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Regional maps of rib cortical bone thickness and cross-sectional geometry
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Abstract

Here we present detailed regional bone thickness and cross-sectional measurements from full adult ribs using high resolution CT scans processed with a cortical bone mapping technique. Sixth ribs from 33 subjects ranging from 24 to 99 years of age were used to produce average cortical bone thickness maps and to provide average±1SD corridors for expected cross-section properties (cross-sectional areas and inertial moments) as a function of rib length.

Results obtained from CT data were validated at specific rib locations using direct measurements from cut sections. Individual thickness measurements from CT had accuracy (mean error) and precision (SD error) of $-0.013 \pm 0.167$ mm ($R^2$ coefficient of determination of 0.84). CT-based measurement errors for rib cross-sectional geometry were $-0.1 \pm 13.1\%$ (cortical bone cross-sectional area) and $4.7 \pm 1.8\%$ (total cross-sectional area).

Rib cortical bone thickness maps show the expected regional variation across a typical rib’s surface. The local mid-rib maxima in cortical thickness
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along the pleural rib aspect ranged from 0.9 mm to 2.6 mm across the study population with an average map maximum of 1.4 mm. Along the cutaneous aspect, rib cortical bone thickness ranged from 0.7 mm to 1.9 mm with average map thickness of 0.9 mm. Average cross-sectional properties show a steady reduction in total cortical bone area from 10% along the rib’s length through to the sternal end, whereas overall cross-sectional area remains relatively constant along the majority of the rib’s length before climbing steeply towards the sternal end. On average, male ribs contained more cortical bone within a given cross-section than was seen for female ribs. Importantly, however, this difference was driven by male ribs having larger overall cross-sectional areas, rather than by sex differences in the bone thickness observed at specific local cortex sites.

The cortical bone thickness results here can be used directly to improve the accuracy of current human body and rib models. Furthermore, the measurement corridors obtained from adult subjects across a wide age range can be used to validate future measurements from more widely available image sources such as clinical CT where gold standard reference measures (e.g., like direct measurements obtained from cut sections) are otherwise unobtainable.
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1. Introduction

Ribs provide crucial protection for the thoracic viscera, but are often fractured in a variety of scenarios \cite{Wuermser2011}. In motor vehicle crashes (MVCs) specifically, rib fractures continue to be prevalent despite
advances to safety systems and vehicles. Furthermore, the presence of rib fractures increases mortality and morbidity rates in vulnerable populations (e.g., elderly) (Stawicki et al., 2004; Sirmali et al., 2003). Recent research has highlighted the need for in-depth exploration of rib geometry to better understand whole thoracic response to loading (Murach et al., 2018), and therefore develop injury mitigation techniques. Broadly, this can be accomplished using computational human body models (HBMs), an important modern tool for injury assessment.

These models rely on accurate input for their prediction of a rib’s response to loading. Global and cross-sectional geometry have been identified as important predictors of this response in ribs (Stein, 1976; Agnew et al., 2013; Murach et al., 2017; Agnew et al., 2018; Holcombe et al., 2016). Rib cortical bone thickness spans approximately 0.1 mm to 2.4 mm (Choi & Kwak, 2011; Mohr et al., 2007; Agnew et al., 2018) and is commonly represented in finite element (FE) computational models using shell elements surrounding a solid trabecular core. Li et al. (2010) found that models which incorporate variable thickness into their cortical bone definitions can better predict a rib’s structural response. However, the precise distribution of thicknesses along and around rib bones is not well understood. Current sources report thickness values only in aggregation across particular zones around the rib’s circumference or along its length (Agnew et al., 2018; Mohr et al., 2007; Mayeur et al., 2010). In most current models the rib global geometry is drawn from a single individual, and cortical bone thickness values are drawn from these limited or simplified literature sources (Gayzik et al., 2011; Choi et al., 2009; Kemper et al., 2007). Furthermore, there is now evidence that
cross-sectional bone area and bone distribution may contribute more than only cortical thickness in predicting rib structural properties (Agnew et al., 2018), highlighting the need to quantify all rib cross-sectional geometry more thoroughly across the population. Despite the current knowledge that precise rib cross-geometry is crucial for predicting rib fracture properties, the incorporation of such geometry at the level of detail necessary to reflect true human variation has not been fully realized in current HBMs. When rib modifications are made to HBMs to simulate population-based differences, a greater emphasis is generally placed on altering material properties and gross thoracic geometry than cross-sectional rib geometry to achieve the desired structural results (Ito et al. 2009; Schoell et al. 2015).

The Cortical Bone Mapping (CBM) methodology allows for accurate measurements of these important geometric factors from CT imaging (Holcombe et al. 2018; Treece & Gee 2015; Treece et al. 2010). CBM has been previously applied to ribs for tracking bone thickness reductions after cancer therapy (Okoukoni et al. 2016), but it has not yet been used to report rib cortical bone thickness distributions from individuals or across populations.

In this study we apply the CBM method to high resolution CT scans of full ribs. We assess the accuracy of this method against cross-sectional histology images taken at key locations along each rib, and develop full cortical bone thickness maps along and around individual ribs. We spatially register these maps from multiple individuals to present a detailed average thickness map that is representative of an American adult population. The methodology presented here can be used to build individualized rib models, while the aggregated maps can be applied to enhance general population models.
2. Materials and methods

This study utilizes histology images extracted from, and CT image data covering, 33 complete sixth-level ribs ethically obtained from anatomical donors in Ohio, USA (16 male, 17 female) with no existing trauma or gross pathological condition affecting the ribs. Subject ages ranged from 24 to 99 years (average ± SD $65 \pm 21$) with distributions shown by sex in Figure 1. Male subjects ($70 \pm 18$ years) were on average older than female subjects ($60 \pm 22$ years), however this difference was not statistically significant ($p = 0.15$).

![Figure 1: Stacked subject age counts by sex and decade of life.](image)

Complete ribs were excised from subjects soon after death, and subsequently CT scans of each rib wrapped in saline-soaked gauze were taken using a Phillips Vereos digital PET/CT with 64 slice Ingenuity technology at an axial resolution of 0.15 mm/pixel with slice spacing of 0.67 mm/pixel (i.e., $0.15 \times 0.15 \times 0.67$ mm voxels). Ribs were oriented with their end-to-end axis aligned vertically in the scan such that mid-rib regions were approxi-
mately co-planar with the scan’s axial plane. After experimental bending tests, cross-sections perpendicular to the long axis of the rib were taken immediately adjacent to each fracture site (39 total sites at either one or two fracture sites per rib) while ensuring no disruption to the bone cortex. Approximate fracture site locations—measured manually using string—were noted as a percentage of rib curvilinear length. Slides were then prepared according to undecalcified hard tissue histology standards (see Agnew et al. (2018)). High-resolution microscopy (Olympus BX61VS) allowed for direct image capture (i.e., no reconstruction) of the entire rib section at 100x total magnification and a resolution of 0.69 microns/pixel.

2.1. Histology image processing

Periosteal and endosteal cortical borders were semi-manually identified on each histology image using ImageJ software (NIH) by an experienced bone histologist (AA) (Dominguez & Agnew, 2019). These were used as gold standard cortical bone cross-sectional geometries at their specific rib locations, and each histology image was spatially registered within its corresponding CT image volume as follows. Firstly, rigid registration errors were calculated between the histology-derived periosteal border and those taken from successive cross-sectional cuts through an initial CT-derived periosteal surface (described below). Local minima in registration error indicated strongly matching regions, and visual overlays of the histology image onto the CT volume were used for minor adjustment to align cortices and trabeculae between the two modalities. A typical overlay is presented in Figure 2 showing the local patterns of trabecular bone—clearly visible on histology and slightly blurred on CT—in strong alignment. For all cut histology sections, the loca-
tion in CT image space (along the rib’s length) of minimum rigid registration error occurred near to the corresponding physical fracture location that was noted by hand. In all cases the final chosen position that showed the strongest coherence in trabecular patterns between histology and CT was less than 1% in rib length from the position exhibiting minimum rigid registration error.

Figure 2: Exemplar histology image overlay showing the spatial correspondence to the underlying CT image volume at each chosen cross-sectional position.

2.2. CT image processing

An initial and approximate 3D periosteal surface was generated from each rib’s CT volume via segmentation performed in MIMICS (v19, Materialise). All subsequent image and statistical analyses were performed in MATLAB (The Mathworks). A central axis along the rib was formed from the rib head (at the vertebral or posterior end) to its sternal (or anterior) end by fitting
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a smoothed spline through the 2D centroids obtained from successive cross-sectional cuts across this initial periosteal surface. This initial surface was then discretized along the central axis into 301 successive and equally spaced cross-sections. Each section was further discretized to 80 locations around its circumference to produce an overall surface map as depicted in Figure 3.

Figure 3: Ribs are discretized to a 301 (along) by 80 (around) grid of rib surface locations.

Local pleural and cutaneous aspects for each cross-section were calculated using the two points on its circumference intersected by that section’s minor inertial axis. A smoothed spline fitted to these points formed overall rib pleural and cutaneous aspects. Co-alignment between rib surface maps was achieved by aligning the circumferential locations along these aspects.

At each surface location (that is, at 301×80 locations per rib), a 1D
cortical signal of the image intensity across the cortex was produced by re-
sampling the underlying CT image in a direction normal to the 3D surface at
that location. The Cortical Bone Mapping method (CBM) was then applied
to each cortical signal. CBM uses nonlinear optimization to match a model
consisting of three constant density regions ($y_0$ outside the periosteal border,
$y_1$ within the cortex, and $y_2$ inside the endosteal border) to the cortical
signal. The distance between the optimally-fitted periosteal and endosteal
estimates ($x_0$ and $x_1$) provides the local cortical bone thickness, and the
collection of individual estimates across a rib’s gridded surface provide overall
rib thickness maps. As per previous work (Holcombe et al., 2018; Treece
et al., 2010) the cortical density model parameter ($y_1$) was fixed within each
CBM optimization to a Hounsfield unit value corresponding to the density
seen in the thickest bone region along the rib, and weighting was applied
to more aggressively penalize model fitting errors located near the initial
periosteal surface.

In this study, additional error-based local smoothing was applied to the
collected $x_0$ and $x_1$ value maps using a 0.3 mm Gaussian-shaped smoothing
kernel that was further scaled by the inverse of the CBM model fitting error
at those same locations. This step served to reduce high-frequency noise
in resulting border locations across the rib surfaces and also reduced the
influence of poorly-fitted individual cortical signals.

Finally, filters were used to suppress potentially misleading thickness mea-
surements within a given cross-section as described in Holcombe et al. (2018)
and summarized below. Firstly, individual signals wherein the parameters
from the CBM method’s optimization step did not converge to internal (non-
boundary) values were ignored. Secondly, morphological criteria applied to
each circumferential ring of estimated endosteal borders were used to dis-
card signals which did not pass through a single isolated cortical wall. This
is most commonly seen near areas of high local curvature such as the costal
groove.

2.2.1. Geometric measurements

Overall, the steps above served to produce an underlying 301×80 map of
local cortical bone thickness (Ct.Th) estimates obtained from CT, with one
map for each of the 33 whole ribs. These maps were averaged to produce an
average Ct.Th map for the study population.

Additionally, the sequence of periosteal and endosteal border positions
around each individual cross-section were joined to produce the geometric
shape of that section’s predicted cortical shell (for 301 shells per rib). Cross-
sectional geometry measurements of each of these shells were calculated, con-
sisting of the total sub-periosteal area (Tt.Ar), the cortical area (Ct.Ar),
the endosteal area (Es.Ar), and the cortical shell’s maximal (or principal)
and minimal (or secondary) area inertial moments (Imax, and Imin). The
Imax and Imin inertial axes intersect the 2D centroid of the cortical shell
and, with rib cross-sections generally elongated, the IMAX inertial axis oc-
curs along an approximately inferior to superior aspect while the IMIN inertial
axis lies perpendicular to IMAX along a pleural to cutaneous aspect. As a
descriptor of rib cross-sectional aspect ratio, IRAT was calculated as IMAX
divided by IMIN. The six overall cross-sectional measurements were grouped
by position along a rib to report population average values and a ±1SD pop-
ulation corridor, each calculated as a function of position from the vertebral
rib end to the sternal rib end.

Regional sex-based differences in bone thickness and in cross-sectional geometry measurement distributions were assessed via two-sample $t$-tests with significance determined at the $p < 0.05$ level.

2.3 Validation against histology

Each histological image ($N = 39$) matched a specific cross-sectional position along the length of one of the 33 whole ribs. A CT validation set was produced by using gold standard measurements obtained directly from the periosteal and endosteal borders drawn on the histology images, and pairing them with measurements from the spatially equivalent locations within the full CT image volumes of the same ribs. Therefore, the validation set for all CT measurements consisted of 3120 local cortical bone thickness ($\text{Ct.Th}$) measurement pairs (at 80 locations around each of 39 sections), and 39 pairs (one per histology image section) for the $\text{Tt.Ar}$, $\text{Ct.Ar}$, $\text{Es.Ar}$, $\text{I\text{amax}}$, $\text{I\text{imin}}$, and $\text{I\text{rat}}$ rib cross-sectional shape measurements. Additionally, the difference in principal inertial axis orientation ($\text{Iang}$) between the cortical shell shapes obtained using CT and histology was calculated. For $\text{Iang}$, a positive difference indicated rotational misalignment (having the superior rib aspect rotate towards the pleural side) of the cortical shell obtained using CT compared to the target cortical shell from histology.

Individual thickness values discarded via the morphological filters described above were excluded from the $\text{Ct.Th}$ validation set, and their border positions were linearly interpolated via neighboring successful measurements in order to provide complete cross-sectional geometry. For all measurement
pairs in the validation set, the measurement accuracy (mean error) and precision (SD error) of CT-based predictions was calculated.

3. Results

3.1. Accuracy assessment

From 3120 histology-matched cortical bone signal locations (at 80 locations sampled around each of the 39 sections with histology), 37 signals did not converge adequately during CBM optimization and 161 were identified by morphological filters as not falling across a clear singular cortex. The remaining 2922 (94%) predictions of Ct.Th from CT for comparison to gold standard values from their histology-based pairs, are shown as scattered data in Figure 4.

Overall accuracy (mean error) and precision (SD error) of predicted cortical thickness values from CT ($N = 2922$) was $-0.013 \pm 0.167$ mm, and Table 1 also lists the accuracy and precision for CT-based predictions of each of the full cross-sectional property measurements ($N = 39$). In general, cross-sectional property predictions were well correlated with gold standard values ($R^2 > 0.91$ for all properties), but with CT-based predictions on average overestimating area properties by 0.1% (Ct.Ar), 4.7% (Tt.Ar), and 6.8% (Es.Ar).

3.2. Sectional property variation

Average values and 1SD male and female corridors for regional Ct.Ar, Tt.Ar, Es.Ar, IMax, IMin, and IRat are shown in Figure 5 and all corridor data is included as supplementary information. Specifically, male ribs
had significantly larger (at the $p < 0.05$ level) $\text{Ct.Ar}$ at 56% of rib locations, and significantly larger $\text{Tt.Ar}$, $\text{Es.Ar}$, $\text{Es.Ar}$, $\text{Imax}$, and $\text{Imin}$ at over 96% of rib locations. Inertial aspect ratio ($\text{Irat}$) varied along the rib with local peaks in aspect ratio occurring near either rib end and within a region spanning approximately 25% to 50% of the rib’s length. This region corresponds to the greatest prominence of the rib’s costal groove, and here female ribs were significantly more elongated than male ribs whereas rib aspect ratios in other regions were not significantly different. As seen on Figure 4, the maximal $\text{Ct.Ar}$ along the length of the rib occurs near the rib tubercle (approximately 10% rib length) and decreases steadily towards the sternal end, whereas $\text{Tt.Ar}$ remains relatively constant across most of a rib’s length before increasing sharply towards its sternal end. These cross-sectional changes along a rib’s length are visualized in Figure 6 which shows the CT predictions of cortical bone borders for a number of subjects and rib
Table 1: Values from gold standard histology and their predictions errors from CT (mean±SD) for Ct.Th (N = 2922) and whole rib section properties (N = 39), with coefficients of determination (R²) from linear regression (p < 0.0001 for all models)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Histology mean ± SD</th>
<th>CT mean ± SD</th>
<th>Pred. Error ± SD</th>
<th>R²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ct.Th (mm)</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>−0.013 ± 0.167</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ct.Ar (mm²)</td>
<td>20.4 ± 8.0</td>
<td>20.4 ± 6.3</td>
<td>0.03 ± 2.67</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tt.Ar (mm²)</td>
<td>64.1 ± 20.8</td>
<td>67.1 ± 21.5</td>
<td>3.00 ± 1.16</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Es.Ar (mm²)</td>
<td>43.7 ± 17.1</td>
<td>46.7 ± 18.2</td>
<td>2.97 ± 2.54</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imax (mm⁴)</td>
<td>247.5 ± 160.3</td>
<td>262.8 ± 151.6</td>
<td>15.34 ± 42.69</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imin (mm⁴)</td>
<td>124.0 ± 86.5</td>
<td>132.7 ± 82.8</td>
<td>8.61 ± 14.44</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irat</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.9</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.9</td>
<td>−0.04 ± 0.14</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iang (deg.)</td>
<td>0.63 ± 2.45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

locations, and highlights the overall inter-subject and intra-subject variability in rib cross-sectional geometry.

3.3. Cortical bone thickness maps

The full rib cortical bone thickness map (Ct.Th) was calculated for each rib, and the resulting average Ct.Th map from the full sample is shown in Figure 7. Accumulating all rib locations together, males and females had average±SD Ct.Th values of 0.71 ± 0.35 mm and 0.76 ± 0.38 mm, respectively. Assessing on a regional basis, the majority (90.4%) of rib surface locations did not show a significant difference (p > 0.05) in cortex thickness between males and females. Therefore, Ct.Th thickness maps have been shown using pooled data from both sexes. This pooled Ct.Th map along-
Figure 5: Measurements by sex of rib cross-sectional total area (Tt.Ar), cortical bone area (Ct.Ar), endosteal area (Es.Ar), primary and second inertial moments (I_{max}, I_{min}) and I_{max}/I_{min} inertial moment ratio (Irat) as a function of cross-section location from the vertebral (0%) to sternal (100%) rib ends.
Figure 6: Exemplar cross-sections through CT volumes showing the predicted periosteal (outer) and endosteal (inner) cortical border using a range of male and female subjects (see upper labels) and rib locations (see left labels).

Side separated male and female average maps are provided as supplementary information.

4. Discussion

Here we have assessed detailed rib cortical bone thickness and cross-sectional geometry from 33 adult sixth ribs. Starting with an initial approximate rib segmentation, the CBM method was applied using error-weighted smoothing and morphological filters, and average cortical bone thickness...
Figure 7: The average rib cortical bone thickness map in gridded form (above) and projected onto exemplar subject geometry (below).

Maps and cross-sectional property 1SD corridors for males and females have been presented.
The measurement techniques used in this study are similar to those presented in Holcombe et al. (2018) with adjustments to allow for full rib image volumes rather than individual rib section images. The image volumes in the current study have 0.15×0.15×0.67 mm voxels, providing resolutions that are both higher (in-plane directions) and lower (out-of-plane direction) than the highest resolution images of 0.37 mm/pixel used in Holcombe et al. (2018). Correspondingly, the current accuracy and precision of measurement predictions from CT are largely similar to those from this previous study which found prediction errors of −0.03 ± 0.17 mm for Ct.Th, −0.6 ± 1.5 mm² for Ct.Ar, and 2.1 ± 1.5 mm² for TT.Ar.

For measuring cross-sectional rib properties using CT, the current CBM-based methodology is more accurate than traditional CT thresholding methods, which often overestimate the amount of bone in a given cross-section. Perz et al. (2015) found that simple histogram-based thresholding of CT images resulted in average errors in TT.Ar of 8 ± 3%, while Murach et al. (2017) used an adaptive histogram-based thresholding technique on 19 CT images of similar resolution to the current study, finding TT.Ar errors of 3 ± 11%. Those same studies reported that their CT thresholding techniques produced unacceptable Ct.Ar overestimations of 40 ± 12% and 71 ± 45%, respectively. In the current study we see similar or improved accuracy and precision in TT.Ar with errors of 4.7 ± 1.8%, and greatly reduced errors of 0.1 ± 13.1% for Ct.Ar. It is informative to note that the initial periosteal border in the current study—obtained using a standard 226 HU threshold for bone segmentation—also overestimated TT.Ar on these same images by 21 ± 4%.
4.1. Sex-based sectional differences

Results highlighted in Figure 5 show that while there are similar trends in average rib cross-sectional properties along the lengths of male and female ribs, there were significant sex-based differences in their magnitudes with males ribs being larger in terms of all area and inertial measurements and at a large majority of positions along those ribs. Comparing results for Ct.Th, on the other hand, sex-based differences were less pronounced with male ribs having significantly thicker cortices at just 2.1% of rib surface locations (at the $p < 0.05$ level), and female ribs having thicker cortices at 7.5% of rib surface locations. Taken together, these results do indicate that the larger Ct.Ar seen in males is primarily due to males having larger overall cross-sectional size to their ribs than females rather than males having rib bones with thicker cortices than females. Notably also, female subjects in this study were on average older (but not significantly older) than the males, and bone quantity in general is known to decrease with age. However, [Agnew et al., 2018] found no significant decrease in average pleural or cutaneous Ct.Th with age (or by sex) on a large sample of ribs, suggesting age is likely not a confounding factor here.

As seen in Figure 5, the rib cross-sectional position of highest Ct.Ar is near the rib tubercle (approximately 10% rib length), and a steady reduction in Ct.Ar is seen from this location towards the sternal end. Rib Tt.Ar, however, remains relatively constant across most of a rib’s length before increasing sharply towards its sternal end, despite variability in the qualitative shape seen in Figure 6. Each of these observations match findings by [Choi & Kwak, 2011] who measured cross-sectional areas from ribs of seven elderly
male cadaveric ribs. While not reporting sixth rib data, their fifth rib results showed an average $Tt.Ar$ of 91 mm$^2$ that was constant from the tubercle to 90% of the rib’s length, and a drop in $Ct.Ar$ across that same region from 26 mm$^2$ to 19 mm$^2$. Each of these fall within the 1SD male corridors obtained from the current study.

4.2. Cortical bone thickness map

Consistent patterns in cortical bone thickness maps were also seen across individuals, as typified by the population average map (Figure 7). Beyond the tubercle, all ribs showed local $Ct.Th$ maxima along the pleural and cutaneous aspects and local $Ct.Th$ minima along the superior and inferior aspects, with these features lessening at the most sternal end of the rib to form uniformly thin cortices like those seen at the 95th percentile position in Figure 6. The pleural aspect contained the thickest regions of bone, with $Ct.Th$ values peaking to between 0.9 mm to 2.6 mm across the population at approximately mid-rib locations.

4.3. Thickness map registration

When performing statistical aggregation it is important that variable sets (in this case individual thickness maps) are spatially registered to maintain correspondence between regions on the maps from different individuals. The one-dimensional registration along the length of the rib is straightforward whereby sample locations are equally spaced along the rib’s central axis from the vertebral to sternal rib ends. In this study the further registration of rotational positions around the ribs are based only on the surface geometry of the ribs. We have taken the general approach of positioning the pleural and
cutaneous registration aspects at locations where the rib section’s secondary inertial axis intersects with its periosteal border. For continuity, these locations were calculated at each cross-section and a smoothing spline was fitted to provide their exact location.

Having registration depend only on rib surface geometry means that local features of the thickness maps themselves (which are a product of external and internal surface geometries) are not explicitly aligned. For example, all ribs in this study were seen to have a regional maxima along or near their pleural margin. Yet, these regional maxima did not align precisely to the pleural position as determined by only that rib’s surface geometry.

It would be desirable to have the regional maximum from the average thickness map correspond to the average regional maxima from each constituent rib map. In the current study, the average thickness map registered by surface features alone actually underestimated the average of the regional maxima along the mid-rib pleural aspect by approximately 0.06 mm (5%). Similarly, the regional minima at the superior aspect of the mid-to-sternal portion rib was overestimated by approximately 10% compared to the collection of minima from each individual thickness map.

### 4.4. Limitations

A primary limitation of the current study is that its results are presented only for sixth level ribs. With ribs of different levels in the rib cage serving different mechanical roles, it is expected that both global and local anatomies will differ accordingly. Indeed, ribs do differ by level in terms of global size and shape [Holcombe et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2016; Weaver et al., 2014], overall mechanical stiffness [Kindig et al., 2011], and local cross-section [Choi...
Nevertheless, the combination of CT and histological image modalities used in this study allows us to establish methods for validating the typical full-rib properties of this mid-level rib. This reference at the sixth rib level can be used to verify that future measurements—which may be obtained from sources such as clinical CT scans with less optimal imaging characteristics and without recourse to gold standards for validation—are free of systematic bias due to their particular imaging conditions.

The current study population covered a wide age range with the intention that average results are seen as typical of an adult (US) population. In measures where significant sex-based differences were found we have chosen to provide male and female results separately. Future work should aim to broaden the subject population to include children, and to increase the subject count so as to allow statistical analyses that incorporate other demographic factors such as age, stature, body mass, and ancestry.

4.5. Applications and Future Work

The technique outlined in this study can be used to create accurate rib endosteal and periosteal surfaces along the entire length of the rib, which can improve simulation efforts greatly. The rib cortical bone thickness reference data used in current human body models is limited in terms of the population from which it was drawn, and in terms of the geometric detail that it provides. Li et al. (2010) demonstrated the positive effects of including more specific cortical thickness variation into simulation studies, and Agnew et al. (2018) quantified the significant effect that cross-sectional geometry has on ribs resistance to loading from physical tests. These studies
have demonstrated the need for more advanced approaches to understanding human variation in rib properties and differential rib fracture risk between individuals.

Future work can target the improvement of HBMs by incorporating the results obtained here for cortical bone thickness maps into HBM rib definitions. Future efforts can also assess the geometric accuracy of such models, testing their ability to represent their target population by comparing their modeled rib geometries to the typical adult corridors published here.

5. Conclusion

Numerical models are an important tool for understanding and preventing traumatic injuries to the chest, and the ribs form a key structural model component. Models are typically developed using CT image data, but traditional CT segmentation methods have been inadequate for obtaining accurate cortical bone geometry. As such, only simplified cortex data has been applied based on limited available literature from higher resolution sources.

Here we have applied a Cortical Bone Mapping (CBM) methodology to whole human ribs, assessed the accuracy of these techniques against gold standard measurements from histology, and presented detailed population-based data for rib cortical bone thickness and for rib cross-sectional properties. The population data presented here for rib cortical bone thickness and cross-sectional area can be used directly to assess and improve the veracity of current FE models of human ribs. Finally, results here can validate future steps towards personalized and population-based geometry of human ribs from more broadly sampled yet less detailed image data such as live-subject...
clinical CT scans.
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