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Abstract

The U.S. higher education system is known for its diversity and independence. The successful completion of higher education is seen as an essential parameter for student accomplishment and economic progress. Demographics influence a student’s everyday life. A student’s socioeconomic status, family structure, parent level of education, culture, technology usage, transience, race, spirituality, and crime rate near the home all impact them daily (VanderStel, 2014). Demographics have a significant influence on educational access and equity; thus, researchers, educators, and practitioners require better knowledge of demographics and its impact on student enrollment in colleges of engineering.

The present study begins with an overview of early models, dealing with undergraduate enrollment, retention, and graduation at a public university. The study data includes student-level enrollment and demographics data from 2015 to 2019 for those enrolled (n= 9034 students) and Zip Code level U.S. Census data collected during the year 2017. The study followed a sequential research design that included QGIS Mapping, Spearman Correlation analysis, cluster analysis, and control group analysis in determining the main factors for student enrollment decisions/trends and identifying those Zip Codes which fit characteristics for a strong recruitment region but attracted fewer students than estimated. The research aims to identify factors that characterize Zip Codes in the universities draw area within which it could recruit more students and identify those Zip Codes which behave abnormally and use additional research to attract, engage, and retain students.
The findings provide evidence that Zip Code level demographic attributes such as minority population, internet access, travel distance, educational level, total population, and college eligible population contribute to students’ enrollment decisions.

These factors provide the researchers with additional insights into the community characteristics that admitted students represent. Furthermore, out of 269 Zip Codes, 10 Zip Codes showed abnormality of low student enrollment count despite achieving the highest level of performance in demographic characteristics. This research is a starting point to study and recognize the economic limitations faced by the students of specific Zip Codes and assist University administrators and policymakers in formulating strategies to attract and enroll more students.
Chapter 1. Introduction

The first question Universities (University administrators) ask when formulating strategies to attract and retain more students for college enrollment is, “Where are most of the students coming from?” When noted, universities may ask, “Why specific areas show a particular enrollment trend?” The answer lies in the demographic itself.

Demographics influence a student’s everyday life. A student’s socioeconomic status, family structure, parent level of education, culture, technology usage, transience, race, spirituality, and crime rate near the home all impact them daily (VanderStel, 2014). Demographics have a significant influence on educational access and equity; thus, better knowledge of demographics and interpreting their impacts can be utilized for a better understanding of issues to benefit the student.

A higher level of understanding of demographics helps us address employment opportunities and issues by matching supply with demand (Norman Eng, 2013). Businesses, for example, amusement parks, develop strategies to target specific populations while considering their demographic factors such as age group and gender. Automobile advertisements are widely focused on their target audience to promote their products. They collect the market and financial information from credit card agencies and other sources to address the specific needs of the customers. Similarly, decision-makers, when designing specific strategies to increase student
enrollment and college performance should acknowledge inherent differences in demographics and their effects on students to address their particular needs.

Numerous studies have developed models dealing with student enrollment, transfer, retention, and attrition based on personal and academic factors. By contrast, relatively few studies have addressed these outcomes based on demographic and socioeconomic factors associated with geographic areas where students come from. This study examines how the background of students may influence their decision to enroll in local college/university.

The paper begins with an overview of early models dealing with undergraduate enrollment, retention, and graduation at a public university, which provide some understanding of the influence of different factors on a student’s life. But these models alone do not adequately clarify all differences resulting from a change in demographics and its effect on the student population. After introducing previous research works on the demographic and socioeconomic factors of undergraduate students enrolled in the university, this work attempts to analyze the enrollment trend based on actual statistics with a summary of the discussion of the consequences that are likely to happen. The results presented here could help to understand the context of demographics and its impact on a student’s education and could also inform the development of strategies to attract, enroll, and retain more students from a particular Zip Code. The models discussed below attempt to understand which factors affect students’ decisions to apply to engineering colleges.
Chapter 2. Review of the Literature

2.1 Undergraduate Enrollment, Retention and Graduation at a Public University

The higher education system in the United States is known for its diversity and independence. It provides improved access to higher education for students from a variety of backgrounds, with an equality of opportunity to learn and grow. Despite the efforts and initiative taken by several researchers over the past years, persisting concerns about who enrolls, who is retained, and who completes the education still exist, especially in Sciences, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) (Chen, Soldner, 2013).

College access is one of the most crucial issues in post-secondary education. Presently, along with the challenge of designing enrollment methodologies that can fulfill multiple objectives, institutions try to attract high-caliber students to help raise their student profiles. Simultaneously, Federal and state governments provide support, as do the institutions of higher education to ensure that post-secondary education is accessible for underrepresented (minorities) and lower-income families.

Over the past years, scholars have examined how students make choices about which college to select and how these choices get influenced by individual, institutional, and financial attributes. Collectively such research informs our understanding of enrollment trends of disadvantaged students. Various policy reports have analyzed the challenge of college access.
They have recommended strategies to increase access to higher education, particularly among students traditionally under-represented in higher education (Advisory Committee for Student Financial Assistance, 2001, 2002).

2.2 Pre-Existing Research on Factors Affecting Undergraduate Enrollment, Retention, Graduation and Dropout

Researchers in various fields have investigated the factors influencing students’ educational attainment and the relationship between personal characteristics and educational attainment. More recently, the focus has moved to the impact of external factors on educational attainment; specifically, on how the characteristics of a student’s neighbors and neighborhood influence his or her schooling (Andres, Carpenter, 1997).

Stewart & Stewart (2007) conducted a study to investigate neighborhood structural conditions, and the findings suggest that living in a disadvantaged neighborhood has a significant impact and lowers adolescents’ college aspirations among African Americans. The study also suggests these effects to be independent of individual-level characteristics (Stewart & Stewart, 2007).

Ethington (1990) constructed a model and concluded that student demographics and prior achievements directly affect student expectations and aspirations, which in turn influence their decision to persist in or withdraw from college.
As the student continues through post-secondary education, several factors influence their higher education experiences, including socioeconomic status, race, gender, and secondary school grades. Tinto (1975) found that these characteristics have a direct influence on the initial commitment of students to the institution and their graduation goals. Over the past several years, student body composition has drastically changed, including more women and students from different ethnic backgrounds (Andres, Carpenter, 1997).
2.2.1 **Distance (Proximity)**

What Universities are nearby? This question plays a vital role in shaping educational opportunity and equity for students. Studies of College enrollment and retention often overlook the question about geography that affects their choices for educational destinations. Particularly for today’s college, students who live in communities with few educational options are bound to accept admission to a nearby institution (Hillman & Weichman, 2016). Another study based on the data from High School and Beyond Survey showed that living near a specific 4-year college can expose students to what these universities offer and encourage them to go to a particular 4-year school (Do, 2004).

A study by Griffith & Rothstein (2009), which included 9000 youths (NLSY97) who were born between the years 1980–1984, analyzed the factors which influenced the decision to apply to a particular 4-year college. Results suggest that distance to a particular 4-year college significantly affects the likelihood that a student applies to a specific school, which means that as the distance to the nearest particular 4-year college increases, students are less likely to apply to this kind of college irrespective of family income level.

A study designed to understand the characteristics and diversity of incoming students showed that geography plays an important role; 57.4 percent of incoming students attending public four-year colleges enroll within 50 miles from their permanent home (Eagan et al., 2015).

Pérez & McDonough (2008) focused on understanding the college choice process for Latina and Latino students. The results demonstrated that first-generation college students, particularly Latino, black, and Native American students depended heavily on family members and high school contacts for purposes of college selection planning and are more likely to stay close to their home.
Using data from the Education Longitudinal Study of 2002 (ELS), a research study analyzed 15,362 students’ preferences for proximate colleges. The findings indicated that Asian and Latino students and parents had stronger preferences for living at home during college compared to white students and parents (Ovink and Kalogrides, 2015).

Studies of enrollment and graduation also include a factor of intrastate college student migration. Alm & Winters (2009) conducted research using the data from 175 public school districts in Georgia and examined the distance from a student’s home to the different Georgia state institutions. The results indicate that student intrastate migration gets actively hindered by a greater distance. However, black students are willing to migrate greater distances to attend HBCU (Historically Black Colleges & Universities).

### 2.2.2 Race and Ethnicity

College enrollment rates and choice of majors over the last decade have varied by racial / ethnic background.

From 2000 to 2016, college enrollment rates for White increased from 39 to 42 percent, the black enrollment showed an increase from 31 to 36 percent, and Hispanic young adult enrollment rates increased from 22 to 39 percent. Also, in terms of undergraduate enrollment the Hispanic college population saw a 134 percent increase during this period whereas other racial/ethnic groups had increased enrollment during the first part of this period, then began to decrease around 2010. In 2016, the female population had a higher percentage of undergraduates than males in all racial/ethnic groups.
Among the black population, 62 percent of females enrolled in comparison to 38 percent of males. Whereas, in the Asian group, 53 percent of females were enrolled (Brey, Musu, McFarland, Flicker, Diliberti, Zhang, Branstetter, Wang, 2019)

This increase in minority students is in agreement with demographic changes. Overall 65 percent of America's population growth within the next two decades will be "minority" groups, and whites will continue to become a minority in many areas of the United States. (Hodgkinson, 2001).

Ma (2009) examined the potential family influences of socioeconomic status (SES) and parental involvement on patterned college major choice by gender, race/ethnicity, and nativity. Based on a research finding, it was evident that Lower SES children favor more lucrative college majors.

Also, there was a difference in effects based on gender and race, particularly among racial/ethnic minorities and whites.

2.2.3 Gender

Gender parity is one of the most striking trends in educational attainment in the past two to three decades. These trends may result in over-representation of one gender among highly skilled workers. The vast diversification of education makes it essential to understand the academic success of various race-gender populations. Academic ability is the most crucial factor of success. Tinto (1987) observed that women are more likely to leave education voluntarily for a reason of social forces, whereas men mostly get dismissed because of poor academic performance.

Overall, 58 percent of bachelor’s degrees were awarded to females, whereas in STEM fields, females accounted for 36 percent of bachelor’s degrees. Thus, overall females received higher
percentages of bachelor’s degrees, but the lower percentage of bachelor’s degrees in STEM fields was seen across all racial/ethnic groups (Brey et al., 2019).

Although some research studies assert that females are less persistent in engineering majors than males (Adelman, 1998; Astin & Astin, 1992), more recent studies indicate that women admitted to engineering majors are equally persistent as male students (Cosentino de Cohen & Deterding, 2009; Hartman & Hartman, 2006; Lord et al., 2009). Lord et al., 2009 examined the persistence of engineering students based on gender and race/ethnicity utilizing a dataset of more than 79,000 students who enrolled in engineering at nine universities.

Results suggest that for Asian, Black, Hispanic, Native American, and White students, women who enrolled in engineering are more likely to persist in engineering compared to another eighth-semester destinations.

However, among Native Americans, rates are equivalent to those of men. Thus, the low representation of women in the later years of engineering programs is a reflection of their low representation at matriculation.

Ohland et al. (2011) examined race, gender, and measures of success in Engineering Education using a Multiple-Institution Database for Investigating Engineering Longitudinal Development (MIDFIELD). The results indicate that at all institutions, white women who enroll in engineering tend to graduate in 4.6 years, whereas white men graduate in 4.8 years. Among Black students, the average woman also completes the degree slightly faster than the average male student. Black females exhibit average time-to-graduation of 4.8 years and 4.9 for Black males.
2.2.4 Internet

The internet has led to a seismic change in education. The impact of Digital Divide on college access/enrollment and educational equity is a major concern. It allows people to access quality educational services from the comfort of their homes. From the college applications and access to online content in the form of e-books to the implementation of virtual classrooms, technology-based education opens new learning pathways.

Even in many industrialized countries, including the USA, Australia, UK, Netherlands, there is a large percentage of students with no access to a computer with internet and e-mail at home. This results in differences in digital skills between students (Volman, van Eck, Heemskerk, & Kuiper, 2005).

The entire college application process utilizes the internet, including school selection, sending applications, and applying for financial aid. As per the data from NACAC (National Association
for College Admission Counseling), universities received 49 percent of their applications online in 2005, 68 percent in 2007, which further increased to 94 percent by fall 2014 (Clinedinst et al., 2015). The portal “Common App” lets students fill out a single application form for over 700 colleges across the country. Around 920,000 students used the Common App in the year 2015-16, which were more than in 2008–09, when 31 percent of the applicants who used the portal were first-generation students.

The statistics from NCES show that in 2015, 4 percent of students in the age group of 5 to 17 years had home internet access without a subscription, and 11 percent of students had either only dial-up access or no access to the Internet (National center of education statistics). Access to home internet varies based on different racial/ethnic characteristics and geographic locale (File and Ryan 2014; Horrigan and Duggan 2015).

The digital divide had a significant impact on the ability to gain higher education access and social capital (Pruijt, 2002; Fairlie, London, Rosner & Pastor, 2006; Bargh & McKenna, 2004; Venegas, 2007). The demographics that had less internet access included rural households, blacks, Latinos, low SES, people with disabilities, people with no college education, and people over 50 years of age.

Wilcox (2008) explored the correlation of the digital divide with college access and concluded that the digital divide is about people’s access to universal information and knowledge. It is evident that digital access contributes to college accessibility as students who face challenges in college access lack digital access.
Over the past few years, there have been remarkable increases in students’ internet access regardless of income, but still, a digital divide exists based on race, socioeconomic status, and educational levels (Venegas, 2007). Children who used the internet more had higher scores on standardized tests of reading achievement and higher-grade point averages (Jackson et al., 2006). There is a noteworthy difference between the ways of internet usage and the differing attitudes between male and female users towards the Internet (Bressers & Bergen, 2002; Cotten & Jelenewicz, 2006; Odell et al., 2000; Jackson et al., 2001; Zhang, 2002; McMillan & Morrison, 2006).

Among U.S. college students, women's internet use is focused on educational and communicative purposes, whereas men are more information-oriented and use the internet as a source of entertainment. Also, both the gender showed similar uses of the internet academically (Fortson et al., 2007). Irrespective of significant differences between Hispanic, Black non-Hispanic, and White non-Hispanic students, all three racial groups were positive that the internet has a significant impact on them in their academic lives (Jones, Yale, Millermaier, 2009).

Understanding the demographic characteristics of the student with no/limited access to internet can help uncover equity gaps in education and also help inform policies to close these gaps (Moore, Vitale, Stawinoga, 2018).

As per U.S. Census Bureau (2013-2017 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates), 86.5 percent of households in Michigan have one or more types of computing devices, out of which 77 percent of households have an Internet subscription comprising of 76.3 percent with a broadband connection and remaining 0.7 percent with Dial-up connections. Based on race/ethnicity, broadband Internet subscription percentage are lowest for African Americans (66.7 percent), and
highest for Asians (91.4 percent), whereas 76.8 percent of Hispanics or Latinos (of any race) and 83.3 percent of Whites have broadband Internet subscription.

2.2.5 Income- (Household Income and Neighborhoods Poverty Level)

Past research examining the connection between household income, neighborhood poverty level, and educational attainment have found essential relationships.

According to Harold Hodgkinson, a renowned demographer, "If you know household income and the education level of the parents in America, you can predict about 45 percent of the variation on the national assessment scores without knowing anything about race." (Goldberg, Kappan, Bloomington, 2000).

Belley and Lochner (Belley, Lochner, 2007) found a considerable increase in the effect of family income on college attendance over time. Kinsler, Pavan (2011) observed that family income had a significant effect on the quality of higher education, especially for high-ability individuals. However, this effect has declined substantially over time for high ability students.

Harding (2003) found a causal effect of neighborhoods on the high school drop-out rate. He observed two groups of children of the same ages (10 years old) in different neighborhoods. The students in high-poverty neighborhoods were more likely to drop out of high school as compared to those in low-poverty neighborhoods.

Students mainly characterized by low socioeconomic status (SES) must overcome multiple hurdles and build self-regulation, control, and competence to achieve educational and occupational success.
and avoid delinquent behaviors and school failure. (Brody, Kogan, & Grange, 2012; Wills et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2014).

The neighborhood is a crucial parameter; teenagers spend a majority of time outside their home and with their peers. In high-poverty neighborhoods, this social parameter can result in potentially dangerous risk factors such as violent crimes and peer pressure related to substance use (Collins & Laursen, 2004; Chen & Miller, 2013).

2.2.6 Scholarships/ Pell Eligibility

2.2.6.a Effects on Enrollment

In recent years, the government has tried to improve gaps in college access and accomplishment by giving need-based grants. Educational cost is on the rise nation-wide, and scholarships offer access to higher education. Regardless of enormous increases in higher education enrollment in recent years, the college attendance rates of youth from low-income families continue to decrease (Doyle & Skinner, 2017). Scholarships based on financial need can be vital tools for increasing college access for low-income students.

Heller & Marin (2002) conducted a study investigating scholarships’ influence on attendance.

Georgia’s HOPE (Helping Outstanding Pupils Educationally) Scholarship suggested that for each $1,000 of subsidy offered by HOPE, the college attendance rate increased by around four percentage points and it had a considerably more significant impact on whites than on blacks. Also, theory and empirical evidence were incapable of predicting that aid has its most potent effect on disadvantaged youth.
Ness, Tucker (2008) analyzed Under-represented Student Perceptions about Tennessee’s Merit Aid Program. The results demonstrated that African American and low-income students were bound to see their eligibility for merit-based grants as affecting their choice on whether to go to college.

A study from Heller (2006) has shown that merit aid is more likely to be granted to students from higher-income families as compared with need-based grants, which in contrast, are significantly more likely to benefit lower-income students. Similarly, studies have shown that white students receive a disproportionate share of the merit aid money. Such outcomes affect students from traditionally underrepresented populations who receive proportionally less financial aid, which significantly impacts their ability to enroll and persist in college.

A study from Pluhta & Penny (2013) presented findings of a public community college with an approximate enrollment of 7,600 students in 2007 which offered a Promise Scholarship to all graduating students at the participating high school to attend the local community college tuition-free for one year regardless of financial need or academic achievement. And the outcomes demonstrated a positive effect on college application rates, especially for the low-income minority students from an inner-city high school.

2.2.6.b Effects on Retention

A report from Ratledge et al. (2019) discussed the outcomes of Detroit’s Promise program, which intends to encourage college attendance of underserved students in Detroit, Michigan. A Detroit Promise Path was made with the cooperation of MDRC (Manpower Demonstration Research Corporation) and the Detroit Promise to assist students after getting enrolled in college where,
students were given monthly gift card refilled with $50 each month to attend coaching meetings. The results suggested a positive impact on students’ persistence in school, full-time enrollment, and credit accumulation, along with positive relationships with their coaches.

Bartik et al. (2017) conducted a study to estimate the effects of the Kalamazoo Promise on postsecondary education. Results indicated an increase in college enrollment, college credits attempted, and credential attainment—also, substantial effects on women and minorities.

Castleman, long (2016) analyzed the impacts of the FSAG (Florida Student Access Grant) utilizing a regression-discontinuity design and exploiting the cut-off used to decide eligibility. The results showed that grant eligibility positively affected attendance, especially at public 4-year institutions.

In a report, Nichols (2015) analyzed the graduation rate for Pell Grant recipients at 1,149 four-year public and private nonprofit institutions. It showed that there is a 14-point graduation gap between Pell and non-Pell students at the national level, whereas, the average graduation gap at the institutional level is only 5.7 percentage points.
Chapter 3. Purpose of Study

Despite the very substantial literature on college enrollment trends in higher education, much remains unknown about the nature of a student’s enrollment decision. From a broad perspective, previous research works depict the relationship between a student’s background characteristics and enrollment trends. We know that students who enroll in universities come from a variety of socio-economic and demographic backgrounds, which includes attributes such as differences in sex, race/ethnicity, pre-college experiences. Each of these attributes has a direct or indirect effect on the student’s decision to enroll in an institution of higher education. More importantly, from the institutional perspective, inadequate attention given to identifying target populations requiring specific forms of assistance is a significant concern.

This study is an attempt to use and elaborate on Tinto’s (1975) perspective (guiding theory) to address and investigate the demographic differences of students entering an engineering program at the University of Michigan-Dearborn and examine the relationship between selected student background characteristics and the student enrollment. This research does not state that these factors exclusively are responsible for the lower enrollment of students in the engineering domain from particular geographic areas. But these demographic characteristics may be of importance to the decision-makers when designing specific strategies to attract and retain more students focusing on particular geographic areas.
The results of this thesis may prove beneficial in the identification of specific Zip Codes with lower student enrollment and higher likelihood of attrition and suggest methodical interventions to improve the enrollment and persistence of students from vulnerable areas.
Chapter 4. Proposed Research Question

This study seeks to extend the current literature on student enrollment, transfer, and retention by addressing the following research questions:

1) In what ways does student background characteristics predict enrollment and retention trends?

2) Which of the socio-economic characteristics influence the likelihood of students enrolling and majoring in CECS at the University of Michigan-Dearborn?

3) Which Zip Codes in Michigan should be considered for strategies seeking to attract, engage, and retain students?
Chapter 5. Methodology

This study involves 9034 students (2015 - 2019 enrollment count) enrolled in the College of Engineering and Computer Science department at the University of Michigan-Dearborn. The enrollment data used here were obtained from the College records (University of Michigan-Dearborn), and the demographic characteristics of 269 Michigan Zip Codes where the students were from was obtained from the US Census database. All attributes and analyses used in this study were specifically designed for students living in Michigan, and student identities were kept anonymous to protect students’ identity. Human subject research approval has been given to conduct this research.

The variables by Zip Code used in this study included: (1) Enrollment Count of students in CECS Department at UM-Dearborn, (2) Median Household Income ($), (3) Number of Households, (4) Number of Households with internet access, (5) Travel Distance to UM-Dearborn, (6) Number of People with bachelor’s degree and above, (7) Number of People who are high school graduate and above, (8) Total Population, (9) Number of College Eligible people (18 – 24 years old), (10) Population below 200 percent of the poverty level, (11) Minority Population.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. No.</th>
<th>Variable by Zip Code</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>First Quartile</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Third Quartile</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Student Enrollment Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>33.58</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Median Household Income ($)</td>
<td>20505</td>
<td>45422</td>
<td>58913</td>
<td>62195</td>
<td>76685</td>
<td>140372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Number of Households</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>4450</td>
<td>8282</td>
<td>8605</td>
<td>11574</td>
<td>25435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Number of Households with internet access</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3537</td>
<td>6247</td>
<td>6785</td>
<td>9235</td>
<td>21264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Travel distance to UM-Dearborn (mi)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18.10</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>52.96</td>
<td>60.40</td>
<td>278.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Number of people with bachelor’s degree or above</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>2531</td>
<td>5227</td>
<td>6986</td>
<td>9897</td>
<td>40237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Number of People who are high school graduate and above</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>10649</td>
<td>18770</td>
<td>19829</td>
<td>26384</td>
<td>62421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Total Population</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>11886</td>
<td>21128</td>
<td>22037</td>
<td>29824</td>
<td>67775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Eligible population</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>876</td>
<td>1712</td>
<td>2193</td>
<td>2802</td>
<td>21731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Number of People below poverty level</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2283</td>
<td>4748</td>
<td>6920</td>
<td>8953</td>
<td>36594</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Minority Population</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>714</td>
<td>2846</td>
<td>5854</td>
<td>7051</td>
<td>45783</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Data distribution
5.1 Feature Selection

Managing a vast number of input features/ high-dimensional data is sometimes a challenging task for researchers. In recent years, data has gotten progressively bigger in both the number of instances and number of features in numerous applications, for example, genome projects (Xing et al., 2001), client relationship management (Ng & Liu, 2000). This enormity may significantly degrade the performance of learning algorithms. It requires pre-processing of data where feature selection is one of the most common and significant techniques. In statistics, feature selection, also known as variable/ attribute selection, is mainly used for distinguishing important features and removing unessential and redundant information. Usually, unessential and redundant information is those variables that give no more helpful information than the currently selected features.

It makes feature selection extremely vital when facing high dimensional data these days. Researchers and practitioners understand that to utilize data mining tools viably, data pre-processing is fundamental to efficient data mining.

Feature selection algorithms designed with various assessment criteria essentially fall into three classes: the filter model, the wrapper model, and the hybrid model (Liu, Yu, 2005). The filter model depends on the general attributes of the data to assess and select feature subsets without including any mining algorithm. The wrapper model requires one predetermined mining algorithm in feature selection and uses its performance as they assess and decide which features are selected. It needs to learn a hypothesis for each new subset of features and searches for features better suited to the mining algorithm to improve learning performance; however, it will be more computationally costly than the filter model (Yu, Liu, 2003). The hybrid model endeavors to exploit the two models by using several evaluation criteria in various search stages (Liu, Yu, 2005).
Canedo, Maroño, Betanzos (2012) utilized several synthetic datasets for reviewing the performance of feature selection methods in the presence of irrelevant features and redundancy between attributes and also with a small ratio between several samples and number of features. It was an effective way to understand and choose a robust method.

In general, the feature selection has four key steps that include Subset Generation, Evaluation of Subset, Stopping Criteria, Result Validation (Kumar, 2014).

Feature Selection helps us to determine the smallest set of features that are needed to predict the response/target variable with high accuracy. In this study, initially there are 10 features (1) Median household income, (2) Number of households, (3) Number of households with internet access, (4) Travel distance to University, (5) Number of people with bachelor’s degree or above, (6) Number of People who are high school graduate and above, (7) Total Population (8) Eligible population (9) Number of People below poverty level (10) Minority Population. We want to predict the correlation/dependency of the Students Enrollment Count (target/response variable).

In this research, feature selection included (1) Significant features from Negative Binomial Regression and (2) Lasso Regression. Thus, reliability of factors was established by selecting significant features from Negative Binomial Regression and Lasso Regression.

5.1.1 GLM- Negative Binomial Regression

The General Linear Model (GLM) is a useful system for comparing how a few variables influence different continuous variables.

GLM is depicted as: Data = Model + Error (Rutherford, 2001, p.3)
Negative binomial regression is a type of generalized linear model wherein the dependent variable is a count of the occurrence of an event. Negative binomial regression is commonly recommended to handle overdispersion. In general, overdispersion occurs when the variance exceeds the mean.

The regression analysis model is based on numerous assumptions, which include absence of multicollinearity, non-homogeneity, linearity, and autocorrelation (Osborne, Waters, 2002). At a point, if any of these assumptions are violated, then the model is not reliable or acceptable in estimating the population parameters. Negative binomial regression has numerous assumptions, for example, linearity in model parameters, independence of individual observations, and the multiplicative effects of independent variables. Also, negative binomial regression permits the conditional variance of the result variable to be higher than its conditional mean, which offers greater flexibility in model fitting (Yang, Berdine, 2015).

Several researchers have used negative binomial regression for the analysis of over-dispersed count data. Alexander et al. (2000) presented a spatial model for the mean and correlation of highly dispersed count data, using a negative binomial distribution. Boveng et al. (2003) used a generalized additive model (negative binomial regression), which provided an adjustment for the covariates, and also confirmed the nature and shape of the covariate effects.

5.1.1.a **Multicollinearity**

The multicollinearity is an exact linear relation among two or more input variables (Hocking, 1983). The presence of various (linearly) correlated features makes the model unstable, which implies that minor changes in the data can cause enormous changes in the coefficient values of the model, making model interpretation exceptionally difficult. Likewise, analytical limitations
identified with collinearity require us to redefine the approach to select variables, rather than adopting a naive approach where we indiscriminately utilize all data for analysis.

Multicollinearity is a phenomenon when two or more predictors in a multiple regression model are highly correlated. It leads to an increase in the standard error of the coefficients (Daoud, 2017). Furthermore, increased standard errors make a few factors statistically insignificant when they ought to be significant. On the other hand, if there is no linear relationship between predictor variables, they are termed as orthogonal (Jensen, Ramirez, 2013).

At the point when two or more features are highly correlated, the relationship between the independent and the dependent variables is contorted by the strong connection between the independent variables, which in turn leads to the likelihood of incorrect interpretation and results. Thus, if the variables are perfectly correlated, the regression is unlikely to be computed (Milliken, Johnson 2002).

5.1.1.b Variance Inflation Factor (VIF)

A straightforward way to identify and deal with collinearity among explanatory variables is the use of variance inflation factors (VIF). VIF estimations are clear and easily understandable; higher VIF value depicts the higher collinearity.

A VIF for a single feature is acquired utilizing the r-squared estimation of the regression of that feature against all other features (explanatory variables). A VIF is determined for each feature, and those features with ‘high’ VIF values (5-10) are removed.
Lin, Foster, and Ungar (2011) have shown that classical VIF regression outperforms many other models, including stepwise regression, Lasso, FoBa, and GPS.

\[ \text{VIF}_j = \frac{1}{1 - R_j^2} \]

### 5.1.2 Lasso Regression

Lasso (least absolute shrinkage and selection operator) is a regression analysis method that produces sparse solutions. The lasso was introduced in the field of statistics and machine learning to perform variable selection and regularization. It improves the prediction accuracy and interpretability of statistical models. It alters the model fitting process and selects a particular subset from the given covariates rather than using all of them in the final model. Thus, it is very useful in selecting a strong subset of features to improve model performance. Cross-validation is a simple technique to estimate the prediction error. It is the standard tool to select a value for the tuning parameter. Here, we divide the sample into a training set and test set to estimate the test error. Then cross-validation is done to select the best alpha and compute the associated test error to get the models coefficients.

In Lasso regression, the regularization parameter (\( \lambda \)) plays an important role in the model performance. Choosing the regularization parameter well improves the prediction accuracy and interpretability. It is responsible for the control of shrinkage strength and selection of features.

However, if the regularization is taken too high, then significant factors might be left out of the model, and coefficients might be contracted unreasonably, which in turn can affect predictive accuracy and the inferences drawn.
Lasso limits the residual sum of squares and generates some coefficients, which are precisely zero and thus give interpretable models (Tibshirani, 1995). Lasso regression consists of L1 regularization, which adds a penalty equivalent to the absolute estimation of the magnitude of the coefficients. It results in sparse models with few coefficients; the regularization parameter controls the strength of the L1 penalty, i.e., it makes non-influencing coefficients to zero and eliminates them from the model.

5.2 Data Visualization Using QGIS

A geographic Information System (GIS) helps to capture, store, analyze, manage, and visualize data and associated information that is spatially referenced to Earth (Eray, 2012). It is an application of information technology that allows people to solve many geographic problems quickly, effectively, and easily with the ability to make analysis, especially location analysis, in combination with traditional database systems.

According to De Grauwe, GIS helps in data visualization by projecting tabular data onto maps and providing more flexible assistance in prospective planning at various levels of analysis including national, regional, provincial, and local (De Grauwe, 2002).

Geographic information systems (GIS) technology and methods have made geographic analysis accessible to the desktop computer. Thus, it has transformed decision-making in society (Kerski, 2003). A GIS can be used to visualize the spatial relationships among real-world features. Many different types of information can be analyzed and contrasted using GIS, including information about income or education level. GIS also allows for modeling the results of an action or policy implementation. Nowadays, GIS can assist educational planning related to the allocation of
resources, proficiency of schools, and improving learning effectiveness. Geographic Information System (GIS) assists individuals in taking care of numerous geographic issues rapidly, viably, and effectively with the capacities to make location analysis.

In this research, GIS helps us to present a clear picture of educational facilities and solve the persisting problem of low enrollment trends in educational industries by focusing on geographic characteristics of areas from where students live. It helps us in determining the scope of improvement in college policies to increase college enrollment. The applicability of GIS in Education will assist decision-makers to support educational decisions by senior administration, which affects students’ chances of enrolling in a University. Thus, QGIS was used for data visualization in the preliminary stage to analyze the enrollment trends at the University of Michigan-Dearborn.

5.3 Spearmen Correlation Analysis

Now the next step was to find the linear relationship between demographic factors and their effect on college enrollments. It was done using the Spearmen Correlation analysis, which gave us the factors which were moderately and strongly correlated to enrollment trends.

The Spearman Correlation Coefficient is a rank-based, nonparametric method to assess the linear relationship between two random but continuous variables using a monotonic function. The statistical significance of Spearman correlation coefficient ranges from +1 to -1, where “+1” points towards a strong positive correlation, whereas a “-1” indicates a perfect negative correlation of random variables.
Spearman rank correlation helps to analyze whether the two ranked variables covary, i.e., with the increase in one variable the other variable tends to increase or decrease. With one measurement variable and one ranked variable, the measurement variable needs to be converted to ranks and Spearman rank correlation used on the two sets of ranks (McDonald, 2015).

5.4 Cluster Analysis

At a low and practical level, we wish to separate the varieties into distinct groups as often as we can without too frequently separating varieties that should stay together (Tukey, 1949). Whereas, in research, Plackett suggested the possibility of using cluster analysis in place of a multiple comparison technique for grouping the treatment means (O’Neill, Wetherill, 1971).

To accomplish a variety of research objectives, there is sometimes a need to find out which objects in a set are similar and dissimilar. Mathematical methods such as cluster analysis achieve this task mathematically. This quantitative method gathers the items with similar descriptions mathematically into the same cluster by sorting objects described as data. (Romesburg, 2004).

Cluster Analysis has been used for a variety of purposes ranging from segmentation of consumers in cluster analysis to the identifying of groups of schools or students with similar characteristics.

In the early days, such clustering was based only on the perception and judgment of the researcher. However, more recently objectivity standards of modern science have given rise to automatic classification procedures (Rousseeuw, 2009).
Hybels et al. (2009) used latent class cluster analysis to explore the profiles of depressive symptoms in older adults who are diagnosed with major depression and identified homogeneous clusters of individuals based on symptom profiles.

Jennings (2008) used Cluster Analysis to define rating territories for personal lines insurance in the United States. It created homogeneous groupings of geographic areas with similar exposure to the risk of insurance losses.

In a study based on Bahr’s behavioral typology, variation in patterns of students’ use of 105 community colleges in California was observed, and colleges were classified into five types using k-means cluster analysis. This classification was based on dominant or disproportionate patterns of use (Bahr, 2013).

In another study, survey data comprising of 663 community colleges from the Center for Community College Student Engagement was used to examine the existing similarities and differences in student engagement in community colleges based on k-means cluster analysis (Saenz, Hatch, Bukoski, Kim, Lee, Valdez, 2011).

The research described the students involved in the search phase of the college choice process, where students were identified into five unique clusters that differed from each other based on various academic, demographic, and personal characteristics. Further analysis of these clusters comprised of students with similar backgrounds and goals for higher education (Shaw, Kobrin, Packman, Schmidt, 2009).

Recent work on concurrent enrollment examined how educators and students categorize students’ motivations to select concurrent enrollment through a group concept mapping process. Multi-
dimensional scaling and hierarchical cluster analysis were applied to the grouped data to create a
cluster map of the educators’ categorizations. Furthermore, some differences were observed
between educators’ and students’ maps (Dare, Dare, Nowicki, 2017).

To design adequate strategies to attract, engage, and retain students in UNITEC’s Faculty of
Engineering and Architecture, the categorization of the municipalities was done by using
multivariate analysis and cluster. Similar characteristics were grouped to create a typology to
understand the distinctive characteristics of the hometowns of the students (Arzu, Valle, 2018).
In this research, there was a need to identify the groups of Zip Codes, which can be classified into
clusters sharing similar demographic characteristics. This objective was achieved with the help of
cluster analysis.

5.5 Control Group Analysis
To specifically deal with the areas which lack some significant attributes. It was of foremost
importance to compare the characteristics of Zip Codes of high enrollment count to those Zip
Codes with low enrollment counts. This was done using control group analysis, which compared
the differences in demographic characteristics of Zip Codes.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QGIS mapping</td>
<td>To visualize the enrollment trends of students at CECS, UM-Dearborn.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spearman correlation analysis</td>
<td>Find Correlation between demographic factors and college enrollments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster analysis</td>
<td>Identify the cluster of areas with similar demographic characteristics and calculate their total student enrollment percentage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control group analysis</td>
<td>Comparison of characteristics of Zip Codes with high and low enrollment, respectively.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Methods used
Chapter 6. Results

6.1 Feature Selection

Here, Feature selection included (1) Significant features from Negative Binomial Regression and (2) Lasso Regression.

6.1.1 GLM- Negative Binomial Regression:

Here, the Negative Binomial Regression model was used for selecting and interpreting variables, which is based on using coefficients of the regression model to select the essential features.

Initially we started with ten variables, but the output of Regression model gives us eight variables which depicts the presence of multicollinearity (Table 3).

In a statistical model, collinearity among explanatory variables can complicate or forestall the identification of an optimal set of features. We need to identify every significant feature to describe associations with the target variable more precisely.
VIF gives the combined effect of dependencies among the regressors on the variance of that term. Here the maximum VIF is 148.104205, which shows that a multicollinearity problem exists in this dataset. Furthermore, utilizing the VIF can help identify which regressors are responsible for the multicollinearity. The square root of the variance inflation factor depicts the variation in standard error in comparison to zero correlation of that specific factors to other predictor variables in the model (Table 4).
In the next step, the regressors responsible for the multicollinearity were removed. The updated regression model is much improved compared with the original. We see a decrease in the number of features that are significantly related to the target variable. This decrease is directly related to the standard error estimates for the parameters (Table 5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>VIF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median Household Income</td>
<td>2.805109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Households</td>
<td>148.104205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Households with internet access</td>
<td>131.740509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Distance to UM-Dearborn</td>
<td>1.496327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of People with bachelor’s degree and above</td>
<td>7.521675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of College Eligible people (18 – 24 years old).</td>
<td>4.076598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of people below poverty level</td>
<td>11.525756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minority Population</td>
<td>4.375310</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 Initial variance inflation factor (VIF)
In the regression model, three asterisks represent a highly significant p-value. A p-value of 0.05 or less is a good cut-off point. Thus, a small p-value for the intercept and the slope shows that we can reject the null hypothesis, which permits us to presume that there is a strong relationship between features and response variables. AIC (Akaike information criterion) is used as an estimator of relative goodness of fit of the model for a specific set of data (Table 6).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>VIF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median Household Income</td>
<td>2.426643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Distance to UM-Dearborn</td>
<td>1.511012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of People with bachelor’s degree and above</td>
<td>4.472690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of People who are high school graduate and above</td>
<td>3.337724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of College Eligible people (18 – 24 years old)</td>
<td>2.914366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minority Population</td>
<td>1.883107</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 Final variance inflation factor (VIF)
Coefficients:

| Variable by Zip Code                | Estimate | Std. Error | t value | Pr(>|t|) |
|-------------------------------------|----------|------------|---------|---------|
| (Intercept)                         | 3.134e+00| 3.933e-01  | 7.967   | 4.99e-14 *** |
| Median Household Income             | -4.342e-06| 5.085e-06  | -0.854  | 0.394   |
| Travel Distance to UM-Dearborn      | -2.534e-02| 2.393e-03  | -10.593 | < 2e-16 *** |
| Number of People with bachelor’s degree and above | 9.660e-06| 2.613e-05  | 0.370   | 0.712   |
| Number of People who are high school graduate and above | 7.099e-05| 1.266e-05  | 5.608   | 5.18e-08 *** |
| Number of College Eligible people (18 – 24 years old) | -4.162e-05| 5.614e-05  | -0.741  | 0.459   |
| Minority Population                | -5.136e-05| 1.291e-05  | -3.979  | 8.98e-05 *** |

AIC: 20682

Table 6 Final regression model

In our model, the factors Median Household Income, Travel Distance to UM-Dearborn, Number of People who are high school graduate and above have low p-value which shows that they are significant predictors in our analysis.

6.1.2 Lasso Regression

Lasso Regression performs the variable selection by imposing a constraint on the features which affects the regression coefficients for some features and shrink them to zero. The plot shows the optimization of Lasso in terms of choosing the best Regularization Parameter ($\lambda$) (Figure 4). Furthermore, features with a regression coefficient equal to zero are eliminated from the model whereas, features with non-zero regression coefficients are strongly related with the response (Table 7).
Figure 4 Regularization parameter ($\lambda$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coefficients:</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>$2.859765e+00$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Household Income</td>
<td>$0.000000e+00$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Distance to UM-Dearborn</td>
<td>$-2.184679e-02$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of People with bachelor’s degree and above</td>
<td>$0.000000e+00$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of People who are high school graduate and above</td>
<td>$6.000622e-05$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of College Eligible people (18 – 24 years old)</td>
<td>$0.000000e+00$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minority Population</td>
<td>$-3.463263e-05$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7 Lasso regression coefficients
Statistically chosen factors include Travel Distance to UM-Dearborn, Number of People who are high school graduate and above, Minority Population.

Factors selected based on the literature include: Median Household Income, Number of College Eligible people (18 – 24 years old), Number of households with internet access, Total Population.

Final features selected in this study include:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. No.</th>
<th>Features (Variable by Zip Code)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Travel Distance to UM Dearborn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Number of People who are high school graduate and above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Minority Population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Median Household Income</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Number of College Eligible people (18 – 24 years old)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Number of households with internet access.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Total Population</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8 Final selected features

6.2 Data Visualization Using QGIS

In the preliminary analysis, student enrollment data (number of students enrolled at UM-Dearborn along with their Zip Code in Michigan) and open-source data from the US Census is utilized to investigate the role of demographic profiles of the students’ home Zip Codes on college access. The data is visualized graphically using QGIS to observe the differences in demographic characteristics by Zip Codes.
The maps indicate a clear difference in the student demographics as represented by Zip Codes. It gave a clear indication of which factors should be investigated further. Southeast Michigan has the highest representation of students admitted to the UM-Dearborn CECS during the years 2015 to 2019 (Figure 5).

More than half of the state’s population is located in Southeast Michigan together with a majority of the state’s industries and businesses. So, the large cluster of enrollment counts from this area is expected for a metropolitan college.

Figure 5 UM-Dearborn CECS undergraduate enrollment count of year (2015 - 2019) from respective Zip Codes
Next, in order to understand and analyze which demographic characteristics affect student’s admission, each factor and its effects are visualized using QGIS maps. These factors provide the researchers with additional insights into the community characteristics that admitted students represent. Furthermore, the results will suggest opportunities for the university to provide support for current students and advocate for support for pre-college students.

Figure 6 Percentage of people with bachelor’s degrees or higher in the respective Zip Codes

Figure 6 represents the number of people with bachelor’s degrees or higher in the respective Zip Codes of admitted students. We found that admitted students represented communities with diverse levels of education.
Also, the highest number of admitted students represented Zip Codes with the highest density of college-educated people. This diverse education distribution can also be seen from Figure 7 which tells us about the population with high school graduation and above.

![Figure 7 Percentage of people with high school graduation or higher in the respective Zip Codes in Michigan](image)

The distribution pattern of Median household Income in respective Zip Codes, ranges from $20,505 to $140,372, which denotes a significant diversity in terms of affordability (i.e., access to basic needs). The overall U.S. median income was $55,775 (2015) and $63,030 (2019), which shows a significant difference in income diversity. This variation in median household income across Zip Codes gives information about the social class and the lifestyle of students on campus (Figure 8).
The number of households with an internet connection Admitted students in UM-Dearborn CECS Department all lived in Zip Codes where at least 47.2% of the households had access to the internet. Zip Codes with higher Per Capita income also yielded a higher quantity of homes with an internet connection. Thus, this map helped us to visualize and understand the distribution patterns of one of the basic needs- Internet Access (Figure 9).
The impact of racial diversity in Zip Codes from which students are admitted may play an essential role in their enrollment decision. Eighty seven percent of the Zip Codes students are from have a minority population of less than 50 percent (Figure 10).
Figure 10 Percentage of minority population in the respective Zip Codes in Michigan

Figure 11 shows the distance that students from respective Zip Codes need to travel by road to reach UM-Dearborn. Also, from the analysis, it is shown that 97.15 percent of students who enrolled at the UM-Dearborn CECS department had a permanent home within 50 miles from the University.
Figure 11 Travel distance to UM-Dearborn from the respective Zip Codes
Figure 12 shows the population distribution of the Zip Codes from which students enrolled at UM-Dearborn originate. This visualization has helped us to analyze the population differences across Zip Codes. Similarly, Figure 13 shows us the college eligible population within each Zip Code where, college eligible age group is defined as age group between 18 to 24 years.
Thus, GIS mapping provides an opportunity to assist researchers in understanding the association between college students’ enrollment decision and their demographic characteristics of their home Zip Codes. Furthermore, it suggests practical ways for stakeholders to impact students’ college access by addressing community needs. This step helped us to examine and visualize the distribution of various demographic factors across Michigan, which might affect the students’ admission decision at UM-Dearborn and further focus on a particular Zip Codes to study the parameters which lead to such effects. The use of geospatial mapping as a tool can help formulate explanations and stimulate additional questions and approaches (Hogrebe, Blankson, Zou, 2008).
Mapping of the demographic variables with enrollment trends for visual analysis leads us to a better understanding of relationships that have influenced enrollment. For example, if a student is living in a home which is at or below the poverty level, they might lack access to basic needs (i.e., electricity at home, resources for school supplies, computer, and technology, transportation) to prevail in the studies.

The preliminary research provides encouragement to further the investigation and analyze different factors that may affect the success of underrepresented minority students, as well as female students’ access and success in colleges of engineering across the country. Now, the results from the next steps which includes Spearmen Correlation Analysis, Cluster Analysis and Control Group Analysis will give us the impact of several factors on college access. It will also help us identify trends that indicate which community demographic variables affect engineering student’s college access. Furthermore, it will help University administrators to support those characteristics in more communities and focus on different strategies to increase the enrollment of underrepresented minorities and female students in colleges of engineering.

6.3 Spearmen Correlation Analysis (linear association of random variables)

In this research, to elaborate a ranking, only those variables yielding values equal to or greater than 0.4 were taken into account as a significant parameter. Thus, by linearly associating the seven chosen factors for each of the 269 Zip Codes used in this research, five factors were deemed significant (Table 9). These five factors include (1) Minority Population, (2) Number of households with Internet access, (3) Travel Distance to UM-Dearborn, (4) Number of People who are high school graduate and above (5) Total Population.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Correlation</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median Household Income</td>
<td>0.111727</td>
<td>0.0673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minority Population</td>
<td>0.4144214</td>
<td>1.3751e⁻¹²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of households with Internet access</td>
<td>0.4506992</td>
<td>7.303e⁻¹⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Distance to UM-Dearborn</td>
<td>-0.7200558</td>
<td>2.2e⁻¹⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of People who are high school graduate and above</td>
<td>0.4385443</td>
<td>4.53e⁻¹⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Population</td>
<td>0.4471879</td>
<td>1.247e⁻¹⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of College Eligible people (18 – 24 years old)</td>
<td>0.3647297</td>
<td>6.919e⁻¹⁰</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9 Spearmen correlation results

Now by using the factors with Correlation value greater than 0.4, we will characterize and classify 269 Zip Codes of origin of the students majoring in the CECS department at UM-Dearborn, from 2015 to 2019. In the next step, we will focus on the top 50 Zip Codes with the highest enrollment count and the performance (typically based on Interquartile range) for each of these factors previously chosen based on the Spearman Correlation Coefficient (as shown in Figure 14).
6.4 Cluster Analysis

In this study, a k-means clustering algorithm was used as an unsupervised method for data analysis to find a structure in the data. Gap statistic method was used to estimate the number of clusters (Figure 15). It compares the change in within-cluster variation for different values of k with their expected values under an appropriate reference null distribution of the data (Robert et al., 2001). These clusters of Zip Codes were created based on similarities in their demographic profiles. The clusters were further studied to analyze their enrollment trend. The data consists of 269 Zip Codes of Michigan, which were divided into four clusters (Figure 16).
Figure 15 Optimal number of clusters

Figure 16 Visualization of 269 Zip Codes into four clusters
• Cluster 1 consists of 63 Zip Codes with the lowest average median Household Income ($36391.21) and the highest ethnic minority population of 46.44 percent. Also, 65 percent of Zip Codes have a travel distance within 50 miles from UM-Dearborn and 65 percent of households have access to internet.

• Cluster 2 consists of 94 Zip Codes, with the lowest ethnic minority population of 11.24 percent. Also, 57 percent of Zip Codes have a travel distance within 50 miles from UM-Dearborn and 83 percent of households have access to internet.

• Cluster 3 consists of 42 Zip Codes with the lowest college eligible population of 7 percent. Also, 95 percent of Zip Codes have a travel distance within 50 miles from UM-Dearborn and 91 percent of households have access to internet.

• Cluster 4 consists of 70 Zip Codes, with the highest college eligible population of 11.51 percent with the highest total population. Also, 71 percent of Zip Codes have a travel distance within 50 miles from UM-Dearborn and 79 percent of households have access to internet.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clusters</th>
<th>Average median Household Income</th>
<th>Percentage of Minority population</th>
<th>Percentage of Zip Codes with Travel distance within 50 miles from UM-Dearborn</th>
<th>Percentage of households have access to internet.</th>
<th>Percentage of College eligible population</th>
<th>Percentage contributio n to state Population</th>
<th>Percentage of Population who are high school graduate and above</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cluster 1</td>
<td>$36391.21</td>
<td>46.44</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>65.66</td>
<td>10.58</td>
<td>18.67</td>
<td>81.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster 2</td>
<td>$66188.98</td>
<td>11.24</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>82.83</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>92.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster 3</td>
<td>$103406.00</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>90.62</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13.56</td>
<td>96.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster 4</td>
<td>$55329.20</td>
<td>29.13</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>78.91</td>
<td>11.51</td>
<td>45.68</td>
<td>89.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 10 Percentage distribution of factors based on clusters

Table 11 below provides the mean value for all the factors in each cluster. These values depict the composition characteristics of each cluster.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>cluster</th>
<th>Median Household Income</th>
<th>Household s with Internet</th>
<th>Travel Distance to Universit y</th>
<th>Population with high school graduation and high</th>
<th>Total Populatio n</th>
<th>Total Eligible Populatio n</th>
<th>Minority Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>36391.21</td>
<td>4506.159</td>
<td>62.95873</td>
<td>14399.95</td>
<td>17569.11</td>
<td>1860.143</td>
<td>8158.429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>66188.98</td>
<td>4655.596</td>
<td>58.68936</td>
<td>12948.04</td>
<td>13924.35</td>
<td>1106.755</td>
<td>1564.734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>103406</td>
<td>6441.929</td>
<td>32.98095</td>
<td>18404.62</td>
<td>19147.48</td>
<td>1353.476</td>
<td>2969.381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>55329.20</td>
<td>11899.943</td>
<td>48.24571</td>
<td>34808.83</td>
<td>38684.14</td>
<td>4455.243</td>
<td>11270.814</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11 Mean values for all predictor variables in each cluster

Table 12 shows the percentage of students enrolled belonging to each Cluster and also the total number of Zip Codes in each Cluster. The resulting distribution pattern for the percentage of the student enrolled at CECS Department UM-Dearborn is as follows:
Table 12 Cluster analysis results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clusters</th>
<th>Percentage contribution of the students</th>
<th>Total Zip Codes in each cluster</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cluster 1</td>
<td>11.72%</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster 2</td>
<td>17.72%</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster 3</td>
<td>14.84%</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster 4</td>
<td>55.71%</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 13 shows the significant correlations between the variables with a high correlation value by the Zip Codes belonging to each Cluster using the Spearman Correlation Coefficient. The results show that the highest correlation occurs with the variable “Travel distance from particular Zip Code to UM-Dearborn”.

Figure 17 Formation of clusters using K-means clustering method

Figure 17 Formation of clusters using K-means clustering method
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster 1</th>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Correlation Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Travel Distance to UM Dearborn</td>
<td>-0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minority Population</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster 2</th>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Correlation Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of College Eligible people (18 – 24 years old)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Population</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of People who are high school graduate and above</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Travel Distance to UM Dearborn</td>
<td>-0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total households with internet access</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minority Population</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster 3</th>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Correlation Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minority Population</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Population</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of People who are high school graduate and above</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Travel Distance to UM Dearborn</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total households with internet access</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster 4</th>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Correlation Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Travel Distance to UM Dearborn</td>
<td>-0.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 13: Spearman correlation coefficient per cluster
6.5 Control group analysis

In this study, two control groups were organized based on the number of students that each Zip Code provides to the total population of the College of Engineering and Computer Science at UM-Dearborn. A total of 269 Zip Codes are taken into account in this study. Control Group 1 included the 27 Zip Codes (top 10 percent), with the highest enrollment count totaling 5828 students, and Control Group 2 included the 54 Zip Codes (top 20 percent), which were home to 7259 students.

To compare the characteristics of the remaining Michigan Zip Codes with the two control groups, a range was established for each factor comprising of lower and higher limits for respective Zip Codes within each control group.

In the case of Control Group 1, 27 Zip Codes contribute 64.5% of the student population. The common attributes of Zip Codes taken in this analysis are (1) Median household income, (2) Number of Households with internet access, (3) College eligible population, (4) Travel Distance to University, and (5) Number of people who are high school graduate and above, (6) Minority Population, (7) Total Population.

The difference between the percentage of the students that come from these Zip Codes (from control group 1) with the others is so significant and conclusive that it indicates these seven variables, could be the most decisive to the number of students enrolling at UM-Dearborn. The variable “Median household income” is used as an indicator of the purchasing power of the population, the variable “Number of Households with internet access” is used as an indicator of the level of coverage of the basic needs of the people, variable “Total Population” indicate the population in that area and the factor “Number of people who are high school graduate and above” indicates overall educational level in that particular area.
In the next step, each Zip Code is compared to the characteristics of Control Group based on the range of values of each factor (Table 14). The analysis shows that only 20 Zip Codes depicts characteristics similar to Control Group 1. Out of the remaining 242 Zip Codes, 116 Zip Codes show six similarities of seven possible (86%). And, only 42 Zip Codes show five similarities of seven possible (71%). However, these similarities are not the same for every Zip Code. The only commonalities detected were: (1) Number of households with internet access (2) Number of People who are high school graduate and above. Now, in the case of Control Group 2, it comprises of 54 Zip Codes with highest enrollment count that contribute 80% of the student population.

Table 14 Control group 1 range
Out of the remaining 215 Zip Codes, 77 Zip Codes share all seven possible common characteristics with Control Group 2 that are not shared by the other Zip Codes, and 88 Zip Codes show six similarities of 7 possible (86%). The specific commonalities between these Zip Codes and control group 2 were: (1) Total Population, (2) Number of households with internet access (3) Number of College Eligible people, (4) Minority Population, and (5) Number of People who are high school graduate and above.

Only 10 Zip Codes show five similarities of seven possible similarities (71%), and specific commonalities shown were: (1) Median household income, (2) Number of households with internet access (3) Number of People who are high school graduate and above.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FACTORS</th>
<th>RANGE</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Minimum</strong></td>
<td><strong>Maximum</strong></td>
<td><strong>Minimum</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median household Income</td>
<td>25028</td>
<td>138068</td>
<td>25028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Households with internet access</td>
<td>1523</td>
<td>21264</td>
<td>1523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of College Eligible people</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>14097</td>
<td>590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Distance to University (miles)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of People who are high school graduate and above</td>
<td>5227</td>
<td>62421</td>
<td>5227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minority Population</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>42817</td>
<td>354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Population</td>
<td>7554</td>
<td>67775</td>
<td>7554</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 15 Control group 2 range

Although results reveal many attributes about the Zip Codes, which are deemed necessary for good Enrollment Count at CECS Department UM-Dearborn. But there are few contradictory results which need attention. For example: In Control Group 1 analysis, Zip Code “48226” despite showing commonality with Control group-1 has a low enrollment count. Similarly, based on Control group-2 analysis Zip Codes “48383”, “48360”, “48329”, “48342”, “48043”, “48227”, “48346”, “48015”, “48038” shows an abnormality of low enrollment count. These Zip Codes need to be analyzed to find the root cause of the problem. Table 15 and Table 16 shows the characteristics of these Zip Codes.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student Counts</th>
<th>Zip Codes</th>
<th>Median household Income</th>
<th>Number of Households with internet access</th>
<th>Number of College Eligible people</th>
<th>Travel Distance to University (miles)</th>
<th>Number of People who are high school graduate and above</th>
<th>Minority Population</th>
<th>Total Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>48226</td>
<td>47894</td>
<td>2884</td>
<td>1144</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>5936</td>
<td>3818</td>
<td>6537</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 16 Comparison to control group 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student Counts</th>
<th>Zip Codes</th>
<th>Median household Income</th>
<th>Number of Households with internet access</th>
<th>Number of College Eligible people</th>
<th>Travel Distance to University (miles)</th>
<th>Number of People who are high school graduate and above</th>
<th>Minority Population</th>
<th>Total Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>48383</td>
<td>86333</td>
<td>4174</td>
<td>1273</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>12691</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>13544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>48360</td>
<td>104389</td>
<td>3977</td>
<td>1230</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>11778</td>
<td>1217</td>
<td>12055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>48329</td>
<td>70537</td>
<td>8479</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>23023</td>
<td>2410</td>
<td>24917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>48342</td>
<td>25989</td>
<td>4499</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>13556</td>
<td>12426</td>
<td>17335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>48043</td>
<td>39537</td>
<td>5075</td>
<td>1474</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>14106</td>
<td>5240</td>
<td>16383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>48227</td>
<td>29971</td>
<td>7939</td>
<td>4618</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>35162</td>
<td>41528</td>
<td>42364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>48346</td>
<td>74958</td>
<td>7911</td>
<td>2053</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>21498</td>
<td>1922</td>
<td>23067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>48015</td>
<td>37958</td>
<td>2717</td>
<td>681</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>7129</td>
<td>2506</td>
<td>8299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>48038</td>
<td>55567</td>
<td>16438</td>
<td>4103</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>39866</td>
<td>6507</td>
<td>43192</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 17 Comparison to control group 2
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Chapter 7. Discussion

This research addresses the issue of poor enrollment trends at the College of Engineering and Computer Science department, University of Michigan-Dearborn. It assists University administrators and policymakers to formulate strategies to attract and enroll more students at UM-Dearborn.

The results could be helpful to recognize the economic limitations (such as access to basic needs, median income.) that are faced by the students of specific Zip Codes/communities and also develop strategies such as community outreach programs.

Visual analysis using QGIS Maps led us to understand the distribution of various demographic characteristics across Michigan and their effects on student’s admission. (Figure 5) shows the UM-Dearborn CECS Undergraduate Enrollment Count of the year (2015 - 2019) from respective Zip Codes. Also, the maximum representation of admitted students was from the southeast Michigan area. (Figure 6 and Figure 7) show the educational level of People in the respective Zip Codes in Michigan, which suggests that admitted students represented communities with diverse levels of education. (Figure 8 and Figure 9) shows the Median Household Income of people and internet access, respectively. These factors define the characteristics and provide the researchers with additional insights and information about the social class, community characteristics, and the lifestyle of admitted students on campus.
Further maps include the representation of Minority Population in the respective Zip Codes in Michigan, Travel distance to UM-Dearborn from respective Zip Codes.

With a majority of the state’s industries and businesses, Southeast Michigan consists of more than half of the state’s population. So, the large cluster of enrollment counts from this area is expected. Thus, the GIS Mapping technique guided us to the different demographic factors which affect the admission of students at the University of Michigan - Dearborn.

It is evident from the analysis that there are specific demographic attributes deemed necessary for student’s enrollment decisions. These are (1) Minority Population in a Zip Code, (2) Number of households with Internet access, (3) Travel Distance to UM-Dearborn, (4) Number of People who are high school graduate and above, (5) Total Population, (6) Number of College Eligible people (18 – 24 years old).

Furthermore, the results suggest opportunities for the university to provide support for current students and advocate for support for pre-college students. Decision-makers should also take into consideration Zip Codes such as “48226”, “48383”, “48360”, “48329”, “48342”, “48043”, “48227”, “48346”, “48015”, “48038” which have an abnormality of low student enrollment count despite achieving the highest level of performance in demographic characteristics that were considered as significant (Figure 18).
However, this study comprised only the Socioeconomic and Demographic Factors for the analysis of enrollment trends. More personal (e.g., specific racial characteristics vs. minority groups) and academic factors can be considered to investigate the relationship of such factors on college enrollments and the academic success of students. Also, some Zip Codes that are not considered in this study due to unavailability of data from US Census are “41010”, “46411”, “48153”, “48333”, “49696”, “48303”.

Figure 18 Visualization of Zip Codes with similar characteristics to control groups
Chapter 8. Conclusion

The issue of whether or not the Socioeconomic and Demographic Factors of a neighborhood influence youngsters’ educational attainment has a lot of policy relevance. If these factors are significant, then policies that exclusively emphasize on building up people’s skills based on academic factors without dealing with their demographic factors are probably not going to be effective in increasing educational attainment.

This project aims at predicting student enrollment trends based on demographic characteristics of their home Zip Codes and determine those characteristics that influence the possibility of student’s enrollment in CECS at the University of Michigan- Dearborn. Furthermore, these results will be utilized for making strategies to attract, engage, and retain more students.

Based on this research, addressing the issue of poor enrollment suggests more consideration should be given to the surroundings in which youngsters live.
Chapter 9. Future work

This study was designed and performed only for students at the College of Engineering and Computer science at the University of Michigan- Dearborn. The results from the analysis of the data in this research indicate that such a study, when performed at a larger scale, has the potential to uncover existing pathways to engineering degrees used by URM students (Underrepresented Minorities).

Additionally, we may find unexplored opportunities and pathways to engage, admit, and enroll more historically underrepresented students from communities with low enrollment trends. This work can encourage partnerships that can drive institutional initiatives, k-12 educational policy, research, and curricular changes that lead to more significant support and success for minority youth in engineering.

Also, this study comprised only the demographic factors for the analysis of enrollment trends. More personal and academic factors can be taken into account to investigate the relationship of such factors on college enrollments and also the academic success of students. In the next phase of the study, undergraduate engineering student data over multiple years can be taken into account. The factors that can be included in further large scale study are (1) Student Race/Ethnicity, (2) Student - Aid eligibility (PELL), (3) gender (GENDER), (4) FTIAC/TRANSFER Status, (5) anticipated graduation date, (6) High school Zip Code, (7) Personal Zip Code, (8) Student AP/IB or similar Courses completed, (9) Student AP courses available at the High school, (10) Graduation rates in 4,5, 6+ years by race and gender, (11) Student retention rates by race,
(12) High school grade point average (HSGPA), (13) SAT math score (SATQ), (14) SAT verbal score (SATV), (15) Student’s first semester GPA, (16) Poverty index, (17) Access to basic needs (Access to internet, power, technology). Furthermore, with the use of statistical techniques, we hope to identify factors, trends, and opportunities to positively impact the academic success of students and also increase enrollment counts, especially for underrepresented minorities.
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