This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the <u>Version of Record</u>. Please cite this article as <u>doi: 10.1002/LT.25690</u>

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

Corresponding Author Email ID: <a href="mailto:brcraig@med.umich.edu">brcraig@med.umich.edu</a>

## Response to: Frailty is Associated with Increased Rates of Acute Cellular Rejection Within 3 Months After Liver Transplantation

Craig S. Brown<sup>1</sup>, Ben E. Biesterveld<sup>1</sup>, John R. Montgomery<sup>1</sup>, Glenn K. Wakam<sup>1</sup>, Seth A. Waits<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Michigan, Transplant Research Education and Enthusiasm (TREE), Ann Arbor, MI

Up to 1 in 5 patients with end stage liver disease in the United States are frail.¹ Emerging data suggests that frailty is more than simple deconditioning, but rather a distinct phenotype accompanied by immune dysregulation.²-5 Within this context, Fozouni et al. investigated the association of frailty and acute cellular rejection (ACR) in a retrospective, single-center cohort study of 241 liver transplant patients.<sup>6</sup> After controlling for a number of potential confounders using bivariate logistic regression modeling, the authors found frailty was strongly associated with ACR in the 90-day period following transplantation. Most importantly, the authors found the same association after adjusting for changes in immunosuppression dose, which occurs at disproportionately high rates among frail population.

These findings come in the context of several important limitations, which are well discussed in the manuscript. Most notably, the timing of frailty assessment was significantly different between frail and non-frail patients and no information was available regarding the trajectory of frailty following transplant. Additionally, the immunosuppression regimen of the patients who were identified as suffering from ACR is not reported. It would be interesting to know specifically how these patients were managed leading up to the episode of rejection. Clearly further research is required to fully characterize the relationship between frailty, choice and dose of immunosuppression, organ rejection, and outcomes among solid organ transplant recipients.

Remediation continues to be the Achilles heel of frailty research. Early results from efforts to mitigate the frail phenotype have focused on exercise and nutrition -- limited by marginal functional improvement and constrained by the extreme resources these patients require. Perhaps further investigation into the cellular mechanisms of frailty will offer better targets for intervention – or perhaps better objective markers of the frailty phenotype. At the very least, the results from Fozouni et al. provide a link between prior studies associating frailty with immune dysfunction and the clear association of frailty with poor surgical outcomes.

While this work is compelling, we should remember to exercise caution when applying this data to our daily practice. For decades providers have minimized immunosuppression for patients who subjectively appeared "frail." Concerns over increased rates of infection in frail liver transplant patients should be considered. By utilizing subset data for patients who developed ACR (as well as postoperative outcomes), we are missing an important piece of the puzzle. Despite these concerns, this work adds to the growing evidence of inferior outcomes among frail patients undergoing liver transplantation and provides a robust opportunity for rigorous translational research. One question that remains is whether interventions aimed at improving the immune dysregulation of frailty in patients undergoing liver transplant could lead to improved outcomes by decreasing acute cellular rejection events.

Frailty will continue to be topical in organ transplantation. Simple attempts at remediation have been disappointing. Now is the time to embrace emerging cellular techniques to drill down on the molecular basis of frailty. As a transplant community, we must think outside the gym (and kitchen!) for innovative techniques.

## References

- 1. Lai JC, Feng S, Terrault NA, et al. Frailty predicts waitlist mortality in liver transplant candidates. *Am J Transplant*. . Epub ahead of print 2014. DOI: 10.1111/ajt.12762.
- 2. Yao X, Li H, Leng SX. Inflammation and Immune System Alterations in Frailty. *Clinics in Geriatric Medicine*. Epub ahead of print 2011. DOI: 10.1016/j.cger.2010.08.002.
- 3. Li H, Manwani B, Leng SX. Frailty, inflammation, and immunity. Aging and Disease.
- 4. Drew W, Wilson D, Sapey E. Frailty and the immune system. *J Aging Res Healthc*. Epub ahead of print 2017. DOI: 10.14302/issn.2474-7785.jarh-17-1578.
- 5. Wilson D, Jackson T, Sapey E, et al. Frailty and sarcopenia: The potential role of an aged immune system. *Ageing Research Reviews*. Epub ahead of print 2017. DOI: 10.1016/j.arr.2017.01.006.
- 6. Fozouni L, Mohamad Y, Lebsack A, et al. Frailty is Associated with Increased Rates of Acute Cellular Rejection Within 3 Months After Liver Transplantation. *Liver Transpl.* . Epub ahead of print October 26, 2019. DOI: 10.1002/lt.25669.

## Author Manus