SPECIAL ISSUE ARTICLE

WILEY C

Characterization and regulation of MT1-MMP cell surfaceassociated activity

Sonia Pahwa¹ | Manishabrata Bhowmick¹ | Sabrina Amar^{1,2} | Jian Cao³ | Alex Y. Strongin⁴ | Rafael Fridman⁵ | Stephen J. Weiss⁶ | Gregg B. Fields^{1,2,7}

¹Departments of Chemistry and Biology, Torrey Pines Institute for Molecular Studies, Port St. Lucie, Florida

²Department of Chemistry & Biochemistry, Florida Atlantic University, Jupiter, Florida

³Departments of Medicine/Cancer Prevention and Pathology, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, New York

⁴Cancer Research Center, Sanford Burnham Prebys Medical Research Institute, La Jolla, California

⁵Department of Pathology and the Karmanos Cancer Institute, Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan

⁶Division of Molecular Medicine & Genetics, Department of Internal Medicine, Life Sciences Institute, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan

⁷The Scripps Research Institute/Scripps Florida, Jupiter, Florida

Correspondence

Gregg B. Fields, Department of Chemistry & Biochemistry, Florida Atlantic University, Jupiter, FL. Email: fieldsg@fau.edu

Present addresses

Sonia Pahwa, U.S. Food and Drug Administration, Silver Spring, Maryland.

Manishabrata Bhowmick, MilliporeSigma, Burlington, Massachusetts.

Jian Cao, NIH Center for Scientific Review, Bethesda, Maryland.

Funding information

National Institutes of Health/National Cancer Institute, Grant/Award Number: CA071699, CA088308 and CA098799; US-Israel Binational Science Foundation; James and Esther King Biomedical Research Program; NHLBI, Grant/Award Number: 268201000036C

Abstract

Quantitative assessment of MT1-MMP cell surface-associated proteolytic activity remains undefined. Presently, MT1-MMP was stably expressed and a cell-based FRET assay developed to quantify activity toward synthetic collagen-model triplehelices. To estimate the importance of cell surface localization and specific structural domains on MT1-MMP proteolysis, activity measurements were performed using a series of membrane-anchored MT1-MMP mutants and compared directly with those of soluble MT1-MMP. MT1-MMP activity (k_{cat}/K_M) on the cell surface was 4.8-fold lower compared with soluble MT1-MMP, with the effect largely manifested in k_{cat} . Deletion of the MT1-MMP cytoplasmic tail enhanced cell surface activity, with both k_{cat} and K_{M} values affected, while deletion of the hemopexin-like domain negatively impacted $K_{\rm M}$ and increased $k_{\rm cat}$. Overall, cell surface localization of MT1-MMP restricts substrate binding and protein-coupled motions (based on changes in both k_{cat} and $K_{\rm M}$) for catalysis. Comparison of soluble and cell surface-bound MT2-MMP revealed 12.9-fold lower activity on the cell surface. The cell-based assay was utilized for small molecule and triple-helical transition state analog MMP inhibitors, which were found to function similarly in solution and at the cell surface. These studies provide the first quantitative assessments of MT1-MMP activity and inhibition in the native cellular environment of the enzyme.

KEYWORDS

cell surface proteolysis, cell-based assay, collagenolysis, matrix metalloproteinase, protease inhibitor

⊥WILEY-C

1 | INTRODUCTION

The quantification of cell surface-associated protease activity, along with the evaluation of inhibitor potency, is often performed using isolated enzyme and substrate. As a result, the contribution of the cell surface environment to the regulation of proteolytic activity is negated. Membrane type-1 matrix metalloproteinase (MT1-MMP) is a member of the matrix metalloproteinase (MMP) gene family, multidomain enzymes that are characterized by an N-terminal propeptide domain, a zinc-coordinating active site within the catalytic (CAT) domain, and a C-terminal hemopexin-like (HPX) domain (Rowe & Weiss, 2009). However, unlike secreted members of the MMP family, MT1-MMP is distinguished by the presence of a short transmembrane (TM) domain and a cytoplasmic tail (CT) that serve to localize the enzyme to discrete regions of the plasma membrane while providing access to the intracellular compartment (Rowe & Weiss, 2009).

Although MT1-MMP can hydrolyze a variety of substrates (Pahwa, Stawikowski, & Fields, 2014), one of its most important functions is the serve as a pericellular, interstitial collagenase that plays key roles in events ranging from mesenchymal stem cell differentiation and adipose tissue development to carcinoma cell proliferation, invasion, and metastasis (Castro-Castro et al., 2016; Rowe & Weiss, 2009; Szabova, Chrysovergis, Yamada, & Holmbeck, 2007; Tang et al., 2013; Zarrabi et al., 2011). MT1-MMP collagenolytic activity has also been implicated in facilitating secondary infections (Talmi-Frank et al., 2016). Interestingly, even though MT1-MMP serves as an interstitial collagenase, in similar fashion to several secreted MMPs (i.e., MMP-1, MMP-8, and MMP-13), only MT1-MMP activity is critical for conferring cells with tissue-invasive properties (Fisher et al., 2009; Hotary, Allen, Punturieri, Yana, & Weiss, 2000; Koike, Vernon, Hamner, Sadoun, & Reed, 2002; Sabeh, Li, Saunders, Rowe, & Weiss, 2009; Sabeh et al., 2004; Wolf et al., 2007; Zhang, Matrisian, Holmbeck, Vick, & Rosenthal, 2006). Hence, the plasma membrane environment appears to regulate MT1-MMP collagenolytic activity. While quantitative assessments of MT1-MMP catalytic activity at the cell surface should clarify the potential influences and effects that the membrane environment elicits on catalytic activity under native conditions, such determinations have remained problematic (see below).

Visualization of membrane-bound, active MT1-MMP has been achieved by fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) imaging of surface-anchored sensors. An initial MT1-MMP sensor was created using the Cys-Pro-Lys-Glu-Ser-Cys-Asn-Leu-Phe-Val-Leu-Lys-Asp sequence, derived from the MT1-MMP cleavage site in proMMP-2 (Ouyang et al., 2008). The FRET pair was an Enhanced Cyan Fluorescence Protein (ECFP, the fluorophore) and a Yellow Fluorescence Protein variant (YPet, the quencher) (Ouyang et al., 2008). A second generation sensor was created using the sequence Cys-Arg-Pro-Ala-His-Leu-Arg-Asp-Ser-Gly and the FRET pair mOrange2 (fluorophore) and mCherry (quencher), yielding a biosensor that was largely insensitive to MMP-2- or MMP-9-dependent hydrolysis (Ouyang et al., 2010). To improve the biosensor's selectivity, a pentapeptide library was screened, and the sensor CyPet-Ser-Leu-Ala-Pro-Leu-Gly-Leu-Gln-Arg-Arg-YPet (where Cyan Fluorescence Protein variant (CyPet) was the fluorophore) was found to be more selective for MT1-MMP compared with other MMPs, with the exception of MMP-9 (Jabaiah & Daugherty, 2011). A further optimized MT-MMP probe was developed based on the sequence AHLR (Cys-Arg-Pro-Ala-His-Leu-Arg-Asp-Ser-Gly) with Gly-Gly-Ser-Gly-Gly-Thr linkers flanking each side of the sequence and ECFP and YPet as a FRET pair (Lu et al., 2013). MT1-MMP activity was favored over MMP-2 and MMP-9 as well as the membrane-anchored MMPs, MT2-MMP, and MT3-MMP. However, the insufficient selectivity of sensors that are based on short linear peptides did not allow for the precise quantification of cellular MT1-MMP activity under native conditions, and the recorded changes in fluorescence were not sufficiently robust for routine analysis.

A more recent biosensor for MT1-MMP was created using a separate donor and acceptor that assembled in situ (Limsakul et al., 2018). Specifically, a monobody (PEbody) was developed to bind to R-phycoerythrin (R-PE) dye. The PEbody was fused with ECFP and also inserted into the cell membrane. An MT1-MMP labile sequence (Cys-Arg-Pro-Ala-His-Leu-Arg-Asp-Ser-Gly) was incorporated between the ECFP and the PEbody. MT1-MMP hydrolysis resulted in a decrease in FRET. Images were reported to be clearer than for the ECFP/YPet sensor (Ouyang et al., 2008). The ECFP-PEbody/R-PE biosensor was used to study the localization and mobility of MT1-MMP, but not to quantify activity. Interestingly, this study found that MT1-MMP mobility was restricted by inhibition partners (Limsakul et al., 2018).

Imaging of MT1-MMP activity on the surface of human mesenchymal stem cells was achieved using a threedimensional PEG-hydrogel that incorporated the MMP substrate Dabcyl-Gly-Gly-Pro-Gln-Gly-Ile-Trp-Gly-Gln-Lys(fluorescein)-Ahx-Cys (Leight, Alge, Maier, & Anseth, 2013). The relative change in fluorescence was quantified, but no kinetic parameters were reported. The sequence used was not specific for MT1-MMP.

For the purpose of analyzing cell surface proteolytic enzymes, one would ideally utilize substrates that correspond to the most prominent activity of a targeted protease. As such, synthetic triple-helical peptide (THP) substrates that model interstitial (types I-III) collagen have been developed for convenient, continuous activity-monitoring assays. FRET THPs (fTHPs) have typically used (7-methoxycoumarin-4-yl)-acetyl (Mca) as a fluorophore that, in turn, is efficiently quenched by 2,4-dinitrophenyl (Dnp) moieties (Knight, Willenbrock, &



Murphy, 1992; Nagase, Fields, & Fields, 1994). These fTHPs have been employed to discriminate MMP family members in kinetic assays in vitro, as well as in transfer FRET assays in cultured cells. Additionally, we have described an in situ (non-transfer) MMP cell-based assay using FRET peptide substrates (Giricz, Lauer, & Fields, 2011).

In the present study, MT1-MMP was stably expressed in cells and a cell-based FRET assay used to quantify cell surface-associated protease activity and its kinetic parameters. To determine the effect of the cell surface and the individual MT1-MMP domains on catalysis, activity comparisons were made using soluble (i.e., transmembranedeleted) MT1-MMP and surface-bound MT1-MMP mutants. Given recent, and often contradictory, reports regarding the role of the MT1-MMP CT, CAT domain, and HPX domain in regulating proteolytic activity (Cao et al., 2008; Itoh et al., 2001, 2006; Li, Ota, Yana, Sabeh, & Weiss, 2008; Sabeh et al., 2009; Tam, Moore, Butler, & Overall, 2004; Weaver et al., 2014; Yu et al., 2012), we also assessed the enzymatic properties of MT1-MMP following (a) deletion of the CT [MT1-MMP(Δ CT)], to determine if a lack of enzyme internalization, partitioning into lipid rafts, and/or CT posttranslation modification modulates activity, (b) deletion of the HPX domain [MT1-MMP(Δ HPX)], to determine the role of the HPX domain in cell surface collagenolysis, and (c) replacement of the MT1-MMP CAT domain with the MMP-1 CAT domain [MT1-MMP(MMP-1 CAT)], to determine if the MT1-MMP CAT domain is optimal for cell surface collagenolysis (Figure 1). Activity of the soluble and cell-bound forms of MT2-MMP was evaluated for comparison to MT1-MMP. Finally, the effect of two distinct classes of inhibitors on cell surface MT1-MMP proteolysis was examined.

2 | EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1 | Methods and materials

Cell culture reagents were obtained from Invitrogen unless otherwise stated. Standard chemicals were of analytical or molecular biology grade and purchased from Fisher Scientific. Antibodies were purchased from EMD Millipore and Pierce. The triple-helical substrate fTHP-9 [(Gly-Pro-Hyp)₅-Gly-Pro-Lys(Mca)-Gly-Pro-Gln-Gly~Cys(Mob)-Arg-Gly-Gln-Lys(Dnp)-Gly-Val-Arg-(Gly-Pro-Hyp)₅-NH₂] and the triple-helical peptide inhibitor $Gly\Psi{PO_2H-CH_2}$ [(Gly-Pro-Hyp)₄-Gly-mep-Flp-Gly-Pro-Ile-Tyr THPI Gln-[GlyΨ(PO₂H-CH₂)Ile]-Tyr-Phe-Gln-Arg-Gly-Val-Arg-Gly-mep-Flp-(Gly-Pro-Hyp)₄-Tyr-NH₂, where mep = 4-methylproline and Flp = 4-fluoroproline] were synthesized in house using methods described previously (Bhowmick & Fields, 2012; Bhowmick et al., 2017; Lauer-Fields et al., 2007; Minond, Lauer-Fields, Nagase, & Fields, 2004; Minond et al., 2006). Marimastat, a nonselective inhibitor of MMPs (Beckett & Whittaker, 1998; Rasmussen & McCann, 1997), was purchased from Sigma. Tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase 2 (TIMP-2) was obtained from Abcam (catalog # ab39314).

1253

CB-WILEY

2.2 | Cell culture and transfection

COS-1 cells (CRL-1650) were obtained from ATCC. Human MCF-7 breast carcinoma cells that express low levels of MT1-MMP and negligible levels of MMP-8 were cultured as described previously in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS) (Köhrmann, Kammerer, Kapp, Dietl, & Anacker, 2009; Rozanov et al., 2001). The plasmid construct for producing human soluble MT1-MMP (MT1-MMP without its TM domain and CT, designated sMT1-MMP) was described previously (Cao et al., 2004; Pei & Weiss, 1996). The pCDNA 3.1 plasmids containing human wild-type MT1-MMP (WT-MT1-MMP), MT1-MMP with its cytoplasmic tail deleted [MT1-MMP(Δ CT)], MT1-MMP with the HPX domain deleted [MT1-MMP(Δ HPX)], and MT1-MMP in which the entire CAT domain was replaced with the CAT domain of human MMP-1 [MT1-MMP(MMP-1 CAT)] have also been described (Li et al., 2008; Sabeh et al., 2009). The MT1-MMP(MMP-1 CAT) construct was composed of MMP-1 Met1 to Tyr260 with the Gly-Leu-Ser-Ser-Aal-Arg-Asn-Arg-Gln-Lys-Arg sequence inserted between the Pro and CAT domains, and MT1-MMP Gly284 to Val582 (Li et al., 2008; Pei & Weiss, 1995) The inserted sequence allows for furin

⊥WILEY-C

activation of the resulting chimera (Pei & Weiss, 1995). All pCDNA 3.1 plasmids containing WT-MT1-MMP and mutants were used for stable transfection of MCF-7 cells. Control cells were transfected with the original pCDNA3.1 plasmid. MCF-7 cells were stably transfected using X-tremeGENE 9 Reagent (Roche) followed by isolation of single colonies after 4–6 weeks of geneticin selection. Transfected cells were routinely grown in selective medium (DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS and 0.55 mg/ml geneticin).

The MT2-MMP construct (Met1 to Val669 with an HA tag (human influenza hemagglutinin residues 98–106) in the linker 2 region (between Glu584 and Pro585) has been described previously (Hotary et al., 2000; Ota, Li, Hu, & Weiss, 2009).

2.3 | Soluble protein production and detection

To generate soluble MT1-MMP, sMT1-MMP was transiently transfected in COS-1 cells. Transfected COS-1 cells were cultured for 56 hr in serum-free OptiMEM. Conditioned medium was collected, concentrated 20-fold, and desalted using Ultracel[®]-30K centrifugal filters (Millipore, catalog # UFC903024). Concentrated samples were pooled and dialyzed against TSB buffer (50 mM Tris, 50 mM NaCl, 10 mM CaCl₂, 0.05% Brij-35, pH 7.5) using the Slide-A-Lyzer Dialysis Cassette 20K (Thermo Scientific, product # 66102) overnight at 4°C. Protein samples were resolved by reducing 12% SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and transferred onto a PVDF membrane. The membrane was blocked with 5% non-fat dry milk in PBS plus 0.1% Tween (PBST) for 1 hr at ambient temperature and then probed with an anti-MT1-MMP HPX domain mAb (Millipore, catalog # MAB3317) for 16 hr at 4°C. After extensive washing with PBST, the membrane was reprobed using goat antimouse IgG conjugated with horseradish peroxidase (HRP) (Millipore, catalog # AP124P) in PBST for 1 hr at ambient temperature. The blot was developed in a SuperSignal[®] West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate (Thermo Scientific).

To generate soluble MT2-MMP, MT2-MMP Met1 to Asn625 with an *N*-terminal His tag was stably transfected in MCF-7 cells as described (Hotary et al., 2000; Ota et al., 2009). FBS free media was collected, concentrated, and buffer exchanged to TSB buffer. The protein was then isolated using His trap resin, eluted with 100 mM imidazole, and dialyzed overnight in TSB buffer.

MT1-MMP and MT2-MMP were activated by incubation of the proMT-MMP in TSB buffer with 0.1 μ g/ml of rhTrypsin-3 for 1 hr (proMT1-MMP) or 2 hr (proMT2-MMP) at 37°C (Fu et al., 2013). After MT-MMP activation, remaining trypsin-3 activity was quenched by addition of 1 mM AEBSF (R&D Systems) and incubation for 15 min at room temperature. Immediately after activation, the enzyme was diluted in cold TSB buffer. Enzyme aliquots were kept on wet ice and used the same day.

2.4 | Cell extract preparation from stably transfected cells

Stably transfected MCF-7 cells with WT-MT1-MMP or its mutants were cultured in selective medium. For Western blot analysis, the cultured cells were directly lysed on the 6-well tissue culture plates using 200 µl/well of RIPA buffer with protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma, catalog # P8340). A tissue culture cell scraper was applied to each well and cell lysates were collected with a pipette and kept on ice. After centrifugation, the protein content of the supernatants was quantified by the Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Scientific) carried out in triplicate in wells of a 96-well plate. MT1-MMP production was confirmed by Western blot as described above using mAbs against either the MT1-MMP CAT domain or the MT1-MMP hinge domain (Millipore, catalog # ab6005 and ab6004, respectively) and goat anti-rabbit IgG-HRP (Genescript, catalog # A00098) as a secondary antibody. β-actin mAb BA2R (Pierce, catalog #MA5-15739) was used as a loading control.

2.5 | Soluble enzyme assay

Substrate stock solutions were prepared at various concentrations in TSB buffer containing 0.5% DMSO. MT1-MMP and MT2-MMP assays were conducted in TSB buffer by incubating a range of substrate concentrations $(0.05-30 \ \mu\text{M})$ with 7 and 9 nM enzyme, respectively, at 37°C. Fluorescence was measured on a multiwell plate fluorimeter (Biotek Synergy H1) using $\lambda_{\text{excitation}} = 324 \text{ nm}$ and $\lambda_{\text{emission}} = 405 \text{ nm}$. The same kinetic assay was also run in OptiMEM media as opposed to TSB buffer and showed no deviation in the kinetic parameters (data not shown). Rates of hydrolysis were obtained from plots of fluorescence versus time, using data points from the linear portion of the hydrolysis curve alone. The slope from these plots was divided by the fluorescence change corresponding to complete hydrolysis and then multiplied by the substrate concentration to obtain rates of hydrolysis in units of μ M/s. The relationship between the rate of hydrolysis and substrate concentration for the MT-MMP/ fTHP-9 pair for which individual kinetic parameters were determined was found to follow the Michaelis-Menten model. Kinetic parameters were evaluated by Lineweaver–Burk, Eadie-Hofstee, and Hanes-Woolf analyses. Data were additionally analyzed using nonlinear regression, one-site hyperbolic binding model with GraphPad Prism 5 software. All the values reported are mean \pm SD (n = 3). MMP substrate cleavage sites were established by MALDI-TOF MS and found to be consistent with previously published data (Minond et al., 2006).

2.6 | Cell surface-bound enzyme assay

The in situ enzyme assays were run in wells of a 384-well tissue culture treated opaque microplate (Greiner Bio-One, catalog # 781080). To minimize plate-based differences, the same plate type was used for both soluble and cell surfacebound enzyme assays. Stably transfected cells with passage number 3–8 were used in the assay. Cells (6×10^3 /well) were seeded in OptiMEM Medium and then incubated overnight at 37° C in a CO₂ incubator before the assay. The assays were carried out in serum-free OptiMEM with fTHP-9 dissolved in the same media with 0.5% DMSO in a total volume of 60 µl. Proteolytic activity was determined by calculating the percentage increase in fluorescence compared to the background signal provided by the corresponding dilution of the substrates with no cells using a multiwell plate fluorimeter (as described previously). Estimation of the active MT1-MMP and MT2-MMP enzyme levels was performed by TIMP-2 titration (Minond et al., 2006). Cells (6×10^3 /well) were incubated with a 1.5-200 nM concentration range of TIMP-2. By accounting for active protease concentrations, enzyme kinetics will not be affected by different levels of protein expression. The rate of hydrolysis was calculated as described above. All the values reported are mean $\pm SD$ (n = 3).

2.7 | Inhibition assay

Stock solutions of Gly Ψ {PO₂H-CH₂}Ile-Tyr THPI, marimastat, and fTHP-9 were prepared in OptiMEM media with 0.5% DMSO. Inhibitors were prepared over a 1 nM to 5 μ M concentration range. Cells (6 × 10³/well) were seeded in wells of a 384-well plate. Inhibitors were added to the wells and incubation proceeded for 45 min at 37°C. After incubation, 15 μ M of fTHP-9 was added to the wells and fluorescence was recorded for 30 min and an increase in relative fluorescence units (RFU) determined as described above. IC₅₀ values were determined using GRAPHPAD PRISM 5 software.

3 | RESULTS

Soluble MT1-MMP (sMT1-MMP; Figure 1) was isolated from the media of COS-1 cells transiently transfected with the sMT1-MMP cDNA. As expected, sMT1-MMP was secreted as both proenzyme (65 kDa) and activated (57 kDa) forms (Figure 2) (D'ortho et al., 1997; Pei & Weiss, 1996; Roderfeld, Büttner, Bartnik, & Tschesche, 2000). The proenzyme form of sMT1-MMP was then activated using rhTrypsin-3 for 1 hr, followed by trypsin-3 inactivation by addition of AEBSF. Trypsin activation of proMT1-MMP generates a single product at the *N*-terminus starting with Tyr112 (Will, Atkinson, Butler, Smith, & Murphy, 1996). This cleavage site was identical to that observed following the cellular



FIGURE 2 Protein expression, production, and purification of soluble MT1-MMP (sMT1-MMP). (a) SDS-PAGE analysis of purified protein. Protein was run on 12% SDS-PAGE gel under reducing conditions and (b) analyzed by Western blot with anti-MT1-MMP HPX domain mAb. Gel and Western blot analyses showed the proenzyme (65 kDa) and active (57 kDa) forms of sMT1-MMP

activation of proMT1-MMP by the trans-Golgi-associated serine proteinase furin (Pei & Weiss, 1996). Following TIMP-2 titration, 160 nM of the active enzyme was detected in the sMT1-MMP samples (40% of total protein). Initially, the single-stranded modified Knight substrate [Mca-Lys-Pro-Leu-Gly-Leu-Lys(Dnp)-Ala-Arg-NH₂] served as a control to assess activity. Hydrolysis of the substrate by sMT1-MMP proceeded with $k_{cat}/K_{\rm M} = 38,560 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, $K_{\rm M} = 21.3 \mu\text{M}$, and $k_{cat} = 0.82/\text{s}$. The recorded $k_{cat}/K_{\rm M}$ value was 2.6–3.7-fold higher compared to prior $k_{cat}/K_{\rm M}$ values obtained with other sMT1-MMP samples (Tyr¹¹²-Glu⁵²³, expressed in *Pichia pastoris*) and similar FRET substrates (Hurst et al., 2004).

Kinetic parameters ($K_{\rm M}$, $k_{\rm cat}$, and $k_{\rm cat}/K_{\rm M}$) were next determined for hydrolysis of the triple-helical substrate fTHP-9 by sMT1-MMP using a 384-well plate format. For sMT1-MMP hydrolysis of fTHP-9, $k_{\rm cat}/K_{\rm M} = 45,130 \,{\rm M}^{-1} \,{\rm s}^{-1}$, $K_{\rm M} = 18.6 \,\mu$ M, and $k_{\rm cat} = 0.9$ /s were recorded. To quantify the catalytic activity of cellular MT1-MMP, MCF-7 cells that express little, if any, endogenous MT1-MMP, were engineered to stably express the wild-type proteinase. High levels of MT1-MMP were confirmed in transfected cells relative to mock-transfected cells as determined by Western blotting (Figure 3), wherein 63- and 42-kDa protein bands were detected, corresponding to the active enzyme and its autocatalytically generated inactive fragment, respectively (Osenkowski, Toth, & Fridman, 2004; Schröder, Hoffman, Hecker, Korff, WILEY-

& Ludwig, 2013). The levels of MT1-MMP stably expressed in the MCF-7 cells were similar to those observed for MDA-MB-231 cells (data not shown), the latter of which endogenously expresses MT1-MMP (Lafleur et al., 2005). Parameters such as optimal cell number and suitable media for the assay were subsequently established. When the cell number was varied between 1.25×10^3 and 1.0×10^4 MT1-MMP transfectants/well and activity measured using fTHP-9, activity peaked at $5-6 \times 10^3$ cells/well (data not shown). For estimation of the levels of active enzyme mobilized to the cell surface, the hydrolytic activity of 6×10^3 cells/well was titrated with increasing concentrations of TIMP-2 (Zucker et al., 1998). Under these conditions, WT-MT1-MMP transfectants were estimated to express ~2.28 nM active proteinase, whereas control cells expressed ~0.96 nM of active metalloproteinase activity, likely representing endogenous proteases produced by MCF-7 cells (e.g., MT2-MT6-MMPs) that are also inhibited by TIMP-2 (Table 1). With the endogenous activity treated as background, stable transfection of MCF-7 cells resulted in the expression of approximately 7.95×10^6 active MT1-MMP molecules/cell ($(1.32 \times 10^{-9} \text{ moles/L})$) $\times (60 \times 10^{-6} \text{ L}) \times (6.022 \times 10^{23} \text{ molecules/mole}))/(6 \times 10^{3} \text{ molecules/mole}))$ cells)). This number is comparable to those reported in prior

TABLE 1 TIMP-2 titration for active enzyme evaluation

MT-MMP variant	MT-MMP (nM) per well
Mock cells	0.96 ± 0.09^{a}
WT-MT1-MMP	2.28 ± 0.18^{a}
$MT1-MMP(\Delta CT)$	4.46 ± 0.24^{a}
$MT1-MMP(\Delta HPX)$	3.38 ± 0.13^{a}
MT1-MMP(MMP-1 CAT)	3.32 ± 0.15^{a}
WT-MT2-MMP	4.61 ± 0.23^{a}

Note. Enzyme concentrations were determined as described in Section 2.6. ^aAlthough the determined cell surface enzyme concentrations are only \sim 2–4 times greater than the K_i value for the inhibitor (1.4 nM; Zucker et al., 1998), they are comparable to that determined by a different method for MT1-MMP expressed in the same cell line (see Results; Remacle et al., 2013).

studies using imaging approaches. For example, using the MP-3653 reporter (a PEG-liposome possessing a hydroxamic acid for MMP targeting and carboxyfluorescein for imaging) for quantification, MT1-MMP transfection of MCF-7 cells resulted in ~1.1 × 10⁶ molecules/cell (Remacle et al., 2013). MT1-MMP concentrations on uterus carcinoma SiHa cell surfaces were determined using gold nanoclusters containing MT1-AF7p (sequence Cys-Cys-Tyr-His-Trp-Lys-His-Leu-His-Asn-Thr-Lys-Thr-Phe-Leu) (Zhang et al., 2018), where MT1-AF7p binds to the MT1-MMP "MT-loop" region. MT1-MMP concentration was 5.24–12.47 × 10⁻¹⁸ moles per cell (3.16–7.51 × 10⁶ MT1-MMP molecules/cell).

Kinetic analyses demonstrated that WT-MT1-MMP displayed a $K_{\rm M} = 15.1 \,\mu$ M (Table 2), a value similar to that observed with sMT1-MMP (i.e., 18.6 μ M). Interestingly, the $k_{\rm cat}/K_{\rm M}$ value for the membrane-tethered enzyme was 4.8fold lower relative to that determined for the soluble enzyme (Table 2), based primarily on a $k_{\rm cat}$ for WT-MT1-MMP that was decreased sixfold relative to that of sMT1-MMP. These results suggest that, under similar experimental conditions, the membrane-anchored enzyme is less efficient collagenolytically compared with the soluble protease. As the effect is primarily a function of $k_{\rm cat}$, membrane-bound MT1-MMP may have limited conformational flexibility, a parameter that restricts the coupled motions required for catalysis (Watt, Shimada, Kovrigin, & Loria, 2007).

To identify putative effects of the individual MT1-MMP domains on catalytic activity, MT1-MMP mutants (MT1-MMP(Δ CT), MT1-MMP(Δ HPX), and MT1-MMP(MMP-1 CAT)) (see Figure 1) were each stably expressed in MCF-7 cells and the active protease levels quantified by TIMP-2 titration. MT1-MMP(Δ CT) transfected cells displayed a 2.0fold increase of the active enzyme at the cell surface relative to the wild-type construct (Table 1). These results are consistent with prior studies demonstrating that deletion of the CT delays endocytosis and consequently allows the mutant enzyme to accumulate at the cell surface, likely in the lipid raft compartment (Jiang et al., 2001; Remacle, Murphy, & Roghi, 2003), but contradict reports suggesting that the MT1-MMP

MT-MMP variant	$K_{\rm M}\left(\mu{ m M} ight)$	$k_{\rm cat}/K_{\rm M} ({\rm M}^{-1}{\rm s}^{-1})$	$k_{\text{cat}} (\text{s}^{-1})$
sMT1-MMP	18.6 ± 1.4	$45,130 \pm 6,641^{**}$	0.84
WT-MT1-MMP	15.1 ± 2.2	$9,315 \pm 3,262*$	0.14
MT1-MMP(Δ CT)	11.2 ± 0.79	$19,060 \pm 1,760^{**}$	0.21
MT1-MMP(Δ HPX)	23.4 ± 2.9	$8,430 \pm 608^{**}$	0.2
MT1-MMP(MMP-1 CAT)	36.8 ± 4.4	$2,237 \pm 367^{**}$	0.08
sMT2-MMP	2.41 ± 1.0	$43,180 \pm 51.1$	0.1 ± 0.032
WT-MT2-MMP	6.95 ± 0.81	$3,336 \pm 51.1$	0.03 ± 0.003

Note. Kinetic parameters were determined as described in Sections 2.5 and 2.6. Results are presented as mean \pm *SD*, as indicated. Statistical comparisons were performed with one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SIGMAPLOT v12.5 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL). Statistical significance was defined as *p < 0.05 and **p < 0.001.

TABLE 2 Kinetic parameters for fTHP-9 hydrolysis by secreted and membrane-anchored MT-MMP



CT plays a required role in regulating proteolytic activity at the cell surface (Uekita, Itoh, Yana, Ohno, & Seiki, 2001; Yu et al., 2012). MCF-7 cells expressing MT1-MMP(Δ HPX) also displayed higher levels of bound TIMP-2 when compared to cells expressing WT-MT1-MMP (Table 1), likely due to decreased MT1-MMP endocytosis, as the HPX domain can regulate MT1-MMP internalization as a function of its interactions with the tetraspanins (Schröder et al., 2013). Interestingly, cells expressing MT1-MMP(MMP-1 CAT) also had higher levels of the active enzyme compared with WT-MT1-MMP cells (Table 1). This may be due to the reduced ability of the MMP-1 CAT domain to support the autocatalytic cleavage of the chimera from the cell surface compared with the native MT1-MMP construct (see Figure 3) (Li et al., 2008; Osenkowski et al., 2004).

The active enzyme concentrations at the cell surface differed (Table 1), while Western blotting showed similar levels of protein production (Figure 3). However, the

Western blotting of transfected MCF-7 cells. MCF-7 FIGURE 3 cells stably transfected with the original pcDNA3.1 plasmid (Control) or WT-MT1-MMP, MT1-MMP(Δ CT), MT1-MMP(Δ HPX), or MT1-MMP(MMP-1 CAT) were lysed and samples identified by Western blotting with anti-MT1-MMP (a) CAT domain mAb or (b) hinge domain mAb. Western blot analysis showed the active (63 kDa) and autodegraded (42 kDa) forms of WT-MT1-MMP or MT1-MMP(Δ CT), as well as the active forms of MT1-MMP(MMP-1 CAT) (56 kDa) or MT1-MMP(Δ HPX) (42 kDa). In the case of MT1-MMP(Δ HPX), the protein observed at 63 kDa is natural production of WT-MT1-MMP by MCF-7 cells. The lowest MW degradation product in the WT-MT1-MMP and MT1-MMP(Δ CT) samples (~18 kDa) probably corresponds to the autocatalytically generated Tyr112-Ala255 MT1-MMP, which is inactive and does not bind TIMP-2 (Toth et al., 2002). The other degradation products observed in the MT1-MMP(Δ CT) sample (MW ~31-35 kDa) may be related to non-autocatalytic processing within the HPX domain (Toth et al., 2002). These fragments would be released from the cell surface (Osenkowski et al., 2004). Results shown are representative of three or more experiments performed. As observed previously, WT-MT1-MMP, MT1-MMP(\DeltaCT), and MT1-MMP(MMP-1 CAT) exhibit similar mobility in Western blot analysis (Cao et al., 2004; Li et al., 2008). The loading control was β-actin

Western blots were for cell lysates, and thus included both cell surface-bound and internalized enzyme. Biotinylation of cell surface proteins (Schröder et al., 2013) followed by Western blot analysis revealed higher levels of cell surface MT1-MMP(Δ CT) protein compared with WT-MT1-MMP (data not shown).

The kinetic parameters were next determined for fTHP-9 hydrolysis by each of the MT1-MMP mutants (Table 2). Deletion of the CT resulted in a small decrease in the K_M compared to the wild-type enzyme, whereas k_{cat} was substantially increased. Hence, the MT1-MMP CT does not play a required role in controlling the enzyme's catalytic activity. By contrast, in the absence of the HPX domain, the $K_{\rm M}$ value increased compared with the wild-type enzyme, supporting an important role for this domain in the binding to the collagen triple-helix (D'ortho et al., 1997; Li et al., 2008; Tam et al., 2004), while the k_{cat} value increased significantly. Nevertheless, these results demonstrate that the HPX domain, while capable of modulating MT1-MMP activity, is not required for the expression of collagenolytic activity (Li et al., 2008). When the CAT domain of MT1-MMP was replaced with that of MMP-1, fTHP-9 hydrolytic activity was likewise retained although with a twofold increase in $K_{\rm M}$ and a 50% decrease in k_{cat} (Table 2). Hence, though the structure of the chimeric enzyme may be negatively impacted by potential domain clashes between MT1-MMP and MMP-1, the membrane-anchored construct retains significant enzymatic activity against the triple-helical substrate, highlighting the fact that cell surface collagenolytic activity per se is not a unique characteristic of the MT1-MMP CAT domain (Li et al., 2008; Sabeh et al., 2009).

-WILEY-

To examine the effects of the cell surface on another MMP, the activity of MT2-MMP in solution and in cells was compared. MT2-MMP was found previously to catalyze the hydrolysis of fTHP-9 (Minond et al., 2006). In this case, soluble MT2-MMP was found to have much greater activity toward fTHP-9 than cell surface-bound MT2-MMP (Table 2).

Finally, to compare the efficacy of synthetic inhibitors toward soluble versus membrane-bound MT1-MMP, we utilized two well-characterized MMP inhibitors. Marimastat is a small molecule hydroxamate that chelates the active site Zn²⁺ (Whittaker, Floyd, Brown, & Gearing, 1999), whereas $Gly\Psi{PO_2H-CH_2}$ Ile-Tyr THPI is a transition state (phosphinate) analog that interacts with both the active site and secondary binding sites (exosites) of MT1-MMP (Lauer-Fields et al., 2009). Marimastat exhibited an IC₅₀ = 19 ± 3 nM for the soluble enzyme and an IC₅₀ = 36 ± 8 nM for membranebound WT-MT1-MMP as determined in the cell-based assay. $Gly\Psi{PO_2H-CH_2}Ile$ -Tyr THPI had an $IC_{50} = 20 \pm 4$ nM with the soluble enzyme and an $IC_{50} = 36 \pm 6$ nM with the membrane-bound WT-MT1-MMP. Thus, the potencies of both inhibitors were decreased ~twofold when comparing the cell surface-bound to the soluble form of MT1-MMP.

4 | DISCUSSION

Evaluating enzyme kinetics of proteinases tethered to the cell surface relative to those of soluble proteinases can provide insight into the roles of the membrane microenvironment in regulating catalytic activity (Black et al., 2003). To this end, we used a series of membrane-anchored and soluble forms of MT1-MMP to define the role of the cell surface and individual protease domains on MT1-MMP activity and catalytic efficiency. Enzyme activity was measured via a cell-compatible FRET assay, whereby an increase in fluorescence upon hydrolysis allowed for the rapid kinetic evaluation of the MT1-MMP proteolytic activity in an intact cell system. In the past, THP substrate models of triple-helical collagen have allowed for significant advancements in the characterization of collagenolysis (Arnold et al., 2011; Bertini et al., 2012; Lauer-Fields et al., 2009; Manka et al., 2012). The use of fTHP-9 is based on its high selectivity for MT1-MMP and MMP-8 compared with other collagenolytic MMPs as a consequence of the presence of Cys(Mob) in the P_1' subsite (Minond et al., 2006). Structural analyses of MMP S_1 binding pockets indicated that MT1-MMP can better accommodate large hydrophobic residues compared with MMP-1, based on the Arg²¹⁴ residue in MMP-1 versus Leu in MT1-MMP (Bode et al., 1999; Maskos, 2005). MT1-MMP is thus considered to have a deep, tunnel-like S₁' pocket, while MMP-1 has a shallow S₁' pocket (de Oliveira, Zissen, Mongon, & McCammon, 2007). Indeed, fTHP-9 was hydrolyzed at a rate 36-fold higher by MT1-MMP compared with MMP-1 (Minond et al., 2006). Further, in contrast to MT1-MMP, fTHP-9 is a very inefficient substrate for MMP-2 and MMP-9 (Minond et al., 2006). As MMP-2 and MMP-9 possess an intermediate-sized S_1' pocket (de Oliveira et al., 2007), these proteinases may not efficiently accommodate the Cys(Mob) side chain. Based on these advantageous parameters, fTHP-9 was used as a preferred substrate to quantify the collagenolytic activity of various MT1-MMP forms in our current study.

Soluble MT1-MMP exhibited a higher activity than cell surface-bound proteinase (Table 2), an expected result given that tethering of the proteinase to the cell surface restricts its diffusion to the soluble substrate (Itoh et al., 2006). However, the difference was manifested almost entirely in k_{cat} , suggesting that the cell surface modulates the coupled motions for catalysis (Watt et al., 2007). Protein motions and catalytic activity are likely linked in collagenolytic MMPs with prior studies supporting the existence of multiple coupled conformational states in MMP-1 (Bertini et al., 2012; Cerofolini et al., 2013; Lauer-Fields et al., 2009).

Soluble MT2-MMP was found to process fTHP-9 at a similar k_{cat}/K_M value as MT1-MMP (Table 2). This differs from the collagenolytic activities of these enzymes, where soluble MT1-MMP has much greater activity toward type I collagen than MT2-MMP (Morrison & Overall, 2006). The difference in activity between soluble and cell surface-bound MT2-MMP was greater than the difference for these two MT1-MMP constructs (Table 2). In this case, the difference between the MT2-MMP constructs was manifested in both k_{cat} and K_M . The results suggest that MT2-MMP is a far less efficient collagenolytic enzyme than MT1-MMP when bound to the cell surface. This result is consistent with observations for type I collagen invasion by COS-1 cells transiently transfected with MT1-MMP or MT2-MMP (Hotary et al., 2000).

The MT1-MMP constructs evaluated herein allowed for the examination of the roles of several individual domains on the overall collagenolytic activity of MT1-MMP. It has been shown previously that MT1-MMP undergoes clathrin-dependent internalization mediated via the CT (Itoh & Seiki, 2006; Lehti, Lohi, Juntunen, Pei, & Keski-Oja, 2002; Lehti, Valtanen, Wickstrom, Lohi, & Keski-Oja, 2000). However, the MT1-MMP CT has also been shown to interact with intracellular binding partners, such as the FAK-p130Cas complex, and to undergo post-translational modifications, including Tyr and Thr phosphorylation, Lys ubiquitination, and Cys palmitoylation (Anilkumar et al., 2005; Eisenach, de Sampaio, Murphy, & Roghi, 2012; Moss, Wu, Liu, Munshi, & Stack, 2009; Nyalendo et al., 2007; Wang & McNiven, 2012). While the CT has been reported to negatively regulate cell-mediated MT1-MMP proteolytic activity (Uekita et al., 2001; Yu et al., 2012), this domain did not play a required role in directly regulating proteolytic activity and the measured $K_{\rm M}$ values were not significantly different between WT-MT1-MMP and MT1-MMP(Δ CT) (Table 2). The enhanced activity of MT1-MMP(Δ CT) compared with WT-MT1-MMP may be the result of the internalization of the latter in a complex with substrates, reducing, as a result, the observed hydrolysis rate for the wild-type enzyme. In agreement with these findings, CT deletion has previously been shown to enhance the type I collagen-invasive potential of epithelial as well as mesenchymal cell populations (Jiang & Pei, 2003; Li et al., 2008; Sabeh et al., 2009). In addition, replacement of the CT and the TM domain of MT1-MMP with the glycosylphosphatidylinositol anchor of MT6-MMP enhanced MDCK cell invasion in a three-dimensional type I collagen matrix (Nie, Pei, Blumenthal, & Pei, 2007).

The MT1-MMP HPX domain has been reported to play critical roles in a range of functions, including the formation of MT1-MMP homodimers, MT1-MMP•CD44 and MT1-MMP•tetraspanin heterodimerization, and MT1-MMP•type I collagen-binding interactions (D'ortho et al., 1997; Mori et al., 2002; Murphy & Nagase, 2011; Tam et al., 2004; Tochowicz et al., 2011; Yañez-Mó et al., 2008; Zarrabi et al., 2011). However, deletion of the HPX domain only slightly reduced the k_{cat}/K_{M} value of the mutant enzyme relative to WT-MT1-MMP (Table 2). The reduction was entirely due to an increased $K_{\rm M}$ value. In solution, deletion of the HPX domain only reduced MT1-MMP activity toward the triple-helical substrate fTHP-15- to 3.5-fold (i.e., $k_{cat}/K_{M} = 26,700 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for soluble MT1-MMP versus 7.660 $M^{-1} s^{-1}$ for soluble MT1-MMP(Δ HPX)) (Zhao et al., 2015). Interestingly, despite changes in catalytic activity, these results are consistent with previous studies demonstrating the ability of MT1-MMP(Δ HPX) to support collagenolytic and tissue-invasive activities when expressed in COS cells or fibroblasts (Li et al., 2008; Sabeh et al., 2009). Thus, our data support the contention that the triple-helicase and collagen-binding activities of the HPX domain play contributory, but not absolute, roles for MT1-MMP function in intact cell systems (Li et al., 2008). Most likely, the HPX domain serves as a "modulator" of the CAT domain by enhancing activity through coupled motions (as discussed earlier) and/or dampening activity of hyperactive CAT domains (Gioia et al., 2002). Additional support for this latter notion is provided by the observation that the MT1-MMP CAT domain alone exhibits a 5.0- to 7.5-fold higher catalytic activity toward single-stranded synthetic substrates compared with the soluble full-length enzyme (Hurst et al., 2004; Neumann, Kubota, Frei, Ganu, & Leppert, 2004).

In our efforts to identify potentially unique properties associated with the MT1-MMP CAT domain, we replaced the wild-type CAT domain with that of secreted interstitial collagenase, MMP-1. MT1-MMP(MMP-1 CAT) had a ~4.2-fold reduced activity compared with WT-MT1-MMP (Table 2). This reduction was a result of both an increased K_M value and a decreased k_{cat} value. As previously reported, soluble MMP-1 had reduced activity toward fTHP-9 compared with soluble MT1-MMP (Minond et al., 2006). The magnitude of the difference was greater for the soluble enzymes, but the comparison is not straightforward, as the mutant we used included the MT1-MMP HPX domain (while the soluble MMP-1 has its native HPX domain). Though the collagenolytic activity of MT1-MMP(MMP-1 CAT) is about a half of that of WT-MT1-MMP (Li et al., 2008), this result is consistent with previous studies demonstrating that the collagen-invasive activity of fibroblasts, though retained to a significant degree, was decreased when the MMP-1 CAT domain was substituted for the MT1-MMP CAT domain (Sabeh et al., 2009).

Contributions from secondary binding sites within the MT1-MMP CAT domain and/or other cell surface biomolecules (such as integrins) may also promote MT1-MMP collagenolysis (Fields, 2013; Rowe & Weiss, 2009). The need for proper interaction of MT1-MMP with cell surface partners is suggested by a recent study of the 163-170 loop region within MT1-MMP CAT domain. This MT (membrane type)-loop region is present in the CAT domain of MT1-MT6-MMPs, but is absent in all other MMPs. Deletion of this loop has been reported to result in the mis-localization of MT1-MMP relative to β 1 integrin adhesion complexes with subsequent decreases in collagenolytic activity (Woskowicz, Weaver, Shitomi, Ito, & Itoh, 2013). However, these results stand in contrast with earlier reports that the MT-loop region does not play a required role in MT1-MMP-dependent collagenolysis (Li et al., 2008) and further studies are required to resolve these discrepancies.

Finally, the present approach allowed for the evaluation of the effectiveness of proteinase inhibitors at the cell surface. Both small molecule and mini-protein inhibitors were found to be active toward cell surface triple-helical peptidase activity, albeit with reduced efficiency compared with inhibition in solution. The inhibition of MMP cell surface activity has been quantified previously using fluoresceinconjugated gelatin (Diehl et al., 2011). However, gelatin is a substrate for multiple proteases, and MMPs were expressed on the surface of yeast cells (Diehl et al., 2011), which are quite different from mammalian cell surfaces. As several selective and/or secondary binding site (exosite) MT1-MMP inhibitors have been described (Levin, Udi, Solomonov, & Sagi, 2017; Ling et al., 2017; Pahwa et al., 2014; Santamaria & de Groot, 2018), the evaluation of activity at the cell surface will allow for examination of such inhibitors in a more native-like environment. This will also facilitate the development of inhibitors that may act indirectly on MT1-MMP activity. For example, MT1-MMP activity has been reported to be enhanced by tetraspanins

WILEY-C

(Lafleur, Xu, & Hemler, 2009; Yañez-Mó et al., 2008) and bilayer membranes (Cerofolini et al., 2016; Marcink et al., 2018), and thus inhibitors may be designed to mitigate those interactions. MT1-MMP inhibitors have been described that disrupt the association of the enzyme with β integrin subunits on the cell surface (Woskowicz et al., 2013; Yosef, Arkadash, & Papo, 2018).

The present cell-based assay could potentially be improved by creating a cell surface-bound substrate. To achieve this goal, lipid-like alkyl chains can be attached to the N-terminus of the MT1-MMP substrate fTHP-9. We have previously used this approach to stabilize fTHPs (Lauer-Fields, Sritharan, Stack, Nagase, & Fields, 2003; Minond et al., 2004, 2006). An MMP-12 FRET substrate has been anchored to the cell surface via palmitoylation (Cobos-Correa, Trojanek, Diemer, Mall, & Schultz, 2009). The previously discussed ECFP/ Ypet, mOrange2/mCherry, and ECFP-PEbody/R-PE MT1-MMP biosensors all incorporated the transmembrane domain of platelet-derived growth factor receptor to promote cell surface anchoring (Limsakul et al., 2018; Ouyang et al., 2008, 2010). Quasi-irreversible insertion into membranes can also be achieved via peptide modification by cholesterol, whereby cholesteryl chloroformate or cholest-5-en-3-yl bromoacetate is used to attach cholesterol to the N-terminus of the peptide or the side chain of a Cys residue, respectively (Ingallinella et al., 2009; Li et al., 2013). With a surface-bound substrate, one can minimize diffusion effects and increase the local enzyme•substrate concentration. A potential drawback is that the surface-bound substrate might disrupt interactions between the enzyme and native cell surface binding partners (see above). Future studies can be designed to evaluate soluble versus cell surface-bound substrates in the MT1-MMP cell-based assay described herein.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are most appreciative of Dr. Stanley Zucker's scientific input and review of the manuscript. This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (CA098799 and NHLBI contract 268201000036C to G.B.F., CA088308 and CA071699 to S.J.W.), the James and Esther King Biomedical Research Program (to G.B.F.), the US-Israel Binational Science Foundation (BSF) (to G.B.F.), and the State of Florida, Executive Office of the Governor's Department of Economic Opportunity.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors have no conflicts of interest.

ORCID

Gregg B. Fields D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7126-1601

REFERENCES

- Anilkumar, N., Uekita, T., Couchman, J. R., Nagase, H., Seiki, M., & Itoh, Y. (2005). Palmitoylation at Cys574 is essential for MT1-MMP to promote cell migration. *FASEB Journal*, 19, 1326–1328. https:// doi.org/10.1096/fj.04-3651fje
- Arnold, L. H., Butt, L., Prior, S. H., Read, C., Fields, G. B., & Pickford, A. R. (2011). The Interface between catalytic and hemopexin domains in matrix metalloproteinase 1 conceals a collagen binding exosite. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 286, 45073–45082. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M111.285213
- Beckett, R. P., & Whittaker, M. (1998). Matrix metalloproteinase inhibitors 1998. Expert Opinion on Therapeutic Patents, 8, 259–282. https://doi.org/10.1517/13543776.8.3.259
- Bertini, I., Fragai, F., Luchinat, C., Melikian, M., Toccafondi, M., Lauer, J. L., & Fields, G. B. (2012). Structural basis for matrix metalloproteinase 1 catalyzed collagenolysis. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 134, 2100–2110. https://doi. org/10.1021/ja208338j
- Bhowmick, M., & Fields, G. B. (2012). Synthesis of Fmoc-Gly-Ile phosphinic pseudodipeptide: Residue specific conditions for construction of matrix metalloproteinase inhibitor building blocks. *International Journal of Peptide Research and Therapeutics*, 18, 335–339. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10989-012-9307-y
- Bhowmick, M., Tokmina-Roszyk, D., Onwuha-Ekpete, L., Harmon, K., Robichaud, T., Fuerst, R., ... Fields, G. B. (2017). Second generation triple-helical peptide transition state analog matrix metalloproteinase inhibitors. *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, 60, 3814–3827. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.7b00018
- Black, R. A., Doedens, J. R., Mahimkar, R., Johnson, R., Guo, L., Wallace, A., ... Paxton, R. (2003). Substrate specificity and inducibility of TACE (tumour necrosis factor α-converting enzyme) revisited: The Ala-Val preference, and induced intrinsic activity. *Biochemical Society Symposia*, 70, 39–52. https://doi.org/10.1042/ bss0700039
- Bode, W., Fernandez-Catalan, C., Tschesche, H., Grams, F., Nagase, H., & Maskos, K. (1999). Structural properties of matrix metalloproteinases. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences*, 55, 639–652. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s000180050320
- Cao, J., Chiarelli, C., Richman, O., Zarrabi, K., Kozarekar, P., & Zucker, S. (2008). Membrane type 1 matrix metalloproteinase induces epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition in prostate cancer. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 283, 6232–6240. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc. M705759200
- Cao, J., Kozarekar, P., Pavlaki, M., Chiarelli, C., Bahou, W. F., & Zucker, S. (2004). Distinct roles for the catalytic and hemopexin domains of membrane type 1-matrix metalloproteinase in substrate degradation and cell migration. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 279, 14129–14139. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc. M312120200
- Castro-Castro, A., Marchesin, V., Monteiro, P., Lodillinsky, C., Rossé, C., & Chavrier, P. (2016). Cellular and molecular mechanisms of MT1-MMP-dependent cancer cell invasion. *Annual Review of Cell* and Developmental Biology, 32, 555–576. https://doi.org/10.1146/ annurev-cellbio-111315-125227
- Cerofolini, L., Amar, S., Lauer, J. L., Martelli, T., Fragai, M., Luchinat, C., & Fields, G. B. (2016). Bilayer membrane modulation of membrane type 1 matrix metalloproteinase (MT1-MMP) structure and proteolytic activity. *Scientific Reports*, 6, 29511. https://doi. org/10.1038/srep29511

- Cerofolini, L., Fields, G. B., Fragai, M., Geraldes, C. F. G. C., Luchinat, C., Parigi, G., ... Teixeira, J. M. (2013). Examination of matrix metalloproteinase-1 (MMP-1) in solution: A preference for the precollagenolysis state. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 288, 30659– 30671. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M113.477240
- Cobos-Correa, A., Trojanek, J. B., Diemer, S., Mall, M. A., & Schultz, C. (2009). Membrane-bound FRET probe visualizes MMP12 activity in pulmonary inflammation. *Nature Chemical Biology*, 5, 628– 630. https://doi.org/10.1038/nchembio.196
- de Oliveira, C. A., Zissen, M., Mongon, J., & McCammon, J. A. (2007). Molecular dynamics simulations of metalloproteinases types 2 and 3 reveal differences in the dynamic behavior of the S₁' binding pocket. *Current Pharmaceutical Design*, 13, 3471–3475. https://doi. org/10.2174/138161207782794211
- Diehl, B., Hoffmann, T. M., Mueller, N. C., Burkhart, J. L., Kazmaier, U., & Schmitt, M. J. (2011). Novel yeast bioassay for high-throughput screening of matrix metalloproteinase inhibitors. *Applied and Environment Microbiology*, 77, 8573–8577. https://doi.org/10.1128/ AEM.06111-11
- D'ortho, M. P., Will, H., Atkinson, S., Butler, G., Messent, A., Gavrilovic, J., ... Murphy, G. (1997). Membrane-type matrix metalloproteinases 1 and 2 exhibit broad-spectrum proteolytic capacities comparable to many matrix metalloproteinases. *European Journal of Biochemistry*, 250, 751–757. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1432-1033.1997.00751.x
- Eisenach, P. A., de Sampaio, P. C., Murphy, G., & Roghi, C. (2012). Membrane type 1 matrix metalloproteinase (MT1-MMP) ubiquitination at Lys581 increases cellular invasion through type I collagen. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 287, 11533–11545. https://doi. org/10.1074/jbc.M111.306340
- Fields, G. B. (2013). Interstitial collagen catabolism. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 288, 8785–8793. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc. R113.451211
- Fisher, K. E., Sacharidou, A., Stratman, A. N., Mayo, A. M., Fisher, S. B., Mahan, R. D., ... Davis, G. E. (2009). MT1-MMP- and Cdc42dependent signaling co-regulate cell invasion and tunnel formation in 3D collagen matrices. *Journal of Cell Science*, 122, 4558–4569. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.050724
- Fu, H. L., Sohail, A., Valiathan, R. R., Wasinski, B. D., Kumarasiri, M., Mahasenan, K. V., ... Fridman, R. (2013). Shedding of discoidin domain receptor 1 by membrane-type matrix metalloproteinases. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 288, 12114–12129. https://doi. org/10.1074/jbc.M112.409599
- Gioia, M., Fasciglione, G. F., Marini, S., D'Alessio, S., De Sanctis, G., Diekmann, O., ... Coletta, M. (2002). Modulation of the catalytic activity of neutrophil collagenase MMP-8 on bovine collagen I. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 277, 23123–23130. https://doi. org/10.1074/jbc.M110873200
- Giricz, O., Lauer, J. L., & Fields, G. B. (2011). Comparison of metalloproteinase protein and activity profiling. *Analytical Biochemistry*, 409, 37–45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2010.09.040
- Hotary, K., Allen, E., Punturieri, A., Yana, I., & Weiss, S. J. (2000). Regulation of cell invasion and morphogenesis in a threedimensional type I collagen matrix by membrane-type matrix metalloproteinases 1, 2, and 3. *Journal of Cell Biology*, *149*, 1309–1323. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.149.6.1309
- Hurst, D. R., Schwartz, M. A., Ghaffari, M. A., Jin, Y., Tschesche, H., Fields, G. B., & Sang, Q. X. (2004). Catalytic- and ecto-domains of membrane type 1-matrix metalloproteinase have similar inhibition

profiles but distinct endopeptidase activities. *The Biochemical Journal*, 377, 775–779. https://doi.org/10.1042/bj20031067

🔊 – WILFY-

- Ingallinella, P., Bianchi, E., Ladwa, N. A., Wang, Y. J., Hrin, R., Veneziano, M., ... Pessi, A. (2009). Addition of a cholesterol group to an HIV-1 peptide fusion inhibitor dramatically increases its antiviral potency. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 106, 5801–5806. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.0901007106
- Itoh, Y., Ito, N., Nagase, H., Evans, R. D., Bird, S. A., & Seiki, M. (2006). Cell surface collagenolysis requires homodimerization of the membrane-bound collagenase MT1-MMP. *Molecular Biology of the Cell*, 17, 5390–5399. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.e06-08-0740
- Itoh, Y., & Seiki, M. (2006). MT1-MMP: A potent modifier of pericellular microenvironment. *Journal of Cellular Physiology*, 206, 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.20431
- Itoh, Y., Takamura, A., Ito, N., Maru, Y., Sato, H., Suenaga, N., ... Seiki, M. (2001). Homophilic complex formation of MT1-MMP facilitates proMMP-2 activation on the cell surface and promotes tumor cell invasion. *EMBO Journal*, 20, 4782–4793. https://doi.org/10.1093/ emboj/20.17.4782
- Jabaiah, A., & Daugherty, P. S. (2011). Directed evolution of protease beacons that enable sensitive detection of endogenous MT1-MMP activity in tumor cell lines. *Chemistry & Biology*, 18, 392–401. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chembiol.2010.12.017
- Jiang, A., Lehti, K., Wang, X., Weiss, S. J., Keski-Oja, J., & Pei, D. (2001). Regulation of membrane-type matrix metalloproteinase 1 activity by dynamin-mediated endocytosis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 98, 13693–13698. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.241293698
- Jiang, A., & Pei, D. (2003). Distinct roles of catalytic and pexin-like domains in membrane-type matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)mediated pro-MMP-2 activation and collagenolysis. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 278, 38765–38771. https://doi.org/10.1074/ jbc.M306618200
- Knight, C. G., Willenbrock, F., & Murphy, G. (1992). A novel coumarin-labelled peptide for sensitive continuous assays of the matrix metalloproteinases. *FEBS Letters*, 296, 263–266. https://doi. org/10.1016/0014-5793(92)80300-6
- Köhrmann, A., Kammerer, U., Kapp, M., Dietl, J., & Anacker, J. (2009). Expression of matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) in primary human breast cancer and breast cancer cell lines: New findings and review of the literature. *BMC Cancer*, 9, 188. https://doi. org/10.1186/1471-2407-9-188
- Koike, T., Vernon, R. B., Hamner, M. A., Sadoun, E., & Reed, M. J. (2002). MT1-MMP, but not secreted MMPs, influences the migration of human microvascular endothelial cells in 3-dimensional collagen gels. *Journal of Cellular Biochemistry*, 86, 748–758. https:// doi.org/10.1002/(ISSN)1097-4644
- Lafleur, M. A., Drew, A. F., de Sousa, E. L., Blick, T., Bills, M., Walker, E. C., ... Thompson, E. W. (2005). Upregulation of matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) in breast cancer xenografts: A major induction of stromal MMP-13. *International Journal of Cancer*, 114, 544– 554. https://doi.org/10.1002/(ISSN)1097-0215
- Lafleur, M. A., Xu, D., & Hemler, M. E. (2009). Tetraspanin proteins regulate membrane type-1 matrix metalloproteinase-dependent pericellular proteolysis. *Molecular Biology of the Cell*, 20, 2030–2040. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.e08-11-1149
- Lauer-Fields, J. L., Brew, K., Whitehead, J. K., Li, S., Hammer, R. P., & Fields, G. B. (2007). Triple-helical transition-state analogs: A

WILEY-C

new class of selective matrix metalloproteinase inhibitors. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, *129*, 10408–10417. https://doi. org/10.1021/ja0715849

- Lauer-Fields, J. L., Chalmers, M. J., Busby, S. A., Minond, D., Griffin, P. R., & Fields, G. B. (2009). Identification of specific hemopexin-like domain residues that facilitate matrix metalloproteinase collagenolytic activity. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 284, 24017–24024. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M109.016873
- Lauer-Fields, J. L., Sritharan, T., Stack, M. S., Nagase, H., & Fields, G. B. (2003). Selective hydrolysis of triple-helical substrates by matrix metalloproteinase-2 and -9. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 278, 18140–18145. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M211330200
- Lehti, K., Lohi, J., Juntunen, M. M., Pei, D., & Keski-Oja, J. (2002). Oligomerization through hemopexin and cytoplasmic domains regulates the activity and turnover of membrane-type 1 matrix metalloproteinase. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 277, 8440–8448. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M109128200
- Lehti, K., Valtanen, H., Wickstrom, S. A., Lohi, J., & Keski-Oja, J. (2000). Regulation of membrane-type-1 matrix metalloproteinase activity by its cytoplasmic domain. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 275, 15006–15013. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc. M910220199
- Leight, J. L., Alge, D. L., Maier, A. J., & Anseth, K. S. (2013). Direct measurement of matrix metalloproteinase activity in 3D cellular microenvironments using a fluorogenic peptide substrate. *Biomaterials*, 34, 7344–7352. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2013.06.023
- Levin, M., Udi, Y., Solomonov, I., & Sagi, I. (2017). Next generation matrix metalloproteinase inhibitors - Novel strategies bring new prospects. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*, 1864, 1927–1939. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamcr.2017.06.009
- Li, X.-Y., Ota, I., Yana, I., Sabeh, F., & Weiss, S. J. (2008). Molecular dissection of the structural machinery underlying the tissue-invasive activity of membrane type-1 matrix metalloproteinase. *Molecular Biology of the Cell*, 19, 3221–3233. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc. e08-01-0016
- Li, C. G., Tang, W., Chi, X. J., Dong, Z. M., Wang, X. X., & Wang, X. J. (2013). A cholesterol tag at the N terminus of the relatively broad-spectrum fusion inhibitory peptide targets an earlier stage of fusion glycoprotein activation and increases the peptide's antiviral potency in vivo. *Journal of Virology*, 87, 9223–9232. https://doi. org/10.1128/JVI.01153-13
- Limsakul, P., Peng, Q., Wu, Y., Allen, M. E., Liang, J., Remacle, A. G., ... Wang, Y. (2018). Directed evolution to engineer monobody for FRET biosensor assembly and imaging at live-cell surface. *Cell Chemical Biology*, 25, 370–379. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. chembiol.2018.01.002
- Ling, B., Watt, K., Banerjee, S., Newsted, D., Truesdell, P., Adams, J., ... Craig, A. W. B. (2017). A novel immunotherapy targeting MMP-14 limits hypoxia, immune suppression and metastasis in triplenegative breast cancer models. *Oncotarget*, *8*, 58372–58385.
- Lu, S., Wang, Y., Huang, H., Pan, Y., Chaney, E. J., Boppart, S. A., ... Wang, Y. (2013). Quantitative FRET imaging to visualize the invasiveness of live breast cancer cells. *PLoS ONE*, *8*, e58569. https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0058569
- Manka, S. W., Carafoli, F., Visse, R., Bihan, D., Raynal, N., Farndale, R. W., ... Nagase, H. (2012). Structural insights into triple-helical collagen cleavage by matrix metalloproteinase 1. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 109, 12461–12466. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1204991109

- Marcink, T. C., Simoncic, J. A., An, B., Knapinska, A. M., Fulcher, Y. G., Akkaladevi, N., ... Van Doren, S. R. (2018). MT1-MMP binds membranes by opposite tips of its β-propeller to position it for pericellular proteolysis. *Structure*, in press. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. str.2018.10.008
- Maskos, K. (2005). Crystal structures of MMPs in complex with physiological and pharmacological inhibitors. *Biochimie*, 87, 249–263. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biochi.2004.11.019
- Minond, D., Lauer-Fields, J. L., Cudic, M., Overall, C. M., Pei, D., Brew, K., ... Fields, G. B. (2006). The roles of substrate thermal stability and P₂ and P₁' subsite identity on matrix metalloproteinase triple-helical peptidase activity and collagen specificity. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 281, 38302–38313. https://doi.org/10.1074/ jbc.M606004200
- Minond, D., Lauer-Fields, J. L., Nagase, H., & Fields, G. B. (2004). Matrix metalloproteinase triple-helical peptidase activities are differentially regulated by substrate stability. *Biochemistry*, 43, 11474– 11481. https://doi.org/10.1021/bi048938i
- Mori, H., Tomari, T., Koshikawa, N., Kajita, M., Itoh, Y., Sato, H., ... Seiki, M. (2002). CD44 directs membrane-type I matrix metalloproteinase to lamellipodia by associating with its hemopexin-like domain. *EMBO Journal*, 21, 3949–3959. https://doi.org/10.1093/ emboj/cdf411
- Morrison, C. J., & Overall, C. M. (2006). TIMP-independence of MMP-2 activation by MT2-MMP is determined by contributions of both the MT2-MMP catalytic and hemopexin domains. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 281, 26528–26539. https://doi.org/10.1074/ jbc.M603331200
- Moss, N. M., Wu, Y. I., Liu, Y., Munshi, H. G., & Stack, M. S. (2009). Modulation of the membrane type 1 matrix metalloproteinase cytoplasmic tail enhances tumor cell invasion and proliferation in three-dimensional collagen matrices. *Journal* of Biological Chemistry, 284, 19791–19799. https://doi. org/10.1074/jbc.M109.020362
- Murphy, G., & Nagase, H. (2011). Localizing matrix metalloproteinase activities in the pericellular environment. *FEBS Journal*, 278, 2–15. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-4658.2010.07918.x
- Nagase, H., Fields, C. G., & Fields, G. B. (1994). Design and characterization of a fluorogenic substrate selectively hydrolyzed by stromelysin 1 (matrix metalloproteinase-3). *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 269, 20952–20957.
- Neumann, U., Kubota, H., Frei, K., Ganu, V., & Leppert, D. (2004). Characterization of Mca-Lys-Pro-Leu-Gly-Leu-Dpa-Ala-Arg-NH₂, a fluorogenic substrate with increased specificity constants for collagenases and tumor necrosis factor converting enzyme. *Analytical Biochemistry*, 328, 166–173. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ab.2003.12.035
- Nie, J., Pei, J., Blumenthal, M., & Pei, D. (2007). Complete restoration of cell surface activity of transmembrane-truncated MT1-MMP by a glycosylphosphatidylinositol anchor. Implications for MT1-MMP-mediated prommp2 activation and collagenolysis in threedimensions. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 282, 6438–6443. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M607337200
- Nyalendo, C., Michaud, M., Beaulieu, E., Roghi, C., Murphy, G., Gingras, D., & Béliveau, R. (2007). Src-dependent phosphorylation of membrane type I matrix metalloproteinase on cytoplasmic tyrosine 573: Role in endothelial and tumor cell migration. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 282, 15690–15699. https://doi.org/10.1074/ jbc.M608045200

- Osenkowski, P., Toth, M., & Fridman, R. (2004). Processing, shedding, and endocytosis of membrane type 1-matrix metalloproteinase (MT1-MMP). *Journal of Cellular Physiology*, 200, 2–10. https:// doi.org/10.1002/(ISSN)1097-4652
- Ota, I., Li, X.-Y., Hu, Y., & Weiss, S. J. (2009). Induction of a MT1-MMP and MT2-MMP-dependent basement membrane transmigration program in cancer cells by Snail1. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 106, 20318– 20323. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0910962106
- Ouyang, M., Huang, H., Shaner, N. C., Remacle, A. G., Shiryaev, S. A., Strongin, A. Y., ... Wang, Y. (2010). Simultaneous visualization of protumorigenic Src and MT1-MMP activities with fluorescence resonance energy transfer. *Cancer Research*, 70, 2204–2212. https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-09-3698
- Ouyang, M., Lu, S., Li, X.-Y., Xu, J., Seong, J., Glepmans, B. N. G., ... Wang, Y. (2008). Visualization of polarized membrane type 1 matrix metalloproteinase activity in live cells by fluorescence resonance energy transfer imaging. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 283, 17740–17748. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M709872200
- Pahwa, S., Stawikowski, M. J., & Fields, G. B. (2014). Monitoring and inhibiting MT1-MMP during cancer initiation and progression. *Cancers*, 6, 416–435. https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers6010416
- Pei, D., & Weiss, S. J. (1995). Furin-dependent intracellular activation of the human stromelysin-3 zymogen. *Nature*, 375, 244–247. https:// doi.org/10.1038/375244a0
- Pei, D., & Weiss, S. J. (1996). Transmembrane-deletion mutants of the membrane-type matrix metalloproteinase-1 process progelatinase A and express intrinsic matrix-degrading activity. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 271, 9135–9140. https://doi.org/10.1074/ jbc.271.15.9135
- Rasmussen, H. S., & McCann, P. P. (1997). Matrix metalloproteinase inhibition as a novel anticancer strategy: A review with special focus on batimastat and marimastat. *Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, 75, 69–75. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0163-7258(97)00023-5
- Remacle, A., Murphy, G., & Roghi, C. (2003). Membrane type I-matrix metalloproteinase (MT1-MMP) is internalised by two different pathways and is recycled to the cell surface. *Journal of Cell Science*, *116*, 3905–3916. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.00710
- Remacle, A. G., Shiryaev, S. A., Golubkov, V. S., Freskos, J. N., Brown, M. A., Karwa, A. S., ... Strongin, A. Y. (2013). Non-destructive and selective imaging of the functionally active, pro-invasive membrane type-1 matrix metalloproteinase (MT1-MMP) enzyme in cancer cells. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 288, 20568–20580. https:// doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M113.471508
- Roderfeld, M., Büttner, F. H., Bartnik, E., & Tschesche, H. (2000). Expression of human membrane type 1 matrix metalloproteinase in *Pichia pastoris. Protein Expression and Purification*, 19, 369–374. https://doi.org/10.1006/prep.2000.1259
- Rowe, R. G., & Weiss, S. J. (2009). Navigating ECM barriers at the invasive front: The cancer cell-stroma interface. *Annual Review of Cell* and Developmental Biology, 25, 567–595. https://doi.org/10.1146/ annurev.cellbio.24.110707.175315
- Rozanov, D. V., Deryugina, E. I., Ratnikov, B. I., Monosov, E. Z., Marchenko, G. N., Quigley, J. P., & Strongin, A. Y. (2001). Mutation analysis of membrane type-1 matrix metalloproteinase (MT1-MMP). The role of the cytoplasmic tail Cys(574), the active site Glu(240), and furin cleavage motifs in oligomerization, processing, and self-proteolysis of MT1-MMP expressed in breast carcinoma

cells. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 276, 25705–25714. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M007921200

🔊 – Wilfy

- Sabeh, F., Li, X.-Y., Saunders, T. L., Rowe, R. G., & Weiss, S. J. (2009). Secreted versus membrane-anchored collagenases: Relative roles in fibroblast-dependent collagenolysis and invasion. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 284, 23001–23011. https://doi.org/10.1074/ jbc.M109.002808
- Sabeh, F., Ota, I., Holmbeck, K., Birkedal-Hansen, H., Soloway, P., Balbin, M., ... Weiss, S. J. (2004). Tumor cell traffic through the extracellular matrix is controlled by the membrane-anchored collagenase MT1-MMP. *Journal of Cell Biology*, 167, 769–781. https:// doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200408028
- Santamaria, S., & de Groot, R. (2018). Monoclonal antibodies against metzincin targets. *British Journal of Pharmacology*, in press. https:// doi.org/10.1111/bph.14186
- Schröder, H. M., Hoffman, S., Hecker, M., Korff, T., & Ludwig, T. (2013). The tetraspanin network modulates MT1-MMP cell surface trafficking. *International Journal of Biochemistry & Cell Biology*, 45, 1133–1144. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocel.2013.02.020
- Szabova, L., Chrysovergis, K., Yamada, S. S., & Holmbeck, K. (2007). MT1-MMP is required for efficient tumor dissemination in experimental metastatic disease. *Oncogene*, 27, 3274–3281.
- Talmi-Frank, D., Altboum, Z., Solomonov, I., Udi, Y., Jaitin, D. A., Klepfish, M., ... Sagi, I. (2016). Extracellular matrix proteolysis by MT1-MMP contributes to influenza-related tissue damage and mortality. *Cell Host & Microbe*, 20, 458–470. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. chom.2016.09.005
- Tam, E. M., Moore, T. R., Butler, G. S., & Overall, C. M. (2004). Characterization of the distinct collagen binding, helicase and cleavage mechanisms of matrix metalloproteinase 2 and 14 (gelatinase A and MT1-MMP): The differential roles of the MMP hemopexin C domains and the MMP-2 fibronectin type II modules in collagen triple helicase activities. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 279, 43336–43344. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M407186200
- Tang, Y., Rowe, R. G., Botvinick, E. L., Kurup, A., Putnam, A. J., Seiki, M., ... Weiss, S. J. (2013). MT1-MMP-dependent control of skeletal stem cell commitment via a β1-integrin/YAP/TAZ signaling axis. *Developmental Cell*, 25, 402–416. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. devcel.2013.04.011
- Tochowicz, A., Goettig, P., Evans, R., Visse, R., Shitomi, Y., Palmisano, R., ... Itoh, Y. (2011). The dimer interface of the membrane type 1 matrix metalloproteinase hemopexin domain: Crystal structure and biological functions. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 286, 7587– 7600. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M110.178434
- Toth, M., Hernandez-Barrantes, S., Osenkowski, P., Bernardo, M. M., Gervasi, D. C., Shimura, Y., ... Fridman, R. (2002). Complex pattern of membrane type I matrix metalloproteinase shedding. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 277, 26340–26350. https://doi.org/10.1074/ jbc.M200655200
- Uekita, T., Itoh, Y., Yana, I., Ohno, H., & Seiki, M. (2001). Cytoplasmic tail-dependent internalization of membrane-type 1 matrix metalloproteinase is important for its invasion-promoting activity. *Journal of Cell Biology*, 155, 1345–1356. https://doi.org/10.1083/ jcb.200108112
- Wang, Y., & McNiven, M. A. (2012). Invasive matrix degradation at focal adhesions occurs via protease recruitment by a FAK-p130Cas complex. *Journal of Cell Biology*, *196*, 375–385. https://doi. org/10.1083/jcb.201105153

WILEY-Cas

- Watt, E. D., Shimada, H., Kovrigin, E., & Loria, J. P. (2007). The mechanism of rate-limiting motions in enzyme function. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 104, 11981–11986. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0702551104
- Weaver, S. A., Wolters, B., Ito, N., Woskowicz, A. M., Kaneko, K., Shitomi, Y., ... Itoh, Y. (2014). Basal localization of MT1-MMP is essential for epithelial cell morphogenesis in 3D collagen matrix. *Journal of Cell Science*, 127, 1203–1213. https://doi.org/10.1242/ jcs.135236
- Whittaker, M., Floyd, C. D., Brown, P., & Gearing, A. J. H. (1999). Design and therapeutic application of matrix metalloproteinase inhibitors. *Chemical Reviews*, 99, 2735–2776. https://doi.org/10.1021/ cr9804543
- Will, H., Atkinson, S. J., Butler, G. S., Smith, B., & Murphy, G. (1996). The soluble catalytic domain of membrane type 1 matrix metalloproteinase cleaves the propeptide of progelatinase a and initiates autoproteolytic activation. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 271, 17119–17123. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.271.29.17119
- Wolf, K., Wu, Y. I., Liu, Y., Geiger, J., Tam, E., Overall, C., ... Friedl, P. (2007). Multi-step pericellular proteolysis controls the transition from individual to collective cancer cell invasion. *Nature Cell Biology*, 9, 893–904. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb1616
- Woskowicz, A. M., Weaver, S. A., Shitomi, Y., Ito, N., & Itoh, Y. (2013). MT-LOOP-dependent localization of membrane type I matrix metalloproteinase (MT1-MMP) to the cell adhesion complexes promotes cancer cell invasion. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 288, 35126–35137. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M113.496067
- Yañez-Mó, M., Barreiro, O., Gonzalo, P., Batista, A., Megías, D., Genís, L., ... Sánchez-Madrid, F. (2008). MT1-MMP collagenolytic activity is regulated through association with tetraspanin CD151 in primary endothelial cells. *Blood*, 112, 3217–3226.
- Yosef, G., Arkadash, V., & Papo, N. (2018). Targeting the MMP-14/ MMP-2/integrin αvβ3 axis with multispecific N-TIMP2-based antagonists for cancer therapy. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 293, 13310–13326. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA118.004406
- Yu, X., Zech, T., McDonald, L., Gonzalez, E. G., Li, A., Macpherson, I., ... Machesky, L. M. (2012). N-WASP coordinates the delivery and

F-actin-mediated capture of MT1-MMP at invasive pseudopods. *Journal of Cell Biology*, *199*, 527–544. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201203025

- Zarrabi, K., Dufour, A., Li, J., Kuscu, C., Pulkoski-Gross, A., Zhi, J., ... Cao, J. (2011). Inhibition of matrix metalloproteinase-14 (MMP-14)-mediated cancer cell migration. *Journal* of Biological Chemistry, 286, 33167–33177. https://doi. org/10.1074/jbc.M111.256644
- Zhang, X., Liu, R., Shu, Q., Yuan, Q., Xing, G., & Gao, X. (2018). Quantitative analysis of multiple proteins of different invasive tumor cell lines at the same single-cell level. *Small (Weinheim an der Bergstrasse, Germany)*, 14, e1703684. https://doi.org/10.1002/ smll.201703684
- Zhang, W., Matrisian, L. M., Holmbeck, K., Vick, C. C., & Rosenthal, E. L. (2006). Fibroblast-derived MT1-MMP promotes tumor progression in vitro and in vivo. *BMC Cancer*, 6, 52. https://doi. org/10.1186/1471-2407-6-52
- Zhao, Y., Marcink, T., Gari, R. R. S., Marsh, B. P., King, G. M., Stawikowska, R., ... Van Doren, S. R. (2015). Transient collagen triple helix binding to a key metalloproteinase in invasion and development. *Structure*, 23, 257–269. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. str.2014.11.021
- Zucker, S., Drews, M., Conner, C., Foda, H. D., DeClerck, Y. A., Langley, K. E., ... Cao, J. (1998). Tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase-2 (TIMP-2) binds to the catalytic domain of the cell surface receptor, membrane type 1-matrix metalloproteinase (MT1-MMP). *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 273, 1216–1222. https://doi. org/10.1074/jbc.273.2.1216

How to cite this article: Pahwa S, Bhowmick M, Amar S, et al. Characterization and regulation of MT1-MMP cell surface-associated activity. *Chem Biol Drug Des.* 2019;93:1251–1264. <u>https://doi.</u> org/10.1111/cbdd.13450