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#### Abstract

Speciation is the fundamental evolutionary process that generates biodiversity. A major goal is to identify regions of the genome that underlie this process, including regions conferring selective advantages to diverging lineages and regions associated with the maintenance of reproductive isolation between them. Advancements in sequencing technology and methods along with falling costs of genome-wide sequencing has led to great insights in speciation research, however, investigation has been biased to model systems often studied under unnatural laboratory conditions (e.g., Drosophila, Mus). To help address this gap, I use differential introgression in a natural howler monkey hybrid zone system to identify candidate genomic regions that may underlie adaptation and reproductive isolation. In hybrid zones, regions that are advantageous on the genomic or ecological background of the opposite species may be selected for and reach high frequency when they enter the population (i.e., adaptive introgression may occur). However, regions with interspecific alleles that are deleterious on the genomic or ecological background of the other species will exhibit reduced introgression due to selection against unfit hybrids that carry combinations of such incompatible alleles. Using these regions identified here, I investigate (1) the functional role of genes associated with directional and reduced introgression, (2) the role of the X chromosome in reproductive isolation, and (3) the role of selection in shaping genomic regions with reduced introgression. My results are consistent with a weak signature of functional organization shaping patterns of gene introgression, an important role of the X chromosome in reproductive isolation that may be consistent across primate systems, and the influence of multiple forms of selection on the evolution of reproductive isolation in this system.


## CHAPTER I

## Differential introgression in the Alouatta hybrid zone reveals candidate genes for adaptation and reproductive isolation

## Introduction

Hybridization occurs when members of evolutionarily distinct lineages, or species, interbreed and produce offspring of mixed ancestry (i.e., hybrids). This process can result in a number of different outcomes depending on the level of reproductive isolation between the species and the fitness of hybrids. If reproductive isolation is not complete and hybrids are able to breed with members of either parental population (i.e., backcross), then introgression, or interspecies gene flow, may occur. However, different genomic regions are not expected to exhibit the same pattern of introgression because interspecific alleles become shuffled in admixed genomes over many generations of recombination allowing selection to act in a heterogeneous manner (Barton \& Hewitt 1985). This process can introduce novel alleles from heterospecific populations, which can be selectively neutral, deleterious, or adaptive.

Interspecific alleles that are advantageous on the genomic or ecological background of the opposite species may be selected for and reach high frequency when they enter the population (i.e., adaptive introgression may occur). The contribution of interspecific gene flow to adaptation has long been recognized in the evolution of plants, but has recently gained attention in animal systems (Taylor \& Larson 2019). There is a small number of well-documented examples of adaptive introgression that use genomic data to demonstrate selection on
heterospecific alleles (see Taylor \& Larson 2019 for a recent review). Most such case studies are investigations of one or a small number of candidate genes presumed to underlie a phenotype of interest (e.g., Song et al. 2011, Huerta-Sánchez et al. 2014). Some of these studies have been able to demonstrate strong evidence for selection on the introgressed variant that is linked to environmental variation (e.g., Jones et al. 2018).

On the other hand, loci with interspecific alleles that are deleterious on the genomic or ecological background of the other species ("barrier loci") will exhibit reduced introgression due to selection against unfit hybrids that carry combinations of such incompatible alleles. A major goal in speciation research is to identify regions of the genome that underlie reproductive isolation between taxa (i.e., 'barrier loci') (Noor \& Feder 2006, Butlin et al. 2012, Nosil \& Schluter 2011). Barrier loci have been of particular interest to evolutionary biologists who investigate mechanisms of reproductive isolation in the lab using classical genetic approaches to assay hybrid sterility or inviability phenotypes (e.g., Dobzhansky 1936, Masly \& Presgraves 2007, Good et al. 2010, Brekke et al. 2016). Some important insights from classical genetic approaches are that, 1) hybrid male sterility traits often map to the X chromosome. This is consistent with Coyne \& Orr's (1989) second "rule" of speciation: The X chromosome has a disproportionately large effect on isolation. 2) Hybrid male sterility has a complex genetic basis and likely involves more than just a few genes (Masly \& Presgraves 2007, Good et al. 2010, Turner \& Harr 2014). 3) Interspecific regulatory divergence can disrupt both gene expression and genomic imprinting in hybrids (Good et al. 2010, Brekke et al. 2016). 4) Reproductive isolation and the number of hybrid incompatibilities increases with divergence time between species (Coyne \& Orr 1997, Matute et al. 2010).

Despite the success of laboratory experiments in identifying candidate barrier loci in particular taxa, these regions may not have played a causal role in divergence in nature. For example, the histone methyltransferase $\operatorname{Prdm} 9$ was shown to cause F1 hybrid sterility for inbred mouse strains crossed in the lab (Mihola et al. 2009), but in the Bavarian house mouse hybrid zone, sterility phenotypes vary in severity with complete sterility appearing to be rare or absent (Turner et al. 2012) and sterility traits map to multiple loci (Turner \& Harr 2014). Thus, to better understand the genetic basis of reproductive isolation it is important to study its genomic architecture in natural populations, where barriers to gene flow are acting.

Areas where natural hybridization occurs (i.e., hybrid zones) can be used to infer the contribution of introgressive hybridization to evolutionary processes like adaptation and reproductive isolation (Harrison 1990). In such systems, population genetic data can be used to infer differential patterns of gene introgression (e.g., Larson et al. 2013, Janoušek et al. 2015). Candidate genes that underlie adaptation and reproductive isolation are expected to exhibit extreme patterns of introgression (increased and reduced introgression, respectively) and can thus be distinguished from neutral markers, which should exhibit a limited amount of introgression across the hybrid zone proportional to a cline in the degree of genomic admixture (i.e., hybrid index) (Barton 1983, Barton \& Hewitt 1985).

Among study systems used to explore the genomic architecture of adaptation and reproductive isolation, natural hybrid zones offer many advantages. Many generations of backcrossing and recombination yield a variety of recombinant genotypes beyond F1 and F2 which may allow for fine-scale mapping of regions of interest (e.g., Turner \& Harr 2014). Further, unlike in laboratory populations, selective pressures of the natural environment acting in hybrid zones can be directly observed. Although hybrid zone studies do not have the benefit of
directly manipulating crosses for hypothesis testing, admixture mapping approaches do not require knowledge of phenotypes associated with markers and can be thought of as taking a more objective approach to identifying phenotypes of interest using reverse genetics (Buerkle \& Lexer 2008). One limitation to the study of natural hybrid zones has been the lack of genome assemblies for non-model species. Thanks to recent technological advances in sequencing technology and reduced costs associated with obtaining genome-wide sequence data for a large number of individuals, we are now overcoming this limitation.

Although limited in number, recent studies of natural hybrid zones using genomic data have yielded important insight on the genetics of hybridization and speciation. Genes with reduced introgression in the house mouse hybrid zone in Europe were found to be expressed intracellularly and involve functions like DNA binding while genes with increased introgression were found to be expressed in the cell periphery with functions in signal transduction (Janoušek et al. 2015). The authors hypothesized that this may reflect constraint on genes expressed intracellularly, which tend to be more interconnected within gene networks, whereas genes expressed at the cell periphery tend to be less interconnected. Consistent with this, genes with reduced introgression in a rabbit hybrid zone are more involved in protein-protein interactions than by chance (Rafati et al. 2018). These observations support the hypothesis for an important role of the functional organization of the genome in speciation.

Here, we use genomic cline analysis with reduced-representation sequencing data to identify genes with extreme introgression in a bimodal howler monkey hybrid zone (Alouatta palliata x A. pigra). Throughout most of their ranges, A. palliata and A. pigra are allopatric, but they form a narrow contact zone in Tabasco, Mexico (Figure 1.1). Hybridization has been confirmed in the contact zone using molecular markers and initial surveys suggest that parental
types are nearly equally abundant and no F1s have been observed (Cortés-Ortiz et al. 2007; Kelaita et al. 2013; Cortés-Ortiz et al. 2019).

The parental species diverged 3 MA (Cortés-Ortiz et al. 2003) and have many relevant differences in their morphology (Smith 1970), cytogenetics (Steinberg et al. 2008), and social systems. In parental populations, individuals live in either uni- or multi-male/multi-female groups. In allopatry, group size for A. palliata (6-20+ individuals, Chapman \& Balcomb 1998) tends to be larger than in A. pigra (4-9 individuals, Chapman \& Belcomb 1998, Van Belle \& Estrada 2006), and A. palliata groups tend to have a more female-biased sex ratio (Chapman \& Balcomb 1998). There are also differences between the parental species in their characteristic loud vocalizations (Bergman et al. 2016) that give the name to members of this genus.

Previously, we investigated genetic admixture and introgression in the hybrid zone using a limited set of 28 markers and found reduced introgression for X-linked markers and several autosomal microsatellite loci, and a complete lack of introgression for the Y chromosome (Cortés-Ortiz et al. 2019). This indicates that differential introgression can be used to identify specific loci that may be associated with reproductive isolation, and suggests an important role for the sex chromosomes. The lack of introgression for the Y chromosome is consistent with absence or sterility of first generation hybrid males (i.e., Haldane's Rule) (Haldane 1922), since they would not be able to backcross.

This study builds upon this work by investigating differential introgression for a large set of variants in protein coding regions with the goal of understanding the functional basis of differential introgression. Specifically, we use reduced-representation sequencing data to identify genes that exhibit extreme introgression relative to the genomic background. Further, we perform functional enrichment analyses for regions of extreme introgression to test the hypothesis of a
functional role in driving speciation. Because we have observed restricted introgression for sex chromosomal markers (Cortés-Ortiz et al. 2019), we predict that genes with reduced introgression are enriched for reproductive functions, particularly for male functions. We also predict that genes with reduced introgression will be associated with intracellular functions while genes with increased or directional introgression will be associated with functions at the cell periphery.

## Materials and Methods

## Sampling

We obtained blood samples from 181 wild individuals captured between 1998 and 2012 following procedures described in Kelaita et al. (2011) and Kelaita \& Cortés-Ortiz (2013). Our samples included 81 individuals from the hybrid zone in Tabasco, Mexico, 35 allopatric $A$. palliata from Veracruz, Mexico, and 32 allopatric A. pigra (24 from Campeche, Mexico, eight from Dolores, Guatemala, and 12 from Quintana Roo, Mexico) (Figure 1.1). To avoid sampling individuals more than once, most individuals were tattooed with unique IDs. Non-tattooed individuals were either captured in localities visited only once or were sampled during the same expedition from distinct groups. During sample collection, 2 ml of blood was drawn from the caudal vein of chemically immobilized individuals and mixed in 10 ml of lysis buffer (Seutin et al. 1991). Samples were kept on ice in the field and stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ upon arrival in the laboratory.

Genomic DNA was extracted with the QIAGEN DNeasy tissue kit (Qiagen Inc., Valencia, CA) following the manufacturer's protocol for animal tissue extractions with the following modifications: 1) we added $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of whole blood solution to $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ buffer ATL, 2)
we eluted DNA in $70 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of water at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ twice (re-using the same spin column) to maximize DNA yields, after incubating for 5 minutes at room temperature.


Figure 1.1. Map of sampling sites used in this study. Ver=Veracruz, MX, Tab=Tabasco, MX (hybrid zone), $\mathrm{Camp}=$ Campeche, $\mathrm{MX}, \mathrm{DG}=$ Dolores, Guatemala, QRoo=Quintana Roo, MX.

## $d d R A D s e q$ and genotyping

We prepared and sequenced four ddRAD libraries, following the Peterson et al. (2012) protocol, each library containing DNA from 48 individuals. Briefly, we used the restriction enzymes SphI and MluCI to digest 200-300ng DNA per sample, size selected fragments between 150-350bp, and sequenced libraries on an Illumina HiSeq 4000 machine at the University of Michigan Sequencing Core to obtain 150bp paired-end reads.

We demultiplexed our data using pyRAD (Eaton et al. 2014), merged read pairs that overlapped using FLASH (Magoč \& Salzberg 2011), and aligned both successfully merged reads and unmerged reads (which were expected due to our size selection window) to the draft Alouatta palliata genome assembly (accession ID PVKV00000000) using BWA-MEM (Li 2013). We then called variants and generated a VCF file using samtools mpileup and bcftools call (Li et
al. 2009). After removing SNPs within 5 bp of an indel and retaining variants with a minimum quality score of 20 , we obtained $6,415,368$ loci.

Because we were interested in the functional significance of loci associated with reproductive isolation, we limited our genotyping to sites within protein-coding genes. Because the reference assembly for $A$. palliata is not annotated, we used AUGUSTUS v3.2.2 (Stanke \& Waack 2003) to identify locations of predicted genes using human as the training species. AUGUSTUS is an ab initio gene prediction tool that predicts locations of protein-coding genes in the assembly based solely on its sequence and the known gene structure for the training species. After calling raw genotypes, we retained only biallelic SNPs that fell within AUGUSTUS predicted genes. We further filtered loci to exclude sites with a minimum mean depth across individuals of less than eight. We also excluded sites with a minor allele frequency of less than 0.05 , thinned sites within 1 kb , and discarded sites with missing data for $80 \%$ or more of the individuals in either parental population. Finally, within predicted genes that had multiple variable positions, we retained only the first site. This resulted in 3,242 SNPs that we used in genomic cline analysis. The total length of contigs containing these SNPs ( $\sim 558 \mathrm{Mb}$ ) represents $\sim 18 \%$ of the Alouatta genome assembly. All filtering was done using vcftools v0.1.14 (Danecek et al. 2011).

## Genomic cline analysis

To quantify introgression and identify candidate variants with evidence for adaptation and reproductive isolation, we used genomic cline analysis implemented in $b g c$ (Gompert \& Buerkle 2012). Genomic cline analysis uses differential introgression to identify loci that are more or less likely than the genome-wide average (assumed to be neutral) to introgress between
populations. $b g c$ uses two cline parameters to quantify introgression at each locus. The $\alpha$ parameter describes the direction of introgression, in this case with $\alpha<0$ indicating excess of $A$. palliata ancestry and $\alpha>0$ indicating excess of $A$. pigra ancestry. The $\beta$ parameter describes the amount of introgression at a locus, with $\beta<0$ indicating greater than expected introgression and $\beta>0$ indicating reduced introgression with respect to the genome-wide average. Loci that are advantageous in both parental populations are expected to exhibit directional introgression (i.e., a shift in cline center, $\alpha>0$ or $\alpha<0$ ), while barrier loci are expected to have reduced introgression $(\beta>0)$ due to selection against hybrids (Gompert \& Buerkle 2012).

We ran genomic cline analyses under the genotype uncertainty model in $b g c$ for 5 independent chains, each with a burn-in of 30,000 for 50,000 steps, and thinned samples by 20 . We then merged outputs and identified outliers with respect to both $\alpha$ and $\beta$ from MCMC output as loci with a $95 \%$ credible interval that does not overlap zero.

## Functional annotation

To test whether functional characteristics of outlier genes differ from genes that introgress neutrally, we performed comparative functional enrichment analyses for genes in each beta category. To obtain functional annotations for each variant, we first created a protein database from human proteins accessed from NCBI
(ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genomes/Homo_sapiens/protein/protein.fa.gz). We used blastp to query Alouatta protein sequences from the AUGUSTUS output for the 3,242 predicted genes in our dataset in the human protein database to identify homologous human proteins. We obtained the top hit and top alignment for each sequence and otherwise used default blastp settings. There were no matches for 412 query sequences, suggesting limited but some protein sequence
divergence between Alouatta and Homo. To limit our analyses to close matches, we retained proteins with blastp hits where the alignment length was greater than $40 \%$ of the query length and percent identity was greater than $60 \%$.

Next, we used refseq accession IDs from the blastp results as query values in biomaRt (Durinck et al. 2005, 2009) to obtain the human HGNC symbol, chromosomal location, and gene ontology IDs for each blast hit. We retrieved annotation information for 1,659 loci, which we used in the following analyses.

## Identification of testis-expressed variants

We obtained a list of genes previously identified to have elevated expression (tissueenriched, group-enriched, or tissue-enhanced) in the human testis ( $\mathrm{N}=2,200$ ) from The Human Protein Atlas (Uhlén et al. 2015) at www.proteinatlas.org (accessed on November 7, 2017). Of these, 119 testis-expressed genes are represented in our dataset occurring on 21 human chromosomes (Figure A1.1).

## Functional enrichment

We used two methods to test whether functional characteristics of outlier loci differ from loci that introgress neutrally. We first retrieved Gene Ontology (GO) term classifications (Ashburner et al. 2000) for each gene. The GO classifications are broken down into three independent ontologies to reflect unique aspects of gene functions: molecular function (MF), biological process (BP), and cellular component (CC). Molecular function describes the biochemical activity of a gene product (e.g., enzyme, ligand, binding). Biological process describes the biological outcome to which the gene product contributes (e.g., translation, cell
growth and maintenance). Cellular component describes the location in the cell or in the extracellular space where the gene product is active (e.g., ribosome, nucleus, mitochondrion). We then conducted GO term enrichment analyses for each of the three ontologies (MF, BP, CC) for genes exhibiting different patterns of introgression using the 'parentchild' algorithm and the Fisher test statistic implemented in top $G O$ (Alexa \& Rahnenfuhrer 2016). For each gene category, we identified the top 20 GO and adjusted p-values for multiple testing.

To test the prediction that genes with reduced introgression are enriched for testisspecific expression, we retrieved expression data for genes in each introgression category using TissueEnrich (Jain 2018). We calculated the proportion of tissue-specific genes (TSG) as the number of tissue-specific genes per tissue divided by the total number of tissue-specific genes in each category.

## Results

## Introgression

We found a small percentage of genes with cline parameters that were significantly different from zero (Table 1.1), consistent with non-neutral introgression. A similar proportion of genes exhibited reduced and increased introgression, but those with increased introgression had a greater deviation from zero (mean $\beta=-1.42$ ) than those with reduced introgression (mean $\beta=1.01$ ). Genes with increased introgression $(\beta<0)$ are not symmetrically centered around $\alpha=0$, as they tend to be for genes with decreased introgression $(\beta>0)$, particularly for outliers (Figure 1.2). This is consistent with the idea that genes that may underlie reproductive isolation are involved in DMIs involving alleles from both species in a balanced manner.

More genes had a non-neutral direction of introgression (15.4\%) than a non-neutral amount of introgression (12.7\%). Direction of introgression was skewed, with a greater proportion of outliers having excess ancestry from A. pigra $(\alpha>0)$. Deviation from zero was also greater for these genes (mean $\alpha=1.41$ ) compared to genes with excess ancestry from A. palliata (mean $\alpha=-1.03$ ). There were 121 genes (3.7\%) that were outliers for both cline parameters. All of these genes had increased introgression $(\beta<0)$ and all but five of them had excess ancestry from A. pigra $(\alpha>0)$. These results suggest a stronger signal of directional introgression from A. pigra to A. palliata, particularly for genes that may underlie adaptive introgression (i.e., those with excess A. pigra ancestry that also have an increased rate of introgression).

Table 1.1. Number of genes that are consistent with the genome-wide average (zero) and that are outliers for genomic cline parameters $\alpha$ (direction of introgression) and $\beta$ (amount of introgression). Positive $\alpha$ indicates genes with excess A. pigra ancestry, while negative $\alpha$ indicates genes with excess $A$. palliata ancestry. Positive $\beta$ indicates genes with reduced introgression, while negative $\beta$ indicates genes with increased introgression.

| Cline parameter | Positive | Zero | Negative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\alpha$ | $290(8.9)$ | $2,742(84.6)$ | $210(6.5)$ |
| N genes (\%) | $1.41 \pm 0.52$ | $-0.10 \pm 0.51$ | $-1.03 \pm 0.54$ |
| mean $\pm$ SD |  |  |  |
| $\beta$ | $199(6.1)$ | $2,831(87.3)$ | $212(6.5)$ |
| N genes (\%) | $1.01 \pm 0.14$ | $0.03 \pm 0.52$ | $-1.42 \pm 0.58$ |
| mean $\pm$ SD |  |  |  |



Figure 1.2. Locus-specific point estimates for genomic cline parameters $\alpha$ ( $x$-axis) and $\beta$ ( $y$ axis), with beta outliers in blue, alpha outliers in orange, and outliers for both cline parameters in black.

## Genomic architecture of differential introgression

We examined the distribution in the human genome for the genes in our dataset for which we were able to retrieve functional annotation information. We excluded 22 genes that were mapped to scaffolds not placed on human chromosomes (e.g., CHR_HG2066_PATCH). The number of genes mapped to each chromosome is consistent with expectations based on chromosome size, with a general negative trend between chromosome number and gene number (Figure 1.3). This suggests that although we used reduced-representation sequencing, our genotyping may be representative of the entire genome and not overly biased to particular genomic regions.


Figure 1.3. Distribution of genes annotated in our dataset in the human genome $(\mathrm{N}=1,659)$.

The number of outliers per chromosome for both cline parameters follows a similar trend, where larger chromosomes tend to have more outliers than smaller chromosomes (Figure 1.3). For both parameters, each chromosome contains at least one and up to 25 outlier genes. This broad distribution of outliers across the genome is consistent for positive and negative outliers suggesting a widespread genomic architecture of reproductive isolation and directional introgression.

Notably, most of the genes on the X chromosome (81\%) exhibit a neutral amount of introgression. However, with the exception of one gene, all X-linked beta outliers are consistent with reduced introgression $(\beta>0)$. The direction of introgression for X -linked genes tends toward excess $A$. palliata ancestry (mean $\alpha_{\mathrm{X}}=-0.16$ ). There are six X-linked alpha outliers with excess $A$. palliata ancestry and four with excess A. pigra ancestry. This is in contrast to the pattern for autosomal genes (mean $\alpha_{A}=-0.03$ ), particularly for alpha outliers which tend toward excess $A$. pigra ancestry. There are 108 autosomal genes with excess A. palliata ancestry, but 141 with excess A. pigra ancestry.

## Tissue-specific expression

We tested whether genes with non-neutral introgression are enriched for functional classifications. To examine if our data are consistent with hybrid male sterility, we asked whether genes with reduced introgression were enriched for tissue-specific expression in human male tissues (prostate, seminal vesicle, testis). For each male-specific tissue, the proportion of genes with reduced introgression was less than that for genes with both increased and neutral introgression (Figure 1.4). None of the genes with reduced introgression had prostate-specific or seminal vesicle-specific expression. The proportion of prostate- and seminal vesicle-specific genes was low for genes in each beta category, but slightly greatest for genes with a neutral amount of introgression (prostate: $\beta>0$ proportion $\mathrm{TSG}=0, \beta<0$ proportion $\mathrm{TSG}=0.02, \beta=0$ proportion $T S G=0.02$, seminal vesicle: $\beta>0$ proportion $T S G=0, \beta<0$ proportion $T S G=0.02, \beta=0$ proportion $\mathrm{TSG}=0.03$ ). A larger proportion of genes with reduced introgression had testisspecific expression ( $\beta>0$ proportion $T S G=0.10$ ) compared to other male-specific tissues, but this was lower than the proportion TSG for testis-expressed genes in the other beta categories. For
testis-expressed genes, the proportion TSG was greater for genes with neutral introgression (proportion $\mathrm{TSG}=0.13$ ) and greatest for genes with increased introgression (proportion $\mathrm{TSG}=0.19$ ). These results may suggest that most genes with primary functions in male-specific tissues do not underlie reproductive isolation.

For the direction of introgression (Figure 1.4), the proportion TSG for each male-specific tissue was similar to that for the amount of introgression. The proportion TSG for testis-specific genes was greatest for genes with excess A. pigra ancestry, lowest for genes with A. palliata ancestry and intermediate for genes with a neutral direction of introgression. There were seven testis-specific genes with increased introgression $(\beta<0)$ that also had a non-neutral direction of introgression, and six of them had excess ancestry from A. pigra $(\alpha>0)$.

We noted some other interesting patterns in the proportion TSG for tissues without malespecific expression. Tissues with the greatest difference in proportion TSG between genes with reduced introgression and increased or neutral introgression were the lymph node and placenta, respectively. This may indicate that genes with functions in immunity and female reproduction underlie reproductive isolation in this system. For genes with directional introgression, tissues with the greatest difference in the proportion TSG between genes in different alpha categories were the testis and cerebral cortex.




Figure 1.4. The proportion of genes with tissue-specific expression in humans (proportion TSG, Y-axis) for genes with different patterns of introgression. Top panel: Amount of introgression, neutral genes are in gray $(\beta=0)$, barrier genes are in dark blue $(\beta>0)$, and genes with increased introgression are in light blue $(\beta<0)$. Bottom panel: Direction of introgression: neutral genes are in gray $(\alpha=0)$, genes with excess ancestry from A. pigra are in red $(\alpha>0)$, genes with excess ancestry from $A$. palliata are in orange $(\alpha<0)$.

## Gene ontology enrichment

We found some evidence for functional enrichment of genes with extreme introgression
(Table A1.1). Genes with reduced introgression were enriched only for GO terms associated with Biological Process, while genes with increased introgression were enriched for GO terms associated with Biological Process and Cellular Component (Table A1.1b). There was no overlap
between gene sets with reduced and increased introgression in enriched BP GO terms, suggesting these genes perform distinct functions which may underlie their extreme introgression. Notably, genes with reduced introgression were enriched for biological processes involving gene expression (GO:0043628, GO:0042795, GO:0000469, GO:0017148), pigmentation (GO:0042440), behavior (GO:0007626), and other functions. Enrichment for functions related to gene expression were also represented among genes with a neutral amount of introgression (GO:0000122, GO:0010629, GO:0045892) and genes with increased introgression (GO:0098787, GO:0034395), suggesting that either this general process is not involved in reproductive isolation or if it is, the subset of genes involved in gene expression that do contribute have very specific functions.

Genes with increased introgression were enriched for biological processes involving response to stimulus (GO:0034976, GO:0032102, GO:0035360, GO:0035967, GO:1904976, GO:0002832), developmental processes (GO:0021915, GO:0016331, GO:0035148, GO:0072175, GO:0007281, GO:0048854), and other functions. Genes with increased introgression were also enriched for Cellular Component (CC) terms, having functions related to neurons and synapses (GO:0099572, GO:0014069, GO:0044456, GO:0098984, GO:0045202, GO:0098794, GO:0043198), and membranes and membrane proteins (GO:0098590, GO:0060170, GO:0008328). Among genes with increased introgression, there were more enriched terms with intracellular functions than with extra cellular functions or functions at the cell periphery. This finding together with the absence of enriched CC terms for genes with reduced introgression may indicate an absence of functional organization within the cellular component driving reproductive isolation.

Genes with a non-neutral direction of introgression were enriched for terms under Biological Process and Cellular Component ontologies (Table A1.1a). Genes with excess ancestry from A. pigra shared similar enriched BP terms with genes with increased introgression: this included functions related to synaptic signaling (GO:0099536, GO:1990709), and response to stimulus (GO:0046578, GO:0009414, GO:0007165). Genes with excess ancestry from $A$. palliata were also enriched for BP terms associated with response to stimulus (GO:1990090, GO:1990089, GO:0050906), and with the developmental process (e.g., GO:0051962, GO:0048706, GO:0050769), and other functions. There were also some similarities in enrichment for CC terms between genes with excess ancestry from A. pigra and A. palliata. Terms associated with intracellular organelles were highly prevalent for both species, including mitochondrial membrane-specific terms (GO:0005741, GO:0031966, GO:0005740, the latter of which is enriched for genes with excess A. pigra and A. palliata ancestry).

## Discussion

We examined differential introgression using reduced-representation sequence data to detect genes that may be associated with reproductive isolation and directional introgression. The majority of genes exhibited neutral introgression with respect to both the direction and amount of introgression, but a small percentage of markers deviated from neutral introgression (Table 1.1). Our results are consistent with a widespread genomic basis for reproductive isolation and adaptation, with a potential role of the X chromosome in reproductive isolation. Our results are also consistent with skewed directional introgression from A. pigra to A. palliata for autosomal markers but in the opposite direction for X -linked genes. We detected some functional differences between variants that had neutral and extreme patterns of introgression.

## Barrier genes are not enriched for male-specific function

Genes with reduced introgression (barrier genes) were symmetrically distributed around a neutral cline center $(\alpha=0)$, indicating an equal contribution in ancestry from both parental species. This pattern would be expected for barrier loci involved in Dobzhansky-Muller Incompatibilities (Dobzhansky 1936, Muller 1942), since such incompatibilities are caused by interactions between loci with alleles of interspecific origin.

Barrier genes were represented on all human autosomes (except chromosome 22) and on the X chromosome. Due to many chromosomal rearrangements, Alouatta chromosomes are not the same as human chromosomes (Steinberg et al. 2014), so we cannot infer chromosomal locations for these markers using human chromosomes. However, the fact that these genes are distributed across human chromosomes suggests that the same is likely true for their distribution across howler monkey chromosomes. This is consistent with observations in other natural hybrid zones (e.g., Janoušek et al. 2015, Rafati et al. 2018), and with a complex genomic basis of reproductive isolation involving many genes. Because A. pigra and A. palliata diverged $\sim 3$ MA (Cortés-Ortiz et al. 2003), this may reflect the accumulation of barriers over the duration of divergence in this species pair (i.e., the snowball effect, Matute et al. 2010). Thus, it is unclear which barrier loci contributed to reproductive isolation during the initial stages of speciation and whether barrier loci may be under selection to strengthen reproductive isolation in the hybrid zone.

Our results are also consistent with a role for the X chromosome in reproductive isolation. In a previous analysis, we found differential introgression of autosomal markers, but a complete lack of introgression for a Y-linked marker and very limited to no introgression for three X-linked markers (Cortés Ortiz et al. 2019). The data analyzed here are representative of a
larger proportion of the X chromosome, lending insight to a more nuanced understanding of potential large X effects in this system. Most of the X -linked genes exhibited neutral introgression, but with the exception of one, all X-linked genes with a non-neutral amount of introgression had reduced introgression. This pattern may be consistent with a large role of the X chromosome in reproductive isolation since the proportion of genes with reduced introgression on the X is greater than that for similar sized autosomes (Figure 1.3). This may suggest that postzygotic isolation is strong in the hybrid zone (Coyne \& Orr 1989), although reduced introgression of X-linked markers has been observed in other systems where postzygotic isolation is not particularly strong (e.g., Larson et al. 2014). It will be necessary to use observational data on hybrid fitness to test this hypothesis. To explicitly test for a large X-effect in this system, we will need to validate X-linkage for genomic sequences since the $A$. palliata reference genome is not assembled to chromosome level. Although X chromosome gene content and sequence is known to be well conserved across mammals (Delgado et al. 2009), the rate of chromosomal rearrangements is high in Neotropical primates and sex chromosome rearrangements have occurred in Alouatta (Steinberg et al. 2014). Also, sampling for tests of differential introgression including autosomal and X-linked markers should be restricted to female individuals since males are hemizygous for the X . Inclusion of males may bias cline parameter estimates by inflating homozygosity for X-linked SNPs relative to observed genomewide heterozygosity (e.g., Larson et al. 2014).

Compared to genes with neutral and increased introgression, barrier genes were not particularly enriched for expression in male-specific tissues, which may be inconsistent with the hypothesis that hybrid male sterility is an important postzygotic barrier in this system. However, there were seven barrier genes with testis-specific expression and it is possible that they (and
others not sequenced here) underlie male fertility traits. The genomic architecture of hybrid male sterility phenotypes has only been mapped in select animal species (e.g., Turner \& Harr 2014, Masly \& Presgraves 2007) so the relationship between the number and types of genes that underlie hybrid male sterility is not well understood. Notably, the Alouatta hybrid zone system could contribute to this gap by pairing genomic data like that used in this study with male fertility phenotype data (e.g., sperm morphology traits) to map these traits.

Previous studies of differential introgression in the house mouse and European rabbit hybrids zone found evidence that broad functional organization of the genome drives speciation (Janoušek et al. 2015, Rafati et al. 2018). This hypothesis would be consistent with a large role for DMI's underlying reproductive isolation, since genes with reduced introgression tend be highly interconnected. In contrast, our results do not seem fully consistent with this explanation. Enriched terms for genes with increased introgression included more "intracellular" than "cell periphery" parent terms and genes with reduced introgression were not enriched for terms describing cellular component.

Genes with increased introgression were also distributed across most human chromosomes, with the exception of chromosomes 15 and 19 which only contained barrier genes. In contrast to barrier genes, a larger proportion of genes with increased introgression had testis-specific expression than genes with neutral introgression. This may suggest that interspecific male fertility alleles are not always disadvantageous and that introgression is actually increased for some of these genes because they are adaptive in both species. Genes with increased introgression were highly skewed in their direction of introgression, with most of them tending toward excess A. pigra ancestry. Outlier genes with increased introgression that are also outliers for directional introgression may be considered candidate genes for adaptation since they
may be passing through the hybrid zone at an accelerated rate. We discuss this idea further below.

## Direction of introgression is asymmetric

We observed asymmetry in the direction of introgression for a subset of genes (Table 1.1). Similar to beta outliers, these genes with directional introgression were not particularly clustered in the human genome (Figure 1.3), suggesting that genes that may be under selection for adaptive introgression are not tightly linked.

Genes with directional introgression had the most pronounced disproportionate tissuespecific expression in the testis and cerebral cortex. All of the testis-specific genes with increased introgression also had directional introgression with excess A. pigra ancestry. This is consistent with the idea that instead of being disproportionately involved in hybrid male sterility, testis-specific genes may disproportionately have alleles that are advantageous on both genomic backgrounds (particularly A. pigra alleles) and exhibit adaptive introgression in this hybrid zone. For cerebral cortex-specific genes with directional introgression, there was a greater proportion of genes with $A$. palliata ancestry. This may suggest adaptive introgression of alleles that underlie complex phenotypes like social behavior and memory.

There were some similarities in gene ontology enrichment functions between genes with opposing directions of introgression, including a high prevalence of organelle-related (especially for the mitochondria) cellular component terms and synaptic signaling/response to stimulus biological process terms. This may suggest that it is easier for genes associated with these functions to be exchanged across species boundaries, regardless of direction. This may also be
consistent with the finding of Janoušek et al. (2015) that genes with increased introgression tend to be involved in signal transduction.

In general, a larger proportion of genes with directional introgression had excess A. pigra ancestry than A. palliata ancestry. This pattern could result from several mechanisms, including (1) a bias in the direction of backcrossing in the hybrid zone, (2) a bias in the direction of adaptive introgression, (3) and hybrid zone movement. Previously, we investigated admixture in the hybrid zone using a set of microsatellite markers genotyped for more than three times the number of individuals analyzed here ( $\mathrm{N}=254$ individuals), and found that the occurrence of $A$. palliata-like and A. pigra-like hybrids were nearly equal (Cortés-Ortiz et al. 2019). This suggests that backcrossing occurs in both directions with similar frequencies.

It is possible that the asymmetry observed here is the result of skewed adaptive introgression if A. pigra alleles are generally more favorable than A. palliata alleles in the hybrid zone. Our results are consistent with this pattern, especially for genes with increased introgression. The set of genes with both increased and directional introgression may be considered as candidate genes for adaptive introgression. However, more explicit tests will need to be done on these genes to determine if sequence variation is consistent with positive selection in the recipient population (e.g., Huerta-Sánchez et al. 2014). Further, to robustly demonstrate evidence for adaptive introgression, it will be necessary to demonstrate a fitness advantage for individuals that carry the interspecific allele. This can be quite difficult to accomplish in many systems, particularly for those of involving species with long generation times and for natural populations where organisms cannot undergo experimentation (Taylor \& Larson 2019)

The asymmetry in direction of introgression may also be caused by movement of the hybrid zone (e.g., Janoušek et al. 2015). If the hybrid zone has moved from East to West (as we
suspect based on our findings of considerable admixture East of the hybrid zone, data not shown), we would expect to see the pattern observed here. As hybrid zones move, alleles that are not involved in reproductive isolation are left behind. These explanations for asymmetrical introgression are not mutually exclusive. For example, hybrid zone movement would result in both neutral and adaptive alleles being left behind and would thus require further analysis to distinguish between them. In general, it may be possible to distinguish neutral alleles from those that have introgressed adaptively using methods to detect signatures of positive selection in the genome (Taylor \& Larson 2019).

## Conclusion

We investigated differential introgression across a howler monkey hybrid zone using reduced-representation sequence data from regions of the genome predicted to be coding regions. We identified a subset of genes with non-neutral introgression that may be candidates for reproductive isolation and adaptive introgression. Contrary to our predictions (and predictions of the large X effect on postzygotic isolation), barrier genes were not enriched for male-specific functions. One gene on the X chromosome had increased introgression and testis-specific genes were more highly represented among genes with increased rather than reduced and neutral introgression, suggesting that hybrid male sterility may not be a prominent reproductive barrier in this system.

Similarly, our results are mostly inconsistent with observations in the house mouse hybrid zone in which genes with reduced introgression tended to be expressed intracellularly while genes with increased introgression tended to be expressed in the cell periphery. However, functional properties of genes with directional introgression may be partially consistent with the
explanation for this pattern (and with introgression in the European rabbit hybrid zone) as these genes are associated with GO terms associated with response to stimulus.

Because our sequencing is representative of a small portion of the genome ( $\sim 18 \%$ ), our results must be interpreted with caution and it will be important to investigate the patterns uncovered here using whole genome data. However, genes identified here with non-neutral introgression represent a candidate list for further investigation and this work represents an important step in characterizing the functional basis of reproductive isolation in natural nonmodel systems.
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## APPENDIX A1



Figure A1.1 Distribution of the subset of testis-expressed genes that are represented in our dataset across human chromosomes.

Table A1.1a. Significantly enriched GO terms ( $\mathrm{BP}=$ biological process, $\mathrm{CC}=$ cellular component, $\mathrm{MF}=$ molecular function) for genes that exhibit excess $A$. pigra ancestry ( $\alpha=$ pos), excess $A$. palliata ancestry ( $\alpha=$ neg), and non-directional introgression ( $\alpha=$ zero).

| GO.ID | Term | Ann. | Sig. | Exp. | p.CF | p.BH | GO | $\alpha$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| GO:0051955 | regulation of amino acid transport | 3 | 3 | 0.28 | 0.001 | 0.014 | BP | pos |
| GO:0099536 | synaptic signaling | 69 | 12 | 6.48 | 0.002 | 0.014 | BP | pos |
| GO:0003008 | system process | 161 | 26 | 15.11 | 0.003 | 0.014 | BP | pos |
| GO:0046578 | regulation of Ras protein signal transdu... | 24 | 6 | 2.25 | 0.004 | 0.014 | BP | pos |
| GO:0032890 | regulation of organic acid transport | 4 | 3 | 0.38 | 0.004 | 0.014 | BP | pos |
| GO:0031076 | embryonic camera-type eye development | 5 | 3 | 0.47 | 0.004 | 0.014 | BP | pos |
| GO:0007154 | cell communication | 541 | 63 | 50.78 | 0.007 | 0.015 | BP | pos |
| GO:1903523 | negative regulation of blood circulation | 2 | 2 | 0.19 | 0.007 | 0.015 | BP | pos |
| GO:0050891 | multicellular organismal water homeostas... | 2 | 2 | 0.19 | 0.008 | 0.015 | BP | pos |
| GO:1990709 | presynaptic active zone organization | 2 | 2 | 0.19 | 0.008 | 0.015 | BP | pos |
| GO:0032892 | positive regulation of organic acid tran... | 2 | 2 | 0.19 | 0.009 | 0.015 | BP | pos |
| GO:0009414 | response to water deprivation | 2 | 2 | 0.19 | 0.010 | 0.015 | BP | pos |
| GO:0045932 | negative regulation of muscle contractio... | 2 | 2 | 0.19 | 0.011 | 0.015 | BP | pos |


| GO:0051590 | positive regulation of neurotransmitter ... | 2 | 2 | 0.19 | 0.011 | 0.015 | BP | pos |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GO:0050879 | multicellular organismal movement | 10 | 4 | 0.94 | 0.012 | 0.015 | BP | pos |
| GO:0060840 | artery development | 13 | 3 | 1.22 | 0.013 | 0.015 | BP | pos |
| GO:0023052 | signaling | 541 | 63 | 50.78 | 0.015 | 0.015 | BP | pos |
| GO:0045088 | regulation of innate immune response | 36 | 7 | 3.38 | 0.015 | 0.015 | BP | pos |
| GO:0007165 | signal transduction | 505 | 58 | 47.4 | 0.015 | 0.015 | BP | pos |
| GO:0021602 | cranial nerve morphogenesis | 3 | 2 | 0.28 | 0.015 | 0.015 | BP | pos |
| GO:0031968 | organelle outer membrane | 15 | 6 | 1.33 | 0.001 | 0.012 | CC | pos |
| GO:0019867 | outer membrane | 15 | 6 | 1.33 | 0.001 | 0.012 | CC | pos |
| GO:0005741 | mitochondrial outer membrane | 14 | 6 | 1.24 | 0.002 | 0.014 | CC | pos |
| GO:0097386 | glial cell projection | 2 | 2 | 0.18 | 0.008 | 0.033 | CC | pos |
| GO:0005883 | neurofilament | 2 | 2 | 0.18 | 0.008 | 0.033 | CC | pos |
| GO:0098588 | bounding membrane of organelle | 160 | 20 | 14.2 | 0.010 | 0.033 | CC | pos |
| GO:0005740 | mitochondrial envelope | 47 | 7 | 4.17 | 0.015 | 0.043 | CC | pos |
| GO:0048786 | presynaptic active zone | 7 | 3 | 0.62 | 0.017 | 0.044 | CC | pos |
| GO:0051962 | positive regulation of nervous system de... | 56 | 12 | 3.91 | $5.80 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.001 | BP | neg |
| GO:1990090 | cellular response to nerve growth factor... | 7 | 4 | 0.49 | 0.001 | 0.006 | BP | neg |
| GO:1990089 | response to nerve growth factor | 7 | 4 | 0.49 | 0.001 | 0.007 | BP | neg |
| GO:0050906 | detection of stimulus involved in sensor... | 9 | 3 | 0.63 | 0.002 | 0.010 | BP | neg |
| GO:0048706 | embryonic skeletal system development | 7 | 3 | 0.49 | 0.003 | 0.010 | BP | neg |
| GO:0031346 | positive regulation of cell projection o... | 38 | 8 | 2.65 | 0.004 | 0.012 | BP | neg |
| GO:0050769 | positive regulation of neurogenesis | 51 | 11 | 3.56 | 0.004 | 0.012 | BP | neg |
| GO:0008544 | epidermis development | 31 | 6 | 2.17 | 0.005 | 0.012 | BP | neg |
| GO:0007606 | sensory perception of chemical stimulus | 10 | 3 | 0.7 | 0.006 | 0.013 | BP | neg |
| GO:0051960 | regulation of nervous system development | 97 | 14 | 6.78 | 0.007 | 0.014 | BP | neg |
| GO:0010720 | positive regulation of cell development | 56 | 11 | 3.91 | 0.009 | 0.014 | BP | neg |
| GO:0048468 | cell development | 214 | 25 | 14.95 | 0.010 | 0.014 | BP | neg |
| GO:0038179 | neurotrophin signaling pathway | 7 | 3 | 0.49 | 0.010 | 0.014 | BP | neg |
| GO:0034645 | cellular macromolecule biosynthetic proc... | 393 | 34 | 27.46 | 0.011 | 0.014 | BP | neg |
| GO:0006968 | cellular defense response | 3 | 2 | 0.21 | 0.012 | 0.014 | BP | neg |
| GO:0072310 | glomerular epithelial cell development | 2 | 2 | 0.14 | 0.012 | 0.014 | BP | neg |
| GO:0006518 | peptide metabolic process | 80 | 11 | 5.59 | 0.012 | 0.014 | BP | neg |
| GO:0098900 | regulation of action potential | 7 | 3 | 0.49 | 0.013 | 0.014 | BP | neg |
| GO:0031280 | negative regulation of cyclase activity | 3 | 2 | 0.21 | 0.014 | 0.015 | BP | neg |
| GO:0010810 | regulation of cell-substrate adhesion | 19 | 3 | 1.33 | 0.015 | 0.015 | BP | neg |
| GO:0044391 | ribosomal subunit | 21 | 6 | 1.56 | 0.003 | 0.033 | CC | neg |
| GO:1904949 | ATPase complex | 3 | 2 | 0.22 | 0.003 | 0.033 | CC | neg |
| GO:0098827 | endoplasmic reticulum subcompartment | 76 | 9 | 5.65 | 0.010 | 0.041 | CC | neg |
| GO:0031966 | mitochondrial membrane | 44 | 8 | 3.27 | 0.011 | 0.041 | CC | neg |
| GO:0070603 | SWI/SNF superfamily-type complex | 3 | 2 | 0.22 | 0.011 | 0.041 | CC | neg |


| GO:0030425 | dendrite | 74 | 11 | 5.5 | 0.013 | 0.041 | CC | neg |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GO:0005840 | ribosome | 27 | 6 | 2.01 | 0.014 | 0.041 | CC | neg |
| GO:1902493 | acetyltransferase complex | 6 | 2 | 0.45 | 0.020 | 0.045 | CC | neg |
| GO:0097440 | apical dendrite | 2 | 2 | 0.15 | 0.020 | 0.045 | CC | neg |
| GO:0005740 | mitochondrial envelope | 47 | 8 | 3.49 | 0.022 | 0.045 | CC | neg |
| GO:0016192 | vesicle-mediated transport | 153 | 141 | 130.22 | 0.002 | 0.036 | BP | zreo |
| GO:0050678 | regulation of epithelial cell proliferat... | 29 | 29 | 24.68 | 0.006 | 0.036 | BP | zreo |
| GO:0015807 | L-amino acid transport | 5 | 5 | 4.26 | 0.008 | 0.036 | BP | zreo |
| GO:0044419 | interspecies interaction between organis... | 69 | 65 | 58.73 | 0.008 | 0.036 | BP | zreo |
| GO:0050673 | epithelial cell proliferation | 30 | 30 | 25.53 | 0.011 | 0.036 | BP | zreo |
| GO:0034284 | response to monosaccharide | 11 | 11 | 9.36 | 0.013 | 0.036 | BP | zreo |
| GO:0043161 | proteasome-mediated ubiquitin-dependent $\ldots$ | 34 | 32 | 28.94 | 0.017 | 0.036 | BP | zreo |
| GO:0098901 | regulation of cardiac muscle cell action... | 5 | 5 | 4.26 | 0.018 | 0.036 | BP | zreo |
| GO:0000398 | mRNA splicing, via spliceosome | 30 | 28 | 25.53 | 0.019 | 0.036 | BP | zreo |
| GO:0034599 | cellular response to oxidative stress | 22 | 22 | 18.72 | 0.020 | 0.036 | BP | zreo |
| GO:0006979 | response to oxidative stress | 34 | 33 | 28.94 | 0.020 | 0.036 | BP | zreo |
| GO:0000904 | cell morphogenesis involved in different... | 86 | 79 | 73.19 | 0.025 | 0.036 | BP | zreo |
| GO:0071407 | cellular response to organic cyclic comp... | 61 | 56 | 51.92 | 0.025 | 0.036 | BP | zreo |
| GO:0007179 | transforming growth factor beta receptor... | 24 | 23 | 20.43 | 0.029 | 0.036 | BP | zreo |
| GO:0071559 | response to transforming growth factor b... | 27 | 26 | 22.98 | 0.030 | 0.036 | BP | zreo |
| GO:0006259 | DNA metabolic process | 61 | 57 | 51.92 | 0.031 | 0.036 | BP | zreo |
| GO:0097485 | neuron projection guidance | 32 | 31 | 27.24 | 0.032 | 0.036 | BP | zreo |
| GO:0022610 | biological adhesion | 154 | 139 | 131.07 | 0.033 | 0.036 | BP | zreo |
| GO:0033036 | macromolecule localization | 252 | 223 | 214.48 | 0.034 | 0.036 | BP | zreo |
| GO:0000375 | RNA splicing, via transesterification re... | 30 | 28 | 25.53 | 0.037 | 0.037 | BP | zreo |

Table A1.1b. Significantly enriched GO terms (BP=biological process, $C C=$ cellular component, $\mathrm{MF}=$ molecular function) for genes that exhibit reduced ( $\beta=$ pos), increased ( $\beta=$ neg), and neutral introgression ( $\beta=$ zero).

| GO.ID | Term | Ann. | Sig. | Exp. | p.CF | p.BH | GO | $\beta$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| GO:0010837 | regulation of keratinocyte proliferation | 5 | 3 | 0.35 | 0.003 | 0.026 | BP | pos |
| GO:0043616 | keratinocyte proliferation | 6 | 3 | 0.41 | 0.005 | 0.026 | BP | pos |
| GO:1902115 | regulation of organelle assembly | 12 | 3 | 0.83 | 0.007 | 0.026 | BP | pos |
| GO:0033013 | tetrapyrrole metabolic process | 3 | 2 | 0.21 | 0.010 | 0.026 | BP | pos |
| GO:0043085 | positive regulation of catalytic activit... | 135 | 8 | 9.34 | 0.011 | 0.026 | BP | pos |
| GO:0043628 | ncRNA 3'-end processing | 5 | 3 | 0.35 | 0.012 | 0.026 | BP | pos |
| GO:0042795 | snRNA transcription by RNA polymerase II | 4 | 2 | 0.28 | 0.013 | 0.026 | BP | pos |
| GO:1902017 | regulation of cilium assembly | 3 | 2 | 0.21 | 0.013 | 0.026 | BP | pos |
| GO:1902905 | positive regulation of supramolecular fi... | 24 | 3 | 1.66 | 0.013 | 0.026 | BP | pos |


| GO:0007626 | locomotory behavior | 19 | 4 | 1.31 | 0.016 | 0.026 | BP | pos |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GO:0051606 | detection of stimulus | 23 | 5 | 1.59 | 0.016 | 0.026 | BP | pos |
| GO:0017148 | negative regulation of translation | 7 | 2 | 0.48 | 0.018 | 0.026 | BP | pos |
| GO:0042440 | pigment metabolic process | 4 | 2 | 0.28 | 0.019 | 0.026 | BP | pos |
| GO:0048284 | organelle fusion | 6 | 2 | 0.41 | 0.020 | 0.026 | BP | pos |
| GO:0032007 | negative regulation of TOR signaling | 6 | 2 | 0.41 | 0.022 | 0.026 | BP | pos |
| GO:0043396 | corticotropin-releasing hormone secretio... | 1 | 1 | 0.07 | 0.023 | 0.026 | BP | pos |
| GO:0051345 | positive regulation of hydrolase activit... | 82 | 7 | 5.67 | 0.024 | 0.026 | BP | pos |
| GO:0034330 | cell junction organization | 33 | 5 | 2.28 | 0.024 | 0.026 | BP | pos |
| GO:0021781 | glial cell fate commitment | 1 | 1 | 0.07 | 0.026 | 0.026 | BP | pos |
| GO:0000469 | cleavage involved in rRNA processing | 4 | 2 | 0.28 | 0.026 | 0.026 | BP | pos |
| GO:0051290 | protein heterotetramerization | 3 | 3 | 0.19 | 0.003 | 0.028 | BP | neg |
| GO:0034976 | response to endoplasmic reticulum stress | 21 | 4 | 1.35 | 0.004 | 0.028 | BP | neg |
| GO:0021915 | neural tube development | 14 | 4 | 0.9 | 0.004 | 0.028 | BP | neg |
| GO:0016331 | morphogenesis of embryonic epithelium | 16 | 4 | 1.03 | 0.009 | 0.033 | BP | neg |
| GO:0035148 | tube formation | 17 | 4 | 1.09 | 0.013 | 0.033 | BP | neg |
| GO:0032102 | negative regulation of response to exter... | 30 | 5 | 1.93 | 0.013 | 0.033 | BP | neg |
| GO:0006379 | mRNA cleavage | 1 | 1 | 0.06 | 0.014 | 0.033 | BP | neg |
| GO:0072175 | epithelial tube formation | 15 | 4 | 0.96 | 0.019 | 0.033 | BP | neg |
| GO:0007281 | germ cell development | 17 | 3 | 1.09 | 0.022 | 0.033 | BP | neg |
| GO:0048854 | brain morphogenesis | 6 | 2 | 0.39 | 0.022 | 0.033 | BP | neg |
| GO:0098787 | mRNA cleavage involved in mRNA processin... | 1 | 1 | 0.06 | 0.023 | 0.033 | BP | neg |
| GO:0035360 | positive regulation of peroxisome prolif... | 1 | 1 | 0.06 | 0.025 | 0.033 | BP | neg |
| GO:0035967 | cellular response to topologically incor... | 14 | 3 | 0.9 | 0.027 | 0.033 | BP | neg |
| GO:0048871 | multicellular organismal homeostasis | 29 | 5 | 1.86 | 0.027 | 0.033 | BP | neg |
| GO:1904976 | cellular response to bleomycin | 1 | 1 | 0.06 | 0.028 | 0.033 | BP | neg |
| GO:0002832 | negative regulation of response to bioti... | 4 | 2 | 0.26 | 0.028 | 0.033 | BP | neg |
| GO:0044260 | cellular macromolecule metabolic process | 692 | 44 | 44.44 | 0.030 | 0.033 | BP | neg |
| GO:1900119 | positive regulation of execution phase o... | 1 | 1 | 0.06 | 0.030 | 0.033 | BP | neg |
| GO:0009247 | glycolipid biosynthetic process | 1 | 1 | 0.06 | 0.031 | 0.033 | BP | neg |
| GO:0034395 | regulation of transcription from RNA pol... | 1 | 1 | 0.06 | 0.033 | 0.033 | BP | neg |
| GO:0098590 | plasma membrane region | 128 | 16 | 7.92 | 0.004 | 0.041 | CC | neg |
| GO:0099572 | postsynaptic specialization | 39 | 7 | 2.41 | 0.009 | 0.041 | CC | neg |
| GO:0014069 | postsynaptic density | 37 | 7 | 2.29 | 0.011 | 0.041 | CC | neg |
| GO:0060170 | ciliary membrane | 9 | 3 | 0.56 | 0.014 | 0.041 | CC | neg |
| GO:0044456 | synapse part | 101 | 12 | 6.25 | 0.018 | 0.041 | CC | neg |
| GO:0098984 | neuron to neuron synapse | 38 | 8 | 2.35 | 0.021 | 0.041 | CC | neg |
| GO:0008328 | ionotropic glutamate receptor complex | 11 | 3 | 0.68 | 0.023 | 0.041 | CC | neg |
| GO:0000794 | condensed nuclear chromosome | 5 | 2 | 0.31 | 0.025 | 0.041 | CC | neg |
| GO:0045202 | synapse | 118 | 13 | 7.3 | 0.026 | 0.041 | CC | neg |


| GO:0000123 | histone acetyltransferase complex | 6 | 2 | 0.37 | 0.029 | 0.041 | CC | neg |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GO:0031983 | vesicle lumen | 24 | 4 | 1.49 | 0.029 | 0.041 | CC | neg |
| GO:0005856 | cytoskeleton | 207 | 19 | 12.81 | 0.030 | 0.041 | CC | neg |
| GO:0060205 | cytoplasmic vesicle lumen | 24 | 4 | 1.49 | 0.031 | 0.041 | CC | neg |
| GO:0005793 | endoplasmic reticulum-Golgi intermediate... | 5 | 2 | 0.31 | 0.032 | 0.041 | CC | neg |
| GO:1904813 | ficolin-1-rich granule lumen | 6 | 2 | 0.37 | 0.035 | 0.041 | CC | neg |
| GO:0044322 | endoplasmic reticulum quality control co... | 5 | 2 | 0.31 | 0.036 | 0.041 | CC | neg |
| GO:0044430 | cytoskeletal part | 150 | 15 | 9.28 | 0.038 | 0.041 | CC | neg |
| GO:0072562 | blood microparticle | 6 | 2 | 0.37 | 0.039 | 0.041 | CC | neg |
| GO:0098794 | postsynapse | 74 | 9 | 4.58 | 0.039 | 0.041 | CC | neg |
| GO:0043198 | dendritic shaft | 5 | 2 | 0.31 | 0.041 | 0.041 | CC | neg |
| GO:0046872 | metal ion binding | 364 | 326 | 317.95 | 0.001 | 0.028 | MF | zreo |
| GO:0051050 | positive regulation of transport | 78 | 76 | 67.93 | 0.002 | 0.019 | BP | zreo |
| GO:0000122 | negative regulation of transcription by ... | 76 | 75 | 66.18 | 0.002 | 0.019 | BP | zreo |
| GO:0048468 | cell development | 214 | 200 | 186.36 | 0.004 | 0.019 | BP | zreo |
| GO:0010629 | negative regulation of gene expression | 159 | 150 | 138.47 | 0.004 | 0.019 | BP | zreo |
| GO:0051347 | positive regulation of transferase activ... | 55 | 53 | 47.9 | 0.005 | 0.019 | BP | zreo |
| GO:0097190 | apoptotic signaling pathway | 55 | 53 | 47.9 | 0.007 | 0.019 | BP | zreo |
| GO:2001233 | regulation of apoptotic signaling pathwa... | 33 | 33 | 28.74 | 0.009 | 0.019 | BP | zreo |
| GO:0007275 | multicellular organism development | 511 | 459 | 445.01 | 0.009 | 0.019 | BP | zreo |
| GO:0019693 | ribose phosphate metabolic process | 39 | 39 | 33.96 | 0.010 | 0.019 | BP | zreo |
| GO:0008152 | metabolic process | 925 | 820 | 805.54 | 0.011 | 0.019 | BP | zreo |
| GO:0045934 | negative regulation of nucleobase-contai... | 123 | 117 | 107.12 | 0.011 | 0.019 | BP | zreo |
| GO:0042327 | positive regulation of phosphorylation | 76 | 72 | 66.18 | 0.012 | 0.019 | BP | zreo |
| GO:0051253 | negative regulation of RNA metabolic pro... | 117 | 111 | 101.89 | 0.013 | 0.019 | BP | zreo |
| GO:0042330 | taxis | 49 | 48 | 42.67 | 0.013 | 0.019 | BP | zreo |
| GO:0045892 | negative regulation of transcription, DN... | 109 | 104 | 94.92 | 0.015 | 0.019 | BP | zreo |
| GO:0070848 | response to growth factor | 74 | 71 | 64.44 | 0.017 | 0.019 | BP | zreo |
| GO:0044237 | cellular metabolic process | 870 | 772 | 757.64 | 0.017 | 0.019 | BP | zreo |
| GO:0044283 | small molecule biosynthetic process | 69 | 67 | 60.09 | 0.018 | 0.019 | BP | zreo |
| GO:0051130 | positive regulation of cellular componen... | 113 | 106 | 98.41 | 0.019 | 0.019 | BP | zreo |
| GO:0030030 | cell projection organization | 165 | 153 | 143.69 | 0.019 | 0.019 | BP | zero |

## CHAPTER II

## $X$ chromosome introgression in the Alouatta hybrid zone mirrors archaic ancestry in human genomes

## Introduction

Recent advances in sequencing technology have allowed the exploration of genomic signatures of speciation in many organisms. A major observation that has come out of this research is that sex chromosomes are important drivers of reproductive isolation across many animal systems (e.g., birds: Sætre et al. 2002, Carling \& Brumfield 2008, Drosophila: Presgraves 2008, Mus: Good et al. 2008a,b, 2010, Janoušek et al. 2012, fish: Kitano \& Peichel 2012). Sequencing of archaic hominin DNA and its comparison with that of modern humans indicates that humans interbred with archaic lineages, resulting in the production of admixed offspring (Reich et al. 2010, 2011, Green et al. 2010, Prüfer et al. 2014). Comparison of archaic hominin genomes with modern human genomes revealed that individuals in Europe and East Asia interbred with Neanderthals and Denisovans, and that some modern humans with ancestors from outside of Africa carry as much as $\sim 5 \%$ archaic DNA as a result of this ancient admixture (Sankararaman et al. 2014, 2016). However, there are many regions of reduced ancestry from archaic hominins in modern human genomes (i.e., "deserts" of archaic ancestry), which are especially enriched on the $X$ chromosome (Sankararaman et al. 2014, 2016). Further, Neanderthal Y chromosome sequence has not been discovered in modern human genomes
(Mendez et al. 2016), suggesting that despite introgressive hybridization, F1 males may have been unfit. These findings suggest the X and Y chromosomal regions may have been involved in hybrid incompatibilities during the time of hybridization and thus archaic ancestry was rapidly purged by selection acting on unfit hybrids, limiting introgression on sex chromosomes.

Experimental model systems have proven to be powerful to interrogate the mechanisms underlying the large role of the sex chromosomes in speciation. However, empirical investigations of this process using natural populations in taxa with XY sex chromosome systems have been limited in taxonomic breadth (Presgraves 2018) due to challenges associated with extensive sampling and limited genomic resources. Thus, the generality of this pattern within taxonomic groups (e.g., mammals, Primates) is not clear due to a lack of empirical investigation of gene introgression in natural systems, and whether a common genetic architecture (i.e., underlying genetic basis) of reproductive isolation is shared among systems. Thus, it is important to broaden investigations to determine the generality of this pattern and its underlying genetic basis, especially among natural systems. Natural hybrid zones offer unique opportunities to test predictions of the rules of speciation. When admixture occurs between divergent lineages, novel combinations of alleles in hybrids are tested by selection (Dobzhanksy 1936, Muller 1942). Recombination shuffles composite genomes with many generations of backcrossing, and loci associated with reproductive isolation are expected to have restricted introgression as a result of selection against unfit hybrids carrying incompatible alleles (Barton \& Hewitt 1985, Gompert \& Buerkle 2011).

Here, we investigate interspecific gene flow in a howler monkey hybrid zone (Alouatta palliata x Alouatta pigra) to test for reduced introgression of X-linked markers, a prediction of the large-X effect on postzygotic isolation. The parental species diverged $\sim 3$ MA (Cortés-Ortiz et
al. 2003), and the contact zone is likely the result of secondary contact after periods of isolation and expansion (Cortés-Ortiz et al. 2003, Ford 2006, Ellsworth \& Hoelzer 2006). We previously analyzed introgression in this system with a limited number of loci and found differential introgression for autosomal markers (i.e., some had reduced introgression, others had neutral or directional introgression), but markers on the X and Y chromosomes had restricted introgression (Cortés-Ortiz et al. 2019). This observation is consistent with a role for the sex chromosomes in reproductive isolation in this system, but because we only used 3 X -linked markers we were not able to determine the extent of reduced introgression across the X chromosome or identify the candidate regions driving this reduced introgression.

Specifically, we identify and validate X chromosome sequence in the $A$. palliata genome assembly and use reduced-representation sequence data in genomic cline analysis to test for a large X -effect in this system. If the X chromosome is disproportionately involved in postzygotic reproductive isolation, we should observe reduced introgression of X-linked markers compared to autosomal markers. Specifically, we ask (1) whether introgression of X-linked SNPs is reduced compared to autosomal SNPs, and, if so (2) which X-linked regions underlie this pattern? We also use the validated X chromosome sequence to assess the degree of its sequence conservation in A. palliata. We discuss our results in the context reproductive isolation in other primate systems.

## Materials and methods

## Overview

We used the draft A. palliata genome assembly (PVKV00000000) to call SNPs from reduced-representation sequence data for our differential introgression analyses to test for
reduced introgression of the X chromosome (see below). Since the $A$. palliata genome assembly is a de novo draft assembly and contigs have yet to be assigned to chromosomes, we first performed a mapping experiment with whole-genome re-sequencing data to identify X-linked contigs. To identify X-linked sequence, we analyzed differences in contig-specific sequencing coverage between female and male $A$. palliata individuals with the assumption that X -linked contigs will show significantly greater coverage for females than for males because in XY species, females have two copies of the X while males only have one. This method has been used in several study systems to successfully identify sex chromosome sequence (e.g., Chen et al. 2012, Carvalho \& Clark 2013, Gamble et al. 2015, Vicoso \& Bachtrog 2015, Mongue et al. 2017, Bracewell et al. 2017). We also take advantage of the high degree of conservation of the mammalian X chromosome (Ohno 1967, Quilter et al. 2002, Raudsepp et al. 2004, Murphy et al. 2007, Delgado et al. 2009) and use sequence homology of our putative $X$-linked regions to primate X chromosomes. We likewise used quantitative PCR (qPCR), to validate X -linkage of $A$. palliata sequences. See Figure 2.1 for an overview of our strategy.


Figure 2.1. Overview of methods used in this study to identify and validate X-linkage for $A$. palliata assembly contigs.

## Whole genome re-sequencing

Between 2001-2012, we obtained blood samples from four wild $A$. palliata individuals (two males, two females) sampled in Veracruz, Mexico (Table A2.1) and stored them in lysis buffer at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. We extracted genomic DNA using the QIAGEN DNeasy tissue kit (Qiagen Inc., Valencia, CA) as described in Baiz et al. (2019). Sex was determined in the field by visual assessment and verified using genetic data by amplifying known genes on the sex chromosomes (following Cortés-Ortiz et al. 2019). Specifically, we amplified the Y-linked SRY locus to verify the presence of a PCR product for males and absence of a PCR product for females, and genotyped an X-linked microsatellite locus to verify the hemizygous genotype for males (Table A2.1).

Our libraries for whole genome sequencing were generated and sequenced by the Sequencing Core at the University of Michigan. For each sample, libraries were constructed
using the Swift Biosciences PCR-Free DNA Library Kit with a target insert size of 350bp following the manufacturers protocol. Libraries were multiplexed and sequenced in a single lane using Illumina HiSeq 4000 to obtain 150bp paired-end reads.

We obtained between $\sim 54 \mathrm{M}-123 \mathrm{M}$ reads per individual from the sequencer (Table A2.1). We used Trim Galore! v0.4.2
(http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim_galore/) to trim adapters and low quality bases $(\mathrm{Q}<20)$ from raw reads and retained only read-pairs where each read was $\geq 100 \mathrm{bp}$ in length after trimming.

## Detection of X-linked contigs

To avoid false identification of X-linked contigs due to differences in sequencing effort among males and females, we subsampled our post-trimmed fastq files to standardize the number of reads across individuals before mapping using seqtk v1.2 (https://github.com/lh3/seqtk) by randomly sampling 50 million read pairs per individual. We used these subsampled files as input for sequence alignment.

Because short read data can potentially map to multiple genomic locations due to the expansion of repetitive sequence, we generated a repeat-masked version of the $A$. palliata genome assembly in order to map reads to unique sequences. To do this, we used RepeatMasker v4.0.6 (Smit et al. 2013) on A. palliata contigs 1 kb and larger ( $\mathrm{N}=96,654,87 \%$ of the assembly sequence) using the 'primates' RepeatMasker repeat library (i.e., a library of known primate repeats) to perform a low-sensitivity search (option -qq).

We then used bwa-MEM (Li 2013) to align the subsampled reads to the repeat-masked $A$. palliata genome. For each individual, we used samtools idxsats (Li et al. 2009) to count the
number of reads mapped to each masked contig. We then used exact tests in edgeR (Robinson et al. 2010) to detect contigs for which there was a significant difference in read counts between the sexes, where X -linked contigs are expected to have an average male-to-female $\log _{2}$ fold-change $(\log \mathrm{FC})$ of -1 and autosomal contigs are expected to have a $\log \mathrm{FC}$ of 0 . For this analysis, we excluded contigs with low counts across samples as they provide limited power to detect significant differences between groups. Thus, we only retained contigs where two or more individuals had a count per million $(\mathrm{CPM})>0.2$, corresponding to $\sim 15$ reads ( $\mathrm{N}=78,493$ contigs).

## Validation of X-linkage

We aligned contigs for which we detected sex-differences in read count to the marmoset (CaJac3) and human (hg38) genomes to identify homologous regions. We used these results as a second line of evidence for X -linkage of female-biased contigs since X chromosome sequence is highly conserved across mammals. To do this, we downloaded the masked version of each assembly from the University of California Santa Cruz Genome Browser and used a custom script to remove scaffolds that have not yet been assigned to any chromosome (i.e., sequences with a header containing "chrUn"). We then used lastz v.1.04.00 (a program designed for efficient alignment of long genomic sequences, Harris 2007) to align the repeatmasked $A$. palliata contigs to each repeatmasked assembly, requiring at least $50 \%$ of the query to be included in the alignment block (--coverage $=50$ ) and using a distance of 20 bp between potential seeds (--step=20). To assess the ability of our method to detect X -linked sequence, we also aligned a set of 2,288 unbiased, likely autosomal contigs for comparison (i.e., the same number of female-biased contigs), randomly chosen from the list of contigs that did not have a significant difference in read counts between the sexes.

For sex-biased and unbiased A. palliata contigs, a larger proportion aligned to the marmoset genome ( $>55 \%$ for each contig type) than to the human genome (Figure A2.1). For male-biased and unbiased $A$. palliata contigs, the proportion that aligned to autosomes ( $\sim 94-$ $99 \%$ ) or sex chromosomes ( $\sim 1-5 \%$ ) was similar for the marmoset and human genome (Figure A2.1). Further, the majority (74\%) of the female-biased contigs that aligned to the human X chromosome also aligned to the marmoset X chromosome. This pattern is not surprising given a greater divergence time between Alouatta and Homo than between Alouatta and Callithrix (Perelman et al. 2011). Thus, we considered female-biased contigs that aligned to the marmoset X chromosome to be X-linked for Alouatta in this study.

We used qPCR to test for the expected 2-fold amplification of putative X-linked regions in female individuals compared to male individuals. We randomly selected five putative X linked contigs and one putative autosomal contig for comparison. The five putative X -linked contigs were selected from A. palliata female-biased contigs that mapped to the marmoset X chromosome. The putative autosomal contig was randomly selected from A. palliata unbiased contigs that mapped to a marmoset autosome. The putative X-linked contigs mapped to positions that spanned the length of the marmoset X (between $\mathrm{X}: 6.6-\mathrm{X}: 104 \mathrm{Mb}$ ) and the putative autosomal contig mapped to marmoset chromosome 1 (Table A2.2). For qPCR validation, we designed a primer pair targeting each of the six contigs (Table A2.2) using Primer 3 v. 0.4.0 (Koressaar \& Remm 2007, Untergasser et al. 2012) using the 'human' setting to avoid designing primers in repetitive sequence, target size between 100-200bp, and otherwise default settings. We first verified amplification using standard PCR in at least three $A$. palliata individuals using the following cycling conditions: initial denaturation at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 min , followed by 34 cycles of $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 sec , annealing temperature of $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{sec}, 72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 sec , followed by $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for

5 min . Amplifications were carried out using a reaction volume of $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$, containing $0.63 \mu \mathrm{l}$ each of forward and reverse primer $(10 \mu \mathrm{M}), 0.125 \mu \mathrm{l}$ GoTaq and $5 \mu \mathrm{l} 5 \mathrm{X}$ green GoTaq buffer (Promega), $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ dNTPs ( $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ each), $17.2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ water, and $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ DNA extract. We included one negative control per reaction to ensure no contamination of our PCR reagents. We visualized PCR products on a $2 \%$ agarose gel to ensure amplification of a single band of the expected size.

For each primer pair, we then prepared $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ reactions for qPCR using $0.6 \mu \mathrm{l}$ each of forward and reverse primer $(10 \mu \mathrm{M}), 10 \mu 1$ Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems), $6.6 \mu \mathrm{l}$ water, and $2.2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ genomic DNA ( $\sim 44 \mathrm{ng}$ ). For each primer set per run, we included three technical replicate amplifications each for one male and one female A. palliata individual. We also included one no-template DNA negative control per run using a single primer pair to ensure no contamination of PCR reagents. Amplifications were run on an ABI 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR machine with the following cycling conditions: $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $2 \mathrm{~min}, 95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min , followed by 40 cycles of $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 sec and $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 min . We calculated relative fold-change in template DNA between the sexes using the $2^{-\Delta \Delta C}{ }_{T}$ method (Livak \& Schmittgen 2001), where the gene-of-interest was the presumed X -linked marker, the normalizing gene was the autosomal marker, and female was the 'experimental' condition while male was the 'control' condition.

## Overview of introgression analysis

We performed reduced-representation sequencing on a geographically broad sample of individuals from allopatric ranges of A. palliata and A. pigra and from the hybrid zone and mapped sequence data to the non-masked $A$. palliata assembly to generate genotype data for genomic cline analysis.

## ddRADseq and SNP calling

We extracted DNA from whole blood samples from individuals captured from the wild (see Baiz et al. 2019 for details). Because the X chromosome is hemizygous in males and Xlinked SNPs will appear to be homozygous, biasing genomic cline estimates, we only included sequence data from female individuals in genomic cline analyses to avoid bias in our Xautosome comparison of differential introgression. Thus, our analysis included 88 female individuals, 48 of which were sampled from the hybrid zone in Tabasco, Mexico, 17 from the allopatric range of $A$. palliata and 23 from the allopatric range of A. pigra (Figure 2.2). All allopatric individuals included here have been previously shown to be non-admixed (Baiz et al. 2019). We used double digest restriction site associated DNA sequencing (ddRADseq, Peterson et al. 2012) to generate reduced-representation genome sequence data for these individuals, as described in Baiz et al. (2019).


Figure 2.2. Map of sampling sites used in this study. The allopatric range of A. palliata is in light gray and the allopatric range of A. pigra is in dark gray. Non-admixed individuals are represented with circles, and individuals sampled from the hybrid zone are represented with triangles.

We retained only biallelic SNPs with a minor allele frequency of at least 0.05 , a minimum mean read depth of 12 across all individuals, and sites present in 14 or more individuals in either parental population. To reduce the effects of linkage among markers, we retained only one SNP at random per contig. This resulted in 10,353 SNPs used in the genomic cline analysis. The combined length of these Alouatta contigs with SNPs used in our analysis represents $\sim 39 \%$ of the assembly sequence. We considered X-linked SNPs to be those on female-biased contigs that mapped to the marmoset X chromosome $\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{SNPs}}=97\right)$ and autosomal SNPs to be those on contigs that had no significant difference in read counts between the sexes $\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{SNPs}}=10,256\right)$. The set of X-linked and autosomal SNPs represent approximately equal genotyping densities on female-biased (1.9 X $10^{-5} \mathrm{SNPs} / \mathrm{Mb}$ ) and unbiased contigs (8.4 $\times 10^{-6}$ SNPs/Mb). All filtering steps were carried out using bcftools v.1.3.1, vcftools 0.1 .14 (Danecek et al 2011), and custom scripts.

## Genomic cline analysis

To analyze the pattern of introgression for X-linked and autosomal SNPs, we calculated genomic clines for each locus using $b g c$ (Gompert \& Buerkle 2011, Gompert \& Buerkle 2012), as described in Baiz et al. (2019). This analysis is used to identify loci that are more or less likely than the genome-wide average, which is assumed to be neutral, to introgress between parental populations. Two cline parameters are used to summarize the amount $(\beta)$ and direction $(\alpha)$ of introgression. Loci associated with reproductive isolation are expected to have reduced introgression $(\beta>0)$, while loci with increased introgression $(\beta<0)$ may be candidates for adaptive introgression. Loci with a shift in cline center reflect directional movement of alleles into $A$.
palliata (excess A. pigra ancestry, $\alpha>0$ ) or movement into A. pigra (excess A. palliata ancestry, $\alpha<0)$.

We ran $b g c$ analyses using the genotype uncertainty model and ran five independent chains, each with a burn-in of 30,000 for 50,000 steps, and thinned samples by 20 . We then merged outputs and identified outlier SNPs with respect to both $\beta$ and $\alpha$ from MCMC output as SNPs with a $95 \%$ credible interval that does not overlap zero.

## $X$-autosomal comparison of introgression

To test if X-linked SNPs have a distinct pattern of introgression, we tested for significant differences in cline parameters between X-linked and autosomal SNPs using permutation tests in R. We constructed 10,000 permuted datasets from the autosomal data by sampling without replacement from the distribution of cline parameter point estimates for both $\alpha$ and $\beta$. For each permuted dataset, we sampled 97 autosomal SNPs, ensuring comparisons were made using a sample size equal to the set of X-linked SNPs ( $\mathrm{N}=97$ ). We compared the mean of the observed cline parameter for X-linked SNPs to the mean cline parameter of each permuted autosomal dataset and considered the pattern of introgression for X-linked SNPs to be distinct if the observed mean exceeded the mean in $>95 \%$ of the permuted datasets.

## Genomic basis of non-neutral introgression of the $X$ chromosome

To identify genes on contigs containing SNPs with non-neutral introgression, we queried the marmoset X chromosome for genes using biomaRt v2.36.1 (Durnick et al. 2005, 2009). To do this, we input the marmoset alignment block coordinates for each X-linked $b g c$ outlier contig expanded by 500 kb on both ends to obtain marmoset genes within each region. We also report
human gene homologs within each region, as the human gene annotation is more complete than marmoset.

To determine if the previously observed "deserts" of archaic hominin ancestry in the human genome are homologous to the regions of reduced introgression we observed here, we plotted cline parameter estimates along the human X chromosome for X-linked contigs in our $b g c$ dataset that mapped to the human X using our alignment criteria ( $\mathrm{N}=52$ ) with karyoPloteR v1.6.2 (Gel \& Serra 2017).

## Results

Identification of X-linked contigs in A. palliata
Upon mapping our re-sequencing data to the masked $A$. palliata assembly, we found that read counts for most contigs ( $97 \%$ ) were not significantly different between males and females (i.e., we refer to these as "unbiased" contigs), suggesting they are autosomal (Table 2.1). Thus, we used this set of contigs to call autosomal SNPs for our genomic cline analyses. We detected 2,288 contigs with read counts greater in females than in males (i.e., "female-biased" contigs), with an average $\log \mathrm{FC}$ of $\sim 1$, as expected for X-linked contigs. We also detected 390 contigs with higher read counts in males than in females (i.e., "male-biased" contigs), which was unexpected since reads were mapped to a reference genome generated from a female individual (Broad Institute, personal communication). LogFC was much more variable for these malebiased contigs, many of which went beyond the expected two-fold difference in read count between the sexes (Table 2.1, Figure 2.3).

Table 2.1. Summary of mapping experiments to identify X-linked contigs in the A. palliata assembly. N contigs $=$ number of contigs detected to be biased or unbiased in edgeR, Mean $\operatorname{logFC}=$ mean $\log 2$-fold-change of read counts for male data relative to female data.

| Read <br> count bias | N contigs <br> $(\%)$ | Mean logFC <br> $(\mathbf{M}: F) \pm$ SD | N contigs <br> mapped to <br> marmoset (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Unbiased | $75,815(97 \%)$ | $-0.003 \pm 0.30$ | $1,392^{\dagger}(60.1 \%)$ |
| Female | $2,288(2.9 \%)$ | $-0.992 \pm 0.38$ | $1,077(47.1 \%)$ |
| Male | $390(0.5 \%)$ | $2.129 \pm 1.53$ | $179(45.9 \%)$ |

${ }^{\top}$ Note that the number of contigs mapped to marmoset for unbiased contigs was 2,288 , randomly chosen to match the sample size of female-biased contigs.


Figure 2.3. Summary of sex differences in read mapping count for $A$. palliata genome assembly contigs ( $\mathrm{N}=96,654$ ). Contigs in black show no significant difference in read count between the sexes and are likely autosomal, while contigs in red show greater read counts for females $(\operatorname{logFC}<0)$ or males $(\operatorname{logFC}>0)$. Blue horizontal lines indicate a 2 -fold difference in read count between the sexes. LogFC is $\log 2$-fold-change and Average $\log \mathrm{CPM}$ is $\log 2$-counts-per-million, a measure of the number of reads mapped averaged across samples.

## Comparative sequence analysis and qPCR validation of X-linkage

Of the set of 2,288 A. palliata female-biased contigs, 1,077 could be mapped to the marmoset genome using our mapping criteria (Table 2.1). Of these contigs, $811(75.3 \%)$ mapped to the marmoset X chromosome, 277 (25.7\%) mapped to marmoset autosomes, and one mapped to the marmoset Y chromosome. This enrichment of hits to the marmoset X is consistent with the results of our comparative read count analysis (see above), indicating that these regions are likely to be X-linked in A. palliata. Comparatively, a much smaller proportion of the unbiased contigs (3.4\%) and male-biased contigs (1.1\%) mapped to the marmoset X while the majority mapped to autosomes (Figure A2.1). Further, $\operatorname{logFC}$ for the subset of the 811 female-biased contigs that mapped to the marmoset X was less variable and closer to the expected -1 for X -linked sequences compared to the larger pool of 2,288 female-biased contigs (Figure A2.2). Thus, this set of 811 female-biased contigs that mapped to the marmoset X constitutes our set of validated X -linked regions used in further analyses.

As proof of concept, we randomly chose five of the 811 female-biased contigs that mapped to the marmoset X chromosome to confirm two-fold amplification in females relative to males (as expected for X chromosome sequence) using qPCR. Consistent with this, mean foldchange was $2.19 \pm 0.49$ (Table 2.2). These observations are consistent with high conservation of the X chromosome in primates and further corroborate our method of identifying X -linked versus autosomal sequence.

Table 2.2. qPCR validation of five $A$. palliata X -linked contigs. $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{Ct}$ is relative quantification of template DNA for each female-biased contig (gene-of-interest) compared to an unbiased (i.e., autosomal) marker (normalizing gene).

| Contig | $\Delta \Delta \mathbf{C t}$ | Fold-change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 26402 | 0.92 | 1.89 |
| 35197 | 1.55 | 2.94 |
| 92787 | 1.14 | 2.20 |
| 118733 | 1.17 | 2.25 |
| 60023 | 0.73 | 1.65 |

Because a portion of our female-biased contigs mapped to autosomes, it is possible that we detected X chromosome sequence in A. palliata that is not shared with other primates (i.e., lineage-specific translocations to the X chromosome). To explore this, we looked at the mapping positions of the 277 female-biased contigs that mapped to marmoset autosomes and compared them to the mapping positions of male-biased and unbiased contigs for the autosomes with the most hits (Figure A2.3A). After the X chromosome, chromosome 7 had the highest number of hits for female-biased contigs. However, chromosome 7 also had the highest number of hits for both male-biased and unbiased contigs, and for all contig types, the hits were clustered around positions 29.6 MB and 74.3 MB (Figure A2.3B). Similarly, chromosome 21 had the third highest number of hits for female-biased contigs, high mapping numbers for male-biased and unbiased contigs, and a clustering of mapping positions around 17.7 MB (Figure A2.3C). These mapping positions are not unique to sex-biased contigs, which may be due to multiple factors including misassembly. This may also indicate that these sequences are not unique to the sex chromosomes. Thus, we dropped female-biased contigs that map to marmoset autosomes and male-biased contigs from further analyses to account for this uncertainty.

## Distinct introgression of X-linked SNPs

Genomic cline parameters for most SNPs were consistent with neutral introgression, but we detected several outliers (Table 2.3). Among outlier autosomal SNPs, the majority had increased introgression $(\beta<0)$ and excess ancestry from A. pigra $(\alpha>0)$. On the other hand, outlier X-linked SNPs had reduced introgression $(\beta>0)$ and excess ancestry from A. palliata $(\alpha<0)$
(Figure 2.4).

Table 2.3. Number of $X$-linked (type $=X$ ) and autosomal (type $=A$ ) SNPs with neutral (zero) and extreme introgression (outliers). The cline parameter $\beta$ is a measure of the amount of introgression, where negative outliers have increased introgression and positive outliers have reduced introgression. The cline parameter $\alpha$ measures the direction of introgression where negative outliers have excess $A$. palliata ancestry and positive outliers have excess A. pigra ancestry.

| Cline <br> parameter | Type | Negative <br> outlier | Zero <br> (neutral) | Positive <br> outlier |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\beta$ | X | 0 | 95 | 2 |
|  | A | 194 | 10,047 | 15 |
|  | Total | 194 | 10,142 | 17 |
|  | X | 3 | 94 | 0 |
|  | A | 273 | 9,513 | 470 |
|  | Total | 276 | 9,607 | 470 |



Figure 2.4. Histogram of means of 10,000 permuted autosomal SNP datasets (gray bars) for A) the amount of introgression $(\beta)$ and $B$ ) the direction of introgression ( $\alpha$ ). In each case, the vertical blue line is the observed mean for X-linked SNPs, which is more extreme than the mean of the permuted data set in $>95 \%$ samples indicating X-linked SNPs have a distinct pattern of introgression with respect to both cline parameters. Reduced introgression is indicated by $\beta>0$ and increased introgression by $\beta<0$. Excess $A$. pigra ancestry is indicated by $\alpha>0$ and excess $A$. palliata ancestry by $\alpha<0$.

Our permutation tests indicated that cline parameters are more extreme for X-linked than for autosomal SNPs, suggesting a distinct pattern of introgression for the X chromosome in this system. For X-linked SNPs, the amount of introgression was significantly reduced compared to autosomal SNPs (mean $\beta_{\mathrm{X}}=0.22$, mean $\beta_{\mathrm{A}}=-0.02, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ) and the direction of introgression was more negative (mean $\alpha_{X}=-0.17$, mean $\alpha_{A}=-0.003, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ), indicating excess ancestry from $A$. palliata (Figure 2.4), consistent with the signal for outlier loci.

## Genomic basis of the large $X$-effect

After adding 500 kb to each end of the alignment block within the marmoset X chromosome for alignments of contigs with outlier SNPs, two regions overlapped in the marmoset assembly (X: 46475367: 47494965, X:47487523:48488951). Thus, we report this as a
single region (region 1), which had the greatest gene content in comparison to the other X chromosomal regions containing outlier loci (Table 2.4). The two contigs containing SNPs with reduced introgression mapped to the distal end of the long arm of the marmoset X , and the contigs containing SNPs with excess A. palliata ancestry mapped more proximally, two to the short arm and one to the long arm of the marmoset X (Table 2.4).

Table 2.4. Alignment positions to the marmoset genome and gene content of X -linked $A$. palliata contigs containing SNPs with non-neutral introgression. CalJac3=the coordinates of the biomaRt query which includes an extension of 500 kb on each end of the alignment block, N genes=the number of genes within each region, and Outlier type $=b g c$ cline parameter, where $\alpha$ is direction and $\beta$ is amount of introgression.

| Contig | Length (kb) | CalJac3 | Region | N genes | Outlier type |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 49400 | 23.4 | $\mathrm{X}: 46475367: 47494965$ | 1 | 50 | $\alpha<0$ |
| 151667 | 1.4 | $\mathrm{X}: 47487523: 48488951$ |  |  | $\alpha<0$ |
| 30014 | 54.1 | $\mathrm{X}: 67467391: 68496613$ | 2 | 4 | $\alpha<0$ |
| 32694 | 48.1 | $\mathrm{X}: 113940392: 114968526$ | 3 | 3 | $\beta>0$ |
| 54333 | 18.8 | $\mathrm{X}: 135586677: 136602592$ | 4 | 3 | $\beta>0$ |

Of the 97 X-linked contigs represented in our SNP dataset, 53 mapped to the human X chromosome, which included three of the five X-linked contigs containing SNPs with nonneutral introgression (Table A2.3). Of the two contigs containing SNPs with reduced introgression, one (region 3) mapped to a position within one of the previously described human "deserts" for ancestry from both Neanderthals and Denisovans (Sankararaman et al. 2016) (Figure 2.5). The other contig with reduced introgression (region 4) mapped just distally, but outside of the same desert. Finally, a contig with excess A. palliata ancestry mapped to the proximal end of the short arm of the human X . These results are consistent with our mapping analysis using the marmoset X chromosome (Table 2.4), and with a high degree of conservation in X chromosome sequence among primates.


Figure 2.5. Cline parameter estimates for SNPs within Alouatta contigs that mapped to the human X chromosome. The direction of introgression is measured by $\alpha$ (orange, right axis) and the amount of introgression is measured by $\beta$ (blue, left axis). The two previously described "deserts" of archaic ancestry (Sankararaman et al. 2016) are enclosed in boxes and mapping positions of contigs with outlier loci are shown with arrows. Shaded regions along the human X chromosome are cytobands and the centromere is shown in red.

## Discussion

We identified X-linked contigs in the draft $A$. palliata genome assembly by analyzing differences in coverage between males and females using whole genome re-sequencing data and used homology to the marmoset X chromosome and qPCR to validate our results. We then performed genomic cline analysis with reduced-representation sequencing data and compared the pattern of introgression for SNPs on these contigs to SNPs on putatively autosomal contigs. We found that X-linked SNPs have a pattern of introgression that is distinct from introgression at autosomal loci. Cline parameter estimates revealed that compared to autosomal SNPs, X-linked SNPs have reduced introgression across hybrid genomes. This pattern is consistent with a largeX effect on postzygotic isolation. X-linked SNPs also had an excess of ancestry from A. palliata, consistent with limited, but directional introgression of X-linked regions. Using sequence homology to the marmoset and human X chromosomes, we identified candidate sequence on the A. palliata X chromosome that may underlie X -linked postzygotic isolation and directional introgression.

Discovery of X chromosome sequence in A. palliata
Using whole genome-resequencing data, we identified 811 regions in the $A$. palliata assembly to be X-linked based on greater mapped read counts in females compared to males and alignment to the marmoset genome. Consistent with this, we observed approximately two-fold amplification of a subset of these regions in females as compared to males using qPCR. This is the first study to identify extensive, contiguous sex chromosome sequence in A. palliata. However, considering the combined length of these regions is 26.2 Mb , they likely represent $<20 \%$ of the A. palliata X (assuming the size of the A. palliata X is similar to the human and marmoset X , which are $\sim 156 \mathrm{Mb}$ and $\sim 142 \mathrm{Mb}$, respectively). Although, we expect that our dataset includes an underrepresentation of the X chromosome since we limited our analysis to non-repetitive sequence (by repeatmasking the genome). Still, considering only the non-masked proportion of the human and marmoset X chromosomal sequence, the $A$. palliata regions we identified to be X-linked here represent $<50 \%$ of the expected size of the non-masked X chromosome. Further, the pseudoautosomal region of the X chromosome ( 3 Mb in humans) would not be detected using our methods since it is not hemizygous in males. The density of SNPs analyzed in this study was similar for X-linked and autosomal contigs (see results) so this should not bias our results. Regardless, our ability to detect X-linked sequence was limited by several factors, including the contiguity of the A. palliata reference assembly. We only used contigs greater than 1 kb in size in our analyses to avoid downstream complications from working with difficult to assemble sequence with likely high repeat content. We also discarded contigs from our analyses with low mapped read counts across samples due to low power to detect sex differences. Thus, our set of X-linked contigs should not be treated as definitive.

Because a large proportion of our X-linked contigs mapped to both the marmoset and human X chromosomes in similar positions, our results are consistent with a high degree of sequence and gene order conservation in primates following high conservation of the eutherian mammal X (Quilter et al. 2002, Raudsepp et al. 2004, Murphy et al. 2007, Delgado et al. 2009). This result is also consistent with cytomolecular studies that identified a high degree of similarity between the human and $A$. palliata X using chromosome painting probes (Steinberg et al. 2014). This is in contrast, however, to the high frequency of chromosomal rearrangements that have occurred in New World primates (Wienberg \& Stanyon 1998, Müller 2006, de Oliviera et al. 2012). This suggests that despite the propensity for chromosomal rearrangements within Alouatta, including an autosome-Y translocation in A. palliata (Solari \& Rahn 2005, Steinberg et al. 2014), selection for conservation of the $X$ chromosome remains strong.

Some of the female-biased contigs we identified in A. palliata mapped to marmoset autosomes ( $\sim 26 \%$ ). This pattern would be expected for autosomal regions that have been translocated from autosomes to the X chromosome in Alouatta. However, mapping positions for these contigs were shared and also common among male-biased and unbiased contigs. Thus, it may be more likely that these regions are not unique to the X chromosome and represent false positives in our mapping experiment. Investigation of what caused this putatively false positive pattern and identification of any autosome-to-sex chromosome translocated regions are beyond the scope of this study and remain avenues for further research. For example, it may be possible to detect the breakpoint for the autosome-Y translocation and male-specific sequence using an approach similar to our approach here pending the development of a male $A$. palliata genome assembly.

## Distinct pattern of introgression for the $X$ chromosome

We identified several SNPs with non-neutral introgression (Table 2.3). Overall, these results are similar to our previous analyses using the same dataset with different genotyping parameters (Baiz et al. 2019). However, in this work, we detected fewer outlier SNPs which is surprising since we used more loci in the analysis. We suspect that this is due to reduced power associated with including fewer individuals in our analyses (i.e., since we used only females). Given the clear signal in the difference of cline parameter estimates between autosomal and X-linked SNPs (Figure 2.4), we do not believe this has limited our ability to test for a large X-effect.

We found that, compared to autosomal SNPs, X-linked SNPs had reduced introgression (Figure 2.4). These results are consistent with our previous analyses on differential introgression in this system (Cortés-Ortiz et al. 2019). Because our previous analysis used both males and females, (likely overinflating cline parameters for X-linked markers since males are hemizygous for the X chromosome), and only three X -linked markers, this study provides more rigorous evidence for a large X-effect in this system. This is consistent with anecdotal observations indicating that hybrid males with intermediate admixture proportions (i.e., hybrid index $\sim 0.5$ ) may be sterile (LCO, personal observation). We sampled a group in the hybrid zone multiple times containing a male with intermediate admixture proportions ( $\mathrm{Q}=0.46$, Baiz et al. 2019), and even though he was the only reproductively mature male in the group for 7 years, no offspring were observed to be sired. Although this male is not an F1 individual (he carries the $A$. pigra haplotype for both mtDNA and $S R Y$ ), he may carry combinations of incompatible alleles that hinder the production of sperm capable of fertilization. Future studies should connect the signature of the large X -effect we observed here to phenotypic evidence for postzygotic reproductive isolation in this system.

Compared to autosomal SNPs, X-linked SNPs also have excess ancestry from A. palliata. This result is consistent with our previous observations of limited, but directional introgression for some X-linked markers resulting in the acquisition of A. palliata alleles for some A. pigralike hybrids (Cortés-Ortiz et al. 2019, CHAPTER I). Together, these results indicate that for the X chromosome, A. palliata alleles may be more favorable than A. pigra alleles in the hybrid zone when they do pass the species boundary. Outlier region 1 is particularly gene-rich (Table A2.3) and contains genes with varied functions, including functions related to the immune system (e.g., FOXP3, WAS, CFP), neuron function, (e.g., ELK1, SYN1, SYP), and gene regulation (FOXP3, SSX1/SSX4B, UXT).

Because we used reduced-representation data, our ability to pinpoint regions driving the patterns we observe is limited. Given that our genotype data represents a small portion of the genome, it is likely these regions were not sequenced in our library and we are detecting effects of linkage to nearby genes under selection for postzygotic isolation or directional introgression. Future studies using whole genome sequence data that represents the full scope of variation in these species will be needed to pinpoint specific regions underlying non-neutral introgression. It will also be important to link directional introgression of X-linked markers to the introgression of A. palliata phenotypes in the hybrid zone, a prediction that follows from our results. Previous studies using many of the same individuals sequenced here found that the parental species are morphologically distinct for several phenotypic characters, and genetically intermediate hybrids are highly variable in their morphology (Kelaita et al. 2011, 2013). Thus, it may be possible to link key phenotypes (e.g., testis volume, pelage coloration) to the X (and/or autosomes) using association mapping (e.g., Turner \& Harr 2014).

## Genetic basis of large-X effect

Regions with reduced introgression mapped to the long arm of the marmoset X in areas with a relatively low-density of genes. If these regions are involved in postzygotic reproductive isolation, particularly hybrid male sterility, a prediction would be that genes in these regions are enriched for elevated expression in the testis as observed for genes with reduced archaic ancestry in humans (Sankararaman et al. 2014, 2016). Our analyses indicate that region 3 includes three protein-coding genes (Table A2.3), two of which are likely paralogs of the DDB1 and Cul4 associated factor 12-like genes DCAF12L1 and/or DCAF12L2. In humans, both of these genes have elevated expression in the testis. The other gene, PRR32, has low absolute gene expression overall, and does not have elevated expression in any tissue. Region 4 includes three proteincoding genes (Table A2.3), two of which are associated with human homolog AFF2, and one that is unnamed. In humans, AFF2 is expressed at low levels in the testis, but has elevated expression in the cerebellum. To our knowledge, none of these genes has a direct role in mammalian sperm production or function and it is unknown how mutations in these genes affect postzygotic isolation in Alouatta. Again, it is possible that the regions driving reduced introgression of the X chromosome were not sequenced in our ddRAD library and we only detected linked regions in our queries.

It is interesting, however, that one of these regions (region 3) falls within a known "desert" of both Neanderthal and Denisovan ancestry on the human X (Sankararaman et al. 2016), while the other (region 4) maps just distally (Figure 2.5). Because this desert spans a large section of the human $\mathrm{X}(34 \mathrm{Mb})$ and the contig for region 3 mapped in the central portion of that window, it is possible this window includes the true region of interest. This may indicate that this region underlies postzygotic reproductive isolation in both systems and thus may be important to the
genetic architecture of speciation in primates. To address this question, it will be highly informative to compare these results to those from other primate systems. To our knowledge, the Aloautta system is the only non-human primate system that has been used specifically to identify genomic barrier regions. However, there are many known primate hybrid zone systems that could be used to similar ends, some of which have genetic data that have previously been generated (e.g., baboons: Wall et al. 2016, chimpanzees: de Manuel et al. 2016, South American howlers: Mourthe et al. 2018, marmosets: Malukiewicz et al. 2015). A recent study detected historical introgressive hybridization between bonobos and chimpanzees and found that gene exchange was restricted on the X chromosome (de Manuel et al. 2016). However, the authors did not report whether any X-linked regions were more or less resistant to introgression. Future studies on the genetics of hybridization and speciation in primates should report such detail so results can be compared across studies to test the hypothesis that a general genetic architecture of reproductive isolation that drives speciation is shared across primate taxa.
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## APPENDIX A2

Table A2.1. Sample information for the $A$. palliata individuals used in the mapping experiment to identify X-linked contigs. Phenotypic sex = presumed sex based on visual assessment in the
 haplotype), HAM80 = X-linked microsatellite genotype (allele sizes in bp). See Cortés-Ortiz et al. 2019 for details. N raw reads $=$ number of paired reads obtained from the sequencer.

| Sample ID | Phenotypic sex | SRY (Y) | HAMB0 (X) | N raw reads |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| S173 | F | NA | $138 / 138$ | $89,770,052$ |
| S145 | F | NA | $132 / 136$ | $123,076,486$ |
| S608 | M | Apm | 136 | $54,368,866$ |
| S618 | M | Apm | 132 | $90,755,417$ |

Table A2.2. Primer information for Alouatta assembly contigs used in validation of X-linkage ( $\mathrm{A}=$ autosomal contig, $\mathrm{X}=$ presumed X -linked contig, Marmoset position is the chromosomal coordinate for the start of the alignment block).

| Contig | Contig <br> length (bp) | Marmoset position | Primer sequences | Product <br> length (bp) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $84001^{\mathrm{A}}$ | 4,955 | $1: 182,469,879$ | ACGAATGCTTCAGGCTGAGT <br> AGGGAAGACCACTGGTATG | 163 |
| $26402^{\mathrm{X}}$ | 63,021 | $\mathrm{X}: 104,175,832$ | CAGAGGCTAAATGGCTTTGC <br> TCTTGGCTGTTTGCATGAAG | 102 |
| $35197^{\mathrm{X}}$ | 43,086 | $\mathrm{X}: 83,726,463$ | CCCTCCCTGGAGAAAGAATC <br> CTTGGTTGCTTGGAGAAGAA | 113 |
| $92787^{\mathrm{X}}$ | 3,984 | $\mathrm{X}: 52,026,941$ | TGCTTGTCATCCCAACACAT <br> GATTACAGACGCCCACCACT | 144 |
| $118733^{\mathrm{X}}$ | 2,288 | $\mathrm{X}: 6,638,526$ | ATGGGCTAGCAAGACTGCAT <br> TAGGAAGTGGGTTCCTGTGG | 162 |
| $60023^{\mathrm{X}}$ | 14,492 | $\mathrm{X}: 18,870,743$ | CACCTGTTGATGGACACTGG <br> GCCTTTGGCATTCAGATCAT | 139 |



Figure A2.1. Number of contigs that mapped to the marmoset and human genome, for femalebiased contigs, male-biased contigs, and unbiased contigs. Color denotes mapping position to either the X chromosome (light gray), the Y chromosome (blue), or to autosomes (dark gray).


Figure A2.2. Male-to-female (log2) fold change in read mapping count for female-biased contigs and the subset of which mapped to the marmoset X chromosome (i.e., validated X contigs).


Figure A2.3. Mapping positions to the marmoset genome for sex-biased and unbiased contigs, for A) the top four chromosomes with most hits, B) chromosome 7, and C) chromosome 21.

Table A2.3. Genes present in regions associated with Alouatta outlier X-linked SNPs that map to the human X chromosome. $\mathrm{R}=$ region, calJac3=mapping position in the marmoset genome, $\operatorname{bgc}=$ outlier type ( $\alpha=$ direction of introgression: $\alpha>0=$ excess A. pigra ancestry, $\alpha<0=$ excess $A$. palliata ancestry. $\beta=$ amount of introgression: $\beta>0=$ reduced introgression, $\beta<0=$ increased introgression).

| R | calJac3 | Gene | Gene_biotype | Human homolog | Human <br> homolog <br> \%id | Human homolog orthology type | bge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | X:46524184:46524588 |  | protein_coding |  |  |  | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:46559441:46570184 | ARAF | protein_coding | ARAF | 97.7 | ortholog_one2one | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | $\mathrm{X}: 46570165: 46617942$ | SYN1 | protein_coding | SYN1 | 98.9 | ortholog_one2one | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | $\mathrm{X}: 46583380: 46587609$ |  | protein_coding |  |  |  | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:46601350:46601456 |  | snRNA |  |  |  | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:46622530:46628236 | CFP | protein_coding | CFP | 87.6 | ortholog_one2one | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:46634262:46645920 | ELK1 | protein_coding | ELK1 | 96.0 | ortholog_one2one | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:46647105:46653594 | UXT | protein_coding | UXT | 97.6 | ortholog_one2one | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:46783582:46856973 | ZNF81 | protein_coding | ZNF81 | 96.1 | ortholog_one2one | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:46805656:46805760 |  | rRNA |  |  |  | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:46912743:46943825 | ZNF182 | protein_coding | ZNF182 | 96.5 | ortholog_one2one | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:46943345:47077150 | SLC38A5 | protein_coding | SLC38A5 | 90.2 | ortholog_one2one | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:46944183:46949397 |  | protein_coding | SPACA5 | 91.2 | ortholog_one2many | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:46944183:46949397 |  | protein_coding | SPACA5B | 91.2 | ortholog_one2many | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:47008402:47008505 |  | snoRNA |  |  |  | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:47043931:47053167 |  | protein_coding | SSX1 | 58.5 | ortholog_one 2 many | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:47043931:47053167 |  | protein_coding | SSX4 | 58.5 | ortholog_one 2 many | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | $\mathrm{X}: 47043931: 47053167$ |  | protein_coding | SSX4B | 58.5 | ortholog_one2many | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:47043931:47053167 |  | protein_coding | SSX3 | 58.0 | ortholog_one2many | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | $\mathrm{X}: 47043931: 47053167$ |  | protein_coding | SSX7 | 57.4 | ortholog_one2many | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | $\mathrm{X}: 47043931: 47053167$ |  | protein_coding | SSX5 | 56.4 | ortholog_one 2 many | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:47043931:47053167 |  | protein_coding | SSX2 | 48.4 | ortholog_one 2 many | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:47043931:47053167 |  | protein_coding | SSX2B | 48.4 | ortholog_one 2 many | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | $\mathrm{X}: 47056142: 47056261$ |  | rRNA | RNA5SP503 | 77.5 | ortholog_one2many | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | $\mathrm{X}: 47056142: 47056261$ |  | rRNA | RNA5SP504 | 77.5 | ortholog_one2many | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | $\mathrm{X}: 47088308: 47090170$ | FTSJ1 | protein_coding | FTSJ1 | 94.8 | ortholog_one2one | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | $\mathrm{X}: 47116194: 47129261$ | PORCN | protein_coding | PORCN | 97.2 | ortholog_one2one | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | $\mathrm{X}: 47130218: 47138922$ | EBP | protein_coding | EBP | 96.5 | ortholog_one2one | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | $X: 47152036: 47171588$ | TBC1D25 | protein_coding | TBC1D25 | 98.5 | ortholog_one2one | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:47170685:47170785 |  | snoRNA |  |  |  | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | $\mathrm{X}: 47182903: 47183225$ |  | pseudogene |  |  |  | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:47183584:47186730 |  | protein_coding |  |  |  | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:47183584:47184464 |  | pseudogene |  |  |  | $\alpha<0$ |


| 1 | $\mathrm{X}: 47192501: 47192596$ |  | misc_RNA | Y_RNA | 84.4 | ortholog_one2many | $\alpha<0$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{X}: 47210660: 47223652$ | WDR13 | protein_coding | WDR13 | 99.6 | ortholog_one2one | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:47251356:47252178 |  | protein_coding |  |  |  | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:47266935:47268993 |  | pseudogene |  |  |  | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:47304180:47313473 | WAS | protein_coding | WAS | 94.6 | ortholog_one2one | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:47305340:47305474 |  | snoRNA |  |  |  | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:47310054:47310349 |  | misc_RNA |  |  |  | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | $\mathrm{X}: 47321721: 47332971$ | SUV39H1 | protein_coding | SUV39H1 | 94.7 | ortholog_one2one | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | $\mathrm{X}: 47396131: 47412004$ | GLOD5 | protein_coding | GLOD5 | 68.2 | ortholog_one2one | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | $X: 47447336: 47472570$ | HDAC6 | protein_coding | HDAC6 | 91.4 | ortholog_one2one | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:47478309:47479575 | ERAS | protein_coding | ERAS | 93.6 | ortholog_one2one | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:47524542:47530403 | TIMM17B | protein_coding | TIMM17B | 98.8 | ortholog_one2one | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | $\mathrm{X}: 47530215: 47535840$ | PQBP1 | protein_coding | PQBP1 | 98.5 | ortholog_one2one | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | $X: 47536135: 47547272$ | SLC35A2 | protein_coding | SLC35A2 | 97.4 | ortholog_one2one | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | $\mathrm{X}: 47548628: 47554630$ | PIM2 | protein_coding | PIM2 | 97.4 | ortholog_one2one | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:47558863:47592157 |  | protein_coding | OTUD5 | 94.0 | ortholog_one2many | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:47594241:47594345 |  | snRNA | RNU6-722P | 86.7 | ortholog_one2many | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:47597647:47602686 | KCND1 | protein_coding | KCND1 | 93.9 | ortholog_one2one | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:47609181:47638593 | GRIPAP1 | protein_coding | GRIPAP1 | 78.2 | ortholog_one2one | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:47631097:47631185 |  | snoRNA | SNORA40 | 91.0 | ortholog_one2one | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:47648691:47649616 |  | pseudogene |  |  |  | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:47658718:47673447 | TFE3 | protein_coding | TFE3 | 87.4 | ortholog_one2one | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:47691970:47703316 | CCDC120 | protein_coding | CCDC120 | 98.0 | ortholog_one2one | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:47706609:47709461 | PRAF2 | protein_coding | PRAF2 | 91.5 | ortholog_one2one | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | $\mathrm{X}: 47710584: 47716044$ | WDR45 | protein_coding | WDR45 | 96.8 | ortholog_one2one | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:47726915:47727499 |  | pseudogene |  |  |  | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:47756195:47766273 | GPKOW | protein_coding | GPKOW | 91.4 | ortholog_one2one | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | $\mathrm{X}: 47781741: 47781853$ |  | misc_RNA | Y_RNA | 86.7 | ortholog_one2one | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | $\mathrm{X}: 47783521: 47783814$ |  | misc_RNA | RN7SL262P | 84.4 | ortholog_one2one | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | $\mathrm{X}: 47794754: 47799714$ | MAGIX | protein_coding | MAGIX | 90.1 | ortholog_one2one | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:47804303:47807461 | PLP2 | protein_coding | PLP2 | 97.4 | ortholog_one2one | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:47808048:47817687 | PRICKLE3 | protein_coding | PRICKLE3 | 91.2 | ortholog_one2one | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:47817279:47817428 |  | protein_coding |  |  |  | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:47830633:47840358 | SYP | protein_coding | SYP | 96.2 | ortholog_one2one | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:47846758:47877754 | CACNA1F | protein_coding | CACNA1F | 95.3 | ortholog_one2one | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:47866258:47866359 |  | misc_RNA |  |  |  | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:47879139:47897726 | CCDC22 | protein_coding | CCDC22 | 95.1 | ortholog_one2one | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:47898060:47912844 |  | protein_coding | FOXP3 | 90.2 | ortholog_one2one | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | $\mathrm{X}: 47917171: 47935050$ | PPP1R3F | protein_coding | PPP1R3F | 92.3 | ortholog_one2one | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | $\mathrm{X}: 47949517: 47951180$ |  | protein_coding |  |  |  | $\alpha<0$ |


| 1 | X:47949852:47949935 |  | miRNA |  |  |  | $\alpha<0$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{X}: 47970163: 48051622$ |  | protein_coding |  |  |  | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:47970163:48051622 |  | protein_coding |  |  |  | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:47974726:47975839 |  | pseudogene |  |  |  | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:48126558:48133698 |  | protein_coding | PAGE1 | 42.1 | ortholog_one2one | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | $\mathrm{X}: 48298011: 48302715$ | PAGE4 | protein_coding | PAGE4 | 82.4 | ortholog_one2one | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:48352872:48354800 | USP27X | protein_coding | USP27X | 72.6 | ortholog_one2one | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:48398918:48566118 | CLCN5 | protein_coding | CLCN5 | 99.0 | ortholog_one2one | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:48476370:48476460 |  | miRNA |  |  |  | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:48476732:48476799 |  | miRNA |  |  |  | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:48479887:48479964 |  | miRNA |  |  |  | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:48481665:48481748 |  | miRNA |  |  |  | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | X:48482210:48482287 |  | miRNA |  |  |  | $\alpha<0$ |
| 1 | $\mathrm{X}: 48483790: 48483872$ |  | miRNA |  |  |  | $\alpha<0$ |
| 2 | X:67480740:67481444 |  | protein_coding | MAGEE2 | 85.8 | ortholog_one2one | $\alpha<0$ |
| 2 | X:67594298:67595150 |  | protein_coding | ARL5A | 79.1 | ortholog_one2one | $\alpha<0$ |
| 2 | X:67696303:67701697 |  | protein_coding | PBDC1 | 95.3 | ortholog_one2many | $\alpha<0$ |
| 2 | X:68113106:68113192 |  | miRNA |  |  |  | $\alpha<0$ |
| 2 | X:68288442:68288560 |  | rRNA | RNA5SP509 | 91.6 | ortholog_one2one | $\alpha<0$ |
| 2 | X:68480659:68481183 |  | processed pseudogene |  |  |  | $\alpha<0$ |
| 3 | X:114052741:114054497 |  | protein_coding | DCAF12L2 | 94.4 | ortholog_many2many | $\beta>0$ |
| 3 | X:114052741:114054497 |  | protein_coding | DCAF12L1 | 85.3 | ortholog_many2many | $\beta>0$ |
| 3 | X:114422500:114423885 |  | protein_coding | DCAF12L2 | 83.3 | ortholog_many2many | $\beta>0$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{X}: 114422500: 114423885$ |  | protein_coding | DCAF12L1 | 80.5 | ortholog_many2many | $\beta>0$ |
| 3 | X:114626321:114626427 |  | snRNA |  |  |  | $\beta>0$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{X}: 114657456: 114659354$ | PRR32 | protein_coding | PRR32 | 91.9 | ortholog_one2one | $\beta>0$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{X}: 114756176: 114756222$ |  | miRNA |  |  |  | $\beta>0$ |
| 4 | X:135646720:135647448 |  | protein_coding |  |  |  | $\beta>0$ |
| 4 | X:135691416:136093653 |  | protein_coding | AFF2 | 97.4 | ortholog_one2many | $\beta>0$ |
| 4 | X:136008198:136008478 |  | misc_RNA | RN7SKP267 | 85.1 | ortholog_one2one | $\beta>0$ |
| 4 | X:136141861:136179092 |  | protein_coding | AFF2 | 89.4 | ortholog_one 2 many | $\beta>0$ |

## CHAPTER III

## Multiple Forms of Selection Shape Speciation in the Alouatta Hybrid zone

Preamble: The contents of this chapter have been published in Molecular Ecology. The published version appears as: Baiz, M. D., Tucker, P. K., \& Cortés-Ortiz, L. (2019). Multiple forms of selection shape reproductive isolation in a primate hybrid zone. Molecular ecology 28, 1056-1069.

## Introduction

Natural selection is considered to play an important role in driving speciation (Funk et al. 2006, Sobel et al. 2010). Divergent selection can contribute to speciation when allopatric populations encounter different habitats with different selective pressures (Schluter 2001, 2009). Under such circumstances, it is expected that loci that underlie local adaptation will show allele frequency differences in populations under different environments (Schluter 2009). Similarly, if allopatric populations encounter environments with similar selection pressures (i.e., uniform selection), different adaptive mutations may be selected for (Schluter 2001, 2009) because different mutations may result in similar optimal phenotypes. If populations that are experiencing either divergent or uniform selection maintain geographic isolation, thus restricting gene flow between them, divergence will proceed and the populations can become reproductively isolated
over time. In either case, the rate of divergence will be contingent upon the rate of migration, the strength of selection, and the initial allele frequencies. It is widely assumed that reproductive isolation can result as a by-product of such divergence in allopatry (Schluter 2001, Wu 2001, Sobel et al. 2010). This idea has rarely been tested empirically (Payseur \& Rieseberg 2016, but see Kilias et al. 1980, Dodd 1989, Nosil et al. 2012a, Gompert et al. 2012b, Parchman et al. 2013, Janoušek et al. 2015).

In sympatric populations, selection can directly favor reproductive isolation. This can occur in hybrid zones when hybrids are less fit than parental types and as a consequence, individuals who mate with conspecifics have greater reproductive success than individuals who mate with heterospecifics (Butlin 1987). This process, called reinforcement, has traditionally been considered to result in a strengthening of prezygotic barriers that prevent the formation of unfit hybrids (Butlin 1987, Servedio \& Noor 2003). However, it has recently been extended to include the evolution of any additional barrier effect in sympatry, including postzygotic isolation, as a form of adaptive coupling of reproductive barriers (Butlin \& Smadja 2018). The frequency at which reinforcement occurs and its importance in shaping species diversity are open questions (Servedio \& Noor 2003, Servedio 2004).

Hybrid zones offer a unique opportunity to test hypotheses about the contribution of different forms of selection that shape reproductive isolation over the course of the speciation process (e.g., Nosil et al. 2012b). They are particularly suited to empirical investigation of the genetic basis of reproductive isolation as population genetic data can be used to infer differential patterns of introgression. Barrier loci, i.e. loci associated with reproductive isolation, should have a signature of reduced introgression relative to the neutral expectation, which is caused by limited gene flow as a consequence of selection against hybrids (Barton \& Hewitt 1985,

Gompert \& Buerkle 2011a). If the genetic differences that contribute to reproductive isolation in the hybrid zone involve loci under selection in allopatric parental populations, we should expect to see higher differentiation in allopatric populations for barrier loci compared to neutral markers (Payseur \& Rieseberg 2016). If reinforcing selection shaped barrier loci in the hybrid zone, we should expect to see greater differentiation in sympatry than in allopatry for these markers (e.g., Nosil et al. 2012b, Wang et al. 2014).

Here, we examined locus-specific differentiation and introgression using reducedrepresentation sequencing data from a bimodal howler monkey hybrid zone (Alouatta palliata x A. pigra) (Cortés-Ortiz et al. 2015) and from allopatric parental populations to test predictions about the forms of selection acting on loci associated with reproductive isolation. The parental species diverged $\sim 3$ MA (Cortés-Ortiz et al. 2003) and have many important differences in their morphology (Smith 1970, Kelaita et al. 2011), cytogenetics (Steinberg et al. 2008), social systems (Chapman \& Balcomb 1998, Ho et al. 2014), and loud vocalizations (Bergman et al. 2016). Throughout most of their ranges, A. palliata and A. pigra are allopatric, but their ranges overlap in a narrow contact zone ( $\sim 20 \mathrm{~km}$, Cortés-Ortiz et al. 2007, Cortés-Ortiz et al. 2019) in Tabasco, Mexico (Figure 3.1). It is likely that the contact zone in Tabasco is the result of secondary contact between the parental species after periods of isolation and range expansion (Cortés-Ortiz et al. 2003, Ford 2006, Ellsworth \& Hoelzer 2006). Despite the relatively large degree of divergence between A. palliata and A. pigra, reproductive isolation is incomplete, as hybridization has been confirmed in the contact zone using molecular markers. Initial surveys showed that multigenerational backcrossed hybrids into each parental species are nearly equally abundant, there are few intermediate hybrids, and no putative F1s (Cortés-Ortiz et al. 2007; Kelaita \& Cortés-Ortiz 2013). We have previously shown that there is a lack of introgression for
$S R Y$ (the Y-linked sex determination gene), suggesting that F1 males may be infertile or inviable (Cortés-Ortiz et al. 2019). Consistent with this, anecdotal evidence suggests that there may be a cost to hybridization as a previously identified intermediate hybrid male did not produce offspring despite living as the only adult male in a group with two reproductively mature females for a period of seven years (LCO personal observation). We also found reduced introgression for X-linked markers (Cortés-Ortiz et al. 2019), consistent with the "large X effect", which suggests the X chromosome plays a disproportionate role in speciation (Coyne \& Orr 1989).


Figure 3.1. Map of sampling sites used in this study. The range for A. palliata is shown in yellow and the range for A. pigra is in gray. Ver=Veracruz, Mexico, Tab=Tabasco, Mexico, Cam=Campeche, Mexico, DG=Dolores, Guatemala, QR=Quintana Roo, Mexico.

For this study, we used ddRADseq data to assess the extent of genomic admixture and the distribution of admixed genotypes across the Alouatta contact zone and identified loci that show a pattern of reduced introgression (the pattern expected for loci associated with reproductive
isolation) relative to the genomic background, which is assumed to be mostly neutral. By exploring the relationship between locus-specific differentiation and introgression in the hybrid zone, we tested the hypothesis that reproductive isolation results as a by-product of divergence in allopatry and that reinforcing selection in sympatry shapes reproductive barriers. We also performed functional annotation for loci that showed the strongest evidence for divergent selection in sympatry to associate these regions with putative phenotypes under reinforcement and evaluated the pattern of introgression for putatively X-linked markers to test for a large X effect. Our results are consistent with signatures of divergence in allopatry and reinforcement in sympatry, indicating that multiple forms of selection have shaped the evolution of reproductive isolation in this system.

## Materials and Methods

## Sampling

Our sampling included 181 wild individuals captured between 1998 and 2012 following procedures described in Kelaita et al. $(2011,2013)$ and adhered to the University of Michigan's Institutional Animal Care and Use Program standards (UCUCA permit \#09319). Individuals were chosen from a larger pool of previously collected samples to maximize the geographic distribution of Alouatta in Mexico, as well as the representation of admixed genotypes present in the hybrid zone (i.e., individuals backcrossed into $A$. palliata, intermediate hybrids, individuals backcrossed into A. pigra) as determined by hybrid index measured from 29 microsatellite markers (Cortés-Ortiz et al. 2019). Thus, our samples included 99 individuals from the hybrid zone in Tabasco, Mexico (Tab), 38 allopatric A. palliata from Veracruz, Mexico (Ver), and 44
allopatric A. pigra including 24 from Campeche, Mexico (Cam), 12 from Quintana Roo, Mexico $(\mathrm{QR})$, and 8 from Dolores, Guatemala (DG) (Figure 3.1).

Samples were kept on ice in the field and stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ upon arrival in the laboratory. Genomic DNA was extracted with the QIAGEN DNeasy tissue kit (Qiagen Inc., Valencia, CA) following the manufacturer's protocol for animal tissue extractions with the following modifications: 1) we added $100 \mu$ l of whole blood in lysis buffer solution (1:5 concentration) to $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ buffer of ATL, 2) we eluted DNA in $70 \mu 1$ of water at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ twice (re-using the same spin column) to maximize DNA yields, after incubating for 5 minutes at room temperature.

## $d d R A D s e q$ and genotyping

We prepared and sequenced four ddRAD libraries, following the Peterson et al. (2012) protocol, each library containing DNA from 48 individuals (two libraries also included individuals sequenced for use in other projects). Briefly, we used the restriction enzymes SphI and MluCI to digest 200-300ng DNA per sample, size selected fragments between 150-350bp using a 2\% Pippen Prep gel (Sage Science, Beverly, MA), and sequenced libraries on an Illumina HiSeq 4000 machine at the University of Michigan Sequencing Core to obtain 150bp paired-end reads.

We demultiplexed our data using pyRAD (Eaton et al. 2014), merged read pairs that overlapped using FLASH (Magoč \& Salzberg 2011), and aligned both successfully merged reads and unmerged reads (which were expected due to our size selection window) to the draft Alouatta palliata genome assembly (accession ID PVKV00000000) with BWA-MEM (Li 2013) using default settings. This genome assembly is part of the 200 Mammals Project of the Broad Institute (unpublished, shared by Kerstin Lindblad-Toh with permission to publish our genotype
data). We then called variants and generated a VCF file using samtools mpileup (including options -u -g -t DP, DPR) and bcftools call (options -v -m -O v) (Li et al. 2009). After removing SNPs within 5 bp of an indel and retaining variants with a minimum quality score of 20 , we obtained 6,415,368 loci (including SNPs and indels) that were subsequently filtered in further analyses (Table A3.1).

## Admixture and population structure

We first used fastStructure (Raj et al. 2014) to quantify admixture proportions and to assign admixed (hybrid) or non-admixed status to individuals. Because we were interested in detecting hybrid individuals, we ran ten replicates using the simple prior to infer admixture proportions $(\mathrm{Q})$ with the number of clusters $(\mathrm{K})$ equal to two, reflecting the parental species. Here, admixture proportions are an estimate of the proportion of the genome inherited from each parental species (i.e., where $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ is the proportion from parental species 1 and $\mathrm{Q}_{2}$ is the proportion from parental species 2 and $\mathrm{Q}_{1}+\mathrm{Q}_{2}=1$ ). For simplicity, we only report $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ as the proportion of the genome inherited from A. pigra.

Because our sampling sites are geographically widespread and there may be some withinpopulation structuring, we ran an additional ten replicates for each K between 3 to 8 to ensure that imposing $\mathrm{K}=2$ on this system did not affect our ability to detect hybrids. We used the fastStructure script 'chooseK.py' to detect the number of clusters that best fit our data. We also examined the correlation between admixture proportion $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ and hybrid index as calculated by $b g c$ (see below) for hybrid zone individuals using the Pearson method in R.

We limited our fastStructure analysis to biallelic loci (those with different alleles between species or intraspecific polymorphism) with low missing data across individuals. To do this, we
used bcftools and vcftools (Danecek et al. 2011) to filter loci by removing indels, non-biallelic sites, sites with a minor alleles frequency of $\leq 0.01$, and sites with a minimum mean depth across individuals of less than 10. Because we did not apply a depth-per-read threshold for calling genotypes, we discarded sites with missing genotypes in more than $50 \%$ of individuals to only include sites with high read depth. To reduce effects of linkage and comply with assumptions of the fastStructure model-based approach, we also thinned sites within 200bp of each other and discarded sites out of Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium in either of the allopatric parental populations. This resulted in 74,448 SNPs, which we included in the fastStructure analysis (Table A3.1). Using this dataset, we dropped 23 individuals from further analyses due to a high frequency ( $>80 \%$ ) of missing genotype data, which can affect confidence in fastStructure results. In further analyses, we identified hybrids as individuals with $0.05<\mathrm{Q}_{1}>0.95$, non-admixed $A$. palliata individuals as $\mathrm{Q}_{1}<0.05$, and non-admixed $A$. pigra individuals as individuals with $\mathrm{Q}_{1}>0.95$. With this dataset, we also visualized structure among sampling sites using principle component analysis (PCA) implemented in SNPRelate (Zheng et al. 2012).

Since our fastStructure analyses identified individuals in allopatric populations with some level of admixture ( $\mathrm{N}=11$, Table A3.2), we dropped these individuals from further analyses to avoid complications from including admixed individuals outside the hybrid zone in differentiation and introgression analyses. This reduced our dataset to include 81 individuals from the hybrid zone (Tabasco), 32 allopatric A. pigra individuals, and 34 allopatric A. palliata individuals (Table A3.1). All further analyses only included these individuals.

## Genomic cline analysis

For our analyses of genomic clines and genetic differentiation, we filtered loci to increase confidence in genotype calls and to maximize information about ancestry. To do this, we retained biallelic loci with a minimum mean depth across individuals of 30 , loci with a minor allele frequency of $\geq 0.05$, and loci that were present in at least $80 \%$ of individuals in either parental population. This resulted in 5,763 loci (Table A3.1) distributed on 2,883 contigs between $80.2 \mathrm{~Kb}-1.28 \mathrm{Mb}$ in size (representing $18.8 \%$ of the total reference assembly).

To quantify introgression across loci and identify candidate variants with evidence for an association with reproductive isolation (i.e., reduced introgression compared to neutral expectations), we used genomic cline analysis implemented in bgc (Gompert \& Buerkle 2011a, Gompert \& Buerkle 2012a). Genomic cline analysis uses differential introgression to identify loci that are more or less likely than the genome-wide average (assumed to be neutral) to introgress between populations. For each locus, $b g c$ uses the cline parameter $\beta$ to quantify the amount of introgression, with $\beta<0$ indicating greater than expected introgression and $\beta>0$ indicating reduced introgression with respect to the genome-wide average. Loci showing evidence for an association with reproductive isolation (barrier loci) are expected to have reduced introgression $(\beta>0)$ due to selection against hybrids.

We ran genomic cline analyses under the genotype uncertainty model (appropriate for next-generation sequence data, Gompert et al. 2012b) in $b g c$ for five independent chains, each with a burn-in of 30,000 for 50,000 steps, and thinned samples by 20 . We then merged outputs and identified $\beta$ outliers from MCMC output as loci with a $95 \%$ credible interval that does not overlap zero.

## Identifying putative $X$ chromosome markers

To allow us to test for restricted introgression of the X chromosome, we used NCBI BLASTN (Altschul et al. 1997) to associate Alouatta contigs with genes on the X chromosome in humans. We expect genes on the human X to be X -linked in Alouatta since gene content and order is highly conserved across mammals (Delgado et al. 2009), and the Alouatta X appears to be highly similar to the human X (Steinberg et al. 2014). We downloaded unique, unspliced human X chromosome genes (GRCh38.p12) from Ensembl (Zerbino et al. 2017) using the online BioMart tool. We assumed any locus to be putatively X-linked if any of the human X gene sequences had a BLASTN best hit (-max_hsps 1 -max_target_seqs 1, otherwise default settings) to the same Alouatta contig and the percent identity of the aligned sequence was $>85 \%$. Using these criteria, we identified 191 putatively X-linked loci on 90 contigs in our data set. To determine the pattern of introgression for the X chromosome, we visually inspected the pattern of introgression for this subset of putatively X-linked loci.

## Genetic differentiation

Loci influenced by divergent selection are expected to show elevated differentiation (Beaumont \& Balding 2004, Gompert \& Buerkle 2011b). We measured locus-specific differentiation with the method of Weir \& Cockerham (1984) implemented in vcftools (Danecek et al. 2011) using the same dataset as for our cline analysis. For allopatric parental populations, we tested for differences in the distribution of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ST}}$ values between loci that showed reduced introgression in the hybrid zone, those with neutral introgression, and those with increased introgression using ANOVA and detected pair-wise differences between each category using the Tukey Honest Significant Difference method, both implemented in base R v3.4.1 (R Core Team
2017). For analyses of differentiation in sympatry, we calculated $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$ between $A$. palliata-like (mean $\mathrm{Q}_{1}<0.5, \mathrm{~N}=54$ ) and A. pigra-like individuals (mean $\mathrm{Q}_{1}>0.5, \mathrm{~N}=27$ ) in the hybrid zone. We included the same set of loci for each comparison, but when we separated the samples into allopatric and sympatric populations, some loci were no longer polymorphic. Thus, for comparisons where sites were monomorphic and at sites where there was more variation within than between populations $\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ST}}<0\right)$, we report $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ST}}=0$. We compared the distribution means of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ST}}$ in sympatry and $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$ in allopatry for loci with reduced and neutral introgression using a Wilcoxon Rank Sum test and by fitting a linear model to the relationship between these two variables in R. If reinforcing selection contributed to reproductive isolation in sympatry, we would expect loci to have higher $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$ in sympatry than in allopatry and to see a relationship between the two variables that differs from a 1:1 linear relationship, which would be assumed if divergence in sympatry is equal to divergence in allopatry (i.e., no reinforcement).

## Genomic basis of reinforcement

To explore potential functions of loci with reduced introgression that showed strong evidence for divergent selection in sympatry, we identified homologous human protein-coding genes near these loci. We first identified the set of loci with reduced introgression $(\beta>0)$ that had greater $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ST}}$ in sympatry than in allopatry $\left(\mathrm{F}_{\text {STsympatry }}-\mathrm{F}_{\text {STallopatry }}>0, \mathrm{~N}=104\right)$, i.e., those with a signature of reinforcement. To focus our search on regions showing only evidence for reinforcement and not divergence in allopatry or adaptive introgression, we excluded contigs that also contained $\beta>0$ loci with greater $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ST}}$ in allopatry than in sympatry and contigs that also contained $\beta<0$ outliers. This resulted in 93 loci on 79 contigs. We then ranked loci by the difference in $\mathrm{F}_{\text {STsympatry }}-\mathrm{F}_{\text {STallopatry }}$ and took the top $10 \%$ of loci with the greatest difference as
candidate loci showing strong evidence for selection in sympatry. For each locus, we extracted the entire contig from the Alouatta genome assembly and used the UCSC genome browser online BLAT tool (Kent 2002) to identify its position in the human genome (version GRCh38/hg38). We BLAT searched the first and last 25 kb of sequence separately for each Alouatta contig and took the outermost coordinates from each alignment so that we could identify human genes that occur between regions for each contig (Table A3.3). For each region, we ensured that alignment orientation, length, and span of human genomic positions were consistent with each Alouatta contig, suggesting that the human genomic regions are collinear and we can assume these genes are also present on the Alouatta contigs. We then used biomaRt (Durnick et al. 2005, 2009) to obtain all human protein coding genes within each region. Finally, we identified mammalian phenotypes associated with each gene using the Mouse Genome Informatics (MGI) batch query tool online (URL: http://www.informatics.jax.org).

## Results

## Structure and admixture

Across ten replicate fastStructure runs for each K between 2-8, maximum likelihood scores were highest for $\mathrm{K}=2$ (Figure A3.1A). Model complexity that maximizes marginal likelihood was equal to two in each replicate, and the number of model components used to explain structure in the data was equal to two in four replicates and equal to three in six replicates (Figure A3.1B). Admixture proportions using $\mathrm{K}=2$ and $\mathrm{K}=3$ were very similar due to extremely low assignment values for each individual to the third cluster (each $\mathrm{Q}_{3}<0.0001$ ) in nine of $10 \mathrm{~K}=3$ replicates (Figure A3.2). Further, hybrid index scores inferred from $b g c$ were closely correlated with fastStructure's $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ at $\mathrm{K}=2\left(\mathrm{r}=0.996, \mathrm{P}<2.2 \mathrm{X} 10^{-16}\right)$ (Figure 3.2B).

Together, these results indicate that $\mathrm{K}=2$ best describes our data and that our use of admixture proportion $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ was appropriate in assigning hybrid status to individuals. Thus, we report mean $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ scores across our ten $\mathrm{K}=2$ replicates (Figure 3.2, Table A3.4).


Figure 3.2. A) fastStructure plot at $\mathrm{K}=2$ showing the geographical distribution of non-admixed individuals and hybrids. Individuals are arranged from West (left) to East (right). A. palliata ancestry is shown in yellow and A. pigra ancestry is shown in gray. B) Admixture proportion $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ is closely correlated with $b g c$ hybrid index for individuals in the hybrid zone (Tabasco, only representing individuals under the blue bar in the fastStructure plot).

Concordant with our previous analyses using microsatellite markers (Cortés-Ortiz et al. 2019), our fastStructure analysis at $\mathrm{K}=2$ shows that most individuals in the contact zone are multigenerational backcrosses to either parental species and there are few intermediate hybrids (Figure 3.2). Out of 81 individuals, we identified five with an intermediate admixture proportion $\left(0.4>\mathrm{Q}_{1}<0.6\right)$. Although admixture is mainly restricted to the contact zone, several individuals in Campeche also appear to be admixed, along with a single individual in Quintana Roo and two individuals in Veracruz (Figure 3.2, Table A3.4). In Campeche and Quintana Roo, most admixed individuals are A. pigra-like $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{1}>0.6\right)$, concordant with the geographic range of the parental species that inhabits those locations. Similarly, the admixed individuals in Veracruz have predominantly A. palliata ancestry $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{1}<0.2\right)$.

The PCA results are largely concordant with the fastStructure $\mathrm{K}=2$ analysis, suggesting that the set of variants used to detect hybrids robustly discriminates the parental species from each other and from hybrids (Figure 3.3A). PC 1 explains 55\% of the genetic variation among individuals and clearly separates allopatric populations (with the exception of admixed individuals detected outside the contact zone). Thus, not surprisingly, PC 1 is strongly correlated with the fastStructure admixture proportion $\mathrm{Q}_{1}\left(r=0.98, \mathrm{P}<2.2 \times 10^{-16}\right.$, Figure 3.3B). PC 2 explains $2.4 \%$ of the genetic variation among individuals and seems to primarily be associated with population structure among sampling sites within A. pigra.


Figure 3.3. A) PCA summarizing population structure among sampling sites. PC1 explains 55\%, and PC2 explains $2.4 \%$ of the genetic variation among individuals. Open circles are nonadmixed individuals and triangles are hybrid individuals as determined by their admixture proportion $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{1}\right)$ in fastStructure. B) Admixture proportion $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ is closely correlated with PC1. The gray line is a linear model fit to the data.

## Differential introgression across loci

We found a small percentage of loci that were $\beta$ outliers (Figure 3.4) consistent with nonneutral introgression. There were 255 loci ( $4.4 \%$ ) that showed reduced introgression ( $\beta>0$ ) distributed on 206 contigs ( 1.2 loci/contig) and 319 loci (5.5\%) with increased introgression
$(\beta<0)$ distributed on 248 contigs ( 1.3 loci/contig). Only six contigs had loci with both reduced and increased introgression. The remaining 5,189 loci (90\%) were consistent with neutral introgression $(\beta=0)$. Of the 191 putatively X-linked loci, 183 (96\%) had neutral introgression (Figure A3.3). Five loci showed reduced introgression, three of which were tightly linked on the same contig (within 12bp). Three loci showed increased introgression, all of which were on different contigs.


Figure 3.4. Locus-specific point estimates for the genomic cline parameter $\beta$ (amount of introgression) with $\beta$ outliers in blue. $\beta>0$ indicates reduced introgression, $\beta<0$ indicates increased introgression. $\beta=0$ indicates neutral introgression.

Locus-specific genetic differentiation between allopatric parental species was high overall (mean $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ST}}=0.65$ ) and ranged from $0-1$ with a seemingly bimodal distribution with peaks near $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ST}}=0$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ST}}=0.9$ (Figure 3.5A). Of the 5,763 loci analyzed, 117 had fixed differences $\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ST}}=1\right)$ between allopatric parental species. Overall, differentiation was positively correlated with the amount of introgression $(\beta)$ in the hybrid zone, but the relationship was weak ( $\mathrm{r}=0.08$, $\left.\mathrm{P}=6.21 \times 10^{-10}\right)$.

Mean $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$ for allopatric parental populations was not equal among $\beta$ categories $(\mathrm{F}=85.93$, $\mathrm{P}<2.2 \times 10^{-16}$ ). Post hoc comparisons indicated that the distributions of $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$ within each $\beta$ category were significantly different from each other (Figure 3.5B, Table A3.5), with $\beta>0$ loci having the highest $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$ (mean $=0.85$, range $=0.31-1$ ), and loci with $\beta=0$ having the lowest $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$ $($ mean $=0.63$, range $=0-1) . \beta<0$ loci had an intermediate $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$ (mean $=0.78$, range $=0-1$ ).


Figure 3.5. Genetic differentiation between allopatric parental species. A) Distribution of $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$ for all loci. B) Boxplot showing $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$ for loci in each $\beta$ category. Within each box, distribution medians are denoted by the vertical line and means are denoted with a black circle. Box height is equal to the 1 st -3 rd interquartile range. $* \mathrm{P}<0.05, * * * \mathrm{P}<0.001$.

## Comparison of differentiation in sympatry and allopatry

Genetic differentiation across loci was lower in sympatry (mean $\mathrm{F}_{\text {STsympatry }}=0.55$ ) than in allopatry (mean $\mathrm{F}_{\text {STallopatry }}=0.65$ ). When loci are partitioned across $\beta$ categories, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$ was significantly higher in allopatry than in sympatry for markers with neutral and increased
 $\mathrm{F}_{\text {STsympatry }}=0.373$, mean $\left.\mathrm{F}_{\text {STallopatry }}=0.778, \mathrm{P}<2.2 \times 10^{-16}\right)$. However, we found that for loci with reduced introgression $(\beta>0), \mathrm{F}_{S T}$ was significantly higher in sympatry than in allopatry (mean
$\mathrm{F}_{\text {STsympatry }}=0.852$, mean $\mathrm{F}_{\text {STallopatry }}=0.850, \mathrm{P}=6.37 \times 10^{-6}$ ). Although the magnitude of the difference is small, this pattern seems to be driven by loci with intermediate differentiation in allopatry having higher differentiation in sympatry, while loci with high differentiation in allopatry tended to also have high differentiation in sympatry (Figure 3.6A). The fit of linear models to the data in each beta category showed that confidence intervals for the slope of the line did not encompass one (Table 3.1), but was closer to one for loci with neutral introgression
(Figure 3.6B).

Table 3.1. Summary of linear models fit to the relationship between $F_{S T}$ in sympatry and $F_{S T}$ in allopatry for loci with reduced introgression $(\beta>0)$, neutral introgression $(\beta=0)$, and increased introgression $(\beta<0)$.

| Pattern of <br> introgression | Adjusted <br> $\mathbf{r}^{2}$ | Slope | Confidence <br> interval 2.5\% | Confidence <br> interval 97.5\% | $\mathbf{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\beta>0$ | 0.53 | 0.36 | 0.32 | 0.40 | $2.2 \times 10^{-16}$ |
| $\beta=0$ | 0.76 | 0.73 | 0.71 | 0.74 | $2.2 \times 10^{-16}$ |
| $\beta<0$ | 0.40 | 0.57 | 0.49 | 0.65 | $2.2 \times 10^{-16}$ |



Figure 3.6. The relationship between locus-specific differentiation in allopatry and sympatry for A) loci with reduced introgression $(\beta>0)\left(\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.53, \mathrm{P}<2.2 \times 10^{-16}\right)$, B) loci with neutral introgression $(\beta=0)\left(\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.76, \mathrm{P}<2.2 \times 10^{-16}\right)$, and C$)$ loci with increased introgression $(\beta<0)$ $\left(\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.57, \mathrm{P}<2.2 \times 10^{-16}\right)$. In each case, the linear model fit to the data is represented by a solid black line with gray shading showing the $95 \%$ confidence interval of the slope, and the dashed red line indicates a 1:1 relationship.

Nine loci were included in the top $10 \%$ of $\beta>0$ loci that showed the greatest difference in $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$ between sympatry and allopatry (Table A3.6). We identified regions of human chromosomes $3,4,7,8,11$, and 16 that seem to be homologous with the Alouatta contigs containing these loci. The human regions contained 42 protein-coding genes, of which 28 could be associated with 420 mammalian phenotypes (MPs) in the MGI database (Table A3.6). Notably, several genes were associated with behavior (SCARB2, BRPF1, SLC5A2, KMT2A), abnormal embryonic/fetal development or lethality (SHROOM3, BRPF1, CRELD1, TADA3, ARL13B, PROS1, KMT2A), hair texture (ARPC4, KMT2A), facial morphology (SHROOM3, CRELD1, KMT2A), and the immune system (ITGAD, ITGAX, CD3C, CD3E, CD3G, KMT2A).

## Discussion

We used reduced-representation sequencing to examine admixture, population structure, introgression, and its relationship with locus-specific differentiation in a natural primate hybrid zone system. Our results are consistent with the hypothesis that reproductive isolation results as a byproduct of divergence in allopatry and we detected a genomic signature of reinforcement in sympatry, indicating that multiple forms of selection have shaped speciation in this system.

## Admixture and population structure

We found a bimodal distribution of admixture proportions in the hybrid zone. Early generation hybrids are rare and multi-generational backcrosses dominate. This pattern is largely consistent with our previous analyses using a small set of microsatellite markers (Cortés-Ortiz et al. 2019). However, we detected admixture in areas where the parental species are thought to be allopatric. We detected a few admixed individuals east of the contact zone in Campeche and Quintana Roo and west of the contact zone in Veracruz. In addition to autosomal markers, we previously amplified a Y-linked (SRY) locus, X-linked loci including the microsatellite locus HAM80, as well as the mitochondrial control region for most individuals sequenced in the study (Table A3.2). Considering the sex-linked genotypes for these individuals together with the admixture proportions calculated in this study, it is clear that these individuals are not F1 hybrids. Due to the apparent absence of non-admixed individuals of the opposite species in these areas, and their distance from the contact zone ( $\sim 200 \mathrm{~km}$ or greater), we suspect that the presence of admixed individuals in these regions is likely due to either long distance migration from the contact zone, movement of animals by humans, or to past introgression during a period when the contact zone occurred in a different location than in present day and has since shifted. It will be
possible to test the hypothesis that the hybrid zone has moved by looking at linkage disequilibrium (LD) in a transect across the hybrid zone (e.g., Wang et al. 2011).

## Introgression in the hybrid zone

We found evidence for differential introgression in the hybrid zone. The majority of loci exhibited neutral introgression, but a small percentage of markers showed extreme introgression (Figure 3.4). We were particularly interested in loci with reduced introgression $(\beta>0)$ as this pattern is expected of loci associated with reproductive isolation. We identified 255 such loci. These loci were distributed on 206 contigs, which may support the hypothesis that reproductive isolation has a genome-wide basis (Parchman et al. 2013, Scordato et al. 2017). However, because the A. palliata genome is not assembled to chromosome-level, it is possible that these contigs may be physically linked.

Point estimates of $\beta$ were much less variable for loci with a pattern of reduced introgression $(\beta>0)$ than for loci with a pattern of increased introgression $(\beta<0)$, particularly for $\beta$ outliers (Figure 3.4). These coincident $\beta>0$ clines may be a reflection of the coupling of multiple barrier effects in the hybrid zone (Butlin \& Smadja 2018). Recent admixture between divergent populations causes correlations between linked loci that persist over many generations (Stephens et al. 1994, Verardi et al. 2006), so the effects of indirect selection on loci near barrier loci can be strong in hybrid zones. The Alouatta hybrid zone is bimodal (Cortés-Ortiz et al. 2019) and differentiation is high between the parental species (Figure 3.5A), so admixture linkage disequilibrium is likely high. Thus, strong barrier effects may influence the whole genome via indirect selection (e.g. Szymura \& Barton 1991), making it difficult to identify loci underlying individual barrier effects (Butlin \& Smadja 2018).

In a previous analysis, we found complete lack of introgression for a Y-linked marker and limited to no introgression for three X-linked markers (Cortés Ortiz et al. 2019), consistent with other studies suggesting the sex chromosomes may plan an important role in reproductive isolation (Tucker et al. 1992, Masly \& Presgraves 2007). We expanded upon these results by identifying putatively X-linked markers in our reduced-representation dataset based on sequence homology to known X-linked human genes. Of 90 putatively X-linked contigs, three had loci that showed reduced introgression and three had loci that showed increased introgression, while the remaining contigs had loci with neutral introgression (Figure A3.3). These results may indicate that few regions of the X chromosome underlie reproductive isolation in this system, although our interpretation may have limitations. First, we may have excluded many loci as putatively X-linked due to divergence between the Alouatta assembly and human gene sequences. However, the mammalian X chromosome is known to be highly conserved across mammals (Delgado et al. 2009, Mueller et al. 2013), only 146 of 2,367 human $X$ genes did not have a match in the Alouatta genome assembly, and percent identity was generally high across BLASTN hits (mean=89.5\%). Second, although the X is highly conserved, New World primates are known to have a high rate of chromosomal rearrangements (de Oliveira et al. 2012) including autosome-to-sex chromosome translocations in A. pigra and A. palliata (Solari \& Rahn 2005, Steinberg et al. 2008, 2014). Thus, many loci we considered to be autosomal may be on regions translocated to the X . Validation of chromosome-linkage for assembly contigs and high density genotype data across the genome will be desirable to overcome these limitations.

## Loci with reduced introgression are highly differentiated in allopatry

We found that compared to neutral loci and loci with increased introgression, loci with reduced introgression were more highly differentiated in allopatric parental populations (Figure 3.5B), suggesting a role for selection in driving reproductive isolation as a by-product of divergence in allopatry. As such, it seems likely that in this system, some level of reproductive isolation was already present upon secondary contact.

Because allele frequency differences are a prerequisite for testing introgression, the amount of locus-specific introgression and differentiation may be non-independent. Simulations have shown that when overall differentiation is low $\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ST}}<0.1\right)$ spurious correlations between $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ST}}$ and genomic cline parameters can occur in the absence of selection (Gompert et al. 2012b). However, this should not be much of an issue here since mean differentiation is relatively high (Figure 3.5A). Further, such an effect should shape the relationship between $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ST}}$ and $\beta$ similarly for loci across $\beta$ categories and thus would not explain why $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ST}}$ is greater for loci with nonneutral introgression.

Our results mirror the few studies that have examined the relationship between locusspecific differentiation and introgression in animals. In manakins (Parchman et al. 2013) and lycaenid butterflies (Gompert et al. 2012b), loci with non-neutral introgression also showed elevated differentiation in parental populations compared to neutral markers. In the house mouse hybrid zone, Janoušek et al. (2015) also observed higher differentiation for markers with reduced introgression, but contrary to our findings, loci with increased introgression showed lower differentiation compared to neutral markers. These results are consistent with the hypothesis that reproductive isolation arises as a byproduct of selection in allopatry, although we recognize that locus-specific differentiation and introgression are determined by the complex interaction of
many factors and that disentangling the effects of selection from other processes can be challenging (Beaumont \& Balding 2004, Gompert et al. 2012c). For instance, high differentiation can occur in regions of reduced recombination due to low levels of within-species diversity possibly confounding any signals of divergent selection (Cruickshank \& Hahn 2014). Therefore, elucidating the cause of elevated differentiation for loci with reduced introgression will provide key insight on the genetics of reproductive isolation.

Although differentiation between allopatric parental populations was greatest for loci with reduced introgression, it was not extremely high for all markers with reduced introgression (Figure 3.5B). Similarly, we observed neutral and increased introgression for markers with very high, moderate, and no differentiation (i.e. from $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ST}}=0$ to $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ST}}=1$ ) (Figure 3.5B). These observations are similar to those in the house mouse hybrid zone (Janoušek et al. 2015) and are likely a reflection of the complexity of the interaction between selection, drift and recombination and suggest that these forces vary across the genome. Despite the mechanism of divergence, this also demonstrates that high differentiation in allopatry is not a perfect predictor for reproductive isolation.

With the data presented here, it is not possible to quantify the contribution of drift to the divergence of loci associated with reproductive isolation. However, if the majority of loci associated with reproductive isolation in this system diverged via genetic drift, we might expect to see a similar distribution of locus-specific $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ST}}$ for loci with reduced introgression and those with neutral introgression. Instead, we observed a significantly greater mean $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ST}}$ for loci with reduced introgression (Figure 3.5B, Table A3.5). Further, it has been recognized that although it is theoretically possible, drift alone is unlikely to result in reproductive isolation (Turelli et al. 2001, Sobel et al. 2010). Phenotypes with the potential to be associated with reproductive
isolation (e.g., sterility/fertility phenotypes) are likely to be subject to selection within species and are thus not likely to be driven to fixation by drift.

Distinguishing the effects of divergent ecological selection from drift may be useful in understanding the role the environment played in shaping these species' evolutionary history. Some have concluded, however, that ecology is rarely, if ever, divorced from speciation and that multiple mechanisms likely contribute to and interact during the speciation process (Sobel et al. 2010, Templeton 2008), and, consequently, the idea that speciation occurs by either ecological divergence or drift is a false dichotomy (Sobel et al. 2010). Regardless, for this system, it will be necessary to take into consideration the possibility that any potential environmental differences encountered during divergence may differ from those currently encountered considering the estimated divergence time of 3 MA for these species (Cortés-Ortiz et al. 2003).

## Evidence for a role of reinforcement

Reinforcement enhances barriers to reproduction between species and can act to complete the speciation process when partially isolated species come into contact after experiencing some divergence in allopatry (Servedio 2004, Butlin \& Smadja 2018). We tested for a signature of reinforcement by comparing locus-specific differentiation between allopatric parental populations of A. palliata and A. pigra to the differentiation between backcrossed hybrids of each parental type (i.e., A. palliata-like and A. pigra-like backcrosses) in the hybrid zone for loci with reduced introgression. If reinforcing selection shaped loci with reduced introgression and thus contributed to reproductive isolation, we would expect to see greater differentiation in sympatry than in allopatry for these markers. Our results are consistent with this prediction.

There are at least two other mechanisms that may result in greater differentiation between sympatric than allopatric populations of the same species (e.g., Wang et al. 2014). First, strong genetic drift after independent range expansions of the parental species may result in greater differentiation in sympatry than in allopatry. However, effects of drift would be expected to have a genome-wide impact (e.g., Li et al. 2008), and we only observed a pattern of overall elevated differentiation in sympatry for loci with reduced introgression, which are expected to be associated with reproductive isolation. Second, it is plausible that greater differentiation in sympatry could result indirectly from independent local adaptation within each species to sites within their allopatric and sympatric ranges (i.e., mutation-order effects, Schluter 2009). However, the divergent alleles that underlie local adaptation in the hybrid zone may be expected to have neutral or increased introgression because such alleles should be advantageous on either species' genomic background (barring any involvement in hybrid incompatibilities). Thus, it seems likely our results reflect selection to increase reproductive isolation in sympatry under the extended view of reinforcement (Butlin \& Smadja 2018). However, we still need to investigate if the loci driving this pattern underlie phenotypes under reinforcing selection.

We investigated mammalian phenotypes associated with genes occurring on contigs of the Alouatta genome containing loci with reduced introgression that represented the top $10 \%$ of those with the greatest difference between $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ST}}$ in sympatry and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ST}}$ in allopatry. We found that some genes in these regions have been linked to mammalian phenotypes that could conceivably be under selection for prezygotic or postzygotic isolation in the hybrid zone (Table A3.6), thus contributing to the extended view of reinforcement (Butlin \& Smadja 2018). For example, several genes are associated with the phenotype "abnormal behavior" (MP:0004924). In mice, one of these genes, the histone methyltransferase $K M T 2 A$, is known to play a role in complex
behaviors in mice including anxiety, nest-building behavior, spatial working memory, and learning (Gupta et al. 2010, Jakovcevski et al. 2015). In several taxa, learning is known to play a role in mate choice (e.g., sexual imprinting, learned avoidance of heterospecific mates), and thus can potentially be linked to prezygotic isolation (Servedio et al. 2009, Verzijden et al. 2012, Dukas 2013). Learning and memory have also been linked to postzygotic isolation since deficiencies in these traits can be selected against in hybrids (Rice \& McQuillan 2018). Recently McQuillan et al. (2018) found that hybrid chickadees scored lower than parental chickadees in associative spatial learning and problem solving tasks. Learning and memory have been implicated in goal-oriented foraging behavior in Neotropical primates (Garber 1989, Janson 1998), traits presumably important for howler monkeys, which maintain a predominantly folivorous-frugivorous diet in highly diverse tropical forests where they adjust their dietary intake on seasonal availability of preferred foods (Raño et al. 2016). Thus, learning and memory deficiencies in hybrids, possibly mediated by $K M T 2 A$, may hinder foraging efforts potentially contributing to lower viability or fitness of howler monkey hybrids in their environment.

Many genes are also associated with abnormal embryonic/fetal development or lethality phenotypes (e.g., MP:0001672, MP:0011092, MP:0010865, MP:0011101). It is possible that incompatible alleles between the parental species in these genes contribute to postzygotic isolation in this system. The contig with the greatest difference in $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$ between sympatry and allopatry annotated using our framework contains SHROOM3, a gene that encodes a PDZ domain-containing protein. In mice, SHROOM3 mutant embryos suffer severe neural tube defects resulting in perinatal death (Hildebrand \& Soriano 1999). Although our functional annotation results offer some plausible genetic mechanisms that could be involved in reinforcement, they should be interpreted with caution. First, it is not known how mutations in
any of these genes affect phenotypes in howler monkeys or what role these genes may play in reproductive isolation in the Alouatta hybrid zone. Further, it is not known whether incompatibilities associated with the genes identified here are directly driving reduced introgression of our loci, or are physically linked to causal variants not sequenced in our reduced-representation library. Similar analyses using whole genome sequence data (e.g., Rafati et al. 2018) will be a valuable step to better associate loci driving reduced introgression of genomic regions with potential functions and phenotypes under selection for pre- and postzygotic reproductive isolation in this system.

Although we did not measure prezygotic isolation with respect to any phenotype, we suspect that there are many traits beyond the phenotypes identified above that reinforcement could potentially be acting upon in this system. Specifically, traits known to be associated with mating behavior in howler monkeys (and thus may have potential involvement with prezygotic isolation) include olfactory cues in urine and other scent markings that males likely use to detect female sexual receptivity (Glander 1980, Horwich 1983), and behavioral displays of sexual solicitation and mate guarding (Glander 1980, Horwich 1983, Van Belle et al. 2009). Color traits are known to be used in mate discrimination in other animal species (Hill 1991, Seehausen \& van Alphen 1998, Jiggins et al. 2001, Waitt et al. 2003) and some have hypothesized that sexual selection on coat color has shaped female choice of mates in howler monkeys, as it may signal a male's competitive ability, health status, maturity, etc. (Crockett 1987, Bicca-Marques \& Calegaro-Marques 1998). Similarly, traits that might influence the outcome of competition between males for access to females may shape the dynamics of heterospecific copulation in the hybrid zone (and thus prezygotic isolation). Such traits include body size, canine length, and testis volume (Kelaita et al. 2011), as well as the loud roaring vocalizations for which howler
monkeys are known (Kowalewski \& Garber 2010, Holzmann et al. 2012, Van Belle et al. 2014, Kitchen et al. 2015). In order to test any of these hypotheses, it will be necessary to quantify these traits and compare the characteristics of sympatric and allopatric individuals with the prediction that if reinforcement has occurred, trait differences between the species will be more pronounced in sympatry than in allopatry (i.e., reproductive character displacement; although reinforcement does not always produce a signature of reproductive character displacement, Servedio 2004). In this study, we did not directly measure selection against hybridization or prezygotic isolation, let alone any potential phenotypes under reinforcing selection. More research will be necessary in order to connect the pattern we observed here, greater divergence in sympatry than in allopatry for loci associated with reproductive isolation, with conclusive evidence for reinforcing selection on any mating discrimination trait. Regardless, in the genomic era, scans similar to the one employed in this study may enhance efforts to understand the frequency and importance of reinforcement in the speciation process by providing a means to detect the signature of reinforcement in the genome.

## Conclusion

We identified a subset of genomic markers with reduced introgression in a natural primate hybrid zone, suggesting an association with reproductive isolation. These markers were more differentiated between allopatric parental populations than neutral loci and loci with increased introgression, consistent with the idea that reproductive isolation is a byproduct of divergence in allopatry. These markers also showed a signature of reinforcement, suggesting that reproductive isolation may have initially been driven by divergence in allopatry, but reinforced
by divergent selection in sympatry. These results reflect the contribution of different selective processes that have shaped the evolution of reproductive isolation in this system.
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## APPENDIX A3

Table A3.1. Number of loci and individuals retained in each data set after filtering. $\mathrm{Apa}=$ allopatric A. palliata, $\mathrm{HZ}=$ hybrid zone, Api=allopatric A. pigra.
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}\hline \text { Data set } & \text { Loci } & \text { SNPs } & \text { Indels } & \text { Individuals } & \text { Apa } & \text { HZ } & \text { Api } & \text { Filters } \\ \hline \text { Raw data } & 6,415,368 & 5,766,502 & 648,866 & 181 & 38 & 99 & 44 & \begin{array}{l}\text { Removed SNPs within 5bp } \\ \text { of an indel and variants } \\ \text { with quality score }<20\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { fastStructrue, } \\ \text { PCA }\end{array} & 74,448 & 74,448 & 0 & 158 & 36 & 81 & 41 & \begin{array}{l}\text { Same as raw data set, plus } \\ \text { removed non-biallelic loci, } \\ \text { indels, loci with minor } \\ \text { allele frequency } \leq 0.01, \text { and } \\ \text { sites with minimum mean } \\ \text { depth of }<10 \text { across } \\ \text { individuals, sites out of } \\ \text { HWE in either allopatric } \\ \text { parental population, and }\end{array} \\ \text { thinned sites within 200bp }\end{array}\right]$

Table A3.2. Details for individuals we determined to be admixed that were sampled outside of the contact zone. $\mathrm{Q}_{1}=$ admixture proportion, $S R Y$ (Y-linked marker) haplotype, and Ham80 (Xlinked marker) microsatellite genotype, and mtDNA haplotype. $S R Y$, Ham80, and mtDNA data are from Cortés-Ortiz et al. (2019). Api=A. pigra type, Apa=A. palliata type.

| Locality | Sample ID | Sex | Q $_{1}$ | SRY | Ham80 | mtDNA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ver | S003 | F | 0.06 | na | Apa/Apa | Apa |
| Ver | S209 | F | 0.17 | na | Apa/Apa | Apa |
| Cam | S283 | F | 0.92 | na | Api/Api | Api |
| Cam | S284 | F | 0.63 | na | Api/Api | Api |
| Cam | S228 | F | 0.81 | na | Api/Api | Api |
| Cam | S231 | F | 0.28 | na | Api/Api | Api |
| Cam | S220 | F | 0.81 | na | Api/Api | Api |
| Cam | S514 | F | 0.38 | na | Api/Api | Api |
| Cam | S518 | M | 0.39 | Api | Api | Api |
| Cam | S245 | F | 0.85 | na | Api/Api | Api |
| QR | S192 | M | 0.89 | Api | Api | Api |

Table A3.3. BLAT search results against the human genome (GRCh38/hg38) for the first and last 25 kb of sequence in contigs of the Alouatta genome assembly (accession ID PVKV00000000) that contain the top $10 \%$ of loci with reduced introgression that show the greatest difference in $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$ between sympatry and allopatry ( $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$ diff). N is the number of ddRAD loci per contig, S 1 and S 2 are matching strands in the human assembly, Length human range is the number of nucleotides between the outermost coordinates of BLAT results for the first and last 25 kb , BiomaRt query is the human genomic coordinates used to identify human genes within each range, and N HG is the number of human genes within each range retrieved from BiomaRt.

| Alouatta Contig | Contig length (bp) | $\mathbf{F}_{\text {ST }}$ diff | N | BLAT human chromosome first $\mathbf{2 5 k b}$ | S1 | BLAT human chromosome last 25kb | S2 | Length human range (bp) | BiomaRt query | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{N} \\ \mathbf{H G} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { flattened_line } \\ & 18002 \end{aligned}$ | 92,090 | 0.46 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { chr7:3,943,431- } \\ & 3,968,534 \end{aligned}$ | + | $\begin{aligned} & \text { chr7:4,012,579- } \\ & 4,041,355 \end{aligned}$ | + | 97,924 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7: 3943431: \\ & 4041355 \end{aligned}$ | 1 |
| flattened_line 5159 | 203,373 | 0.45 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { chr8:37,127,197 } \\ & -37,152,308 \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { chr8:36,938,986 } \\ & -36,979,670 \end{aligned}$ | - | 213,322 | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 36938986: \\ & 37152308 \end{aligned}$ | 0 |
| flattened_line 935 | 372,272 | 0.44 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { chr4:76,528,855 } \\ & -76,551,847 \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { chr4:76,185,427 } \\ & -76,207,572 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | 366,420 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4: 76185427: \\ & 76551847 \end{aligned}$ | 6 |
| flattened_line 3619 | 239,471 | 0.42 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { chr3:9,583,310- } \\ & 9,645,137 \end{aligned}$ | + | $\begin{aligned} & \text { chr3:9,841,400- } \\ & 10,010,823 \end{aligned}$ | + | 427,513 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 3:9583310: } \\ & 10010823 \end{aligned}$ | 17 |
| flattened_line _7652 | 166,002 | 0.33 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \operatorname{chr16:31,362,28} \\ & 2-31,384,551 \end{aligned}$ | + | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \operatorname{chr16:31,506,36} \\ & 4-31,556,497 \end{aligned}$ | + | 194,215 | $\begin{aligned} & 16: 3136228 \\ & 2: \\ & 31556497 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 9 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { flattened_line } \\ & 18038 \end{aligned}$ | 91,979 | 0.32 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { chr3:94,011,037 } \\ & -94,019,161 \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { chr3:93,931,346 } \\ & -93,962,898 \end{aligned}$ | - | 87,815 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3:93931346: } \\ & 94019161 \end{aligned}$ | 3 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { flattened_line } \\ & \text { _7514 } \end{aligned}$ | 167,656 | 0.27 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { chr4:136,574,47 } \\ & 1-136,623,075 \end{aligned}$ | + | $\begin{aligned} & \text { chr4:136,748,53 } \\ & 0-136,774,476 \end{aligned}$ | + | 200,005 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4:13657447 } \\ & \text { 1: } \\ & 136774476 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { flattened_line } \\ & \text { _9274 } \end{aligned}$ | 147,816 | 0.25 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \operatorname{chr11:118,438,1} \\ & 83-118,461,242 \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { chr11:118,316,0 } \\ & 79-118,335,411 \end{aligned}$ | - | 145,163 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11:1183160 } \\ & 79: \\ & 118461242 \end{aligned}$ | 6 |

Table A3.4. Mean admixture proportion $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ scores across ten replicate fastStrucutre runs at $\mathrm{K}=2$. Individuals are arranged by longitude as in Figure 3.2, from East (top) to West (bottom).

| ID | Locality | Mean $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ | SD |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| S087 | Ver | 0.000006 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S088 | Ver | 0.000005 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S089 | Ver | 0.000004 | $4.22 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| S090 | Ver | 0.000005 | $8.43 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| S091 | Ver | 0.000005 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S092 | Ver | 0.000005 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S142 | Ver | 0.000005 | $1.32 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| S143 | Ver | 0.000004 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S001 | Ver | 0.018777 | $5.27 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| S002 | Ver | 0.010092 | $4.42 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| S003 | Ver | 0.064615 | $6.29 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| S004 | Ver | 0.000006 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S005 | Ver | 0.000005 | $1.51 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| S144 | Ver | 0.003320 | $4.18 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| S145 | Ver | 0.000006 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S146 | Ver | 0.000004 | $3.16 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| S147 | Ver | 0.025385 | $5.53 \mathrm{E}-06$ |


| S204 | Ver | 0.011731 | $3.71 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S205 | Ver | 0.000004 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S207 | Ver | 0.000007 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S208 | Ver | 0.000538 | $1.71 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| S209 | Ver | 0.167801 | $8.32 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| S172 | Ver | 0.000006 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S173 | Ver | 0.000007 | $2.84 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| S174 | Ver | 0.000005 | $3.16 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| S175 | Ver | 0.000005 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S176 | Ver | 0.000005 | $1.32 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| S613 | Ver | 0.002811 | $4.75 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| S614 | Ver | 0.000004 | $6.99 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| S615 | Ver | 0.000006 | $1.81 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| S618 | Ver | 0.000004 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S608 | Ver | 0.000004 | $4.22 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| S609 | Ver | 0.000006 | $2.21 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| S610 | Ver | 0.015049 | $4.79 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| S611 | Ver | 0.000006 | $2.21 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| S612 | Ver | 0.000004 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S010 | Tab | 0.017828 | $4.19 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| S334 | Tab | 0.000005 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S335 | Tab | 0.000005 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S336 | Tab | 0.000005 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S337 | Tab | 0.203766 | $8.97 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| S339 | Tab | 0.000005 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S340 | Tab | 0.000004 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S341 | Tab | 0.000004 | $3.16 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| S164 | Tab | 0.800458 | $1.76 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| S165 | Tab | 0.926332 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| S293 | Tab | 0.005780 | $4.10 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| S296 | Tab | 0.000006 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S625 | Tab | 0.000005 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S629 | Tab | 0.000006 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S630 | Tab | 0.000006 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S411 | Tab | 0.052870 | $5.64 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| S432 | Tab | 0.028693 | $4.63 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| S434 | Tab | 0.012284 | $4.62 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| S437 | Tab | 0.000006 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S578 | Tab | 0.000005 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S586 | Tab | 0.016930 | $1.10 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| S588 | Tab | 0.003233 | $7.71 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| S589 | Tab | 0.002384 | $1.41 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| S590 | Tab | 0.007959 | 5.10E-06 |
| S592 | Tab | 0.428938 | $1.25 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| S593 | Tab | 0.000006 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S544 | Tab | 0.979716 | $1.98 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| S545 | Tab | 0.970032 | $1.97 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| S551 | Tab | 0.934743 | $1.82 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| S553 | Tab | 0.000006 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S555 | Tab | 0.004910 | $6.08 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| S559 | Tab | 0.033319 | $7.60 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| S561 | Tab | 0.000006 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S562 | Tab | 0.000106 | $6.24 \mathrm{E}-05$ |


| S536 | Tab | 0.000006 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S538 | Tab | 0.000006 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S532 | Tab | 0.959883 | $1.90 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| S103 | Tab | 0.000328 | $1.80 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| S158 | Tab | 0.000005 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S160 | Tab | 0.000008 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S161 | Tab | 0.009625 | $3.75 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| S162 | Tab | 0.014718 | $4.06 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| S599 | Tab | 0.948552 | $1.69 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| S601 | Tab | 0.958249 | $1.75 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| S407 | Tab | 0.972485 | $2.63 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| S408 | Tab | 0.999733 | $1.84 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| S409 | Tab | 0.974402 | $2.25 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| S410 | Tab | 0.951865 | $2.18 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| S101 | Tab | 0.059595 | $6.09 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| S093 | Tab | 0.006061 | $4.02 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| S094 | Tab | 0.000005 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S439 | Tab | 0.880956 | $1.75 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| S596 | Tab | 0.062070 | $5.51 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| S163 | Tab | 0.964544 | $2.34 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| S308 | Tab | 0.992908 | $3.20 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| S309 | Tab | 0.492985 | $1.35 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| S326 | Tab | 0.000005 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S097 | Tab | 0.605330 | $1.55 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| S099 | Tab | 0.017105 | $4.23 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| S310 | Tab | 0.461529 | $1.34 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| S311 | Tab | 0.000005 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S305 | Tab | 0.948270 | $1.88 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| S307 | Tab | 0.956388 | $2.02 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| S401 | Tab | 0.430550 | $1.24 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| S177 | Tab | 0.942184 | $2.02 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| S301 | Tab | 0.937896 | $2.03 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| S470 | Tab | 0.435858 | $1.26 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| S471 | Tab | 0.000012 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S472 | Tab | 0.000005 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S473 | Tab | 0.080627 | $6.54 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| S474 | Tab | 0.000006 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S476 | Tab | 0.000005 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S477 | Tab | 0.048104 | 5.65E-06 |
| S479 | Tab | 0.000009 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S313 | Tab | 0.969246 | $1.70 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| S315 | Tab | 0.960596 | $1.77 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| S316 | Tab | 0.927754 | $1.76 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| S465 | Tab | 0.745545 | $1.72 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| S182 | Tab | 0.841754 | $1.85 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| S184 | Tab | 0.810838 | $1.86 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| S015 | Tab | 0.959593 | $1.79 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| S283 | Cam | 0.919731 | $1.73 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| S284 | Cam | 0.625811 | $1.54 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| S274 | Cam | 0.999995 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S279 | Cam | 0.999994 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S234 | Cam | 0.999995 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S289 | Cam | 0.980676 | 1.53E-05 |


| S242 | Cam | 0.999995 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| S228 | Cam | 0.814565 | $1.83 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| S229 | Cam | 0.964763 | $1.67 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| S231 | Cam | 0.283298 | $9.56 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| S216 | Cam | 0.990169 | $1.56 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| S219 | Cam | 0.999993 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S220 | Cam | 0.805036 | $1.73 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| S511 | Cam | 0.999995 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S514 | Cam | 0.382904 | $1.14 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| S518 | Cam | 0.387363 | $1.13 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| S526 | Cam | 0.999995 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S149 | Cam | 0.999995 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S245 | Cam | 0.850562 | $1.79 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| S151 | Cam | 0.999995 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S153 | Cam | 0.999995 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S248 | Cam | 0.999995 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S504 | DG | 0.999993 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S505 | DG | 0.961795 | $1.57 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| S506 | DG | 0.999984 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S507 | DG | 0.999994 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S508 | DG | 0.999995 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S509 | DG | 0.999993 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S510 | DG | 0.999993 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S185 | QR | 0.999992 | $5.16 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| S186 | QR | 0.999995 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S187 | QR | 0.999995 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S188 | QR | 0.998290 | $3.39 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| S189 | QR | 0.999995 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S190 | QR | 0.999995 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S191 | QR | 0.999993 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S192 | QR | 0.885564 | $1.69 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| S193 | QR | 0.999993 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S194 | QR | 0.999994 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| S195 | QR | 0.989286 | $1.84 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| S196 | QR | 0.999131 | $2.80 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
|  |  |  |  |

Table A3.5. Results of the Tukey HSD post hoc test, showing that $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$ between allopatric parental populations is significantly different for loci in each beta category.

| Comparison | Difference | Lower | Upper | Adjusted P |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\beta>0-\beta<0$ | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.14 | 0.016 |
| $\beta=0-\beta<0$ | -0.15 | -0.19 | -0.10 | $<0.001$ |
| $\beta=0-\beta>0$ | -0.22 | -0.27 | -0.17 | $<0.001$ |

Table A3.6. Mammalian phenotypes associated with the top $10 \%$ of loci with the greatest difference in $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ST}}$ between sympatry and allopatric parental populations. Contig=Alouatta assembly contig, $\mathrm{FST}_{\text {sym }}-\mathrm{FST}_{\text {allo }}=$ difference between $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ST}}$ in sympatry and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ST}}$ in allopatry, Gene=HGNC symbol, MGI Gene/Marker ID=Mouse Genome Informatics identifier, Name=gene name, MP ID=mouse phenotype identifier, Term=MP definition, RI=tentative type of selection in hybrid zone (pre=prezygotic, post=postzygotic).

| Contig | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{F S T}_{\text {sym }^{-}} \\ & \mathbf{F S T}_{\text {allo }} \end{aligned}$ | Gene | MGI <br> Gene/Marker <br> ID | Name | MP ID | Term | RI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18002 | 0.46 | SDK1 | MGI:2444413 | sidekick cell adhesion molecule 1 |  |  |  |
| 935 | 0.44 | CCDC158 | MGI:2444555 | coiled-coil domain containing 158 |  |  |  |
|  |  | FAM47E | MGI:2686227 | family with sequence similarity 47 , member E | MP:0012768 | decreased KLRG1positive NK cell number | Post |
|  |  | FAM47ESTBD1 | No associated gene |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | SCARB2 | MGI:1196458 | scavenger receptor class <br> B, member 2 | MP:0004738 | abnormal auditory brainstem response | Pre/post |
|  |  |  |  |  | MP:0004924 MP:0004736 | abnormal behavior abnormal distortion product otoacoustic emission abnormal enzyme/coenzyme |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | MP:0005584 | activity <br> abnormal nervous |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | MP:0003632 | system morphology abnormal neuron |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | MP:0002882 | morphology abnormal otolithic |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | MP:0002895 | membrane morphology abnormal phrenic nerve |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | MP:0001078 | morphology <br> abnormal Schwann cell |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | MP:0001106 | morphology <br> abnormal strial <br> marginal cell |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | MP:0004366 | morphology <br> abnormal stria |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | MP:0000048 | vascularis morphology abnormal stria vascularis vasculature |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | MP:0004368 | morphology <br> abnormal ureter |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | MP:0000534 | morphology abnormal ureteropelvic |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | MP:0011487 | junction morphology abnormal ureter smooth |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | MP:0011426 | muscle morphology abnormal urothelium |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | MP:0003630 |  |  |



\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lll} & \text { MP:0003648 } & \begin{array}{l}\text { abnormal radial glial } \\
\text { cell morphology }\end{array} \\
& \text { MP:0001265 } & \text { decreased body size } \\
\text { MP:0001262 } & \begin{array}{l}\text { decreased body weight } \\
\text { decreased bone mineral } \\
\text { density } \\
\text { decreased corpus }\end{array}
$$ <br>
callosum size <br>

decreased dentate gyrus\end{array}\right]\) MP:0000063 | size |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lll} & \begin{array}{l}\text { DFFA-like } \\
\text { effector c }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { MP:0002971 } \\
\text { abnormal brown } \\
\text { adipose tissue } \\
\text { morphology } \\
\text { abnormal fat cell }\end{array} \\
\text { mP:0009115 } & \begin{array}{l}\text { morphology } \\
\text { abnormal fat pad }\end{array}
$$ <br>

morphology\end{array}\right]\)| abnormal gonadal fat |
| :--- |











|  | abnormal bone marrow cell |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | morphology/developme |
| MP:0002398 | nt |
|  | abnormal bone marrow |
| MP:0009278 | cell physiology |
|  | abnormal brain |
| MP:0000913 | development |
|  | abnormal cervical atlas |
| MP:0004607 | morphology |
|  | abnormal cervical axis |
| MP:0004608 | morphology |
|  | abnormal cervical |
| MP:0003048 | vertebrae morphology |
|  | abnormal common |
|  | lymphocyte progenitor |
| MP:0008249 | cell morphology |
|  | abnormal common |
|  | myeloid progenitor cell |
| MP:0006410 | morphology |
|  | abnormal cranial |
| MP:0001081 | ganglia morphology |
|  | abnormal definitive |
| MP:0002123 | hematopoiesis |
|  | abnormal dentate |
| MP:0000812 | morphology |
|  | abnormal dorsal root |
| MP:0000961 | ganglion morphology |
|  | abnormal facial |
| MP:0003743 | morphology |
|  | abnormal hematopoietic |
| MP:0004808 | stem cell morphology |
| MP:0010763 | stem cell physiology |
|  | abnormal hematopoietic system |
|  | morphology/developme |
| MP:0002396 | nt |
|  | abnormal liver |
| MP:0000596 | development |
|  | abnormal lymphocyte |
| MP:0002619 | morphology |
| MP:0001601 | abnormal myelopoiesis |
|  | abnormal myoblast |
| MP:0011808 | differentiation |
|  | abnormal neuronal |
| MP:0006009 | migration |
|  | abnormal neuron |
| MP:0009937 | differentiation |
|  | abnormal paraxial |
| MP:0008029 | mesoderm morphology |
|  | abnormal postnatal subventricular zone |
| MP:0004275 | morphology |
|  | abnormal |
|  | proerythroblast |
| MP:0002416 | morphology |
|  | abnormal rib |
| MP:0002823 | development |
|  | abnormal rostral-caudal |
| MP:0005221 | axis patterning |


| MP:0000689 | abnormal sple |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | morphology |
|  | abnormal sternum |
| MP:0000157 | morphology |
|  | abnormal tail position |
| MP:0013176 | or orientation |
|  | abnormal vertebral arch |
| MP:0004599 | morphology |
| MP:0001577 | anemia |
| MP:0001393 | ataxia |
| MP:0000017 | big ears |
| MP:0004620 | cervical vertebral fusion |
| MP:0004615 | transformation |
|  | decreased B cell |
| MP:0005017 | numb |
|  | decreased birth body |
| MP:0009703 | size |
| MP:0001265 | decreased body size |
| MP:0001262 | decreased body weight |
|  | decreased bone marrow |
| MP:0000333 | cell number |
|  | decreased common |
|  | myeloid progenitor cell |
| MP:0008813 | number decreased erythroc |
| MP:0002875 | cell number |
|  | decreased erythroid |
| MP:0008973 | progenitor cell number |
|  | decreased fibroblast |
| MP:0011704 | proliferation |
|  | decreased |
|  | hematopoietic stem cell |
| MP:0004810 | number |
|  | decreased hemoglobin |
| MP:0002874 | content |
|  | decreased neuronal |
| MP:0004981 | precursor cell number |
|  | decreased pre-B cell |
| MP:0008209 | number |
| MP:0003345 | decreased rib number |
|  | early cellular replicative |
| MP:0008008 | senescence |
|  | embryonic lethality |
|  | before implantation, |
| MP:0011094 | complete penetrance |
|  | embryonic lethality, |
| MP:0011092 | complete penetrance |
|  | embryonic lethality |
|  | during organogenesis, |
| MP:0011098 | complete penetrance |
| MP:0000691 | enlarged spleen |
|  | glossopharyngeal nerve |
| MP:0004569 | hypoplasia |
| MP:0001914 | hemorrhage |
| MP:0001505 | hunched posture |
|  | immune system |
| MP:0005387 | phenotype |


| MP:0002023 | increased B cell derived |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | lymphoma incidence increased erythroid |
| MP:0003135 | progenitor cell number |
|  | increased incidence of |
| MP:0002021 | induced tumors |
|  | increased leukemia |
| MP:0002026 | incidence |
|  | increased leukocyte cell |
| MP:0000218 | number |
|  | increased malignant |
| MP:0002018 | tumor incidence |
|  | increased monocyte cell |
| MP:0000220 | number |
|  | increased mortality |
|  | induced by gamma- |
| MP:0001658 | irradiation |
|  | increased neutrophil |
| MP:0000219 | cell number |
|  | increased pro-B cell |
| MP:0008186 | number |
|  | increased spleen |
| MP:0005649 | neoplasm incidence |
|  | increased spleen red |
| MP:0008476 | pulp amount |
|  | increased sternebra |
| MP:0012284 | number |
|  | lethality throughout fetal growth and |
| MP:0011099 | development, complete penetrance |
| MP:0000600 | liver hypoplasia |
|  | lumbar vertebral |
| MP:0004616 | transformation |
| MP:0000688 | lymphoid hyperplasia |
| MP:0010373 | myeloid hyperplasia |
| MP:0002006 | neoplasm |
|  | no abnormal phenotype |
| MP:0002169 | detected |
|  | perinatal lethality, |
| MP:0011089 | complete penetrance |
|  | perinatal lethality, |
| MP:0011090 | incomplete penetrance |
|  | postnatal growth |
| MP:0001732 | retardation |
| MP:0002083 | premature death |
| MP:0001923 | reduced female fertility |
| MP:0000154 | rib fusion |
| MP:0010179 | rough coat |
|  | sacral vertebral |
| MP:0004617 | transformation |
| MP:0000445 | short snout |
| MP:0001786 | skin edema |
| MP:0002741 | small olfactory bulb |
| MP:0010082 | sternebra fusion |
|  | thin cerebellar granule |
| MP:0006099 | layer |
|  | thoracic vertebral |
| MP:0004618 | transformation |


|  |  | MP:0003179 <br> MP:0003036 | thrombocytopenia |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UBE |  | ubiquitination <br> factor E4A | MGI:2154580 |



Figure A3.1. Model fitting summary of ten replicate fastStructure runs each for $\mathrm{K}=2-8$. A)
Boxplot of marginal likelihood scores, and B) number of replicates supporting $K=2$ and $K=3$ as the appropriate number of clusters.


Figure A3.2. Admixture proportions for each of ten replicate fastStructure runs at $\mathrm{K}=3$. Individual admixture proportions are very similar to admixture proportions obtained using $\mathrm{K}=2$ due to very low ancestry proportions assigned to the third cluster in nine of ten runs (reps 1-9).


Figure A3.3. Amount of introgression $(\beta)$ for the subset of loci $(\mathrm{N}=191)$ on contigs designated as X -linked based on sequence similarity to genes known to be X -linked in humans.

