Development and Implementation of a COVID-19 Respiratory Diagnostic Center

Amir Barzin, DO, MS

Department of Family Medicine
University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

David Alain Wohl, MD
Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine
University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

Timothy P. Daaleman, DO, MPH
Department of Family Medicine
University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

Corresponding Author

Timothy P. Daaleman, DO, MPH
Department of Family Medicine
University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill
Campus Box 7595
590 Manning Drive
Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA, 27599-7595
984-974-4894 (phone)
919-966-6125 (fax)
tim daaleman@med.unc.edu (email)

This article is a preprint and has not been peer reviewed. It reports new medical research or thought that has yet to be evaluated and so should not be used to guide clinical practice.

Conflicts of Interest: None

THE INNOVATION

In response to the COVID-19 pandemic, respiratory diagnostic centers (RDCs) have emerged as a health service model that offers symptom screening and provides diagnostic testing for patients.

WHO & WHERE

Primary care and outpatient medical directors as well as hospital leadership at the University of North Carolina Health Care System (UNCHCS) worked collaboratively to design and implement the UNC RDC.

HOW

UNCHCS provided logistical support and resources during the design phase and convened a governance team that included a nursing staff lead, physician staff lead, incident commander, medical director, and UNCHCS administrator. The guiding design principles included a patient-centered experience that utilized information technology to communicate results, and the efficient use of personal protective equipment (PPE) for staff. We developed a "drive-through" model, which allowed assessment in personal vehicles. For the physical supporting structure, we used large, reception-style weatherproof tents. The referral process utilized the existing UNCHCS call center as a centralized hub to determine screening eligibility based on clinical symptoms, as well as national and state testing guidelines. If patients were eligible, the call center scheduled an RDC appointment using the UNCHCS electronic health record system. Patients arrived in a designated parking area and were directed through a series of stations, while those presenting without appointments were directed to a screening area. All patients completed an intake form that included symptoms, travel history, confirmed COVID-19 contacts, and healthcare employment. The first station determined if there were acute respiratory symptoms of cough or shortness of breath. Symptomatic patients moved to the next station while asymptomatic patients This article is a preprint and has not been peer reviewed. It reports new medical research or thought that has yet to be evaluated and so should not be used to guide clinical practice.

received counseling and were discharged. Screening-eligible patients moved to the testing station where one staff member confirmed exposure history and symptoms and another obtained a nasopharyngeal swab for influenza and RSV testing with a reflex to COVID-19 if these were negative. After sampling, the patient was discharged with an information packet regarding testing notification, symptom management, self-isolation, and parameters for clinical follow-up. Patients presenting with more severe symptoms were moved to an assessment area and underwent a comprehensive assessment by a physician; seriously ill appearing patients were transferred to the emergency department. Over a 10 day period after the RDC was launched, a total of 1074 patients were tested; 43 (4.08%) were positive for COVID-19, 53 for influenza, and 25 for RSV. No RDC staff reported respiratory symptoms or required testing in this timeframe.

LEARNING

There were several lessons in launching the RDC. First was the importance of securing and monitoring the supply chain, particularly for PPE and lab materials. A second lesson was the selection of a physical structure that could support the RDC model. We originally considered on-site trailers but chose reception style tents and in-vehicle testing due to the capacity for high-throughput symptom assessment, targeted testing, and the safe and efficient use of PPE. Infection prevention guidelines were foundational and pushed us to regularly review patient contact protocols and the use of PPE. Daily leadership huddles provided the forum to review the activities of the prior day, identify gaps, and promote new ideas to improve efficiency and workflows. Finally, we adapted our staffing model by a daily monitoring of patient volume, time analysis of throughput, and rightsizing staff for each station.

This article is a preprint and has not been peer reviewed. It reports new medical research or thought that has yet to be evaluated and so should not be used to guide clinical practice.

