletion is additive with a rec $U$ deletion suggesting that these proteins may have a redundant function in homologous recombination. Together, our study shows that MutS2 proteins have adapted different functions that impact recombination.

## Introduction

Many processes contribute to maintaining genetic information and generating genetic variation in bacterial cells. One process, critical for the repair of DNA breaks and horizontal gene transfer, is homologous recombination. Homologous recombination has been well-defined in organisms ranging from bacteria to mammals [for review (1)]. The Gram-positive soil bacterium Bacillus subtilis is a naturally competent organism that can uptake DNA from its surroundings and, if sufficient homology exists, incorporate the exogenous DNA into its chromosome [for review (2)]. In B. subtilis a number of proteins have been shown to function in promoting homologous recombination either by assaying for DNA uptake and integration or through the study of DNA damaging agents called clastogens that require homologous recombination for repair [for review (3, 4)]. These approaches have helped define the RecAdependent homologous recombination and the RecA-independent non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) pathways in B. subtilis [(3, 5-7)].

In addition to promoting recombination many bacteria and eukaryotes contain antirecombination pathways. In general terms, anti-recombination suppresses the formation of deleterious crossover species, which have the potential to decrease cell viability [for review (810). In eukaryotes, RecQ family helicases can unwind recombination intermediates thereby suppressing aberrant crossover formation (11). In Escherichia coli, deletion of the helicase $u \mathrm{vr} D$
and the helicase ruvA from the RuvABC Holliday junction endonuclease causes the formation of toxic recombination intermediates referred to as bimolecular recombination intermediates (12). In addition to UvrD and RuvA, the MMR pathway has been shown to suppresses recombination between non-identical DNA sequences often referred to as homeologous recombination (13). Such an activity has been shown to provide a barrier to horizontal gene transfer by conjugation between E. coli and Salmonella typhimurium (14). Biochemical evidence shows that MutS interferes with RecA-mediated strand exchange inhibiting recombination intermediates between non-identical or methylated substrates $(15,16)$, and can recruit $\operatorname{UvrD}$ to unwind recombination intermediates (17). Therefore, in bacteria a number of different proteins have been shown to limit hyper-recombination between identical sequences or homeologous recombination between nonidentical sequences.

Interestingly, some bacteria contain a MutS paralog, MutS2, which has been shown to possess anti-recombination activity (18-20). MutS2 is composed of an N -terminal region involved in DNA binding, followed by a central ABC ATPase domain, which also participates in dimerization (21, 22). The MutS2 C-terminal domain contains the small MutS related (Smr) region, harboring a DNase I-like endonuclease domain (22). MutS2 in Helicobacter pylori and Thermus thermophilus contributes to anti-recombination (19, 21). H. pylori and T. thermophilus strains lacking mutS2 are more efficiently transformed with DNA and $\Delta m u t S 2$ T. thermophilus is more resistant than wild type to mitomycin C (MMC), an antibiotic that damages DNA and requires homologous recombination for repair $(19,22)$. Biochemical studies have shown that $H p$ MutS2 and $T t$ MutS2 both have ATPase activity that is stimulated by recombination intermediates, and $T t$ MutS2 has endonuclease activity toward recombination intermediates including Holliday junctions $(19,22)$. These data support the hypothesis that MutS2 proteins suppress homologous recombination in bacteria. Therefore, although homologous recombination is critical for DNA repair and horizontal gene transfer, bacteria also contain pathways that suppress recombination because hyper-recombination can lead to genome instability and cell death. It is not clear, however, if the anti-recombination activity shown for H. pylori and $T$. thermophilus MutS2 are representative of how MutS2 functions in other bacteria.

The Gram-positive bacterium $B$. subtilis has a mutS2 gene. (23). The function of $B$. subtilis MutS2 in genome maintenance is unknown. We initially hypothesized that B. subtilis

MutS2 participated in anti-recombination as demonstrated for H. pylori and T. thermophilus MutS2 (19, 22). Instead, here we report that MutS2 promotes homologous recombination in $B$. subtilis. We show that $B$. subtilis cells with mutS2 deleted have a lower efficiency of plasmid and chromosomal DNA transformation. In addition, deletion of mutS2 results in sensitivity to the DNA damaging agent MMC and we provide genetic evidence that MutS2 functions redundantly with the Holliday junction endonuclease RecU. Therefore, our results support a new function for the MutS2 endonuclease in bacteria where it functions as a Holliday junction endonuclease. In addition to our study of MutS2, we also describe a new, efficient single plasmid-based CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing system for use in B. subtilis. Our method allows for efficient removal of the plasmid after genome editing providing a significant advancement in marker-less genetic manipulation of B. subtilis.

## Results

Bacillus subtilis MutS2 is a MutS paralog. In B. subtilis MutS2 is encoded by the yshC (or $m u t S B$ ) gene referred to herein as mutS2 (23). MutS2 is a MutS paralog of 785 amino acids (Fig 5.1A) $(18,22,24)$. Domain predictions suggest that the N-terminal region of MutS2 corresponds to DNA binding, followed by a central ABC ATPase domain. The MutS2 Cterminal domain harbors the Smr region containing endonuclease activity (18, 22, 24). Based on sequence alignment, B. subtilis MutS2 lacks the domain involved in mismatch recognition and the C-terminal unstructured region involved in $\beta$-clamp (DnaN) binding, two functions well characterized in B. subtilis MutS that are important for mismatch repair (MMR) (Fig 5.1A) (2527).

Prior work initially characterizing $B$. subtilis mutS2 showed that mutS2 transcript abundance was constant during exponential phase and decreased towards stationary phase in rich medium, using a transcriptional fusion reporter (23). To begin, we monitored MutS2 protein abundance in vivo using antiserum raised against purified MutS2 ("Materials and Methods"). In rich media, MutS2 protein abundance was stable throughout exponential growth and to the onset of stationary phase (Fig 5.1B). As a control, we show that the immune-reactive species is absent from a $\Delta m u t S 2$ bearing strain. Therefore, we find that MutS2 protein abundance remains constant throughout exponential phase and into stationary phase (Fig 5.1B).

We mentioned above that MutS2 lacks a recognizable mismatch-binding domain and $\beta$ clamp interaction site (23) (Fig 5.1A). Prior work showed that MutS2 has no impact on spontaneous mutagenesis using rifampin resistance as an indicator for mutation rate in the presence or absence of the MMR genes $m u t S$ and $m u t L$ (23). Interestingly, however this study also reported a modest increase in transversion mutations in a strain lacking mutS2 after sequencing the reporter. Although it seems clear that MutS2 does not function in MMR, it could impact mutagenesis through a different pathway (23). Assessing spontaneous rifampin resistance only provides a limited sampling of mutations due to the restricted group of point mutations in the $r p o B$ gene that are able to confer rifampin resistance (28-30). As a result, the number of measurable transversion mutations is limited. Additionally, MutS homologs in eukaryotes have been shown to have more specialized roles in recognizing insertions and deletions, two mutations that are not detected in rifampin resistance assays (31).

We chose to empirically test whether the absence of MutS2 could impact mutagenesis using an assay that can detect a broader range of mutations. We thus used an assay for spontaneous trimethoprim resistance, which samples a much wider range of base pair substitutions while also sampling insertion and deletion mutations that occur in the thy $A$ gene (32). We found that the mutation rate of a $\Delta m u t S 2$ mutant strain was identical to wild type, and if we combined the $\Delta m u t S 2$ allele with a $\Delta m u t L$ mutation, we found no difference relative to the $\Delta m u t L$ strain alone (Fig 5.1C). Similar results using a mutational reporter were observed in $H$. pylori (19). If loss of mutS2 impacted the number of transversions, we should have observed some difference in the mutation rate using trimethoprim resistance as an indicator. With these data, we conclude $B$. subtilis MutS2 does not function in suppressing mutagenesis.

## The C-terminal Smr domain is necessary but not sufficient for mitomycin $\mathbf{C}$

tolerance. Having excluded MutS2 from suppressing mutagenesis we screened $\Delta m u t S 2$ cells through several different DNA damaging agents to determine if MutS2 was important for survival or resistance to damage (data not shown). We found that $\Delta m u t S 2$ cells were reproducibly more sensitive to mitomycin C (MMC), a DNA cross-linking/alkylating agent (3336), than the wild type control (Fig 5.2A). An MMC titration revealed that $\Delta m u t S 2$ cells are approximately 10 -fold more sensitive relative to wild type cells during a chronic exposure (Fig 5.2A). To better understand whether the sensitivity is a result of growth inhibition or survival we
used quantitative plating efficiency and survival assays. We found that during chronic exposure to MMC the strain lacking mutS2 was 10 -fold more sensitive than wild-type (Fig 5.2B), whereas there was no difference in survival following acute treatment (Fig 5.2C). From these data, we conclude that the absence of mutS2 results in a 10 -fold sensitivity to MMC, which results from growth inhibition. To our knowledge, our observation of MMC sensitivity is the first phenotype shown for a $\Delta m u t S 2$ deletion in B. subtilis. A previous study of Thermus thermophilus mutS2 found that deletion of mutS2 resulted in resistance to MMC treatment relative to wild type, consistent with the inhibitory effect of TtMutS2 on recombination (22). Given that deletion of $B$. subtilis mutS2 has the opposite effect compared with Tt $\Delta m u t S 2$, these results could suggest that B. subtilis MutS2 may promote homologous recombination, a novel result for a bacterial MutS2 protein.

We next asked whether sensitivity could be complemented via ectopic expression of mutS2 from the chromosome. Indeed, expression of full-length MutS2 results in complementation of the MMC sensitivity observed with the deletion (Fig 5.3B \& C). We took advantage of the $\Delta m u t S 2$ complementation assay to determine functional domains of MutS2 important for MMC tolerance (Fig 5.3A). We found that ectopic expression of a MutS2 variant lacking the C-terminal Smr domain (mutS2 $\Delta C$ ) failed to complement the observed MMC sensitivity (Fig 5.3B \& C). A Western blot confirmed that MutS2 $\Delta \mathrm{C}$ was stably expressed (Fig 5.3D). Conversely, expression of an $N$-terminal truncation ( $m u t S 2 \Delta N$ ) leaving the Smr domain intact was able to partially complement the $\Delta m u t S 2$ MMC phenotype (Fig 5.3B \& C), and Western blot analysis confirmed stable expression of the $\operatorname{MutS} 2 \Delta \mathrm{~N}$ variant (Fig 5.3D). From these data we conclude that the Smr domain is necessary but not sufficient to allow for wild type growth in the presence of MMC , indicating that the Smr domain requires the N -terminal portion of MutS2 for full function in vivo.

Genetic analysis suggests MutS2 participates in homologous recombination. To begin to understand the contribution of MutS2 to tolerating MMC exposure we performed a genetic analysis of the $\Delta m u t S 2$ phenotype. B. subtilis contains a prophage PBSX that is induced following DNA damage $(37,38)$, and has the ability to cause cell lysis $(39,40)$. One potential explanation for the MMC sensitivity of the $\Delta m u t S 2$ strain is that in the absence of MutS2, SOS induction has increased and PBSX prophage induction results in cell lysis conferring the
observed sensitivity. To test this idea, we developed a CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing system to delete the PBSX lysis genes $(\Delta x l y A B x h l B)(41)$ or the entire 30.5 kb PBSX prophage in an otherwise wild type strain or in a strain combined with $\Delta m u t S 2$ (Fig 5.4-5.6). The spot plate analysis showed that in both the $\triangle P B S X$ and $\triangle x l y A B x h l B$ deletion strains a $\Delta m u t S 2$ still conferred an $\sim 10$ fold sensitivity to MMC (Fig 5.7). We conclude that the growth inhibition of $\Delta m u t S 2$ cells to DNA damage is independent of the PBSX prophage.

We asked whether MutS2 functioned in the pathways responsible for repair of MMC induced DNA damage. MMC adducts are repaired by the combined action of nucleotide excision repair (NER) and homologous recombination [for review (42, 43)]. We combined $\Delta m u t S 2$ with an $u v r A$ deletion to determine if MutS2 contributed to the NER pathway. Because $u v r A$ deficient strains are very sensitive to MMC we used a lower dose as compared to the experiment shown in Figure 3. If MutS2 functions in NER we would expect the sensitivity of a strain lacking $u \mathrm{vrA}$ ( $\Delta u v r A:: s p c$ ) to have the same sensitivity as a strain carrying both the $\Delta u v r A:: s p c$ (44) and $\Delta m u t S 2$ alleles. Instead, we found that strains with $\Delta m u t S 2$ and $\Delta u v r A::$ spec are more sensitive to MMC than the $\Delta u v r A::$ spec allele alone (Fig 5.8A). These data support the hypothesis that the $\Delta m u t S 2$ sensitivity to MMC is independent of NER.

We then tested if $\Delta m u t S 2$ was epistatic or additive with a recA deletion ( $\Delta r e c A:: l o x P$ ). We found that the $\Delta m u t S 2, \Delta r e c A:: l o x P$ double mutant was as sensitive to MMC as the $\Delta r e c A:: l o x p$ single mutant (Fig 5.8B). In this experiment we used an even lower dose of MMC because recA deficient strains are exquisitely sensitive to MMC. Taken together, these results suggest that during repair of MMC adducts, MutS2 contributes to a step that is recA-dependent and that MutS2 does not participate in a step of MMC repair involving NER. Given that MutS2 proteins in other organisms have been shown to bind Holliday junctions (19, 22, 45), we chose to test whether there was a genetic interaction with the primary Holliday junction endonuclease rec $U(46)$. To this end, we tested a strain either lacking rec $U$ ( $\Delta r e c U$ : :erm) or lacking both mutS2 and rec $U$ in the plating efficiency assay. In contrast to the experiment with recA we found that deletion of mutS2 in a strain lacking rec $U$ resulted in an increased sensitivity to MMC (Fig 5.8C). Taken together, our data suggest that MutS2 functions in a pathway dependent on RecA yet independent of RecU.

MutS2 is important for DNA transformation with plasmid and chromosomal DNA. To further test if MutS2 promotes homologous recombination, we employed a transformation efficiency assay. Transformation of naturally competent B. subtilis cells with plasmid or chromosomal DNA is dependent on the function of several homologous recombination proteins, although the requirements for chromosomal DNA integration relative to plasmid assembly and maintenance are not identical $(47,48)$. Thus, if MutS2 functions by promoting homologous recombination, as the MMC phenotype suggests, we would expect to observe decreased transformation efficiency using plasmid and/or chromosomal DNA. Indeed, we found that a strain lacking mutS2 had decreased transformation efficiency with both plasmid (Fig 5.9A) and chromosomal DNA (Fig 5.9B). In order to determine whether the transformation efficiency phenotype was specific to mutS2 or both mutSl and mutS2, we tested transformation efficiency in a strain lacking mutSl ( $\Delta m u t S$ ), and a strain lacking both mutS genes. We found that cells with $\Delta m u t S 1$ had decreased plasmid transformation efficiency that is independent of mutS2 (Fig 5.10). In contrast, we found that in the absence of mutSl there is no change in chromosomal DNA transformation efficiency and deletion of mutSl in a mutS2 deletion strain resulted in a transformation efficiency indistinguishable from a mutS2 single mutant. As a result, it appears that decreased chromosomal transformation efficiency is specific to mutS2.

To further examine the role of MutS2 in transformation, we tested chromosomal DNA transformation in a strain lacking the Holliday junction endonuclease rec $U$ and the rec $U$, mutS2 double mutant. Our hypothesis that MutS2 functions in a pathway independent of RecU predicts that these mutants should have an additive effect on transformation efficiency. Indeed, we found that deletion of $m u t S 2$ or $r e c U$ resulted in a 4.9 -fold and 11.6-fold decrease in transformation efficiency, respectively (Fig 5.9B). An additive effect predicts an approximate 57-fold decrease in transformation efficiency, and strikingly the double mutant had a 57-fold decrease in transformation efficiency (Fig 5.9B). These data further support the hypothesis that MutS2 functions independently of $\operatorname{rec} U$, potentially as a Holliday junction endonuclease (see discussion).

As a control we examined whether the complementation analysis performed in Figure 3 would yield similar results in the chromosomal DNA transformation efficiency assay. Indeed, expression of full-length MutS2 resulted in a transformation efficiency that was not significantly
different from WT (Fig 5.9C). We also found that expression of the variant lacking the Cterminus was not significantly different from the mutS2 deletion strain (Fig 5.9C). Further, expression of the C-terminal Smr domain alone resulted in a partial complementation similar to the results obtained in Figure 3 (Fig 5.9C). Together, these results indicate that the C-terminal Smr domain requires the N-terminal ATPase domain to fully function in vivo.

MutS2-GFP is diffusely distributed in vivo. Our previous research investigating MutS1 has shown that B. subtilis MutS1-GFP forms foci that are recruited to the site of DNA synthesis (25-28, 49). Further, both homologous recombination proteins and nucleotide excision repair proteins have been shown to form foci or localize to the nucleoid, respectively, following MMC treatment $(44,50)$. We fused a monomeric version of GFP ( $g f p m u t 3$ ) to mutS2 resulting in a $g f p$ mutS2 allele, which is functional in the spot-titer assay for MMC sensitivity (Fig 5.11A), expressed from the native locus as the only source of MutS2 in vivo (Fig 5.11B). Fluorescence microscopy showed that MutS2-GFP was diffusely distributed in cells and did not show focus formation during normal growth or following growth in the presence of MMC (Fig 5.11C). We conclude that MutS2 is diffusely distributed in B. subtilis cells, and that MutS2 is not specifically recruited to sites of DNA damage in quantities detectable using bulk fluorescence.

## Discussion

Our investigation of B. subtilis MutS2 function led to the observation that cells lacking MutS2 are growth inhibited to the natural antibiotic mitomycin C (MMC). Although the sensitivity is a modest 10 -fold during chronic exposure, it is highly reproducible, and can be complemented via ectopic mutS2 expression, suggesting the sensitivity is indeed dependent on the absence of mutS2. This observation is a novel phenotype for a bacterial mutS2 mutant and is the opposite of the phenotype observed in T. thermophilus (22), suggesting that MutS2 promotes recombination in B. subtilis.

Our finding that a $\Delta m u t S 2$ mutant is sensitive to MMC provided an assay to further characterize the genetic interactions of mutS2. Our initial genetic analysis resulted in the creation of a CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing system on a single, temperature sensitive plasmid that results in marker-less mutations that can be quickly introduced into many genetic
backgrounds. Although this is not the first report of CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing in Bacillus subtilis $(51,52)$, the system described here does not require an inducer for Cas 9 expression and still allows for efficient removal of the plasmid editing system. We further demonstrated that the novel mutS2 phenotype depends on recA, and does not depend on $u v r A$ or $r e c U$. These results suggested that MutS2 functions in homologous recombination in a pathway independent of RecU.

To further test the hypothesis that MutS2 promotes homologous recombination, we assayed transformation efficiency with both plasmid and chromosomal DNA. Our hypothesis predicts a decrease in transformation, and indeed we observed decreased transformation efficiency with plasmid and chromosomal DNA. We further demonstrate that the Smr endonuclease domain is necessary, but not sufficient for chromosomal transformation and MMC tolerance. These results indicate that the C-terminal Smr domain requires the N -terminal portion of the protein for complete function in vivo. Additionally, we performed an epistasis analysis with rec $U$ using chromosomal DNA transformation. Similar to our results with MMC sensitivity, the double mutant had a more severe reduction in transformation efficiency than either single mutant. In fact, this assay revealed an additive effect of recU and mutS2 deletions. With these results we suggest that MutS2 may function as a back-up Holliday junction endonuclease, though other mechanisms could also explain our results.

Previous studies of bacterial mutS2 have observed a role in inhibiting recombination. Our findings suggest that different lineages of bacteria are capable of adapting specific proteins to their repair requirements. Interestingly, although a BLAST search finds $99 \%$ and $83 \%$ coverage when comparing B. subtilis MutS2 to T. thermophilus and H. pylori, respectively, the percent identity is only 35 and 28 , respectively (data not shown).

Despite the sequence divergence, we speculate that MutS2 performs a similar function in these bacteria: a nuclease that is capable of processing recombination intermediates. HpMutS2 has been shown to have ATPase activity that is stimulated by a four-way junction (Holliday junction) and by a fork or "Y" structure (19). A more recent study found that HpMutS2 has nuclease activity toward both ssDNA and Holliday junctions that is dependent on two nuclease sites in the protein: the Smr domain and an N-terminal LDLK motif (45). Interestingly, the LDLK motif is not conserved in B. subtilis or T. thermophilus. Studies using TtMutS2 have
found that MutS2 has an Smr domain dependent nuclease activity (53). Further analysis revealed that $T t$ MutS2 recognizes Holliday junctions and D-loops (22). Unfortunately, we were unable to obtain active preparations of $B s M u t S 2$ to gain a more mechanistic understanding of its role in promoting recombination. Based on our genetic results we suggest that BsMutS2 has activity toward Holliday junctions or D-loops, and MutS2 may function as a secondary Holliday junction endonuclease in addition to RecU.

## Materials and methods

## Bacteriological methods and chemicals

All B. subtilis strains used in this study are isogenic derivatives of PY79. All strains, plasmids, and primers used in this study are listed in the supplemental materials (Tables 5.1-5.3). Construction of all strains and plasmids is detailed in the supplemental methods. For all experiments with B. subtilis, strains were struck out from frozen stocks on LB agar plates or LB agar plates containing the appropriate antibiotics and incubated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. Antibiotics were used at the following concentrations: spectinomycin, $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$; chloramphenicol, 5 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$; erythromycin, $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$. Mitomycin C was obtained from Fisher Scientific (Fisher BioReagents), and used at the final concentrations as indicated in the figures.

## Trimethoprim resistance assay

Trimethoprim resistance assays were performed essentially as described (32). Briefly, an LB ( $10 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ Tryptone, $5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ yeast extract) culture for each strain was inoculated and grown at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ of about 1.0. Cultures were back diluted 1:500 into LB with $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ thymidine and grown for 4 hours at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cultures were then serially diluted and plated on LB with $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ thymidine for viable cells, and minimal media plates containing trimethoprim ( $1 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{S7} 7_{50}$ salts $(54,55$ ), $1 \%$ glucose, $0.1 \%$ glutamate, $0.1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ tryptophan, $0.1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ phenylalanine, $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ thymidine, 1 x metals $(54,55), 0.2 \%$ casamino acids, $1.8 \%$ agar, and 34 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ trimethoprim) to select for thyA mutants. For viable cells, $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the $10^{-6}$ dilution were incubated on plates at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. For trimethoprim plates, $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the $10^{\circ}$ (PY79 and PEB11) or $10^{-1}$ (PEB112 and PEB118) dilutions were plated and incubated at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. A total of 17 independent cultures were used for each strain. Mutation rate was calculated via
fluctuation analysis using the online tool FALCOR at http://www.mitochondria.org/protocols/FALCOR.html as described (56, 57).

## Western blotting

For Western blot analysis cultures were grown in LB at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to an $\mathrm{OD}_{600} \sim 1-3$ or as indicated for Figure 1B. Then 1 mL of an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}=1$ from each strain was pelleted via centrifugation ( $10,000 \mathrm{~g}$ for 5 min at room temperature (RT) ). Cell pellets were re-suspended in $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ RE buffer ( 50 mM Tris $\cdot \mathrm{Cl} \mathrm{pH} 7.5,150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 50 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, 1 x Roche Complete EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail ( 04693132001 ), and $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ lysozyme) and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 minutes. The samples were then iced briefly (2-3 minutes) followed by the addition of SDS to a final concentration of $1 \%$ and SDS loading dye to 1 x . Samples were incubated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes, followed by SDS-PAGE (8\% for Figure 1B and S5B; 4-15\% gradient gel for Figure 3C). Proteins were transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane using the Bio-Rad Trans-Blot Turbo apparatus using the manufacturer's kit and manufacturer's recommendations. Membranes were blocked using $5 \%$ milk in TBST overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or for 1 hour at RT. Primary antibodies were used at a 1:5,000 dilution (both MutS2 and DnaN) in 2\% milk in TBST for 1 hour at RT. Secondary antibodies (LI-COR Goat anti-rabbit conjugated to an IR dye) were used at a $1: 15,000$ dilution in $2 \%$ milk in TBST for 1 hour at RT. The membranes were then visualized using the LI-COR Odyssey infra-red imaging system. For Figure 3, cells were lysed via sonication in RE buffer without lysozyme on ice. All Western blots were performed from two independent replicates.

## Spot-titer assays

A single colony was used to inoculate an LB culture and grown to an $\mathrm{OD}_{600} \approx 3$. Cultures were normalized via $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$, serially diluted, and $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the $10^{-1}$ through $10^{-6}$ were spotted onto LB agar plates or plates with the indicated concentration of MMC. LB agar with a vehicle control was performed as well and showed identical results to the untreated control (data not shown). Dilutions were spotted using a BioTek precision XS pipetting robotics system. Plates were incubated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight (16-20 hours). All spot-titer assays were performed at least twice.

## Plating efficiency assays

A single colony was used to inoculate an LB culture grown to an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ between 0.5 and 1. Cultures were normalized via $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ and a scorable dilution resulting in approximately 30-300 colonies was plated on LB for viable cells, and on plates containing the concentration of MMC indicated in the figures. Plating efficiency was determined by dividing the number of colony forming units (CFUs) on MMC plates by the number of CFUs on LB plates and multiplying by 100 to obtain a percentage. All experiments were performed in biological triplicate and each triplicate was performed at least twice. The data plotted are the mean of the pooled data and the error bars represent standard errors of the mean.

## Acute MMC survival assays

A single colony was used to inoculate an LB culture and grown to an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ between 0.5 and 1. An equivalent $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ was taken and cells were washed in $0.85 \% \mathrm{NaCl}$. Cells were then resuspended in saline as a no treatment control or the concentration of MMC in figure 2C and treated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes. Cells were pelleted, the supernatant removed, and resuspended in saline. As above a scorable serial dilution was then plated on LB to determine the number of surviving CFUs. The percent survival is the number of CFUs from the indicated MMC treatment divided by the number of CFUs from the no treatment control multiplied by 100. Each experiment was performed in triplicate at least twice. The data plotted are the mean of the pooled data and the error bars represent the standard errors of the mean.

## Transformation efficiency assays

Transformation efficiency assays were performed by transforming cultures grown to natural competence. Competent cell cultures were prepared by inoculating a 2 mL culture of LM media ( LB with 3 mM MgSO 4 ) with a single colony and grown at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ between 1 and 1.5 , then transferring $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the LM culture into $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ pre-warmed MD media (1x PC buffer ( 10 x PC buffer is $107 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}, 60 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$, and $10 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ trisodium citrate $\left.\cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}\right)$, $2 \%$ glucose, $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ tryptophan, $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ phenylalanine, $11 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ferric ammonium citrate, $2.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ potassium aspartate, 3 mM MgSO 4 ) and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 hours. 10 ng of pPB153 plasmid DNA (purified from E. coli strain MC1061, which is recA+ resulting in oligomeric plasmid preparations, using the Qiagen plasmid hi-speed midi kit with DNA eluted in
$\mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) or 25 ng of chromosomal DNA (purified using a spin column method; see supplementary methods) from PEB43 were added to cultures followed by incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 hours. The cultures were serially diluted and $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the $10^{-6}$ were plated for viable cells on LB agar, and for transformants $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of a scorable serial dilution as described above were plated on LB with $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ spectinomycin (plasmid transformations) or LB with $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ chloramphenicol (chrDNA transformations) followed by incubation at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. Transformation experiments were performed in at least biological triplicate and each experiment was performed three times.

## CRISPR/Cas9 editing plasmid construction

Construction of a CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing plasmid was done in two cloning steps (see Fig S1). First the spacer was incorporated into the CRISPR array via restriction digest and ligation cloning. The plasmid was digested with BsaI-HF (NEB) in CutSmart buffer at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Meanwhile, the spacer was prepared to ligate into the plasmid. First the oligonucleotides, ordered with the correct overhangs (58), were annealed by mixing the oligonucleotides at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ each in 1 x annealing buffer ( 10 mM Tris $\cdot \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.5,100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}$, and 0.1 mM EDTA). Annealed oligonucleotides were phosphorylated using $\mathrm{T}_{4}$ polynucleotide kinase (PNK; NEB): a $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ reaction was assembled with $1 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{T}_{4}$ DNA ligase buffer, and $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ annealed oligonucleotides and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes. $\mathrm{T}_{4}$ PNK was heat inactivated at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 minutes. A $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ligation reaction was assembled using $1 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{T}_{4}$ DNA ligase buffer, 40-100 ng plasmid DNA, 25 nM phosphorylated and annealed oligonucleotides, and 400 units $T_{4}$ DNA ligase (NEB). The ligase reaction was performed at room temperature for 2-3 hours. The ligation was then used to transform chemically competent ( $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ method) E. coli cells (Top10; Thermo Fisher Scientific): $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the ligation reaction was used to transform $60-100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ competent cells. The plasmid was verified via Sanger Sequencing. The resulting plasmid (essentially a targeting plasmid) was then used to create the editing plasmid.

The editing plasmid was constructed using Gibson Assembly (59) of the following four (or more) PCR amplicons that were gel purified from an agarose gel: 1) the vector backbone of pPB41, amplified using oPEB217/oPEB218; 2) the Cas9/CRISPR array containing the spacer incorporated in the "targeting plasmid" was amplified using oPEB232/oPEB234; 3) the upstream portion of the editing template was amplified and contains overlaps for pPB41 at oPEB217 and
for the downstream portion of the editing template (see pPB50 and pPB51 for examples); 4) the downstream portion of the editing template was amplified and contains overlaps for the upstream portion of the editing template and for pPB 41 at oPEB232 (see pPB 50 and pPB 51 for examples). A $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Gibson Assembly reaction was assembled using 1x Gibson Assembly master mix, 40100 ng of the vector backbone of pPB41, 40-100 ng of the Cas9/CRISPR array containing the spacer, and about 20-40 ng of each portion of the editing template. The Gibson reaction was performed at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 90 minutes. The reaction was then used to transform chemically competent MC1061 E. coli cells. Clones were verified using Sanger sequencing at the University of Michigan Core Facility. The result of this second step yields a complete editing plasmid that can be used to efficiently manipulate the $B$. subtilis genome.

## CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing

After construction of the editing plasmid, fresh B. subtilis competent cells ( $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$; see transformation efficiency assays) were transformed with 200-600 ng of the editing plasmid that had been purified from a strain of E. coli that yields multimers (e.g., MC1061). Transformations were plated on LB agar plates with spectinomycin and incubated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The next day 6-24 isolates were colony purified by re-streaking on LB agar plates with spectinomycin. The editing plasmid contains a temperature sensitive origin of replication (derived from pDR244). To evict the plasmid, isolates were re-struck on LB and incubated at $42-45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $10-16$ hours. Isolates were then screened for loss of the plasmid by re-streaking on LB agar plates with and without spectinomycin and incubating at $42-45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The isolates that were found to be spectinomycin sensitive were further screened via PCR to determine if the isolate had the expected deletion.

## Live cell microscopy

For live imaging experiments, a plate grown overnight at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was washed using $\mathrm{S} 7_{50}$ minimal media supplemented with $2 \%$ glucose essentially as described (60). The $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ was measured and cells were diluted to an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ of 0.1 . Cultures were incubated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hours $\left(\mathrm{OD}_{600}=0.2\right)$. Cultures were treated with either a vehicle control or $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ MMC, and incubated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for an additional 2 hours $\left(\mathrm{OD}_{600} \approx 0.5\right)$. An aliquot of cells were removed followed by incubation with the membrane stain FM4-64 at $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$. Cells were then placed on
$1 \%$ agarose pads made of 1 x Spizizen's salts $(26,27,54,55)$. Cells were imaged using an Olympus BX61 microscope as described previously $(26,27,60)$.

## Figures and Tables



Figure 5.1 MutS2 is a MutS paralog expressed throughout exponential growth, and has no role in mutagenesis. (A) A predicted domain alignment of MutS and MutS2 proteins. (B) Western blot of MutS2 protein levels throughout exponential growth. Cultures were grown at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and samples of equivalent $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ were taken at increasing optical densities $\left(\mathrm{OD}_{600}\right.$ from 0.8-5.9). Lane 1: molecular weight marker, 2: WT $\left(\mathrm{OD}_{600}=5.9\right), 3: \Delta m u t S 2$ control $\left(\mathrm{OD}_{600}=\right.$ 5.6), 4-9: samples taken at increasing $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ (from 0.8-3.8). An antibody for DnaN was used as a
loading control. The lower panel is a growth curve of B. subtilis at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Data are the mean of six independent replicates from two experiments and the error bars are the standard errors of the mean. (C) A trimethoprim resistance assay to measure mutagenesis. Data were plotted as the average of three independent experiments and error bars represent the standard error of the mean.



Figure 5.2 (mutS2 cells are sensitive to mitomycin C chronic exposure. (A) Spot-titer assay of WT and $\Delta m u t S 2$ cells. Cultures were normalized via $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$, serial diluted, and the indicated dilutions were spotted on an untreated control plate and the indicated concentrations of mitomycin C (MMC). (B) Plating efficiency assay. Cultures were normalized via $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$, serial diluted, and plated on either LB or LB with $30 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ MMC to determine colony forming units (CFUs). Plating efficiency is the percent CFUs on MMC relative to the LB control. A MannWhitney U-test was used to determine statistical significance. *: one-sided p-value $<0.05$. (C) Survival assay. WT and $\Delta m u t S 2$ cultures were normalized via $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$, and treated with the indicated concentration of MMC for 30 minutes in saline followed by plating for viable cells on LB. Percent survival is the percentage of CFUs from the treatment relative to the untreated control.


Figure 5.3 The C-terminal Smr domain is necessary but not sufficient for MMC tolerance. (A) MutS2 constructs used for complementation experiments in B, C, \& D. (B) A spot-titer assay was done as in Figure 2. Complementation constructs were under the control of the IPTG inducible $\mathrm{P}_{\text {spac }}$ promoter. (C) Plating efficiency assay performed as in Figure 2 with 1 mM IPTG (red bars) or without IPTG (blue bars). The Mann-Whitney U-test was used to determine statistical significance. ns: not significant; *: one-sided p-value $<0.05$. (D) Western blot using a MutS2 antibody and a DnaN antibody as a loading control. Cultures of the indicated genotypes were grown in the absence (lanes 1-5) or presence (lanes 6-8) of IPTG. The cultures were normalized via $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ and analyzed via Western blotting.


Figure 5.4 Overview of constructing a CRISPR/Cas9 editing plasmid. (A) The editing plasmid is created using two cloning stages. First the spacer is incorporated using the method previously described (58), wherein the BsaI digested plasmid is ligated to the spacer with the appropriate overhangs (see B). In the second stage Gibson Assembly is used to combine the temperature sensitive plasmid containing Cas9/CRISPR and the spacer of interest with the editing template. (B) A close up of the overhangs required to ligate a spacer inside of the CRISPR array as described (58). See "Materials and Methods" for a detailed protocol.


Figure 5.5 Overview of CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing in Bacillus subtilis. (A) The desired strain of B. subtilis is transformed with the editing plasmid containing Cas9, the CRISPR array with spacer, and the editing template and grown at the permissive temperature $\left(30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. (B) Upon transformation, Cas 9 generates a double strand break, which the cell repairs utilizing the editing template on the plasmid containing the genetic change of interest. The cells are then cured of the
plasmid by growing at the non-permissive temperature $\left(42-45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. (C) Finally isolates are screened for loss of the plasmid and the genetic change.


Figure 5.6 Deletion of prophage PBSX using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing. (A)
Transformation efficiency assay using a plasmid with no spacer (pPB41), a plasmid with a spacer targeting the genome inside PBSX (pPB49), and a plasmid containing the spacer and the editing template that would remove the entire 30.5 kb prophage from the $B$. subtilis genome. Data are plotted as the mean of two independent experiments performed in triplicate and the error bars represent the standard deviation of the pooled data set. (B) PBSX genomic locus and PCR primers, located outside the editing template sequence, used to verify deletion of the prophage. Of 24 isolates, 13 lost the antibiotic resistance of the plasmid. Those 13 were used in colony PCR genotyping reactions. Lane 1: Molecular weight standard, lane 2: PCR performed using WT genomic DNA (yielding no band), lanes 3-15: colony PCR reactions of 13 isolates that lost the plasmid. Four isolates (lanes $3,4,9$, and 13) of 24 with the deletion were obtained using this method.


Figure 5.7 ©mutS2 MMC sensitivity is independent of the prophage PBSX. Spot-titer assay as done in Figure 2 using the indicated strains spotted on an untreated control plate and the indicated concentrations of MMC.


Figure $5.8 \Delta m u t S 2$ sensitivity is independent of $u v r A$ and $r e c U$, and shows no additive effects with rect. (A), (B), \& (C) Plating efficiency assays performed as in Figure 2 with the indicated genotypes and the indicated concentrations of MMC. The Mann-Whitney U-test was used to determine statistical significance. ns: not significant; *: one-sided p-value $<0.05$. Note that lower concentrations of MMC were used for this experiment and $\Delta m u t S 2$ cells do not show a phenotype.


Figure 5.9 MutS2 is important for plasmid transformation, and mutS2 deletion is additive with a recU deletion in chromosomal DNA transformation. (A) Transformation efficiency assay using plasmid DNA. (B) Transformation efficiency using chromosomal DNA. (C) Transformation efficiency assay using chromosomal DNA in the presence of 1 mM IPTG. Transformation efficiency is the ratio of transformants (CFUs) to viable cells (CFUs). The Mann-Whitney U-test was used to determine statistical significance. ns: not significant; *: twosided p-value $<0.05$.


Figure 5.10 MutS and MutS2 have different roles in recombination. Transformation efficiency assays using chromosomal and plasmid DNA. Transformation efficiency is a ratio of
transformants (CFU) to viable cell (CFU). Transformation efficiencies for WT and $\Delta m u t S 2$ are the same as shown in Figure 5.


Figure 5.11 A functional GFP-MutS2 fusion has a diffuse cytosolic distribution. (A) A spottiter assay showing that GFP fused to the N-terminus of MutS2 expressed from the native locus is functional. (B) Western blot using a MutS2 antibody shows that GFP-MutS2 is expressed and we do not detect degradative products indicating MutS2 is intact. (C) Fluorescence microscopy to visualize GFP-MutS2 under vehicle control, and $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ Mitomycin C (MMC) treatment conditions (red: membranes stained with FM4-64; green: GFP-MutS2; scale bar is $2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ).

| Strain | Relevant genotype | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PY79 | WT | (62) |
| PEB11 | $\triangle m u t S B$ | This Work |
| PEB32 | mutSB: :gfp-mutSB | This Work |
| PEB43 | amyE::cat | This Work |
| PEB112 | \mutL | This Work |
| PEB118 | $\triangle m u t S B, \triangle m u t L$ | This Work |
| PEB125 | \recU::erm | This Work |
| PEB128 | $\Delta m u t S B, \Delta r e c U:: e r m$ | This Work |
| PEB204 | \recA::erm | This Work |
| PEB207 | $\Delta \mathrm{mutSB}, \Delta \mathrm{recA}:: \mathrm{erm}$ | This Work |
| PEB214 | $\triangle$ recA: $: 10 x P$ | This Work |
| PEB215 | $\triangle m u t S B, \triangle r e c A: \because l o x P$ | This Work |
| PEB218 | $\triangle m u t S$ | (27) |
| PEB247 | $\triangle x h l A B-x l y A$ | This Work |
| PEB249 | $\triangle m u t S B, \triangle x h l A B-x l y A$ | This Work |
| PEB251 | $\triangle x l y B-x l y A$ | This Work |
| PEB254 | $\triangle m u t S B, \triangle x l y B-x l y A$ | This Work |
| PEB295 | पuvrA: $:$ spec | This Work |
| PEB298 | -mutSB, $\triangle$ uvrA::spec | This Work |
| PEB410 | DmutSB, amyE::Pspac-mutSB | This Work |
| PEB412 | $\triangle m u t S B$, amyE: $:$ Pspac-mutSB- $\triangle C$ | This Work |
| PEB414 | \mutSB, amyE: $:$ Pspac-mutSB- $4 N$ | This Work |
| PEB671 | $\triangle m u t S B, \triangle m u t S$ | This Work |

Table 5.1 Strains used in this study.

| Plasmid | Plasmid name | Reference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pDR244 | pDR244 | BGSC (ECE274) |
| pCas9 | pCas9 | (58); Addgene plasmid \# 42876 |
| pDR110 | pDR110 | A gift from David Rudner |
| pDR154 | pDR154 | A gift from David Rudner |
| pPB1 | pMini-MAD-MutSB-up/down | This work |
| pPB3 | pMini-MAD-GFP-MutS2 | This work |
| pPB14 | pET28b-10xHis-Smt3-MutS2 | This work |
| pPB31 | pMiniMad-mutL | This work |
| pPB41 | pDR244-cas9 | This work |
| pPB49 | pPB41-CRISPR::PBSX | This work |
| pPB50 | pPB49-PBSX-lysis recombination template | This work |
| pPB51 | pPB49-PBSX-cure recombination template | This work |


| pPB105 | pPB41- $\Delta$ specR::camR | This work |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pPB126 | pDR110-MutS2 | This work |
| pPB127 | pDR110-MutS2- $\Delta \mathrm{C}$ | This work |
| pPB128 | pDR110-MutS2- $\Delta \mathrm{N}$ | This work |
| pPB153 | pDR244- $\Delta$ cre | This work |

Table 5.2 Plasmids used in this study.

| Primer | Sequence |
| :--- | :--- |
| 03F | GCTAGCCGCATGCAAGCTAATTCG |
| 03R | ATGTATACCTCCTTAGTCGACTAAGCTTAATTGTTATC |
| oPEB5F | cccaggctttacactttatgcttccagatggatatcctgcctgacggtac |
| oPEB5R | aaatcactcatggccatactcccttgattgtgtgagcctccttacttaatcgt |
|  | tg |
| oPEB6F | attaagtaaggaggctcacacaatcaagggagtatggccatgagtgatttttg |
| oPEB6R | cacagatgcgtaaggagaaaataccaacagatatataagaccaattaacaaaa |
|  | agattcccgctg |


| oPEB114 | ggtattttctccttacgcatctgtgc |
| :---: | :---: |
| oPEB115 | ggaagcataaagtgtaaagcctggg |
| oPEB151 | gctttacactttatgcttccaagcggtgctcccgattttcgat |
| oPEB152 | atgcgtaaggagaaaatacctctccatacttggcatcacatacgcttc |
| oPEB174 | ttcttccgacataaattgtgagac |
| oPEB175 | atgtgaaagaagggagtttttgac |
| oPEB189 | gagggaggaaaggcaggatacc |
| oPEB190 | CGCCGTATCTGTGCTCTCTCTACC |
| oPEB201 | acacctacatctgtattaacgaagcgactgttcttcgctttttcggtatc |
| oPEB202 | GGTATCCTGCCTTTCCTCCCTCtctattttttcctcctttatgttaccactac a |
| oPEB203 | TAGAGAGAGCACAGATACGGCGaaataaaataagtttcaaatgatacaaaagg ctgag |
| oPEB204 | gtaatgataccgatgaaacgagagataaatatcacccgctccatacag |
| oPEB205 | cttgtgttaaatgatgaggcattc |
| oPEB206 | ggcgtaaacatcttttcatagctt |
| oPEB217 | GAACCTCATTACGAATTCAGCATGC |
| oPEB218 | GAATGGCGATTTTCGTTCGTGAATAC |
| oPEB229 | agccggtgagcgtggTtcCcgcggtatcattgcagcactggg |
| oPEB230 | tgcaatgataccgcgGgaAccacgctcaccggctccagattta |
| oPEB231 | GAACCTCATTACGAATTCAGCATGCGCTATCTAGAGGGAAACCGTTGTGGGAT CCCTATAGTGAGTCGTATTAATTTCGCGGGATCG |
| oPEB232 | GCTGTAGGCATAGGCTTGGTTATG |
| oPEB233 | GCATGCTGAATTCGTAATGAGGTTCGCTGTAGGCATAGGCTTGGTTATG |
| oPEB234 | GTATTCACGAACGAAAATCGCCATTCCTAGCAGCACGCCATAGTGACTG |
| oPEB283 | CGTGAGCTATTAAGCCGACCATTC |
| oPEB288 | aaacATTGTTAGATACGTGGGATTCGTTCAAAGCg |
| oPEB289 | aaaacGCTTTGAACGAATCCCACGTATCTAACAAT |
| oPEB290 | GCATGCTGAATTCGTAATGAGGTTCcgacggactgggtatatctttcta |
| oPEB291 | gctccgggatttttatggtctttgactctcactcctccttcacatgcag |
| oPEB292 | ctgcatgtgaaggaggagtgagagtcaaagaccataaaatcccggagc |
| oPEB293 | GCATAACCAAGCCTATGCCTACAGCtattcgacacaggctgataaagac |
| oPEB294 | agatcgtgaaggtacgctctttat |
| oPEB295 | ctgctgaaaacctatgctgataaa |
| oPEB298 | GCATGCTGAATTCGTAATGAGGTTCacacagaatcagtctcacacacct |
| oPEB299 | ctccgggatttttatggtctttgactatcatctctccttttcttttttcataa ataggtg |
| oPEB300 | gaaaaaagaaaaggagagatgatagtcaaagaccataaaaatcccggagc |
| oPEB301 | caagtcggaaaagttcgataatct |


| oPEB625 | CTTAGTCGACTAAGGAGGTATACATgtgcagcaaaaagtattatcagctcttg <br> aatttc |
| :--- | :--- |
| oPEB626 | CCGAATTAGCTTGCATGCGGCTAGCttaTTATTTTAGTTCAACAACCGTAACG |
|  | CCTGATC |
| oPEB627 | CCGAATTAGCTTGCATGCGGCTAGCttaTTATTGCGTTTTCGGCTTTTCCGGT |
| oPEB628 | CTTAGTCGACTAAGGAGGTATACATGTGAAACGCGACTTTAAGCCTGG |
| oPEB775 | GCATGCTGAATTCGTAATGAGGTTCGAATGGCGATTTTCGTTCGTGAATAC |

Table 5.3 Oligonucleotides used in this study.

## Supplemental text

## MutS2 purification and antibody production

10xHis-Smt3-MutS2 was expressed in NiCo 21 E. coli cells (NEB) from pPB14. Cultures ( $3,1 \mathrm{~L}$ cultures) were grown shaking at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to an $\mathrm{OD}_{600} \approx 0.8$, and induced using 0.5 mM IPTG. Cultures were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for another 3 h after induction. Cells were pelleted via centrifugation at $8,000 \mathrm{~g}$ for 25 minutes at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cell pellets were re-suspended in 20 mL lysis buffer ( 50 mM Tris $\cdot \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.5,300 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 5 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ sucrose, 20 mM imidazole) per liter of culture. Cells were lysed via sonication on ice and lysates were clarified via centrifugation (Sorvall SS-34 rotor, $18,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ for 45 minutes at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Lysate was loaded onto $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}-\mathrm{NTA}$ agarose (Qiagen), 1 mL bead volume per 1 L of culture after prewashing with 5 column volumes lysis buffer, and left on a rotator at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour. The column was then loaded and flowthrough was collected. The column was washed 3 times with 8 column volumes of wash buffer ( 25 mM Tris $\cdot \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.5,500 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \%$ (v/v) glycerol, 30 mM imidazole). The column was then re-suspended in 15 mL digestion buffer ( 50 mM Tris $\cdot \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.5,300 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \%$ ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) glycerol, 1 mM DTT, 10 mM imidazole), and the $10 \mathrm{xHis}-S \mathrm{mt} 3$ tag was removed using 6xHis-Ulp1-403-621, resulting in elution of untagged, full-length MutS2 from the column, which was collected as a flow-through fraction. The 15 mL sample was concentrated to 5 mL using a 50 kDa molecular weight cutoff concentrator (Millipore), and loaded onto a Hiload 16/60 superdex 200 prep grade gel filtration column (GE Healthcare) pre-equilibrated with GF buffer ( 50 mM Tris $\cdot \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.5,150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}$ ), with a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ for 120 minutes. Peak fractions were pooled and diluted in HA dilution buffer resulting in a solution at the final
concentrations of 16.7 mM Tris $\cdot \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.5,50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KPO} 4, \mathrm{pH} 7.4,1 \mathrm{mM}$ DTT, $10 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ glycerol. The diluted sample was loaded onto a hydroxyapatite Bio-Scale Mini CHT Type 1 column (Bio-Rad) pre-equilibrated with HA start buffer ( $5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KPO} 4, \mathrm{pH} 7.4,50 \mathrm{mM}$ $\mathrm{KCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ DTT, $10 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ glycerol). The column was washed with 10 column volumes HA start buffer. The column was then eluted using a linear phosphate gradient from $100 \%$ HA start buffer to $100 \%$ HA finish buffer ( $500 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KPO} 4, \mathrm{pH} 7.4,50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{DTT} 10 \%,(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ glycerol), over 20 column volumes. The peak fractions were pooled and desalted using a HiPrep 26/10 desalting column (GE Healthcare) pre-equilibrated with protein storage buffer ( 25 mM Tris $\cdot \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.5,50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 10 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ glycerol). Peak fractions were pooled, concentrated using a 50 kDa molecular weight cutoff concentrator (Millipore), frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Purified MutS2 was sent to Covance for antibody production using the 77 day protocol using two different rabbits. The MutS2 antibody used throughout this study was from antiserum lot MI-1444-2.

## Chromosomal DNA purification

Cell pellets $\left(\mathrm{OD}_{600} \mathrm{~mL}^{-1} \approx 4-8\right)$ were re-suspended in $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ lysis buffer $(50 \mathrm{mM}$ Tris $\cdot \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 8.0,10 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, $\mathrm{pH} 8.0,1 \%$ Triton X-100, $0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ RNase A, $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ lysozyme) and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes. SDS and protease K were added to a final concentration of $1 \%$ and $1.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, respectively and samples were incubated at $55-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes. Then, $600 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of PB buffer were added and the sample was added to a silica spincolumn (Epoch Life Sciences) and centrifuged at $13,000 \mathrm{~g}$ for 1 min . The column was washed with $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ PB buffer, then $750 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ PE buffer. Finally columns were eluted with $100 \mu \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

## Plasmid construction

All plasmids were constructed via Gibson Assembly (59). All primer sequences used for plasmid construction are listed in Supplementary Table 3 (Table S3). All plasmid clones were sequenced at the University of Michigan Sequencing core facility to verify the sequence of the DNA insert(s).
pPB1 was constructed using Gibson Assembly of the following three DNA amplicons: 1) the pMini-MAD vector, amplified with primers oPEB114/oPEB115; 2) a 505 bp fragment upstream of $m u t S 2$, amplified using oPEB5F/oPEB5R; 3) a 505 bp fragment downstream of
mutS2 amplified using oPEB6F/oPEB6R. The resulting construct contained an in-frame deletion of the $m u t S 2$ open reading frame (ORF) in the pMini-MAD vector.
pPB3 was constructed using Gibson Assembly of a 60 bp annealed dsDNA fragment, using oPEB19/oPEB20 and the following four PCR amplicons: 1) the pMini-MAD vector, amplified with primers oPEB114/oPEB115; 2) a 505 bp fragment upstream of mutS2, amplified with oPEB5F/oPEB16; 3) gfp-mut3-A206K ORF, amplified using oPEB17/oPEB18; 4) a 621 bp fragment of the mutS2 N -terminal coding region, amplified using oPEB21/oPEB22. The resulting construct contained an in-frame insertion of $g f p-m u t 3-A 206 \mathrm{~K}$ with a 20 amino acid flexible linker fused to the coding region of $m u t S 2$ in the pMini-MAD vector (27).
pPB14 was constructed using Gibson Assembly of the following two PCR amplicons: 1) The pET28b-10xHis-Smt3 vector, amplified using oPEB56/oPEB57; 2) the MutS2 open reading frame with the GTG start codon replaced with ATG was amplified using oPEB7F/oPEB7R. The resulting vector contained a $10 \mathrm{xHis-Smt3-MutS} 2$ fusion protein that was under IPTG inducible expression.
pPB31 was constructed using Gibson Assembly of the following three PCR amplicons: 1) the pMini-MAD vector, amplified with primers oPEB114/oPEB115; 2) a 698 bp fragment upstream of $m u t L$, amplified using oPEB151/oPEB78; 3) a 711 bp fragment downstream of mutL, amplified using oPEB79/oPEB152. The resulting construct contained an in-frame deletion of the mutL ORF in the pMini-MAD vector.
pPB41 was constructed by amplifying portions of pDR244 (obtained from the BGSC) and pCas 9 (58) (purchased from Addgene). pPB41 was constructed using Gibson Assembly of the following three PCR amplicons: 1) a part of the pDR244 vector was amplified using oPEB229/oPEB231; 2) a second part of the pDR244 vector was amplified using oPEB218/oPEB230; 3) the Cas9/CRISPR array was amplified using oPEB233/oPEB234. The resulting construct consisted of pDR244 with its two BsaI sites mutated and the cre recombinase deleted, and in its place Cas9/CRISPR was inserted.
pPB49 was constructed via restriction digest and ligation. The vector pPB 41 was digested with BsaI-HF (NEB) and CIP (NEB) treated with alkaline phosphatase. The proto-spacer was generated by annealing oligos oPEB288/oPEB289 in annealing buffer (see section on editing plasmid construction), and phosphorylated using $\mathrm{T}_{4}$ polynucleotide kinase (NEB). The vector
and proto-spacer ligated together using $\mathrm{T}_{4}$ DNA ligase. The resulting construct consisted of pPB41 with the BsaI sites removed and a spacer targeting PBSX inserted into the CRISPR array.
pPB50 was constructed using Gibson Assembly of the following four PCR amplicons: 1) the pPB41 vector was amplified using oPEB217/oPEB218; 2) the Cas9/CRISPR array containing the PBSX spacer was amplified from pPB49 using oPEB232/oPEB234; 3) a 570 bp fragment upstream of $x h l A$ (the upstream portion of the editing template) was amplified using oPEB290/oPEB291; 4) a 690 bp fragment downstream of $x l y A$ (the downstream portion of the editing template) was amplified using oPEB292/oPEB293. The resulting construct consists of pPB49 with the $\triangle x h l A B x l y A$ editing template added next to Cas9 (see Fig S1).
pPB51 was constructed using Gibson Assembly of the following four PCR amplicons: 1) the pPB41 vector was amplified using oPEB217/oPEB218; 2) the Cas9/CRISPR array containing the PBSX spacer was amplified from pPB49 using oPEB232/oPEB234; 3) a 725 bp fragment upstream of $x l y B A$ (the upstream portion of the editing template) was amplified using oPEB298/oPEB299; 4) a 690 bp fragment downstream of $x l y A$ (the downstream portion of the editing template) was amplified using oPEB293/oPEB300. The resulting construct consists of pPB49 with the $\triangle P B S X(x l y B$ through $x y l A)$ editing template added next to Cas9 (see Fig S1).
pPB105, although not utilized in this study, was constructed to allow for use of the CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing system using a chloramphenicol resistance marker instead of the spectinomycin resistance marker. pPB105 was constructed using Gibson Assembly of the following two PCR amplicons: 1) the pPB41 vector without the spectinomycin resistance cassette was amplified using oPEB549/oPEB550; 2) the chloramphenicol resistance marker was amplified from pBGSC6 (Bacillus Stock Center) using oPEB65/oPEB283. The resulting vector consists of pPB41 with the spectinomycin resistance cassette replaced with a chloramphenicol resistance cassette.
pPB126 was constructed using Gibson Assembly of the following two PCR amplicons: 1) the pDR110 vector (61) was amplified using oPEB3F/oPEB3R; the mutS2 ORF was amplified using oPEB625/oPEB626. The resulting construct consists of mutS2 under the control of the $\mathrm{P}_{\text {spac }}$ promoter in pDR110.
pPB127 was constructed using Gibson Assembly of the following two PCR amplicons: 1) the pDR110 vector was amplified using oPEB3F/oPEB3R; the mutS2 $4 C$ ORF (amino acids 1-
635) was amplified using oPEB625/oPEB627. The resulting construct consists of mutS24C under the control of the $\mathrm{P}_{\text {spac }}$ promoter in pDR110.
pPB128 was constructed using Gibson Assembly of the following two PCR amplicons: 1) the pDR110 vector was amplified using oPEB3F/oPEB3R; the mutS24N ORF (the start codon and amino acids 636-785) was amplified using oPEB626/oPEB628. The resulting construct consists of mutS2ムN under the control of the $\mathrm{P}_{\text {spac }}$ promoter in PDR 110 .
pPB153 was constructed using Gibson Assembly of a single PCR amplicon: pDR244 was amplified using oPEB217/oPEB775. The resulting construct consists of pDR244 without cre recombinase.

## Strain construction

All B. subtilis strains are isogenic derivatives of PY79 (62) and were generated by transforming cells with a PCR product, plasmid DNA, or genomic DNA via natural competence (55).

PEB11 was generated by transforming PY79 with pPB1 plating on LB agar plates containing erythromycin and incubating at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight, as the plasmid contains a temperature sensitive origin that is inactive at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, which forces single crossover integration of the plasmid with the chromosome. Isolates were colony purified by re-streaking on LB agar plates with erythromycin and incubating at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. Isolates were then grown in 2 mL liquid LB at the permissive temperature (room temperature) for 10-14 hours. Cultures were back-diluted 100 fold and grown at the permissive temperature for 10-14 hours four times. Cultures were then back-diluted 100 fold and grown at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until an $\mathrm{OD}_{600} \approx 1$. Cultures were serially diluted and $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the $10^{-5}$ dilution were plated on LB agar and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. Single colonies were then colony purified and tested for sensitivity to erythromycin to screen for plasmid loss by re-streaking on LB agar plates and LB agar plates containing erythromycin. Erythromycin sensitive clones were further screened for loss of the mutS2 ORF using PCR with primers oPEB5F/oPEB6R.

PEB32 was constructed as PEB11 with the exception of using pPB3 as the plasmid, and using PCR primers oPEB5F/oPEB22 to screen for GFP insertion at the mutS2 locus.

PEB43 was constructed by transforming PY79 with pDR154 via double-crossover recombination at the $a m y E$ locus. Double crossover recombination was verified by screening for an inability to utilize starch (55).

PEB112 was constructed as PEB11 with the exception of using pPB31 as the plasmid, and using PCR primers oPEB151/oPEB152 were used to screen for the loss of the mutL ORF.

PEB118 was constructed as PEB112 using PEB11 as the parent strain instead of PY79.
PEB125 was constructed by transforming PY79 using genomic DNA from the B. subtilis knockout library strain BKE22310. Clones were verified via PCR genotyping at the recU locus using primers oPEB174/oPEB175.

PEB128 was constructed as described for PEB125 using PEB11 as the parent strain.
PEB204 was constructed by transforming PY79 with a PCR product containing 871 bp upstream of recA, a erythromycin resistance cassette from the Bacillus knockout library, and 1052 bp downstream of recA via double-crossover recombination. This PCR product was generated via overlap PCR using oPEB201/oPEB204, and the following three PCR amplicons as templates: 1) 871 bp upstream of $r e c A$ were amplified using oPEB201/oPEB202; 2) the erythromycin resistance cassette was amplified using oPEB189/oPEB190; 3) 1052 bp downstream of recA were amplified using oPEB203/oPEB204. Clones were genotyped for the allelic replacement at the recA locus using PCR primers oPEB205/206.

PEB207 was constructed as PEB204, except PEB11 was used as the parent strain.
PEB214 was constructed by transforming PEB204 with pDR244 and plating on LB agar plates containing spectinomycin and incubating at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight (the permissive temperature for the temperature sensitive origin of replication). Transformants were then colony purified by re-streaking on LB agar containing spectinomycin and incubating at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The plasmid was evicted by re-streaking on LB agar plates and incubating at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. Isolates were screened for loss of the plasmid and the erythromycin cassette by re-streaking on LB, LB containing spectinomycin, and LB containing erythromycin agar plates. Isolates were further genotyped at the recA locus using PCR primers oPEB205/oPEB206.

PEB215 was constructed as was PEB214, except PEB207 was used as the parent strain.
PEB218 was saved from JSL 281 (27).

PEB247 \& PEB249 were constructed via CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing described herein, by transforming PY79 and PEB11, respectively, with pPB50. Clones were genotyped at the xhlABxlyA loci using PCR primers oPEB294/oPEB295.

PEB251 \& PEB254 were constructed via CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing described herein, by transforming PY79 and PEB11, respectively, with pPB51. Clones were genotyped at the PBSX locus using PCR primers oPEB295/oPEB301.

PEB295 was constructed by transforming PY79 with genomic DNA from LAS409 (32, 44). Loss of $u v r A$ was verified by testing for sensitivity to UV ( 265 nm ) light.

PEB298 was constructed by transforming PEB11 with genomic DNA from LAS409. Loss of $u v r A$ was verified by testing for sensitivity to UV ( 265 nm ) light.

PEB410 was constructed by transforming PEB11 with pPB126 via double-crossover recombination at the $a m y E$ locus. Double crossover recombination was verified by screening for an inability to utilize starch.

PEB412 was constructed by transforming PEB11 with pPB127 via double-crossover recombination at the $a m y E$ locus. Double crossover recombination was verified by screening for an inability to utilize starch.

PEB414 was constructed by transforming PEB11 with pPB128 via double-crossover recombination at the $a m y E$ locus. Double crossover recombination was verified by screening for an inability to utilize starch.

PEB671 was constructed as described for PEB11 using PEB218 as the parent strain.
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# CHAPTER VI Future research and concluding remarks 

## Introduction

The DNA damage response is a cellular solution to a fundamental problem in biologyreproduction requires DNA replication, which can be prevented by DNA modifications called DNA damage. A major facet of the DNA damage response is the coordination of DNA replication and cell division $(1,2)$. Regulation of cell division in response to DNA damage was initially identified in Escherichia coli, and subsequent studies described in molecular detail how the process occurs (2). The application of the models developed in E. coli, however, has proved difficult as studies in numerous bacterial species have demonstrated that the cell division checkpoint exists, yet the mechanisms are not conserved. Although cell division inhibitors have been identified in several bacteria (3-8), the rest of the pathway remains unclear in these organisms. In Chapter II, I used forward genetics to identify the proteases responsible for removing the cell division inhibitor in Bacillus subtilis. In Chapter III, I demonstrate that a gene of unknown function helps to establish a threshold of cell division inhibitor required for establishing the cell cycle checkpoint. In addition, in Chapters IV and V, I describe roles for three genes involved in DNA repair. Prior to my studies it was not clear how organisms that use membrane bound cell division inhibitors would control the cell cycle checkpoint. Further, by identifying multiple mechanisms for regulating establishment of the checkpoint, my work underscores the complexity of this process. As discussed in Chapter I, the DNA damage checkpoint will be a function of DNA damage and the rate of cell division. Given that these factors will be highly variable depending on the environment and the organism, it should be no surprise that the mechanisms of the DNA damage checkpoint would also vary considerably. Thus, my study of the DNA damage response in B. subtilis has provided important insight into
this complex process, while also providing the basis for several new areas of research that are discussed below.

## Checkpoint recovery proteases

The identification of the $B$. subtilis DNA damage checkpoint recovery mechanism is an important step toward understanding the mechanisms by which cell division and DNA replication are coupled. My studies of the proteases DdcP (YlbL) and CtpA presented in Chapter II led to the discovery that these proteases relieve the DNA damage-dependent cell cycle checkpoint. Both proteases degrade the cell division inhibitor YneA, resulting in removal of the protein that enforces the checkpoint, and therefore allowing cell division to proceed. Still, exactly how DdcP and CtpA recognize their substrate, YneA, is still not clear and warrants further exploration.

Substrate recognition is a critical aspect of protease function $(9,10)$. DdcP and CtpA are excellent model proteases for understanding how proteases with PDZ domains recognize their substrates. CtpA is similar to Tail-specific protease (Tsp) in E. coli which recognizes the Cterminus of its substrate through the PDZ domain (11). Substrate binding through the PDZ domain is most likely how CtpA recognizes YneA. I showed in Chapter III that the PDZ domain of CtpA is important for function (Fig 3.14). In addition, a previous study found that a D97A mutation in the C-terminus of YneA resulted in a stabilized variant of YneA (12). Interestingly, CtpA from Staphylococcus aureus (Sa-CtpA) was found to negatively regulate the SOSdependent cell division inhibitor SosA (8). The authors found the connection between Sa -CtpA and SosA because deletion of ten amino acids at the C-terminus of SosA resulted in a hyperactive variant, leading to the suggestion that $S a$-CtpA recognizes the C-terminus of SosA (8). The hypothesis that B. subtilis CtpA recognizes YneA at its C-terminus can be tested by assaying YneA stability using C-terminal truncations and the D97A variant in cells lacking $d d c P$ and in the presence or absence of CtpA. The model could be tested in vitro as well by purifying YneA variants that were stabilized and testing for CtpA protease activity. The requirement of the PDZ domain of CtpA could also be tested in vitro by examining protease activity of CtpA $\triangle$ PDZ. Together, these experiments would test the hypothesis that CtpA uses its PDZ domain to recognize the C-terminus of YneA.

Although there is a very clear direction for CtpA, there is no clear hypothesis for how DdcP recognizes YneA. The protease domain of DdcP is similar to that of Lon proteases. Lon proteases are energy-dependent proteases that utilize an ATPase domain to drive substrate unfolding and proteolysis (13). In Chapter III DdcP was shown to be membrane anchored with an extracellular protease domain. Given that ATP is exceedingly low or absent outside the cell, it is no surprise that DdcP does not have an ATPase domain, and instead has a PDZ domain. The PDZ domain of DdcP is not required for function in the DNA damage checkpoint, as deletion of the PDZ domain had no effect on DNA damage sensitivity (Fig 3.14). Intriguingly, a BLAST search revealed that the PDZ domain of DdcP is most similar to the one found in DegS (Fig 3.14). The PDZ domain of DegS acts as an allosteric inhibitor of protease activity and was also found to be dispensable for function in vivo (14-16). For DdcP it is not clear what function, if any, the PDZ domain serves. To better understand how DdcP recognizes YneA two general strategies should be employed. First, a purification scheme yielding active DdcP should be devised. Optimizing purification of full length DdcP from $B$. subtilis should be the starting point. With active DdcP purified, detailed hypotheses about substrate recognition could be examined in vitro. Second, the stability of several YneA truncation variants should be measured in the presence and absence of $\operatorname{DdcP}$ to determine the critical parts of the substrate. Together, these experiments have the potential to elucidate how a Lon protease is able to function without an ATPase domain to degrade protein substrates.

## DNA damage checkpoint antagonist

The discovery of a DNA damage checkpoint antagonist marks an exciting advance in the bacterial DNA damage response field that simultaneously opens new avenues of research. DdcA along with the checkpoint recovery proteases, DdcP and CtpA, set the threshold of YneA required for establishing the cell cycle checkpoint. It is still unclear whether DdcA acts on YneA or another cellular target. To address the gap in our understanding of DdcA function, parallel approaches should be employed to test each hypothesis.

The observation that DdcA and the checkpoint recovery proteases have YneA-dependent phenotypes, yet they are not functionally interchangeable, may suggest that DdcA acts on a protein other than YneA. DdcA contains a tetratrichopeptide repeat (TPR) domain, which could
mediate protein-protein interactions (17). I attempted to find a binding partner of DdcA using a bacterial two-hybrid assay. I screened several candidate proteins that are known to be involved in cell division for interaction with DdcA without obtaining a positive result. To more rigorously test the hypothesis that DdcA interacts with another protein, two methods should be employed. First, a tandem-affinity purification tag should be fused to DdcA and a pull-down assay should be optimized. Second, full-length DdcA, DdcA containing only the TPR domain, and DdcA lacking the TPR domain should be used in a genome-wide bacterial two-hybrid assay. DdcA likely interacts with other cellular proteins, and dissecting the entire network of interactions could help elucidate the function of DdcA in the DNA damage checkpoint, but could also inform whether DdcA has other important cellular functions.

DdcA could inhibit YneA function directly. DdcA does not affect YneA protein levels, stability, or localization. DdcA also did not interact with YneA in a bacterial two-hybrid assay
(Fig 3.11), though this experiment had two confounding factors. DdcA and YneA were likely separated by the plasma membrane, and in order to keep YneA in the cytoplasm the N -terminus of YneA had to be deleted, which could be required for DdcA to interact with YneA. Thus, it remains possible that DdcA acts on YneA prior to or during secretion, resulting in a form of YneA that is incompetent for cell division inhibition. I observed that DdcA is primarily intracellular, however, a portion of DdcA localized to the membrane fraction (Fig 3.9). It is possible that DdcA interferes with YneA secretion in a way that does not alter GFP-YneA localization. One possibility is that the topology of YneA is somehow reversed when DdcA is present. The result would be that the extracellular portion of YneA would be inside the cell, preventing YneA from inhibiting cell division. This hypothesis could be tested by placing the Nterminal secretion signal of YneA at its C-terminus. If YneA orientation is reversed when DdcA is present, then by moving the secretion signal to the other side of the LysM domain, the function of DdcA would also be reversed. Another possibility is that DdcA affects YneA prior to secretion. Proteins containing TPR domains have been found to act as chaperones (18). Therefore, DdcA could act as a chaperone promoting YneA to fold into an inactive form. If YneA has cell wall hydrolase activity similar to that of the Mycobacterium tuberculosis cell division inhibitor (7) (see next section), then the effect of DdcA on YneA activity could be tested in vitro. In addition, the secondary structure of YneA could be monitored via circular dichroism or sensitivity of YneA to trypsin digestion could be tested to discern whether DdcA could indeed
alter YneA structure. Together with the more comprehensive study of DdcA interacting partners described above, these experiments will provide a deeper understanding of how the threshold for DNA damage checkpoint activation is established in B. subtilis.

## Checkpoint enforcement

Although not a focus of my research, the mechanism by which YneA inhibits cell division remains the major open question in the B. subtilis DNA damage response field. YneA was identified as the SOS-dependent cell division inhibitor in B. subtilis in the early 2000s (3). A subsequent study provided evidence that full length YneA was the active form, and that several amino acids in the transmembrane domain were important for function (12). There are two major questions about YneA function that remain: 1) how does YneA inhibit cell division? and 2) what is the biological function of the YneA-dependent checkpoint?

The data that YneA can inhibit cell division are clear (3,12), yet how this occurs remains obscure. There are two primary hypotheses that should be tested: 1) YneA inhibits activity of an essential component of the cell division or cell wall synthesis machinery; and 2) YneA acts on the cell wall to prevent cell division. Of course, these hypotheses are not mutually exclusive and both could be part of the mechanism of cell division inhibition.

I attempted to identify potential interacting partners of YneA using a bacterial two hybrid assay by using a candidate approach to screen several cell division and cell wall synthesis proteins. The experiment did not generate a positive result. It remains possible that YneA interacts with a component of the cell division or cell wall synthesis machinery. It is possible that YneA interacts with a protein I did not test or that YneA forms a complex with multiple proteins. To circumvent these technical difficulties, a pull down assay followed by mass-spectrometry using full length YneA similar to a previous report should be adapted (19), and a genome wide bacterial two hybrid should be performed. A genetic approach using a suppressor screen could also be used. Suppressor screens performed thus far have failed to identify suppressor mutations in genes other than yneA, however, a more high throughput approach can be employed based on my findings in Chapter II and Chapter III. A strain lacking both checkpoint proteases and $d d c A$ with yneA under the control of the $P_{x y l}$ promoter integrated at the $a m y E$ locus in addition to the
native yneA locus (genotype: $\Delta d d c A, \Delta d d c P, \Delta c t p A, a m y E:: P_{x y} l-y n e A$ ) should be grown for many generations using multiple parallel liquid cultures. The cultures should be plated on media containing xylose periodically to identify strains that are no longer sensitive to over-expression of YneA. Any suppressors that are identified should subsequently be screened for sensitivity to MMC. Suppressor strains that are resistant to both xylose and MMC could result from mutations at both loci expressing yneA (yneA and amyE $:: P_{x y} y-y n e A$ ), which would be a low probability event because the same cell would require two mutations. Alternatively, if a mutation occurred in a gene that functions downstream of YneA, such as the target or binding partner of YneA, the resulting strain would also be resistant to xylose and MMC. These experiments utilizing multiple independent approaches could identify potential binding partners of YneA and genetic suppressors of YneA over-expression.

It remains possible that YneA function does not depend on protein-protein interactions. YneA has a LysM domain, and LysM domains typically bind to the peptidoglycan cell wall (20). The cell division inhibitor of Mycobacterium tuberculosis contains a LysM domain and also has cell wall hydrolase activity $(7,21)$. It is possible that YneA has hydrolase activity and prevents cell division by interfering directly with cell wall synthesis. This hypothesis should be tested using three independent approaches. First, if YneA inhibits cell wall synthesis, we might predict that over-expression of YneA would lead to a cell morphology phenotype similar to that observed for mutants that cannot properly synthesize the cell wall $(22,23)$. Although I did not observe differences in cell morphology, I only imaged strains overexpressing lower levels of YneA after thirty minutes. Moreover, the original reports of YneA overexpression wherein cells were visualized following YneA over-expression were done in strain backgrounds containing DdcA, DdcP, and CtpA, which would allow for removal and inhibition of YneA. Thus, the experiment should be repeated using high levels of YneA expression in a strain lacking $d d c A$, $d d c P$, and $\operatorname{ctp} A$, and cell morphology should be monitored over a longer period of time, such as four to eight hours following YneA induction. Second, YneA could be tested for cell wall hydrolase activity using purified YneA similar to previously described (7). If YneA has hydrolase activity, the amino acids required for activity should be identified. The residues should be mutated, and the resulting YneA variant should be tested for hydrolase activity in vitro and its ability to inhibit cell division in vivo. Finally, given that GFP-YneA localization resembles the localization pattern of nascent peptidoglycan synthesis (Fig 3.12) (24, 25), the effect of YneA
over-expression on peptidoglycan synthesis should be tested. If YneA interferes with cell wall synthesis, it is possible that staining of nascent peptidoglycan using fluorescent vancomycin is altered upon over-expression of YneA. The experiments outlined above will help elucidate how YneA inhibits cell division and further our understanding of the DNA damage checkpoint in bacteria.

The rationale for having a cell division inhibitor is to slow cell division to allow DNA repair and replication to complete prior to division. If the cell cycle checkpoint is removed, one would imagine that cells would not survive treatment with drugs that damage DNA. Paradoxically, deletion of yneA results in resistance to MMC relative to the wild type strain (Fig 2.11). Thus, the question arises, what is the purpose of the YneA checkpoint? In Caulobacter crescentus there are two cell division inhibitors and deletion of either one alone gives no phenotype; however, the double mutant is sensitive to DNA damage (6). Further, although YneA is required for a significant portion of cell division inhibition in response to DNA damage, cells still elongate when YneA is deleted. It follows that there must be other mechanisms of checkpoint enforcement. As mentioned previously in Chapters I, II, and III, regulation of ftsL transcripts is a second mechanism of controlling cell division in response to replication stress (26). Still, there are other candidates for additional DNA damage-dependent cell division inhibitors. First, there are proteins in the prophage PBSX that have LysM domains (20), which could be functional analogs of YneA. I showed in Chapter V that deletion of PBSX results in a slight resistance to MMC (Fig 5.7), similar to deletion of yneA. If there is an additional cell division inhibitor that is part of the PBSX prophage, we would predict that deletion of both PBSX and yneA would result in sensitivity to MMC if the checkpoint is completely ablated. A second possibility is that the FtsZ inhibitor EzrA contributes to establishing the DNA damage checkpoint. In my genetic screens presented in Chapter II, transposon insertions in ezrA resulted in decreased relative fitness measurements in all three experiments, consistent with the hypothesis that EzrA is an important checkpoint regulator. How this occurs is not clear as ezrA was not found to be DNA damage-inducible (27). A genetic investigation of ezrA in the DNA damage response would be informative. Overall, a methodical dissection of YneA function will be required to understand how the DNA damage checkpoint is controlled in B. subtilis.

## Mitomycin specific nucleotide excision repair

My discovery of a nucleotide excision repair pathway specific for MMC is a fascinating observation that illuminated several open questions for further study. As I demonstrated in Chapter IV, mrfAB are important for surviving treatment with MMC, but not other types of DNA damage. I also found that MrfAB were not important for repair of the interstrand cross-link. These data strongly suggest that MrfAB repair the intrastrand cross-link and/or the mono-adducts (hereafter, referred to collectively as adducts). It is not clear how MrfAB recognize DNA containing MMC adducts. Understanding how MrfAB recognize adducts will help explain the specificity of this DNA repair pathway.

Although MrfAB are important for repair of DNA containing MMC adducts, it is not clear how those adducts are recognized. The observation that MrfAB are specific to MMC adducts suggests that some aspect of adduct structure can be recognized by this pathway. An attractive explanation is that the C-terminal domain of MrfA recognizes MMC adducts in DNA. The C-terminus of MrfA contains four highly conserved cysteines that likely coordinate a zinc ion (28, 29). Zinc binding domains are prevalent in DNA binding proteins (30), and the recognition factors in bacterial and eukaryotic nucleotide excision repair also have zinc binding domains (31, 32). Although MrfA functioning as the recognition factor is an intuitive hypothesis, it is possible that MrfB or both MrfA and MrfB are required for MMC adduct recognition. Therefore, each protein individually and the MrfAB combination should be tested for a direct interaction with DNA containing an MMC adduct in vitro, similar to experiments performed with UvrABC (33). These experiments are straightforward in theory, but in practice MMC reacts with DNA forming several different adducts, so generating a single substrate is challenging (34). If MrfA is found to be the recognition factor, testing the requirement of the C-terminal binding domain may not be possible in vitro. A study of the MrfA homolog in M. smegmatis found that deletion of the C-terminal domain or mutation of the cysteines rendered the protein insoluble when produced in E. coli (29). To overcome this obstacle, MrfA could be tagged with GFP, and localization of MrfA in the presence and absence of MMC could be tested. It was previously shown that UvrA-GFP localizes to the nucleoid region following treatment with UV and MMC (35). Thus, it is possible that MrfA would localize to the nucleoid region following MMC treatment and that effect would depend on the C-terminus. Together, these experiments will
clarify how MrfAB recognize DNA containing MMC adducts and further our understanding of the specificity of this pathway.

## Concluding remarks

Throughout this dissertation I have shown that the DNA damage response has multiple ways to accomplish one task. I showed in Chapter II that two proteases degrade the cell division inhibitor, and I described in Chapter III the identification of a third protein, DdcA, that acts to antagonize the same cell division inhibitor. In Chapter IV, I demonstrated that there are two pathways for repair of MMC adducts. Finally, in Chapter V I provided evidence that MutS2, a paralog of MutS, functions as a secondary Holliday junction endonuclease.

Why are there so many cases of genetic redundancy in the DNA damage response pathway? Genetic redundancy in eukaryotes has been studied in numerous important cellular and developmental processes (36). The use of genetic redundancy in development is important for two main reasons. First, it allows for proteins with similar function to be used in different cell types or at different times, thus allowing a greater versatility than a single protein would allow. Second, if two proteins are under selective pressure for the same function, but each has an additional function that is also under selective pressure, then genes coding for both proteins would be maintained. Another, perhaps not inconsequential, advantage is that having two proteins for a single function would increase the robustness of the overall process. If one protein fails in early development, having the second protein could allow development to progress, thereby increasing survival rates. Bacteria have considerably smaller genomes than those of eukaryotes, and therefore it has long been thought that selective pressure against redundancy must be great. Although this argument seems intuitive, the same logic for the existence of genetic redundancy in eukaryotes applies to prokaryotes. By using multiple proteins with overlapping functions, the robustness of the process increases (37). Thus, when stress is encountered and cellular processes begin to fail, organisms with multiple strategies of mitigating the stress will outcompete those reliant on a single strategy that can be overwhelmed. As a result, when considering genetic redundancy in bacteria, the underlying circumstances also need to be incorporated into the model. The DNA damage response pathway is a stress response system; therefore, in hindsight it should not be surprising that nature would select for a robust response.

As research into mechanisms of bacterial stress response pathways progresses, I expect that the principles of redundancy I have uncovered in B. subtilis will serve as a model, allowing researchers to elucidate complex regulatory strategies present in bacteria.
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