Characterizing "Bounce-back" Readmissions After Radical Cystectomy

Peter S. Kirk[a], Ted A. Skolarus[a,b], Bruce L. Jacobs[c], Yongmei Qin[a], Benjamin Li[a], Michael Sessine[a], Xiang Liu[d], Kevin Zhu[a], Scott M. Gilbert[e], Brent K. Hollenbeck[a], Ken Urish[f], Jonathan Helm[g], Mariel S. Lavieri[d], Tudor Borza[h]

[a] Dow Division of Health Services Research, Department of Urology, University of Michigan Health System; [b] VA Health Services Research and Development, Center for Clinical Management Research, VA Ann Arbor Healthcare System; [c] Department of Urology, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine; [d] Department of Industrial and Operations Engineering, University of Michigan; [e] Department of Genitourinary Oncology, H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Center & Research Institute ; [f] Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine; [g] Department of Operations and Decision Technologies, Kelley School of Business, Indiana University; [h] Department of Urology, University of Wisconsin

Running Head: Bounce-back Readmissions After Cystectomy

Keywords: cystectomy; hospital readmissions; postoperative complications; bladder cancer.

Funding: Ruth L. Kirschstein National Research Service Award 4TL1TR000435-10 (PSK), National Cancer Institute T32-CA180984 (TB), National Institute on Aging (R01-AG-048071) (BKH), VA HSR&D Career Development Award − 2 (CDA 12−171) (TAS) *The contents do not represent the views of the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs or the U.S. Government*

Conflicts of Interest & Disclosures: none

Abstract word count: 252

Manuscript word count: 3315

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1111/BJU.14874

Tables: 3

Figures: 1

Corresponding Author:
Tudor Borza MD, MS

Assistant Professor of Urology
University of Wisconsin
borza@urology.wisc.edu
UW Medical Foundation
Centennial Building
1685 Highland Ave, Madison, WI, 53705
Phone: 608-262-5440

MR. PETER KIRK (Orcid ID : 0000-0001-7638-8089)
DR. TED SKOLARUS (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-5859-8151)

Article type : Original Article

Article Category: Urological Oncology

ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE: To examine predictors of early readmissions following radical cystectomy. Factors associated with preventable readmissions may be most evident in readmissions that occur within 3 days of discharge, commonly termed “bounce-back” readmissions, and identifying such factors may inform efforts to reduce surgical readmissions.

METHODS: We utilized the Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project’s State Inpatient Databases to examine 1,867 patients undergoing cystectomy in 2009 and 2010 and identified all patients readmitted with 30 days of discharge. We assessed differences between patients experiencing bounce-back readmission compared to those readmitted 8-30 days after discharge using logistic regression models and also calculated abbreviated LACE scores to assess the utility of common readmissions risk stratification algorithms.

RESULTS: Thirty day and bounce-back readmission rates were 28.4% and 5.6%, respectively. Although no patient or index hospitalization characteristics were significantly associated with bounce-back readmissions in adjusted analyses, bounce-back patients did have higher rates of gastrointestinal (14.3% vs 6.7%, p=0.02) and wound (9.5% vs 3.0%, p<0.01) diagnoses as well as increased index and readmission length of stay (5 vs 4 days, p=0.01). Overall median abbreviated LACE score was 7, which fell into the moderate readmission risk category, and no difference was observed between readmitted and non-readmitted patients.

CONCLUSION: One in five readmissions following radical cystectomy occurs within three days of initial discharge, likely due to factors present at discharge. However,

sociodemographic and clinical factors as well as traditional readmission risk tools were not predictive of this bounce-back. Effective strategies to reduce bounce-back readmission must identify actionable clinical factors prior to discharge.

INTRODUCTION

Readmission following major cancer surgery is common and costly.[1,2] Despite ongoing policy and provider efforts aimed at reducing readmissions, this remains a persistent issue across surgical disciplines.[3–6] Nowhere is this problem more evident than following radical cystectomy. This procedure has the highest readmission rates among all major surgery, with 20 to 30% of patients readmitted within 30 days of discharge, a rate that has not changed in over two decades.[1,7–9] Though published studies have helped characterize the cohort of patients readmitted following cystectomy, identifying risk factors for preventable readmissions remains difficult.[10,11]

Patients readmitted quickly following the initial discharge, so-called “bounce-backs,” represent a unique subgroup where readmissions may be preventable, either because of an unrecognized or uncontrolled problem present at initial discharge or due to poorly coordinated discharge planning. This raises the question of whether some of these readmissions could be prevented by delaying discharge or more proactively managing clinical problems present at discharge. However, prior work suggests that targetable and mutable factors driving readmission after cystectomy are elusive. It may be the case that very early readmissions are largely non-modifiable, consistent with existing findings across the full 30 day readmission time frame.[12,13]

In this context, we sought to better characterize factors associated with bounce-back readmissions following radical cystectomy. Specifically, we assessed differences in index hospitalizations and readmissions between patients readmitted within 3 days of initial discharge and those not readmitted or readmitted later in the postoperative course to ascertain predictors of rapid readmission. We hypothesized that patients who experienced bounce-back readmissions could be identified using factors in administrative data, allowing for potentially improved risk stratification and intervention targeting. In doing so, this study allows for a better understanding of factors associated

with preventable readmissions post-cystectomy and can guide subsequent readmission reduction efforts.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data Source

We used the Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project's State Inpatient Databases (SID) for New York, Iowa, North Carolina, and Washington during the years 2009 and 2010. The SID files include clinical and nonclinical data and capture inpatient hospital records from community hospitals. These records include information on all patient discharges regardless of payer, and cover approximately 97 percent of all community hospital discharges in the United States.[14] Community hospitals in this data are defined by the American Hospital Association and include nonfederal, short-term general and specialty hospitals, including academic medical centers. Within this data, we identified 1,869 patients who underwent radical cystectomy.

Outcomes

Our primary outcome was bounce-back readmission, defined as a readmission within 3 days of discharge. As a secondary outcome, we also assessed later readmissions (4-7 days, 8-30 days). These time windows were selected because in addition to the bounce-back period of interest, most readmissions following cystectomy occur in the first week after discharge. Thus we wanted to assess if there were any further differences in these patients readmitted in the first week versus later in the postoperative course. We collected all readmissions within 30 days of discharge following radical cystectomy, which were then stratified into discrete time intervals prior to analysis

Statistical Analysis

We assessed differences between readmitted and non-readmitted patients and across the three readmission timeframe groups using Pearson's chi-square and Fisher's exact tests for categorical variables and Student's t-test and Wilcoxon rank sum test for continuous variables. We examined patient demographic (age, gender, race, Charlson Comorbidity Score, primary payer, state of hospitalization, residence population), index hospitalization (length of stay, resource utilization, complications) and discharge

(disposition, time of day) characteristics.[15,16] Complications were assessed using validated International Classification of Diseases, 9th Edition, (ICD-9) codes, which were examined and counted as complications if they appeared during the index admission hospital record.[17] We then compared readmission hospitalization characteristics including reasons for readmission, readmission route, length of stay, mortality, reoperation, resource utilization, and disposition.

To assess for predictors of bounce-back readmission we fit a multiple logistic regression model with bounce-back readmission as the outcome and 8-30 day readmission as the comparison group. We felt that the group of patients most likely to have identifiable and possibly modifiable factors present at the time of discharge compared to other groups would be those in the bounce-back period, which is why we elected to use this group as our primary comparison rather than using the entire first post-discharge week. Factors included were selected *a priori* based on clinical relevance and included age, gender, Charlson Comorbidity Score, index length of stay, and postoperative complications. We also fit models with the same predictors to compare bounce-back readmissions with non-readmitted patients, and later readmissions with non-readmitted patients.

Lastly, to understand the utility of a commonly used readmission prediction tool in radical cystectomy patients, we calculated LACE scores for all index hospitalizations and compared readmitted patients to those who were not. The LACE score utilizes length of stay, admission acuity, comorbidity, and emergency department visits to stratify patients based on readmission risk and has been assessed in a variety of clinical settings.[18] Subsequent studies have also applied a modified version of this score to administrative data in the prediction of death and readmission.[19] Since the SID records do not include emergency department visits, we calculated an abbreviated score using index length of stay, non-emergent admission type for all patients, and the previously calculated Charlson Comorbidity Scores. Generally, patients with scores ≥10 are considered at highest risk for readmission while scores between 5 and 9 are considered moderate, Emergency room visits may contribute up to 4 points to the final score, so our calculated scores are necessarily underestimates of the true LACE scores and corresponding readmission risk in this cohort.

All analyses were conducted using SAS software version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) and all testing was two-sided using an alpha of 0.05. This study was deemed IRB exempt by the University of Michigan Institutional Review Board.

RESULTS

There were 1,869 patients who underwent radical cystectomy during the study period. The overall 30-day readmission rate in these patients was 28.4%. Among patients readmitted within 30 days, 19.7% were readmitted during the bounce-back period of zero to three days (Figure). This represents an overall bounce-back readmission rate of 5.6%. No significant differences were observed in demographic or index hospitalization characteristics between patients readmitted during the bounce-back period compared to those readmitted at a later period (8-30 days, Table 1). When compared to non-readmitted patients, readmitted patients had longer median index length of stay (8 vs. 9 days, $p = 0.03$) and were more frequently discharged to skilled nursing facilities (10% vs. 15%, $p< 0.01$).

We found several differences in the readmission hospitalization characteristics between bounce-back readmissions and those occurring later (Table 2). Compared to later readmissions, patients who experienced bounce-back readmission were more likely to suffer from new gastrointestinal (GI) (14% vs. 7%, $p = 0.02$) or wound diagnoses (9% vs. 3%, $p< 0.01$), and had longer median length of stay during their readmissions (5 vs. 4 days, $p = 0.01$). Assessment of complication subtypes showed that in spite of these differences in diagnoses at the time of readmission, rates of GI and wound complications during the index admission did not differ significantly between bounce-backs and other patients. The most prevalent readmission diagnoses across all groups were GI, urinary, and infection.

On multivariable logistic regression comparing bounce-back to later readmissions, neither age, gender, Charlson Comorbidity score, index length of stay, nor postoperative complications were significant predictors of bounce-back readmission (Table 3). Similarly, no significant independent predictors were observed when comparing either readmission time frame to non-readmitted patients. The overall median LACE score among all patients in the cohort was 7, the same as among

readmitted patients. Though these values are underestimates due to lack of emergency room visit data, all patients in the cohort would be considered moderate risk based on these results. When comparing abbreviated LACE scores between readmitted and non-readmitted patients, there was no significant difference in the median score (7 vs. 7, p=0.87).

DISCUSSION

One in five readmissions following radical cystectomy occurs within the first three days following discharge. Commonly available patient and procedural factors present in administrative data were not effective in identifying radical cystectomy patients at highest risk of bounce-back readmission. We found differences in length of stay and discharge disposition between readmitted and non-readmitted patients but no differences in any demographic or index hospitalization parameters between early or late readmissions. Consequently, no factors were predictive of readmission—either bounce-back or late—in our multivariable analysis. For the readmission hospitalization, differences were observed only in the reason for readmission and length of stay. Lastly, when using an abbreviated version of a common readmission risk stratification algorithm, we found that all cystectomy patients were at least at moderate risk of readmission, which did not differ between readmitted and non-readmitted patients. This underscores the difficulty of accurately predicting which patients will suffer post discharge complications and ultimately be readmitted. These findings may also reflect an inherent limitation of administrative data to predict some complicated and nuanced clinical scenarios such as readmissions.

This study adds to the growing body of literature assessing factors associated with readmission timing in major surgery patients. Similar to our findings, a recent study examining a large cohort of colorectal surgery patients found that demographic differences were minimal between patients readmitted early and late after index discharge and that GI complications were more prevalent in early readmissions.[20] In that cohort, significant predictors of early versus late readmissions included wound disruption and neurological complications. The authors concluded that earlier readmissions were more closely related to non-modifiable patient and operative factors

while those readmissions occurring later were more frequently linked to targetable factors such as renal complications. Conversely, another study utilizing data from medical discharges found that early readmissions were associated with acute illness burden, whereas later readmissions were more often related to markers of chronic disease.[21] Similarly, recent work in Medicare patients found the significance of hospital factors in readmissions to be largely limited to the first week following discharge, while later readmissions were explained by non-hospital factors such as household income.[22]

In cystectomy patients specifically, our results align well with prior analyses of Medicare data that found GI, urinary, and infection among the most common readmissions diagnoses and that the highest intensity readmission episodes are more likely in patients suffering from earlier, rapid readmissions.[8,23] Other recent work has shown that readmissions to hospitals other than that which performed the cystectomy were more likely to occur later in the readmissions period, although this effect was predominantly observed after the 30 day period used in our study.[24] Lastly, as readmissions have been linked to postoperative complications, it was recently demonstrated that the significant majority of these complications occur very early in the postoperative period.[25] This connection also helps to underscore recent results demonstrating that increased, targeted patient contact early in the postoperative course could avert a significant proportion of readmissions following cystectomy, though other data suggests that most readmissions after cystectomy are non-modifiable.[13,26] While it may be the case that cystectomy has a particularly large proportion of non-modifiable readmissions compared to other surgical procedures, characterizing and identifying those modifiable factors remains critically important. In a patient population with readmission rates as high as those observed following cystectomy, even a comparatively smaller percent of modifiable readmissions represents a significant portion of the overall patient cohort. Thus accurate and early recognition of those patients at highest risk of modifiable readmission will continue to be an essential pursuit. Taken together with existing literature, our results lend support to the notion that readmissions following radical cystectomy are a particularly challenging problem due to

both the inherent morbidity of the procedure and the relative illness and frailty of the patient population.

Our study has several limitations. Using data from only four states raises questions about generalizability. However, the states included in this analysis represent diverse and divergent regions and so are likely to adequately capture any unmeasured variations related to local practice patterns and comorbidity burdens. This analysis also lacks information regarding type of urinary diversion or receipt of neoadjuvant chemotherapy, which could conceivably have effects on readmission timing. Concerns regarding these covariates should be minimal as existing work has not found differences in readmission rates after cystectomy related to either of these factors.[8,27,28] In spite of capturing data across four states and multiple years, the overall number of bounce-back readmissions was low, which limited the ability to include other potentially informative variables in the multivariable regressions. Our data does not capture any outpatient encounters, either in clinic or the emergency department unless they in turn lead to admission. As such, we cannot comment on variations in outpatient contact with patients in this cohort. Similarly, these data do not have information on hospital- or surgeon-specific factors, such as enhanced recovery pathways or practice volume. However, given the negligible impact of more proximal patient and procedural characteristics analyzed here, the overall effects of such influences on the dynamics of readmission timing are likely minor. While we were able to assess the significance of discharge time of day on bounce-back readmissions, which has been found to be significant in other cohorts, the structure of these data did not allow us to assess the importance of weekend versus weekday discharge.[21] More broadly, our results are limited by the administrative nature of these data. We are unable to assess other factors which likely impacted readmissions and their timing such as poorly coordinated care or individual patient and provider thresholds for readmission. Lastly, we were not able to account for planned versus unplanned readmissions in this cohort, however we believe the number of planned readmissions to be small and unlikely to systematically bias our overall findings.

These limitations notwithstanding, the issue of readmissions following radical cystectomy is one of increasing importance. The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid

Services' Hospital Readmissions Reduction Program, which was implemented in 2012 as part of the Affordable Care Act, expanded to include surgical care in 2014 in the form of readmissions penalties following total hip and knee arthroplasty. The program has already expanded to include coronary artery bypass grafting and it is likely to expand to other conditions over coming years.[29] Consequently, both policymakers and urologists have clear incentives to accurately identify those cystectomy patients at highest risk of readmission and implement programs to help mitigate those hazards. Promising approaches include telemedicine interventions as well as data-driven targeting of post-discharge contact with patients.[26,30,31] Further, although cystectomy is a relatively low-volume procedure and represents a small proportion of overall readmissions, it is possible that lessons learned in reducing readmissions following this procedure could prove valuable in other clinical settings, given its high rates of complications and readmissions.

CONCLUSIONS

Accurately detecting cystectomy patients most likely to be rapidly readmitted after discharge is challenging. Based on this study, those patients who will ultimately bounce back appear demographically and clinically similar to peers who will be readmitted later or not at all, and common risk stratification algorithms may be of limited use following radical cystectomy. Further research with more granular data is needed to help identify and potentially target modifiable causes for post-cystectomy readmissions. Increasing insights about where, when, and how to best focus resources on the problem of post-cystectomy readmissions should eventually yield important benefits for patients as well as providers.

REFERENCES

[1] Stitzenberg KB, Chang YK, Smith AB, Nielsen ME. Exploring the burden of inpatient readmissions after major cancer surgery. J Clin Oncol 2015;33:455–64. doi:10.1200/JCO.2014.55.5938.

[2] Jencks SF, Williams M V., Coleman EA. Rehospitalizations among Patients in the Medicare Fee-for-Service Program. N Engl J Med 2009;360:1418–28. doi:10.1056/NEJMsa0803563.

[3] Chaudhary H, Stewart CM, Webster K, Herbert RJ, Frick KD, Eisele DW, et al. Readmission following primary surgery for larynx and oropharynx cancer in the elderly. Laryngoscope 2017;127:631–41. doi:10.1002/lary.26311.

[4] Wilbur MAB, Mannschreck DB, Angarita AM, Matsuno RK, Tanner EJ, Stone RL, et al. Unplanned 30-day hospital readmission as a quality measure in gynecologic oncology. Gynecol Oncol 2016;143:604–10. doi:10.1016/j.ygyno.2016.09.020.

[5] Herrel LA, Norton EC, Hawken SR, Ye Z, Hollenbeck BK, Miller DC. Early impact of Medicare accountable care organizations on cancer surgery outcomes. Cancer 2016;122:2739–46. doi:10.1002/cncr.30111.

[6] Borza T, Jacobs BL, Montgomery JS, Weizer AZ, Morgan TM, Hafez KS, et al. No Differences in Population-based Readmissions After Open and Robotic-assisted Radical Cystectomy: Implications for Post-discharge Care. Urology 2017;104:77–83. doi:10.1016/j.urology.2017.01.042.

[7] Stimson CJ, Chang SS, Barocas DA, Humphrey JE, Patel SG, Clark PE, et al. Early and late perioperative outcomes following radical cystectomy: 90-day readmissions, morbidity and mortality in a contemporary series. J Urol 2010;184:1296–300. doi:10.1016/j.juro.2010.06.007.

[8] Hu M, Jacobs BL, Montgomery JS, He C, Ye J, Zhang Y, et al. Sharpening the focus on causes and timing of readmission after radical cystectomy for bladder cancer. Cancer 2014;120:1409–16. doi:10.1002/cncr.28586.

[9] Hu JC, Chughtai B, O'Malley P, Halpern JA, Mao J, Scherr DS, et al. Perioperative Outcomes, Health Care Costs, and Survival After Robotic-assisted Versus Open Radical Cystectomy: A National Comparative Effectiveness Study. Eur Urol 2016;70:195–202. doi:10.1016/j.eururo.2016.03.028.

[10] Vest JR, Gamm LD, Oxford BA, Gonzalez MI, Slawson KM. Determinants of preventable readmissions in the United States: A systematic review. Implement Sci 2010;5. doi:10.1186/1748-5908-5-88.

[11] Van Walraven C, Bennett C, Jennings A, Austin PC, Forster AJ. Proportion of hospital readmissions deemed avoidable: A systematic review. CMAJ 2011;183. doi:10.1503/cmaj.101860.

[12] Minnillo BJ, Maurice MJ, Schiltz N, Pillai AC, Koroukian SM, Daneshgari F, et al.

Few modifiable factors predict readmission following radical cystectomy. Can Urol Assoc J 2015;9:E439-46. doi:10.5489/cuaj.2793.

[13] James AC, Izard JP, Holt SK, Calvert JK, Wright JL, Porter MP, et al. Root Causes and Modifiability of 30-Day Hospital Readmissions after Radical Cystectomy for Bladder Cancer. J Urol 2016;195:894–9. doi:10.1016/j.juro.2015.10.175.

[14] Overview of the State Inpatient Databases (SID) n.d. https://www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov/sidoverview.jsp (accessed June 6, 2017).

[15] Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL, MacKenzie CR. A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: development and validation. J Chronic Dis 1987;40:373–83. doi:10.1016/0021-9681(87)90171-8.

[16] Quan H, Sundararajan V, Halfon P, Fong A, Burnand B, Luthi JC, et al. Coding algorithms for defining comorbidities in ICD-9-CM and ICD-10 administrative data. Med Care 2005;43:1130–9. doi:10.1097/01.mlr.0000182534.19832.83.

[17] Tan H-J, Wolf JS, Ye Z, Wei JT, Miller DC. Complications and Failure to Rescue After Laparoscopic Versus Open Radical Nephrectomy. J Urol 2011;186:1254–60. doi:10.1016/j.juro.2011.05.074.

[18] van Walraven C, Dhalla I a, Bell C, Etchells E, Stiell IG, Zarnke K, et al. Derivation and validation of an index to predict early death or unplanned readmission after discharge from hospital to the community. C Can Med Assoc J 2010;182:551–7. doi:10.1503/cmaj.091117.

[19] van Walraven C, Wong J, Forster AJ. LACE+ index: extension of a validated index to predict early death or urgent readmission after hospital discharge using administrative data. Open Med 2012;6:e80-90.

[20] Al-Mazrou AM, Suradkar K, Mauro CM, Kiran RP. Characterization of Readmission by Day of Rehospitalization after Colorectal Surgery. Dis. Colon Rectum, vol. 60, 2017, p. 202–12. doi:10.1097/DCR.0000000000000734.

[21] Graham KL, Wilker EH, Howell MD, Davis RB, Marcantonio ER. Differences between early and late readmissions among patients: A Cohort Study. Ann Intern Med 2015;162:741–9. doi:10.7326/M14-2159.

[22] Chin DL, Bang H, Manickam RN, Romano PS. Rethinking thirty-day hospital

readmissions: Shorter intervals might be better indicators of quality of care. Health Aff 2016;35:1867–75. doi:10.1377/hlthaff.2016.0205.

[23] Skolarus TA, Jacobs BL, Schroeck FR, He C, Helfand AM, Helm J, et al. Understanding hospital readmission intensity after radical cystectomy. J Urol 2015;193:1500–6. doi:10.1016/j.juro.2014.10.107.

[24] Chappidi MR, Kates M, Stimson CJ, Johnson MH, Pierorazio PM, Bivalacqua TJ. Causes, Timing, Hospital Costs and Perioperative Outcomes of Index vs Nonindex Hospital Readmissions after Radical Cystectomy: Implications for Regionalization of Care. J Urol 2017;197:296–301. doi:10.1016/j.juro.2016.08.082.

[25] Sood A, Kachroo N, Abdollah F, Sammon JD, Löppenberg B, Jindal T, et al. An Evaluation of the Timing of Surgical Complications Following Radical Cystectomy: Data From the American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement Program. Urology 2017;103:91–8. doi:10.1016/j.urology.2017.01.036.

[26] Krishnan N, Liu X, Lavieri MS, Hu M, Helfand A, Li B, et al. A Model to Optimize Followup Care and Reduce Hospital Readmissions after Radical Cystectomy. J Urol 2016;195:1362–7. doi:10.1016/j.juro.2015.11.063.

[27] Gore JL, Lai J, Gilbert SM. Readmissions in the postoperative period following urinary diversion. World J Urol 2011;29:79–84. doi:10.1007/s00345-010-0613-8.

[28] Gandaglia G, Popa I, Abdollah F, Schiffmann J, Shariat SF, Briganti A, et al. The effect of neoadjuvant chemotherapy on perioperative outcomes in patients who have bladder cancer treated with radical cystectomy: A population-based study. Eur Urol 2014;66:561–8. doi:10.1016/j.eururo.2014.01.014.

[29] Clement RC, Gray CM, Kheir MM, Derman PB, Speck RM, Levin LS, et al. Will Medicare Readmission Penalties Motivate Hospitals to Reduce Arthroplasty Readmissions? J Arthroplasty 2017;32:709–13. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2016.08.031.

[30] Feltner C, Jones CD, Cene CW, Zheng Z-J, Sueta CA, Coker-Schwimmer EJL, et al. Transitional care interventions to prevent readmissions for persons with heart failure: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ann Intern Med 2014;160:774–84. doi:10.7326/M14-0083.

[31] Iqbal A, Raza A, Huang E, Goldstein L, Hughes SJ, Tan SA. Cost Effectiveness of a Novel Attempt to Reduce Readmission after Ileostomy Creation. JSLS J Soc Laparoendosc Surg 2017;21:e2016.00082. doi:10.4293/JSLS.2016.00082.

Table 1. Patient and index hospitalization characteristics across readmission time frames.

Characteristic	Non-readmitted (N= 1338)	Readmitted (N=531)				P value**
		0-3 day readmission (N=105)	4-7 day readmission (N=100)	8-30 day readmission (N=326)	P value*	
Age, mean (SD)	67.7	67.9 (0.9)	67.8 (1.0)	67.6 (0.6)	0.95	0.90
Gender (% male)	82.1	80.0	86.0	84.4	0.30	0.39
Race, %					0.67	0.96
White	89.3	91.7	88.8	89.6		
Black	6.1	5.2	6.7	6.1		
Hispanic	2.9	3.1	2.3	2.9		
Asian or Pacific Islander	1.6	0	2.2	1.4		
Comorbidity Score, %					0.13	0.54
0	24.2	30.5	23.0	22.1		
1	0.8	0	0	1.5		
2	41.9	45.7	47.0	44.5		
3+	33.0	23.8	30.0	31.0		
Payer, %					0.79	0.09
Medicare	56.1	56.2	54.0	58.0		
Medicaid	4.5	4.8	4.0	6.7		
Private	36.0	37.1	40.0	34.0		
Other	3.4	1.9	2.0	1.2		
State, %					0.24	0.18
NY	62.3	63.8	67.0	66.3		
WA	15.2	11.4	14.0	15.0		
NC	18.6	20.9	16.0	17.5		
IA	3.9	3.8	3.0	1.2		
Residence					0.66	0.07

Population Size, %						
1,000,000 or more	53.7	61.9	56.0	57.1		
50,000-999,999	29.7	23.8	25.0	24.2		
10,000-49,999	10.0	8.6	13.0	12.9		
<10,000	6.6	5.7	6.0	5.8		
Index length of stay, median (IQR)	8.0 (7, 12)	8.0 (7,11)	9.0 (7,14)	9.0 (7,13)	0.52	**0.03**
Index resource utilization, %						
Blood transfusion	66.9	70.5	67.0	68.4	0.69	0.49
Imaging	30.9	34.3	35.0	33.4	0.87	0.22
ICU	43.7	51.4	41.0	47.6	0.49	0.19
Postoperative complications, %	65.7	64.0	67.8	62.6	0.74	0.12
Index discharge disposition, %					0.18	**<0.01**
Home	29.7	18.1	20.0	27.0		
Home care	60.0	65.7	63.0	59.2		
SNF	10.3	16.2	17.0	13.8		
Discharge time of day, %					0.21	0.47
8AM-1PM	45.5	40.5	37.0	46.0		
1PM-6PM	47.5	60.0	55.6	47.6		
6PM-8AM	6.9	2.5	7.4	6.4		

* P-values for comparison of 0-3 day to 8-30 day readmissions groups.

** P-values for comparison on non-readmitted to all readmitted patients.

Table 2. Readmission characteristics across readmission time frames.

Characteristic	**0-3 day readmission (N=105)**	**4-7 day readmission (N=100)**	**8-30 day readmission (N=326)**	**P value***
Readmission diagnoses, %				
Infection	9.5	12.0	11.3	0.60
Failure to thrive	8.6	3.0	8.6	0.99
Urinary	10.5	11.0	10.7	0.94
Gastrointestinal	14.3	8.0	6.7	**0.02**
Hematologic	6.7	3.0	6.7	0.98
	6.7	10.0	11.0	0.19
Metabolic/endocrine				
Wound	9.5	3.0	3.0	**<0.01**
NPMO	6.7	7.0	7.1	0.89
Vascular	4.7	2.0	4.9	0.95
Cardiac	9.5	5.0	5.8	0.19
Pulmonary	7.6	4.0	5.5	0.43
Female	0.9	0	0.3	0.40
Other	19.0	15.0	18.7	0.94
Readmission source, %				**<0.01**
Home	29.5	18.0	27.9	
ED	29.5	40.0	42.6	
SNF	1.9	3.0	1.8	
OSH	4.8	6.0	1.8	
Clinic	0.9	2.0	6.1	
Other/missing	33.3	31.0	19.6	
Readmission length of stay, median (IQR)	5 (3,9)	5 (3,9)	4 (3,7)	**0.01**
Readmission	3.8	2.0	2.8	0.58

mortality, %				
Readmission resource utilization, %				
Blood transfusion	28.6	26.0	22.7	0.22
Imaging	72.4	77.0	77.6	0.27
ICU	11.4	16.0	12.9	0.69
Readmission reoperation, %	14.3	11.0	13.2	0.77
Readmission discharge disposition, %				0.44
Home	32.0	27.6	40.9	
Home care	49.0	45.9	42.9	
SNF	15.0	24.5	13.3	

* P-values for comparison of 0-3 day to 8-30 day readmissions groups.

Table 3. Multivariable logistic regression model output of adjusted odds ratios (95% CI) examining predictors of bounceback readmission (0-3 days) compared to later readmission (8-30 days) and non-readmission.

Predictor	**Bounceback vs. Late Readmission**	**Bounceback vs. Non-readmission**	**Late Readmission vs. Non-readmission**
Age	1.0 (1.0 – 1.0)	1.0 (1.0 – 1.0)	1.0 (1.0 – 1.0)
Gender			
Male	Referent	Referent	Referent
Female	1.4 (0.7 – 2.8)	1.1 (0.6 – 1.9)	0.8 (0.5 – 1.1)
Charlson Comorbidity Score			
0	Referent	Referent	Referent
1-2	0.5 (0.2 – 1.3)	0.7 (0.3 – 1.5)	1.3 (0.7 – 2.4)
>2	0.4 (0.2 – 1.1)	0.5 (0.2 – 1.1)	1.1 (0.6 – 2.1)
Index Length of Stay	1.0 (1.0 – 1.0)	1.0 (1.0 – 1.0)	1.0 (1.0 – 1.0)
Postoperative complications	1.0 (0.6 – 1.7)	1.1 (0.7 – 1.8)	1.1 (0.8 – 1.5)