## Chapter 7

## Late-Stage Halogenation by MalA Experimental Section

### 7.1 Experimental Methods

### 7.1.1 M. graminicola Genomic DNA Extraction and Sequencing

The filamentous fungal strain Malbranchea graminicola was cultivated on a static 100 mL potato dextrose broth (PBD) medium for 10 days at $26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The gDNA extraction and sequencing protocols are the same for that used in Li , et al. ${ }^{2}$ and the Solexa genome sequencing was performed at the University of Michigan DNA Sequencing Core.

### 7.1.2 M. aurantiaca $c D N A$ Preparation

Malbranchea aurantiaca was cultured for 15 days in PDB shaking at 160 rpm at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The Invitrogen Purelink RNA Mini Kit was used with the Plant and Fungal Tissue Processing protocol from the associated Rneasy Mini Handbook (2010) to isolate the RNA prior to treatment with Dnase. Invitrogen Superscript first strand synthesis was used with the Protoscript M-MuLV First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit and protocol to generate the cDNA. malA was amplified from the cDNA template by PCR using the primers below and the following PCR cycle: (1) $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 minutes, (2) $98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 seconds, (3) $66.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 seconds, (4) $68^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 minutes, repeating steps 2-4 40 times.

Primers
5'-GAGAGCTAGCATGGCGCCGACACCAAAGTATACGT-3'
5'-CATTAAGCTTCTATGCAGCTGGCCTGGTAGGGGTT-3'
7.1.3 Cloning of malA-pMCSG7

The malA PCR product was inserted into the $p M C S G 7$ vector by ligation independent cloning (LIC). ${ }^{212}$ Escherichia coli XL1Blue cells were transformed with malA-pMCSG7 for screening and plasmid maintenance. malA' - pMCSG7 was prepared though site-directed mutagenesis as described below. The HpaC flavin reductase (phaC plasmid) was obtained from Prof. David Ballou (University of Michigan). ${ }^{213}$

### 7.1.4 M. aurantiaca Growth and Extraction of Malbrancheamides

The isolation and purification procedure was adapted from Martínez-Luis, et al. ${ }^{5}$ Individual flasks of 75 mL potato dextrose broth were inoculated with $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ spore stock of M. aurantiaca and grown for three weeks, or until a white fungal mat was produced. Prior to the noticeably orange sporulation, the cultures were pulverized and extracted with dichloromethane. The crude extract was acid-base purified first with 1 M HCl , then neutralized with 2 M ammonium hydroxide to pH 9, and back extracted with dichloromethane. The extract was then purified by chiral HPLC on a Phenomenex Lux $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ Cellulose- $3250 \times 10 \mathrm{~mm}$ column. The following HPLC time program was used for separation and purification of the malbrancheamide compounds: $50 \%$ acetonitrile for 18 minutes, gradient to $55 \%$ acetonitrile over 2 minutes, $55 \%$ acetonitrile for 2 minutes, gradient to $40 \%$ acetonitrile over 2 minutes, $40 \%$ acetonitrile for 5 minutes, at a flow rate of $4 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. The mobile phase consisted of water and acetonitrile. From a 1.5 L growth of M. aurantiaca, we obtained the following yields of the naturally occurring malbrancheamides: $1.6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ premalbrancheamide ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, \partial 1.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$,

1H), $2.6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ isomalbrancheamide $\mathrm{B}, 4.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ malbrancheamide B , and $5.8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ malbrancheamide. NMR data tables for all compounds are included below.

### 7.1.5 Expression and Purification

### 7.1.5.1 Expression of malA, malA', malA/A' Mutants, and phaC

E. coli strain BL21 (DE3) was transformed with malA-pMCSG7 and the pGro7 chaperone plasmid set (GroEL/GroES) from Takara. Ampicillin ( $0.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), chloramphenicol ( $35 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), and L-arabinose ( $0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) were added to 1 L of Terrific Broth (TB) media, which was then inoculated with the transformed E. coli cells. The 1 L cultures were grown at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ of $0.8-1.0$ was reached, cooled at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for one hour, induced with 0.1 mM isopropyl $\beta$-D-1thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG), and expressed for 18 hours at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
E. coli strain BL21 (DE3) containing pRARE was transformed with phaC-pET1la7. Ampicillin $(0.05 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL})$ and spectinomycin $(0.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL})$ were added to 1 L of Terrific Broth (TB) media, which was then inoculated with the transformed E. coli cells. The 1 L cultures were grown at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ of $0.8-1.0$ was reached, cooled at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for one hour, induced with 0.2 mM isopropyl $\beta$-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG), supplemented with $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ riboflavin and expressed for 18 hours at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 7.1.5.2 Expression of malA' for Selenomethionyl MalA'

450 mL selenomethionine medium (AthenaES) was supplemented with 25 mL TB media, and $150 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ seleno-DL-methionine. Ampicillin $(0.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL})$, chloramphenicol ( $35 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), and L-arabinose $(0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL})$ were added to the medium, which was then inoculated with the transformed E. coli cells. The cell cultures were grown at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ of 0.6 was reached, cooled at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for one hour, induced with 0.1 mM IPTG, and expressed for 18 hours at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 7.1.5.3 Protein Purification for Chlorination Assays and Large-Scale Reactions

The cell pellet from a 500 mL culture was re-suspended in 30 mL lysis buffer $_{\mathrm{NaCl}}(10 \%$ ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) glycerol, $500 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 20 \mathrm{mM}$ imidazole $\mathrm{pH} 7,20 \mathrm{mM}$ HEPES pH 7 ). The cell suspension was supplemented with $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD) and cells were lysed with 5 mg lysozyme, 2 mg Dnase, and 3 mM MgSO 4 . Cell lysis was completed through sonication and cell waste was cleared through centrifugation ( $18,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ for 25 minutes). The supernatant was filtered and MalA was purified through metal affinity chromatography on a 5 mL His-trap column (GE Healthcare) with a 10 -column volume gradient of elution buffer $\mathrm{NaCl}(10 \%$ glycerol, 500 mM $\mathrm{NaCl}, 30-560 \mathrm{mM}$ imidazole $\mathrm{pH} 7,20 \mathrm{mM}$ HEPES pH 7 ). The protein was incubated on ice with 2 mM ATP and $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ FAD and further purified by size exclusion chromatography on a Superdex S200 16/60 HiLoad column with storage buffer $\mathrm{NaCl}(10 \%$ glycerol, $300 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 20 \mathrm{mM}$ HEPES pH 7 ) to remove the chaperone proteins. 20 mg purified MalA were obtained per 1 L of cell culture.

### 7.1.5.4 Protein Purification for Bromination Assays and Large-Scale Reactions

A cell pellet from a 500 mL expression culture was re-suspended in 30 mL lysis buffer $_{\mathrm{NaBr}}$ ( $50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaH}{ }_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, 10 \mathrm{mM}$ imidazole $\mathrm{pH} 7,300 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaBr}, 10 \%$ glycerol) and supplemented with $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ FAD. Cell lysis was accomplished through addition of 5 mg lysozyme, 2 mg Dnase, and 3 mM MgSO 4 and sonication. Cell waste was cleared through centrifugation (18,000 rpm for 25 minutes), and the protein was purified through batch binding with 10 mL Ni -NTA Superflow resin (Qiagen). The resin-bound protein was washed with wash buffer ${ }_{\mathrm{NaBr}}\left(50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaH}{ }_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, 20 \mathrm{mM}\right.$ imidazole $\mathrm{pH} 7,300 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaBr}, 10 \%$ glycerol) and the protein was eluted with elution buffer $_{\mathrm{NaBr}}$ ( $50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaH}{ }_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, 250 \mathrm{mM}$ imidazole $\mathrm{pH} 7,100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaBr}, 10 \%$ glycerol, 0.2 mM TCEP). Bromide-bound MalA was exchanged into storage buffer ${ }_{\mathrm{NaBr}}\left(50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaH} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, 1 \mathrm{mM}\right.$ EDTA, 0.2 mM DTT, $10 \%$ glycerol, pH 7.3 ) on a PD-10 column (GE Healthcare).

### 7.1.5.5 Protein Purification for Crystallography

The initial steps of the purification were identical to those for the purification of MalA for chlorination assays. The His-tag was cleaved by TEV protease ( 1 mg protease $/ 50 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{MalA}$ ) in an overnight dialysis with storage buffer $_{\mathrm{NaCl}}$, supplemented with $50 \mu \mathrm{MFAD}$ and 2 mM DTT. Tagfree MalA was separated from TEV protease and any remaining His ${ }_{6}$-MalA by metal affinity chromatography, and purified by size exclusion chromatography with storage buffer ${ }_{\mathrm{NaCl}} .10 \mathrm{mg}$ of pure MalA were obtained per 1 L of cell culture.

### 7.1.6 MalA Biochemical Activity Assays

Biochemical activity assays were performed in a $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ volume with the following components: $18 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{MalA}, 54 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HpaC}$ flavin reductase, ${ }^{213} 100 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{FAD}, 50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 250 \mu \mathrm{M}$ substrate, 5 mM NADH, and filled to the total volume with reaction buffer (same as storage buffer $_{\mathrm{NaBr}}$ ). The chlorination reactions proceeded for 20 minutes and the bromination reactions proceeded overnight. The reactions were extracted with ethyl acetate ( $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, in triplicate) and dried down under nitrogen gas. The dried extract was re-suspended in LC/MS grade methanol to a concentration of around $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for $\mathrm{LC} / \mathrm{MS}$ analysis. High resolution mass spectrometry was performed using electrospray ionization on an Agilent quadrupole time-of-flight spectrometer (QTOF 6500 series). Biochemical activity was monitored via the following HPLC method using acetonitrile and water: $65 \%$ acetonitrile for 5 minutes, gradient over 10 minutes to $95 \%$ acetonitrile, $95 \%$ acetonitrile for 5 minutes, gradient over 2 minutes to $65 \%$ acetonitrile, $65 \%$ acetonitrile for 11 minutes to reequilibrate with a flow rate of $0.3 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, monitoring at 240 nm on a Phenomenex Lux cellulose-3, cellulose Tris (4-methylbenzoate) $250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ column.

### 7.1.7 Co-crystallization of MalA'

### 7.1.7.1 MalA' Crystallization Conditions

MalA from M. aurantiaca was recalcitrant to crystallization, but MalA' from $M$. graminicola (generated by Site-directed mutagenesis of malA: L276P/R428P) proved optimal for crystallization. The purified MalA' was dialyzed overnight into a 20 mM HEPES pH 7 buffer with 200 mM NaCl or 300 mM NaCl to remove glycerol, and then supplemented with an equimolar quantity of FAD. Pre-incubation of MalA' with an equimolar concentration of isomalbrancheamide B resulted in crystals with the molecule bound in a lattice contact and not in the active site. For active site complexes with premalbrancheamide, malbrancheamide B, and isomalbrancheamide B , MalA' was pre-incubated with a four-fold molar excess of substrate. Crystals were grown by vapor diffusion from 1:2 mixtures of $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ MalA' pre-incubated with one of the substrates and a well solution containing $2 \mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, 0.2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, 5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CdCl} 2$, and 0.1 M Bis-Tris pH 5.5 . Crystals were cryoprotected in well solution augmented with $10 \%$ glycerol and flash-cooled in liquid nitrogen.

### 7.1.7.2 Data Collection of MalA' Crystals

Data were collected at GM/CA beamline 23ID-B at the Advanced Photon Source (APS) at Argonne National Laboratory. For the SeMet-MalA' crystal, $180^{\circ}$ of diffraction data were collected in inverse-beam geometry using $30^{\circ}$ wedges. All data were processed using XDS. ${ }^{214}$ The SeMet MalA' halogenase structure was solved by single-wavelength anomalous diffraction (SAD) using AutoSol in the Phenix suite to locate the Se sites, determine initial phases and perform density modification (figure of merit $=0.236$ ). ${ }^{215}$ AutoBuild in the Phenix suite was used to build an $82 \%$ complete starting model. The SeMet MalA model was used as a template in molecular replacement to solve the native MalA structure using Phaser in the Phenix suite. A progression of model building and refinement were carried out to complete the models using Coot and Phenix Refine with seven translation/libation/screw groups. ${ }^{216}$

### 7.1.8 Site-Directed Mutagenesis

### 7.1.8.1 MalA ' (MalA L276P/R428P)

The SDM to prepare the L276P/R428P double substitution was performed sequentially starting with R428P. The reaction included 100 ng malA-pMCSG7 template, 100 ng each primer (forward $_{\mathrm{L} 276 \mathrm{P}}$ and reverse $_{\mathrm{L} 276 \mathrm{P}}$ ) $5 \mu \mathrm{~L} 10 \mathrm{x}$ Pfu buffer, $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ dNTPs ( $250 \mu \mathrm{M}$ each), and $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ PfuTurbo from Agilent in a total of $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. The PCR cycle was 1.) $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 seconds, 2.) $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 seconds, 3.) $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 minute, 4.) $68^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 minutes, and steps $2-4$ were repeated for 16 cycles. DpnI digestion contained $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~L} 2 \mathrm{U} / \mu \mathrm{L} D p n I$ and $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ PCR reaction solution for 2 hours at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was performed prior to plasmid isolation by alkaline lysis (Purelink Quick Plasmid Miniprep Kit from Invitrogen) and sequencing to verify the presence of the mutant performed by the University of Michigan Sequencing Core. The L276P substitution was prepared using single primer SDM with 100 ng malA R428P template, $0.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ primer, $250 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{dNTPs} ,5 \mu \mathrm{~L} 10 \mathrm{x}$ Pfu buffer, $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Pfu fusion polymerase in a total volume of $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. The PCR time program was as follows: 1.) $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 minutes, 2.) $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 35 seconds, 3.) $52^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 50 seconds, 4 .) $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 13 minutes, 5.) $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 minutes, steps $2-4$ were repeated for 30 cycles. DpnI digestion and sequence analysis was performed in the same manner as described above.

Primers
forward ${ }_{\text {L276P }} 5^{\prime}$-GCACAGCTTTCGCACCCAATTGTGGAGATTGGG-3'
reverse $_{\text {L276P }} 5^{\prime}$-CCCAATCTCCACAATTGGGTGCGAAAGCTGTGC-3'
R428P 5'-CGTCTACCCTCTTGGGAAGGGAGCCCCATAGCGAACTTGATGGATATGG-3’

### 7.1.8.2 MalA K108A

The malA K108A mutant was prepared using the Quikchange Lightning Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit and protocol. The PCR time program used was 1.) $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 minutes, 2.) $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
for 20 seconds, 3.) $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 seconds, 4.) $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 minutes, 5 .) $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes, steps $2-4$ were repeated for 30 cycles. The QCL DpnI digest and transformation protocol were used with XL10-Gold Ultracompetent cells.

Primer

## 5’-GTAAAAGCACAGCCCATCCGCGAGTCCGAATAGTCGAAGG-3'

### 7.1.8.3 All Other malA Mutants

The mutants were prepared using single primer SDM with 100 ng malA or malA' template, $0.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ primer, $250 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{dNTPs}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~L} 10 \mathrm{x}$ Pfu buffer, $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Pfu fusion polymerase in a total volume of $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. The PCR time program was as follows: 1.) $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 minutes, 2 .) $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 35 seconds, 3.) $\mathrm{X}^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (see below) for 50 seconds, 4.) $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 13 minutes, 5 .) $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 minutes, steps $2-4$ were repeated for 30 cycles. DpnI digestion and sequence analysis was performed in the same manner as described above.

Primers

| Mutant | Primer | $\mathbf{X}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S409A | 5'-GGTTTCACCAACCCGCTCTATGCCCCGGGGATTAATGTTGG-3' | 50.0 |
| S82A | 5’-CCTGGTTACAAGATTGGCGAGGCGACTCTACCTATCTTTTACACCTGG-3' | 50.8 |
| E494A | 5'-GGCAGTTTTTCGCTGGCATAGCGCGATATTTGTCAGATGTTAACATTGAAACC-3' | 49.0 |
| E494Q | 5’-GGCAGTTTTTCGCTGGCATACAGCGATATTTGTCAGATGTTAACATTGAAACC-3' | 49.0 |
| W263A | 5'-CCACCTGTGTTTTCCGGAAGGTGCTGTCTGGGTTATTCGTCTACCCTCTTGGG-3' | 55.0 |
| W265A | 5’-CCACCTGTGTTTTCCGGAAGGTTGGGTCGCGGTTATTCGTCTACCCTCTTGGG-3’ | 55.0 |
| H253A | 5’-CCCTTTGATCTCTATGAAGGTGATGCGACAAACCACCTGTGTTTTCC-3' | 48.0 |
| F489H | 5’-CCCCAGGTGGCATGCCTCTGGCAGCATTTCGCTGGCATAGAGCG-3' | 55.0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} 613 \mathrm{~S} / \\ & \mathrm{C} 616 \mathrm{~S} \end{aligned}$ | 5’-CCGCCCAGATTGGAAAAAGTCTCACTCATCTGGTCTTCTGGGCACCG-3' | 49.0 |
| C112S | 5’- GGACTCAAGGATGGGCTGTCTTTTTACTTTCTTGATCGAGAGAACC-3' | 49.6 |
| C128S | 5’- GGGGCAGTACACAGACTTCTCTAGTGTTGGGGCTCCAGGTTTGG-3' | 53.7 |
| E494D | 5'-GGCAGTTTTTCGCTGGCATAGATCGATATTTGTCAGATGTTAACATTGAAACC-3' | 50.0 |
| H253F | 5’-CCCTTTGATCTCTATGAAGGTGATTTTACAAACCACCTGTGTTTTCC-3' | 48.0 |
| S129A | 5’-GGGGCAGTACACAGACTTCTGCGCGGTTGGGGCTCCAGGTTTGG-3' | 55.0 |
| D129A | 5’-CCTTCGACTATTCGGACTCAAGGCGGGGCTGTGCTTTTACTTTCTTGATCG-3' | 50.0 |

### 7.1.9 MalA Large-Scale Reactions and Isolation of Products

### 7.1.9.1 Chlorination Reaction Conditions and Extraction

Reactions were run in 1 mL aliquots with $90 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{MalA}, 54 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HpaC}$ flavin reductase, 250 $\mu \mathrm{M} 2100 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{FAD}, 50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NADH}$, and filled to the total volume with reaction buffer (same as storage buffer $_{\mathrm{NaBr}}$ ). Reactions were extracted after 20 minutes with 2 mL ethyl acetate in triplicate, dried under nitrogen gas, and re-suspended in methanol for HPLC purification. In a 5.1 mg reaction, 1.7 mg malbrancheamide $\mathrm{B}, 1.3 \mathrm{mg}$ isomalbrancheamide B , and 1.2 mg malbrancheamide were isolated.

### 7.1.9.2 Bromination Reaction Conditions and Extraction

Reactions were run in 1 mL aliquots with $40 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{MalA}, 54 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HpaC}$ flavin reductase, 250 $\mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{2} ,100 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{FAD}, 50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaBr}, 5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NADH}$, and filled to the total volume with reaction buffer (same as storage buffer NaBr ). Reactions were extracted after 12 hours with 2 mL ethyl acetate in triplicate, dried under nitrogen gas, and resuspended in methanol for HPLC purification. In a 3.7 mg reaction with substrate premalbrancheamide, 0.9 mg malbrancheamide C and 0.7 mg isomalbrancheamide C were isolated. In a 2 mg reaction with malbrancheamide $\mathrm{B}, 480 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ malbrancheamide D were isolated. In a 2 mg reaction of isomalbrancheamide B $300 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ isomalbrancheamide D were isolated.

### 7.1.9.3 HPLC Purification

The malbrancheamide B , isomalbrancheamide B , and malbrancheamide products were purified using the same chiral HPLC method as for purification of the fungal extract. The malbrancheamide C , isomalbrancheamide C , malbrancheamide D , and isomalbrancheamide D products were isolated using chiral HPLC with the previously mentioned semi-preparative
cellulose column with the following HPLC time program: $70 \%$ acetonitrile for 14 minutes, gradient to $60 \%$ acetonitrile over 2 minutes at a flowrate of $4 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.

### 7.1.10 Michaelis-Menten Model Kinetics

7.1.10.1 Substrates Malbrancheamide B and Isomalbrancheamide B to Product Malbrancheamide

Reactions were set up in a total volume of $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ with the following components: $1.1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ MalA, $44 \mu \mathrm{M}$ HpaC flavin reductase, $100 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{FAD}, 50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 3.6 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NADH}$, and a variety of substrate concentrations ranging from $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ to $60 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Reactions were quenched with methanol by removing $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ at each time point ( $2,5,10,15$ minutes). Reactions were analyzed on a Schimadzu HPLC with the following LC time program: $40 \%$ acetonitrile for 1 minute, gradient over 6 minutes from 40-85\% acetonitrile, $85 \%$ acetonitrile for 1 minute, gradient over 1 minute to $40 \%$ acetonitrile, re-equilibration to $40 \%$ acetonitrile for 3 minutes. The absorbance was measured at 240 nm and the mobile phase consisted of water and acetonitrile. A Phenomenex Lux cellulose3, cellulose Tris (4-methylbenzoate) $250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ column was used for separation. GraphPad Prism (Version 6.01) software was used to plot the initial velocities against the substrate concentration and to determine the kinetic constants $k_{c a t}$ and $K_{m}$.
7.1.10.2 Substrate Premalbrancheamide toProducts Isomalbrancheamide $B$ and Malbrancheamide B.

Reactions were set up in a total volume of $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ with the following components: $1.8 \mu \mathrm{M}$ MalA, $44 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HpaC}, 100 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{FAD}, 50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 3.6 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NADH}$, and a variety of substrate concentrations ranging from $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ to $80 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Reactions were quenched with $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ methanol by removing $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ at each time point ( $2,5,7,10$ minutes). Reactions were analyzed on a Schimadzu HPLC with the following LC time program: $34 \%$ acetonitrile for 1 minute, gradient over 11 minutes to $62 \%$ acetonitrile, $62 \%$ acetonitrile for 30 seconds, gradient over 30 seconds to $34 \%$
acetonitrile, re-equilibration to $34 \%$ for 3 minutes. The absorbance was measured at 240 nm and the mobile phase consisted of water and acetonitrile. A Phenomenex Lux cellulose-3 Tris (4methylbenzoate) $250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ column was used for separation.

### 7.1.11 Density Functional Theory Calculations

DFT calculations were performed using Gaussian 09 (Revision D.01). ${ }^{217}$ All geometries were optimized using M06-2X,,${ }^{218}$ within the CPCM polarizable conductor model (diethylether, $\varepsilon$ $=4),{ }^{219,220}$ and the $6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d})$ basis set. Single point energies were calculated using the same DFT functional and solvation model, and the $6-311++G(d, p)$ basis set. The resulting energies were used to correct the gas phase energies obtained from the M06-2X/6-31G(d) optimizations. ${ }^{221}$ Enthalpies and entropies were calculated for 1 atm and 298.15 K . All stationary points were verified as minima or first-order saddle points by a vibrational frequency analysis. The use of a dielectric $\operatorname{constant} \varepsilon=4$ has been proved to be a good and general model to account for electronic polarization and small backbone fluctuations in enzyme active sites to have an estimation of the dielectric permittivity in the enzyme active site. ${ }^{222,223}$ Computed structures are illustrated with CYLView. ${ }^{224}$

### 7.1.12 Molecular Dynamics Simulations

Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations were performed using the GPU code (pmemd) ${ }^{225}$ of the AMBER 16 package. ${ }^{226}$ Parameters for intermediate $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{K}$ and substrates were generated within the antechamber module using the general AMBER force field (gaff), ${ }^{227}$ with partial charges set to fit the electrostatic potential generated at the HF/6-31G(d) level by the RESP model. ${ }^{228}$ The charges were calculated according to the Merz-Singh-Kollman scheme ${ }^{229,230}$ using the Gaussian 09 package. ${ }^{217}$ Each protein was immersed in a pre-equilibrated truncated cuboid box with a $10 \AA$ buffer of TIP3P ${ }^{231}$ water molecules using the leap module, resulting in the addition of around 15,000 solvent molecules. The systems were neutralized by addition of explicit counter ions $\left(\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right.$
and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$). All subsequent calculations were done using the widely tested Stony Brook modification of the Amber99 force field (ff99sb). ${ }^{232}$ A two-stage geometry optimization approach was performed. The first stage minimizes the positions of solvent molecules and ions imposing positional restraints on the solute by a harmonic potential with a force constant of 500 $\mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \cdot \AA^{-2}$ and the second stage minimizes all the atoms in the simulation cell except those involved in the harmonic distance restraint. The systems were gently heated using six 50 ps steps, incrementing the temperature by 50 K for each step ( $0-300 \mathrm{~K}$ ) under constant-volume and periodic-boundary conditions. Water molecules were treated with the SHAKE algorithm such that the angle between the hydrogen atoms was kept fixed. Long-range electrostatic effects were modelled using the particle-mesh-Ewald method. ${ }^{233}$ An $8 \AA$ cutoff was applied to Lennard-Jones and electrostatic interactions. Harmonic restraints of $30 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ were applied to the solute and the Andersen equilibration scheme was used to control and equalize the temperature. The time step was kept at 1 fs during the heating stages, allowing potential inhomogeneities to self-adjust. Each system was then equilibrated for 2 ns with a 2 fs time step at a constant volume. Production trajectories were then run for an additional 500 ns under the same simulation conditions.

### 7.2 Tables

Table 7.1 MalA' crystallographic information.

| Data collection | SeMet | Wild-type MalA' |  |  | H253A MalA' |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | pH 5.5 | Premalbrancheamide (1.14) | Malbranch-eamide B (1.15) | Isomalbrancheamide $B$ (1.16) | Premalbrancheamide (1.14) | Malbrancheamide $B$ (1.15) |
| space group | 1222 | 1222 | 1222 | 1222 | 1222 | 1222 |
| cell dimensions |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}(\AA)$ | 79.6, 120.6, 170.4 | 79.3, 120.6, 170.4 | 79.4, 120.9, 170.9 | 79.1, 120.7, 170.9 | 79.2, 120.5, 170.3 | 79.2, 120.4, 170.2 |
| X-ray source | APS 23ID-B | APS 23ID-B | APS 23ID-B | APS 23ID-B | APS 23ID-B | APS 23ID-B |
| wavelength ( $\AA$ ) | 0.979 | 1.033 | 1.033 | 1.033 | 1.033 | 1.033 |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {min }}(\AA)$ | 2.50 (2.60-2.50) | 2.36 (2.45-2.36) | 2.09 (2.17-2.09) | 2.04 (2.11-2.04) | 2.09 (2.17-2.09) | 1.97 (2.04-1.97) |
| R -merge | 0.137 (2.057) | 0.106 (1.110) | 0.088 (1.022) | 0.078 (1.234) | 0.072 (1.007) | 0.081 (1.072) |
| avg I/ $/ \mathrm{I}$ ) | 15.5 (1.3) | 14.1 (1.9) | 15.3 (1.9) | 15.7 (1.4) | 16.6 (1.8) | 14.9 (1.8) |
| completeness (\%) | 100 (99) | 99 (98) | 100 (100) | 100 (99) | 100 (99) | 100 (99) |
| multiplicity | 13.5 (13.6) | 6.8 (7.1) | 6.8 (6.8) | 6.7 (6.7) | 6.8 (6.6) | 6.8 (6.6) |
| total observations | 386,201 | 230,043 | 329,858 | 351,300 | 327,347 |  |
| $\mathrm{CC}_{1 / 2}$ | 0.999 (0.643) | 0.998 (0.690) | 0.999 (0.772) | 0.999 (0.581) | 0.999 (0.703) | 0.999 (0.728) |
| CC* |  | 1 (0.90) | 1 (0.93) | 1 (0.86) | 1 (0.91) | 1 (0.92) |
| refinement |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| data range ( $\AA$ ) |  | 39.64-2.36 | 46.29-2.09 | 46.18-2.04 | 46.14-2.09 | 46.14-1.97 |
| reflections (\#) |  | 33,618 (3,260) | 48,823 (4,818) | 52,106 (5,100) | 48,221 (4,699) | 57,508 (5,644) |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {work }} / \mathrm{R}_{\text {free }}$ (\%) |  | 14.9/20.4 | 16.1/20.2 | 16.5/20.6 | 16.2/20.5 | 16.3/19.8 |
| non-hydrogen atoms <br> (\#) |  | 5,785 | 5,870 | 5,837 | 5,827 | 5,950 |
| macromolecules |  | 5,248 | 5,248 | 5,248 | 5,243 | 5,243 |
| ligands |  | 139 | 151 | 161 | 144 | 166 |
| water |  | 397 | 470 | 427 | 439 | 540 |
| amino acid residues |  | 664 | 664 | 664 | 664 | 664 |
| deviation from ideality |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) |  | 0.006 | 0.005 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0.005 |
| bond angles (deg) |  | 0.77 | 0.72 | 0.75 | 0.78 | 0.74 |
| average B-factor ( $\AA^{2}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| protein |  | 49.7 | 40.5 | 44.7 | 45.5 | 37.1 |
| ligands |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FAD |  | 37.4 | 28.2 | 32.6 | 34.7 | 27.3 |
| substrate |  | 66.0 | 55.4 | 75.4 | 49.8 | 40.7 |
| solvent |  | 56.6 | 45.4 | 47.9 | 50.3 | 44.1 |
| Ramachandran plot |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| favored (\%) |  | 96.8 | 97.3 | 97.1 | 97.3 | 97.1 |
| allowed (\%) |  | 3.2 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| outliers (\%) |  | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| PDB |  | 5WGR | 5WGW | 5WGZ | 5WGT | 5WGX |

Table 7.1 MalA' crystallographic information continued.

| Data collection | H253F MalA' | C112S/C128S MalA' |  | E494D MalA' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Premalbrancheamide (1.14) | Premalbrancheamide (1.14) | Malbrancheamide B (1.15) | Premalbrancheamide (1.14) |
| space group | 1222 | 1222 | 1222 | 1222 |
| cell dimensions |  |  |  |  |
| a, b, c ( $\AA$ ) | 79.5, 121.2, 170.1 | 79.4120 .7170 .0 | 79.3120 .8170 .3 | 79.6121 .3170 .6 |
| X-ray source | APS 23ID-B | APS 23ID-B | APS 23ID-B | APS 23ID-B |
| wavelength ( $\AA$ ) | 1.033 | 1.033 | 1.033 | 1.033 |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {min }}(\AA)$ | 2.34 (2.42-2.34) | 2.30 (2.38-2.30) | 2.00 (2.07-2.00) | 2.05 (2.13-2.05) |
| R-merge | 0.159 (1.681) | 0.115 (1.227) | 0.079 (1.369) | 0.110 (1.146) |
| $\operatorname{avg} \mathrm{I} / \sigma$ ( ${ }^{\text {( }}$ | 11.6 (1.2) | 13.4 (1.6) | 16.0 (1.4) | 12.3 (1.3) |
| completeness (\%) | 100 (99) | 100 (100) | 100 (100) | 100 (97) |
| multiplicity | 6.5 (6.9) | 6.8 (6.8) | 6.8 (6.9) | 6.5 (5.7) |
| total observations | 226,608 | 251,217 | 378,655 | 337,456 |
| $\mathrm{CC}_{1 / 2}$ | 0.997 (0.523) | 0.998 (0.665) | 0.999 (0.548) | 0.998 (0.592) |
| CC* | 1 (0.83) | 1 (0.89) | 1 (0.84) | 1 (0.86) |
| refinement |  |  |  |  |
| data range ( $\AA$ ) | 46.17-2.34 | 49.21-2.30 | 46.26-2.00 | 49.43-2.05 |
| reflections (\#) | 34,994 (3,426) | 36,680 (3,609) | 55,522 (5,464) | 51,793 (4,956) |
| Rwork/Rifree (\%) | 17.71/23.32 | 16.4/21.5 | 16.1/20.6 | 16.3/19.8 |
| non-hydrogen atoms (\#) | 5,722 | 5,742 | 5,871 | 5,871 |
| macromolecules | 5,249 | 5,248 | 5,248 | 5,247 |
| ligands | 139 | 138 | 155 | 154 |
| water | 334 | 355 | 467 | 469 |
| amino acid residues | 664 | 664 | 664 | 664 |
| deviation from ideality |  |  |  |  |
| bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0.012 | 0.008 |
| bond angles (deg) | 0.83 | 0.77 | 1.12 | 0.91 |
| average B-factor ( $\AA^{2}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| protein | 54.4 | 52.2 | 40.9 | 40.2 |
| ligands |  |  |  |  |
| FAD | 38.4 | 38.3 | 28.8 | 27.1 |
| substrate | 84.4 | 84.6 | 45.2 | 52.3 |
| solvent | 51.2 | 51.7 | 45.5 | 45.2 |
| Ramachandran plot |  |  |  |  |
| favored (\%) | 96.2 | 96.5 | 96.7 | 97.3 |
| allowed (\%) | 3.6 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 2.7 |
| outliers (\%) | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0 |
| PDB | 5WGS | 5WGV | 5WGY | 5WGU |

Table 7.2 Kinetic parameters and standard deviation for MalA chlorination reactions.

| Reaction | $\boldsymbol{k}_{\text {cat }}\left(\mathbf{m i n}^{-1}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{m}}(\boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{M})$ | $\boldsymbol{k}_{\text {cat }} / \boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{m}}\left(\mathbf{m i n}^{-1} \mathbf{m M}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Premalbrancheamide to malbrancheamide B | $0.08+/-0.05$ | $7.0+/-2.9$ | $11.49+/-0.02$ |
| premalbrancheamide to isomalbrancheamide B | $0.09+/-0.05$ | $7.5+/-2.9$ | $12.02+/-0.02$ |
| malbrancheamide B to malbrancheamide | $0.12+/-0.03$ | $4.4+/-1.1$ | $27.33+/-0.03$ |
| isomalbrancheamide B to malbrancheamide | $0.12+/-0.03$ | $4.0+/-0.8$ | $29.70+/-0.04$ |

Table $7.3{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ data for malbrancheamide B (1.15) fungal and in vitro samples. HRMS (ESI-QTOF): $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}=370.1681$, experimental (in vitro) 370.1675.

| Malbrancheamide $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ | Fungal | in vitro |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Position | $\partial^{1} \mathrm{H}(J[\mathrm{~Hz}])$ | $\partial^{1} \mathrm{H}(J[\mathrm{~Hz}])$ |
| 1 | 2.47 m | 2.46 m |
|  | 1.34 m | 1.33 m |
| 2 | 1.75 m | 1.74 m |
| 3 | 2.97 m | 2.95 m |
|  | 2.43 m | 2.42 m |
| 5 | $2.16(\mathrm{~d}, 9.6)$ | $2.15(\mathrm{~d}, 9.9)$ |
|  | $3.29(\mathrm{~d}, 9.9)$ | $3.29(\mathrm{~d}, 10.0)$ |
| 6 | 2.77 s | 2.76 s |
|  |  |  |
| 7 | $7.33(\mathrm{~d}, 8.4)$ | $7.33(\mathrm{~d}, 8.3)$ |
| 8 | $6.96(\mathrm{dd}, 8.4,1.9)$ | $6.96 \mathrm{~d}(8.3)$ |
| 10 | 7.28 s | 7.27 s |
| 12 a | $1.89(\mathrm{~d}, 13.0)$ | $1.90(\mathrm{~d}, 12.9)$ |
| 13 | $1.83(\mathrm{dd}, 13.0,4.8)$ | $1.82(13.0,4.4)$ |
|  | 1.91 m | 1.90 m |
| 16 | 1.27 s | 1.27 s |
| 17 | 1.33 s | 1.33 s |

Table $7.4{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR data for fungal and in vitro isomalbrancheamide B (1.16). HRMS (ESI-QTOF): $m / z$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}=370.1681$, experimental (in vitro) 370.1685.

| Isomalbrancheamide $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ | Fungal | in vitro |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Position | $\partial^{1} \mathrm{H}(J[\mathrm{~Hz}])$ | $\partial^{1} \mathrm{H}(J[\mathrm{~Hz}])$ |
| 1 | 2.45 m | 2.46 m |
|  | 1.34 m | 1.34 m |
| 2 | 1.75 m | 1.74 m |
| 3 | 2.95 m | 2.95 m |
|  | 2.42 m | 2.43 m |
| 5 | $2.16(\mathrm{~d}, 10.0)$ | $2.15(\mathrm{~d}, 9.3)$ |
|  | $3.29(10.0)$ | $3.28(\mathrm{~d}, 9.9)$ |
| 6 | 2.76 s | 2.76 s |
|  |  |  |
| 7 | 7.34 s | 7.34 s |
| 9 | $7.03(\mathrm{dd}, 8.5,2.0)$ | $7.03(\mathrm{dd}, 8.5,2.0)$ |
| 10 | $7.29(\mathrm{~d}, 8.5)$ | $7.28(\mathrm{~d}, 8.5)$ |
| 12 a | 2.07 m | 2.05 m |
| 13 | $1.91(\mathrm{~d}, 13.0)$ | $1.90(\mathrm{~d}, 12.7)$ |
|  | $1.81(\mathrm{dd}, 13.0,4.9)$ | $1.81(\mathrm{dd}, 13.4,5.0)$ |
| 16 | 1.27 s | 1.27 s |
| 17 | 1.34 s | 1.33 s |

Table 7.5 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ data for fungal and in vitro malbrancheamide (1.17). HRMS (ESI-QTOF): $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}=404.1291$, experimental (in vitro) 404.1287.

| Malbrancheamide $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ | Fungal | in vitro |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Position | $\partial^{1} \mathrm{H}(J[\mathrm{~Hz}])$ | $\partial^{1} \mathrm{H}(J[\mathrm{~Hz}])$ |
| 1 | 2.56 m | 2.54 m |
|  | 1.49 m | 1.49 m |
| 2 | 1.87 m | 1.89 m |
| 3 | 2.03 m | 2.04 m |
|  | 2.19 m | 2.19 m |
| 5 | $2.27(\mathrm{dd}, 10.3,1.5)$ | $2.28(\mathrm{~d}, 10.3)$ |
|  | $3.43(\mathrm{~d}, 10.2)$ | $3.45(\mathrm{~d}, 10.4)$ |
| 6 | 2.84 s | $2.85(\mathrm{~d}, 3.6)$ |
|  |  |  |
| 7 | 7.48 | 7.49 s |
| 10 | 7.40 | 7.40 s |
| 12 a | 2.13 m | 2.15 m |
| 13 | $2.03(\mathrm{~d}, 13.1)$ | $2.03(\mathrm{~d}, 13.2)$ |
|  | $1.96(\mathrm{dd}, 13.1,5.2)$ | $1.97(\mathrm{dd}, 13.2,4.8)$ |
| 16 | 1.32 s | 1.34 s |
| 17 | 1.42 s | 1.43 s |

Table 7.6 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR data for in vitro malbrancheamide C (1.92). HRMS (ESI-QTOF): $m / z$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{BrN}_{3} \mathrm{O}=414.1176$, experimental (in vitro) 414.1169.

| Malbrancheamide C (in vitro) $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Position | $\partial^{13} \mathrm{C}$ | $\partial^{1} \mathrm{H}(J[\mathrm{~Hz}])$ |
| 1 | 28.3 | 2.53 (ddd, 12.0, 9.0, 5.5) |
|  |  | 1.47 m |
| 2 | 23.7 | 1.89 m |
| 3 | 55.5 | 3.06 m |
|  |  | 2.18 m |
| 5 | 59.6 | 3.45 (d, 9.8) |
|  |  | 2.26 (dd, 11.9, 1.6) |
| 5a | 57.7 |  |
| 6 | 30.4 | 2.87 (d, 9.7) |
| 6a | 105.1 |  |
| 6b | 127.3 |  |
| 7 | 199.9 | 7.26 (d, 10.4) |
| 8 | 122.8 | 7.07 (dd, 11.4, 1.8) |
| 9 | 115.4 |  |
| 10 | 114.6 | 7.42 (d, 1.8) |
| 10a | 139.4 |  |
| 11 |  |  |
| 11a | 143.5 |  |
| 12 | 35.6 |  |
| 12a | 48.8 | 2.16 m |
| 13 | 32.7 | 2.01 (dd, 13.1, 11.1) |
|  |  | 1.96 (dd, 13.3, 5.0) |
| 13a | 66.3 |  |
| 14 | 176.8 |  |
| 15 |  |  |
| 16 | 30.9 | 1.34s |
| 17 | 24.2 | 1.43s |

Table $7.7{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR data for in vitro isomalbrancheamide C (1.93). HRMS (ESI-QTOF): $m / z$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{BrN}_{3} \mathrm{O}=414.1176$, experimental (in vitro) 414.1179.

| isomalbrancheamide C (in vitro) $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| position | $\partial^{13} \mathrm{C}$ | $\partial^{1} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{J}[\mathrm{Hz}])$ |
| 1 | 28.3 | $2.56(\mathrm{ddd}, 12.7,9.1,5.7)$ |
|  |  | 1.49 m |
| 2 | 23.7 | 1.89 m |
| 3 | 55.5 | 3.08 m |
|  |  | 2.19 m |
| 5 | 59.6 | $3.46(\mathrm{~d}, 10.3)$ |
|  |  | $2.28(\mathrm{dd}, 10.4,1.8)$ |
| 5 a | 57.7 |  |
| 6 | 30.3 | $2.86(\mathrm{~d}, 2.2)$ |
| 6 a |  |  |
| 6 b | 130.2 |  |
| 7 | 121.2 | $7.49(\mathrm{dd}, 1.9,0.6)$ |
| 8 | 112.7 |  |
| 9 | 113.4 | $7.14(\mathrm{dd}, 8.5,1.9)$ |
| 10 | 124.8 | $7.2(\mathrm{dd})$ |
| 10 a | 137.2 |  |
| 11 a |  |  |
| 12 | 35.6 |  |
| 12 a |  | 2.17 m |
| 13 | 32.7 | $2.03(\mathrm{dd}, 13.1,11.3)$ |
|  |  | $1.97(\mathrm{dd}, 13.2,4.8)$ |
| 13 a | 66.3 |  |
| 14 | 176.8 |  |
| 16 | 30.9 | 1.34 s |
| 17 | 24.4 | 1.44 s |
|  |  |  |

Table $7.8{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$, gHMBCAD and gCOSY correlations for in vitro malbrancheamide D (1.94). HRMS (ESI-QTOF): $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{BrClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}=448.0786$, experimental (in vitro) 448.0782.

| Malbrancheamide D (in vitro) $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Position | $\partial^{13} \mathrm{C}$ | $\partial^{1} \mathrm{H}(J[\mathrm{~Hz}])$ | gHMBCAD | gCOSY |
| 1 | 28.0 | $2.54(\mathrm{ddd}, 11.9,9.0,5.5)$ | 2,14 | 1,2 |
|  |  | 1.47 m | 14 | 1 |
| 2 | 23.4 | 1.89 m |  | 1,3 |
| 3 | 55.3 | 3.07 m |  | 3,2 |
|  |  | 2.16 m | 2,5 | 3 |
| 5 | 59.3 | $2.27(\mathrm{dd}, 10.1,1.8)$ | $3,5,5 \mathrm{a}, 12 \mathrm{a}$ |  |
|  |  | $3.44(\mathrm{~d}, 10.1)$ |  | 5 |
| 5 a | 57.3 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 29.9 | $2.85(\mathrm{~d}, 5.0)$ | $5,5 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{a}, 11 \mathrm{a}, 12 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{~b}$ |  |
| 6 a | 104.6 |  |  |  |
| 6 b | 128.7 |  | $6 \mathrm{a}, 10 \mathrm{a}, 8,9$ |  |
| 7 | 123.0 | 7.66 s |  |  |
| 8 | 112.2 |  | $8,9,6 \mathrm{~b}$ |  |
| 9 | 126.9 |  |  |  |
| 10 | 113.0 | 7.43 s |  |  |
| 10 a | 137.8 |  |  |  |
| 11 a | 145.0 |  | $12,13 \mathrm{a}, 14$ |  |
| 12 | 35.4 |  | 13 a |  |
| 12 a | 48.4 | 2.14 m |  |  |
| 13 | 32.4 | $2(\mathrm{t}, 12.1)$ |  |  |
|  |  | 1.94 m |  |  |
| 13 a | 66.0 |  |  |  |
| 14 | 176.7 |  | $12,12 \mathrm{a}, 16$ |  |
| 16 | 30.5 | 1.34 s |  |  |
| 17 | 24.1 | 1.43 s |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7.9 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}, \mathrm{gHMBCAD}, \mathrm{gCOSY}$ correlations for in vitro isomalbrancheamide $\mathrm{D}(\mathbf{1 . 9 5})$. HRMS (ESI-QTOF): $m / z[M+H]^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{BrClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}=448.0786$, experimental (in vitro) 448.0783.

| Isomalbrancheamide D (in vitro) $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Position | $\partial^{13} \mathrm{C}$ | $\partial^{1} \mathrm{H}(J[\mathrm{~Hz}])$ | gHMBCAD | gCOSY |
| 1 | 28.1 | $2.53(\mathrm{ddd}, 12.2,9.1,5.6)$ | $2,3,13 \mathrm{a}, 14$ | 1,2 |
|  |  | 1.46 m | $13 \mathrm{a}, 14$ | 1 |
| 2 | 23.6 | 1.88 m |  | 1,2 |
| 3 | 55.4 | 3.06 m | 2,1 | 3 |
|  |  | $2.16(\mathrm{q}, 8.8)$ | 2,5 | 2,3 |
| 5 | 59.4 | $2.26(\mathrm{~d}, 10.4)$ | $12 \mathrm{a}, 3,5 \mathrm{a}$ | 5 |
|  |  | $3.43(\mathrm{~d}, 10.3)$ | $13 \mathrm{a}, 3,5 \mathrm{a}$ | 5 |
| 5 a | 57.4 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 30.0 | $2.85(\mathrm{~d}, 4.8)$ | $5 \mathrm{a}, 5,12 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{~b}, 11 \mathrm{a}$ |  |
| 6 a | 104.8 |  |  |  |
| 6 b | 128.8 |  |  |  |
| 7 | 119.5 | 7.51 s | $6 \mathrm{a}, 8,9,10 \mathrm{a}$ |  |
| 8 | 124.8 |  |  |  |
| 9 | 114.5 |  | $8,9,6 \mathrm{~b}$ |  |
| 10 | 116.3 | 7.57 s |  |  |
| 10 a | 137.6 |  |  |  |
| 11 a | 145.2 |  | $12,12,12,5,5 \mathrm{a}$ |  |
| 12 | 35.5 |  | $12,12 \mathrm{a}, 5 \mathrm{a}$ |  |
| 12 a | 48.5 | 2.15 m | $12,12 \mathrm{a}, 13 \mathrm{a}, 5 \mathrm{a}, 1,14$ |  |
| 13 | 32.5 | $2.01(\mathrm{~m})$ |  |  |
|  |  | 1.94 m |  |  |
| 13 a | 66.9 |  |  |  |
| 14 | 176.6 |  | $12,12 \mathrm{a}, 11 \mathrm{a}$ |  |
| 16 | 30.6 | 1.33 s |  |  |
| 17 | 24.2 | 1.43 s |  |  |

### 7.3 Figures



Figure 7.1 MalA kinetics. Kinetic characterization of (A) premalbrancheamide (1.14) conversion to malbrancheamide B (1.15), (B) premalbrancheamide (1.14) conversion to isomalbrancheamide B (1.16), (C) malbrancheamide B (1.15) conversion to malbrancheamide (1.17), (D) isomalbrancheamide B (1.16) conversion to malbrancheamide (1.17) monitoring enzyme activity $\left(\mathrm{min}^{-1}\right)$ for each substrate.


Figure 7.2 Malbrancheamide numbering scheme.


Figure 7.3 MalA' omit maps. mFo-DFc omit maps for MalA' co-crystallized with (A) premalbrancheamide (1.14), (B) isomalbrancheamide B (1.16), and (C) malbrancheamide B (1.15) contoured at $3 \sigma$.


Figure $7.4 \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$-binding site of MalA'. Electron density from data collected at (A) 9.1 keV and (B) 9.7 keV is shown contoured at $3 \sigma$.


Figure 7.5 Chloride binding site in MalA' active site. (A) Residual difference density when water is modelled into the chloride binding site with $\mathrm{mFo}-\mathrm{DFc}$ map contoured at $3 \sigma$. (B) Chloride ion modelled into active site with no $\mathrm{mFo}-\mathrm{DFc}$ density observed.



Figure 7.6 RMSF measured along 500 ns MD simulations for the apo and premalbrancheamide bound MalA' systems.


Figure 7.7 MD simulations with MalA complex. (a) Overlay of different snapshots obtained from a 500 ns MD simulation of MalA' substrate complex and (b) overlay of two representative snapshots from the MD trajectory and available X-ray structures.


Figure 7.8 Lysine chloramine conformations. Representative snapshots obtained from 500 ns MD trajectory of MalA' premalbrancheamide bound complex to show the two main conformations explored by $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Lys} 108$. In grey, the Cl atom is closer to the substrate; in blue, the Cl atom is closer to the FAD cofactor.


Figure 7.9 Interactions between Glu494 and substrates. Distance between the center of mass of the two oxygen atoms of the Glu494 carboxylate group and the $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{N}$-indole) in a) premalbrancheamide, b) isomalbrancheamide $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{c}$ ) malbrancheamide B , and d) malbrancheamide. Measurements obtained along the 500 ns MD trajectories are plotted for each system.


Figure 7.10 Interactions between chloramine and substrates. Distance between Cl atom in $\mathrm{Lys} 108-\mathrm{Cl}$ and C8 / C9 atoms of premalbrancheamide bound in WT MaIA', and between $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{Ser} 129)$ and $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{C} 8)$. B) Distance between Cl-Lys108 protons (H1 and H2) and O atom from the carbonyl backbone of Asp109. Measurements obtained along the 500 ns MD trajectory are plotted.


Figure 7.11 Interactions between chloramine and substrates in H253F. Distance between Cl atom in Lys108-Cl and C8 / C9 atoms of premalbrancheamide bound in H253F MaIA', and between O(Ser129) and $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{C} 8)$. B) Distance between Cl-Lys108 protons (H1 and H 2 ) and O atom from the carbonyl backbone of Asp109. Measurements obtained along the 500 ns MD trajectory are plotted.


Figure 7.12 Interactions between chloramine and substrates in H253A. Distance between Cl atom in Lys $108-\mathrm{Cl}$ and C8 / C9 atoms of premalbrancheamide bound in H253A MaIA', and between O(Ser129) and $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{C} 8)$. B) Distance between Cl-Lys108 protons ( H 1 and H 2 ) and O atom from the carbonyl backbone of Asp109. Measurements obtained along the 500 ns MD trajectory are plotted.


Figure 7.13 Interactions between chloramine and substrates in D109A. Distance between Cl atom in Lys108-Cl and C8 / C9 atoms of premalbrancheamide bound in D109A MaIA', and between O(Ser129) and $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{C} 8)$. B) Distance between Cl-Lys108 protons (H1 and H2) and O atom from the backbone carbonyl of Asp109. Measurements obtained along the 500 ns MD trajectory. C) Overlay of representative snapshots for WT and D109A MalA' that highlight the rotation of the carbonyl backbone in the D109A mutant and the different position of the side chain, as compared to the original Asp109 residue. Cl-Lys108 cannot Hbond with the D109A carbonyl backbone.





Figure $7.14 \mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ angle and $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}$ distance for WT and $\mathrm{H} 253 \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{F}$. $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ angle and $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}$ distance (as described in the figure) measured along 500 ns of MD simulation are used to describe the orientations explored by Cl-Lys108 with respect to the substrate in: a) WT, b) H253A, and c) H253F. Black dots represent the geometric parameters obtained from DFT optimized transition states TS1c-C8 and TS1c-C9.


Figure 7.15 Solvation shells in WT and H253A/F. Solvation shell (3.4 $\AA$ ) around $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{C} 8)$ and $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{C} 9)$ observed along the 500 ns of MD simulations in the a) WT; b) H253A; and c) H253F MalA' with bound premalbrancheamide.


Figure $7.16 \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ predictions for Lys108 and Glu494 in the apo state of WT MalA'. $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ estimates obtained from propka3.1 program. ${ }^{234,235}$


Figure 7.17 DFT optimized reaction pathways. The C8 and C9 chlorination reactions were calculated using three computational models: a) an indole ring and methyl chloramine; $\mathbf{b}$ ) an indole ring, methyl chloramine and a water molecule closer to C8- or C9-H respectively; $\mathbf{c}$ ) an indole ring, methyl chloramine and a methanol molecule as a Ser129 model. Relative Gibb's free energies ( $\Delta \mathrm{G}$, in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) are computed at the M06-2x/6-311+G(d,p)/CPCM(Diethylether)//M06-2x/6-31G(d)/CPCM(Diethylether) level. Bond lengths are in $\AA$.
a)

b)




c)





Figure 7.18 Optimized structures for the three computational models. A) an indole ring and a protonated methyl chloramine as an active species; b) addition of a water molecule close to $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 8$ or $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 9$ positions; c) and addition of a methanol molecule close to $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 8$ to mimic Ser129.

### 7.4 Compound Characterization

### 7.4.1 Mass Spectrometry Data



Figure 7.19 Premalbrancheamide (fungal) mass spectrometry data. Calculated mass $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=336.2070$, experimental mass $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=336.2082$.


Figure 7.20 Malbrancheamide B (in vitro assay) mass spectrometry data. Calculated mass $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=$ 370.1681, experimental mass $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=370.1675$.


Figure 7.21 Isomalbrancheamide B (in vitro assay) mass spectrometry data. Calculated mass $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=$ 370.1681, experimental mass $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=370.1685$.


Figure 7.22 Malbrancheamide (in vitro assay) mass spectrometry data. Calculated mass $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=$ 404.1291, experimental mass $[M+H]^{+}=404.1287$.


Figure 7.23 Malbrancheamide C (in vitro assay) mass spectrometry data. Calculated mass $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=$ 414.1176, experimental mass $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=414.1169$.


Figure 7.24 Isomalbrancheamide C (in vitro assay) mass spectrometry data. Calculated mass $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=$ 414.1176, experimental mass $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=414.1179$.


Figure 7.25 Malbrancheamide D (in vitro assay) mass spectrometry data. Calculated mass $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=$ 448.0786, experimental mass $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=448.0782$.


Figure 7.26 Isomalbrancheamide D (in vitro assay) mass spectrometry data. Calculated mass $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=$ 448.0786, experimental mass $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=448.0783$.

### 7.4.2 NMR Spectroscopy Data



Figure $7.27{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR of premalbrancheamide isolated from M. aurantiaca ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ).


Figure $7.28{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR of malbrancheamide B isolated from M. aurantiaca $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz},-\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}-d_{6}\right)$.


Figure $7.29{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR of isomalbrancheamide B from M. aurantiaca ( $400 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}-d_{6}$ ).


Figure $7.30{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR of malbrancheamide isolated from M. aurantiaca ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ).


Figure $7.31{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of malbrancheamide B from MalA in vitro reaction $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}-d_{6}\right)$.


Figure $7.32{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of isomalbrancheamide B from MalA in vitro reaction ( $400 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}-d_{6}$ ).


Figure 7.33 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of malbrancheamide from MalA in vitro reaction ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ).


Figure $7.34{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR of malbrancheamide $\mathrm{C}(5)$ from MalA in vitro reaction ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ).


Figure 7.35 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR of malbrancheamide C from MalA in vitro reaction ( $176 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ).


Figure $7.36{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of isomalbrancheamide C from MalA in vitro reaction ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ).


Figure $7.37{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR of isomalbrancheamide C from MalA in vitro reaction ( $176 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ).


Figure $7.38{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR of malbrancheamide D from MalA in vitro reaction ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ).


Figure 7.39 gCOSY correlations of malbrancheamide D from in vitro reaction with MalA ( 700 MHz , $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ).


Figure 7.40. gHMBCAD correlations of malbrancheamide D from in vitro reaction with MalA (700 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ).


Figure 7.41 gHSQCAD correlations of malbrancheamide D from in vitro reaction with MalA ( 700 MHz , $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ).


Figure $7.42{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of isomalbrancheamide D from in vitro reaction with MalA ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ).


Figure 7.43 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR of isomalbrancheamide D from in vitro reaction with MalA ( $176 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ).


Figure 7.44 gCOSY correlations of isomalbrancheamide D from in vitro reaction with MalA ( 700 MHz , $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ).


Figure 7.45 gHMBCAD correlations of isomalbrancheamide D from in vitro reaction with MalA (700 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ).

f1 (ppm)
Figure 7.46 gHSQCAD correlations of isomalbrancheamide D from in vitro reaction with MalA (700 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ).

## Chapter 8

## Molecular Basis for Spirooxindole Formation in the Paraherquamide Biosynthetic Pathway Experimental Section

### 8.1 Experimental Methods

### 8.1.1 Fungal Strains and Culture Conditions

Penicillium fellutanum ATCC 20841 spores were generated on YPD agar plates over the course of 7 days. Spores were harvested into 5 mL sterile water per plate by gently scraping the surface of the culture with a sterile inoculating loop. Spores were stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until ready to use. Genomic DNA was harvested using Wizard Genomic DNA Purification Kit from Promega.

### 8.1.2 cDNA Preparation and Cloning of phqK

Total RNA was extracted from a filter paper dried $17^{\text {th }}$ day mycelia (roughly 500 mg fungal mat) culture of Aspergillus protuberus statically cultivated in liquid medium ( $50 \%$ seawater with $2.0 \%$ malt extract and $0.5 \%$ peptone) at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, using Invitrogen PureLink RNA Mini Kit by following the plant tissue processing protocol. RNA was treated using Dnase I. cDNA was generated using Invitrogen Superscript First Strand Synthesis. PCR was used to amplify NotI from the cDNA template. To generate $p h q K$, introns were predicted by analysis using Softberry Fgenesh-M, and the phqK gene was amplified from genomic DNA using overlapping PCR using primers in Table S1. Amplified genes were cloned into a $p K L D 116$ vector using restriction enzyme digest and ligation. Plasmids were transformed into E. coli DH5 $\alpha$ for screening and plasmid maintenance.
8.1.3 Overexpression and Purification for Enzymatic Reactions

The Escherichia coli BL21 pRARE transformant containing pKDL116-MBP-phqK was grown at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight in LB media containing $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ of ampicillin and $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ of spectinomycin. 10 mL of culture were used to inoculate 1 L of TB media containing the aforementioned concentrations of antibiotic and $4 \%$ glycerol. Cells were grown at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for roughly 4 hours until $\mathrm{A}_{600}$ reached $0.6-1.0$, and isopropyl $\beta$-D-thiogalactoside (IPTG, 0.2 mM ) and riboflavin $(50 \mu \mathrm{M})$ were added to induce protein overexpression overnight at $18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

All purification steps were conducted at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Briefly, 1 L of expression culture was spun down at $5,500 \mathrm{rpm}$. The harvested cell pellet was resuspended in 35 ml of lysis buffer ( 10 mM imidazole $\mathrm{pH} 8,50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, 300 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ glycerol, adjusted to pH 8 ) with the addition of 10 mg lysozyme, 4 mg Dnase, $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD), 2 mM MgSO 4 and lysed by sonication. Insoluble material was removed by centrifugation at $20,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ for 30 min, and the supernatant was filtered. NotI and NotI' were purified through metal affinity chromatography with $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}-\mathrm{NTA}$ resin (Novagen) that was equilibrated with lysis buffer. The protein-bound resin was washed with 50 mL of lysis buffer, 50 mL of wash buffer ( 20 mM imidazole $\mathrm{pH} 8,50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, 300 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ glycerol, adjusted to pH 8 ), and finally 10 mL of elution buffer ( 250 mM imidazole $\mathrm{pH} 8,50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, 300 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ glycerol, adjusted to pH 8 ). Protein in the eluate was exchanged into storage buffer ( 10 mM HEPES $\mathrm{pH} 7.6,50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.1 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, 0.2 mM TCEP, $10 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ glycerol) using a PD-10 column. Samples were then flash frozen with liquid $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 8.1.4 Purification of PhqK for Crystallization and Kinetics

A cell pellet from a 1L expression culture was re-suspended in 30 mL lysis buffer ( 10 mM HEPES $\mathrm{pH} 8,10 \mathrm{mM}$ imidazole $\mathrm{pH} 8,300 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \%$ glycerol) and supplemented with 50 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ FAD. Cell lysis was accomplished through addition of 10 mg lysozyme, 4 mg Dnase, 2 mM $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and sonication. Cell waste was cleared through centrifugation (18,000 rpm for 25 minutes). The supernatant was filtered and PhqK was purified through metal affinity chromatography on a 5 mL His-Trap column (GE Healthcare) with a 10 column volume gradient of elution buffer ( 10 mM HEPES $\mathrm{pH} 8,280 \mathrm{mM}$ imidazole $\mathrm{pH} 8,300 \mathrm{mMM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \%$ glycerol). The MBP and tag-free PhqK was separated from TEV protease and any remaining His ${ }_{6}$-MBPPhqK by metal affinity chromatography, and dialyzed into storage buffer (20mM HEPES pH 7.6 , $300 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \%$ glycerol).

### 8.1.5 Crystallization of PhqK

The purified PhqK was dialyzed overnight into 20 mM HEPES pH 7.6 buffer with 300 mM NaCl to remove glycerol and then supplemented with 2 x FAD. For active site complexes with malbrancheamide B , paraherquamide K , and paraherquamide L , the protein was incubated with $5 x$ concentration of substrate prior to crystallization. For active site complexes with malbrancheamide $C$, the protein was incubated with 2 x concentration of substrate prior to crystallization. Crystals were grown by vapor diffusion from $1: 1$ mixture of $8-10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ PhqK
preincubated with substrate and a well solution containing 25\% PEG 3350, 0.2 M ammonium acetate, 0.1 M Bis-Tris pH 5.5, and 2\% 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol. Crystals with no substrate bound were produced in a similar manner, except without the addition of substrate. Crystals were cyoprotected in well solution at $30 \%$ PEG 3350 and flash-cooled in liquid nitrogen.

### 8.1.6 Data Collection

Data were collected at GM/CA beamline 23ID-B at the Advanced Photon Source (APS) at Argonne National Laboratory. For the SeMet-PhqK crystal, $360^{\circ}$ of diffraction data were collected in inverse-beam geometry using $30^{\circ}$ wedges. All data were processed using XDS. ${ }^{236}$ The SeMet PhqK monooxygenase structure was solved by single-wavelength anomalous diffraction (SAD) using AutoSol in the Phenix suite to locate the Se sites, determine initial phases and perform density modification (figure of merit $=0.320$ ). ${ }^{237}$ AutoBuild in the Phenix suite was used to build an $82 \%$ complete starting model. The SeMet PhqK model was used as a template in molecular replacement to solve the native PhqK structures using Phaser in the Phenix suite. A progression of model building and refinement were carried out to complete the models using Coot and Phenix Refine with seven translation/libation/screw groups. ${ }^{238}$

### 8.1.7 Enzymatic Reactions and HPLC Analysis of PhqK Reactions

The standard enzyme assay containing $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ FAD, $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ substrate, 5 mM NADH, and $40 \mu \mathrm{M}$ enzyme in $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ reaction buffer ( 10 mM HEPES $\mathrm{pH} 7.6,50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.1 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, 0.2 mM TCEP $10 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ glycerol, pH 7.6 ) was performed at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hours. The reactions were quenched with $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ LC/MS grade methanol and centrifuged to remove solid material. The samples were analyzed on a Schimadzu HPLC using a Phenomenex Lux $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ Cellulose-3 LC column 250 x 4.6 mm with the following time program: $30 \%$ acetonitrile for 1 minute, $30-95 \%$ acetonitrile over 15 minutes, $95 \%$ acetonitrile for 1 minute, $95-30 \%$ acetonitrile over 1 minute, and $30 \%$ acetonitrile for 7 minutes. The flow rate was $1.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ and the reactions were monitored at 240 nm .

### 8.1.8 Enzymatic Reactions and HPLC analysis of PhqK Kinetics

The standard enzyme assay containing $200 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{FAD}$, varying concentrations of substrate between 20 and $700 \mu \mathrm{M}, 5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NADH}$, and $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ enzyme in $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ reaction buffer ( 10 mM HEPES pH $7.6,50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.1 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, 0.2 mM TCEP $10 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ glycerol, pH 7.6 ) was performed at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for varying time points (4, 10, 20, 30 minutes). At each time point, $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the reaction mix were quenched with $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ethyl acetate, vortexed, and extracted. The samples were resuspended
in $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ methanol and analyzed on a Schimadzu HPLC using a Phenomenex Lux $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ Cellulose3 LC column $250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ with the following time program: $15-75 \%$ acetonitrile over 7 minutes, $95 \%$ acetonitrile for 2.5 minutes, $75-15 \%$ acetonitrile over half a minute, and $15 \%$ acetonitrile for 5 minutes. The flow rate was $1.4 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ and the reactions were monitored at 240 nm . Three replicates were performed for each time point.

### 8.2 Tables

Table 8.1 Primers for $p h q K$ intron removal and amplification

| Name | Sequence |
| :--- | :--- |
| $p h K_{K}$ Int1_F | ATGGGCTCTTTAGGTGAAGAAGTTCAAG |
| $p q K_{-}$Int1_R | GTTGCTTTGAAGACCAATACAGTCTCCGATGGACTTCA GTATATTGCTTTTC |
| $p h q K_{-}$Int2-F | GAAAAGCAATATACTGAAGTCCATCGGAGACTGTATTG GTCTTCAAAGCAAC |
| $p h q K_{-}$Int2_R | CAGACGTCTAGGAGATTTCTTGTATCCTGATGAATGCAG AACCACGAAAAAG |
| $p h q K_{-}$Int3_F | CTTTTCGTGGTTCTGCATTCATCAGGATACAAGAAATCT CCTAGACGTCTG |
| $p h q K_{-}$Int3_R | CTAGGGTGACTTGTTCTGCAATGG |

Table 8.2 Crystallographic Information

| Ligand | Malbrancheamide B (SeMet) | None | Malbrancheamide C | Paraherquamide K | Paraherquamide L |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Space Group | P 212121 | P 212121 | P $2122_{1}{ }_{1}$ | P 212121 | P $2122_{1}$ |
| Cell Dimensions |  |  |  |  |  |
| a,b,c ( $\AA$ ) | 48.0, 82.4, 119.3 | 47.7, 84.2, 116.4 | 48.1, 82.8, 120.1 | 48.2, 83.2, 119.6 | 64.9, 79.9, 87.7 |
|  | 90.0, 90.0, 90.0 | 90.0, 90.0, 90.0 | 90.0, 90.0, 90.0 | 90.0, 90.0, 90.0 | 90.0, 90.0, 90.0 |
| X-ray Source | APS 23ID-B | APS 23ID-B | APS 23ID-B | APS 23ID-B | APS 23ID-B |
| Wavelength ( $\AA$ ) | 0.979 | 1.033 | 0.7293 | 1.033 | 1.033 |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {min }}(\AA)$ | 1.69 (1.75-1.69) | 1.71 (1.77-1.71) | 1.25 (1.30-1.25) | 1.89 (1.96-1.89) | 2.09 (2.17-2.09) |
| R-merge | 0.082 (0.948) | 0.071 (1.195) | 0.069 (1.548) | 0.193 (1.463) | 0.155 (1.160) |
| Avg I/ $\sigma$ | 21.58 (1.55) | 19.97 (1.34) | 17.88 (1.11) | 10.93 (1.14) | 12.08 (1.66) |
| Completeness (\%) | 99 (88) | 99 (91) | 100 (99) | 99 (95) | 100 (98) |
| Multiplicity | 12.0 (8.0) | 12.4 (9.1) | 13.0 (10.7) | 12.7 (11.8) | 12.6 (11.9) |
| Total Reflections | 635,907 | 630,819 | 1,725,902 | 495,499 | 345,452 |
| $\mathrm{CC}_{1 / 2}$ | 1.00 (0.72) | 1.00 (0.60) | 1.00 (0.53) | 1.00 (0.56) | 1.00 (0.54) |
| CC* | 1 (0.91) | 1 (0.87) | 1 (0.83) | 1 (0.85) | 1 (0.84) |
| Refinement |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reflections (\#) | 53,023 (4,655) | 50,852 (4,603) | 132,940 (13,092) | 38,891 (3,628) | 27,473 (2,646) |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {work }} / \mathrm{R}_{\text {free }}(\%)$ | 16.9/20.7 | 16.2/19.2 | 15.5/17.5 | 21.5/26.1 | 20.7/25.6 |
| Number of NonHydrogen Atoms | 4,077 | 3,991 | 4,268 | 3,823 | 3,728 |
| Macromolecules | 3,522 | 3,538 | 3,570 | 3,517 | 3,483 |
| Ligands | 79 | 53 | 79 | 85 | 86 |
| Solvent | 476 | 400 | 619 | 221 | 159 |
| Amino Acid Residues | 445 | 445 | 444 | 444 | 440 |
| Deviation From Ideality |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bond Lengths ( $\AA$ ) | 0.007 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0.007 | 0.008 |
| Bond Angles (deg) | 0.9 | 0.86 | 0.87 | 0.94 | 1.01 |
| Average B-Factor ( $\AA^{2}$ ) | 29.6 | 37.4 | 26.2 | 9 | 57.9 |
| Macromolecules | 28.4 | 36.6 | 23.7 | 39.8 | 58 |
| FAD | 20.6 | 25.6 | 19.5 | 30.1 | 39.2 |
| Substrate | 29.6 |  | 20.6 | 57.3 | 92.5 |
| Solvent | 39.1 | 46.3 | 41.3 | 41 | 55.6 |
| Ramachandran Plot |  |  |  |  |  |
| Favored (\%) | 97.97 | 97.52 | 98.19 | 97.06 | 96.33 |
| Allowed (\%) | 2.03 | 2.48 | 1.81 | 2.94 | 3.67 |
| Outliers (\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 8.3 NMR data for paraherquamide K (1.24). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$, gHMBCAD, and gCOSY, correlations for paraherquamide K isolated from $p h q K$ knockout in $P$. simplicissimum. HRMS (ESI-QTOF): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}=432.2651$, experimental (isolated) $=432.2662$.
Paraherquamide K DMSO-d6, 800 MHz

|  | $\partial{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ | $\partial^{1} \mathrm{H}(J[\mathrm{~Hz}])$ | gHMBCAD | gCOSY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  | 2, 3, 8, 9 |  |
| 2 | 140.09 |  |  |  |
| 3 | 103.76 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 117.32 | 7.01 (8.3) | 3, 6, 8 | 5 |
| 5 | 108.52 | 6.44 (8.3) | 6,7,9 | 4 |
| 6 | 147.43 |  |  |  |
| 7 | 104.78 |  |  |  |
| 8 | 132.82 |  |  |  |
| 9 | 121.51 |  |  |  |
| 10a | 28.95 | 2.65 (d, 15.5) | 2, 3, 11, 12a, 20 |  |
| 10b |  | 2.71 (d, 15.5) | 2, 3, 11, 12a, |  |
| 11 | 55.62 |  |  |  |
| 12a | 59.53 | 2.05 (d, 10.2) | 11, 16, 20 |  |
| 12b |  | 3.27 (m) |  |  |
| 13 | 64.36 |  |  |  |
| 14 | 39.84 | 1.85 (dd, 16.6, 9.1) |  | 17 |
| 15a | 30.18 | 1.70 (ddd, 21.8, 11.1, 5.4) |  | 16a |
| 15b |  | 1.91 (m) |  |  |
| 16a | 53.93 | 2.14 (m) |  | 15 |
| 16b |  | 3.08 (m) |  |  |
| 17 | 13.13 | 1.32 (d, 7.0) | 13, 14 |  |
| 18 | 172.70 |  |  |  |
| 19a | 29.34 | 1.58 (dd, 12.8, 4.0) | 13, 18,21 |  |
|  |  | 2.02 (dd, 12.5) |  |  |
| 20 | 46.16 | 1.97 (m) |  |  |
| 21 | 33.87 |  |  |  |
| 22 | 30.02 | 1.28 (s) | 2, 20, 21, 23 |  |
| 23 | 23.38 | 1.31 (s) | 2, 20, 21, 22 |  |
| 24 | 118.13 | 6.94 (d, 9.8) | 6,26 | 25 |
| 25 | 128.91 | 5.72 (d, 9.7) | 7, 26 | 24 |
| 26 | 74.98 |  |  |  |
| 27 | 27.08 | 1.36 | 25, 26, 28 |  |
| 28 | 27.08 | 1.36 | 25, 26, 27 |  |
| 29 |  | 8.11 | 10, 11, 13 |  |

Table 8.4 NMR data for paraherquamide L (1.25). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$, gHMBCAD, and gCOSY, correlations for paraherquamide L isolated from phqK knockout in P. simplicissimum. HRMS (ESI-QTOF): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}=448.2600$, experimental (isolated) $=448.2612$.
Paraherquamide L DMSO-d6, 600 MHz

|  | $\partial{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ | $\partial^{1} \mathrm{H}(J[\mathrm{~Hz}])$ | gHMBCAD | gCOSY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | 10.89 (s) | 2, 3, 9, 10 |  |
| 2 | 141.57 |  |  |  |
| 3 | 104.01 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 112.32 | 6.92 (d, 8.3) | 6, 8 | 5 |
| 5 | 115.81 | 6.64 (d, 8.3) | 6,7,9 | 4 |
| 6 | 139.58 |  |  |  |
| 7 | 136.82 |  |  |  |
| 8 | 127.96 |  |  |  |
| 9 | 124.69 |  |  |  |
| 10 | 29.03 | 2.71 (m) | 2, 3, 9, 11, 20 |  |
| 11 | 55.6 |  |  |  |
| 12 | 59.54 | 2.05 (m) |  |  |
|  |  | 3.28 (d, 9.9) |  |  |
| 13 | 64.38 |  |  |  |
| 14 | 39.91 | 1.85 (m) | 18 | 15 |
| 15 | 30.19 | 1.68 (ddt, 15.5, 10.5, 5.0) | 14, 16, 17 | 14, 16 |
|  |  | 1.90 (m) |  |  |
| 16 | 53.43 | 2.14 (td, 10.2, 4.7) |  | 15 |
|  |  | 3.08 (q, 8.8) |  |  |
| 17 | 13.17 | 1.31 (s) | 14 |  |
| 18 | 172.72 |  |  |  |
| 19 | 29.44 | 1.58 (dd, 12.4, 3.5) | 13, 18, 20, 21 |  |
|  |  | 2.02 (m) |  |  |
| 20 | 46.2 | 1.98 (m) |  |  |
| 21 | 34.07 |  |  |  |
| 22 | 29.83 | 1.29 (s) | 23 |  |
| 23 | 23.22 | 1.30 (s) | 2, 21, 22 |  |
| 24 | 139.25 | 6.51 (d, 7.6) | 7,25,26 |  |
| 25 | 115.35 | 4.97 (d, 7.6) | 24, 26 |  |
| 26 | 78.86 |  |  |  |
| 27 | 29.5 | 1.35 (s) | 25,26 |  |
| 28 | 29.5 | 1.35 (s) | 25, 26 |  |
| 29 |  | 8.13 (s) | 10,11 |  |

Table 8.5 NMR data for paraherquamide M (1.26). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$, gHMBCAD, gCOSY, and NOESY correlations for paraherquamide M isolated from PhqK in vitro reaction. HRMS (ESI-QTOF): m/z $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}=448.2600$, experimental (isolated) $=448.2604$.
Paraherquamide M DMSO-d6

| Position | $\partial{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ | $\partial^{1} \mathrm{H}(J[\mathrm{~Hz}])$ | gHMBCAD | gCOSY | NOESY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | 10.61 (s) | 8 |  | 24 |
| 2 | 183.17 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 172.02 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 125.91 | 7.03 (d, 8.1) | 6, 7, 8, 10 | 5 | 5,10a |
| 5 | 108.02 | 6.32 (d, 8.1) | 6,7 | 4 | 4 |
| 6 | 152.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 104.31 |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 138.35 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 122.13 |  | 5 |  |  |
| 10a | 38.41 | 1.78 (d, 14.8) | 2, 12, 16 |  |  |
| 10b |  | 2.27 (d, 14.8) | 2, 21 |  | 29 |
| 11 | 61.37 |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 60.15 | 2.31 (d, 10.5) | 16,18 |  |  |
|  |  | 3.58 (d, 10.7) | 13, 20 |  |  |
| 13 | 66.96 |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 39.34 | 1.81 (m) | 13 |  | 17 |
| 15 | 30.05 | 1.64 (m) |  | 16 |  |
|  |  | 1.90 (m) |  |  |  |
| 16 | 52.43 | 2.13 (m) |  | 15 |  |
|  |  | 3.05 (m) | 13 |  |  |
| 17 | 13.06 | 1.30 (d, 7.0) | 13,16 |  |  |
| 18 | 60.74 |  |  |  |  |
| 19a | 27.06 | 1.27 (m) | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| 19b |  | 1.88 (m) | 3, 17 |  | 20 |
| 20 | 52.53 | 2.84 (t, 10.4 | 19, 22, 23 | 19 | 19b |
| 21 | 45.21 |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | 23.99 | 0.72 (s) | 11, 20, 21, 23 |  |  |
| 23 | 20.24 | 0.94 (s) | 20, 21, 22 |  |  |
| 24 | 116.68 | 6.57 (d, 9.9) | 6, 7, 8, 25, 26 | 25 |  |
| 25 | 130.1 | 5.73 (d, 9.9) | 7, 27 | 24 |  |
| 26 | 75.73 |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | 27.52 | 1.36 (d) 18.5 | 25, 26, 28 |  |  |
| 28 | 27.52 | 1.36 (d) 18.5 | 25, 26, 27 |  |  |
| 29 |  | 8.47 (s) |  |  |  |

Table 8.6 NMR data for paraherquamide $\mathrm{N}(1.27) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$, gHMBCAD, gCOSY, and NOESY correlations for paraherquamide N isolated from PhqK in vitro reaction. HRMS (ESI-QTOF): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}=464.2549$, experimental (isolated) $=464.2550$.
Paraherquamide N, DMSO-d6

| Position | $\partial{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ | $\partial^{1} \mathrm{H}(J[\mathrm{~Hz}])$ | gHMBCAD | gCOSY | NOESY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | 10.51 | 3, 6, 8 |  |  |
| 2 | 182.45 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 62.03 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 120.96 | 6.99 (d, 8.1) | 3, 8, 9 | 5 | 10a, 23 |
| 5 | 116.17 | 6.60 (d, 8.0) | 6,7,9 | 4 |  |
| 6 | 126.22 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 135.04 |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 133.41 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 145.61 |  |  |  |  |
| 10a | 38.33 | 1.82 (s) | 2,21,16 |  |  |
| 10b |  | 2.28 (s) | 2, 21 |  | 29 |
| 11 | 60.87 |  |  |  |  |
| 12a | 60.14 | 2.31 (d, 10.7) | 13,16 |  |  |
| 12b |  | 3.61 (d, 10.8) | 13,16 |  |  |
| 13 | 66.93 |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 39.46 |  |  |  |  |
| 15a | 30.06 | 1.64 (m) | 14, 16, 17 | 16 |  |
| 15b |  | 1.91 (m) | 13 |  |  |
| 16a | 52.45 | 2.14 (m) | 12 | 15 |  |
| 16b |  | 3.05 (m) | 13, 14, 15 |  |  |
| 17 | 13.03 | 1.30 (d, 7.0) | 13, 14, 15 |  |  |
| 18 | 172.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 19a | 27.05 | 1.27 (m) | 11,18, 20, 21 | 20 |  |
| 19b |  | 1.89 (m) | 13,18 |  |  |
| 20 | 52.45 | 2.81 (t, 20.5, 10.3) | 19, 21, 22, 23 | 19 | 19a, 19b |
| 21 | 45.21 |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | 24.11 | 0.72 (s) | 3, 20, 21, 23 |  |  |
| 23 | 20.40 | 0.95 (s) | 3, 20, 21, 23 |  |  |
| 24 | 139.00 | 6.36 (d, 7.6) | 7,25,26 | 25 | 25 |
| 25 | 115.17 | 4.97 (d, 7.6) | 24, 26, 27, 28 | 24 | 24 |
| 26 | 79.28 |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | 29.22 | 1.36 (d, 16.7) | 24, 25, 26, 28 |  |  |
| 28 | 29.22 | 1.36 (d, 16.7) | 24, 25, 26, 27 |  |  |
| 29 |  | 8.50 (s) | 10, 13, 11 |  | 10b |

Table 8.7 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ for malbrancheamide isolated from Malbranchea aurantiaca. HRMS (ESI-QTOF): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}=404.1291$, experimental (isolated) $=404.1287$.
Malbrancheamide, $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$

| Position | $\partial^{1} \mathrm{H}(J[\mathrm{~Hz}])$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 2.56 m |
|  | 1.49 m |
| 2 | 1.87 m |
| 3 | 2.03 m |
|  | 2.19 m |
| 5 | $2.27(\mathrm{dd}, 10.3,1.5)$ |
|  | $3.43(\mathrm{~d}, 10.2)$ |
| 6 | 2.84 |
| 7 | 7.48 |
| 10 | 7.40 |
| 12 a | 2.13 m |
| 13 | $2.03(\mathrm{~d}, 13.1)$ |
|  | $1.96(\mathrm{dd}, 13.1,5.2)$ |
| 16 | 1.32 s |
| 17 | 1.42 s |

Table 8.8 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$, gHMBCAD, gCOSY, and NOESY correlations for spiromalbramide isolated from PhqK in vitro reaction. HRMS (ESI-QTOF): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}=$ 420.1246, experimental (isolated) $=420.1243$.

Spiromalbramide, $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}$

| Position | $\partial{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ | $\partial^{1} \mathrm{H}(J[\mathrm{~Hz}])$ | gHMBCAD | gCOSY | NOESY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 28.19 | 2.46 (ddd, 12.8, 9.5, 3.9) | 14 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 28.21 | 1.46 (td, 11.7, 7.1) | 13a | 2 |  |
| 2 | 22.99 | 1.89 (m) |  | 1,3 | 1,3 |
| 3 | 54.08 | 3.11 (td, 8.9, 3.0) |  | 2 | 2 |
|  |  | 2.25 (q, 8.9) |  | 2 |  |
| 5 | 59.77 | 3.71 (d, 11.3) | 3, 5a, 13a |  | 17 |
|  | 59.73 | 2.65 (d, 10.0) |  |  |  |
| 5a | 68.62 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 39.31 | 2.39 (d, 15.1) | 5, 6a, 6b, 11a, 12, 12a |  |  |
|  | 39.24 | 2.06 (d, 15.2) |  |  |  |
| 6a | 63.75 |  |  |  |  |
| 6b | 131.82 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 128.72 | 7.51 | 6a, 8, 9, 10a |  | 6,17 |
| 8 | 125.49 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 132.24 |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 112.12 | 7.02 | 8, 9, 10a |  |  |
| 10a | 143.75 |  |  |  |  |
| 11a | 184.11 |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 46.97 |  |  |  |  |
| 12a | 55.10 | 3.05 (t, 10.1) |  | 13 | 16 |
| 13 | 28.81 | 1.86 (m) | 5a, 14 | 12a | 12a |
|  | 28.78 | 1.71 (dd, 12.7, 10.0) |  |  | 17 |
| 13a | 62.60 |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 1756.1 |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 24.40 | 0.83 (s) | 6a, 12, 12a, 17 |  |  |
| 17 | 20.93 | 1.12 (s) | 6a, 12, 12a, 16 |  | 5,13 |

### 8.3 Figures



Figure 8.1 Numbering scheme for paraherquamide K (1.24).


Figure $\mathbf{8 . 2}$ gHMBC and gCOSY correlations for paraherquamide $K(\mathbf{1 . 2 4})$.


Figure 8.3 Numbering scheme for paraherquamide L (1.25).


Figure $\mathbf{8 . 4} \mathrm{gHMBC}$ and gCOSY correlations for paraherquamide L (1.25).


Figure 8.5 Numbering scheme for paraherquamide M(1.26).


Figure 8.6 gHMBC and gCOSY correlations for paraherquamide $\mathrm{M}(\mathbf{1 . 2 6})$ in $\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$.


Figure 8.7 NOESY correlations for paraherquamide $\mathrm{M}(\mathbf{1 . 2 6})$ in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$.


Figure 8.8 Numbering scheme for paraherquamide N (1.27).


Figure 8.9 gHMBC and gCOSY correlations for paraherquamide $\mathrm{N}(1.27)$ in $\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$.


Figure 8.10 NOESY correlations for paraherquamide N (1.27) in DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$.


Figure 8.11 Numbering scheme for malbrancheamide (1.17).


Figure 8.12 Numbering scheme for spiromalbramide (3.1).


Figure 8.13 gHMBC and gCOSY correlations for spiromalbramide (3.1) in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$.


Figure 8.14 NOESY correlations for spiromalbramide (3.1) in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$.


Figure 8.15 Michaelis-Menten model kinetics for paraherquamide K (1.24).


Figure 8.16 Michaelis-Menten model kinetics for paraherquamide L (1.25).


Figure 8.17 PhqK reactions with paraherquamide K 1.24 (a.) and paraherquamide L 1.25 (b.) with no enzyme control in blue and the reaction shown in red.


Figure 8.18 a.) Mfo-DFc map contoured at $1 \sigma$ for malbrancheamide B cocrystal structure. b.) $2 \mathrm{Mfo}-\mathrm{DFc}$ map contoured at $1 \sigma$ for malbrancheamide B cocrystal structure.


Figure 8.19 a.) Mfo-DFc map contoured at $1 \sigma$ for FAD in malbrancheamide B cocrystal structure. b.) $2 \mathrm{Mfo}-\mathrm{DFc}$ map contoured at $1 \sigma$ for FAD in malbrancheamide B cocrystal structure.


Figure 8.20 a.) Mfo-DFc map contoured at $1 \sigma$ for FAD cocrystal structure. b. 2Mfo-DFc map contoured at $1 \sigma$ for FAD cocrystal structure.


Figure 8.21 a.) Mfo-DFc map contoured at $1 \sigma$ for malbrancheamide C cocrystal structure. b.) $2 \mathrm{Mfo}-\mathrm{DFc}$ map contoured at $1 \sigma$ for malbrancheamide C cocrystal structure.


Figure 8.22 a.) Mfo-DFc map contoured at $1 \sigma$ for FAD in malbrancheamide C cocrystal structure. b.)
$2 \mathrm{Mfo}-\mathrm{DFc}$ map contoured at $1 \sigma$ for FAD in malbrancheamide C cocrystal structure.


Figure 8.23 a.) Mfo-DFc map contoured at $1 \sigma$ for paraherquamide K cocrystal structure. b.) $2 \mathrm{Mfo}-\mathrm{DFc}$ map contoured at $1 \sigma$ for paraherquamide K cocrystal structure.


Figure 8.24 a.) Mfo-DFc map contoured at $1 \sigma$ for FAD in paraherquamide K cocrystal structure. b.) 2Mfo-DFc map contoured at $1 \sigma$ for FAD in paraherquamide K cocrystal structure.


Figure 8.25 a.) MFo-DFc map contoured at $1 \sigma$ for paraherquamide $L$ cocrystal structure. b.) $2 \mathrm{MFo}-\mathrm{DFc}$ map contoured at $1 \sigma$ for paraherquamide $L$ cocrystal structure.


Figure 8.26 a. MFo-DFc map contoured at $1 \sigma$ for FAD in paraherquamide L cocrystal structure. b. $2 \mathrm{MFo}-\mathrm{DFc}$ map contoured at $1 \sigma$ for FAD in paraherquamide L cocrystal structure.

### 8.4 Compound Characterization

8.4.1 NMR Characterization


Figure $8.27{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR of paraherquamide $\mathrm{K}(\mathbf{1 . 2 4})(800 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d6).


Figure $8.28{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR of paraherquamide $\mathrm{K}(\mathbf{1 . 2 4})(800 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d6).


Figure 8.29 gHSQCAD correlations of paraherquamide $\mathrm{K}(\mathbf{1 . 2 4})(800 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d6).


Figure 8.30 gHMBCAD correlations of paraherquamide $\mathrm{K}(\mathbf{1 . 2 4})(800 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d6).


Figure 8.31 gCOSY correlations of paraherquamide $\mathrm{K}(\mathbf{1 . 2 4})(800 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d6).


Figure $8.32{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of paraherquamide $\mathrm{L}(\mathbf{1 . 2 5})(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d6).


Figure $8.33{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR of paraherquamide $\mathrm{L}(\mathbf{1 . 2 5})(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d6).


Figure 8.34 gHSQCAD correlations of paraherquamide L(1.25) ( 600 MHz , DMSO-d6).


Figure 8.35 gHMBCAD correlations of paraherquamide L (1.25) ( 600 MHz , DMSO-d6).


Figure 8.36 gCOSY correlations of paraherquamide L (1.25) ( 600 MHz , DMSO-d6).


Figure $8.37{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of paraherquamide $\mathrm{M}(\mathbf{1 . 2 6})\left(800 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right)$.


Figure $8.38{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR of paraherquamide $\mathrm{M}(1.26)\left(800 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ).


Figure 8.39 gHSQCAD correlations of paraherquamide $\mathrm{M}(1.26)\left(800 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ).


Figure 8.40 gHMBCAD correlations of paraherquamide $\mathrm{M}(\mathbf{1 . 2 6})\left(800 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, $\mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) .


Figure 8.41 gCOSY correlations of paraherquamide $\mathrm{M}(\mathbf{1 . 2 6})\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ).


Figure 8.42 NOE correlations of paraherquamide M(1.26) ( 800 MHz , DMSO- $_{6}$ ).


Figure $8.43{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of paraherquamide $\mathrm{N}(\mathbf{1 . 2 7})\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{\mathrm{d}}\right.$ ).


Figure 8.44 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR of paraherquamide $\mathrm{N}(\mathbf{1 . 2 7})\left(800 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ).


Figure 8.45 gHSQCAD correlations of paraherquamide $\mathrm{N}(1.27)\left(800 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ).


Figure 8.46 gHMBCAD correlations of paraherquamide $\mathrm{N}(1.27)\left(800 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right)$.


Figure 8.47 gCOSY correlations of paraherquamide $\mathrm{N}(1.27)\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{\mathrm{d}}\right.$ ).



Figure 8.48 NOE correlations of paraherquamide $\mathrm{N}(\mathbf{1 . 2 7})\left(800 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left._{6}\right)$.


Figure $8.49{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR of fungal malbrancheamide (1.17) $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right)$.


Figure $8.50{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of spiromalbramide (3.1) ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ).


Figure 8.51 gHSQCAD correlations of spiromalbramide (3.1) ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ).


Figure 8.52 gHMBCAD correlations of spiromalbramide (3.1) ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ).


Figure 8.53 NOE correlations of spiromalbramide (3.1) ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ).

# Chapter 9 <br> Perturbation of the Interactions of Calmodulin with GRK5 using the Natural Product Malbrancheamide Experimental Section 

### 9.1 Experimental Methods

### 9.1.1 Isolation of Malbrancheamide

The isolation and purification procedure was adapted from Martínez-Luis, et al. and Fraley, et al. ${ }^{5,72}$ Individual flasks of 75 mL potato dextrose broth were inoculated with $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of Malbranchea aurantiaca spore stock and grown for 3 wk , or until a white fungal mat was produced. Prior to the noticeably orange sporulation, the cultures were pulverized and extracted with dichloromethane. The crude extract was acid-base purified first with 1 M HCl , then neutralized with 2 M ammonium hydroxide to pH 9 , and back extracted with dichloromethane. The extract was then purified by chiral HPLC on a Lux $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ Cellulose- $3250 \times 10 \mathrm{~mm}$ column (Phenomenex, Torrance, CA) using the following program: $50 \%$ acetonitrile for 18 min , gradient to $55 \%$ acetonitrile over $2 \mathrm{~min}, 55 \%$ acetonitrile for 2 min , gradient to $40 \%$ acetonitrile over 2 min , $40 \%$ acetonitrile for 5 min , at a flow rate of $4 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$. The mobile phase consisted of water and acetonitrile. From a 1.5 L growth of M. aurantiaca $5.8 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ malbrancheamide was obtained $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\right.$ NMR, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, \partial 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.96(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ 13.1, $5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=10.3,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.84(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H})$.

### 9.1.2 Production and Purification of Isomalbrancheamide $D$

Reactions were run in 1 mL aliquots with $40 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{MalA}, 54 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HpaC}$ flavin reductase, 250 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ isomalbrancheamide $\mathrm{B}, 100 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{FAD}, 50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaBr}, 5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NADH}$, and filled to the total volume with reaction buffer ( $50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaH} 2 \mathrm{PO}_{4}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, 0.2 mM DTT, $10 \%$ glycerol, pH 7.3). Reactions were extracted after 12 hours with 2 mL ethyl acetate in triplicate, dried under nitrogen gas, and resuspended in methanol for HPLC purification. In a 2.3 mg reaction with isomalbrancheamide $\mathrm{B}, 1.8 \mathrm{mg}$ isomalbrancheamide D were isolated. The product was purified using chiral HPLC with the previously mentioned semi-preparative cellulose column with the following HPLC time program: $70 \%$ acetonitrile for 14 min , gradient to $60 \%$ acetonitrile over 2
min at a flowrate of $4 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1} .\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}, 700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, \partial 1.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.46\right.$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.16(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.26$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.53(\mathrm{ddd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.2,9.1,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.85(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.43(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

### 9.1.3 Protein Expression and Purification

Human calmodulin (CaM) was inserted into pMCSG7 containing a TEV-cleavable N terminal hexahistidine tag using ligation-independent cloning, confirmed with Sanger sequencing, and transformed into E. coli BL21 DE3 pLysS cells. Cells were grown at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in terrific broth to an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ of $0.6-1.0$, cooled to $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and induced with 0.5 mM IPTG overnight. CaM cell pellets were resuspended in lysis buffer composed of 20 mM HEPES $\mathrm{pH} 8.0,200 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 40 \mathrm{mM}$ imidazole, $5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ dithiothreitol (DTT), leupeptin, $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ soybean trypsin protease inhibitor, and $0.1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) and lysed by sonication. Lysate was clarified by centrifugation for 30 min at $15,000 \mathrm{xg}$. After centrifugation, the supernatant was glass filtered and slowly flowed through Ni-NTA resin. The resin was washed with lysis buffer and CaM eluted with lysis buffer supplemented with an additional 200 mM imidazole. TEV protease was added to the purified CaM at a final concentration of $10 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ and dialyzed against 20 mM HEPES $\mathrm{pH} 8.0,200 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl} 2$, and 1 mM DTT overnight to cleave the hexahistidine tag. Cleaved protein was flowed through Ni-NTA resin and the protein that flowed through was further purified via size exclusion chromatography (SEC) on an analytical S75 column in 20 mM HEPES pH 8.0, $200 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$, and 1 mM DTT. CaM of $\geq 95 \%$ purity by SDS-PAGE was aliquoted, flash frozen, and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Calmodulin protein concentrations were determined by absorbance at 280 nm using a calculated molecular weight of 19,580 Da and extinction coefficient of 4,470 $\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. His-tagged N - or C-terminal lobes (residues 1-75 and 78-149, respectively) of CaM were also expressed and purified as described above for full-length CaM .

Human GRK5 with a C-terminal hexahistidine tag was cloned into a modified pFastBac HTB vector and verified by Sanger sequencing. High-titer recombinant baculovirus was prepared using the Bac-to-Bac protocol (Invitrogen/ThermoFisher, Carlsbad, CA) and used to infect Sf9 or Hi5 insect cells at a density of $2 \times 10^{6}$ cells $\mathrm{mL}^{-1}$ for $48-60 \mathrm{~h}$. GRK5 insect cell pellets were resuspended in lysis buffer containing 20 mM HEPES pH 8.0, $100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 40 \mathrm{mM}$ imidazole, 1 mM DTT, and $0.1 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{PMSF}, 2 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$ leupeptin, and $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}^{-1}$ soybean trypsin protease inhibitor and briefly sonicated. Lysate was clarified by centrifugation for 1 h at $>200,000 \mathrm{xg}$. After
centrifugation, the supernatant was glass filtered and slowly flowed through Ni-NTA resin. The resin was washed with lysis buffer and GRK5 eluted with lysis buffer supplemented with an additional 200 mM imidazole. Eluted GRK5 was further purified via cation exchange chromatography on a HiTrap S column with a gradient of $0.0-1.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}$ at pH 8.0 . Fractions containing GRK5 were combined and run over an analytical S200 SEC column in 20 mM HEPES $\mathrm{pH} 8.0,200 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}$, and 1 mM DTT. GRK 5 of $\geq 95 \%$ purity by SDS-PAGE was aliquoted, flash frozen, and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. GRK 5 protein concentrations were determined by absorbance at 280 nm using a calculated molecular weight of 70,660 Da and extinction coefficient of 60,280 $\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Peptides encompassing the N (residues 2-31) or C (residues 546-565) were previously synthesized, ${ }^{239}$ stored lyophilized in a desiccator at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and resuspended in DMSO prior to use in assays.

### 9.1.4 Determination of the $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+} \cdot$ CaM•Malbrancheamide Crystal Structures

$\mathrm{Ca}^{2+} \cdot \mathrm{CaM}$ at $10-12 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}$ was incubated at room temperature with 2 molar equivalents of malbrancheamide or isomalbrancheamide D in 20 mM HEPES $\mathrm{pH} 8.0,200 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}$, and 1 mM DTT supplemented with $5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ for 30 min . Sitting drop sparse-matrix screens with 0.5 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ well solution and $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{Ca}^{2+} \cdot \mathrm{CaM} \cdot$ malbrancheamide or isomalbrancheamide D were incubated at $20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Small rod-like crystals appeared after 3-4 d in drops using well solution containing 0.1 M Tris $\mathrm{pH} 8.5,0.2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$, and $20 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ PEG 8,000 . Crystals were harvested directly from the drop without cryoprotection, and diffraction data were collected at LS-CAT (Sector 21, Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Lab) on an Eiger 9M detector (Dectris, Baden-Dättwil, Switzerland) at 100 K. Data were indexed, integrated, and scaled using xia2, DIALS, and Aimless. ${ }^{240-242}$

The diffraction data for crystals grown with either ligand were highly anisotropic with diffraction along the h axis extending to $2.7 \AA$, while diffraction in the k and 1 directions was observed to $1.8 \AA$ in the case of $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+} \cdot \mathrm{CaM} \cdot$ malbrancheamide. The resulting datasets had large $\mathrm{R}_{\text {meas }}$ values overall and especially in the highest resolution shells, but $\mathrm{CC}_{1 / 2}{ }^{243}$ and $\mathrm{I} / \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ strongly indicated meaningful data were present beyond $2.7 \AA$ for the malbrancheamide data set. Ultimately, data were truncated at $1.96 \AA$ for $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+} \cdot \mathrm{CaM} \cdot$ malbrancheamide and $1.89 \AA$ for $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+} \cdot \mathrm{CaM} \cdot$ isomalbrancheamide D yielding high resolution shells with $\mathrm{I} / \sigma(\mathrm{I})>2$ and $\mathrm{CC}_{1 / 2}>0.9$.

Molecular replacement was performed with Phaser ${ }^{244}$ in Phenix ${ }^{215}$ using one chain of PDB 4HEX. ${ }^{245}$ Ligand restraints were prepared using eLBOW. ${ }^{246}$ Reciprocal space refinement carried
out in Phenix Refine was alternated with real space refinement and model building in Coot. ${ }^{216,} 247$ Ligand occupancy was set at $100 \%$ after allowing Phenix Refine to vary its occupancy automatically which returned an occupancy $>90 \%$ across multiple rounds of refinement. Graphics were prepared with PyMol (version 2.1, Schrödinger LLC.). Structure factors and model coordinates have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank with the accession codes 6EEB and 605G.

### 9.1.5 Isothermal Titration Calorimetry (ITC)

ITC was performed with a NanoITC instrument (TA Instruments, New Castle, DE). Malbrancheamide or isomalbrancheamide D at $600 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in 20 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 200 mM NaCl , $5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$, and $5 \%$ DMSO was slowly titrated into a solution of $80 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Ca}^{2+} \cdot \mathrm{CaM}$ in an identical buffer. Although not ideal, the addition of DMSO to the buffers was required to obtain reasonable binding curves to the poor solubility of malbrancheamide at high concentrations in aqueous solution. The heat of binding was analyzed using Nano Analyze software (TA Instruments) and fit to a sigmoidal binding curve to obtain the binding affinity $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$ and stoichiometry. ITC was repeated three times and statistical significance determined via Student's two-tailed T-test.

### 9.1.6 Radiometric Kinase Assays

Kinase assays were performed with 50 nM purified human GRK5 and either $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ light activated bovine rhodopsin in rod outer segment membranes, $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ porcine tubulin monomer (Cat. \#T240, Cytoskeleton, Denver, CO), or $7 \mu \mathrm{M}$ bovine myelin basic protein (MyBP, Cat. \#13104, EMD MilliporeSigma, Burlington, MA) in buffer containing 20 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 10 mM $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 0.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl}$, and 1 mM DTT. CaM , CaM lobes, GRK5 terminal peptides, and/or malbrancheamide were added and the reactions initiated with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ATP spiked with $\left[\gamma-{ }^{32} \mathrm{P}\right]-$ ATP (Perkin-Elmer, Waltham, MA) and allowed to proceed for 2 min at room temperature for tubulin and MyBP substrates and 5 min at room temperature for rhodopsin prior to quenching with SDS loading dye. Samples were separated on $4-15 \%$ polyacrylamide gels, dried, and exposed overnight on phosphor screens. Screens were imaged on a Typhoon imager (GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL), band intensities quantified using ImageQuant, and fit to a three parameter doseresponse model in GraphPad Prism with the Hill slope constrained to 1 and the top and bottom plateaus unconstrained. The dose-response model equation is: $\mathrm{Y}=$ Bottom + (TopBottom $) /\left(1+10^{\wedge}((\operatorname{LogEC} 50-\mathrm{X}))\right) . \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ and $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values (average $\left.\pm \mathrm{SD}\right)$ are reported when the plateaus surrounding the dose-response curves were defined. All experiments were performed
three times and reported as mean $\pm \mathrm{SD}$. Reactions containing rhodopsin were incubated 5 min and tubulin and MyBP reactions were allowed to proceed for 2 min at room temperature. Data were normalized to either saturated substrate or GRK5 phosphorylation in the absence of small molecule inhibitor and/or $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+} \cdot \mathrm{CaM}(100 \%)$ and phosphor image plate background ( $0 \%$ ) measurements after 5 min . For MyBP, $100 \%$ represents the maximum phosphorylation in the presence of $500 \mu \mathrm{M}$ CaM.

### 9.1.7 Complex Formation and Light Scattering

Purified GRK5 and $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+} \cdot \mathrm{CaM}$ were mixed in a 1:3 molar ratio, supplemented with 5 mM $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$, and incubated at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min prior to separation by size exclusion chromatography (SEC) using an analytical S200 10/300 column (GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL). Approximately 500 $\mu \mathrm{g}$ of $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+} \cdot \mathrm{CaM}$-GRK 5 complex, or $500 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of GRK 5 alone as a control, was then injected onto Shodex KW-804 liquid chromatography column coupled to a Dawn-Helios multi-angle light scattering (MALS) detector (Wyatt Technologies, Santa Barbara, CA) to determine the molecular weight and stoichiometry of the complex through interpolation of a standard calibration curve.

### 9.1.8 Small-Angle X-Ray Scattering (SAXS)

SAXS was performed at BioCAT (beamline 18ID at the Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory) with in-line size exclusion chromatography (SEC-SAXS) to separate the sample from aggregates and other contaminants thus ensuring optimal sample quality. GRK 5 and $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+} \cdot \mathrm{CaM}$-GRK 5 complex at 6 and $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ were loaded onto a Superdex 200 Increase $10 / 300$ GL column, which was run at $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ by an AKTA Pure FPLC (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Chicago, IL). The eluate was passed through a UV monitor and flown through the SAXS flow cell, which consists of a 1.5 mm ID quartz capillary with $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ walls. Scattering intensity was recorded using a Pilatus3 1M detector (Dectris, Baden-Dättwil, Switzerland) which was placed 3.5 m from the sample giving access to a q-range of $0.004 \AA^{-1}$ to $0.4 \AA^{-1}$. Exposures of 0.5 s were acquired every 2 s during elution and the data were reduced using BioXTAS RAW 1.4.0. ${ }^{248}$ Additional experimental parameters can be found in Table 9.1.

Buffer blanks were created by averaging regions flanking the elution peak and subtracted from exposures selected from the elution peak to create the $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{q})$ vs q curves used for subsequent analyses. The ATSAS and ScÅtter software packages were used to further process data and generate data plots. ${ }^{249-251}$ Porod exponents for the evaluation of compactness were calculated automatically by ScÅtter after defining the linear region of the Porod plot.

Electron density was reconstructed from the raw SAXS data using the program DENsity from Solution Scattering (DENSS). ${ }^{252}$ The higher concentration samples produced the strongest signal and strongest density (judged by density at increasing $\sigma$ and better quality of fits/correlation coefficients for $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{g}}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{\max }$ ) and were used for modeling. The program dock_in_map as part of the Phenix software package was used to perform automatic, unbiased docking of the proteins into the reconstructed density using an automatically selected search order. GRK5 was fit to the density of the complex using a random starting position and the density occupied by GRK5 after automatic placement was omitted from a subsequent search for the placement of $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+} \cdot \mathrm{CaM}$. GRK5 (PDB 4WNK) with a modeled N-terminus, AST loop, and C-terminus from GRK6 (PDB 3NYN), a GRK structure with all three features resolved, was used as the GRK5 model and $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+} \cdot \mathrm{CaM}$ (PDB 5J03) was chosen from a variety of different liganded states of $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+} \cdot \mathrm{CaM}$ for its quality of fit to the density. CRYSOL ${ }^{253}$ as part of the ATSAS PyMol plugin SASpy ${ }^{254}$ was used to score the model against the raw SAXS data. PyMol (version 2.1, Schrödinger LLC., New York City, NY) was used to generate figures.

### 9.1.9 Negative Stain EM

Purified $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+} \cdot \mathrm{CaM}$-GRK 5 complex was isolated by SEC as described under Complex Formation and Light Scattering. For negative stain imaging, protein samples were diluted with buffer ( 20 mM HEPES, $200 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl} 2,1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{DTT}$ ) to $0.02-0.05 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}$. The samples were prepared using a conventional negative staining protocol, ${ }^{255}$ and imaged at room temperature with a Technai T12 electron microscope operated at 120 kV using low dose procedures. Images were recorded at a magnification of $71,138 \mathrm{x}$ and a defocus value of $\sim-1.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ on a Gatan US4000 CCD camera. All images were binned ( $2 \times 2$ pixels) to obtain a pixel size of $4.16 \AA$ on the specimen level. Particles were manually picked using e2boxer.py (part of the EMAN2 software suite). ${ }^{256} 2 \mathrm{D}$ reference-free alignment and classification of particle projections was performed using ISAC. ${ }^{257}$

### 9.1.10 Flow Cytometry Protein Interaction Assay (FCPIA)

FCPIA was performed as described previously. ${ }^{258,} 259 \mathrm{CaM}$ was labeled with aminereactive biotin at a 1:1 molar ratio, followed by its conjugation to SPHERO streptavidin-coated beads (Spherotech). Different amounts of GRK5, labeled with AlexaFluor-488 C5-maleimide (AF488- GRK5) at 1:1 ratio, were incubated with CaM-beads in the buffer containing 20 mM HEPES $\mathrm{pH} 8.0,100 \mathrm{mM}$ NaCI, 2 mM DTT, $1 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ bovine serum albumin and $0.1 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ lubrol
containing either 2.5 mM EGTA or $2.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ for 30 min at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then, the bead-associated fluorescence was measured using Accuri C6 Flow Cytometer. The fluorescence as a function of AF488-GRK 5 concentration was plotted and fit to an equation for total binding in GraphPad Prism software: $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{B}_{\max } * \mathrm{X} /(\mathrm{Kd}+\mathrm{X})+\mathrm{NS} * \mathrm{X}+$ Background, where $\mathrm{B}_{\text {max }}$ is the maximum specific binding and NS is the slope of non-specific binding. For competition experiments, various concentrations of unlabeled GRK5 variants or peptides were incubated with 10 nM of CaM -conjugated beads and 100 nM of AF488-GRK5. Peptides that bind CaM were able to compete with fluorescent GRK5 leading to a decrease in bead-associated fluorescence compared to the samples in which no competitor was present. The binding affinity $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ of each peptide was calculated via the ChengPrusoff equation. Each peptide was tested three times in duplicate and the data reported as average $\pm$ SD normalized after baseline correction for non-specific binding.

### 9.1.11 GRK5 Nuclear Translocation Assay

Neonatal rat cardiac fibroblasts (NRCFs) were plated overnight in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) supplemented with $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum (FBS) and $1 \%$ penicillin/streptomycin (pen/strep). On day 2, NRCFs were switched to base DMEM with no additives and either $0.1 \%$ DMSO (as a negative control) or $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ malbrancheamide (in DMSO) and incubated overnight. On Day 3, base DMEM was replaced for 2 h and then $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of the hypertrophic agonist angiotensin II (AngII) was added (or vehicle - PBS as the non-stimulated control) and incubated for an additional 90 min . Nuclear fractions were then purified from cardiac fibroblast cell pellets as described ${ }^{200}$ and GRK5 protein content was quantitated using western blotting (using GRK5 antibody SC-518005, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX) and densitometry using levels of the nuclear protein fibrillarin as a normalization loading control. Statistical significance of $\mathrm{n}=3$ independent experiments was determined via two-way ANOVA with Tukey's post hoc correction for multiple comparisons.

### 9.1.12 Hypertrophic Phenotypic Assay

AC16 human cardiomyocyte cells were plated overnight in DMEM supplemented with $10 \%$ FBS and $1 \%$ pen/strep. On day 2, cells were switched to base DMEM with no additives and either $0.1 \%$ DMSO or $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ malbrancheamide (in DMSO) and incubated overnight. On Day 3, the hypertrophic $\alpha$-adrenergic agonist phenylephrine (PE) ${ }^{200}$ was added to a final concentration of 50 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ (or vehicle (DMEM) was added for the non-stimulated control) and incubated for 48 h . Cells were then fixed with $3.7 \%$ formaldehyde, permeabilized in $0.2 \% \mathrm{NP}-40$, and stained for DAPI to visualize cell nuclei and F-actin (phalloidin-488) to identify cellular area. IMAGE-J software was
used to manually quantitate cell area of PE-treated cardiomyocytes versus vehicle-treated cells ( $\pm$ malbrancheamide) using F-actin staining. Statistical significance of 3 biological replicates (independent experiments with 50-100 cells measured per replicate) was determined via two-way ANOVA with Tukey's post hoc correction for multiple comparisons.

### 9.2 Tables

Table 9.1 SAXS data collection parameters.

| SAXS data collection parameters |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Instrument | Bio-CAT facility at the Advanced Photon Source beamline 18ID with Pilatus3 1M (Dectris) detector |
| Wavelength ( $\AA$ ) | 1.033 |
| Beam size ( $\mu \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | 150 (h) x 25 (v) |
| Camera length (m) | 3.5 |
| $q$-measurement range ( $\AA^{-1}$ ) | 0.004-0.4 |
| Absolute scaling method | N/A |
| Basis for normalization to constant counts | To incident intensity, by ion chamber counter |
| Method for monitoring radiation damage | Automated frame-by-frame comparison of relevant regions |
| Exposure time, number of exposures | 0.5 s exposure time with a 2 s total exposure period $(0.5 \mathrm{~s}$ on, 1.5 s off) of entire SEC elution |
| Sample configuration | SEC-SAXS. Size separation by an AKTA Pure with a Superdex 200 Increase 10/300 GL column. SAXS data measured in a 1.5 mm ID quartz capillary. |
| Sample temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | 20 |
| Software employed for SAS data reduction, analysis and interpretation |  |
| SAXS data reduction | Radial averaging; frame comparison, averaging, and subtraction done using BioXTAS RAW 1.4.0. (11) |
| Basic analysis: Guinier, M.W., $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{r})$ | Guinier fit and molecular weight using BioXTAS RAW 1.4.0, $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{r})$ function using GNOM. (13) |

Table 9.2 Data collection statistics for SEC-SAXS experiments.

|  | GRK5 | $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+} . \mathrm{CaM}-\mathrm{GRK} 5$ | GRK5 | $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$. CaM-GRK5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Concentration $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL})$ | 6 | 6 | 10 | 10 |
| Guinier $\mathrm{I}(0)$ | 27.4 | 17.2 | 26.7 | 30.8 |
| Guinier $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{g}}(\AA)$ | 31.8 | 37.3 | 29.6 | 35.3 |
| Guinier Pearson CC | 0.84 | 0.86 | 0.92 | 0.94 |
| P(r) I(0) | 27.0 | 15.2 | 30.0 | 32.9 |
| P(r) $\mathrm{Rg}_{\mathrm{g}}(\AA)$ | 31.2 | 32.2 | 30.0 | 34.0 |
| P(r) Quality of Fit | 0.81 | 0.83 | 0.90 | 0.94 |
| D $_{\text {max }}(\AA)$ | 94 | 108 | 97 | 109 |
| Estimated MW $(\mathrm{kDa})$ | 68 | 85 | 73 | 95 |
| Actual MW $(\mathrm{kDa})$ | 70.7 | 90.3 | 70.7 | 90.3 |

Data collection statistics for SEC-SAXS experiments. Zero angle intensity [ $\mathrm{I}(0)$ ], radius of gyration ( $\mathrm{Rg}_{\mathrm{g}}$ ), and quality of fit/Pearson correlation coefficient derived from Guinier and Pairdistance $\left[\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{r})\right.$ ] analyses are displayed. The maximum particle size $\mathrm{D}_{\max }$ was determined from the largest radius in the $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{r})$ plot (Supplemental Figure 1). Estimated molecular weight (MW) was calculated by dividing the Porod volume by 1.7. ${ }^{260}$

Table 9.3 Crystallographic data collection for the $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+} \cdot \mathrm{CaM}$ complexes.

| Ligand | Malbrancheamide | Isomalbrancheamide D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wavelength ( $\AA$ ) | 1.0332 | 0.9839 |
| Resolution ( $\AA$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & 35-1.96 \\ & (2.03-1.96)^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38-1.89 \\ & (1.96-1.89)^{*} \end{aligned}$ |
| Space group | C $222{ }_{1}$ | C $2222_{1}$ |
| Unit cell constants ( $\AA$, ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & 49.156 .9116 .8 \\ & 909090 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50.956 .8117 .2 \\ & 909090 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total reflections | 158,616 (16,218) | 181,440 (18,251) |
| Unique reflections | 12,096 (1,184) | 13,910 (1,356) |
| Multiplicity | 13.1 (13.7) | 13.0 (13.5) |
| Completeness (\%) | 99.8 (99.8) | 99.7 (99.9) |
| Mean I/ $\sigma(\mathrm{I}$ ) | 7.5 (2.6) | 19.8 (4.5) |
| Wilson B-factor | 29.7 | 24.1 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {meas }}$ | 0.200 (0.831) | 0.083 (0.606) |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {pim }}$ | 0.055 (0.223) | 0.023 (0.166) |
| $\mathrm{CC}_{1 / 2}$ | 0.995 (0.944) | 0.999 (0.957) |
| Reflections used in refinement | 12,080 (1,181) | 13,896 (1,356) |
| Reflections used for $\mathrm{R}_{\text {free }}$ | 1,207 (118) | 1,391 (136) |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {work }} / \mathrm{R}_{\text {free }}$ | 0.212/0.260 (0.351/0.402) | 0.190/0.238 (0.236/0.316) |
| Number of non-hydrogen atoms | 1,212 | 1,253 |
| Protein | 1,129 | 1,129 |
| Ligand | 32 | 32 |
| Solvent | 51 | 92 |
| RMS bonds ( $\AA$ ) | 0.007 | 0.005 |
| RMS angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | 0.88 | 0.72 |
| Ramachandran favored (\%) | 98.6 | 100.0 |
| Ramachandran allowed (\%) | 1.4 | 0.0 |
| Ramachandran outliers (\%) | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Rotamer outliers (\%) | 0.0 | 1.6 |
| Clashscore | 11.7 | 1.8 |
| Average B-factor | 55.2 | 38.6 |
| Protein | 54.7 | 38.0 |
| Ligand | 90.7 | 59.3 |
| Solvent | 44.0 | 40.1 |
| PDB Accession | 6EEB | 605G |

*Statistics for the highest-resolution shell are shown in parentheses

### 9.3 Figures



Figure 9.1 SAXS data for GRK5 and the $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+} \cdot \mathrm{CaM}-\mathrm{GRK} 5$ complex. Data are from from 6 and 10 mg $\mathrm{mL}^{-1}$ samples. Shown are the raw scattering curves ( $10 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}$ scattering scaled to GRK 5 from the 6 $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}$ data set in $\mathrm{Sc} \AA$ Atter for comparison purposes), Guinier plots for the $\mathrm{qR}_{\mathrm{g}}<1.3$ regions, and the corresponding pair-distance distribution $[\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{r})]$. The best-fit line used to calculate $\mathrm{I}(0)$ through extrapolation is shown on the Guinier plots. The maximum particle dimension ( $\mathrm{D}_{\max }$ ) reported in Supplemental Table 2 was determined from the largest X-intercept on the pair-distance distributions.


Figure 9.2 Flexibility analysis of GRK5 and the $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+} \cdot \mathrm{CaM}-\mathrm{GRK} 5$ complex. Data from 10 mg $\mathrm{mL}^{-1}$ SEC-SAXS experiments. Both samples display a plateau in their Porod-Debye plots at $\mathrm{q}_{\max }=0.12 \AA^{-1}$ whereas their respective Kratky-Debye plots are decreasing indicating the particles do not display a high degree of flexibility. Plots were prepared in ScÅtter.


Figure 9.3 Reconstructed electron densities from SEC-SAXS experiments using DENSS.


Figure 9.4 Representative negative stain micrographs. A) GRK5 and B) the $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+} \cdot \mathrm{CaM}-$ GRK5 complex. Data were collected on a Technai T12 electron microscope operated at 120 kV using low dose procedures. Images were recorded at a magnification of $71,138 \mathrm{x}$ and a defocus value of $\sim-1.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ on a Gatan US4000 CCD camera.


Figure 9.5 Representative ITC curves A) malbrancheamide and B) isomalbrancheamide D binding to $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+} \cdot \mathrm{CaM}$.
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Figure 9.6 $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+} . \mathrm{CaM}$ complex with isomalbrancheamide D. Isomalbrancheamide D also binds to the C-lobe of $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+} \cdot \mathrm{CaM}$. A) Chemical structure of isomalbrancheamide D and ITC binding results (average $\pm$ S.D., N=3). B) C-lobe hydrophobic pocket with isomalbrancheamide D (IsoMal D) bound. Cyan mesh represents refined $\left|\mathbf{2} \boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{o}}\right|-\left|\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{c}}\right|$ density

A


Figure 9.7 Electron density for malbrancheamide (Mal) bound to $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+} . \mathrm{CaM}$. A) Green mesh represents positive $\left|\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{o}}\right|-\left|\boldsymbol{F}_{c}\right|$ omit density contoured at $2.5 \sigma$. B) Cyan mesh represents refined $\left|\mathbf{2} \boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{o}}\right|-\left|\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{c}}\right|$ density contoured at $1.0 \sigma$.


Figure 9.8 Effect of malbrancheamide on GRK5 activities in the absence of $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+} . \mathrm{CaM}$. Addition of malbrancheamide (Mal) does not affect phosphorylation of rhodopsin or GRK5 autophosphorylation. Experiments were performed three times and shown here as mean $\pm$ SD. Data were normalized to either saturated substrate or GRK5 phosphorylation in the absence of small molecule inhibitor and/or $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+} \cdot \mathrm{CaM}(100 \%)$ and phosphor image background ( $0 \%$ ) measurements after 5 min .


Figure 9.9 Determination of terminal peptide binding affinities by flow cytometry protein interaction assay (FCPIA). A) Schematic of the FCPIA assay. Interaction between $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+} \cdot \mathrm{CaM}$ and GRK 5 leads to an increase in fluorescence from the conjugated AlexaFluor-488 (AF488). B) Control binding experiments assessing binding of CaM in the presence of $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ or EGTA and competition between unlabeled GRK5 and AlexaFluor-488-labeled GRK5 for CaM binding in the presence of $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ or EGTA. C) Displacement of labeled GRK 5 by peptides encompassing the N (residues 2-31) or C (residues 546-565) terminal region of GRK5. Binding affinities $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ calculated via the Cheng-Prusoff equation after correcting for non-specific binding are $250 \pm 10$ and $670 \pm 14 \mathrm{nM}$ for the N and C terminal peptides, respectively. Data are reported as average $\pm \mathrm{SD}$ ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ in duplicate).
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