

Not Another Boring Resident Didactic Conference

Author List:

Alisa Wray, MD, MAEd*

Margaret Wolff, MD^

Megan Boysen-Osborn, MD, MHPE*

Warren Wiechmann, MD, MBA*

Sara Paradise, MD*

Elizabeth Runcie, DO*

Gabe Sudario, MD*

Shannon Toohey, MD, MAEd*

Author Affiliations:

*University of California, Irvine, Department of Emergency Medicine, Orange, CA

^University of Michigan Health System, Department of Emergency Medicine, Ann Arbor, MI

Corresponding Author:

Shannon Toohey, MD, MAEd

805-501-9674

stoohy@uci.edu

333 City Blvd West, Suite 640

Orange, CA 92868

Running Title:

Not Another Boring Resident Didactic Conference

Keywords: GME, resident education, didactics, conference, simulation, oral boards, gamification.

Word Count: 4464

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as [doi: 10.1002/AET2.10367](https://doi.org/10.1002/AET2.10367)

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

Prior Presentations:

Content covering these concepts has been covered in workshop format at SAEM Annual Meeting:

TEACH Residents. Warren Wiechmann, Shannon Toohey, Alisa Wray, Megan Boysen-Osborn. May 10, 2016. Co-presenter at pre-meeting workshop, SAEM Annual Meeting, New Orleans, LA.

Medical Education 2.0: Using Technology to Educate the Next Generation. Warren Wiechmann, Shannon Toohey, Alisa Wray, Megan Boysen-Osborn. May 15, 2017. Co-presenter at pre-meeting workshop, SAEM Annual Meeting, New Orleans, LA.

Funding Support:

No external funding sources.

Author Contributions:

Manuscript concept and design: AW, MW, MBO, WW, SP, ER, ST

Literature review: AW, MBO, WW, SP, ER, ST

Drafting of manuscript: AW, MW, MBO, WW, SP, ER, GS, ST

Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: AW, MW, MBO, WW, SP, ER, GS, ST

Conflict of Interest Disclosure:

None of the authors have any financial conflicts of interest.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

DR. MEGAN OSBORN (Orcid ID : 0000-0001-6676-6429)

DR. SHANNON L TOOHEY (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-1887-633X)

Article type : Concept Paper

Abstract:

Background: The Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME) requires that residency programs in emergency medicine plan at least five hours of didactic experiences per week. Instructional methods should include small-group techniques, problem-based learning, or computer-based instruction. Despite recommendations from the ACGME, many programs’ conference didactics continue to include primarily lecture-based instruction.

Methods: The authors describe instructional methods that promote active learning and may be superior to traditional lecture-based education.

Results: These methods include: varying instructional methods, case-based learning, team-based learning and the flipped classroom, audience response systems, simulation, “wars,” oral boards, escape rooms and scavenger hunts, expert panel discussions, debates, clinical pathological cases, and leaderboards. The authors discuss how these methods can be implemented to make emergency medicine didactic conferences more varied and interactive for learners.

Conclusions: While there is minimal research on the efficacy of these methods in graduate medical education, many have shown to improvement engagement of learners and to be effective in undergraduate medical education. Further research will be needed to determine if long-term learning outcomes can be improved with these strategies.

Introduction:

The Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME) requires that residency programs in emergency medicine plan at least five hours of didactic experiences per week.¹ Instructional methods

29 should include small-group techniques, problem-based learning, or computer-based instruction.¹
30 Individualized interactive instruction can account for up to 20% of planned didactic activities.¹ Despite
31 recommendations from the ACGME, it can be tempting for conference planners to default to the
32 traditional, inexpensive and easy-to-plan instructional design of didactic lectures.

33 Instructional methods that promote active learning may be superior to traditional lecture-based
34 education.²⁻⁵ Bloom's taxonomy breaks down educational objectives into a framework that includes
35 remembering, understanding, application, analysis, evaluation, and creation.⁶ Educators should aim to
36 incorporate high level Bloom's objectives^{7,8} into their didactic sessions.

37 There is a lack of literature regarding best practices for overall residency didactic planning. There have
38 been previous articles discussing engaging teaching techniques for the millennial learner.⁹⁻¹¹ As well as
39 numerous articles on utilization of the flipped classroom in graduate medical education.^{12,13} However,
40 our review of the literature did not find any articles on best practices or recommendations for
41 implementing these techniques within a didactic curriculum.

42 During this review, the authors discuss a variety of ways to better engage learners during didactic
43 conferences, encouraging learners to apply and analyze concepts, rather than simply "remember" them.
44 The following "tips" are suggestions and examples from the authors' institutions, to improve learner
45 engagement in weekly didactic conference. Given the lack of literature on best practices for didactic
46 curriculum planning, these recommendations are based on a logical approach combining existing
47 literature on individual techniques and best practices, as well as author experience at two educational
48 sites to create recommendations for engaging didactic planning. These techniques have been
49 implemented at the University of California, Irvine with the emergency medicine residency didactic
50 curriculum. A curriculum was developed using Kern's model and a needs assessment based on previous
51 didactic evaluations and resident feedback. We then utilized the Model of Clinical Practice of EM¹⁴ to
52 determine subject areas and planned the curriculum with varied methods and matched methods for
53 various topics to maximize engagement and learning based on the literature and practices discussed
54 below.

55 The authors of this paper collectively have more than 35 years of experience in graduate and
56 undergraduate medical education. Dr. Wolff has a Master of Health Professions Education (MHPE) and
57 extensive experience in interactive teaching techniques with numerous publications on best practices
58 for active learning. She has served as an associate program director for a pediatric emergency medicine

59 fellowship and is now a fellowship director for a medical education fellowship. Dr. Boysen-Osborn has a
60 Master of Health Professions Education (MHPE) and has 5 years of experience as a program director
61 with 3 years of experience as an associate program director and completed a fellowship in education.
62 Dr. Wiechmann has served as the Associate Dean of Clinical Sciences and the Associate Dean of
63 Educational Technologies at the University of California, Irvine for four and six years, respectively, and
64 has extensive experience in educational technologies and innovation. Dr. Boysen-Osborn and Dr.
65 Wiechmann are co-directors of a fellowship in multimedia design and education technologies for
66 emergency physicians. Dr. Toohey and Dr. Wray collectively have five years of experience as associate
67 and assistant program directors, and both have completed fellowships in education and received
68 Masters of Arts in Education with an emphasis in multimedia design and technology.

69 ***Use a variety of instructional methods on any given conference day.*** It is important to consider one's
70 learning objectives when deciding which instructional strategies to use.¹⁵ For example, communication
71 skills are best learned with role playing and/or standardized patients;¹⁶ procedure skills are best learned
72 through simulation and task trainers;¹⁷ and small group learning works well for case-based learning and
73 visual diagnosis. Lectures may provide a consistent message to a large audience, but lecture length
74 should be shortened to maximize engagement.¹⁸ Asynchronous learning and individualized interactive
75 instruction (III) allow learners to go at their own pace, which may improve learner retention. The
76 authors discuss several strategies below and it is our opinion that instructional methods should be
77 varied throughout a conference day in order to best keep learners' attention.¹⁹ For example, a five-hour
78 conference day may include two 30-minute lectures, a 90-minute team-based learning (TBL) didactic, a
79 60-minute multi-station visual diagnosis challenge and debrief, a 45-minute interesting or morbidity and
80 mortality case discussion, and the remaining conference replaced by III and a reading quiz.

81 ***Start simple, use case-based learning when possible.*** Case-based learning is well-established within
82 medical education as an effective teaching modality as it helps create a deeper understanding of
83 content.²⁰ There are many ways to expand basic lectures into more interactive case-based learning
84 sessions. At a basic level, creating a series of interesting cases for residents to work through in small
85 groups can be interactive and fun, while developing knowledge-based scavenger hunts (discussed
86 below) or case-based visual diagnoses (where learners go around the room and identify a diagnosis
87 based on images) may be more advanced case-based techniques. An element of competition can be
88 added by timing sessions, using audience response systems or jeopardy style games with buzzers. For

89 complicated concepts, answers can be reviewed in a large group format following to ensure
90 understanding.
91 Additionally, interesting cases and images can be used a bridge to weekly conference activities using a
92 diagnosis of the month competition where residents are encouraged to submit descriptions of
93 interesting cases, with the winner announced on a regular basis. Other examples include ultrasound of
94 the week where the ultrasound director can share the most interesting ultrasound from each quality
95 assurance (QA) session.

96 **Expand to teach with team-based learning, small groups and the flipped classroom.** One
97 implementation of small group learning that has become popular is the flipped classroom model.
98 ^{21,22} For this method, the instructor sends materials (e.g. relevant blog posts, articles, etc.) to
99 learners to review prior to the didactic session. This allows for higher-order learning to occur
100 during the didactic session, moving from Bloom's taxonomy levels for remembering to analysis or
101 application.²³ If it is difficult for learners to find time for pre-learning the instructor can select a
102 short resource (such as a paper or video) that can be digested in five to ten minutes at the
103 beginning of the didactic session.

104 An engaging method to build upon the flipped classroom model is team-based learning, or TBL. A
105 classic TBL includes a flipped classroom element that is named "learner responsible content"
106 (LRC). The in-class session includes a pre-quiz, or individual readiness assurance test (iRAT) based
107 on the LRC, followed by a group readiness assurance test (gRAT) where learners work through the
108 iRAT questions together.²⁴ Lastly, an instructor/facilitator reviews learning points and clarifies
109 any confusion.

110 Team-based learning encourages teamwork and communication, improves learning outcomes and
111 examination scores, and develops lifelong learning skills.^{15,16} When preparing TBLs, it is important
112 to create a well-prepared answer key so that the didactic session can be reproduced for future
113 learners. Pre-prepared TBLs are available in online journals, such as MedEdPortal and the Journal
114 of Education and Teaching in Emergency Medicine.

115 **Engage learners with audience response systems.** Audience response systems (ARS) can be a fun,
116 engaging way to test learners' knowledge through the use of a trivia-style question and answer format.
117 Audience response systems can test learners' knowledge, confirm understanding of a key concept, or
118 solicit feedback or opinions from a group. PollEverywhere®, or Mentimeter® are commonly used in
119 education and have several different question formats including multiple choice questions (MCQs), free
120 text/word cloud, response segmenting/team competitions, rank order questions, and clickable images.

121 Kahoot® engages learners in a “trivia night” format that gives points for getting a correct answer in the
122 shortest amount of time.

123 Numerous studies have shown that ARS increase both immediate²⁵⁻²⁹ and long-term^{25,26,28-32} retention of
124 information in the context of health professions education. Participation in such activities has been
125 shown to be near 100%.³³

126 The University of California, Irvine emergency medicine residency program uses ARS to increase
127 engagement and knowledge retention during weekly didactics. At the end of each conference session, a
128 five to ten question Kahoot® quiz is used to assess knowledge and to also reinforce key points of
129 assigned a weekly core content reading. Learners who win the quiz are given a badge on their class
130 leaderboard, which creates a sense of friendly competition.

131 There are a few logistical considerations and limitations of the use of ARS. As with any technology,
132 there is a learning curve regarding the use of the program and its applications. Most ARS work on a
133 web-based format, which can delay if there is not a strong internet connection. A free version of a
134 software may limit the number of questions they allow instructors to use, the number of learners
135 allowed to respond to questions, or other advanced features such as team competition.

136 **Utilize simulation.** Training learners to perform challenging or uncommon procedures is not always
137 possible, cost-effective, or safe. Many skills can be taught via simulation.³⁴ Simulation tools including
138 manikins, task trainers, computer based programs or discussion and serve as an alternative tool to teach
139 and evaluate residents.³⁵⁻³⁷ In creating a simulation opportunity, it is recommended to integrate
140 simulation with similar educational experiences, such as the learner’s recent clinical exposure, or during
141 system specific blocks.³⁸ Simulation provides an opportunity for just-in-time and just-in-place learning as
142 well as frequent and meaningful feedback and can be utilized as an effective way to assess
143 learners.^{35,36,38,39}

144 Cases can be found on online databases, pre-published books or created by residents or faculty.¹⁰
145 Simulation can be incorporated into weekly didactics with a single case or task trainer or as a simulation
146 conference with multiple cases or task-trainers. Faculty or senior residents can teach skills-based
147 stations, while senior faculty or program leadership can assist or observe the simulation cases as this
148 allows for assessment of learners in addition to immediate meaningful feedback.

149 **Have residents compete in “Wars.”** Gamification and serious games can enhance learning by increasing
150 learner motivation and engagement.⁴⁰ SimWars, a well-known national competition, uses high-fidelity
151 simulations scenarios to challenge resident teams on a variety of clinical cases. This “war” format is

152 readily adaptable to a conference session given over 90% of programs use simulation as a training
153 method in their programs.⁴¹ To encourage participation and engagement, event coordinators may
154 choose a theme, or encourage teams to have names and/or dress in costume. To start, residents should
155 be divided into teams of four to six and a team leader is selected. Teams compete in eight to ten-minute
156 cases and are given points for meeting critical actions and elements such as team communication, crew
157 resource management, and clinical judgment.

158 Similarly, SonoGames® or sonoolympics is another engaging way to teach ultrasound in a competition-
159 based format. Typically, the format is a knowledge-based quiz (e.g. identification of images) as the
160 initial round, followed by multiple rounds of hands on ultrasonography challenges. Scores are tallied per
161 round, with categories include image acquisition, interpretation of imaging, incorporation into medical
162 decision making, procedural performance, communication, and teamwork.⁴² Creative ideas for stations
163 include Pictionary, blindfolded scanning, scanning with distractions (e.g. being questioned, patient
164 moving, etc.), use of water baths to scan, measuring structures on live patients, and self-scanning
165 activities. While no large prospective studies have been performed on this format, some data suggests
166 that the above formats create skills that are improved through dedicated practice.⁴³ Observers and
167 instructions may also benefit from these sessions by learning from the decision making and techniques
168 from different providers. Residents have high satisfaction with these sessions, rating such competitions
169 highly.⁴¹

170 While games and “wars” can improve learner engagement, they may not be the ideal instructional
171 strategy for some concepts. Instructors must ensure that there is adequate time to debrief, ask
172 questions, and go over answers in between stations or at the end of the competition so that instructors
173 can ensure that learning objectives are met. In order to engage effectively in many educational games,
174 learners must have some basic knowledge or understanding about a topic.

175 **Practice oral boards.** The American Board of Emergency Medicine (ABEM) administers an oral board
176 examination to residency trained EM physicians, as one of the requirements for EM board certification.⁴⁴

177 Mock oral examinations are recommended by the ACGME outcome project⁴⁵ Previous papers have
178 suggested that oral board practice can be used to assess core competencies⁴⁶ including medical,
179 knowledge^{47,48} system-based practice,^{48,49} professionalism,⁴⁸ and communication skills.⁵⁰

180 Our program provides semi-annual mock oral boards sessions with all residents for assessment and
181 feedback. Cases should be varied to ensure that residents do not repeat cases during their residency.

182 eOral cases can also be integrated into mock oral boards, as ABEM oral board now includes this
183 modality.⁵¹ While it does require a larger faculty involvement and additional training to ensure

184 consistency, it provides an engaging, high yield activity. Debriefing can cover oral board techniques as
185 well as medical knowledge concepts from the applicable cases. Oral board cases can be found via the
186 CORD website,⁵² published in the Journal of Education and Teaching in Emergency Medicine⁵³ and in
187 various textbooks. Furthermore, CORD now offers eOral cases to programs so residents can become
188 familiar with this format.⁵⁴

189 **Implement escape rooms and scavenger hunts.** Escape rooms have increased in popularity in the public
190 over the past several years.⁵⁵ Some authors have translated these concepts to learning based escape
191 rooms for use in the classroom.^{56,57} Learners must solve educational riddles, logic problems and know or
192 find the answer to questions related to a certain topic in order to escape or move onto the next room.
193 This gamifies a didactic experience and promotes team collaboration and participation in
194 conference.^{58,59} The University of California, Irvine emergency medicine residency uses a published
195 toxicology escape room template and apply the same type of puzzles to other topics.⁵⁷ There are several
196 possible game types, such as requiring learners to perform a calculation (anion gap, osmolar gap,
197 calculating a risk score (HEART, PECARN, etc) in order to find the combination to a lockbox. In some
198 games, learners must match images, concepts, diagnoses, definitions or cases to find lockbox
199 combinations. Another option is to have questions or cases where learners shade in a matrix containing
200 correct and incorrect answers to identify and match a pattern. There are books and websites on
201 educational escape rooms that provide ideas on other game options.⁶⁰

202 While escape rooms take significant planning, scavenger hunts may be a budget and time friendly
203 alternative. Scavenger hunts have been shown to engage students while increasing comfort on
204 topics.^{61,62} Scavenger hunts can be a more interactive way to review visual diagnoses or case-based
205 multiple choice questions. Multiple-choice or visual diagnosis questions (EKGs, X-rays, common physical
206 exam findings), are printed and posted around a lecture hall or around a building, akin to the clinical
207 images exhibit at the Society for Academic Emergency Medicine (SAEM) Annual Meeting. Learners can
208 solve each case individually or in teams. It is important for instructors to go over each answer at the end
209 of the hunt in order to answer questions and go over key learning points.

210 Alternatively, scavenger hunts can be used to introduce new interns to the hospital staff (the unit
211 secretary, the nursing supervisor) or find important hospital locations (the charting room, the suture
212 cart, the cafeteria), with stations being strategically placed around the hospital or with staff.

213 **Lead expert panel discussions.** Panels are a commonly used method of presenting learners with a
214 variety of perspectives on a topic. Panelists may be given a controversial clinical question, a case with
215 consecutive questions, or a series of cases for which they can respond with their expert opinion. Ideas

216 of panels may include “orthopedics in the community” (how community versus academic physicians
217 would manage orthopedic cases), management of hypertensive episodes based on specialty (e.g.
218 internal versus cardiology versus emergency medicine), or pediatric emergency cases (with experts in
219 pediatric EM or pediatric intensive care). Panelists can be subject matter experts in varying specialties
220 or from varying hospital systems such as academics versus community. Variation amongst hospital
221 systems, practice environments, and preferred local culture may influence panelists views on topics and
222 provide residents with broad perspectives on topics.

223 **Have residents debate.** Debates may improve knowledge transfer, communication, critical thinking, and
224 literature appraisal skills.^{63,64} Two groups of residents and/or faculty can be assigned opposing
225 viewpoints on a controversial topic in emergency medicine (e.g. hypothermia for cardiac arrest) and
226 provided with an exemplar article. Each group reviews this article and other related literature to support
227 their article. Groups can be given 10 to 15 minutes to present their viewpoint to the group, followed by
228 15-20 minute rebuttal. A similar implementation was studied and showed that learners had increased
229 confidence with their ability to find, compare, and retain information from primary literature.⁶⁵

230 **Compete with Clinical Pathological Case (CPC).** The Council for Residency Directors in Emergency
231 Medicine (CORD) hosts the Clinical Pathologic Case (CPC) Competition at their annual meeting.⁶⁶ These
232 competitions can be easily adapted at the local level. The CPC is a case-based competition where junior
233 learners present an interesting case and senior learners or faculty participants work through a clinical
234 case out-loud to share a rational approach to information gathering and synthesis.
235 Traditionally, the case presenter (typically a junior resident) takes five minutes to introduce an
236 interesting case, including history, physical, and relevant data to a discussant (generally a faculty
237 member). The case presenter’s goal is to provide enough information that the discussant can determine
238 the diagnosis, but not so much that the answer is readily apparent. This information is typically given to
239 the faculty member a few weeks in advance, allowing them time to create a 20 minute presentation that
240 walks through their thought process as they logically examine the information, provided a broad
241 differential, and narrow down their differential. The case presenter will then take ten minutes to
242 present the final diagnosis and discuss the case outcome and any key teaching pearls. In addition to
243 medical knowledge about the interesting case, the CPC may demonstrate how experts employ medical
244 decision making.

245 To apply to residency didactics a program can select junior residents as the case presenter and senior
246 residents as the discussant. Faculty can participate as the judges of the presentations and provide
247 additional educational pearls at the conclusion of the case.

248 **Create awards, badges, and leaderboards.** Awards, badges, and leaderboards may gamify a weekly
249 didactic conference. For example, a program could use a large cork board in the teaching classroom to
250 display badges for each residency class. These boards serve as the centerpiece to highlight resident and
251 faculty academic accomplishments (leadership positions, abstract presentations, manuscript
252 publications), knowledge acquisition (reading quiz, diagnosis of the block, ultrasound of the week
253 winners), and provide positive reinforcement of certain behaviors (patient compliment, on time to
254 conference).

255 **Conclusion** While lectures are still a common format for didactic sessions in emergency medicine
256 residency training, educators are increasingly looking for ways to actively engage learners. The
257 techniques described allow faculty and program leadership to make conference more varied and
258 interactive for learners. While there is minimal research on the efficacy of these methods in graduate
259 medical education, many have shown to improvement engagement of learners. Further research will be
260 needed to determine if long-term learning outcomes can be improved with these strategies.

261

262 References:

- 263 1. Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education. ACGME Program
264 Requirements for Graduate Medical Education in Emergency Medicine. 2017;1–40.
- 265 2. Freeman S, Eddy SL, McDonough M, Smith MK, Okoroafor N, Jordt H, et al. Active
266 learning increases student performance in science, engineering, and mathematics.
267 Proc Natl Acad Sci 2014;111(23):8410–5.
- 268 3. Schmidt HG, Cohen-Schotanus J, Arends LR. Impact of problem-based, active
269 learning on graduation rates for 10 generations of Dutch medical students. Med
270 Educ 2009;43(3):211–8.
- 271 4. Prober CG, Heath C. Lecture halls without lectures — a proposal for medical
272 education. N Engl J Med 2012;366(18):1657–9.
- 273 5. Vernon DT, Blake RL. Does problem-based learning work? A meta-analysis of
274 evaluative research. Acad Med 1993;68(7):550–63.

- 275 6. Krathwohl DR. A revision of Bloom's taxonomy: an overview. *Theory Into Practice*
276 2002;41(4):212–8.
- 277 7. Bloom BS, Krathwohl DR. Taxonomy of educational objectives: the classification of
278 educational goals, by a committee of college and university examiners. Handbook
279 1: Cognitive domain. New York: Longmans, Green; 1956.
- 280 8. Bonwell CC, Eison JA. Active learning: creating excitement in the classroom. ASHE-
281 ERIC High Education Reports. 1991.
- 282 9. Wolff M, Wagner MJ, Poznanski S, Schiller J, Santen S. Not another boring lecture:
283 engaging learners with active learning techniques. *J Emerg Med* 2012;48(1):85-93.
- 284 10. Toohey SL, Wray A, Wiechmann W, Lin M, Boysen-Osborn M. Ten tips for engaging
285 the millennial learner and moving an emergency medicine residency curriculum
286 into the 21st century. *West J Emerg Med* 2016;17(3):337–43.
- 287 11. Moreno-Walton L, Brunett P, Akhtar S, DeBlieux PMC. Teaching across the
288 generation gap: a consensus from the Council of Emergency Medicine Residency
289 Directors 2009 Academic Assembly. *Acad Emerg Med* 2009;16(5):S19–S24.
- 290 12. Chen F, Lui AM, Martinelli SM. A systematic review of the effectiveness of flipped
291 classrooms in medical education. *Med Educ* 2017;51(6):585–97.
- 292 13. Wittich CM, Agrawal A, Wang AT, Halvorsen AJ, Mandrekar JN, Chaudhry S, et al.
293 Flipped classrooms in graduate medical education. *Acad Med* 2018;93(3):471–7.
- 294 14. Counselman FL, Babu K, Edens MA, Gorgas DL, Hobgood C, Katz E, et al. The 2016
295 Model of the Clinical Practice of Emergency Medicine. *J Emerg Med*
296 2017;52(6):846–9.

- 297 15. Kern DE, Hughes MT, Thomas PA. Curriculum Development for Medical Education:
298 A Six-Step Approach, Second Edition. 2nd ed. Baltimore, MD: The John Hopkins
299 University Press; 2009.
- 300 16. McLaughlin SA, Doezema D, Sklar DP. Human simulation in emergency medicine
301 training: a model curriculum. Acad Emerg Med 2002;9(11):1310–8.
- 302 17. Nestel D, Groom J, Eikeland-Husebø S, O'Donnell JM. Simulation for learning and
303 teaching procedural skills. Simul Healthc 2011;6:S10–3.
- 304 18. Middendorf J, Kalish A. The “change-up” in lectures. The National Teaching and
305 Learning Forum 1996;5(2):1–12.
- 306 19. Schmidt HG, Wagener SL, Smeets GACM, Keemink LM, van der Molen HT. On the
307 use and misuse of lectures in higher education. Health Prof Educ 2015;1(1):12–8.
- 308 20. Fink D. A Self-Directed Guide to Designing Courses for Significant Learning. Dee Fink
309 and Associates. Norman, OK; 2003:1–36.
- 310 21. McLaughlin JE, Roth MT, Glatt DM, Gharkholonarehe N, Davidson CA, Griffin LM, et
311 al. The flipped classroom: a course redesign to foster learning and engagement in a
312 health professions school. Acad Med 2014;89(2):236–43.
- 313 22. O'Flaherty J, Phillips C. The use of flipped classrooms in higher education: a scoping
314 review. Internet High Educ 2015;25(C):85–95.
- 315 23. Miller GE. The assessment of clinical skills/competence/performance. Acad Med
316 1990;65(9 Suppl):S63–7.
- 317 24. Gullo C, Ha TC, Cook S. Twelve tips for facilitating team-based learning. Med Teach
318 2015;37(9):819–24.
- 319 25. Schackow TE, Chavez M, Loya L, Friedman M. Audience response system: effect on
320 learning in family medicine residents. Fam Med 2004;36(7):496–504.

- 321 26. Rubio EI, Bassignani MJ, White MA, Brant WE. Effect of an audience response
322 system on resident learning and retention of lecture material. *Am J Roentgenol*
323 2008;190(6):W319–22.
- 324 27. Elashvili A, Denehy GE, Dawson DV, Cunningham MA. Evaluation of an audience
325 response system in a preclinical operative dentistry course. *Am Dental Educ Assoc*
326 2008;72(11):1296–303.
- 327
- 328 28. Mains TE, Cofrancesco J, Milner SM, Shah NG, Goldberg H. Do questions help? The
329 impact of audience response systems on medical student learning: a randomised
330 controlled trial. *Postgrad Med J* 2015;91(1077):361–7.
- 331 29. Nelson C, Hartling L, Campbell S, Oswald AE. The effects of audience response
332 systems on learning outcomes in health professions education. A BEME systematic
333 review: BEME Guide No. 21. *Med Teach* 2012;34(6):e386–e405.
- 334 30. Pradhan A, Sparano D, Ananth CV. The influence of an audience response system
335 on knowledge retention: An application to resident education. *Am J Obstetrics*
336 *Gynecol* 2005;193(5):1827–30.
- 337 31. Slain D, Abate M, Hodges BM, Stamatakis MK, Wolak S. An interactive response
338 system to promote active learning in the doctor of pharmacy curriculum. *Am J*
339 *Pharm Educ* 2004;68(5):117:1-9.
- 340 32. Cain J, Black EP, Rohr J. An audience response system strategy to improve student
341 motivation, attention, and feedback. *Am J Pharm Educ* 2009;73(2):21.
- 342 33. Collins J. Audience response systems: technology to engage learners. *J Am Coll*
343 *Radiol* 2008;5(9):993–1000.



- 344 34. Binstadt ES, Walls RM, White BA, Nadel ES, Takayesu JK, Barker TD, et al. A
345 comprehensive medical simulation education curriculum for emergency medicine
346 residents. *Ann Emerg Med* 2007;49(4):495–504.e11.
- 347 35. Okuda Y, Bryson EO, DeMaria S Jr, Jacobson L, Quinones J, Shen B, et al. The utility
348 of simulation in medical education: what is the evidence? *Mt Sinai J Med*
349 2009;76(4):330–43.
- 350 36. Kamphuis C, Barsom E, Schijven M, Christoph N. Augmented reality in medical
351 education? *Perspect Med Educ* 2014;3(4):300–11.
- 352 37. Ziv A, Ben-David S, Ziv M. Simulation based medical education: an opportunity to
353 learn from errors. *Med Teach* 2009;27(3):193–9.
- 354 38. McGaghie WC, Issenberg SB, Petrusa ER, Scalese RJ. A critical review of simulation-
355 based medical education research: 2003-2009. *Med Educ* 2009;44(1):50–63.
- 356 39. McGaghie WC, Issenberg SB, Cohen ER, Barsuk JH, Wayne DB. Does simulation-
357 based medical education with deliberate practice yield better results than
358 traditional clinical education? A meta-analytic comparative review of the evidence.
359 *Acad Med* 2011;86(6):706–11.
- 360 40. Rutledge C, Walsh CM, Swinger N, Auerbach M, Castro D, Dewan M, et al.
361 Gamification in action. *Acad Med* 2018;93(7):1014–20.
- 362 41. Okuda Y, Godwin SA, Jacobson L, Wang E, Weingart S. SimWars. *J Emerg Med*
363 2014;47(5):586–93.
- 364 42. Lewiss RE, Hoffmann B, Beaulieu Y, Phelan MB. Point-of-care ultrasound education.
365 *J Ultrasound Med* 2014;33(1):27–32.




- 366 43. Mendez D. The effect of using simulation wars on emergency medicine residents'
367 reasoning skills. Unpublished executive doctorate in professional leadership with
368 an emphasis in health science education, University of Houston, August 2016.
- 369 44. Oral Exam. East Lansing, MI: American Board of Emergency Medicine. (*Accessed on*
370 *January 11, 2019* at <https://www.abem.org/public/become-certified/oral-exam>)
- 371 45. Recommended Assessment Tools for the General Competencies. Chicago, IL:
372 Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education. 2018 (*Accessed on January*
373 *11, 2019* at [https://www.acgme.org/Specialties/Recommended-Assessment-Tools-](https://www.acgme.org/Specialties/Recommended-Assessment-Tools-for-the-General-Competencies/pfccatid/22/Radiation%20Oncology)
374 [for-the-General-Competencies/pfccatid/22/Radiation%20Oncology](https://www.acgme.org/Specialties/Recommended-Assessment-Tools-for-the-General-Competencies/pfccatid/22/Radiation%20Oncology))
- 375 46. Hobgood C, Promes S, Wang E, Moriarity R, Goyal DG. Outcome assessment in
376 emergency medicine—a beginning: results of the Council of Emergency Medicine
377 Residency Directors (CORD) emergency medicine consensus workgroup on
378 outcome assessment. *Acad Emerg Med* 2008;15(3):267–77.
- 379 47. Wagner MJ, Thomas HA. Application of the medical knowledge general
380 competency to emergency medicine. *Acad Emerg Med* 2002;9(11):1236–41.
- 381 48. Stahmer SA, Ellison SR, Jubanyik KK, Felten S, Doty C, Binder L, et al. Integrating the
382 core competencies: proceedings from the 2005 Academic Assembly consortium.
383 *Acad Emerg Med* 2007;14(1):80–94.
- 384 49. Wang EE, Dyne PL, Du H. Systems-based practice: summary of the 2010 Council of
385 Emergency Medicine Residency Directors Academic Assembly consensus
386 workgroup-teaching and evaluating the difficult-to-teach competencies. *Acad*
387 *Emerg Med* 2011;18:S110–20.
- 388 50. Sullivan C, Murano T, Comes J, Smith JL, Katz ED. Emergency medicine directors'
389 perceptions on professionalism: a Council of Emergency Medicine Residency
390 Directors survey. *Acad Emerg Med* 2011;18(10 suppl):S97–S103.



- 391 51. Types of Cases and Samples. East Lansing, MI: The American Board of Emergency
392 Medicine. (Accessed January 11, 2019 at [https://www.abem.org/public/become-](https://www.abem.org/public/become-certified/oral-exam/types-of-cases-and-samples)
393 [certified/oral-exam/types-of-cases-and-samples](https://www.abem.org/public/become-certified/oral-exam/types-of-cases-and-samples))
- 394 52. CORD Teaching Cases: Oral Board & Simulation Cases. Irving, TX: Council of
395 Emergency Medicine Residency Directors. (Accessed on January 11, 2019 at
396 <https://www.cordem.org/resources/education--curricula/oral-board--sim-cases/>)
- 397 53. Oral board cases. Journal of Education and Teaching in Emergency Medicine.
398 (Accessed January 11, 2019 at [http://jetem.org/search-by-](http://jetem.org/search-by-modality/?_sft_modalities=oral-boards)
399 [modality/?_sft_modalities=oral-boards](http://jetem.org/search-by-modality/?_sft_modalities=oral-boards))
- 400 54. Mock eOral Software. Irving, TX: Council of Emergency Medicine Residency
401 Directors. (Accessed on January 11, 2019 at
402 <https://www.cordem.org/resources/education--curricula/eoral/>)
- 403 55. Mallenbaum C. Why escape rooms have a lock on the U.S. USA Today. 2018.
404 (Accessed on January 11, 2019 at
405 [https://www.usatoday.com/story/life/people/2018/04/25/escape-rooms-trend-](https://www.usatoday.com/story/life/people/2018/04/25/escape-rooms-trend-us/468181002/)
406 [us/468181002/](https://www.usatoday.com/story/life/people/2018/04/25/escape-rooms-trend-us/468181002/))
- 407 56. Eukel HN, Frenzel JE, Cernusca D. Educational gaming for pharmacy students -
408 design and evaluation of a diabetes-themed escape room. Am J Pharm Educ
409 2017;81(7):6265.
- 410 57. Boysen-Osborn M, Paradise S, Suchard JR. The toxiscap hunt: an escape room-
411 scavenger hunt for toxicology education. J Educ Teach Emerg Med 3(1):SG9–19.
- 412 58. Wiemker M, Elumir E, Clare A. Escape room games. In: Haag J, Weibenböck J,
413 Gruber W, Freisleben-Teutscher CF. Game Based Learning. Vienna: Morawa
414 Lesezirkel GesmbH, 2017:55–68.

- 415 59. Hermanns M, Deal B, Campbell AM, Hillhous S, Opella JB, Faigle C, et al. Using an
416 “escape room” toolbox approach to enhance pharmacology education. JNEP
417 2017;8(4):89–7.
- 418 60. Johnson HE. Breaking into breakout boxes. CreateSpace Independent Publishing
419 Platform; 2017.
- 420 61. Owen MI. A case study scavenger hunt for senior nursing students. J Nurs Educ
421 2017;56(3):191–1.
- 422 62. Lijek RS, Fankhauser SC. Using scavenger hunts to familiarize students with
423 scientific journal articles. J Microbiol Biol Educ 2016;17(1):125–8.
- 424 57. Kennedy R. In-class debates: fertile ground for active learning and the cultivation of
425 critical thinking and oral communication skills. Inter J Teach Learn Higher Educ
426 2007;19(2):183–90.
- 427 64. Darby M. Debate: a teaching-learning strategy for developing competence in
428 communication and critical thinking. J Dent Hyg 2007;81(4):78.
- 429 65. Toor R, Samai K, Wargo R. Debate as an alternative method for medical literature
430 evaluation. Curr Pharm Teach Learn 2017;9(3):427–32.
- 431 66. Clinical Pathologic Case Competition. Irving, TX: Council of Emergency Medicine
432 Residency Directors. (Accessed on January 11, 2019 at
433 <https://www.cordem.org/events/cpc-competition/>)

Table 1. Overview of instructional methods to improve learner engagement in weekly didactic conference.

	What is it?	Where do I start?	What are some tips?
	Case-based learning	Start simple by providing a series of interesting cases for learners to work through in small groups, then progress to more complicated implementations such as case-based visual diagnoses or quiz-show style competitions	Learners can submit cases for a diagnosis of the month competition or leverage ultrasound quality assurance (QA) sessions to identify interesting cases
	TBLs / Small Group / Flipped Classroom	Create a flipped classroom element — Learner responsible content (LRC). In-class pre-quiz based on flipped content — individual readiness assurance test (iRAT). Learners then work through iRAT questions together — group readiness assurance test (gRAT) Instructor reviews and facilitates	Pre-prepared TBLs available in online journals such as MedEdPortal and the Journal of Education and Teaching in Emergency Medicine.

	<p>Audience Response Systems</p>	<p>During weekly didactics, include a 5-10 question quiz to assess knowledge and to reinforce key points of assigned weekly core-content reading</p>	<p>Commercial systems such as PollEverywhere, Mentimeter, or Kahoot are free or low-cost solutions that do not require “clickers” or hardware</p>
	<p>Simulation</p>	<p>Incorporate into weekly didactics with a single case or task trainer or as a “simulation conference” with multiple cases or task-trainers.</p> <p>Simulation groups can be divided based on learner experience or groups with varying experience.</p> <p>Conferences can involve single or multiple cases</p>	<p>Faculty or senior residents can teach skills-based stations, utilizing senior faculty or program leadership during the simulation cases for assessment of learners in addition to immediate meaningful feedback</p> <p>Consider leveraging institutional simulation or skills centers if departmental resources are limited</p>
	<p>Wars and Games</p>	<p>For “wars”, divide learners into small teams (4-6 members) that compete in short cases, where points are awarded for meeting critical actions.</p> <p>For “games”, have teams compete in an initial round of quizzes, followed by multiple rounds of</p>	<p>National and regional conferences often host a SimWars or SonoGames competition.</p> <p>Consider hosting a regional competition with local programs for collaboration and resource sharing</p>

		hands-on challenges.	
	Oral Boards	Faculty or senior residents can serve as mock examiners using academic offices as testing rooms. Mock examinations can be spread over multiple conferences to utilize a smaller number of examiners	Cases can be found on the CORD website, published in the Journal of Education and Teaching in Emergency Medicine and in various textbooks
	Escape Rooms / Scavenger Hunts	In escape rooms, learners must solve educational riddles, logic problems and know or find the answer to questions related to a certain topic in order to "escape" each challenge. In scavenger hunts, learners compete by solving similar challenges that are placed around the learning space	Look to commercial escape room experiences for ideas for challenges. Retail escape room kits and "how-to" books are also available for purchase. For scavenger hunts, consider using as a tool for new intern orientation.

	Expert Panels	Panelists may be given a controversial clinical question, a case with consecutive questions, or a series of cases for which they can respond with their "expert" opinion.	Variation amongst hospital systems, practice environments, and preferred local culture may influence panelists views on topics and provide residents with broad perspectives on topics
	Resident Debates	Two groups of residents and/or faculty are assigned opposing viewpoints and are given a brief time to present their viewpoint (supported by the literature), followed by a rebuttal.	Considering using controversial topics from the literature
	CPCs	Case presenter (junior resident) takes 5 minutes to introduce the case without easily disclosing the diagnosis. The discussant (senior resident or faculty) uses this information to create a 20-minute presentation that walks the learners through their thought process to determine a their guess at the diagnosis. The presenter then takes 10 minutes to reveal the final diagnosis, discuss case outcomes, and present key teaching points	Case competitions are part of some annual educational meetings and are highlighted in various journals such as the New England Journal of Medicine



Awards / Badges /
Leaderboards

Start simple by mounting a large cork board and brightly-colored awards and badges for each residency class in your didactic space

Think beyond the didactic sessions and highlight any academic accomplishments (leadership positions, publications, presentations) or provide positive reinforcement of certain behaviors (patient compliments, conference attendance, timeliness).

Author Manuscript

Table 2. Comparison of Complexity and Instructor Support needed by instructional method

Activity	Level of Complexity	Instructors Needed
Case-based learning	+	Single
Audience Response Systems	+	Single
Awards / Badges / Leaderboards	+	Single
Resident Debates	+	Few
Expert Panels	+	Few
TBLs / Small Group / Flipped Classroom	++	Single
CPCs	++	Few
Simulation	++	Many
Oral Boards	++	Many
Escape Rooms / Scavenger Hunts	+++	Many
Wars and Games	+++	Many

Author Manuscript