

## BRIEF COMMUNICATION

### **Maternity waiting homes as an intervention to increase facility delivery in rural Zambia**

Joseph E. Perosky<sup>1,\*</sup>, Michelle L. Munro-Kramer<sup>1</sup>, Nancy Lockhart<sup>1</sup>, Gertrude K. Musonda<sup>2</sup>, Anne Naggayi<sup>2</sup>, Jody R. Lori<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Nursing, University of Michigan, Michigan, United States of America

<sup>2</sup>Africare, Zambia

\*Correspondence

Joseph E. Perosky, University of Michigan, School of Nursing, 400 N. Ingalls, Room 3237, Michigan, USA.

Email: josepero@umich.edu

**Keywords:** Facility delivery; Maternity waiting home; Skilled birth; Zambia

**Synopsis:** This study indicates a higher rate of facility delivery at clinics with a maternity waiting home in rural Zambia.

**ClinicalTrials.gov:** NCT02620436

Delays in reaching care has been identified as a primary cause of maternal mortality. This is often attributed to the long distances women need to travel to gain access to health facilities [1]. In a recent *Lancet* series, maternity waiting homes (MWHs) were identified as a solution to improve outcomes by bringing women living in hard-to-reach areas closer to a healthcare facility that provides emergency obstetric care [2, 3]. However, one of the limitations identified is the dearth of outcomes data on the efficacy of MWHs as an intervention. The purpose of this study was to determine the potential for MWHs to increase facility-based deliveries in three rural districts in Zambia.

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as [doi: 10.1002/ijgo.12864](https://doi.org/10.1002/ijgo.12864)

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

Using a matched cohort design in rural Zambia, six primary healthcare facilities with new MWHs (intervention group) were matched to six facilities without MWHs (comparison group). The sites were matched for population demographics, size, and location. Ethical approvals were obtained from the University of Michigan Institutional Review Board (IRB), Boston University IRB, and the ERES Converge Research IRB, a private local ethics board in Zambia. The monthly data collected from facility registries included number of facility and home deliveries. The percentage of deliveries taking place in a facility each month was calculated as the number of facility-based deliveries divided by the total number of deliveries taking place in each catchment community (Equation 1). The number of transfers from the healthcare facility to a referral hospital was reported monthly.

$$\text{Equation 1. Percent Facility Delivery} = \left( \frac{\text{Facility Deliveries}}{\text{Facility Deliveries} + \text{Home Deliveries}} \right) \times 100$$

Over the duration of one year (November 2016– October 2017), mean facility deliveries per facility per month were 20.4 (SD= 3.86) for the MWH intervention group and 19.33 (SD= 3.79) for the comparison group. Additionally, mean Home Deliveries per facility catchment area per month were 0.38 (SD= 0.26) for the MWH intervention group and 1.89 (SD= 0.80) for the comparison group. This resulted in a 7.57 percent increase ( $p = .002$ ) in the proportion of deliveries occurring in a healthcare facility for the MWH intervention group versus comparison facilities. Additionally, there were 182 referrals from healthcare facilities with a MWH compared to 127 for healthcare facilities without a MWH. However, the difference was not statistically significant ( $p=.421$ ). Mean monthly referrals per facility were 2.52 (SD=1.04) and 1.76 (SD=0.72) for intervention and comparison groups respectively.

This is the first study to indicate that MWHs improve the rate of facility deliveries. Maternity waiting homes are one strategy to increase facility delivery for women living the greatest distance from a healthcare facility [4]. Additionally, pregnant women require close monitoring and attention from the healthcare staff while they stay at the MWH, allowing for prompt referrals when complications occur. As the quality of both the MWH structures and the care received at the healthcare facility improves, MWHs have the potential to serve a greater number of women and contribute to the improvement of

maternal and newborn outcomes in rural Zambia. These results provide rationale for further investigation into the potential for MWHs to improve maternal health outcomes.

**CONFLICTS OF INTEREST:** The authors have no conflicts of interest.

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS:** JRL and JEP conceived the study; JEP, GKM, AN, NL, JRL, and MLM carried out data collection, and analysis and interpretation of the data. JEP drafted the manuscript; JEP, GKM, AN, NL, JRL, and MLM critically revised the manuscript for intellectual content. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:** This program was developed and implemented in collaboration with Merck for Mothers, Merck's 10-year, \$500 million initiative to help create a world where no woman dies giving life. Merck for Mothers is known as MSD for Mothers outside the United States and Canada (MRK 1846-06500.COL). The development of this article was additionally supported in part by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation (OPP1130334) and The ELMA Foundation (ELMA-15-F0010). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily reflect positions or policies of Merck, the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, or The ELMA Foundation.

#### **References:**

- [1] Geleto A, Chojenta C, Musa A, Loxton D. Barriers to access and utilization of emergency obstetric care at health facilities in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review of literature. *Systematic reviews*. 2018 Dec;7(1):183.
- [2] Campbell OM, Calvert C, Testa A, et al. The scale, scope, coverage, and capability of childbirth care. *Lancet*. 2016;388(10056):2193-2208.
- [3] Lori JR, Munro-Kramer ML, Mdluli EA, Musonda GK, Boyd CJ. Developing a community drive sustainable model of maternity waiting homes for rural Zambia. *Midwifery*. 2016;41:89-95.
- [4] Dadi TL, Bekele BB, Kasaye HK, Nigussie T. Role of maternity waiting homes in the reduction of maternal death and stillbirth in developing countries and its contribution for

maternal death reduction in Ethiopia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC health services research*. 2018 Dec;18(1):748.

Author Manuscript