JAGS MARCH 2020-VOL. 68, NO. 3 LETTERS TO THE EDITOR 671

the letter. Dr Kim provided expertise on appraisal of study design and minor edits.

Sponsor's Role: There were no sponsors for this letter.

REFERENCES

 Mahmoudi E, Basu T, Langa K, et al. Can hearing aids delay time to diagnosis of dementia, depression, or falls in older adults? J Am Geriatr Soc. 2019; 67:2362-2369. https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.16366.

REPLY TO COMMENT ON: CAN HEARING AIDS DELAY TIME TO DIAGNOSIS OF DEMENTIA, DEPRESSION, OR FALLS IN OLDER ADULTS?

To the Editor: In our recently published study, we used administrative claims data to examine the association between hearing aids (HAs) and dementia among older adults with hearing loss (HL). In 2017, a Lancet Commission report highlighted that HL carried a greater risk of dementia than other potential risk factors, such as hypertension and obesity, and could be expected to have a high population-attributable risk due to the increasing prevalence of HL.² The study further calculated that more than one-third of dementia cases may be prevented by addressing risk factors across the life course. HL is a potentially modifiable risk factor for Alzheimer's disease and related dementias.²

Although randomized clinical trials may still be considered the gold standard in clinical research, with the availability of large data sets, observational studies are increasingly gaining momentum. Observational studies are inherently less expensive, include a broader patient population, are more efficient, and can examine longitudinal data over a relatively long period of time.³ With regard to potential biases, there is little evidence to support the superiority of randomized clinical trials over observational studies.³

In our recent study, our descriptive results revealed that in aggregate, people with HAs had lower rates of cardio-vascular conditions, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, obesity, and diabetes at the time of HL diagnosis. Abiola et al raised a valid concern regarding a potential selection bias in our study. All studies, particularly observational studies, are prone to selection bias when comparing different population groups. To address this, we adjusted our analytical models for age, sex, race/ethnicity, and chronic conditions that are more prevalent among individuals with HL and conducted several sensitivity analyses including propensity score matching between those with and without HL. None of our sensitivity analyses qualitatively changed the results of our original analyses.

Abiola et al also raised a question regarding timing of HA use.⁴ Because we were interested in the association between HAs and time to diagnosis of certain conditions, we included those who acquired HAs right after HL diagnosis (about 60% of users of HAs). This made our analysis

much cleaner because we were able to follow each patient for at least 3 years after the diagnosis of HL and first use of HAs. It is plausible that by excluding those who had fewer than 3 years of follow-up, we introduced some bias into our analysis. Not having information on why an individual is no longer covered by a health plan (eg, death, switching health plans, switching jobs, etc), we examined the results for those with at least 3 years of follow-up time.

The main shortcoming of our study was unavailability of information on several salient risk factors in our data set. For example, we did not have information on duration and severity of HL, frequency of HA use, if any, socioeconomic status, and lifestyle choices of our patient population. Future research using other data sets should try to address the limitations of our study and therefore confirm or dispute our findings.

By providing enhanced hearing input, HAs may facilitate greater social engagement, decrease levels of effort required to recognize sounds and speech, decrease levels of depression or anxiety, increase levels of physical balance, and promote greater feelings of independence and self-efficacy.⁵⁻⁸ Believing in one's physical and cognitive ability to engage socially and accomplish a task or participate in social events has been shown to advance cognitive functioning.

The prevalence of HL is estimated to increase substantially due to our growing geriatric population. The adverse effects of HL are profound and varied, affecting individuals quality of life and their ability to perform independent activities of daily living. Research has shown that individuals with HL are at a higher risk of Alzheimer's disease and related dementias. Thus it is of paramount importance for researchers to continue examining the effects of HAs, the default noninvasive treatment option for HL, on dementia and mild cognitive impairment among older adults with HL.

Elham Mahmoudi, PhD
Department of Family Medicine, University of Michigan
Medical School, Ann Arbor, Michigan
Institute for Healthcare Policy and Innovation, University
of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by a grant from the National Institutes of Health (P30 AG015281), and the Michigan Center for Urban African American Aging Research and from the University of Michigan Claude D. Pepper Older Americans Independence Center (AG024824).

Conflict of Interest: The author has declared no conflicts of interest for this article.

Author Contributions: Elham Mahmoudi drafted and revised the article.

Sponsor's Role: None.

REFERENCES

Mahmoudi E, Basu T, Langa K, et al. Can hearing aids delay time to diagnosis
of dementia, depression, or falls in older adults? J Am Geriatr Soc. 2019;67:
2362-2369

672 LETTERS TO THE EDITOR MARCH 2020-VOL. 68, NO. 3 JAGS

Livingston G, Sommerlad A, Orgeta V, et al. Dementia prevention, intervention, and care. Lancet. 2017;390:2673-2734.

- Benson K, Hartz AJ. A comparison of observational studies and randomized, controlled trials. N Engl J Med. 2000;342:1878-1886.
- Abiola A, Berry S, Kim D. Comment on: Can hearing aids delay time to diagnosis of dementia, depression, or falls in older adults? J Am Geriatr Soc. 2019. https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.16367.
- Chou R, Dana T, Bougatsos C, Fleming C, Beil T. Screening adults aged 50 years or older for hearing loss: a review of the evidence for the US Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med. 2011;154:347-355.
- Ciorba A, Bianchini C, Pelucchi S, Pastore A. The impact of hearing loss on the quality of life of elderly adults. Clin Interv Aging. 2012;7:159-163.
- Joore MA, Potjewijd J, Timmerman A, Anteunis L. Response shift in the measurement of quality of life in hearing impaired adults after hearing aid fitting. Qual Life Res. 2002;11:299-307.
- Mulrow CD, Tuley MR, Aguilar C. Sustained benefits of hearing aids. J Speech Lang Hear Res. 1992;35:1402-1405.
- Lin FR, Niparko JK, Ferrucci L. Hearing loss prevalence in the United States. Arch Intern Med. 2011;171:1851-1853.
- Gopinath B, Schneider J, McMahon CM, et al. Severity of age-related hearing loss is associated with impaired activities of daily living. Age Ageing. 2011;41:195-200.