

RESEARCH

Open Access



Determinants of uterine rupture among cases of Adama city public and private hospitals, Oromia, Ethiopia: a case control study

Fikru Abebe^{1*}, Ephrem Mannekulih², Abebe Megerso², Abdurahman Idris¹ and Tsegaye Legese¹

Abstract

Background: Ethiopia is among the ten world countries with highest maternal death rates that accounts for more than 59% of global maternal deaths. Uterine rupture is one of the dangerous obstetric problems with high potential of causing maternal and neonatal morbidity and mortality. The case fatality rate of uterine rupture is high and hence identifying factors associated with uterine rupture remains important to guide decision makers and practitioners. The study aimed to identify factors associated with uterine rupture among clients managed in Adama city public and private hospitals during January 2011 to December, 2015.

Methods: Unmatched case control study design was employed. The sample size was determined using computer software considering the basic statistical assumptions and accordingly a total of 432 women, (144 with uterine rupture as cases and 288 with spontaneous vaginal delivery as controls) managed in all hospitals during the study period were included in the study. A data collection tool that contains available variables was designed and used to extract data from log books and client cards. Data were entered into EPI-Info-7 and exported to Stata-12 for cleaning and analysis. The study participants were characterized using descriptive statistics. The associations between uterine rupture and independent variables were modeled using binary logistic regression analysis. The association between independent variables and uterine rupture was estimated using odds ratio with 95% confidence intervals. The statistical significance of the association was declared at P -value < 0.05 .

Results: The odds of having a uterine rupture were found to be more than six times higher among rural residents (AOR = 6.29; 95% CI: 3.39, 11.66) compared to urban. Other independent predictors include gravidity of five or more (AOR = 27.89; 95% CI: 8.42, 92.34), having a history of cesarean section scar (AOR = 9.94; 95% CI: 3.39, 11.66) and not having an antenatal care visit (AOR = 9.64; 95% CI: 4.37, 21.29).

Conclusion: Rural residence, multigravidas, cesarean section scar and not having an antenatal care visit were independent predictors of uterine rupture in the current study. Therefore, improving access and strengthening essential obstetric care, antenatal and family planning services with complete packages are crucial interventions in the reduction of the odds of having uterine rupture. In addition, the strengthening of the referral system is mandatory for women residing in rural areas.

Keywords: Adama, Hospital, Uterine rupture

* Correspondence: tigfik82@gmail.com

¹Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Adama Hospital Medical College, Adama, Ethiopia

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



Plain english summary

Background plain

Ethiopia is among the ten world countries with the highest number of maternal deaths that accounts for more than 59% of global maternal deaths. Uterine rupture is one of the dangerous obstetric problems with high potential of causing maternal and neonatal death. This study aimed to identify factors associated with uterine rupture among clients managed in Adama city public and private hospitals during January 2011 to December, 2015.

Methods

The study was employed by comparing cases (women with uterine rupture) and controls (women with normal spontaneous vaginal delivery). A total of 432 women, (144 cases and 288 controls) managed in all hospitals during the study period were included in the study. Data were analyzed using STATA-12 computer soft. The characteristics of women participated in the study were descriptive using frequency distribution. A statistical analysis method called logistic regression was used to assess the associations between uterine rupture and independent variables. The chance to develop uterine rupture was estimated by odds ratio.

Results

The higher chance of developing uterine rupture was observed among women from rural residents compared to urban, women with large number of pregnancies, women who have scarred uterus due to previously delivered by operation and women never attend antenatal care for the current pregnancy.

Conclusion

Therefore, improving access and strengthening essential care during pregnancy and labor and family planning services with complete packages are crucial interventions. In addition, strengthening strong referral system is mandatory for women residing in rural areas.

Background

Uterine rupture is defined as a full-thickness separation of the uterine wall and the overlying serosa [1]. It is a catastrophic obstetric complication associated with high rates of maternal morbidity and mortality. Ethiopia was the fourth among ten countries collectively accounted for 59% of all maternal deaths worldwide [2]. Uterine rupture was one of the top four causes that attributed to 36% of these maternal deaths in addition to hemorrhage (22%), hypertensive disorders of pregnancy (19%) and sepsis/infection (13%) [3, 4]. This unfortunate event has remained the most significant problem in developing nations [5]. The overall incidence of uterine rupture is higher in developing countries than in developed countries, which is around 74 in

10,000 [6, 7]. Uterine rupture usually occurs during labor but it can also occur during pregnancy [8]. It has also been reported in all trimester of pregnancy [9]. Uterine rupture in primi-gravida with no identifiable risk factor has also been reported [9]. The signs and symptoms of uterine rupture depend on the timing, site, and extent of uterine defect. The classical signs and symptoms of uterine rupture include fetal distress, loss of uterine contraction, abdominal pain, hemorrhage, recession of the presenting fetal part and shock. The initial signs and symptoms are however, non-specific, a condition that makes diagnosis difficult, which sometimes delays definitive therapy. This delay in diagnosis and treatment often leads to adverse maternal and perinatal outcome. It is therefore important to maintain a high index of suspicion [10, 11].

Uterine rupture is one of the dangerous obstetric problems with higher potential of causing maternal and neonatal morbidity, if not death. Case fatality rate for uterine rupture is as high as 30.4% [12]. Consequences of uterine rupture depend on the time between diagnosis of uterine rupture and delivery. It has been postulated that from the time of diagnosis to delivery only 10–37 min are available before clinically significant fetal morbidity becomes inevitable due to catastrophic hemorrhage or fetal anoxia. Uterine rupture contributes significantly to maternal morbidity and mortality, and perinatal mortality. Fatal consequences are admitted to the neonatal intensive care unit, fetal hypoxia or anoxia, and neonatal death. Maternal consequences are hemorrhage, hypovolemic shock, bladder injury, need for hysterectomy, and maternal death. Morbidity and mortality following rupture of the uterus depend on level of medical care [13–16].

Studies reported that about 60% of uterine rupture can occur spontaneously and there were also 29% scar rupture and 11% traumatic rupture [9, 17]. Several studies associated the odds of having uterine rupture with maternal education [18, 19], increased in maternal age [6, 9], distance from health care facility [6, 9, 18, 19], multi-gravidity [6, 9, 20, 21], increased gestational age [6, 9], not utilizing antenatal care [6, 9, 21], high parity [4–6, 18, 19, 22], having previous cesarean section [4, 5, 17, 18, 22–24], mal-presentation [4–6, 18, 22], unsafe obstetric practices such as inappropriate use of oxytocins, prostaglandins drugs for induction/augmentation of labor and fundal pressure in prolonged second stage [6, 18, 20, 21]. Studies also relate congenital uterine anomalies, feto-pelvic disproportion, breech extraction, previous myomectomy, fetal macrosomia, neglected labor and uterine instrumentation with the odds of having uterine rupture [4–6, 18, 22].

Evidences on factors associated with uterine rupture are scarce in our study setting. Therefore, the results of this study will inform both clinical practitioners and maternal health program planners on important areas of attention, and hence, contribute to the reduction of

maternal morbidity and mortality. Moreover the current study will also serve as one sample study for meta-analysis studies aiming to identify the common predictors of uterine rupture.

Methods

Study setting, period and design

Hospital based unmatched case control study design was used to assess factors associated with uterine rupture among mothers given birth and managed at Adama city, one public and four private hospitals during January 2011 to December, 2015. Adama city forms an especial zone of Oromia region and located 99 km south east of Addis Abeba (Capital city of Ethiopia). Based on 2007 census conducted by the Central Statistical Agency (CSA) of Ethiopia [25], this city has a total population of about quarter a million. The city has one governmental and three private hospitals. These hospitals together serve over five million catchment populations and serve as a referral site for neighboring zones and regions (Affar, Amhara and Somali). The hospitals have an operation room with nine functional operation room tables, nine gynecologists, 15 anesthetists and 30 midwives. Average annual numbers of deliveries of all type in these hospitals on average was 8, 320.

Study participants

All mothers delivered in Adama city governmental and private hospitals during the study period were considered as a source population for the current study. Women with uterine rupture were taken as cases and those with a normal spontaneous vaginal delivery (registered following each uterine rupture case) were considered as controls. Sample size for unmatched case control study design was calculated using EPI Info version 7 [26], considering all the following assumptions;

- Power of the study = 80%,
- Confidence interval = 95%,
- Case – to- control ratio = 1 to 2,
- Percentage of controls exposed to risk factor (cesarean section scar in this case) = 35%,
- Odds ratio to be detected = 1.82 (planned detection capacity of our study),
- Percentage of cases exposed (has cesarean section scar in this case) = 49.55% (from relevant literature) [17].

Accordingly, a total sample size of 432 women that is 144 cases of uterine rupture and 288 normal spontaneous vaginal deliveries were included in the study. The sampling frame was developed based on the client's medical registration number recorded on the log books in the labor wards and operating rooms over the 5 years from January 2011 to December, 2015. Using this frame,

mothers diagnosed for uterine rupture and managed in the selected hospitals and the two subsequent mothers who had normal spontaneous vaginal delivery were selected as cases and controls respectively.

Data collection

Data were extracted from both the log books and the client cards which had been recorded over the 5 years from January 2011 to December, 2015. As sources of data the medical records of the selected cases that were diagnosed for uterine rupture and the medical records of two subsequent mothers with spontaneous vaginal delivery were collected from medical record units. Data extraction tool that contains all required variables was designed and used to extract data from both the log book and the client cards. Data were collected by 8 third year resident in Gynecology and Obstetrics. Before data extraction, training was provided on data collection tool and the data collection process were conducted under the supervision of the investigators. Sample of completed data extraction tools were cross checked with original patient records and feedback was given to ensure data quality.

Study variables

Independent variables

- **Socio-demographic variables:** Age, Place of Residence
- **Obstetrics/Genecology related variables:** Parity, Gravidity, Gestational Age, Having Mal-presentation, History of cesarean section scar, ANC visits, contracted pelvis, uterine anomalies, previous myomectomy
- **Management and follow up related Variables:** Oxytocin/prostaglandin misuse, uterine instrumentation, Fundal pressure application

Dependent variable

Uterine rupture (Yes Vs. No).

Data analysis

The collected data were coded and entered into EPI-Info version seven then exported to STATA version 12 for cleaning and analysis. Descriptive analysis was used to explore the characteristics of mothers. The associations between uterine rupture and independent variables were modeled using binary logistic regression analysis. Bivariate logistic regression analysis was used to assess the existence of crude relationship between independent variables and uterine rupture. At this level the candidate variables for multivariate analysis were selected at P -value < 0.25 significance level [27]. Multivariate logistic regression was applied to estimate the adjusted effects of independent variables on uterine rupture. The association between

independent variables and uterine rupture was estimated using odds ratio with 95% confidence interval. The significance of associations was declared at p -value of less than 0.05. The regression model was developed using backward stepwise strategy. The final fitted model was assessed for multicollinearity using Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) [28] and goodness of fit using Hosmer and Lemishow test [29]. The model ability to correctly classify those subjects who experience outcome of interest and those who do not was assessed using Receiver Operating Characteristics (ROC) curve [28, 29]. The parsimonious model that best explain data with minimum of free parameters was selected using Akaike Information Criteria (AIC) [30].

Results

Socio-demographic characteristics of cases and controls

In the current study, we incorporated 144 eligible cases of uterine rupture and 288 controls with normal spontaneous deliveries. As per the results of analysis significantly higher proportion of cases of uterine rupture tended to be older and belongs to rural residential. Accordingly the study revealed that, about 74(51.39%) of uterine rupture and 92(31.9%) of controls were in the age range of 26–30 years. Among cases of uterine rupture 95(75.40%) and among controls 78(27.66%) were from a rural residence (Table 1).

Obstetric characteristics of cases and controls

This study revealed that multiparous, multigravida, women with mal-presentation, women with at list one gynecologic risk factor, women with a history of CS scar and women with no history of ANC visit were highly proportionate among cases of uterine rupture compared to controls (p -value < 0.05) (Table 2).

The proportion of multiparous women was 65(47.10%) among cases and 79(35.43%) among controls. About

Table 1 Socio-demographic characteristics of mothers visited Adama city public and private hospitals for delivery service during January 01, 2011 to December, 2015

| Characteristics | Cases Number (%) | Controls Number (%) | Total Number (%) | Chi-Square |
|------------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------|
| Maternal Age (n = 432) | | | | 35.44*** |
| Less than 20 | 4 (2.78) | 54 (18.75) | 58 (13.43) | |
| 21–25 | 28 (19.44) | 88 (30.56) | 116 (26.85) | |
| 26–30 | 74 (51.39) | 92 (31.9) | 166 (38.43) | |
| 31–35 | 28 (19.44) | 37 (12.85) | 65 (15.05) | |
| 36 and above | 10 (6.94) | 17 (5.90) | 27 (6.25) | |
| Residence (n = 408) | | | | 81.26*** |
| Urban | 31 (24.60) | 204 (7.34) | 235 (57.60) | |
| Rural | 95 (75.40) | 78 (27.66) | 173 (42.40) | |

*** P value < 0.001

Table 2 Obstetrics characteristics of mothers visited Adama city public and private hospitals for delivery service during January 01, 2011 to December, 2015

| Characteristics | Cases Number (%) | Controls Number (%) | Total Number (%) | Chi-Square |
|--------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------|
| Parity (n = 361) | | | | 67.23*** |
| Nulliparous | 3 (2.17) | 71 (31.8) | 74 (20.50) | |
| Primiparous | 34 (24.64) | 61 (27.35) | 95 (26.32) | |
| Multiparous | 65 (47.10) | 79 (35.43) | 144 (39.89) | |
| Grand multiparous | 36 (26.09) | 12 (5.38) | 48 (13.30) | |
| Gravidity (n = 419) | | | | 81.08*** |
| One | 5 (3.65) | 108 (38.30) | 113 (26.97) | |
| 2–4 | 79 (57.66) | 146 (51.77) | 225 (53.70) | |
| Five and above | 53 (38.69) | 28 (9.93) | 81 (19.33) | |
| GA in weeks (n = 335) | | | | 24.74*** |
| Less than 37 | 90 (89.11) | 146 (62.39) | 236 (70.45) | |
| 37–40 | 5 (4.95) | 55 (23.50) | 60 (17.91) | |
| Above 40 | 6 (5.94) | 33 (14.10) | 39 (11.64) | |
| Mal-presentation (n = 409) | | | | 23.69*** |
| Yes | 27 (21.09) | 15 (5.34) | 42 (10.27) | |
| No | 101 (78.91) | 266 (94.66) | 367 (89.73) | |
| Gynecologic factor (n = 265) | | | | 17.76*** |
| Yes | 17 (16.67) | 3 (1.84) | 20 (7.55) | |
| No | 85 (83.33) | 160 (98.16) | 245 (92.45) | |
| History of CS scar (n = 418) | | | | 10.54** |
| Yes | 14 (9.86) | 7 (2.54) | 21 (5.02) | |
| No | 128 (90.14) | 269 (97.46) | 397 (94.98) | |
| History of ANC visit (n = 387) | | | | 59.02*** |
| Yes | 75 (59.52) | 240 (91.95) | 315 (81.40) | |
| No | 51 (40.48) | 21 (8.05) | 72 (18.60) | |

Gynecologic factor are: Contracted Pelvis, Uterine Anomaly, and Previous Myomectomy

** P value < 0.01; *** P value < 0.001

53(38.69%) of uterine rupture cases and 28(9.93%) of controls were belonged to gravidity of five and above. The Mal - presentation was highly proportionate among cases with 27(21.09%) whereas 15(5.34%) among controls. The proportion of CS scar is higher among cases 14(9.86%) compared to controls 7(2.54%). Women with no history of ANC visit were higher in proportion among cases of uterine rupture 51 (40.48%) compared to controls 21(8.05%) (Table 2).

Type of management performed

The study showed that, lower proportions of cases of uterine rupture 10(7.35%) were managed with oxytocin/

prostaglandin compared to controls 45(16.25%). But the proportion of women managed with uterine instrumentation were higher among cases 8(5.88%) compared to controls 6(2.19%). The management of labor through fundal pressure was not much different between cases 1(1.14%) and controls 1(1.12%). The study revealed a higher proportion of cases 76 (66.09%) referred by health professionals compared to controls 117(45.17%) (Table 3).

Factors associated with uterine rupture

The odds of having a uterine rupture in relation to different characteristics of women were estimated by odds ratio using logistic regression analysis. Bivariate logistic regression analysis was used to select candidate variables for multivariate analysis at p -value less than 0.25. In the model development process the existence of multi co-linearity was assessed using VIF. The result of the assessment showed that there was strong co-linearity between parity and gravidity (mean VIF = 9.26). As a result, we exclude parity from multivariate model. The final fitted model was also tested for goodness of fit using Hosmer and Lemeshow test. The test result showed that the model became poor by the inclusion of variable called mal-presentation (P -value = 0.0373). Though it had statistically significant association with uterine rupture, we fitted the final model excluding mal-presentation. In the final model the odds of having a uterine rupture across each independent variable were adjusted for confounding

effects. Accordingly women's residential place, number of gravidity, history of CS scar and history of ANC visit were found to be significantly associated with the odds of having uterine rupture (p -value < 0.05) (Table 4).

As per the result of multivariate analysis being women from a rural residence were associated with 6.29 (AOR = 6.29; 95% CI: 3.39, 11.66) times higher odds of having a uterine rupture compared to urban. The odds of having a uterine rupture was 27.89 (AOR = 27.89; 95% CI: 8.42, 92.34) times higher for a woman of gravidity of five and above and 8.80 (AOR = 8.80; 95% CI: 2.96, 26.12) times higher for women of gravidity of two to four compared to primi-gravida. Having a history of cesarean section scar was associated with 9.94 (AOR = 9.94; 95% CI: 3.39, 11.66) times higher odds of having a uterine rupture compared to their counterparts. The odds of having a uterine rupture among women with history no ANC visit was 9.64 (AOR = 9.64; 95% CI: 4.37, 21.29) times higher compared to women with a history of ANC visits (Table 4).

Discussion

The study was aimed to identify factors associated with having uterine rupture. The result of analysis showed that the likelihood of having uterine rupture was found to be associated with being women from rural residence, increase in the number of gravidity, presence of CS scar and having no history of ANC visit.

The current study revealed that the chance of having uterine rupture is higher for a woman from rural residence compared to urban, which is in line with prior studies done in Pakistan [31] and Debreworkos Ethiopia [32]. This could be due to lack of access to nearby health institution in rural residential areas. For women residing in rural area, health facilities are distant and accesses to information about institutional deliveries are limited in comparison to woman reside in urban. As a result the higher chance of uterine rupture for women from rural residents may be attributed to two delays in the process of getting obstetric cares. The first is delay to decide for seeking health care as early as possible and the second is delay in reaching health facility. Additionally, maybe there is a failure of early referral for any labor abnormality, thus resulting in delay in early intervention leading to ruptured uterus. These problems could be possibly addressed by construction of health institution nearby to the community that are capable of managing obstructed labor, increasing awareness on skilled birth attendance and establishing a referral system.

This study also showed that the chance of having uterine rupture was increased by increase in number of gravidity. Similarly, studies done in Yemen [33] and Nigeria [34, 35] revealed gravidity as one of risk factors for having uterine rupture. The abdominal wall becomes weak and lax for mothers with high number of pregnancies. As a result this

Table 3 Type of managements performed for mothers visited Adama city public and private hospitals for delivery service during January 01, 2011 to December, 2015

| Characteristics | Cases Number (%) | Controls Number (%) | Total Number (%) |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| Oxytocin use (n = 413) | | | |
| Yes | 10 (7.35) | 45 (16.25) | 55 (13.32) |
| No | 126 (92.65) | 232 (83.7) | 358 (86.68) |
| Uterine instrumentation (n = 410) | | | |
| Yes | 8 (5.88) | 6 (2.19) | 14 (3.41) |
| No | 128 (94.12) | 268 (97.81) | 396 (96.59) |
| Fundal pressure (n = 170) | | | |
| Yes | 1 (1.14) | 1 (1.12) | 2 (1.18) |
| No | 87 (98.86) | 81 (98.82) | 168 (98.82) |
| Type of management (n = 147) | | | |
| Total Hysterectomy | 76 (53.15) | 2 (50.00) | 78 (53.06) |
| Subtotal Hysterectomy | 22 (15.38) | 1 (25.00) | 23 (15.65) |
| Repair | 45 (31.47) | 1 (25.00) | 46 (31.29) |
| Type of referral (n = 374) | | | |
| Self-referred | 39 (33.91) | 142 (54.83) | 181 (48.40) |
| Referred by professionals | 76 (66.09) | 117 (45.17) | 193 (51.60) |

Table 4 Factors associated with uterine rupture among mothers visited Adama city public and private hospitals for delivery service during January 01, 2011 to December, 2015

| Characteristics | Uterine Rupture Status | | COR [95%CI] | AOR [95%CI] |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| | Yes | No | | |
| Maternal Age | | | | |
| Less than 20 | 4 (2.78) | 54 (18.75) | Ref. | Ref. |
| 21–2 | 28 (19.44) | 88 (30.56) | 4.29 [1.43, 12.92]** | 2.50 [0.38, 16.52] |
| 26–30 | 74 (51.39) | 92 (31.9) | 10.86 [3.76, 31.36]*** | 3.67 [0.61, 22.08] |
| 31–35 | 28 (19.44) | 37 (12.85) | 10.21 [3.31, 31.56]*** | 2.67 [0.38, 18.54] |
| 36 and above | 10 (6.94) | 17 (5.90) | 7.94 [2.20, 28.60]** | 2.24 [0.25, 20.38] |
| Residence | | | | |
| Urban | 31 (24.60) | 204 (7.34) | Ref. | Ref. |
| Rural | 95 (75.40) | 78 (27.66) | 8.01 [4.95, 12.98]*** | 6.29 [3.39, 11.66]*** |
| Gravidity | | | | |
| One | 5 (3.65) | 108 (38.30) | Ref. | Ref. |
| 2–4 | 79 (57.66) | 146 (51.77) | 11.69 [4.58, 29.84]*** | 8.80 [2.96, 26.12]*** |
| Five and above | 53 (38.69) | 28 (9.93) | 40.89 [14.94, 111.89]*** | 27.89 [8.42, 92.34]*** |
| GA in week | | | | |
| Less than 37 | 90 (89.11) | 146 (62.39) | Ref. | Ref. |
| 37–40 | 5 (4.95) | 55 (23.50) | 0.15 [0.57, 0.38]*** | 2.65 [0.50, 13.96] |
| Above 40 | 6 (5.94) | 33 (14.10) | 0.29 [0.12, 0.73]** | 0.53 [0.07, 3.91] |
| Mal-presentation | | | | |
| Yes | 27 (21.09) | 15 (5.34) | 4.74 [2.42, 9.28]*** | 3.96 [1.59, 9.82]** |
| No | 101 (78.91) | 266 (94.66) | Ref. | Ref. |
| History of CS scar | | | | |
| Yes | 14 (9.86) | 7 (2.54) | 4.20 [1.65, 10.67]** | 9.94 [3.39, 11.66]*** |
| No | 128 (90.14) | 269 (97.46) | Ref. | Ref. |
| History of ANC visit | | | | |
| Yes | 75 (59.52) | 240 (91.95) | Ref. | Ref. |
| No | 51 (40.48) | 21 (8.05) | 7.77 [4.39, 13.75]*** | 9.64 [4.37, 21.29]*** |

P* value < 0.05; *P* value < 0.01; ****P* value < 0.001

contributes for the head of fetus not to be engaged early that leads to different mal-presentations. Mal-presentation was found to be one of the contributing factors for rupture in some previous studies.

In the current study uterine rupture was found to be higher among women with a history of scarred uterus. The higher chance of uterine rupture was also observed among women with history of CS scar as per the studies done in United Kingdom [1], India [36] and Nigeria [35]. Mostly woman with previous CS delivery are highly likely to develop scar dehiscence especially when the incision of uterine wall is vertical and when the inter-pregnancy interval is short after a CS scar. Then these will lead women to develop rupture.

This study also elucidated that, mothers not having ANC care were more likely to have uterine rupture. The occurrences of uterine rupture among women not having

ANC patient have also been noted in other studies done in Nigeria [34, 35, 37]. The differences in the level of obstetric practices, an availability and under-utilization of the essential obstetric care services gained during pregnancy, would account for the high chance of developing uterine rupture.

Limitation of the study

This study has some limitations. It relied on a review of logbook and medical records, in which data on some of important socio-demographic and socioeconomic information were unavailable. Additionally, data were not primarily collected for research purpose and lack completeness. Being a case control study, it is unlikely to infer a causal association and needs further study to explore the causes of having uterine rupture. With these all limitations this study will serve as baseline information for a government

organization, stakeholders and decision makers working on programs targeted to minimize the odds of developing uterine rupture and its consequences.

Conclusion

In general based on the current study, for the women living in rural residence and having larger numbers of previous pregnancies the chance to have uterine rupture were significantly higher compared to their counterparts. Similarly the chance to have uterine rupture was significantly higher for women having a history of CS scar and not using ANC. Therefore, it is mandatory to improve an access for emergency and essential obstetric care with due attention to women in rural residence. It is also important to strengthen family planning services with special attention to women of multigravida. Furthermore, strengthening antenatal care with complete packages and referral system are issues to be addressed in order to minimize the chance to have a uterine rupture.

Abbreviations

AIC: Akaike information criterion; ANC: Antenatal care; AOR: Adjusted odds ratio; CI: Confidence interval; CS: Cesarean section; CSA: Central Statistical Agency; EDHS: Ethiopian demography and health survey; MMR: Maternal mortality ratio; OR: Odds ratio; ROC: Receiver Operating Characteristics; VIF: Variance inflation factor

Acknowledgements

First and foremost, we would like to thank Adama city public and private hospitals and their line departments for their cooperation in the whole record retrieval and data collection processes. We would also like to thank the Center for International Reproductive Health Training at University of Michigan (CIRHT) for their continuous advisory and financial support which had significant contribution for successful completion of the study. Lastly, we would like to thank Dr. Lori Day specialist in Maternal Fetal Medicine at the university of Michigan for her continuous advisory and review in the process research conduct.

Funding

This study was funded by the Center for International Reproductive Health Training at University of Michigan (CIRHT).

Availability of data and materials

The data for the current study were obtained from the hospital's records and can be accessed from principal investigators with a formal request.

Authors' contributions

The corresponding author was primarily contributed in the conceptualization of the research. Besides involvement on the refinement of the research concept, all co-authors substantially involved in all process of the research conducted with the principal investigator. And all authors approved the final manuscript to be published.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethical approval was obtained from Adama hospital medical college Institutional Review Board and official letter of permission was submitted to the concerned line departments. Anonymous codes/card numbers were used to identify records and there were no any personal identifier linked to individual data during analysis.

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Adama Hospital Medical College, Adama, Ethiopia. ²Departments of Public Health, Adama Hospital Medical College, Adama, Ethiopia.

Received: 11 April 2018 Accepted: 17 September 2018

Published online: 27 September 2018

References

- Revicky V, Muralidhar A, Mukhopadhyay S, Mahmood T. A case series of uterine rupture: lessons to be learned for future clinical practice. *J Obstet Gynaecol India*. 2012;62(6):665–73.
- Alkema L, Chou D, Hogan D, Zhang S, Moller AB, Gemmill A, et al. Global, regional, and national levels and trends in maternal mortality between 1990 and 2015, with scenario-based projections to 2030: a systematic analysis by the UN Maternal Mortality Estimation Inter-Agency Group. *Lancet*. 2016; 387(10017):462–74.
- Berhan Y, Berhan A. Perinatal mortality trends in Ethiopia. *Ethiop J Health Sci*. 2014;24(Suppl):29–40.
- Berhan Y, Berhan A. Causes of maternal mortality in Ethiopia: a significant decline in abortion related death. *Ethiop J Health Sci*. 2014;24(Suppl):15–28.
- Mishra SK, Morris N, Uprety DK. Uterine rupture: preventable obstetric tragedies? *Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol*. 2006;46(6):541–5.
- Guise J-M, McDonagh MS, Osterweil P, Nygren P, Chan BK, Helfand M. Systematic review of the incidence and consequences of uterine rupture in women with previous caesarean section. *Bmj*. 2004;329(7456):19.
- Khan KS, Wojdyla D, Say L, Gulmezoglu AM, Van Look PF. WHO analysis of causes of maternal death: a systematic review. *Lancet*. 2006;367(9516):1066–74.
- Mbamara SU, Obiechina N, Eleje GU. An analysis of uterine rupture at the Nnamdi Azikiwe University Teaching Hospital Nnewi, Southeast Nigeria. *Niger J Clin Pract*. 2012;15(4):448–52.
- Padhye SM. Rupture of the pregnant uterus—a 20 year review. *Kathmandu Univ Med J*. 2005;3(3):234–8.
- Dow M, Wax JR, Pinette MG, Blackstone J, Cartin A. Third-trimester uterine rupture without previous cesarean: a case series and review of the literature. *Am J Perinatol*. 2009;26(10):739–44.
- Al Sakka M, Dauleh W, Al HS. Case series of uterine rupture and subsequent pregnancy outcome. *Int J Fertil Womens Med*. 1999;44(6):297.
- Pruel A, Bouvier-Colle MH, de Bernis L, Breart G. Severe maternal morbidity from direct obstetric causes in West Africa: incidence and case fatality rates. *Bull World Health Organ*. 2000;78(5):593–602.
- Ofir K, Sheiner E, Levy A, Katz M, Mazor M. Uterine rupture: risk factors and pregnancy outcome. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2003;189(4):1042–6.
- Spong CY, Landon MB, Gilbert S, Rouse DJ, Leveno KJ, Varner MW, et al. Risk of uterine rupture and adverse perinatal outcome at term after cesarean delivery. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2007;110(4):801–7.
- Homer HA, Li T-C, Cooke ID. The septate uterus: a review of management and reproductive outcome. *Fertil Steril*. 2000;73(1):1–14.
- Leung AS, Leung EK, Paul RH. Uterine rupture after previous cesarean delivery: maternal and fetal consequences. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1993; 169(4):945–50.
- Rizwan N, Abbasi RM, Uddin SF. Uterine rupture, frequency of cases and fetomaternal outcome. *JPMA J Pak Med Assoc*. 2011;61(4):322–4.
- Mukasa PK, Kabakyenga J, Senkungu JK, Ngonzi J, Kyalimpa M, Roosmalen VJ. Uterine rupture in a teaching hospital in Mbarara, western Uganda, unmatched case- control study. *Reprod Health*. 2013;10:29.
- Lieng M, Istre O, Langebrekke A. Uterine rupture after laparoscopic myomectomy. *J Am Assoc Gynecol Laparosc*. 2004;11(1):92–3.
- Ashimi AO, Omole-Ohonsi A, Ugwa AE, Amole TG. A prospective surveillance of ruptured uterus in a rural tertiary health facility in Northwest Nigeria. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med*. 2014;27(16):1684–7.
- Dattijo L, Umar N, Yusuf B. Ruptured uterus in Azare, North Eastern Nigeria. *Jos J Med*. 2011;5(2):17–20.
- Justus Hofmeyr G, Say L, Metin Gülmezoglu A. Systematic review: WHO systematic review of maternal mortality and morbidity: the prevalence of uterine rupture. *BJOG Int J Obstet Gynaecol*. 2005;112(9):1221–8.

23. Eze JN, Ibekwe PC. Uterine rupture at a secondary hospital in Afikpo, Southeast Nigeria. *Singap Med J.* 2010;51(6):506–11.
24. Omole-Ohonsi A, Attah R. Risk factors for ruptured uterus in a developing country. *Gynecol Obstet.* 2011;1(102):2161–0932.1000102.
25. Commission PC. Summary and statistical report of the 2007 population and housing census. Population size by age and sex 2008.
26. Dean AG. Epi Info, version 6: a word-processing, database, and statistics program for public health on IBM-compatible microcomputers. 1996.
27. Hosmer DW, Lemeshow S, Sturdivant RX. Applied logistic regression. 2nd ed. Canada: Wiley; 2000. p. 95.
28. Forthofer RN, Lee ES, Hernandez M. Biostatistics: a guide to design, analysis, and discovery. 2nd ed. USA: Elsevier Academic Press; 2007. p. 83.
29. Hosmer DW, Lemeshow S, Sturdivant RX. Applied logistic regression. 3rd ed. Canada: Wiley; 2013.
30. Burnham KP, Anderson DR. Model selection and multimodel inference. 2nd ed: Springer-Verlag; 2002.
31. Khan S, Parveen Z, Begum S, Alam I. Uterine rupture: a review of 34 cases at Ayub Teaching Hospital Abbottabad. *Parity.* 2003;15(20):21–5.
32. Astatikie G, Limenih MA, Kebede M. Maternal and fetal outcomes of uterine rupture and factors associated with maternal death secondary to uterine rupture. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth.* 2017;17(1):117.
33. Dhaifalah I, Santavy J, Fingerova H. Uterine rupture during pregnancy and delivery among women attending the Al-Tthawra Hospital in Sana'a City Yemen Republic. *Biomed Pap Med Fac Univ Palacky Olomouc Czech Repub.* 2006;150(2):279–83.
34. Aboyeji AP, Ijaiya MDA, Yahaya UR. Ruptured uterus: a study of 100 consecutive cases in Ilorin, Nigeria. *J Obstet Gynaecol Res.* 2001;27(6):341–8.
35. Ola E, Olamijulo J. Rupture of the uterus at the Lagos University Teaching Hospital, Lagos, Nigeria. *West Afr J Med.* 1998;17(3):188–93.
36. Veena P, Habeebullah S, Chaturvedula L. A review of 93 cases of ruptured uterus over a period of 2 years in a tertiary care hospital in South India. *J Obstet Gynaecol.* 2012;32(3):260–3.
37. Ebeigbe PN, Enabudoso E, Ande AB. Ruptured uterus in a Nigerian community: a study of sociodemographic and obstetric risk factors. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand.* 2005;84(12):1172–4.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

