

Prenatal attachment, parental confidence and mental health in expecting parents: The role of childhood trauma

Nicolas Berthelot, PhD, Roxanne Lemieux, PhD, Julia Garon-Bissonnette, BA, Maria Muzik, MD

Corresponding author

Nicolas Berthelot, Ph.D.

Université du Québec à Trois-Rivières, Department of Nursing sciences
3351 Boulevard des Forges, Trois-Rivières, Québec, Canada.

G9A 5H7

Nicolas.berthelot@uqtr.ca

Nicolas Berthelot, PhD, Department of Nursing Sciences, Université du Québec à Trois-Rivières in Trois-Rivières, Quebec, Canada.

Roxanne Lemieux, PhD, Department of Nursing Sciences, Université du Québec à Trois-Rivières in Trois-Rivières, Quebec, Canada.

Julia Garon-Bissonnette, BA, Department of Psychology, Université du Québec à Trois-Rivières, Trois-Rivières, Québec, Canada.

Maria Muzik, MD, Department of Psychiatry, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan

Conflict of Interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Acknowledgement: This work was supported by the Fonds de recherche du Québec Société et Culture [grant number 2018-NP-204630]. The authors wish to thank the CIUSSS de la Mauricie-et-du-Centre-du-Québec who made this study possible and Sylvie Moisan, Vanessa Bergeron and Mathilde Loïselles from STEP project for their contribution to the research.

Word count: 4193 words

Precis: Pregnant women exposed to childhood maltreatment are at increased risk of experiencing psychological symptoms, which is associated with poor antenatal attachment and perception of competence.

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Exposure to childhood abuse or neglect may lead to negative outcomes during pregnancy in expecting parents, which may contribute to a negative experience of childbearing and have consequences for the developing fetus. This study examined the associations between exposure to childhood abuse or neglect, psychological symptoms,

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as [doi: 10.1111/jmwh.13034](#).

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

prenatal attachment and perception of parental competence in pregnant women and men expecting a child.

Methods: A total of 322 participants (78% women) at low sociodemographic risk, including 91 adults with a history of childhood abuse or neglect, were recruited in community perinatal care settings and completed self-report assessment measures of depression, PTSD, dissociation, personality disorders, perception of parental competence and prenatal attachment.

Results: Participants who were exposed to childhood abuse or neglect reported significantly higher levels of symptoms on all indices of mental health than non-exposed adults, even when controlling for sociodemographic risks. However, both groups reported similar levels of prenatal attachment and parental confidence. The impact of childhood maltreatment was similar in men and women. Structural equation modelling showed that childhood abuse or neglect leads to poor mental health and that poor mental health, but not childhood maltreatment, is associated with low parental confidence and prenatal attachment.

Discussion: Psychological symptoms are frequent in men expecting a child and pregnant women who experienced maltreatment during their childhood. However, childhood abuse or neglect is not associated with their attitude regarding parenthood and the child in the absence of psychopathology. Supporting mental health may be an important target of parental programs offered during pregnancy to women and men with a history of childhood abuse or neglect to promote resilience.

Keywords: childhood trauma; abuse; pregnancy; perinatal; fathers; attachment

QUICK POINTS:

- This study shows that exposure to high doses of adversity during childhood, in the form of abuse or neglect, is a significant risk factor for mental health in men expecting a child and pregnant women.
- This study provides new information on potential risk factors for antenatal attachment to the fetus and parental sense of competence and shows that poor mental health, but not trauma, negatively affects parental attitudes.
- Perinatal care providers have the opportunity to offer or provide access to trauma-informed mental health services that would support women and men with personal histories of trauma and that would contribute to the interruption of intergenerational risk trajectories.

INTRODUCTION

Childbearing and the first year of the infant's life may represent particularly challenging periods for adults with personal histories of childhood abuse or neglect, since the adaptations required may trigger or intensify latent or apparent vulnerabilities. For instance, parents exposed to childhood maltreatment seem more likely than non-exposed parents to report posttraumatic stress symptoms,^{1, 2} mother-infant bonding impairment,^{3, 4} and later parenting problems.⁵ Early in their development, offspring of parents exposed to childhood maltreatment are correspondingly more likely to present poor outcomes such as insecure (mainly disorganized) working models of attachment⁶ as well as emotional and behavioral problems.⁷ In addition, offspring of parents exposed to childhood maltreatment are 3-times more at risk than children of non-exposed parents to be maltreated themselves.⁸ Therefore, there is a critical need for timely detection of subgroups of parents exposed to childhood

maltreatment who are more likely to transmit risk trajectories in order to provide effective preventive interventions.

Childhood Maltreatment and Psychological Symptoms during Pregnancy

Most studies on mental health in pregnant women who experienced childhood maltreatment focused on depression and reported a robust association between child abuse or neglect and depressive symptoms.⁹ Depression in adults exposed to maltreatment may be even more frequent during pregnancy than after the birth.¹⁰ Despite the fact that antenatal depression is more prevalent in women than in men, a significant proportion of men expecting a child who have a history of childhood maltreatment report depressive symptoms.¹¹ Antenatal depression is suggested to be a critical factor in the intergenerational transmission of maltreatment.¹²

Pregnancy may as well be a particularly sensitive period for the emergence or exacerbation of posttraumatic stress symptoms (PTSD), considering that PTSD is more prevalent in perinatal (7.9%) than in general samples (3.1%) of women.¹³ Pregnant women exposed to childhood maltreatment are more likely to report trauma-related symptoms, such as dissociation and PTSD,¹⁴ or comorbid PTSD and depression,² than non-exposed women. PTSD symptoms would play a key role in the intergenerational transmission of risk trajectories, as they would negatively affect mother-infant bonding in the early postpartum period.⁴ There is very limited literature on the association between childhood maltreatment and PTSD in men awaiting a child.

To our knowledge, the literature on the association between childhood maltreatment and the clinical features of personality disorders during pregnancy is sparse. However, childhood maltreatment has been extensively linked to personality disorders in adulthood.¹⁵ Moreover, stressful events and transitions, such as becoming a parent, could increase emotionality and heighten preexisting personality traits.¹⁶ Pregnancy may thus be a

particularly sensitive window for the expression of pathological personality traits in adults with personal histories of abuse or neglect.

Childhood Maltreatment and Parental Attitude during Pregnancy

Childhood maltreatment is associated with insecure (mainly unresolved) attachment representations in pregnant women,¹⁷ and with insecure (mainly disorganized) infant attachment.⁶ However, little is known regarding the emotional attachment towards the developing fetus, which has been labelled antenatal attachment.¹⁸ Antenatal attachment was shown to predict postnatal maternal involvement, and was thus suggested as being useful in the early detection of women for whom the mother–child relationship is likely to be suboptimal.¹⁹ To our knowledge, only two studies assessed prenatal attachment in expectant women exposed to childhood maltreatment. They observed that pregnant women who experienced interpersonal trauma during childhood or adulthood²⁰ or who witnessed intimate partner violence²¹ reported lower antenatal attachment than those with no history of trauma. However, the number of participants exposed to trauma in these studies was very small (n = 23 and n = 11, respectively). Recent studies also suggest that poor mental health is associated with lower prenatal attachment.²²

Research on parenting behaviors in mothers exposed to childhood maltreatment is inconsistent. While some studies identified poorer functioning across multiple domains of parenting,²³ others showed that childhood maltreatment, in the absence of postpartum psychopathology, did not convey parenting risk.²⁴ This suggests that parenting behaviors have to be considered concurrently with psychopathology in trauma research. While parenting behaviors cannot be assessed during pregnancy, perception of competency (ie, a global impression of having the skills required to adequately manage infant's care and understand his needs) can be approached. Little is known, however, about parental confidence during pregnancy in men and women exposed to childhood maltreatment, and

available results suggest no association between perception of competence and history of childhood maltreatment, when assessed in low-stress conditions in pregnant women.²⁵ Postnatal data were mainly obtained in samples of sexually abused women and yield inconsistent results. Congruently with studies on parenting behaviors, a recent study showed that the association between childhood maltreatment and postnatal parenting confidence was moderated by women's well-being.²⁶

The current literature on the psychological characteristics of adults exposed to childhood maltreatment and who are expecting a child has several limitations. First, there is a definite gap in our knowledge about how pregnant women, and particularly fathers-to-be, perceive themselves as parents and how they get emotionally involved during pregnancy. Second, past research on perception of competence²⁵ and prenatal attachment²⁰ in adults exposed to trauma did not consider psychopathology. This appears essential since poor parenting outcomes in this population may depend on psychological distress.^{24, 26} Third, many studies evaluated the association between a specific type of trauma (mainly sexual abuse) and a specific type of symptom (mainly depression), which offers a partial portrait of the impact of childhood maltreatment on psychological well-being during pregnancy. Fourth, we have limited evidence about the association between childhood maltreatment and dissociative symptoms or personality disorders during pregnancy, while these mental health problems are very likely to interfere with postpartum parenting.²⁷ Finally, few studies used samples of expecting parents from the general population and most available evidence rely on clinical or high-risk samples.^{14, 28}

In lights of the current gaps in our knowledge about the psychological dispositions of expectant parents exposed to childhood maltreatment, this research addresses three aims. First, we will evaluate whether men and women who experienced child abuse or neglect report, during pregnancy, significantly higher levels of depressive, dissociative and

posttraumatic symptoms, as well as manifestations of personality disorders, compared to adults without childhood maltreatment. Second, we will assess whether adults exposed to childhood maltreatment report significantly lower levels of parental competence and of prenatal attachment than parents-to-be without childhood maltreatment. As our third aim, we will evaluate a theoretical model in which childhood maltreatment leads to poor mental health, which in turn predicts low prenatal attachment and poor perception of parental confidence. To adequately address the current gaps, we purposely chose a non-clinical sample of both female and male parents-to-be, assessed across a range of trauma exposures and psychopathologies, and propose novel interactive statistics to assess the associations between concepts.

METHODS

Participants and Procedure

Adults were recruited between September 2015 and September 2018 during prenatal classes offered to the general population by a large university hospital. Potential participants first completed, on site, a short set of questionnaires, including sociodemographic information and the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire (CTQ; see below). Adults interested in participating in the research left their contact information and were contacted by the research team in the third trimester of pregnancy. Women and men who agreed to participate received, by mail or electronically, a set of self-report questionnaires assessing childhood interpersonal traumas, mental health, parental confidence and prenatal attachment. Inclusion criteria were being 17 years old or older and not suffering from a severe psychiatric disorder (eg, psychosis). The study received ethical approval from the Centre intégré universitaire de santé et de services sociaux de la Mauricie et du Centre-du-Québec and the Université du Québec à Trois-Rivières.

Measures

Childhood Trauma Questionnaire. Childhood maltreatment was assessed using the French version²⁹ of the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire (CTQ-28)³⁰. The 28-item self-reported measure examines five types of childhood maltreatment: physical, psychological and sexual abuse as well as physical and psychological neglect. Responses to each item are rated on a 5-point Likert scale, ranging from 0 (never true) to 5 (always true). Higher scores reflect more severe exposure to childhood maltreatment. Cut-offs were validated for each subscale.³¹ The CTQ-28 shows a good validity across diverse clinical and general populations.³⁰ The Cronbach's alpha for the CTQ in this study was of .83. Classification of participants in the two groups (childhood maltreatment vs no maltreatment) was obtained by consensus among two independent clinical psychologists with an expertise on trauma using all available information, including the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire and a 34-item screening of interpersonal traumas.³²

Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale. Prenatal depressive symptoms were assessed using the French version of the *Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale* (EPDS),³³ a 10-item self-reported measure using a variable 4-point Likert scale. First designed to assess postnatal depression, the EPDS has shown good reliability and validity when used to measure prenatal depressive symptoms.³⁴ The convergent validity and the internal consistency of the original version and of the French version of the EPDS are satisfactory.^{34,35} The Cronbach's alpha for the EPDS in this study was of .85.

Personality Diagnostic Questionnaire. Personality disorders were assessed using the French version³⁶ of the *Personality Diagnostic Questionnaire for DSM-IV Revised* (PDQ-4+).³⁷ The PDQ-4+ consists of 99 true or false items. It has eleven subscales but validation studies recommend the use of the total score for screening clinical manifestations of

personality disorders. The instrument has a good convergent validity with the SCID-II.³⁸ The Cronbach's alpha for the PDQ in this study was of .92.

Dissociative Experiences Scale. The validated French version³⁹ of the *Dissociative Experiences Scale* (DES),⁴⁰ a 28-item self-reported questionnaire, was used to assess dissociative symptoms. Items are evaluated on an 11-point rating scale (from 0% to 100%) where participants indicate the extent to which each statement reflects their experience. The total score is obtained by calculating the average; a higher score indicates more severe dissociation. The instrument has good construct validity and reliability^{39, 40}. The Cronbach's alpha for the DES in this study was of .90.

PTSD Checklist for DSM-5. Symptoms of PTSD were assessed using the validated French version⁴¹ of the *PTSD Checklist for DSM-5* (PCL-5).⁴² This 20-item self-reported questionnaire is based on the PTSD diagnostic criteria of the DSM-5. Responses are rated on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 0 (*not at all*) to 4 (*always*). Both the French and the English versions have good reliability (internal consistency, temporal stability, test-retest) and convergent validity.^{41, 42} The Cronbach's alpha for the PCL-5 in this study was of .93.

Maternal Confidence Questionnaire. Parental confidence was assessed using the French version of the *Maternal Confidence Questionnaire*.⁴³ The MCQ is a 14-item self-reported questionnaire that can be administered to both men and women. Responses are rated on a 5-point frequency Likert scale, from 1 (*never*) to 5 (*always*). A higher score reflects a higher degree of perceived confidence regarding parental aptitudes. A literature review revealed good construct validity and internal consistencies in over twenty studies (mean $\alpha = .89$).⁴⁴ The Cronbach's alpha for the MCQ in this study was of .74.

Maternal and Paternal Antenatal Attachment Scales. To assess prenatal attachment, the maternal and paternal versions of the *Antenatal Attachment Scales* (MAAS, PAAS)¹⁸ were used. The MAAS and the PAAS are designed to assess attachment with the unborn

child and commitment to the pregnancy. Higher scores reflect stronger attachment and greater commitment. The maternal version of the self-reported questionnaire includes 19 items while the paternal version has 16 items, evaluated on a variable 5-point Likert scale. Both versions (maternal and paternal) have good psychometric properties.¹⁸ The Cronbach's alpha for the MAAS in this study was of .68.

Data Analysis Strategies

Data were assessed by a correlation matrix between all variables, as well as gender comparisons (t-test). Next, analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) evaluated whether adults exposed to childhood maltreatment reported significantly higher levels of depressive, dissociative and posttraumatic symptoms, as well as clinical features of personality disorders, than adults without childhood maltreatment (aim 1). Similarly, ANCOVA was used to examine whether adults who experienced childhood maltreatment reported significantly lower levels of parental competence and of antenatal attachment than parents-to-be without childhood maltreatment (aim 2). To control for potentially confounding variables, a socio-demographic risk index was created on a scale of 0 to 4 by adding binary scores (absent versus present) on four recognized risk factors: not having a high-school diploma, being under the low-income cut-off for a family with one child, being a parent younger than 20 years old and having been involved with the criminal justice system. Adults exposed to childhood maltreatment (*mean* [SD] 0.66 [0.85]) had a significantly higher score on the socio-demographic risk index than non-exposed participants (*mean* [SD] 0.29 [0.55]), $t'(115.15) = -3.76, P < .001$. It was thus included as a covariate in the ANCOVAs. Structural equation modelling (SEM) was used to examine the adequacy of a theoretical model in which childhood maltreatment leads to poor mental health which in turn predicts low prenatal attachment and poor parental confidence (aim 3). The latent variable *mental health* was estimated through the four measures of psychological symptoms. SEM was performed with

the IBM SPSS AMOS module version 24.0 (IBM-SPSS, Armonk, NY), using maximum likelihood parameter estimates. Adequacy of model fit was assessed through several indices: a nonstatistically significant chi-square value, a comparative fit index (CFI) value of .90 or higher, a normed-fit index (NFI) value of .95 or higher, and a root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA) value below .08 with a RMSEA 90% confidence interval ranging from 0 to .08. A ratio of chi-square to degrees of freedom (χ^2/df) was also used because chi-square tests are sensitive to sample size. Satisfactory fit is observed when values are less than 5 and considered ideal when the value is around 3. The SEM was next performed with women only to rule out the possibility that the results are inflated by the inclusion of partners in the analyses. In complement to the SEM, multiple regressions with the four measures of psychopathology as predictors and prenatal attachment or parental confidence as criterion were performed with men and women separately to evaluate the relative contribution of different types of symptoms.

RESULTS

Of the 1355 potential participants approached, 765 left their contact information and 322 completed all the measures. Seventy-two adults declined participation, 49 had been contacted too near the expected delivery date, 139 could not be reached, 4 were not an adult expecting a child and 179 did not complete the entire set of questionnaires. Participants were no different from those who did not participate in terms of exposure to childhood maltreatment, $\chi^2(1) = 1.52, p = .70$.

Demographic characteristics of the 251 women and 71 men who completed all measures are presented in Table 1. Overall, this community sample is predominantly white, in a common-law relationship, highly educated and financially well resourced, and should be considered low-risk.

Ninety-one participants (28.3%) reported having been exposed to at least one type of maltreatment. Women (29.9%) and men (22.5%) were similarly exposed to trauma [$\chi^2(1, N = 322) = 1.47, P = .23$].

Women and men did not differ in terms of severity of childhood maltreatment, dissociation, personality disorders and parental confidence (data not shown). However, women reported significantly higher levels of depressive symptoms ($M [SD] = 8.75 [4.92]$) than men ($M [SD] = 7.23 [4.17]$), $t(310) = 2.34, P = .02$, as well as posttraumatic symptoms (women: $M [SD] = 10.56 [11.23]$; men: $M [SD] = 7.47 [10.18]$) $t(314) = 2.07, P = .04$.

A correlation matrix is presented in Table 2. Results show that the severity of childhood maltreatment was positively correlated with the severity of depressive, PTSD and dissociative symptoms as well as with the severity of the clinical features of personality disorders. With the exception of dissociation, which was not significantly correlated with physical and sexual abuse, significant associations between childhood maltreatment and symptomatology were observed for all types of trauma. No significant correlation was observed between the severity of childhood maltreatment, antenatal attachment and perception of parental competence with the exception of a small size association between maternal antenatal attachment, emotional abuse and emotional neglect.

As shown in Table 3, even when controlling for sociodemographic risk, adults exposed to childhood maltreatment presented more psychological symptoms than non-exposed participants on all measures. No significant difference in parental confidence and prenatal attachment was observed between groups.

The SEM is presented in Figure 1. Results revealed a good fit for the data: $\chi^2(13, N = 322) = 26.80, p = .01$, Ratio $\chi^2/df = 2.06$, CFI = 0.98, NFI = .96 and RMSEA = .06 with 90% CI [.03, .09]. Significant relationships were observed between childhood maltreatment and mental health. Mental health was in turn associated with antenatal attachment and parental

confidence. Antenatal attachment also contributed to parental confidence. Antenatal attachment was associated with poor mental health (Figure 1), but not with a specific type of symptoms (Table 4). Poor parental confidence was specifically associated with depressive symptoms in women and with PTSD in men.

DISCUSSION

The aim of the study was to examine whether exposure to childhood maltreatment leads to negative outcomes in terms of mental health, antenatal attachment and perception of parental confidence during pregnancy in men expecting a child and pregnant women. Results first showed that participants who experienced childhood maltreatment were more likely to report mental health problems during pregnancy than non-exposed participants. This association stood for all types of childhood maltreatment, and was particularly strong for emotional abuse. The higher levels of depression, posttraumatic and dissociative symptoms observed in adults with personal histories of childhood maltreatment is congruent with previous results in high-risk and community samples of women.^{3, 14, 28} Interestingly, in our sample, the type of symptoms showing the strongest association with childhood maltreatment was the severity of personality disorders. This finding adds to the currently lacking literature on this mental health outcome and is important, considering that personality disorders are associated with high-risk behaviors (eg, functional impairments, interpersonal violence, suicidal behaviors, substance use) likely to compromise the expecting parent's health and the development of the fetus.⁴⁵ Parents suffering from a personality disorder, especially borderline personality disorder, commonly report parenting difficulties and their children are more likely than children of healthy parents to present a range of behavioral risk factors as well as poor mental health.²⁷ In addition, while personality is considered to be relatively stable over time, important life transitions, such as having a child, could exacerbate the

clinical features of personality disorders,¹⁶ particularly considering that awaiting a child activates attachment representations at the core of personality disorders.

This study adds to the very limited literature on men expecting a child exposed to childhood maltreatment. Overall, similar results were observed for men and women. While women were more likely to report depression and PTSD during pregnancy, men were as likely to report symptoms of dissociation and clinical features of personality disorders, suggesting that psychological distress is also frequent in men expecting a child. The association between childhood maltreatment and psychological symptoms stood for both genders, with the exception of depression that was only associated with maternal traumas. While the theoretical model showed that poor mental health was associated with lower parental confidence in the whole sample, we observed that parental confidence was particularly associated with PTSD in men and with depression in women. This is intriguing and calls for further research. Some interventions exist for women with personal histories of childhood maltreatment, but a recent literature review confirmed that there is currently no perinatal intervention that considered the needs of fathers with histories of childhood maltreatment.⁴⁶ This is particularly surprising knowing that childhood maltreatment affects both men and women, that fathers play a crucial and distinctive role in child development, and that prevention of family violence requires programs reaching both women and men.⁴⁷

Contrary to our expectations, there was no direct association between prenatal attachment and childhood maltreatment. This is intriguing considering previous evidence showing that pregnant women exposed to childhood maltreatment are very likely to have insecure attachment representations¹⁷ and to develop an insecure attachment relationship with their child.⁶ Similar lack of association was observed for parental confidence. However, we found that psychopathology was a significant predictor of antenatal attachment and parental confidence. Our findings are in line with previous findings that childhood maltreatment, in

the absence of psychopathology, did not convey parenting risk at 6-months postpartum.²⁴ The fact that childhood maltreatment was not associated with parental attitudes during pregnancy, in the absence of psychopathology, is very interesting and suggests several interpretations. One possibility is that parents who did not develop psychological symptoms even if they were exposed to high doses of adversity during childhood are generally resilient. Most of the participants of our non-clinical community sample are in common-law relationship, highly educated and financially well resourced, three demographic factors promoting resilience in the face of adversity and contributing to the discontinuity of intergenerational risk trajectories associated with childhood maltreatment.⁴⁸ A second possibility is that this apparent resilience is limited to the prenatal period and that differences between exposed and non-exposed parents will emerge only when confronted with the “real” child. Indeed, prenatal attachment may be facilitated by the fact that this emotional investment is directed towards an imagined, and largely idealized, child. Similarly, the high levels of parental confidence that participants exposed to childhood maltreatment reported during pregnancy may eventually collapse in the face of stress. In this regard, a recent study suggested that a poor sense of parental competence only appears under stressful circumstances in adults with histories of childhood maltreatment.²⁵ Finally, we cannot rule out the possibility that parents who experienced childhood maltreatment defensively suppress negative thoughts and feelings regarding their child and themselves as parents in an attempt to avoid identifying with their abusive or neglectful caregivers, which would lead to inflated scores on self-report instruments of parental attachment and competence. Such defensive processes would represent a breeding ground for the intergenerational transmission of maltreatment, as suggested by Fraiberg and her colleagues.⁴⁹ Further studies should evaluate the longitudinal correlates of prenatal attachment and parental confidence in men and women expecting a child and who were exposed to childhood maltreatment to clarify whether a very positive attitude towards

parenthood, despite the experience of significant adversity, represents a trajectory of risk or resilience. Building on the current evidence that adults with childhood maltreatment report significant distress during pregnancy, future studies should also evaluate the theoretical proposal that pregnancy acts as a particular trigger in this population.

Overall, the study adds to the very limited literature on the association between childhood maltreatment, dissociation, personality disorders, prenatal attachment and parental confidence during pregnancy. The inclusion of men expecting a child and the recruitment of a relatively large non-clinical community sample ($n = 322$) are particular strengths of the study. The results should, however, be interpreted in the lights of some limitations. First, the assessment of childhood maltreatment was retrospective and self-reported which could have led to biases or distortions in recall. Second, mental health was assessed using self-report instruments rather than clinical interviews, which might have produced response bias. Third, the purpose of the study was explained during recruitment, which increases the risk of self-selection bias. However, most potential participants completed the CTQ on site and participants were no different from adults who declined participation in terms of childhood maltreatment. Fourth, many factors that may impact prenatal attachment, parental confidence and psychological distress, such as pre-existing chronic conditions, antepartum problems, prenatal care received, current partner relationship quality, and current intimate partner violence were not controlled for in the analyses. Finally, our research is based on theoretical and historical grounds, and was correlational in nature. Thus, a causal role of childhood maltreatment cannot be assured.

Clinical Implications

The results of the study have clinical implications. First, one should note that most available interventions offered during pregnancy are parental education programs and principally aim to transmit knowledge and teach skills. Our results suggest that adults

exposed to childhood maltreatment who did not develop a psychopathology may not identify themselves as good candidates for such programs considering that they are actually confident in their parental skills and mostly have positive thoughts about the child. Still, these parents may benefit from perinatal interventions that would support resilience in this period of high stress. Second, results suggest that perinatal interventions offered to men and women expecting a child and who were exposed to childhood maltreatment should target mental health, which in turn would contribute to increased parental confidence and psychological investment towards the infant to come. Third, our findings suggest that implementing trauma-informed care in perinatal settings may be particularly beneficial for expecting parents with psychological symptoms. Finally, results confirm that the association between childhood trauma and prenatal psychological distress is not exclusive to women. Prenatal interventions should thus consider including men expecting a child.

CONCLUSION

Overall, this study showed that childhood maltreatment is associated with poor mental health during pregnancy and that poor mental health, but not trauma, negatively affects parental attitudes. While most studies focused on prenatal depressive symptoms, this study showed that PTSD, personality disorders and dissociation are also frequent during pregnancy in men and women who have a history of childhood maltreatment. Perinatal care providers should provide access to trauma-informed mental health services that would contribute to support resilience and interrupt the intergenerational transmission of trauma.

REFERENCES

1. Seng JS, Sperlich M, Low LK. Mental health, demographic, and risk behavior profiles of pregnant survivors of childhood and adult abuse. *J Midwifery Womens Health* 2008;53(6):511-21.
2. Oh W, Muzik M, McGinnis EW, Hamilton L, Menke RA, Rosenblum KL. Comorbid trajectories of postpartum depression and PTSD among mothers with childhood trauma history: Course, predictors, processes and child adjustment. *J Affect Disord* 2016;200:133-41.

3. Seng JS, Sperlich M, Low LK, Ronis DL, Muzik M, Liberzon I. Childhood abuse history, posttraumatic stress disorder, postpartum mental health, and bonding: A prospective cohort study. *J Midwifery Womens Health* 2013;58(1):57-68.
4. Muzik M, Bocknek EL, Broderick A, Richardson P, Rosenblum KL, Thelen K, et al. Mother–infant bonding impairment across the first 6 months postpartum: The primacy of psychopathology in women with childhood abuse and neglect histories. *Arch Women Ment Hlth* 2013;16(1):29-38.
5. Savage LE, Tarabulsky GM, Pearson J, Collin-Vezina D, Gagne LM. Maternal history of childhood maltreatment and later parenting behavior: A meta-analysis. *Dev Psychopathol* 2019;31(1):9-21.
6. Berthelot N, Ensink E, Bernazzani O, Normandin L, Luyten P, Fonagy P. Intergenerational transmission of attachment in abused and neglected mothers: The role of trauma-specific reflective functioning. *Infant Ment Health J* 2015;36(2):200-12.
7. Rijlaarsdam J, Stevens GW, Jansen PW, Ringoot AP, Jaddoe VW, Hofman A, et al. Maternal Childhood Maltreatment and Offspring Emotional and Behavioral Problems: Maternal and Paternal Mechanisms of Risk Transmission. *Child Maltreat* 2014;19(2):67-78.
8. Assink M, Spruit A, Schuts M, Lindauer R, Stams GJM. The intergenerational transmission of child maltreatment: A three-level meta-analysis. *Child Abuse Negl* 2018;84:131-45.
9. Shamblaw AL, Cardy RE, Prost E, Harkness KL. Abuse as a risk factor for prenatal depressive symptoms: a meta-analysis. *Arch Women Ment Hlth* 2018.
10. Wosu AC, Gelaye B, Williams MA. History of childhood sexual abuse and risk of prenatal and postpartum depression or depressive symptoms: an epidemiologic review. *Arch Women Ment Hlth* 2015;18(5):659-71.
11. Skjothaug T, Smith L, Wentzel- Larsen T, Moe V. Prospective fathers' adverse childhood experiences, pregnancy- related anxiety, and depression during pregnancy. *Infant Ment Health J* 2015;36(1):104-13.
12. Plant DT, Barker ED, Waters CS, Pawlby S, Pariante CM. Intergenerational transmission of maltreatment and psychopathology: The role of antenatal depression. *Psychol Med* 2013;43(3):519-28.
13. Seng JS, Rauch SAM, Resnick H, Reed CD, King A, Low LK, et al. Exploring posttraumatic stress disorder symptom profile among pregnant women. *J Psychosom Obstet Gynaecol* 2010;31(3):176-87.
14. Muzik M, McGinnis EW, Bocknek E, Morelen D, Rosenblum KL, Liberzon I, et al. PTSD symptoms across pregnancy and early postpartum among women with lifetime PTSD diagnosis. *Depress Anxiety* 2016;33(7):584-91.
15. de Carvalho HW, Pereira R, Frozi J, Bisol LW, Ottoni GL, Lara DR. Childhood trauma is associated with maladaptive personality traits. *Child Abuse Negl* 2015;44:18-25.
16. Jokela M, Kivimaki M, Elovainio M, Keltikangas-Jarvinen L. Personality and having children: a two-way relationship. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 2009;96(1):218-30.
17. Ensink K, Berthelot N, Bernazzani O, Normandin L, Fonagy P. Another step closer to measuring the ghosts in the nursery: Preliminary validation of the Trauma Reflective Functioning Scale. *Front Psychol* 2014;5(1471):1-12.
18. Condon JT. The assessment of antenatal emotional attachment: development of a questionnaire instrument. *Br J Med Psychol* 1993;66:167-83.
19. Siddiqui A, Hagglof B. Does maternal prenatal attachment predict postnatal mother–infant interaction? *Early Hum Dev* 2000;59(1):13-25.
20. Schwerdtfeger KL, Goff BS. Intergenerational transmission of trauma: exploring mother–infant prenatal attachment. *J Trauma Stress* 2007;20(1):39-51.

21. Sancho-Rossignol A, Schilliger Z, Cordero MI, Rusconi Serpa S, Epiney M, Hüppi P, et al. The Association of Maternal Exposure to Domestic Violence During Childhood With Prenatal Attachment, Maternal-Fetal Heart Rate, and Infant Behavioral Regulation. *Front Psychiatry* 2018;9(358).
22. Hicks LM, Dayton CJ, Brown S, Muzik M, Raveau H. Mindfulness moderates depression and quality of prenatal attachment in expectant parents. *Mindfulness* 2018.
23. Zvara BJ, Meltzer- Brody S, Mills- Koonce WR, Cox M. Maternal childhood sexual trauma and early parenting: Prenatal and postnatal associations. *Infant Child Dev* 2017;26(3):1-21.
24. Sexton MB, Davis MT, Menke R, Raggio GA, Muzik M. Mother-child interactions at six months postpartum are not predicted by maternal histories of abuse and neglect or maltreatment type. *Psychol Trauma* 2017;9(5):622-6.
25. Kunseler FC, Oosterman M, de Moor MH, Verhage ML, Schuengel C. Weakened Resilience in Parenting Self-Efficacy in Pregnant Women Who Were Abused in Childhood: An Experimental Test. *PLoS One* 2016;11(2):e0141801.
26. Martinez-Torteya C, Katsonga-Phiri T, Rosenblum KL, Hamilton L, Muzik M. Postpartum depression and resilience predict parenting sense of competence in women with childhood maltreatment history. *Arch Women Ment Hlth* 2018.
27. Petfield L, Startup H, Droscher H, Cartwright-Hatton S. Parenting in mothers with borderline personality disorder and impact on child outcomes. *Int J Evid Based Healthc* 2015;18(3):67-75.
28. McDonnell CG, Valentino K. Intergenerational Effects of Childhood Trauma: Evaluating Pathways Among Maternal ACEs, Perinatal Depressive Symptoms, and Infant Outcomes. *Child Maltreat* 2016;21(4):317-26.
29. Lacharité C, Deshauniers R, St-Laurent D. Le questionnaire des traumatismes vécus en enfance: Traduction française du Childhood Trauma Questionnaire. Trois-Rivières, Qc, Canada: Université du Québec à Trois-Rivières; 2002.
30. Bernstein DP, Stein JA, Newcomb MD, Walker E, Pogge D, Ahluvalia T, et al. Development and validation of a brief screening version of the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire. *Child Abuse Negl* 2003;27(2):169-90.
31. Walker EA, Unutzer J, Rutter C, Gelfand A, Saunders K, VonKorff M, et al. Costs of health care use by women HMO members with a history of childhood abuse and neglect. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1999;56(7):609-13.
32. Lemieux R, Berthelot N. Childhood Interpersonal Trauma Checklist. Trois-Rivières, Québec, Canada: Université du Québec à Trois-Rivières; 2019.
33. Cox JL, Holden JM, Sagovsky R. Detection of postnatal depression. Development of the 10-item Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale. *Br J Psychiatry* 1987;150:782-6.
34. Adouard F, Glangeaud-Freudenthal N, Golse B. Validation of the Edinburgh postnatal depression scale (EPDS) in a sample of women with high-risk pregnancies in France. *Arch Women Ment Hlth* 2005;8(2):89-95.
35. Tsai AC, Scott JA, Hung KJ, Zhu JQ, Matthews LT, Psaros C, et al. Reliability and validity of instruments for assessing perinatal depression in African settings: systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS one* 2013;8(12):e82521.
36. Bouvard M. Questionnaires et échelles d'évaluation de la personnalité: Elsevier Masson; 2009.
37. Hyler SE. Personality Diagnostic Questionnaire -4+ New York, NY: New York State Psychiatric Institute; 1994.
38. Laconi S, Cailhol L, Pourcel L, Thalamas C, Lapeyre-Mestre M, Chabrol H. Dépistage des troubles de la personnalité avec la version française du Personality Diagnostic

- Questionnaire-4+ dans une population psychiatrique: une étude préliminaire. *Ann Med Psychol (Paris)*: Elsevier; 2016.
39. Larøi F, Billieux J, Defeldre A-C, Ceschi G, Van der Linden M. Factorial structure and psychometric properties of the French adaptation of the Dissociative Experiences Scale (DES) in non-clinical participants. *Eur Rev Appl Psychol* 2013;63(4):203-8.
 40. Bernstein EM, Putnam FW. Development, reliability, and validity of a dissociation scale. *J Nerv Ment Dis* 1986;174(12):727-35.
 41. Ashbaugh AR, Houle-Johnson S, Herbert C, El-Hage W, Brunet A. Psychometric Validation of the English and French Versions of the Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Checklist for DSM-5 (PCL-5). *PLoS One* 2016;11(10):e0161645-e.
 42. Wilkins KC, Lang AJ, Norman SB. Synthesis of the psychometric properties of the PTSD checklist (PCL) military, civilian, and specific versions. *Depress Anxiety* 2011;28(7):596-606.
 43. Parker S, Zahr L. The maternal confidence questionnaire. Boston, MA: Boston City Hospital 1985.
 44. Badr LK. Further psychometric testing and use of the Maternal Confidence Questionnaire. *Issues Compr Pediatr Nurs* 2005;28(3):163-74.
 45. Samuels J. Personality disorders: epidemiology and public health issues. *Int Rev Psychiatry* 2011;23(3):223-33.
 46. Stephenson LA, Beck K, Busuulwa P, Rosan C, Pariante CM, Pawlby S, et al. Perinatal interventions for mothers and fathers who are survivors of childhood sexual abuse. *Child Abuse Negl* 2018;80:9-31.
 47. Lacharité C, Gagnier J-P. Agir auprès des pères en situation de vulnérabilité : une invitation au dialogue et à la réflexion. *Cahiers critiques de thérapie familiale* 2015;54:81-105.
 48. St-Laurent D, Dubois-Comtois K, Milot T, Cantinotti M. Intergenerational continuity/discontinuity of child maltreatment among low-income mother-child dyads: The roles of childhood maltreatment characteristics, maternal psychological functioning, and family ecology. *Dev Psychopathol* 2019;31(1):189-202.
 49. Fraiberg S, Adelson E, Shapiro V. Ghosts in the nursery. A psychoanalytic approach to the problems of impaired infant-mother relationships. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 1975;14(3):387-421.

Table 1. Demographic Characteristics of Participants (N = 322)

Demographic Characteristic	Overall (N = 322) N (%)	Women (N = 251) n (%)	Men (N = 71) n (%)
Gender		251 (78.0)	71 (22.0)
Age, mean (SD), y	28.54 (4.74)	28.25 (4.90)	29.54 (3.99)
Expecting their first child	289 (89.9)	219 (87.3)	70 (98.6)
Ethnicity			
White	305 (94.7)	238 (94.8)	67 (94.4)
Native American	4 (1.2)	3 (1.2)	1 (1.4)
Asians	4 (1.2)	1 (0.4)	3 (4.2)
African-American	3 (0.9)	3 (1.2)	0
Other	6 (1.9)	6 (2.4)	0
Relationship Status			
In relationship	309 (96.0)	238 (94.8)	71 (100.0)
Single	13 (4.0)	13 (5.2)	0
Education and employment status			
No diploma	20 (6.2)	15 (6.0)	5 (7.0)
High school diploma	22 (6.8)	13 (5.2)	9 (12.7)
Post-secondary education (collegial or professional training)	153 (47.5)	116 (46.2)	37 (5.2)
University degree	127 (39.4)	107 (42.6)	20 (28.2)
Currently unemployed	28 (8.7)	26 (10.4)	2 (2.8)
Annual income level below low-income cut-off^a	71 (22.0)	58 (23.1)	13 (18.3)
Trauma history			
At least one type of trauma	91 (28.3)	75 (29.9)	16 (22.5)
Physical abuse	29 (9.0)	19 (7.6)	10 (14.1)
Sexual abuse	28 (8.7)	26 (10.4)	2 (2.8)
Emotional abuse	67 (20.8)	51 (20.3)	16 (6.4)
Physical neglect	52 (16.1)	43 (17.1)	9 (12.7)
Emotional neglect	22 (6.8)	18 (7.2)	4 (5.6)

^a The low-income cut-off for a family with one child in Canada is 34 000 Can\$.

Table 2. Correlations, Means, Standard Deviations and Range of Study Variables

Measures	Mean (SD)	Range	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1. CTQ total score (n = 322)	35.49 (9.78)	[26, 85]												
2. Physical abuse (n = 322)	5.72 (1.95)	[5, 17]	.75 ^c											
3. Psychological abuse (n = 322)	7.43 (3.62)	[5, 22]	.86 ^c	.62 ^c										
4. Sexual abuse (n = 322)	5.73 (2.63)	[5, 25]	.63 ^c	.40 ^c	.32 ^c									
5. Physical neglect (n = 322)	6.12 (2.33)	[5, 21]	.80 ^c	.47 ^c	.62 ^c	.37 ^c								
6. Psychological neglect (n = 322)	10.49 (2.22)	[6, 21]	.76 ^c	.45 ^c	.58 ^c	.30 ^c	.63 ^c							
7. Sociodemographic risk (n = 313)	0.39 (0.67)	[0, 3]	.31 ^c	.19 ^c	.25 ^c	.25 ^c	.27 ^c	.18 ^b						
8. Depression (n = 315)	8.44 (4.80)	[0, 22]	.22 ^c	.16 ^b	.19 ^c	.19 ^c	.25 ^b	.12 ^a	.12 ^b					
9. PTSD (n = 319)	9.88 (11.04)	[0, 62]	.44 ^c	.30 ^c	.45 ^c	.22 ^c	.29 ^c	.36 ^c	.18 ^b	.60 ^c				
10. Dissociation (n = 310)	15.85 (7.83)	[7.5, 54.14]	.23 ^c	.10	.26***	.06	.21***	.21 ^c	.09	.33 ^c	.54 ^c			
11. Personality disorder (n = 307)	21.98 (11.95)	[0, 54]	.47 ^c	.29 ^c	.42 ^c	.25 ^c	.34 ^c	.44 ^c	.29 ^c	.50 ^c	.69 ^c	.49 ^c		
12. Parental confidence (n = 310)	61.44 (5.17)	[41, 70]	-.06	-.004	-.08	.02	-.07	-.05	.02	-.27 ^c	-.27 ^c	-.09	-.22 ^c	
13. Maternal attachment (n = 241)	82.06 (5.53)	[64, 94]	-.09	-.007	-.13*	.01	-.08	-.14*	-.09	-.11	-.21**	-.02	-.12	.40 ^c
14. Paternal attachment (n = 69)	60.74 (5.49)	[44, 73]	-.10	.06	-.12	.02	-.02	-.22	.03	-.24*	-.17	-.28*	-.17	.46 ^c

Note. Each cell in the table shows the correlation between two variables. To avoid repetitions, only the number associated with each variable, instead of the name of the variable, was indicated in the columns.

^a $P < .05$

^b $P < .01$

^c $P < .001$.

Table 3. One-Way ANCOVAs Evaluating Group Differences (Exposed to Childhood Maltreatment vs No Childhood Maltreatment) in Terms of Psychological Symptoms, Parental Confidence and Antenatal Attachment in Men and Women

Dependent variables	Group	Mean ^a (SE)	<i>df</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>P</i>	Effect size (η^2)
Whole sample						
Depression	No CM	7.87 (0.32)	1, 304	6.32	.002	0.040
	CM	9.62 (0.52)				
PTSD	No CM	7.40 (0.70)	1, 308	25.57	<.001	0.140
	CM	15.84 (1.31)				
Dissociation	No CM	14.71 (0.53)	1, 299	8.92	<.001	0.060
	CM	18.68 (0.85)				
Personality disorder	No CM	19.16 (0.73)	1, 297	42.07	<.001	0.220
	CM	29.39 (1.19)				
Parental confidence	No CM	61.66 (0.35)	1, 302	0.54	.59	0.004
	CM	61.01 (0.57)				
Maternal attachment	No CM	82.45 (0.44)	1, 233	1.65	.19	0.010
	CM	81.46 (0.68)				
Paternal attachment	No CM	61.13 (0.76)	1, 65	0.56	.57	0.020
	CM	59.37 (1.50)				
Women only						
Depression	No CM	8.16 (0.38)	1, 235	7.20	.001	0.060
	CM	9.96 (0.60)				
PTSD	No CM	8.22 (0.82)	1, 238	19.36	< .001	0.140
	CM	15.71 (1.30)				
Dissociation	No CM	15.04 (0.65)	1, 232	5.99	.003	0.050
	CM	18.59 (1.03)				
Personality disorder	No CM	19.24 (0.87)	1, 230	34.44	< .001	0.230
	CM	29.47 (1.37)				
Parental confidence	No CM	62.08 (0.40)	1, 233	0.66	.52	0.006
	CM	61.21 (0.64)				
Maternal attachment	No CM	82.41 (0.44)	1, 231	1.45	0.24	0.01
	CM	81.56 (0.69)				
Men only						
Depression	No CM	7.16 (0.58)	1, 66	0.71	.50	0.020
	CM	7.46 (1.05)				
PTSD	No CM	5.23 (1.29)	1, 67	6.73	.002	0.170
	CM	15.04 (2.37)				

Dissociation	No CM	13.83 (0.78)	1, 64	4.35	.02	0.120
	CM	18.39 (1.40)				
Personality disorder	No CM	18.97 (1.37)	1, 64	6.68	.002	0.170
	CM	28.85 (2.55)				
Parental confidence	No CM	60.24 (0.76)	1, 66	0.09	.91	0.003
	CM	60.17 (1.38)				
Paternal attachment	No CM	61.02 (0.78)	1, 63	0.49	.62	0.020
	CM	59.35 (1.51)				

^a *M* Estimated marginal mean. Estimated marginal means were computed using the sociodemographic risk index as covariate

Abbreviations: CM, Childhood maltreatment; No CM, Absence of childhood maltreatment; *SE*, Standard error; *df*, Degree of freedom; *F*, F-test; *P*, probability value; η^2 , Eta-squared.

Table 4. Multiple Regression Evaluating the Association Between Different Types of Psychological Symptoms, Parental Confidence and Antenatal Attachment in Men and Women

	Independent and dependent variables	B (<i>SE</i>)	β	<i>t</i>	<i>P</i>	95 % CI
Women only	Maternal attachment					
	Depression	0.01 (0.09)	0.01	0.138	0.89	[-0.17, 0.20]
	PTSD	-0.09 (0.05)	-0.18	-1.75	0.08	[-0.19, 0.01]
	Dissociation	0.04 (0.05)	0.07	0.86	0.39	[-0.06, 0.15]
	Personality Disorder	-0.01 (0.04)	-0.02	-0.20	0.84	[-0.10, 0.07]
	Parental confidence					
	Depression	-0.20 (0.09)	-0.20	-2.33	0.02	[-0.37, -0.03]
	PTSD	0.01 (0.05)	0.01	0.13	0.89	[-0.09, 0.10]
	Dissociation	0.01 (0.05)	0.02	0.27	0.79	[-0.08, 0.10]
	Personality Disorder	-0.04 (0.04)	-0.10	-0.97	0.33	[-0.11, 0.04]
Men only	Paternal attachment					
	Depression	-0.20 (0.20)	-0.16	-1.05	0.30	[-0.58, 0.18]
	PTSD	-0.02 (0.10)	-0.06	-0.33	0.74	[-0.23, 0.16]
	Dissociation	-0.27 (0.16)	-0.29	-1.73	0.09	[-0.58, 0.04]
	Personality Disorder	0.04 (0.09)	0.09	0.46	0.64	[-0.14, 0.23]
	Parental confidence					
	Depression	-0.17 (0.18)	-0.13	-0.92	0.36	[-0.53, 0.20]
	PTSD	-0.20 (0.09)	-0.29	-2.21	0.03	[-0.38, -0.02]
	Dissociation	-0.01 (0.14)	-0.01	-0.07	0.94	[-0.30, 0.28]
	Personality Disorder	-0.01 (0.08)	-0.01	-0.03	0.98	[-0.18, 0.17]

Abbreviations: *B*, unstandardized beta; *SE*, Standard error for the unstandardized beta; β , standardized beta; *t*, t-test statistic; *P*, probability value; *CI*, confidence intervals.

Figure 1. Structural equation model of the association between childhood abuse and neglect, mental health, antenatal attachment and parental confidence

Abbreviations: NS, Statistically non-significant association; PTSD, posttraumatic stress disorder.

Note. All associations reported were significant at $P < .001$. Model fit: $\chi^2(13, N = 322) = 26.80, p = .01$, Ratio $\chi^2/df = 2.06$, CFI = 0.98, NFI = .96 and RMSEA = .06 with 90% CI [.03, .09]. Results were similar when only women were included in the analyses: $\chi^2(13, N = 251) = 25.96, p = .02$, Ratio $\chi^2/df = 2.00$, CFI = 0.98, NFI = .95 and RMSEA = .06 with 90% CI [.03, .10].

