

# Directed evolution methods for overcoming trade-offs between protein activity and stability

Samuel D. Stimple<sup>1,2,#</sup>, Matthew D. Smith<sup>2</sup>, and Peter M. Tessier<sup>1,2,3,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, <sup>2</sup>Department of Chemical Engineering and <sup>3</sup>Department of Biomedical Engineering, Biointerfaces Institute, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109

Engineered proteins are being widely developed and employed in applications ranging from enzyme catalysts to therapeutic antibodies. Directed evolution, an iterative experimental process composed of mutagenesis and library screening, is a powerful technique for enhancing existing protein activities and generating entirely new ones not observed in nature. However, the process of accumulating mutations for enhanced protein activity requires chemical and structural changes that are often destabilizing, and low protein stability is a significant barrier to achieving large enhancements in activity during multiple rounds of directed evolution. Here we highlight advances in understanding the origins of protein activity/stability trade-offs for two important classes of proteins (enzymes and antibodies) as well as innovative experimental and computational methods for overcoming such trade-offs. These advances hold great potential for improving the generation of highly active and stable proteins that are needed to address key challenges related to human health, energy and the environment.

---

Keywords: enzyme, antibody, affinity, protein engineering, protein design.

Running title: Overcoming protein activity/stability trade-offs

\*To whom correspondence should be addressed: Email: [ptessier@umich.edu](mailto:ptessier@umich.edu)

#Current address: Biologics Research, Sanofi-Genzyme, Framingham, MA 01701

## Conflict of interest

None.

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: [10.1002/aic.16814](https://doi.org/10.1002/aic.16814)



## Introduction

Proteins encode diverse activities through their complex 3D structures that are essential for life. These natural activities range from catalysis of a wide range of chemical reactions (enzymes) to specific and high-affinity recognition of target molecules (antibodies). Many naturally-occurring protein functions have been harnessed for technological applications, including specialty chemical production<sup>1-4</sup> and disease-modifying therapeutics.<sup>5-7</sup> However, natural proteins rarely possess the particular biophysical and/or functional properties required for a given application, such as protein activity in extreme conditions (*e.g.*, high temperature or harsh solvent conditions)<sup>8,9</sup> or entirely new protein functions not found in nature.<sup>10,11</sup> Consequently, proteins intended for use in biotechnological applications generally require moderate to extensive engineering and optimization to satisfy these unique constraints.<sup>12-14</sup>

The process of performing directed evolution is relatively simple in concept, as it “only” requires two key steps. The first step is creating protein libraries by introducing mutations into the target protein either in a random or targeted manner. The second step is screening the protein libraries in a manner that enables identification of rare variants with improved protein properties such as catalytic activity. In practice, many studies have shown that the success of directed evolution experiments is strongly influenced by the quality of both the protein libraries and screening methods that are employed. One common challenge in such studies is that “you get what you screen for” and activity screens commonly yield proteins with increased activity but compromised stability (Figure 1).

The origin of problematic protein activity/stability trade-offs during directed evolution is linked to multiple factors. First, proteins tend only to be marginally stable at their physiological conditions,<sup>15</sup> and mutations in proteins carry significant risk for reducing stability.<sup>16-18</sup> Second, mutations that promote gains in protein activity necessarily lead to chemical and structural changes, and these changes are rarely optimal for the existing protein scaffold and have an increased likelihood of reducing protein stability. Indeed, many protein functions gained

through directed evolution – especially those that involve multiple rounds of mutation and selection – come at the cost of reduced protein stability.<sup>18-22</sup> Although bottlenecks in directed evolution frequently arise as a result of protein destabilization (Figure 1), new experimental and computational advances are enabling the navigation of protein fitness landscapes in an effective and efficient manner. In honor of Francis Arnold's Nobel Prize in Chemistry related to directed evolution, we review a number of key fundamental studies over the last few decades as well as emerging technologies that are increasing the success of evolving proteins with both high activity and high stability.

## **Directed evolution methods for selecting proteins with high activity and stability**

### **Cell survival screens for optimizing enzyme activity and stability**

The power of directed evolution is only truly realized when robust screens for protein function can be developed that successfully identify improved protein variants. Clonal screening often represents the biggest bottleneck in directed evolution studies because many protein activities are relatively difficult to screen for in a high-throughput manner. The most attractive types of protein activities that have been engineered using directed evolution are those that enable survival of a host (*e.g.*, bacterial or yeast), such as enhanced enzymatic activity against antibiotics that promotes bacterial survival. In such cases, it is possible to screen relatively large libraries ( $10^6$ - $10^{10}$ ) – which are typically limited in size by DNA transformation efficiency – for improved protein function by selecting cells that survive in conditions requiring higher activity than observed for the wild-type protein without the need to individually screen each mutant.

Indeed, one of the most well-studied enzymes in the field of enzyme evolution is  $\beta$ -lactamase, which is an attractive enzyme to evolve because its activity (conferring resistance to penicillins) can be easily screened for in bacteria by identifying antibiotic-resistant colonies. Additionally, the structure and function of many  $\beta$ -lactamases have been studied in detail,<sup>23-25</sup> providing a unique opportunity to investigate trade-offs between stability and

activity in a well-understood enzyme family. The overall stability of enzymes (and other proteins) results from the organization of a large network of favorable intramolecular interactions, including the stable packing of the hydrophobic core surrounded by solvent-exposed hydrophilic residues and hydrogen bonding networks between elements of secondary structure. However, mutations in and near the active site – which are required for binding ligands and mediating catalysis – have an increased risk of destabilizing enzymes because of their propensity to disrupt the network of intramolecular interactions that collectively govern protein stability.<sup>22,26,27</sup>

An elegant dissection of the molecular determinants of enzyme activity/stability trade-offs has been reported for  $\beta$ -lactamase.<sup>27</sup> The authors sought not to improve  $\beta$ -lactamase activity, but rather to test if its key active site residues are generally destabilizing and if mutating these sites to less active residues would be stabilizing. Notably, the authors found that mutating many active site residues to less active ones resulted in significant increases in stability. For example, a single mutation of a key active site residue (Ser64) to a less active one (Asp64) was sufficient to increase stability by 30%, which is particularly surprising given that the enzyme has >300 residues. Conversely, the investigators found that several other solvent-exposed, nonactive-site mutations had little effect on  $\beta$ -lactamase stability.

Analysis of the structural mechanisms for this and related active-site mutations that also reduced  $\beta$ -lactamase activity revealed several common mechanisms.<sup>27</sup> First, the nature of the active site for wild-type  $\beta$ -lactamase (and for enzymes in general) is such that binding to the substrate fulfills otherwise unsatisfied intramolecular interactions. Thus, mutating active site residues to less active ones that are larger and/or which contain additional functional groups (*e.g.*, Ser to Asp at position 64) that are able to mimic substrate binding and satisfy key intramolecular interactions increases protein stability. Second, stabilizing mutations in the active site that reduce  $\beta$ -lactamase activity also frequently reduce steric or electrostatic strain (or both) in the enzyme 3D structure. For example, the authors observed large increases in stability (and reduced activity) due to reductions in steric strain

via mutation of a key active site residue (Ser64) to a smaller and more flexible one (Gly64). These and other findings<sup>22,28-30</sup> demonstrate that the structural and chemical requirements for high activity and high stability of enzymes are not only different, but often at odds with one another.

A particularly interesting example of enzyme activity/stability trade-offs has been observed for  $\beta$ -lactamase (TEM-1) mutants identified in clinical isolates.<sup>31</sup> While the wild-type enzyme is effective at degrading penicillins, it has little activity against other classes of antibiotics such as cephalosporins. Notably, mutants of  $\beta$ -lactamase observed in clinical isolates gain activity against cephalosporins. These mutants have several intriguing properties. First, the mutations that increase the size of the active site cavity to accommodate cephalosporins are destabilizing. Second, many of the mutants that are active against cephalosporins possess an additional compensatory mutation distal from the active site that compensates for the destabilizing effects of the active-site mutations. These findings further highlight the inherent trade-offs between enzyme stability and activity, and demonstrate how compensatory mutations are required to maintain thermodynamic stability as enzyme activity is enhanced via active-site mutations.

It is also notable that the wild-type and mutant  $\beta$ -lactamase variants in these studies<sup>27,31</sup> have modest stabilities (<60 °C). While this level of stability is suitable for physiological enzyme function, it is not ideal for applications that require enzyme function (and therefore enzyme stability) at higher temperatures. To screen for enzyme variants with higher stability using cell survival methods, it is necessary to use cellular hosts that survive at such elevated temperatures. This need has led to the use of thermophiles in directed evolution studies – most commonly thermophilic bacteria – that thrive at elevated temperatures.

Two pioneering studies investigated the use of a thermophilic bacterium (*B. stearothermophilus*) to screen for highly stable variants of kanamycin nucleotidyltransferase (KNTase), an enzyme that degrades the antibiotic kanamycin.<sup>32,33</sup> The wild-type enzyme fails to support growth of the thermophile at temperatures above ~55 °C in

the presence of kanamycin. Therefore, the investigators introduced mutations into the enzyme using several different approaches and screened for rare variants that promoted bacterial growth at elevated temperatures (61-71 °C). Encouragingly, using disparate mutagenesis and selection methods, two entirely different research groups identified the same mutations (D80Y and T130L) that significantly stabilized the wild-type enzyme by >10 °C. These and several other<sup>34-37</sup> studies have established creative and powerful approaches for using thermophiles to identify highly active, thermostable enzymes.

### **Functional (survival-independent) screens for optimizing enzyme activity and stability**

Despite the power of performing directed evolution using cell survival screens, this approach is not readily applicable to most enzymes or other types of proteins because it is challenging to link most protein functions to cell survival. Therefore, most directed evolution studies for enzymes are performed via functional (non-cell survival) screens in which the properties of each protein variant are directly evaluated. In such cases, the screens must be conducted on a clone-by-clone basis, which significantly increases the amount of work required for performing directed evolution studies. An outcome of these low-throughput methods is the enzyme library sizes that can be screened are much smaller than what is possible using cell survival selections. For example, traditional functional screens for enzyme libraries performed in microtiter plates typically involves screening  $\sim 10^2$ - $10^4$  mutants<sup>38-40</sup> relative to cell survival methods where library size is typically limited by transformation efficiency ( $10^6$ - $10^{10}$  variants).

However, there are a number of emerging technologies for improving the throughput of functional screens for directed evolution of enzymes and other types of proteins (as summarized in multiple recent reviews<sup>40-42</sup>). A critical element of any enzymatic screen is the spatial isolation (compartmentalization) of each protein variant in the library to maintain the linkage between enzyme function and DNA sequence as well as the linkage between enzyme function and its catalytic products. To accomplish this, several innovative approaches have been reported

that shrink the size of compartments from those common for microtiter plates (microliters) to extremely small droplets or wells (nanoliters). These approaches enable the screening of several orders of magnitude more enzyme variants. These important advances – which are still in their infancy and are associated with some additional challenges – will likely continue to mature in the coming years and be invaluable for directed evolution studies. In this review, we focus on studies that have primarily used conventional, lower-throughput screening methods for enzyme engineering.

Perhaps no class of biotechnologically-relevant enzymes has been as extensively studied and engineered in recent years as cytochrome P450s. Thousands of cytochrome P450s, which catalyze mainly oxygenation reactions for a wide variety of organic substrates,<sup>43,44</sup> have been identified from all kingdoms of life and are important for chemoenzymatic synthesis, bioconversion, and bioremediation.<sup>44-46</sup> Cytochrome P450s are heme proteins that generally function in concert with an NAD(P)H-driven redox partner to facilitate electron transfer to the heme iron during catalysis and/or use hydrogen peroxide to directly generate reactive heme iron-oxo species. Conveniently, some cytochrome P450s are naturally encoded as self-sufficient catalytic fusion proteins containing a reductase domain (*e.g.* cytochrome P450<sub>BM3</sub>). Others have been engineered for enhanced activity as peroxygenases<sup>47,48</sup>, which eliminates the need for expensive and complicated cofactor regeneration schemes. Their promiscuity enables them to accept a broad range of substrates, which has motivated researchers to engineer cytochrome P450s for oxygenation reactions on novel substrates.<sup>48-52</sup>

However, repurposing enzymes such as cytochrome P450s to accept new substrates and/or catalyze new reactions typically requires significant mutagenesis in and/or near the active site. The trade-offs between P450 activity and stability have been dissected by Frances Arnold's lab in a particularly clear and detailed manner. An impressive example is highlighted in Figure 2.<sup>19,20,50,53</sup> The Arnold lab asked whether a particular P450 (P450<sub>BM3</sub>) – which hydroxylates long-chain fatty acids (C<sub>12</sub>-C<sub>20</sub>) – could conduct the same reaction for an entirely different



substrate (short-chain alkanes, C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>3</sub>). This goal is important because alkanes are both common and relatively inert,<sup>54,55</sup> and converting inert C-H bonds to reactive C-OH bonds enables the use of such upgraded chemicals as feedstocks in many key industrial processes and is also important for bioremediation.<sup>56,57</sup> However, this is generally a challenging problem due to the lack of efficient catalysts for hydroxylating short alkanes (*e.g.*, propane) and in particular for evolving the P450<sub>BM3</sub> enzyme, which completely lacks activity against short alkanes.

One of the Arnold lab's most influential contributions to the field of directed evolution is its demonstration that greatly improved or entirely new functions can be engineered into proteins if only modest improvements are required in each round of evolution and this process of mutagenesis and selection is repeated many times.<sup>19,50,58,59</sup> Indeed, they used this progressive evolution approach to eventually evolve a variant of the P450<sub>BM3</sub> enzyme that hydroxylates short-chain alkanes (P450 propane monooxygenase) in a highly efficient, native-like manner. To accomplish this, they made a key initial observation that the wild-type enzyme had weak but detectable activity against long-chain alkanes (C<sub>8</sub> and larger). This observation led them to perform selections for mutations in the wild-type enzyme not for activity against short-chain alkanes (their eventual goal) but rather initially against long-chain alkanes (C<sub>8</sub>). Notably, the most active mutant enzyme identified in the initial screen against long-chain alkanes acquired low levels of catalytic activity against propane. This variant was then subjected to further mutagenesis and selection in the next round of directed evolution against alkanes that were modestly shorter. This process of mutagenesis and selection was repeated multiple times to enhance the rate of propane hydroxylation.

However, at one point in this directed evolution process, it was observed that the mutated P450 enzyme (35E11) could not be further evolved for additional increases in activity and did not meet the target goal of a highly efficient hydroxylation catalyst against short-chain alkanes (Figure 2).<sup>19,20,50,53</sup> Evaluation of the stability of the evolved enzymes up to the point of failure revealed that enzyme stability progressively decreased as hydroxylation activity increased. For example, the temperature at which the wild-type enzyme was inactivated by

50% ( $T_{50}$ ) was ~55 °C, but this was reduced to only 43 °C for the evolved enzyme after the third round of evolution (35E11). This comprised stability – due to accumulation of activity-enhancing mutations – appeared to explain the inability to further evolve P450 hydroxylation activity against short-chain alkanes.

To address the problem of poor stability of the P450 enzyme mutant (35E11), the Arnold lab performed a round of mutagenesis and selection aimed at increasing enzyme stability without significantly reducing enzyme activity (Figure 2).<sup>19,20,53</sup> Notably, they incorporated mutations previously shown to stabilize a related P450 enzyme that they found also significantly stabilized the monooxygenase (increase of  $T_{50}$  of >5 °C), and this resulted in only modest decreases in enzyme activity. At this point, it was not obvious that the stabilized enzyme could be further evolved for additional increases in activity without simply being limited again by poor stability. However, the Arnold lab used their incremental approach of directed evolution to eventually achieve a P450 that hydroxylates propane with a catalytic efficiency similar to the efficiencies of natural P450s against their preferred substrates. Notably, the stability of the highly evolved propane monooxygenase variant (PMO) was reduced almost to the point of the variant that could not be further evolved for increased activity (35E11), suggesting that even greater improvements in enzyme activity would likely require additional stabilizing mutations.

What do we learn from these exciting studies? First, very large changes in enzyme function can be achieved gradually by requiring only modest activity improvements in any single round of directed evolution. Given that directed evolution studies commonly fail to meet all of their objectives, it is likely that such failures are due (at least in part) to using screening methods that require too large of an activity improvement in any given round of directed evolution. Second, these studies suggest that trade-offs between enzyme activity and stability are the norm, not the exception. The extensive mutagenesis of the P450 enzymes required to achieve large improvements in activity against short-chain alkanes necessarily resulted in reduced stability, and this trend was observed even after the P450 enzymes were stabilized at an intermediate step in the evolutionary process (Figure 2C).<sup>19,20,53</sup> These

and related findings in the Arnold lab<sup>60-64</sup> have greatly advanced the field of directed evolution and provided valuable approaches for overcoming inherent trade-offs between enzyme activity and stability.

Another important application of functional (non-cell survival) screens is the evolution of enzymes with high stability at elevated temperatures that are required for diverse biotechnology applications. For cases in which extremely high stability is required, it can be challenging to identify stabilizing mutations for enzymes that are already moderately to highly stable. One powerful approach to address this challenge is to first introduce destabilizing mutations into enzymes at the beginning of the directed evolution process to reduce stability in order to simplify the identification of stabilizing mutations at other sites. It is hypothesized that introducing newly-discovered stabilizing mutations into the more stable wild-type enzyme will yield mutant enzymes with extremely high stability.

This approach has been reported for evolving  $\alpha$ -amylase to be extremely stable at high temperatures.<sup>65</sup> This is important because  $\alpha$ -amylase is a key enzyme in the breakdown of starch, an important industrial process that is performed at high temperatures (*e.g.*, 90 °C). The investigators initially introduced a pair of destabilizing mutations into the wild-type enzyme and then mutagenized the destabilized variant to identify compensatory mutations that restored stability. They identified a single mutation (A209V) that largely restored stability and, by introducing this and related mutations<sup>66</sup> into the wild-type enzyme, the resulting  $\alpha$ -amylase variant displayed a 10-fold increase in its half-life at 90 °C.<sup>65</sup> These and related studies<sup>67-69</sup> demonstrate the power of functional screens to identify rare mutations that result in extremely high enzyme stability in addition to high activity.

It is also important to consider that the utility of enzymes is not only linked to their folding stability, but also to their solubility. One particularly compelling study<sup>70</sup> evaluated the impact of nearly every single mutation on enzyme expression properties – namely enzyme expression on the surface of yeast cells and enzyme secretion via a bacterial folding pathway specific for soluble and folded proteins – that serve as surrogates for protein

solubility.<sup>71-75</sup> The investigators screened mutant libraries of a  $\beta$ -lactamase enzyme to identify mutations that promote high expression levels and high expected solubilities.<sup>70</sup> Deep sequencing of the enzyme libraries before and after selection enabled the evaluation of the impact of nearly every mutation on solubility-like properties. By comparing these vast data sets with previous data sets of the impact of nearly every single mutation on enzyme fitness (which is correlated with activity),<sup>76</sup> they found that a significant fraction (~40%) of mutations that increase solubility are determinantal to activity. This striking observation could be explained in part by the proximity of the solubilizing mutations to the enzyme active site (mutations close to the active site are more likely to be detrimental to activity), contact number (mutations at sites with more contacts are more likely to be detrimental to activity) and evolutionary conservation (mutations at more conserved sites are more likely to be detrimental).<sup>70</sup> Moreover, they also found that mutations beneficial for both activity and solubility are extremely rare (~0.05-0.15%), which appears to explain previous observations of trade-offs between these and related properties such as stability.<sup>22,31</sup> This and related deep sequencing studies<sup>77,78</sup> are enabling unprecedented analysis of trade-offs between enzyme activity, stability and solubility, which is improving the systematic and reliable generation of enzymes with optimized properties.

#### **Directed evolution of antibody affinity and stability**

The active sites of antibodies, like enzymes, are commonly engineered to increase their function, and trade-offs between activity and stability are also commonplace for antibodies.<sup>79-81</sup> In some ways, directed evolution of antibodies is simpler than for enzymes because it is easier to screen for antibody function (binding to target antigens) than it is to screen for enzyme activity because the catalytic product does not remain stably bound to the enzyme. This significant difference means that it is only necessary to maintain the linkage between protein function and DNA sequence for antibody screens, which eliminates the need for spatial compartmentalization of each protein variant. The linkage between antibody function and DNA sequence can be readily accomplished in

the laboratory using methods such as phage, bacterial, and yeast surface display.<sup>82-84</sup> This results in the ability to screen large antibody libraries ( $10^6$ - $10^{10}$ ) that are only limited by DNA transformation efficiency of the host cells.

However, in other ways it is more challenging to evolve antibody function (relative to enzyme function) and stability using directed evolution methods. First, identification of antibodies that bind to the desired target antigen is often complicated by co-selection of antibodies with non-specific binding activity (*e.g.*, off-target binding). Second, it is challenging to screen for both antibody activity and stability at the same time because most cells (*e.g.*, bacteria), viral particles (*e.g.*, phage), and antigens used for evolving antibodies are not stable at high temperature and/or other harsh conditions required for evolving antibody stability. These and other challenges have motivated researchers to develop innovative approaches for selecting and engineering antibody variants to minimize trade-offs between antibody activity and stability.

One example of activity/stability trade-offs for antibodies has been reported for single-domain antibodies specific for the Alzheimer's A $\beta$  peptide.<sup>79,80</sup> The investigators generated a mutant library ( $>10^6$  variants) based on a stable, human V<sub>H</sub> domain and screened for mutants with increased affinity for the A $\beta$  peptide using yeast surface display and fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS).<sup>79</sup> The best variant isolated in the first round of mutation and selection was further mutagenized and screened for additional increases in affinity. This process of mutation and selection was performed four times. Evaluation of the best clone identified at the end of each round revealed several notable findings. First, the stabilities of the antibody domains were progressively reduced as the number of mutations increased, and the highly evolved variants were greatly destabilized and unfolded when produced as soluble antibody domains. Second, significant reductions in antibody stability were observed even after the first round of mutagenesis, as the apparent melting temperature was reduced from 75 °C for wild type to 57 °C for the best variant isolated after the first round. Third, there was a strong pressure for selection of destabilizing mutations even when mutations were targeted to the complementarity-determining regions (CDRs) to avoid non-CDR sites

that were known to be important for stability. For example, antibody domains are typically stabilized by one highly conserved, intramolecular disulfide bond, and mutations that eliminate this covalent bond are expected to be highly destabilizing. Surprisingly, even in cases in which the investigators targeted mutations to the CDRs in order to avoid mutations that disrupt the stabilizing disulfide bond, they still obtained variants with cysteine knock-out mutations due to low frequency mutations (introduced due to PCR errors) that were strongly favored for antigen binding.

To overcome this problem, the investigators sought to repeat the screening process by co-selecting for both antibody affinity and stability at the same time to minimize trade-offs between these two properties.<sup>79</sup> While a variety of methods for evolving affinity and stability had been reported, there were no previous methods for simultaneously selecting for both antibody affinity and stability during directed evolution studies. Given that it is not possible to perform antibody selections directly at high temperature due to antigen instability and poor yeast viability, the investigators evaluated the use of conformational probes that are specific for stably-folded antibody domains and which could be monitored during FACS to identify antibody variants with both high affinity and stability. Notably, the antibody domains – which belong to a particular human antibody subclass (V<sub>H</sub>3) – are recognized by Protein A via a conformational epitope on their frameworks.<sup>85,86</sup> Although the Protein A binding site is close to the antigen binding site, the investigators reasoned that both antigen and Protein A binding could be evaluated at the same time without interfering with each other.<sup>79</sup> Thus, the investigators repeated four rounds of directed evolution by selecting for both antigen binding and stability (as judged by Protein A binding), and identified progressively evolved variants with significant increases in affinity while maintaining high stability. The variants, which are highlighted in Figure 3, had increasing numbers of mutations after each round of evolution, including four mutations after round 1 (P1 variant), seven after round 2 (P2 variant), nine after round 3 (P3 variant) and twelve after round 4 (P4 variant).

To understand how the evolved antibody domains minimized trade-offs between affinity and stability, the investigators performed reversion mutational analysis to understand how each mutation contributed to both properties (Figure 3B and 3C).<sup>80</sup> Mutations that improve antibody affinity or stability are expected to result in reductions in either property when reverted to wild type. The directed evolution process resulted in selection of several mutations that improved affinity (as evidenced by reduced equilibrium association constants when reverted to wild type), such as R62, N72 and R50 (Figure 3B), that were detrimental to stability (as evidenced by increased melting temperatures when reverted to wild type; Figure 3C). Such destabilizing mutations are expected to compromise stability significantly and prevent evolution of stable and high-affinity antibody domains, as the investigators had observed when performing selections for antibody affinity without selecting for stability.<sup>79</sup> However, the mutational analysis revealed that two key stabilizing mutations were co-selected, namely K45 and K98, and these mutations appear to compensate for the destabilizing effects of several affinity-enhancing mutations.<sup>80</sup> These results demonstrate that affinity-enhancing mutations can be destabilizing, and minimizing trade-offs between antibody affinity and stability requires selection of compensatory mutations to maintain thermodynamic stability.

It is also notable that these observations related to affinity/stability trade-offs are not specific to antibodies but have also been observed for other affinity (non-immunoglobulin) proteins that have been engineered using directed evolution methods. For example, a non-immunoglobulin protein scaffold (DARPin) was subjected to multiple rounds of mutation and selection for high-affinity binding to a cancer-associated antigen (Her2).<sup>87</sup> The investigators screened their DARPins libraries using phage display, which requires antigen immobilization and selection for only one property at a time (*e.g.*, affinity) and generally does not permit simultaneous co-selection for both affinity and stability. This appears to explain the fact that the progressively evolved variants with increased affinity resulted in significant reductions in stability. Interestingly, the most highly evolved variant with high affinity ( $K_D$  of 0.4 nM) had a much lower stability (melting temperature of 57 °C) relative to wild type

(melting temperature of 86 °C). Mutational analysis revealed that the highest affinity DARPin had accumulated several affinity-enhancing mutations that were strongly destabilizing and, despite its modest stability, also accumulated multiple mutations that primarily contributed to maintaining thermodynamic stability. This and other findings<sup>88-90</sup> highlight that directed evolution of antibodies and related affinity proteins commonly involves activity/stability trade-offs, and selection of compensatory mutations that maintain thermodynamic stability is key to the success of these efforts.

### **Design methods for improving directed evolution of protein activity and stability**

Although directed evolution methods have been modified with great success for the identification of stabilized enzymes and antibodies, rational design methods hold significant potential to improve the likelihood of success for directed evolution experiments. Indeed, a significant fraction (~30-50%)<sup>91-93</sup> of individual substitutions in proteins are destabilizing, and many gain-of-function mutations have destabilizing effects on proteins.<sup>17,22,27,29,31</sup>

A common paradigm of protein library design methods is to identify “consensus” (ancestral) protein sequences or stable structural elements that can be sampled during mutagenesis. In this section, we highlight examples of such design methodologies and describe instances where these approaches have been successful for engineering highly active proteins while minimizing activity/stability trade-offs.

### **Computational methods for identifying activity-enhancing and stabilizing mutations in enzymes**

Consensus-based evolutionary strategies rely on sequence-alignment of homologous proteins in order to identify residues where the sequence of the protein of interest has deviated from the ancestral protein sequence. The consensus design paradigm reasons that common, naturally-occurring residues at a given position in related proteins are more likely to be active and/or stabilizing than a random mutation because they are frequently found in functional homologs and are likely present in the ancestral protein. For example, sampling a contiguous stretch of residues from homologs within flexible regions of L-arginase, an enzyme with applications in cancer



treatment,<sup>94</sup> was recently shown to greatly stabilize and increase enzyme activity.<sup>95</sup> This interesting study – which demonstrates that both activity and stability can be simultaneously increased if mutations are judiciously selected – involved optimizing L-arginase through exchanging homologous sequences in a flexible loop adjacent to the enzyme active site. This resulted in a doubling of the specific activity of the enzyme, and the authors observed that enzyme activity appeared inversely related to flexibility of this loop. Additional improvements in activity (>3-fold) and half-life at 65 °C (>7-fold) were achieved via additional mutagenesis within the exchanged loop. These findings demonstrate the power of using limited sequence diversity informed by related, functional proteins during directed evolution.

Another important example of rational design methods informed by protein structure is related to the B-Factor Iterative Test (B-FIT), which identifies flexible sites in a protein crystal structure based on B-Factors (a measure of the flexibility of a protein at a given residue). It is expected that these flexible sites can be rigidified to increase protein stability,<sup>96</sup> although notable exceptions have been reported.<sup>97,98</sup> Indeed, the stability (half-inactivation temperature) of an enzyme (lipase) was improved by >40 °C through iterative saturation mutagenesis at residues identified based on B-Factors,<sup>96</sup> and subsequent characterization of the enzyme mutants also revealed much higher tolerance to three organic solvents (acetonitrile, DMSO, and DMF).<sup>99</sup> The broader utility of using B-Factors to inform mutagenic regions during stability engineering has been demonstrated through increasing the melting temperature of an esterase by 9 °C with little change to its activity.<sup>100</sup>

The importance of rationally designing enzyme libraries with amino acid diversity in specific regions has also been recently demonstrated in a variety of examples that involve optimization of substrate access tunnels of enzyme active sites to improve activity and stability.<sup>101-109</sup> Several computational tools have been generated for identifying and engineering substrate access tunnels for diverse applications in enzyme engineering, including altering substrate selectivity (see references within a recent review<sup>110</sup>). A notable example of improving the

stability of enzymes through access tunnel engineering involved increasing the organic-solvent tolerance of a haloalkane dehalogenase (DhaA).<sup>101</sup> High concentrations of DMSO reduce the activity of the enzyme by approximately an order of magnitude, but one stabilized mutant identified after mutagenesis and selection contained a mutation within the access tunnel that was entirely responsible for its improved tolerance to the organic solvent. The mechanism of structural stabilization in DMSO appears to be due to improved packing of the hydrophobic core, which prevents DMSO access to the folded protein core.<sup>101</sup> Moreover, the apparent melting temperature of the enzyme mutant was improved by 19 °C following saturation mutagenesis at another position within its access tunnel. Interestingly, to demonstrate the generality of stabilization via access tunnel engineering, the authors also used the FoldX<sup>111</sup> computational algorithm to assess the stability changes for all possible point-mutations in a panel of 26 different enzymes and found that mutations predicted to be highly stabilizing were preferentially located within the access tunnels.<sup>101</sup> Access tunnel engineering has now also been used for improving the stability of a lipase in methanol,<sup>103</sup> demonstrating the utility of this approach.

Although *de novo* computational protein design is still in its infancy, the field holds promise for the generation of enzymes catalyzing novel chemical transformations. Many successfully designed enzymes are minimally active on the desired substrate, but low levels of catalytic activity can be a valuable starting point for significant gains of function obtained via directed evolution.<sup>19,20,112</sup> Until recently, no natural enzymes had been identified that efficiently catalyze the Kemp eliminase reaction, which is an important high-energy barrier model reaction involving proton transfer from carbon. However, several Kemp eliminases (*i.e.*, enzymes that catalyze this reaction) with low levels of activity have been computationally designed.<sup>113</sup> While the activities of two of the designed enzymes could be subsequently improved (~200-400-fold) via directed evolution,<sup>114,115</sup> the engineered enzymes failed to meet the design goals. Furthermore, the most active computationally-designed Kemp eliminase (KE59) was not amenable to evolutionary optimization due to its low stability. By sampling consensus mutations

that were predicted to be stabilizing, the catalytic activity of the enzyme (KE59) was improved ~2000-fold via directed evolution.<sup>116</sup>

Additional computational tools have been created that are informed by consensus-based sequence information. For instance, the PRotein One Stop Shop (PROSS) algorithm was developed for stabilization and high-level expression of proteins with solved molecular structures.<sup>117</sup> PROSS analyzes homologous protein sequences and locates mutations that are most frequently observed at each position within the protein family. Importantly, the algorithm can be instructed to avoid active-site residues or other positions known to be important for function. Using the molecular structure of the protein, Rosetta simulations then identify individual mutations that are predicted to stabilize the protein structure. Next, protein mutants containing combinations of these mutations are then designed using Rosetta combinatorial sequence optimization to identify variants with improved native-state energy. Such an approach was employed during directed evolution to convert bacterial phosphotriesterases into efficient nerve agent bioscavengers with high activity against both VX and Russian VX.<sup>118</sup> Prior efforts to engineer these phosphotriesterases had reached an optimization plateau short of the catalytic design goals. The PROSS design tool was used to guide library design for stabilization of the phosphotriesterases, and this enabled additional productive rounds of directed evolution and incorporation of previously-identified beneficial mutations that were not well tolerated when introduced into the less stable phosphotriesterases.<sup>118</sup> PROSS has also been used to stabilize the malaria invasion protein PfrH5, a promising malaria vaccine candidate, without disrupting its immunogenicity or ligand-binding.<sup>119</sup> This stabilized version of the protein could enhance its utility when deployed in challenging climates that are common to malaria infections.

Consensus-based protein sequence analysis is also helpful for identifying stabilizing, global suppressor mutations. However, primary sequence alignments do not typically consider the disruption of secondary and tertiary structures that can arise from mutating sites in proteins to consensus residues. Therefore, many efforts

have been made to create novel chimeric proteins, wherein domains from different proteins are exchanged or recombined to improve or gain new functions. However, determining the optimal locations for such recombination events is challenging. A significant development for addressing this problem – namely a structure-based algorithm (referred to as SCHEMA) – was developed in Frances Arnold’s laboratory. This approach is based on calculating disruptions of residue-residue contacts upon exchanging one domain (or schema) of a protein with the corresponding domain from another homologous protein.<sup>120</sup> For example, random chimeric libraries analyzed *in silico* (containing 8-domain chimeras assembled from three related P450 enzymes) revealed that 14 recombination sites were dominant in libraries predicted to contain a high fraction of properly-folded chimeras.<sup>121</sup> A diverse library designed to use seven of these 14 crossover sites was constructed and used to identify an enzyme variant whose melting temperature was increased by 7 °C relative to any of the parent enzymes. Notably, the SCHEMA-guided design can improve the fraction of properly folded enzyme variants in libraries by more than three orders of magnitude compared to traditional enzyme libraries containing similar amounts of sequence diversity.<sup>51</sup>

#### **Computational methods for identifying affinity-enhancing and stabilizing mutations in antibodies**

Computational antibody engineering is an attractive complementary method that can be used in conjunction with traditional directed evolution methods to enable *in silico* screening of much larger numbers of mutants than would be possible experimentally. We highlight in this review selected examples in which computational methods have been used successfully for optimizing antibody properties, and additional references can be found in a more comprehensive review.<sup>122</sup> Computational methods have been primarily used to improve either antibody activity (affinity and specificity) or stability. Many such approaches rely on calculating energy differences between a given antibody mutant of interest relative to wild type, which are typically performed using software programs such as Rosetta,<sup>123</sup> CHARMM<sup>124</sup> and others.<sup>125,126</sup>

Several research groups have demonstrated that these computational approaches can be successfully used for antibody affinity maturation or stabilization.<sup>127-139</sup> For example, one study utilizing multiple antibodies demonstrated that calculations of electrostatic interactions gave better predictions of affinity improvements relative to the full energy function, which includes contributions from non-electrostatic interactions.<sup>127</sup> Similar results have been reported by several other groups, where mutations that improved antibody/antigen electrostatic interactions resulted in dramatic improvements in affinity.<sup>128-130</sup> For improving antibody stability, a relatively simple approach is to graft the CDRs with desired antigen specificity onto a known stable antibody framework that is predicted to be compatible with the CDRs.<sup>133,139</sup> However, unexpected incompatibilities between the CDRs and the desired framework can alter loop conformations and thereby reduce affinity.<sup>140,141</sup> Another promising method is to use consensus design to incorporate mutations into the destabilized antibody that are commonly observed in stable antibodies.<sup>134</sup> Finally, the introduction of non-native inter- and intra-domain disulfide bonds into antibody variable regions has been shown to significantly improve stability,<sup>137,138</sup> albeit at the expense of increasing the complexity of the molecule.

Nevertheless, given that affinity-enhancing mutations have an increased risk of being destabilizing and stabilizing mutations may compromise affinity (depending on how they influence the active site), it remains challenging to predict the interplay between affinity-enhancing and stabilizing mutations. Therefore, it is important to integrate computational approaches into the directed evolution process that are capable of predicting either affinity-enhancing or stabilizing mutations in order to minimize the trade-offs between the two properties.

A strong example of combining computational design methods and experimental (directed evolution) methods was reported for optimizing the affinity and stability of an antibody specific for a bacterial phage protein (Figure 4).<sup>142</sup> The investigators first stabilized a single-chain antibody by grafting the CDRs onto a stable IgG framework. While the resulting antibody was more stable ( $T_m$  increased from 67 to 69 °C), its affinity was reduced

approximately threefold. Nevertheless, the researchers further optimized antibody stability by engineering the interface between the variable regions [variable heavy ( $V_H$ ) and variable light ( $V_L$ )] by mutating multiple residues of the stable framework (identified using previously described methods<sup>140</sup>) back to those in the parental antibody. The stabilization of the  $V_H/V_L$  interface increased the melting temperature by  $>8$  °C and increased the affinity back to levels similar to wild type. The stability was also increased further by computationally designing an additional intramolecular disulfide bond in the  $V_H$  domain.<sup>137,138</sup> RosettaDesign<sup>123</sup> software predicted that the disulfide bond would stabilize the antibody, which was also verified experimentally.<sup>142</sup> Interestingly, this additional disulfide bond not only increased antibody stability ( $T_m$  to 84 °C), but also improved its affinity. These and additional stabilization steps, including consensus design and computational design, resulted in an extremely stable antibody ( $T_m$  of 90.3 °C) with improved affinity [equilibrium dissociation constant ( $K_D$ ) of 2.5 nM].

Finally, it was unclear if the highly stable antibody could be further affinity matured while retaining its extremely high stability (Figure 4).<sup>142</sup> Therefore, the investigators performed directed evolution to identify affinity-enhancing mutations in the CDRs using *in vitro* somatic hypermutation and mammalian cell display,<sup>142,143</sup> and identified several CDR mutations that (when combined) led to a  $>27$ -fold improvement in affinity. Notably, the resulting antibody maintained its stability (90.1 °C for the affinity-matured variant relative to 90.3 °C for the parental antibody). This and related studies<sup>144,145</sup> demonstrate how integrating computational and experimental approaches can enable the optimization of both antibody affinity and stability while minimizing trade-offs between these properties.

## Conclusions

The success of directed evolution for generating highly active proteins requires methods for addressing and minimizing activity/stability trade-offs. The studies reviewed here – including pioneering studies from Dr. Arnold's lab – demonstrate that activity-enhancing mutations are commonly destabilizing, and compensatory

Author Manuscript

mutations are required to maintain thermodynamic stability. Given the unimaginably large chemical diversity that is possible for all combinations of mutations within a single protein, an important future direction will be to further improve library design methods to focus amino acid diversity in protein libraries on the small fraction of sequence space that is likely to yield both highly active and stable proteins. This ambitious goal – which is starting to be realized<sup>70,77,78</sup> – will require improvements in the prediction of both activity-enhancing and stabilizing mutations, and assessment of the complex interplay between these two properties. Combining such novel library design approaches with emerging screening methods that enable unprecedented throughput are expected to lead to successful generation of proteins with levels and types of activities that have previously been unattainable to protein engineers. Such advances are critically important for solving many of the most pressing challenges related to energy, the environment, and human health.

## Acknowledgments

We thank members of the Tessier lab for their helpful suggestions. This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health [R01GM104130 and RF1AG059723 to P.M.T.], National Science Foundation [CBET 1159943, 1605266 and 1813963 to P.M.T. and Graduate Research Fellowship to M.D.S. (DGE 1256260)], and the Albert M. Mattocks Chair (to P.M.T).

## References

1. Koeller KM, Wong CH. Enzymes for chemical synthesis. *Nature*. 2001;409(6817):232-240.
2. Luetz S, Giver L, Lalonde J. Engineered enzymes for chemical production. *Biotechnol Bioeng*. 2008;101(4):647-653.
3. Houde A, Kademi A, Leblanc D. Lipases and their industrial applications. *Appl Biochem Biotechnol*. 2004;118(1):155-170.

4. Savile CK, Janey JM, Mundorff EC, et al. Biocatalytic asymmetric synthesis of chiral amines from ketones applied to sitagliptin manufacture. *Science*. 2010;329(5989):305-309.
5. Singh S, Kumar NK, Dwiwedi P, et al. Monoclonal Antibodies: A Review. *Curr Clin Pharmacol*. 2018;13(2):85-99.
6. Yari M, Ghoshoon MB, Vakili B, Ghasemi Y. Therapeutic Enzymes: Applications and Approaches to Pharmacological Improvement. *Curr Pharm Biotechnol*. 2017;18(7):531-540.
7. Vellard M. The enzyme as drug: application of enzymes as pharmaceuticals. *Curr Opin Biotechnol*. 2003;14(4):444-450.
8. Klibanov AM. Why are enzymes less active in organic solvents than in water? *Trends Biotechnol*. 1997;15(3):97-101.
9. Littlechild JA. Enzymes from Extreme Environments and Their Industrial Applications. *Frontiers in bioengineering and biotechnology*. 2015;3:161-161.
10. Renata H, Wang ZJ, Arnold FH. Expanding the enzyme universe: accessing non-natural reactions by mechanism-guided directed evolution. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl*. 2015;54(11):3351-3367.
11. Coelho PS, Wang ZJ, Ener ME, et al. A serine-substituted P450 catalyzes highly efficient carbene transfer to olefins in vivo. *Nat Chem Biol*. 2013;9(8):485-487.
12. Wohlgemuth R. Biocatalysis--key to sustainable industrial chemistry. *Curr Opin Biotechnol*. 2010;21(6):713-724.



13. Cherry JR, Fidantsef AL. Directed evolution of industrial enzymes: an update. *Curr Opin Biotechnol.* 2003;14(4):438-443.
14. Zhao H, Chockalingam K, Chen Z. Directed evolution of enzymes and pathways for industrial biocatalysis. *Curr Opin Biotechnol.* 2002;13(2):104-110.
15. Magliery TJ. Protein stability: computation, sequence statistics, and new experimental methods. *Curr Opin Struct Biol.* 2015;33:161-168.
16. Romero PA, Arnold FH. Exploring protein fitness landscapes by directed evolution. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2009;10(12):866-876.
17. Studer RA, Christin PA, Williams MA, Orengo CA. Stability-activity tradeoffs constrain the adaptive evolution of RubisCO. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2014;111(6):2223-2228.
18. Tokuriki N, Tawfik DS. Stability effects of mutations and protein evolvability. *Curr Opin Struct Biol.* 2009;19(5):596-604.
19. Fasan R, Chen MM, Crook NC, Arnold FH. Engineered alkane-hydroxylating cytochrome P450(BM3) exhibiting natively catalytic properties. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl.* 2007;46(44):8414-8418.
20. Fasan R, Meharena YT, Snow CD, Poulos TL, Arnold FH. Evolutionary history of a specialized p450 propane monooxygenase. *J Mol Biol.* 2008;383(5):1069-1080.
21. Socha RD, Tokuriki N. Modulating protein stability - directed evolution strategies for improved protein function. *FEBS J.* 2013;280(22):5582-5595.

22. Tokuriki N, Stricher F, Serrano L, Tawfik DS. How protein stability and new functions trade off. *PLoS Comput Biol*. 2008;4(2):e1000002.
23. Fonze E, Charlier P, Toth Y, et al. TEM1 beta-lactamase structure solved by molecular replacement and refined structure of the S235A mutant. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr*. 1995;51(Pt 5):682-694.
24. Majiduddin FK, Materon IC, Palzkill TG. Molecular analysis of beta-lactamase structure and function. *Int J Med Microbiol*. 2002;292(2):127-137.
25. Naas T, Oueslati S, Bonnin RA, et al. Beta-lactamase database (BLDB) - structure and function. *J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem*. 2017;32(1):917-919.
26. Meiering EM, Serrano L, Fersht AR. Effect of active site residues in barnase on activity and stability. *J Mol Biol*. 1992;225(3):585-589.
27. Beadle BM, Shoichet BK. Structural bases of stability-function tradeoffs in enzymes. *J Mol Biol*. 2002;321(2):285-296.
28. Schreiber G, Buckle AM, Fersht AR. Stability and function: two constraints in the evolution of barstar and other proteins. *Structure*. 1994;2(10):945-951.
29. Nagatani RA, Gonzalez A, Shoichet BK, Brinen LS, Babbitt PC. Stability for Function Trade-Offs in the Enolase Superfamily "Catalytic Module". *Biochemistry*. 2007;46(23):6688-6695.
30. Shoichet BK, Baase WA, Kuroki R, Matthews BW. A relationship between protein stability and protein function. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 1995;92(2):452-456.

31. Wang X, Minasov G, Shoichet BK. Evolution of an antibiotic resistance enzyme constrained by stability and activity trade-offs. *J Mol Biol.* 2002;320(1):85-95.
32. Liao H, McKenzie T, Hageman R. Isolation of a thermostable enzyme variant by cloning and selection in a thermophile. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1986;83(3):576-580.
33. Matsumura M, Aiba S. Screening for thermostable mutant of kanamycin nucleotidyltransferase by the use of a transformation system for a thermophile, *Bacillus stearothermophilus*. *J Biol Chem.* 1985;260(28):15298-15303.
34. Lipscomb GL, Conway JM, Blumer-Schuetz SE, Kelly RM, Adams MWW. A Highly Thermostable Kanamycin Resistance Marker Expands the Tool Kit for Genetic Manipulation of *Caldicellulosiruptor bescii*. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2016;82(14):4421-4428.
35. Akanuma S, Yamagishi A, Tanaka N, Oshima T. Serial increase in the thermal stability of 3-isopropylmalate dehydrogenase from *Bacillus subtilis* by experimental evolution. *Protein Sci.* 1998;7(3):698-705.
36. Akanuma S, Yamagishi A, Tanaka N, Oshima T. Further improvement of the thermal stability of a partially stabilized *Bacillus subtilis* 3-isopropylmalate dehydrogenase variant by random and site-directed mutagenesis. *Eur J Biochem.* 1999;260(2):499-504.
37. Hendrix JD, Welker NE. Isolation of a *Bacillus stearothermophilus* mutant exhibiting increased thermostability in its restriction endonuclease. *J Bacteriol.* 1985;162(2):682-692.
38. Gershenson A, Arnold FH. Enzyme stabilization by directed evolution. *Genet Eng (N Y).* 2000;22:55-76.

39. Packer MS, Liu DR. Methods for the directed evolution of proteins. *Nat Rev Genet.* 2015;16(7):379-394.
40. Longwell CK, Labanieh L, Cochran JR. High-throughput screening technologies for enzyme engineering. *Curr Opin Biotechnol.* 2017;48:196-202.
41. Bunzel HA, Garrabou X, Pott M, Hilvert D. Speeding up enzyme discovery and engineering with ultrahigh-throughput methods. *Curr Opin Struct Biol.* 2018;48:149-156.
42. Xiao H, Bao Z, Zhao H. High Throughput Screening and Selection Methods for Directed Enzyme Evolution. *Ind Eng Chem Res.* 2015;54(16):4011-4020.
43. Rendic S, Di Carlo FJ. Human cytochrome P450 enzymes: a status report summarizing their reactions, substrates, inducers, and inhibitors. *Drug Metab Rev.* 1997;29(1-2):413-580.
44. Coon MJ, Ding XX, Pernecky SJ, Vaz AD. Cytochrome P450: progress and predictions. *FASEB J.* 1992;6(2):669-673.
45. Werck-Reichhart D, Hehn A, Didierjean L. Cytochromes P450 for engineering herbicide tolerance. *Trends Plant Sci.* 2000;5(3):116-123.
46. Urlacher VB, Girhard M. Cytochrome P450 monooxygenases: an update on perspectives for synthetic application. *Trends Biotechnol.* 2012;30(1):26-36.
47. Joo H, Lin Z, Arnold FH. Laboratory evolution of peroxide-mediated cytochrome P450 hydroxylation. *Nature.* 1999;399(6737):670-673.
48. Cirino PC, Arnold FH. A self-sufficient peroxide-driven hydroxylation biocatalyst. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl.* 2003;42(28):3299-3301.

49. McIntosh JA, Farwell CC, Arnold FH. Expanding P450 catalytic reaction space through evolution and engineering. *Curr Opin Chem Biol.* 2014;19:126-134.
50. Meinhold P, Peters MW, Chen MM, Takahashi K, Arnold FH. Direct conversion of ethane to ethanol by engineered cytochrome P450 BM3. *Chembiochem.* 2005;6(10):1765-1768.
51. Otey CR, Bandara G, Lalonde J, Takahashi K, Arnold FH. Preparation of human metabolites of propranolol using laboratory-evolved bacterial cytochromes P450. *Biotechnol Bioeng.* 2006;93(3):494-499.
52. Glieder A, Farinas ET, Arnold FH. Laboratory evolution of a soluble, self-sufficient, highly active alkane hydroxylase. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2002;20(11):1135-1139.
53. Bloom JD, Arnold FH. In the light of directed evolution: pathways of adaptive protein evolution. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2009;106 Suppl 1:9995-10000.
54. Labinger JA, Bercaw JE. Understanding and exploiting C-H bond activation. *Nature.* 2002;417(6888):507-514.
55. Borovik AS. Role of metal-oxo complexes in the cleavage of C-H bonds. *Chem Soc Rev.* 2011;40(4):1870-1874.
56. van Beilen JB, Funhoff EG. Alkane hydroxylases involved in microbial alkane degradation. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol.* 2007;74(1):13-21.
57. Das N, Chandran P. Microbial degradation of petroleum hydrocarbon contaminants: an overview. *Biotechnol Res Int.* 2011;2011:941810.

58. Cho I, Prier CK, Jia ZJ, Zhang RK, Gorbe T, Arnold FH. Enantioselective Aminohydroxylation of Styrenyl Olefins Catalyzed by an Engineered Hemoprotein. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl.* 2019;58(10):3138-3142.
59. Romney DK, Murciano-Calles J, Wehrmuller JE, Arnold FH. Unlocking Reactivity of TrpB: A General Biocatalytic Platform for Synthesis of Tryptophan Analogues. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2017;139(31):10769-10776.
60. Salazar O, Cirino PC, Arnold FH. Thermostabilization of a cytochrome p450 peroxygenase. *Chembiochem.* 2003;4(9):891-893.
61. Giver L, Gershenson A, Freskgard PO, Arnold FH. Directed evolution of a thermostable esterase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1998;95(22):12809-12813.
62. Moore JC, Arnold FH. Directed evolution of a para-nitrobenzyl esterase for aqueous-organic solvents. *Nat Biotechnol.* 1996;14(4):458-467.
63. Chen KQ, Robinson AC, Van Dam ME, Martinez P, Economou C, Arnold FH. Enzyme engineering for nonaqueous solvents. II. Additive effects of mutations on the stability and activity of subtilisin E in polar organic media. *Biotechnol Prog.* 1991;7(2):125-129.
64. Chen KQ, Arnold FH. Enzyme engineering for nonaqueous solvents: random mutagenesis to enhance activity of subtilisin E in polar organic media. *Biotechnology (N Y).* 1991;9(11):1073-1077.
65. Joyet P, Declerck N, Gaillardin C. Hyperthermostable variants of a highly thermostable alpha-amylase. *Biotechnology (N Y).* 1992;10(12):1579-1583.

66. Declerck N, Joyet P, Gaillardin C, Masson JM. Use of Amber Suppressors to Investigate the Thermostability of Bacillus-Licheniformis Alpha-Amylase - Amino-Acid Replacements at 6-Histidine Residues Reveal a Critical Position at His-133. *J Biol Chem.* 1990;265(26):15481-15488.
67. Das G, Hickey DR, McLendon D, McLendon G, Sherman F. Dramatic thermostabilization of yeast iso-1-cytochrome c by an asparagine---isoleucine replacement at position 57. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.* 1989;86(2):496-499.
68. Shortle D, Lin B. Genetic analysis of staphylococcal nuclease: identification of three intragenic "global" suppressors of nuclease-minus mutations. *Genetics.* 1985;110(4):539-555.
69. Pakula AA, Sauer RT. Amino acid substitutions that increase the thermal stability of the  $\lambda$  Cro protein. *Proteins: Structure, Function, and Bioinformatics.* 1989;5(3):202-210.
70. Klesmith JR, Bacik JP, Wrenbeck EE, Michalczyk R, Whitehead TA. Trade-offs between enzyme fitness and solubility illuminated by deep mutational scanning. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2017;114(9):2265-2270.
71. Shusta EV, Kieke MC, Parke E, Kranz DM, Wittrup KD. Yeast polypeptide fusion surface display levels predict thermal stability and soluble secretion efficiency. *J Mol Biol.* 1999;292(5):949-956.
72. Traxlmayr MW, Obinger C. Directed evolution of proteins for increased stability and expression using yeast display. *Arch Biochem Biophys.* 2012;526(2):174-180.
73. Orr BA, Carr LM, Wittrup KD, Roy EJ, Kranz DM. Rapid method for measuring ScFv thermal stability by yeast surface display. *Biotechnol Prog.* 2003;19(2):631-638.

74. DeLisa MP, Tullman D, Georgiou G. Folding quality control in the export of proteins by the bacterial twin-arginine translocation pathway. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2003;100(10):6115-6120.
75. Fisher AC, Kim W, DeLisa MP. Genetic selection for protein solubility enabled by the folding quality control feature of the twin-arginine translocation pathway. *Protein Sci*. 2006;15(3):449-458.
76. Firnberg E, Labonte JW, Gray JJ, Ostermeier M. A comprehensive, high-resolution map of a gene's fitness landscape. *Mol Biol Evol*. 2014;31(6):1581-1592.
77. Wrenbeck EE, Bedewitz MA, Klesmith JR, Noshin S, Barry CS, Whitehead TA. An Automated Data-Driven Pipeline for Improving Heterologous Enzyme Expression. *ACS Synth Biol*. 2019;8(3):474-481.
78. Ritter SC, Hackel BJ. Validation and Stabilization of a Prophage Lysin of *Clostridium perfringens* by Using Yeast Surface Display and Coevolutionary Models. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 2019;85(10).
79. Julian MC, Lee CC, Tiller KE, et al. Co-evolution of affinity and stability of grafted amyloid-motif domain antibodies. *Protein Eng Des Sel*. 2015;28(10):339-350.
80. Julian MC, Li L, Garde S, Wilen R, Tessier PM. Efficient affinity maturation of antibody variable domains requires co-selection of compensatory mutations to maintain thermodynamic stability. *Sci Rep*. 2017;7:45259.
81. Rabia LA, Desai AA, Jhajj HS, Tessier PM. Understanding and overcoming trade-offs between antibody affinity, specificity, stability and solubility. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*. 2018;137:365-374.
82. McCafferty J, Griffiths AD, Winter G, Chiswell DJ. Phage antibodies: filamentous phage displaying antibody variable domains. *Nature*. 1990;348(6301):552-554.



83. Boder ET, Wittrup KD. Yeast surface display for screening combinatorial polypeptide libraries. *Nat Biotechnol.* 1997;15(6):553-557.
84. Francisco JA, Campbell R, Iverson BL, Georgiou G. Production and fluorescence-activated cell sorting of *Escherichia coli* expressing a functional antibody fragment on the external surface. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1993;90(22):10444-10448.
85. Bond CJ, Marsters JC, Sidhu SS. Contributions of CDR3 to V H H domain stability and the design of monobody scaffolds for naive antibody libraries. *J Mol Biol.* 2003;332(3):643-655.
86. Chang HJ, Jian JW, Hsu HJ, et al. Loop-sequence features and stability determinants in antibody variable domains by high-throughput experiments. *Structure.* 2014;22(1):9-21.
87. Houlihan G, Gatti-Lafranconi P, Lowe D, Hollfelder F. Directed evolution of anti-HER2 DARPs by SNAP display reveals stability/function trade-offs in the selection process. *Protein Eng Des Sel.* 2015;28(9):269-279.
88. Hackel BJ, Kapila A, Wittrup KD. Picomolar affinity fibronectin domains engineered utilizing loop length diversity, recursive mutagenesis, and loop shuffling. *J Mol Biol.* 2008;381(5):1238-1252.
89. Karanicolas J, Corn JE, Chen I, et al. A de novo protein binding pair by computational design and directed evolution. *Mol Cell.* 2011;42(2):250-260.
90. Foit L, Morgan GJ, Kern MJ, et al. Optimizing protein stability in vivo. *Mol Cell.* 2009;36(5):861-871.
91. Shafikhani S, Siegel RA, Ferrari E, Schellenberger V. Generation of large libraries of random mutants in *Bacillus subtilis* by PCR-based plasmid multimerization. *Biotechniques.* 1997;23(2):304-310.

92. Guo HH, Choe J, Loeb LA. Protein tolerance to random amino acid change. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2004;101(25):9205-9210.
93. Drummond DA, Silberg JJ, Meyer MM, Wilke CO, Arnold FH. On the conservative nature of intragenic recombination. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2005;102(15):5380-5385.
94. Lopes AM, Oliveira-Nascimento L, Ribeiro A, et al. Therapeutic l-asparaginase: upstream, downstream and beyond. *Crit Rev Biotechnol*. 2017;37(1):82-99.
95. Feng Y, Liu S, Pang CP, Gao H, Wang M, Du GC. Improvement of catalytic efficiency and thermal stability of L-asparaginase from *Bacillus subtilis* 168 through reducing the flexibility of the highly flexible loop at N-terminus. *Process Biochemistry*. 2019;78:42-49.
96. Reetz MT, Carballeira JD, Vogel A. Iterative saturation mutagenesis on the basis of B factors as a strategy for increasing protein thermostability. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl*. 2006;45(46):7745-7751.
97. Karshikoff A, Nilsson L, Ladenstein R. Rigidity versus flexibility: the dilemma of understanding protein thermal stability. *FEBS J*. 2015;282(20):3899-3917.
98. Liu Z, Lemmonds S, Huang J, Tyagi M, Hong L, Jain N. Entropic contribution to enhanced thermal stability in the thermostable P450 CYP119. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2018;115(43):E10049-E10058.
99. Reetz MT, Soni P, Fernandez L, Gumulya Y, Carballeira JD. Increasing the stability of an enzyme toward hostile organic solvents by directed evolution based on iterative saturation mutagenesis using the B-FIT method. *Chem Commun (Camb)*. 2010;46(45):8657-8658.

100. Jochens H, Aerts D, Bornscheuer UT. Thermostabilization of an esterase by alignment-guided focussed directed evolution. *Protein Eng Des Sel*. 2010;23(12):903-909.
101. Koudelakova T, Chaloupkova R, Brezovsky J, et al. Engineering enzyme stability and resistance to an organic cosolvent by modification of residues in the access tunnel. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl*. 2013;52(7):1959-1963.
102. Liskova V, Bednar D, Prudnikova T, et al. Balancing the Stability–Activity Trade-Off by Fine-Tuning Dehalogenase Access Tunnels. *ChemCatChem*. 2015;7(4):648-659.
103. Gihaz S, Kanteev M, Pazy Y, Fishman A. Filling the Void: Introducing Aromatic Interactions into Solvent Tunnels To Enhance Lipase Stability in Methanol. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 2018;84(23).
104. van Beilen JB, Smits THM, Roos FF, et al. Identification of an Amino Acid Position That Determines the Substrate Range of Integral Membrane Alkane Hydroxylases. *J Bacteriol*. 2005;187(1):85-91.
105. Bao L, Li JJ, Jia C, Li M, Lu X. Structure-oriented substrate specificity engineering of aldehyde-deformylating oxygenase towards aldehydes carbon chain length. *Biotechnol Biofuels*. 2016;9(1):185.
106. Brezovsky J, Babkova P, Degtjarik O, et al. Engineering a de Novo Transport Tunnel. *ACS Catalysis*. 2016;6(11):7597-7610.
107. Kong XD, Yuan S, Li L, Chen S, Xu JH, Zhou J. Engineering of an epoxide hydrolase for efficient bioresolution of bulky pharmaco substrates. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2014;111(44):15717-15722.
108. Pavlova M, Klvana M, Prokop Z, et al. Redesigning dehalogenase access tunnels as a strategy for degrading an anthropogenic substrate. *Nat Chem Biol*. 2009;5:727.

109. Jung E, Park BG, Yoo HW, Kim J, Choi KY, Kim BG. Semi-rational engineering of CYP153A35 to enhance omega-hydroxylation activity toward palmitic acid. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol*. 2018;102(1):269-277.
110. Kokkonen P, Bednar D, Pinto G, Prokop Z, Damborsky J. Engineering enzyme access tunnels. *Biotechnology Advances*. 2019.
111. Guerois R, Nielsen JE, Serrano L. Predicting changes in the stability of proteins and protein complexes: A study of more than 1000 mutations. *J Mol Biol*. 2002;320(2):369-387.
112. Cho I, Jia ZJ, Arnold FH. Site-selective enzymatic C-H amidation for synthesis of diverse lactams. *Science*. 2019;364(6440):575-+.
113. Rothlisberger D, Khersonsky O, Wollacott AM, et al. Kemp elimination catalysts by computational enzyme design. *Nature*. 2008;453(7192):190-195.
114. Khersonsky O, Rothlisberger D, Dym O, et al. Evolutionary optimization of computationally designed enzymes: Kemp eliminases of the KE07 series. *J Mol Biol*. 2010;396(4):1025-1042.
115. Khersonsky O, Rothlisberger D, Wollacott AM, et al. Optimization of the in-silico-designed kemp eliminase KE70 by computational design and directed evolution. *J Mol Biol*. 2011;407(3):391-412.
116. Khersonsky O, Kiss G, Röthlisberger D, et al. Bridging the gaps in design methodologies by evolutionary optimization of the stability and proficiency of designed Kemp eliminase KE59. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2012;109(26):10358-10363.

117. Goldenzweig A, Goldsmith M, Hill SE, et al. Automated Structure- and Sequence-Based Design of Proteins for High Bacterial Expression and Stability. *Mol Cell*. 2016;63(2):337-346.
118. Goldsmith M, Aggarwal N, Ashani Y, et al. Overcoming an optimization plateau in the directed evolution of highly efficient nerve agent bioscavengers. *Protein Eng Des Sel*. 2017;30(4):333-345.
119. Campeotto I, Goldenzweig A, Davey J, et al. One-step design of a stable variant of the malaria invasion protein RH5 for use as a vaccine immunogen. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2017;114(5):998-1002.
120. Voigt CA, Martinez C, Wang Z-G, Mayo SL, Arnold FH. Protein building blocks preserved by recombination. *Nat Struct Biol*. 2002;9:553.
121. Otey CR, Landwehr M, Endelman JB, Hiraga K, Bloom JD, Arnold FH. Structure-guided recombination creates an artificial family of cytochromes P450. *PLoS Biol*. 2006;4(5):e112.
122. Kuroda D, Shirai H, Jacobson MP, Nakamura H. Computer-aided antibody design. *Protein Eng Des Sel*. 2012;25(10):507-521.
123. Das R, Baker D. Macromolecular modeling with rosetta. *Annu Rev Biochem*. 2008;77:363-382.
124. MacKerell AD, Bashford D, Bellott M, et al. All-atom empirical potential for molecular modeling and dynamics studies of proteins. *J Phys Chem B*. 1998;102(18):3586-3616.
125. Eswar N, Webb B, Marti-Renom MA, et al. Comparative protein structure modeling using Modeller. *Current protocols in bioinformatics*. 2006;Chapter 5:Unit-5.6.
126. Li L, Li C, Sarkar S, et al. DelPhi: a comprehensive suite for DelPhi software and associated resources. *BMC Biophys*. 2012;5:9.

127. Lippow SM, Wittrup KD, Tidor B. Computational design of antibody-affinity improvement beyond in vivo maturation. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2007;25(10):1171-1176.
128. Clark LA, Boriack-Sjodin PA, Eldredge J, et al. Affinity enhancement of an in vivo matured therapeutic antibody using structure-based computational design. *Protein Sci.* 2006;15(5):949-960.
129. Kiyoshi M, Caaveiro JM, Miura E, et al. Affinity improvement of a therapeutic antibody by structure-based computational design: generation of electrostatic interactions in the transition state stabilizes the antibody-antigen complex. *PLoS One.* 2014;9(1):e87099.
130. Chong LT, Duan Y, Wang L, Massova I, Kollman PA. Molecular dynamics and free-energy calculations applied to affinity maturation in antibody 48G7. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1999;96(25):14330-14335.
131. Adolf-Bryfogle J, Kalyuzhnyi O, Kubitz M, et al. RosettaAntibodyDesign (RABD): A general framework for computational antibody design. *PLoS Comput Biol.* 2018;14(4):e1006112.
132. Barderas R, Desmet J, Timmerman P, Meloen R, Casal JI. Affinity maturation of antibodies assisted by in silico modeling. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2008;105(26):9029-9034.
133. Worn A, Pluckthun A. Stability engineering of antibody single-chain Fv fragments. *J Mol Biol.* 2001;305(5):989-1010.
134. Ewert S, Honegger A, Pluckthun A. Structure-based improvement of the biophysical properties of immunoglobulin VH domains with a generalizable approach. *Biochemistry.* 2003;42(6):1517-1528.
135. Steipe B, Schiller B, Pluckthun A, Steinbacher S. Sequence statistics reliably predict stabilizing mutations in a protein domain. *J Mol Biol.* 1994;240(3):188-192.

136. Chowdhury PS, Vasmatzis G, Beers R, Lee B, Pastan I. Improved stability and yield of a Fv-toxin fusion protein by computer design and protein engineering of the Fv. *J Mol Biol.* 1998;281(5):917-928.
137. Saerens D, Conrath K, Govaert J, Muyldermans S. Disulfide bond introduction for general stabilization of immunoglobulin heavy-chain variable domains. *J Mol Biol.* 2008;377(2):478-488.
138. Reiter Y, Brinkmann U, Webber KO, Jung SH, Lee B, Pastan I. Engineering interchain disulfide bonds into conserved framework regions of Fv fragments: improved biochemical characteristics of recombinant immunotoxins containing disulfide-stabilized Fv. *Protein Eng.* 1994;7(5):697-704.
139. Krauss J, Arndt MA, Martin AC, Liu H, Rybak SM. Specificity grafting of human antibody frameworks selected from a phage display library: generation of a highly stable humanized anti-CD22 single-chain Fv fragment. *Protein Eng.* 2003;16(10):753-759.
140. Ewert S, Honegger A, Pluckthun A. Stability improvement of antibodies for extracellular and intracellular applications: CDR grafting to stable frameworks and structure-based framework engineering. *Methods.* 2004;34(2):184-199.
141. Apgar JR, Mader M, Agostinelli R, et al. Beyond CDR-grafting: Structure-guided humanization of framework and CDR regions of an anti-myostatin antibody. *MAbs.* 2016;8(7):1302-1318.
142. McConnell AD, Spasojevič V, Macomber JL, et al. An integrated approach to extreme thermostabilization and affinity maturation of an antibody. *Protein Eng Des Sel.* 2013;26(2):151-164.
143. Bowers PM, Horlick RA, Neben TY, et al. Coupling mammalian cell surface display with somatic hypermutation for the discovery and maturation of human antibodies. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2011;108(51):20455-20460.

144. Luo J, Obmolova G, Huang A, et al. Coevolution of antibody stability and V $\kappa$  CDR-L3 canonical structure. *J Mol Biol.* 2010;402(4):708-719.
145. Jacobs SA, Diem MD, Luo J, et al. Design of novel FN3 domains with high stability by a consensus sequence approach. *Protein Eng Des Sel.* 2012;25(3):107-117.

## Figure Captions

**Figure 1.** Directed evolution of proteins such as enzymes and antibodies to achieve increased or new activities often results in reduced stability, and low protein stability is a common barrier to protein evolvability. To achieve significant gains in protein activity, it is typically necessary to also select compensatory (stabilizing) mutations that enable the accumulation of activity-enhancing, destabilizing mutations while maintaining protein thermodynamic stability.

**Figure 2.** Directed evolution of enzymes reveals trade-offs between activity and stability. (A) Directed evolution experiments are composed of several steps, beginning with mutagenesis of a parent gene and then screening for the desired protein function (*e.g.*, catalytic activity against a desired substrate). Mutants with improved performance are identified and their DNA sequences are used for subsequent rounds of mutagenesis and protein optimization. (B, C) A cytochrome P450 enzyme was engineered for a novel function, namely hydroxylation of a short-chain alkane (propane), and its (B) catalytic activity towards propane (total turnover number) and (C) temperature of half-inactivation are reported. After three rounds of evolution, an optimization plateau was reached as a result of the low stability of the 35E11 mutant enzyme. This plateau was overcome by introducing several stabilizing mutations (leading to the stabilized ETS8 variant), which enabled subsequent rounds of directed evolution to further improve enzyme activity. The data were obtained from previous publications from the Arnold lab,<sup>19,20</sup> and the figure was adapted from a previous one.<sup>53</sup>



**Figure 3.** Antibody directed evolution reveals trade-offs between affinity and stability. (A) Structural model of an evolved human antibody ( $V_H$ ) domain, which is referred to as P4. This antibody domain was identified following four rounds of mutagenesis and co-selection for affinity (binding to the Alzheimer's  $A\beta_{42}$  peptide) and stability [binding to a conformational probe (Protein A) that is linked to stability]. (B, C) Reversion mutational analysis reveals how each mutation selected in rounds 1-4 of mutagenesis and selection contribute to antibody (B) affinity and (C) stability. Antibody domain P1 contains 4 mutations, P2 contains 7 mutations (including the same ones as P1), P3 contains 9 mutations (including the same ones as P1 and P2) and P4 contains 12 mutations (including the same ones as P1, P2, and P3). Each mutation was reverted to wild type, and its impact on affinity and stability was evaluated. Mutations that increase affinity or stability are expected to reduce the corresponding property when reverted to wild type, and vice versa for mutations that reduce affinity or stability. In (B), the equilibrium association constant ( $K_A$ ) is reported, and increased values of  $K_A$  reflect increased affinity. In (C), the apparent melting temperature ( $T_m^*$ ) is reported, and increased values of  $T_m^*$  reflect increased stability. Statistical significance [ $p$ -values  $< 0.05$  (\*) or  $0.01$  (\*\*)] was judged by a two-tailed Student's t-test. The data were obtained from a previous publication,<sup>80</sup> and the figure was adapted from figures in the same previous publication.

**Figure 4.** Combined computational design and directed evolution for optimizing antibody affinity and stability. The evolutionary path of a single-chain variable fragment (scFv, specific for the MS2 phage protein) to a stabilized, affinity-matured full-length (IgG) antibody is illustrated by tracking its progression through the affinity/stability landscape. (1) The initial scFv used for CDR grafting was only modestly stable. (2) Grafting the CDRs of the scFv onto a full-length antibody improved stability, but decreased affinity. (3) Computational optimization of the interface between the variable ( $V_H$  and  $V_L$ ) domains resulted in improved stability and affinity. (4) Incorporation of a computationally-designed intramolecular disulfide bond into the variable heavy ( $V_H$ )

domain further increased both stability and affinity. (5) Additional mutations predicted based on consensus and computational design further increased stability without compromising affinity. (6) Introduction of a designed intramolecular disulfide bond in the second constant region of the heavy chain (C<sub>H</sub>2) modestly improved both antibody stability and affinity. (7) Finally, the CDRs were affinity matured in the context of a suboptimal antibody and then grafted onto the stabilized framework to yield an optimized antibody with both high stability (melting temperature >90 °C) and high affinity (equilibrium dissociation constant of <1 nM). The data were obtained from a previous publication,<sup>142</sup> and the figure was adapted from one in the same previous publication.