# Statistical analysis of equatorial plasma irregularities retrieved from Swarm 2013–2019 observations

Ercha Aa,<sup>1,2</sup> Shasha Zou,<sup>1</sup> and Siqing Liu<sup>3</sup>

-

Author Manuscrip

Correspondence to: E. Aa (aercha@mit.edu)

<sup>1</sup>Department of Climate and Space

Sciences and Engineering, University of

Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA.

<sup>2</sup>Now at Haystack Observatory,

Massachusetts Institute of Technology,

Westford, MA, USA.

<sup>3</sup>National Space Science Center, Chinese

Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China.

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1029/2019JA027022

Abstract. In this study, we present a statistical analysis of equatorial plasma irregularities (EPIs) by using in-situ plasma density measurements of the Swarm constellation from December 2013 to December 2019. The oc-5 currence patterns for both postsunset and postmidnight EPIs with respect to longitude, season, local time, latitude, solar activity, and geomagnetic activity level are investigated, respectively. The main findings are as follows: (1) The postsunset/postmidnight EPIs occurrence rates exhibit different longitudinal and seasonal dependence: the postsunset EPIs have the maximum occurrence rate over the American-Atlantic sectors during the December solstice and equinoxes, and the postmidnight EPIs have the maximum occurrence rate during the June solstice, especially over the African sector. (2) The postsunset EPIs occurrence rates have a positive correlation with solar activity, while the postmidnight EPIs are negatively correlated with it. (3) The latitudinal distribution of EPIs exhibits a double-peak structure around  $\pm 5^{\circ}$ magnetic latitude with a more significant peak in the summer hemisphere. 17 (4) The EPIs occurrence rate increases with increasing geomagnetic activity level. (5) The main controlling factors for the distribution of postsunset EPIs are the magnetic declination angle, equatorial vertical  $E \times B$  drift, and ----thermospheric zonal wind. For the postmidnight EPIs, the main controlling factors are likely to be atmospheric gravity waves and equatorward thermospheric meridional wind associated with midnight temperature maximum.

DRAFT

1. Introduction

Equatorial plasma irregularities (EPIs) refer to irregular plasma density structures over 24 the equatorial and low-latitude ionosphere, which can adversely affect the performance of navigation and communication systems and thus have been extensively investigated for decades. EPIs associated with equatorial plasma bubbles are generated by the Rayleigh-Taylor (R-T) instability in the bottom-side ionosphere, and they can penetrate vertically through the topside ionosphere, extend to higher altitudes and map to higher latitudes along the magnetic field lines [Kelley et al., 1976; Ott, 1978; Tsunoda, 1980; Tsunoda et al., 1982; Fejer et al., 1999]. The morphology and evolution processes of EPIs have been widely studied by using multi-instrument measurements. For example, density irregularities are seen as airglow emission depletions in optical observations of ground-based all-sky imagers or space-based ultraviolet imagers [Kelley et al., 2003; Makela and Kelley, 2003; Kil et al., 2009a; Comberiate and Paxton, 2010; Martinis et al., 2015; Aa et al., 2020], plume-like structures in radar backscatter measurements [Woodman and La Hoz, 1976; Yokoyama and Fukao, 2006; Li et al., 2013; Jin et al., 2018], range-type equatorial spread-F (ESF) echoes on ionograms [Abdu et al., 2003; Li et al., 2018], in situ plasma density depletions detected by Low-Earth Orbiting (LEO) satellite observations [Basu et al., 2001; Huang et al., 2007; Xiong et al., 2010; Huang et al., 2012; Zakharenkova et al., 2016; Xiong et al., 2018; Aa et al., 2018; Cherniak et al., 2019], and total electron content (TEC) depletions derived from Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) measurements [Ma and Maruyama, 2006; Cherniak and Zakharenkova, 2016; Katamzi-Joseph et al., 2017; Blanch et al., 2018; Aa et al., 2019]. Moreover, numerical models have also been used to

study the triggering mechanisms of EPIs [e.g. Huba and Joyce, 2007; Huba et al., 2008; 45 Retterer and Gentile, 2009; Krall et al., 2011; Aveiro et al., 2012; Yokoyama et al., 2014; Carter et al., 2016]. 47

Statistical studies have been conducted to understand the occurrence probability of 48 EPIs as a function of local time, latitude, longitude, season, solar cycle, and geomagnetic variation. Much has been done by using long-term continuous observations, such as ground-based GNSS receivers and space-based radio occultation measurements [Nishioka et al., 2008; Carter et al., 2013; Yu et al., 2018], measurements of retarding potential 52 analyzer onboard Atmosphere Explorer-E (AE-E) satellite [Kil and Heelis, 1998], plasma density detected by ion sensor onboard the Defense Meteorological Satellite Program (DMSP) [Huang et al., 2002; Burke et al., 2004a, b; Gentile et al., 2006], observations of the Ion Trap sensor onboard FORMASAT-1 satellite [Su et al., 2006, 2008; Kil et al., 2009b], measurements of the Ion Velocity Meter (IVM) or Planar Langmuir Probe onboard the Communications/Navigation Outage Forecasting System (C/NOFS) satellite [Yizengaw 58 et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2014; Retterer and Roddy, 2014; Smith and Heelis, 2017], flux-59 gate magnetometer measurements onboard CHAMP satellite [Stolle et al., 2006; Lühr 60 et al., 2014], as well as electric field instrument (EFI) measurements onboard Swarm constellation [Xiong et al., 2016, 2018; Zakharenkova et al., 2016; Rodríguez-Zuluaga et al., 2017; Wan et al., 2018], etc.

Although significant progress has been achieved through these statistical studies, char-64 acterization of the global temporal/spatial distribution of EPIs is still a challenging prob-65 lem. Some important issues still need further investigation, including: (1) What are 66 the differences and similarities of postsunset and postmidnight EPI occurrence rate and 67

their major controlling factors? Many studies have found that the postsunet EPIs have 68 higher occurrence rate in the Atlantic-African sectors and lower occurrence rate in the 69 Indian-Pacific sectors, and maximum occurrence rate is usually observed in the equinoxes 70 and minimum occurrence rate is usually observed between May and August [e.g. Huang 71 et al., 2001, 2002; Burke et al., 2004a, b; Gentile et al., 2006]. These longitudinal and seasonal results were also confirmed by some other studies among which the conclusion is drawn by merging the evening and morning EPIs as a whole [e.g. Stolle et al., 2006; Su et al., 2006, 2008; Kil et al., 2009b; Lühr et al., 2014]. However, the formation mechanism of the postmidnight EPIs is still under debate and only few statistical studies have been conducted to investigate the spatial and temporal distribution of postmidnight EPIs [Yizengaw et al., 2013; Wan et al., 2018]. Both Yizengaw et al. [2013] and Wan et al. [2018] found that strong occurrence peak of postmidnight EPIs exists during June solstice predominantly in the African sector, which is quite different from the postsunset results. Thus, it is necessary to further study the differences/similarities of postsunset 81 and postmidnight EPIs and investigate the possible factors that control their longitudinal 82 and seasonal distribution. (2) How to interpret the seemingly conflicting observations of 83 solar dependence on EPI occurrence rate? Some prior studies indicated that EPIs are 84 more often detected during solar maximum and less during solar minimum [e.g. Huang **\_** et al., 2002; Stolle et al., 2006; Carter et al., 2013; Yu et al., 2018], while some other 86 studies showed that the postmidnight EPIs have higher occurrence rate during low solar 87 activity period [Makela and Miller, 2011; Smith and Heelis, 2017], and the African sector have larger occurrence rate and higher correlation with F10.7 than other sectors during 89 solar minimum [Nishioka et al., 2008; Dao et al., 2011; Yizengaw et al., 2013]. Thus, the 90

role of solar activity in the occurrence of EPIs remains an open question and need to be 91 further studied. 92

Recently, Wan et al. [2018] conducted an interesting quiet-time climatological study 93 on the occurrence and amplitude of equatorial plasma depletions by using the Swarm 94 constellation in situ electron density from 2013 to 2017. They found that the highest occurrence rate and the largest amplitudes of equatorial plasma depletions are rarely related with each other, and the occurrence rate of postmidnight is generally reduced compared to premidnight ones at most longitudes except for the African sector. In this 98 study, we extended the work of Wan et al. [2018] to investigate in depth the statistical behavior of the occurrence rate of both postsunset and postmidnight EPIs as well as their 100 dependence on solar and geomagnetic activity levels. The results were also derived from the Swarm in situ Ne measurements but covering a longer time period from December 2013 102 to December 2019, which basically covers the declining phase of the solar cycle 24 with 103 a full range of solar and geomagnetic activity levels. We specified the diurnal, seasonal, 104 longitudinal, and latitudinal distribution patterns of both postsunset and postmidnight 105 EPIs and discussed their similar/different driven mechanisms correspondingly based on 106 the identified pattern. We also examined the solar and geomagnetic activity dependences 107 of EPIs, respectively. We sought answers for the above-mentioned questions, and achieved 108 -----further insights into the spatial/temporal variability of EPIs. The rest of the paper is 109 organized as follows: the data set and the processing method will be briefly introduced in 110 section 2. The statistical results of EPIs will be given in section 3. The discussions and 111 conclusions will be presented in section 4 and section 5, respectively. 112

## 2. Data and Method

ٽب

The Swarm constellation consists of three satellites, which were launched into an approx-113 imately circular near-polar orbit ( $\sim 88^\circ$ ) on 22 November 2013. Swarm A and Swarm C fly 114 side-by-side at an altitude of 440–460 km, separated by about 1.4° in longitude. The third 115 satellite, Swarm B, orbits the Earth at about 520 km with a higher inclination. This provides an opportunity to make a comparative analysis of ionospheric irregularities by using 117 similar Ne measurements from identical instruments at different altitudinal/longitudinal 118 sectors. Swarm has comprehensive payload elements: vector field magnetometer, absolute 119 scalar magnetometer, electric field instrument, accelerometer, laser range reflector, and GPS receiver. One of the most relevant instruments onboard is the electric field instru-121 ment (EFI) that is capable of measuring the plasma density with a time resolution of 2 Hz, which affords an excellent opportunity to study the variability and distribution of 123 plasma irregularities. 124

Different algorithms have been used for extracting the EPI events from the Ne mea-125 surements, and we use a similar method to that described by Xiong et al. [2016], Stolle 126 et al. [2006], Wan et al. [2018] and references therein. First, the continuous time series of 127 Ne profiles, after quality control flags were applied, were divided into orbital segments of 128 equatorial crossing within a range of  $\pm 40^{\circ}$  geomagnetic latitude. Then the log electron 129 density of each orbital segment was high-pass filtered with a cutoff period of 40 s that 130 corresponds to an along-track spatial scale of  $\sim 300$  km. Subsequently, the filtered residual 131 was rectified. Residual values exceeding a threshold were identified as the EPIs events. 132 Since there is no generally accepted value of the threshold, after estimating the level of 133 quiet-time Ne variations and considering the previous references [e.g. Su et al., 2006; Kil 134

et al., 2009b; Xiong et al., 2016, the value of 0.3 was used in the current study as the 135 threshold to identify irregularities. Besides, after implementing a sensitivity analysis we 136 found that a 30% fluctuation in the current threshold level will only lead to 5%-7% vari-137 ation in the statistical results; thus the characteristics of EPIs could be obtained using 138 this threshold. To illustrate the above-mentioned algorithm, Figure 1 shows an example of plasma irregularities measured by Swarm A on 08 September 2017. Figures 1a and 1b present satellite orbit, and the corresponding latitudinal profile of the plasma density, re-141 spectively. Figures 1c and 1d display the high-pass filtered Ne and the rectified residuals, 142 respectively. The rectified peak values, if larger than the threshold, were considered as 143 significant EPI events (marked with an asterisk). The right panels (Figures 1e–1h) show an example of orbit with no considerable plasma irregularities being detected.

### 3. Statistical Results

2

### 3.1. Longitudinal and Seasonal Distribution

With more than 20,000 EPI events identified using the approach described above, the global distribution of these events and their associated amplitudes are shown in the form 147 of colored scatter points during postsunset (18–24 LT, Figure 2a) and postmidnight (0–6 LT, Figure 2b) period, respectively. Figure 2c and 2d display the gridded distribution of 150 the occurrence rates for those two periods with a spatial resolution of  $2^{\circ} \times 2^{\circ}$  in geographic coordinates. The occurrence rate is defined as the ratio of the number of the detected EPI 151 events to the number of satellite crossings in each postsunset/postmidnight bin. It can be 152 seen that most of the plasma irregularities are confined to within  $30^{\circ}$  of the geomagnetic 153 equator. Moreover, in the postsunset sector, the distribution of irregularities have a much 154 higher density and occurrence rate over the African, Atlantic, and South American sectors 155

<sup>156</sup> in longitudes between -60° and 30°, while relatively fewer irregularities are located over <sup>157</sup> the Asian sector. In the postmidnight sector, the Atlantic and African sectors still have <sup>158</sup> higher occurrence rates; However, the Asian and Pacific sectors have more EPIs events <sup>159</sup> than the postsunset case, and the amplitude of irregularities can be substantial (i.e., two <sup>160</sup> orders of magnitudes change) over these sectors. This implies that the highest occurrence <sup>161</sup> rate does not always coincide with the largest depletion amplitude, which is similar to the <sup>162</sup> results in *Wan et al.* [2018].

To further specify the seasonal variation of the plasma irregularities, Figure 3 shows 163 the global distribution and the longitudinal distribution of the occurrence rate of EPIs for all-season, the December solstice, the March/September equinoxes, and the June solstice, 165 respectively. The gridded occurrence rates shown in Figures 3a, 3c, 3e, and 3g were calculated as the ratio of the detected EPI numbers to the satellite crossing counts in 167 each bin. The 1D occurrence rate shown in Figures 3b, 3d, 3f, and 3h is calculated as the 168 ratio of the number of orbits in which EPIs were detected divided to the total number 169 of orbits in each longitudinal band. The all-season result of Figure 3a exhibits that the 170 occurrence rate has much higher values over the African-Atlantic-South American regions, 171 which has a similar longitudinal and latitudinal distribution pattern with those indicated 172 in Figure 2. However, there are distinct variations in the distribution pattern of different 173 seasons. Near the December solstice, the density irregularity is more frequently detected 174 over the South American sector ( $60^{\circ}W-30^{\circ}W$ ) with the occurrence rate ranging from 40%-175 80%. For the March/September equinoxes, the longitudinal preference of EPIs extends to 176 the Atlantic and west African sectors  $(45^{\circ}W-15^{\circ}E)$ , while the occurrence rate over these 177 region reduces to 30%-50%. As for the June solstice, the distribution is relatively even 178

\_

with broader longitude coverage, and the peak of occurrence rate shifts to the African 179 sector. 180

Figures 4a and 4b show the seasonal-longitudinal distribution of the occurrence rate of 181 EPIs during postsunset and postmidnight periods, respectively. The resolution is 10° in 182 longitude and half a month in time, and the occurrence rate is normalized by the number of satellite crossings as shown in Figures 4c and 4d. For the postsunset period, the monthly occurrence pattern shows larger values near the two equinoxes: one in February-185 March and another one in October–November. In both season there is a clear longitudinal 186 preference for the Atlantic-American sector. Moreover, the postsunset occurrence rate of 187 EPIs was lower during the periods of May–September; the irregularity signature is severely 188 suppressed in the Atlantic-American sector, but mainly confined within the African and Pacific regions, which is consistent with those indicated in Stolle et al. [2006]. On the 190 other hand, during the postmidnight period, the distribution pattern exhibits the opposite 191 feature: the region of high occurrence rate shifts longitudinally from the Atlantic to 192 the African sector around June solstice and to the Pacific sector around the December 193 solstice, while the occurrence rate around the equinoxes is substantially reduced. One thing worth noting is that the Swarm constellation does not have equal coverage of all 195 R spatial-temporal bins. For example, the postsunset period has less data availability around May/August and more availability around February, June, and November. The situation for the postmidnight is similar but shifted by a couple of months. This could make the 198 above-mentioned statistical results have different uncertainty variations. Thus, the error 199 bars showing possible biases due to the uneven data coverage are introduced here, which 200

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{f \times (1-f)}{N-1}},\tag{1}$$

where  $\sigma$  is the uncertainty, f is the occurrence rate, and N is the total number of satellite pass for each given bin.

Figure 5 shows the monthly variation of the occurrence rate of EPIs during the post-205 sunset and postmidnight periods for four different longitudinal sectors: America-Atlantic (100°W-20°W), Africa (20°W-60°E), Asia (60°E-140°E), and Pacific (140°E-100°W). The bin size is half a month, and the distribution pattern has a clear seasonal preference: the postsunset EPIs are often observed during equinoxes, while the postmidnight EPIs mainly occur around solstices. From the spatial perspective, the longitudinal difference can be viewed more clearly. In the American-Atlantic sectors, the occurrence rate 211 during the December solstice and equinoxes is reduced from postsunset (30%-40%) to 212 postmidnight (5%-15%), while a considerable enhancement can be observed during the 213 June solstice from postsunset ( $\sim 5\%$ ) to postmidnight (15%-20%). In the African sec-214 tor, the situation is slightly different: the postsunset EPIs have a lower occurrence level 215 (10%-20%) and two minor peaks near equinoxes. While the postmidnight EPIs have the most significant occurrence rate around the Northern Hemisphere summer solstice (20%-35%) compared with the other longitudinal sectors. In the Asian and Pacific sectors, the 218 general occurrence rate of postsunset EPIs is low, but the occurrence rate of the postmidnight EPIs exhibits a considerable enhancement during the June solstice for the Asian 220 longitudes, and also during the December solstice at the Pacific longitudes. 221

\_

### 3.2. local Time and Latitudinal Variation

Figure 6 shows the longitudinal-local time distribution of the occurrence rate of EPIs 222 for different seasons with a resolution of  $10^{\circ}$  in longitude and 1 hour in local time. The 223 occurrence rate is calculated as the ratio of the detected EPI numbers to the satellite 224 crossing counts in each bin. Figure 7 further displays the occurrence rate variations as a function of local time at four different longitudinal sectors with error bars reflecting the uncertainty. In both Figures 6 and 7, it can be seen that EPI is basically a night-227 time phenomenon with a significant occurrence rate peak around 20-21 LT during the 228 December solutions and the equinoxes. The postsunset prereversal enhancement (PRE) of an eastward electric field is one of the most important generation mechanisms of plasma 230 irregularities *Eccles et al.*, 2015, which can increase the equatorial upward drift velocity and provide a favorable condition for the R-T instability to develop. Thus the occurrence 232 rate of EPI usually reaches a peak after sunset. However, during the June solstice, the 233 EPIs are more frequently observed in the postmidnight sector, especially over the African 234 longitudes, with a maximum occurrence rate around 03-04 LT reaching 25%-30%. This 235 phenomenon is consistent with previous studies [e.g. Dao et al., 2011; Yizengaw et al., 2013], though the mechanisms for generating the postmidnight EPI peak are still unknown 237 and widely debated. We will further discuss this topic in the discussion section. 238 ----

In addition to the local time variations, it is also important to investigate the latitudinal 239 dependence of the occurrence rate of EPIs. Considering the characteristics of EPIs are 240 influenced by both the geomagnetic field configuration and the spinning of the Earth, we 241 here adopted the coordinated system of magnetic latitude (MLAT) and magnetic local 242 time (MLT) to do the analysis. Figures 8a–8c show the MLAT-MLT distribution of the 243

occurrence rate of EPIs for different seasons with a resolution of  $2^{\circ}$  in magnetic latitude 244 and 1 hour in magnetic local time. Moreover, the corresponding latitudinal variation 245 for postsunset (Figures 8d–8f) and postmidnight (Figures 8g–8i) sectors are displayed, 246 respectively. The latitudinal distribution exhibits a double-peak structure that can be 247 fitted roughly by a bimodal distribution curve. The occurrence peaks are centered in two latitudinal bands north and south of the equator that is located approximately at  $\pm 5^{\circ}$ , which is similar with previous studies [Stolle et al., 2006; Xiong et al., 2010, 2012]. One 250 thing worth noting is that the northern peak is slightly higher than the southern peak 251 during the June solstice and equinoxes in both local times, while the situation is reversed 252 during the December solstice. It is known that the trans-equatorial wind may cause a de-253 crease in the local conductivity on the upwind side (summer hemisphere) where the layer is raised, and cause an increase in the local conductivity on the downwind side (winter 255 hemisphere) where the layer is lowered. The latter effect is typically stronger than the 256 former one due to the height-dependent ion composition, so there is a net increase in the 257 total field-line integrated conductivity [Maruyama, 1988; Huba and Krall, 2013; Abdu, 258 2019]. As a result, the nonlinear growth rate of the R-T instability will be suppressed in 259 both hemispheres mainly due to the enhanced winter hemisphere conductivity. Moreover, 260 in the winter hemisphere of high conductivity, polarization electric fields in the F-region 261 ---with smaller scales may be shorted out [Pudovkin, 1974; Huang, 2016], so that the in-262 stabilities for density irregularities near the bubble boundaries may be suppressed. This 263 might contribute to the slightly lower occurrence rate of the irregularities in the winter 264 hemisphere. More future work needs to be performed to further the understanding on 265 this subtle asymmetry. 266

281

282

283

285

286

287

288

---

284

### 3.3. Dependence on Solar and Geomagnetic Activity

The Swarm measurements used in the current study start from December 2013, close to 267 the solar maximum of solar cycle 24, and continue to the deep solar minimum in December 268 2019. In order to present solar-cycle and solar activity dependence of EPIs, Figures 9a and 269 9b show the multivear longitudinal-monthly distribution of the EPI occurrence rate in the 270 postsunset and postmidnight sectors with a resolution of 10° in longitude and one month. The middle panels show the Swarm data coverage for each longitudinal-monthly bin. It 272 can be seen that the crossing counts exhibit specific systematic pattern with a period of 273 around four month, which is due to that the Swarm satellites need  $\sim 120$  days to get full 274 coverage of 24-hour local time [Lühr et al., 2016]. The seasonal and longitudinal variation 275 of the occurrence rate is very similar with the global morphology shown in Figure 4: the postsunset EPIs have occurrence peak over the Atlantic-American sectors during the 277 December solstice and equinoxes, while the postmidnight EPIs are most often observed in the African sector during the June solstice and in the Pacific sector during December 279 solstice. 280

However, the solar activity dependence of the EPI occurrence rate in these two sectors exhibits opposite trends. As can be seen in Figure 9e and 9f, the postsunset EPIs have larger occurrence rate around solar maximum and gradually decrease with decreasing solar activity, but the occurrence rate of postmidnight EPIs exhibit a generally increasing trend with decreasing solar activity. Considering that the monthly data distribution is uneven due to the above-mentioned issue of local time coverage, this preliminary result of solar dependence needs to be further verified in a wider temporal window. Thus, Figures 10a and 10b show the scatter plots of triannual (four-month) averaged F10.7 index versus EPI

occurrence rate in the postsunset and postmidnight sectors, respectively. The postsunset 289 EPIs and F10.7 index exhibit a positive correlation, with the coefficient equals to 0.83; 290 the postmidnight comparison shows a negative correlation, and the coefficient equals to 291 -0.74. This opposite solar activity dependence will be further discussed in next section. 292 To specify the geomagnetic activity dependence of the EPI occurrence rate, Figures 10c and 10d show the binned postsunset/postmidnight EPI occurrence rates as a function of the Kp value during both low solar activity (F10.7 < 100) and moderate to high solar 295 activity (F10.7 $\geq$ 100) levels, respectively. Both results show a similar developing trend. 296 During the quiet geomagnetic time (Kp = 0-3), there is no consistent variation pattern for the occurrence rates. During active geomagnetic interval (Kp>3), the occurrence rate 298

exhibits slightly increasing trends with respect to the increasing Kp values, which might suggest that the conditions favorable to the growth of plasma irregularities are enhanced during high geomagnetic activity. However, the error bars are also increasing due to low data availability among high Kp intervals. This geomagnetic dependence is similar with those indicated in *Stolle et al.* [2006] and *Huang et al.* [2001]. A comparison of low solar activity and high solar activity results also indicated that the postsunset and postmidnight EPIs occurrence rate have opposite solar dependence.

### 4. Discussion

300

301

302

303

305

----

First of all, several studies have indicated that the smaller the angle between the equatorial magnetic field lines and the dusk terminator, the larger the EPI occurrence rate should be expected, because similar sunset conditions can be met at the same time in the conjugate hemispheres and the flux tube integrated conductance affecting the R-T instability growth rate is lower [e.g. *Basu and Basu*, 1985; *Tsunoda*, 1985; *Burke et al.*,

DRAFT March 6, 2020, 11:55am DRAFT This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

Ť

2004a, b; Otsuka, 2018. At the South American and Atlantic longitudes, conditions for 311 the minimum angle occur around February–March and October–November. This explains 312 the more significant occurrence rates over these longitudinal sectors during the December 313 solstice and equinoxes seasons as demonstrated in Figure 3. Moreover, the asymmetrical 314 seasonal distribution seen during the December and the June solstice can also be explained 315 by the inter-hemispheric winds that propagate from the summer hemisphere to the winter 316 hemisphere [Burke et al., 2004a, b]. The R-T instability growth rate will be suppressed by 317 the inter-hemispheric winds as stated in the previous section, which can result in a higher 318 occurrence rate of plasma irregularities over the longitudes where the magnetic equator 319 is located in the summer hemisphere, i.e., the African and Asian sectors in June–August, 320 and the East Pacific and American sector in December–February.

Second, there is a significant postsunset/postmidnight distribution asymmetry as shown 322 in Figure 4: the postsunset EPIs are often observed over the Atlantic-American sectors 323 during the December solstice and equinoxes, while the postmidnight EPIs have a large 324 occurrence peak around the June solstice, especially in the African sector. Besides the 325 above-mentioned declination angle and inter-hemispheric meridional wind effects, the longitudinal feature of postsunset EPI is also related to the equatorial vertical plasma drift 327 that influenced by the geomagnetic field morphology. The South Atlantic Anomaly (SAA) 328 area has the weakest geomagnetic field strength comparing with an idealized dipole field, 329 and the Earth's inner radiation belt also dips down to the height of the ionospheric F-330 region [Abdu et al., 2005]. The R-T growth rate in the postsunset sector is strongly 331 dependent on the vertical component of equatorial plasma drift that is expressed as 332  $V_p = \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}/B^2$ , where **E** is the zonal component of the electric field at the magnetic 333

equator [Sultan, 1996]. Thus, assuming the postsunset zonal electric field is independent 334 of longitude, the upward drift term in the growth rate calculation of R-T instability is 335 favored in regions of low magnetic field intensity, especially in the area around the SAA 336 [Burke et al., 2004a, b]. Although the actual variation of the upward plasma drift is more 337 complicated, many statistical studies have found that the observed climatological pattern of EPIs is closely correlated with the longitudinal and seasonal variations of  $V_p$  [e.g. Su et al., 2008; Kil et al., 2009b; Carter et al., 2013; Yizengaw et al., 2014]. Moreover, the 340 eastward thermospheric wind, combined with shear flow, is another important factor in 341 controlling the occurrence of postsunset EPIs. Kudeki et al. [2007] indicated that the ver-342 tical Pedersen currents induced by eastward wind are able to polarize the initial density 343 perturbation into an unstable mode to trigger plasma bubbles. Liu et al. [2016] found that the thermospheric zonal wind is strongest around equinoxes and weakest around 345 June solution, which agrees perfectly to the seasonal patterns of postsunset EPIs. Thus, the longitudinal and seasonal distribution of postsunset EPIs are mainly controlled by 347 equatorial vertical drift and thermospheric zonal wind. 348

349

350

351 ----

353

354

355

356

352

The formation mechanism of the postmidnight EPIs are still widely debated, which can be mainly attributed to either the continuation of EPIs generated in the premidnight hours [Bhattacharyya et al., 2001; Li et al., 2011], or irregularities freshly generated there owing to local plasma instabilities [Yizengaw et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2010]. The above-mentioned seasonal and longitudinal anomaly of the postmidnight EPIs could be generated by more than one factors considering the growth rate of R-T instability depends on various external driving forces, such as neutral wind, electric and magnetic field, as well as background ionospheric features, such as flux-integrated Pedersen conductivity

-----

and upward density gradient [Abdu, 2001]. Some studies found that the seasonal pattern 357 of the F-layer altitude exhibit a noticeable midnight uplift, especially around the June 358 solstice due to the equatorward meridional neutral winds that are associated with mid-359 night temperature maximum [e.g. Nicolls et al., 2006; Yokoyama et al., 2011; Nishioka 360 et al., 2012]. The uplift of the F-layer will cause a decrease in the ion-neutral collision frequency and thus increase the growth rate of the R-T instability. Furthermore, some other studies proposed that the localized gravity waves due to tropospheric convective 363 activity near the intertropical convergence zone (ITCZ) could seed the bottomside irregu-364 larity to trigger the equatorial plasma bubbles, which might play a role in controlling the 365 longitudinal dependence of EPIs since the convective process is more active over continen-366 tal landmasses (especially for the African sector) than over the oceans [Yizenqaw et al., 2013; Yizengaw and Groves, 2018]. Recently, Liu et al. [2017] conducted a systematic 368 survey of medium-scale atmospheric gravity waves. They found that there are stronger 369 perturbations over continents than over oceans, and the gravity wave activities maximize 370 around June solution and minimize around equinoxes. These gravity wave features highly 371 resemble those of postmidnight EPIs indicated in our study. These points could possibly 372 explain why the postmidnight EPIs are more often observed during the June solstice and 373 over the African sector, though more extensive evidence is still needed. 374

Last but not least, the role of solar and geomagnetic activities in the EPI occurrence rate needs to be further specified. Many previous studies have seemingly conflicting results of the solar activity dependence as we described in the introduction part. In the current study, opposite solar activity dependencies were discovered for the postsunset and postmidnight EPIs. In order to further validation this opposite solar cycle dependence,

we here also used the in situ ion density data from the Ion Velocity Meter onboard the 380 Communications/Navigation Outage Forecasting System (C/NOFS) from August 2008 to 381 November 2015 to conduct a similar solar dependence study. C/NOFS has a low Earth 382 orbit with a 13° inclination, a perigee near 400 km, and an apogee near 850 km. The 383 same algorithm specified in section 2 is applied to C/NOFS in situ ion density with a time cadence of 1 Hz. Figure 11 shows the solar cycle dependence of EPIs by using C/NOFS data, which has the same feature with those of Swarm result that the postsunset EPI 386 is positively correlated with the F10.7 index, and the postmidnight EPI is negatively 387 correlated with F10.7 index. So the contradictory results could be depending on whether 388 the majority of the EPI events in their database is in the postsunset or postmidnight 389 sector. However, a new question is also raised: why the postmidnight EPIs exhibit a different solar activity dependence pattern? Otsuka [2018] analyzed the  $g/\nu_{in}$  term in 391 the linear growth rate of the R-T instability, where g is the gravity acceleration and  $\nu_{in}$ 392 is the ion-neutral collision frequency. This term is larger during the nighttime than the 393 daytime, and increases with decreasing solar activity since the collision frequency  $\nu_{in}$  is 394 proportional to the neutral density, which is lower during the nighttime and also during 395 solar minimum. Furthermore, Liu et al. [2017] indicated that gravity wave activities 396 are stronger at low solar flux levels, which agrees well with that of postmidnight EPIs. 397 تسب These points could possibly explain the anti-correlation between the postmidnight EPIs 398 and F10.7, though a more quantitative calculation is still needed to confirm whether these 399 effects are significant enough. As for the geomagnetic activity dependence, the occurrence 400 rate is collectively influenced by the existence and interaction of prompt penetration 401 electric field, disturbance wind dynamo electric field, and shielding electric field. EPIs 402

\_

can be enhanced or suppressed for individual storm cases over different local time sectors, 403 but a net effect shown by Figure 10d is that the occurrence rates are enhanced during high 404 geomagnetic activity period, although the error bars are considerably increased for higher 405 Kp intervals. The geomagnetic activity can impact the EPIs immediately in the case of 406 penetrating electric fields or with a specific time delay in the case of disturbance dynamo. Typically, the largest Kp occurs during the main phase of a storm and the disturbance dynamo has not fully developed yet, so EPIs tend to have a higher occurrence rate. During 409 moderate Kp, such as 4–6, this is usually a mixture of main and recovery phases, so the 410 electrodynamics are not very clear. Thus, the role of geomagnetic activity in influencing EPIs occurrence rate distribution is still an open question and needs to use numerical 412 models to make a further study in the future.

### 5. Conclusion

0

 $\geq$ 

415

416

419

In this paper, we present a statistical study of the EPI occurrence rates in the ionospheric F layer by using six years in situ plasma density measurements from the Swarm constellation. The occurrence patterns in terms of longitude, season, local time, latitude, solar activity, and geomagnetic activity levels are analyzed, respectively. The major controlling factors of the spatial-temporal distribution of the postsunset and postmidnight EPIs are also discussed. The main findings and results are summarized as follows:

1. The statistical results from the Swarm and C/NOFS collectively support the feature that postsunset and postmidnight EPI occurrence rates have opposite dependencies on solar activity. The former is positively correlated with the F10.7 index, while the latter is negatively correlated with it.

2. The postsunset and postmidnight EPIs have asymmetric occurrence distribution. 424 The postsunset EPIs have more significant occurrence rates during the December solstice 425 and equinoxes with a clear longitudinal preference for the Atlantic-American sector. On 426 the other hand, the postmidnight EPIs are more prominent than the postsunset ones 427 during the June solstice with the strongest occurrence peak occurring over the African sector, while the Pacific sector exhibits a considerable postmidnight occurrence enhancement during the December solstice. The occurrence rate around equinoxes within this 430 local time sector is substantially reduced. The main controlling factors for the distri-431 bution of postsunset EPIs are the magnetic declination effect, equatorial vertical  $E \times B$ drift, and thermospheric zonal wind. For the postmidnight EPIs, the main controlling 433 factors are likely to be atmospheric gravity waves and equatorward thermospheric wind associated with midnight temperature maximum. 435

3. The latitudinal distribution of EPIs exhibits a double-peak structure, with the maximum occurrence rates located around  $\pm 5^{\circ}$  magnetic latitude. The northern hemisphere peak is slightly higher than the southern hemisphere peak during the June solstice and equinoxes, while the situation is reversed during the June solstice. This hemispheric asymmetry might be attributed to the effects of trans-equatorial thermospheric wind.

436

437

438

440

Ĩ

441 4. Most of the EPIs are constrained within 30° away from the magnetic equator, and 442 their spatial distribution have a noticeable longitudinal preference. The density irregular-443 ity is more frequently detected over the South American sector in the December solstice 444 with the occurrence rate ranging from 40%-80%. The longitudinal preference of EPIs 445 extends to the Atlantic and African sectors in the March/September equinoxes, while the 446 occurrence rate over these regions reduces to 20%-50%. As for the June solstice, the dis-

455

456

457

459

460

461

462

463

464

tribution is relatively even with broader longitude coverage, and the peak of occurrence
rate shifts to the African sector.

5. The local time distribution of EPIs also exhibits asymmetric patterns over different season/longitudes. During the December solstice and equinoxes, the occurrence rate has peak value in the evening sector around 20–21 LT and becomes much lower after midnight except for the Pacific sector. During the June solstice, the EPI occurrence rate often slowly increases after sunset and reaches a peak value after midnight around 03–04 LT.

6. The general level of EPI occurrence rate increases with respect to the increasing geomagnetic activity level, although the corresponding error bars also increases.

Acknowledgments. This work is sponsored by the Strategic Priority Research Program of Chinese Academy of Sciences (XDA17010302), National Key R&D Program of China (2016YFB0501503), National Science Foundation of China (41674183, 41974184), Youth Innovation Promotion Association of Chinese Academy of Sciences, and Shenzhen Technology Project JCJ20160817172025986. We greatly acknowledge ESA for SWARM data (http://earth.esa.int/swarm). The F10.7 data is acquired from NASA/GSFCs Space Physics Data Facilitys OMNIWeb service (https://cdaweb.gsfc.nasa.gov/). Kp indices are downloaded from Kyoto world data center for Geomagnetism (http://wdc.kugi.kyotou.ac.jp/). The C/NOFS in situ ion density data is available from NASA/GSFCs Space Physics Data Facilitys OMNIWeb service (https://cdaweb.sci.gsfc.nasa.gov/).

### References

<sup>466</sup> Aa, E., W. Huang, S. Liu, A. Ridley, S. Zou, L. Shi, Y. Chen, H. Shen, T. Yuan,
<sup>467</sup> J. Li, and T. Wang (2018), Midlatitude Plasma Bubbles Over China and Adjacent

# DRAFT March 6, 2020, 11:55am DRAFT This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

- Areas During a Magnetic Storm on 8 September 2017, Space Weather, 16, 321–331,
   doi:10.1002/2017SW001776.
- Aa, E., S. Zou, A. J. Ridley, S.-R. Zhang, A. J. Coster, P. J. Erickson, S. Liu, and
  J. Ren (2019), Merging of storm-time midlatitude traveling ionospheric disturbances
  and equatorial plasma bubbles, *Space Weather*, 17, 1–16, doi:10.1029/2018SW002101.
  Aa, E., S. Zou, R. Eastes, D. K. Karan, S.-R. Zhang, P. J. Erickson, and A. J. Coster
  - (2020), Coordinated ground-based and space-based observations of equatorial plasma bubbles, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 125(1), e2019JA027,569, doi: 10.1029/2019JA027569.
  - Abdu, M. A. (2001), Outstanding problems in the equatorial ionosphere-thermosphere electrodynamics relevant to spread F, Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics, 63(9), 869–884, doi:10.1016/S1364-6826(00)00201-7.
  - Abdu, M. A. (2019), Day-to-day and short-term variabilities in the equatorial plasma bubble/spread F irregularity seeding and development, *Progress in Earth and Planetary Science*, 6, 11, doi:10.1186/s40645-019-0258-1.
  - Abdu, M. A., I. S. Batista, H. Takahashi, J. MacDougall, J. H. Sobral, A. F. Medeiros, and N. B. Trivedi (2003), Magnetospheric disturbance induced equatorial plasma bubble development and dynamics: A case study in Brazilian sector, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 1449, doi:10.1029/2002JA009721.
  - Abdu, M. A., I. S. Batista, A. J. Carrasco, and C. Brum (2005), South Atlantic magnetic anomaly ionization: A review and a new focus on electrodynamic effects in the equatorial ionosphere, *Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics*, 67(17), 1643–1657, doi:10.1016/j.jastp.2005.01.014.

Aveiro, H. C., D. L. Hysell, R. G. Caton, K. M. Groves, J. Klenzing, R. F. Pfaff,
R. Stoneback, and R. A. Heelis (2012), Three-dimensional numerical simulations of
equatorial spread F: Results and observations in the Pacific sector, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *117*, A03325, doi:10.1029/2011JA017077.

Basu, S., and S. Basu (1985), Equatorial scintillations: advances since ISEA-6, Journal of Atmospheric and Terrestrial Physics, 47(8), 753–768, doi:10.1016/0021-9169(85)90052-2.

Basu, S., S. Basu, K. M. Groves, H.-C. Yeh, S.-Y. Su, F. J. Rich, P. J. Sultan, and M. J. Keskinen (2001), Response of the equatorial ionosphere in the South Atlantic Region to the Great Magnetic Storm of July 15, 2000, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 28, 3577–3580, doi:10.1029/2001GL013259.

- Bhattacharyya, A., S. Basu, K. M. Groves, C. E. Valladares, and R. Sheehan (2001), Dynamics of equatorial F region irregularities from spaced receiver scintillation observations, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 28, 119–122, doi:10.1029/2000GL012288.
- Blanch, E., D. Altadill, J. M. Juan, A. Camps, J. Barbosa, G. González-Casado, J. Riba, J. Sanz, G. Vazquez, and R. Orús-Pérez (2018), Improved characterization and modeling of equatorial plasma depletions, *Journal of Space Weather and Space Climate*, 8, A38, doi:10.1051/swsc/2018026.
- Burke, W., C. Huang, L. Gentile, and L. Bauer (2004a), Seasonal-longitudinal variability
   of equatorial plasma bubbles, *Annales Geophysicae*, 22, 3089–3098, doi:10.5194/angeo 22-3089-2004.

<sup>512</sup> Burke, W. J., L. C. Gentile, C. Y. Huang, C. E. Valladares, and S. Y. Su (2004b),
 <sup>513</sup> Longitudinal variability of equatorial plasma bubbles observed by DMSP and ROCSAT-

- $_{514}$  1, J. Geophys. Res., 109(A18), A12301, doi:10.1029/2004JA010583.
- <sup>515</sup> Carter, B. A., K. Zhang, R. Norman, V. V. Kumar, and S. Kumar (2013), On the occur<sup>516</sup> rence of equatorial F-region irregularities during solar minimum using radio occultation
  <sup>517</sup> measurements, J. Geophys. Res. Space Physics, 118, 892–904, doi:10.1002/jgra.50089.
- <sup>518</sup> Carter, B. A., E. Yizengaw, R. Pradipta, J. M. Retterer, K. Groves, C. Valladares,
  <sup>519</sup> R. Caton, C. Bridgwood, R. Norman, and K. Zhang (2016), Global equatorial plasma
  <sup>520</sup> bubble occurrence during the 2015 St. Patrick's Day storm, J. Geophys. Res. Space
  <sup>521</sup> Physics, 121, 894–905, doi:10.1002/2015JA022194.
  - Cherniak, I., and I. Zakharenkova (2016), First observations of super plasma bubbles in Europe, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 43, 11, doi:10.1002/2016GL071421.
  - Cherniak, I., I. Zakharenkova, and S. Sokolovsky (2019), Multi-Instrumental Observation of Storm-Induced Ionospheric Plasma Bubbles at Equatorial and Middle Latitudes, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 124(3), 1491–1508, doi: 10.1029/2018JA026309.
  - Comberiate, J., and L. J. Paxton (2010), Coordinated UV imaging of equatorial plasma bubbles using TIMED/GUVI and DMSP/SSUSI, *Space Weather*, 8, S10002, doi: 10.1029/2009SW000546.
  - Dao, E., M. C. Kelley, P. Roddy, J. Retterer, J. O. Ballenthin, O. de La Beaujardiere, and Y.-J. Su (2011), Longitudinal and seasonal dependence of nighttime equatorial plasma density irregularities during solar minimum detected on the C/NOFS satellite, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 38, L10104, doi:10.1029/2011GL047046.
- Eccles, J. V., J. P. St. Maurice, and R. W. Schunk (2015), Mechanisms underlying the prereversal enhancement of the vertical plasma drift in the low-latitude ionosphere, *Journal*

of Geophysical Research Space Physics, 120, 4950–4970, doi:10.1002/2014JA020664.

- Fejer, B. G., L. Scherliess, and E. R. de Paula (1999), Effects of the vertical plasma drift
   velocity on the generation and evolution of equatorial spread F, J. Geophys. Res., 104,
   19,859–19,870, doi:10.1029/1999JA900271.
- Gentile, L. C., W. J. Burke, and F. J. Rich (2006), A global climatology for equatorial plasma bubbles in the topside ionosphere, *Annales Geophysicae*, 24(1), 163–172, doi: 10.5194/angeo-24-163-2006.
  - Huang, C.-S. (2016), Plasma drifts and polarization electric fields associated with TID-like disturbances in the low-latitude ionosphere: C/NOFS observations, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 121(2), 1802–1812, doi:10.1002/2015JA022201.
  - Huang, C.-S., J. C. Foster, and Y. Sahai (2007), Significant depletions of the ionospheric plasma density at middle latitudes: A possible signature of equatorial spread F bubbles near the plasmapause, J. Geophys. Res., 112, A05315, doi:10.1029/2007JA012307.
  - Huang, C.-S., F. J. Rich, and W. J. Burke (2010), Storm time electric fields in the equatorial ionosphere observed near the dusk meridian, J. Geophys. Res., 115, A08313, doi:10.1029/2009JA015150.
  - Huang, C.-S., O. de La Beaujardiere, P. A. Roddy, D. E. Hunton, J. O. Ballenthin, and M. R. Hairston (2012), Generation and characteristics of equatorial plasma bubbles detected by the C/NOFS satellite near the sunset terminator, J. Geophys. Res., 117(A16), A11313, doi:10.1029/2012JA018163.

<sup>557</sup> Huang, C.-S., O. La Beaujardiere, P. A. Roddy, D. E. Hunton, J. Y. Liu, and S. P. Chen
<sup>558</sup> (2014), Occurrence probability and amplitude of equatorial ionospheric irregularities
<sup>559</sup> associated with plasma bubbles during low and moderate solar activities (2008-2012),

- J. Geophys. Res. Space Physics, 119, 1186–1199, doi:10.1002/2013JA019212.
- Huang, C. Y., W. J. Burke, J. S. Machuzak, L. C. Gentile, and P. J. Sultan (2001),
   DMSP observations of equatorial plasma bubbles in the topside ionosphere near solar
   maximum, J. Geophys. Res., 106, 8131–8142, doi:10.1029/2000JA000319.
- Huang, C. Y., W. J. Burke, J. S. Machuzak, L. C. Gentile, and P. J. Sultan (2002),
  Equatorial plasma bubbles observed by DMSP satellites during a full solar cycle: Toward
  a global climatology, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 1434, doi:10.1029/2002JA009452.
  - Huba, J. D., and G. Joyce (2007), Equatorial spread F modeling: Multiple bifurcated structures, secondary instabilities, large density 'bite-outs,' and supersonic flows, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, L07105, doi:10.1029/2006GL028519.
  - Huba, J. D., and J. Krall (2013), Impact of meridional winds on equatorial spread F:
    Revisited, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 40(7), 1268–1272, doi:10.1002/grl.50292.
  - Huba, J. D., G. Joyce, and J. Krall (2008), Three-dimensional equatorial spread F modeling, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 35, L10102, doi:10.1029/2008GL033509.
  - Jin, H., S. Zou, G. Chen, C. Yan, S. Zhang, and G. Yang (2018), Formation and Evolution of Low-Latitude F Region Field-Aligned Irregularities During the 7-8 September 2017 Storm: Hainan Coherent Scatter Phased Array Radar and Digisonde Observations, *Space Weather*, 16, 648–659, doi:10.1029/2018SW001865.
- Katamzi-Joseph, Z. T., J. B. Habarulema, and M. Hernández-Pajares (2017), Midlatitude
   postsunset plasma bubbles observed over europe during intense storms in april 2000 and
   2001, Space Weather, 15(9), 1177–1190, doi:10.1002/2017SW001674.
- <sup>581</sup> Kelley, M. C., G. Haerendel, H. Kappler, A. Valenzuela, B. B. Balsley, D. A. Carter, <sup>582</sup> W. L. Ecklund, C. W. Carlson, B. Haeusler, and R. Torbert (1976), Evidence for a

\_

594

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

60 60

- Rayleigh-Taylor type instability and upwelling of depleted density regions during equa-583 torial spread F, Geophys. Res. Lett., 3, 448–450, doi:10.1029/GL003i008p00448. 584
- Kelley, M. C., J. J. Makela, L. J. Paxton, F. Kamalabadi, J. M. Comberiate, and H. Kil 585 (2003), The first coordinated ground- and space-based optical observations of equatorial 586 plasma bubbles, Geophys. Res. Lett., 30, 1766, doi:10.1029/2003GL017301.
- Kil, H., and R. A. Heelis (1998), Global distribution of density irregularities in the equa-590 torial ionosphere, J. Geophys. Res., 103, 407–418, doi:10.1029/97JA02698.
  - Kil, H., R. A. Heelis, L. J. Paxton, and S.-J. Oh (2009a), Formation of a plasma depletion shell in the equatorial ionosphere, J. Geophys. Res., 114 (A13), A11302, doi: 10.1029/2009JA014369.
  - Kil, H., L. J. Paxton, and S.-J. Oh (2009b), Global bubble distribution seen from ROCSAT-1 and its association with the evening prereversal enhancement, J. Geophys. Res., 114, A06307, doi:10.1029/2008JA013672.
  - Krall, J., J. D. Huba, S. L. Ossakow, G. Joyce, J. J. Makela, E. S. Miller, and M. C. Kelley (2011), Modeling of equatorial plasma bubbles triggered by non-equatorial traveling ionospheric disturbances, Geophys. Res. Lett., 38, L08103, doi:10.1029/2011GL046890. Kudeki, E., A. Akgiray, M. Milla, J. L. Chau, and D. L. Hysell (2007), Equatorial spread-F initiation: Post-sunset vortex, thermospheric winds, gravity waves, Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics, 69(17-18), 2416–2427, doi: 10.1016/j.jastp.2007.04.012.
  - Li, G., B. Ning, M. A. Abdu, X. Yue, L. Liu, W. Wan, and L. Hu (2011), On the occurrence 603 of postmidnight equatorial F region irregularities during the June solstice, Journal of 604 Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 116 (A4), A04318, doi:10.1029/2010JA016056. 605

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

- Li, G., B. Ning, M. A. Abdu, Y. Otsuka, T. Yokoyama, M. Yamamoto, and L. Liu 606 (2013), Longitudinal characteristics of spread F backscatter plumes observed with the 607 EAR and Sanya VHF radar in Southeast Asia, J. Geophys. Res., 118, 6544-6557, doi: 608 10.1002/jgra.50581. 609
- Li, G., B. Ning, C. Wang, M. A. Abdu, Y. Otsuka, M. Yamamoto, J. Wu, and J. Chen 610 (2018), Storm-enhanced development of post-sunset equatorial plasma bubbles around the meridian 120E/60W on 7-8 September 2017, J. Geophys. Res. Space Physics, 123, 1-16, doi:10.1029/2018JA025871. 613
  - Liu, H., E. Doornbos, and J. Nakashima (2016), Thermospheric wind observed by goce: Wind jets and seasonal variations, Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 121(7), 6901–6913, doi:10.1002/2016JA022938.

615

616

617

618

619

ļ

623

624

- Liu, H., N. Pedatella, and K. Hocke (2017), Medium-scale gravity wave activity in the bottomside F region in tropical regions, Geophysical Research Letters, 44 (14), 7099-7105, doi:10.1002/2017GL073855.
- Lühr, H., C. Xiong, J. Park, and J. Rauberg (2014), Systematic study of intermediate-620 scale structures of equatorial plasma irregularities in the ionosphere based on CHAMP 621 observations, Frontiers in Physics, 2, 15, doi:10.3389/fphy.2014.00015. 622
  - Lühr, H., G. Kervalishvili, J. Rauberg, and C. Stolle (2016), Zonal currents in the F region deduced from Swarm constellation measurements, Journal of Geophysical Research Space Physics, 121, 638–648, doi:10.1002/2015JA022051.
- Ma, G., and T. Maruyama (2006), A super bubble detected by dense GPS network at 626 east Asian longitudes, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 33, L21103, doi:10.1029/2006GL027512. 627
- Makela, J. J., and M. C. Kelley (2003), Field-aligned 777.4-nm composite airglow images of 628

- equatorial plasma depletions, Geophys. Res. Lett., 30, 1442, doi:10.1029/2003GL017106.
  Makela, J. J., and E. S. Miller (2011), Influences on the Development of Equatorial Plasma
  Bubbles: Insights from a Long-Term Optical Dataset, pp. 239–249, Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht.
- Martinis, C., J. Baumgardner, M. Mendillo, J. Wroten, A. Coster, and L. Paxton (2015),
   The night when the auroral and equatorial ionospheres converged, J. Geophys. Res.
   Space Physics, 120, 8085–8095, doi:10.1002/2015JA021555.
  - Maruyama, T. (1988), A diagnostic model for equatorial spread F. 1. Model description and application to electric field and neutral wind effects, J. Geophys. Res., 93(A12), 14,611–14,622, doi:10.1029/JA093iA12p14611.
  - Nicolls, M. J., M. C. Kelley, M. N. Vlasov, Y. Sahai, J. L. Chau, D. L. Hysell, P. R. Fagundes, F. Becker-Guedes, and W. L. C. Lima (2006), Observations and modeling of post-midnight uplifts near the magnetic equator, *Annales Geophysicae*, 24, 1317–1331, doi:10.5194/angeo-24-1317-2006.
  - Nishioka, M., A. Saito, and T. Tsugawa (2008), Occurrence characteristics of plasma bubble derived from global ground-based GPS receiver networks, J. Geophys. Res., 113, A05301, doi:10.1029/2007JA012605.
  - Nishioka, M., Y. Otsuka, K. Shiokawa, T. Tsugawa, n. Effendy, P. Supnithi, T. Nagatsuma, and K. T. Murata (2012), On post-midnight field-aligned irregularities observed with a 30.8-MHz radar at a low latitude: Comparison with F-layer altitude near the geomagnetic equator, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 117, A08337, doi: 10.1029/2012JA017692.
- <sup>651</sup> Otsuka, Y. (2018), Review of the generation mechanisms of post-midnight irregularities

- in the equatorial and low-latitude ionosphere, Progress in Earth and Planetary Science, 652 5, 57, doi:10.1186/s40645-018-0212-7. 653
- Ott, E. (1978), Theory of Rayleigh-Taylor bubbles in the equatorial ionosphere, J. Geo-654 phys. Res., 83, 2066–2070, doi:10.1029/JA083iA05p02066. 655
- Pudovkin, M. I. (1974), Electric Fields and Currents in the Ionosphere, Space Science *Reviews*, 16(5-6), 727–770, doi:10.1007/BF00182599.

661

662

663

664

666

668

669

670

671

67

- Retterer, J. M., and L. C. Gentile (2009), Modeling the climatology of equatorial plasma bubbles observed by DMSP, Radio Science, 44(A5), RS0A31, doi: 659 10.1029/2008RS004057. 660
  - Retterer, J. M., and P. Roddy (2014), Faith in a seed: on the origins of equatorial plasma bubbles, Ann. Geophys., 32, 485–498, doi:10.5194/angeo-32-485-2014.
  - Rodríguez-Zuluaga, J., C. Stolle, and J. Park (2017), On the direction of the Poynting flux associated with equatorial plasma depletions as derived from Swarm, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44(12), 5884–5891, doi:10.1002/2017GL073385.
  - Smith, J., and R. A. Heelis (2017), Equatorial plasma bubbles: Variations of occurrence and spatial scale in local time, longitude, season, and solar activity, J. Geophys. Res. Space Physics, 122, 5743–5755, doi:10.1002/2017JA024128.
  - Stolle, C., H. Lühr, M. Rother, and G. Balasis (2006), Magnetic signatures of equatorial spread F as observed by the CHAMP satellite, J. Geophys. Res., 111, A02304, doi: 10.1029/2005JA011184.
- Su, S.-Y., C. H. Liu, H. H. Ho, and C. K. Chao (2006), Distribution characteristics 672 of topside ionospheric density irregularities: Equatorial versus midlatitude regions, J. 673 Geophys. Res., 111, A06305, doi:10.1029/2005JA011330. 674

- Su, S.-Y., C. K. Chao, and C. H. Liu (2008), On monthly/seasonal/longitudinal variations
  of equatorial irregularity occurrences and their relationship with the postsunset vertical
  drift velocities, J. Geophys. Res., 113, A05307, doi:10.1029/2007JA012809.
- Sultan, P. J. (1996), Linear theory and modeling of the Rayleigh-Taylor instability leading
  to the occurrence of equatorial spread F, J. Geophys. Res., 101, 26,875–26,892, doi:
  10.1029/96JA00682.
  - Tsunoda, R. T. (1980), Magnetic-field-aligned characteristics of plasma bubbles in the nighttime equatorial ionosphere, J. Atmos. Terr. Phys., 42, 743–752, doi:10.1016/0021-9169(80)90057-4.
  - Tsunoda, R. T. (1985), Control of the seasonal and longitudinal occurrence of equatorial scintillations by the longitudinal gradient in integrated E region Pedersen conductivity,
    J. Geophys. Res., 90, 447–456, doi:10.1029/JA090iA01p00447.
  - Tsunoda, R. T., R. C. Livingston, J. P. McClure, and W. B. Hanson (1982), Equatorial plasma bubbles - Vertically elongated wedges from the bottomside F layer, J. Geophys. Res., 87, 9171–9180, doi:10.1029/JA087iA11p09171.
  - Wan, X., C. Xiong, J. Rodriguez-Zuluaga, G. N. Kervalishvili, C. Stolle, and H. Wang (2018), Climatology of the Occurrence Rate and Amplitudes of Local Time Distinguished Equatorial Plasma Depletions Observed by Swarm Satellite, J. Geophys. Res. Space Physics, 123, 3014–3026, doi:10.1002/2017JA025072.
- Woodman, R. F., and C. La Hoz (1976), Radar observations of F region equatorial irreg ularities, J. Geophys. Res., 81(31), 5447–5466, doi:10.1029/JA081i031p05447.
- <sup>696</sup> Xiong, C., J. Park, H. Lühr, C. Stolle, and S. Y. Ma (2010), Comparing plasma bubble <sup>697</sup> occurrence rates at CHAMP and GRACE altitudes during high and low solar activity,

- <sup>698</sup> Annales Geophysicae, 28, 1647–1658, doi:10.5194/angeo-28-1647-2010.
- Xiong, C., H. Lühr, S. Y. Ma, C. Stolle, and B. G. Fejer (2012), Features of highly
   structured equatorial plasma irregularities deduced from CHAMP observations, *Annales Geophysicae*, 30, 1259–1269, doi:10.5194/angeo-30-1259-2012.
- Xiong, C., C. Stolle, H. Lühr, J. Park, B. G. Fejer, and G. N. Kervalishvili (2016), Scale
   analysis of equatorial plasma irregularities derived from Swarm constellation, *Earth, Planets, and Space, 68*, 121, doi:10.1186/s40623-016-0502-5.
  - Xiong, C., J. Xu, K. Wu, and W. Yuan (2018), Longitudinal thin structure of equatorial plasma depletions coincidently observed by swarm constellation and all-sky imager, J. Geophys. Res. Space Physics, 123(2), 1593–1602, doi:10.1002/2017JA025091.
  - Yizengaw, E., and K. M. Groves (2018), Longitudinal and seasonal variability of equatorial ionospheric irregularities and electrodynamics, *Space Weather*, 16(8), 946–968, doi: 10.1029/2018SW001980.
  - Yizengaw, E., J. Retterer, E. E. Pacheco, P. Roddy, K. Groves, R. Caton, and P. Baki (2013), Postmidnight bubbles and scintillations in the quiet-time June solstice, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 40, 5592–5597, doi:10.1002/2013GL058307.
  - Yizengaw, E., M. B. Moldwin, E. Zesta, C. M. Biouele, B. Damtie, A. Mebrahtu, B. Rabiu, C. F. Valladares, and R. Stoneback (2014), The longitudinal variability of equatorial electrojet and vertical drift velocity in the African and American sectors, *Annales Geophysicae*, 32, 231–238, doi:10.5194/angeo-32-231-2014.
- Yokoyama, T., and S. Fukao (2006), Upwelling backscatter plumes in growth phase of
  equatorial spread F observed with the Equatorial Atmosphere Radar, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 33, L08104, doi:10.1029/2006GL025680.

# D R A F T March 6, 2020, 11:55am D R A F T This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

Yokoyama, T., R. F. Pfaff, P. A. Roddy, M. Yamamoto, and Y. Otsuka (2011), On
postmidnight low-latitude ionospheric irregularities during solar minimum: 2. C/NOFS
observations and comparisons with the Equatorial Atmosphere Radar, *Journal of Geo- physical Research Space Physics*, 116 (A15), A11326, doi:10.1029/2011JA016798.

Yokoyama, T., H. Shinagawa, and H. Jin (2014), Nonlinear growth, bifurcation, and pinching of equatorial plasma bubble simulated by three-dimensional high-resolution bubble model, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 119(12), 10,474–10,482, doi:10.1002/2014JA020708.

Yu, T., Y. Miyoshi, C. Xia, X. Zuo, X. Yan, N. Yang, Y. Sun, X. Yue, and T. Mao (2018), Solar Dependence of Equatorial F Region Irregularities Observed by COSMIC Radio Occultations, J. Geophys. Res. Space Physics, 123, 9775–9787, doi:10.1029/2018JA025936.

Zakharenkova, I., E. Astafyeva, and I. Cherniak (2016), GPS and in situ Swarm observations of the equatorial plasma density irregularities in the topside ionosphere, *Earth*, *Planets, and Space*, 68, 120, doi:10.1186/s40623-016-0490-5.



Figure 1. Example of EPIs measured by Swarm A satellite on September 08, 2017. (a) The global map with an orbit of Swarm A being superposed, (b) the corresponding Ne profile as a function of latitude in log scale, (c) high-pass filtered Ne, and (d) rectified residuals. (e)–(h) are the same as (a)–(d), but for another orbit with no EPIs being detected. The detected EPIs are marked with asterisk. EPIs = equatorial plasma irregularities.



**Figure 2.** Global distribution of EPIs amplitudes during (a) postsunset and (b) postmidnight period. Global distribution of EPIs occurrence rate during (c) postsunset and (d) postmidnight period. The geomagnetic equator is also plotted. EPIs = equatorial plasma irregularities.


Figure 3. The gridded and longitude (pegree). (a and b), the December solstice (c and d), the spring/autumn equinoxes (e and f), and the June solstice (g and h). The thick white curve represents the geomagnetic equator. EPI = equatorial plasma irregularity.



**Figure 4.** Seasonal-longitudinal distribution of the EPI occurrence rate (top panels) and the Swarm crossing counts (bottom panels) during the postsunset and postmidnight periods, respectively. EPI = equatorial plasma irregularity.



**Figure 5.** Monthly distribution of the EPI occurrence rate of equatorial plasma irregularities for four different longitudinal sectors during the postsunset and postmidnight periods, respectively. The binsize is half a month. The error bars are also marked. EPI = equatorial plasma irregularity.



**Figure 6.** Longitudinal-local time distribution of the EPI occurrence rate(left panels) and the Swarm crossing counts (right panels) during the December solstice, equinoxes, and the June solstices, respectively. EPI = equatorial plasma irregularity.



during the December solstice, equinoxes, and the June solstice, respectively. The error bars are also marked. EPI = equatorial plasma irregularity.



**Figure 8.** (Top panels) Magnetic latitude and magnetic local time distribution of the EPI occurrence rate during the December solstice, equinoxes, and the June solstice, respectively. (Middle and bottom panels) Latitudinal variation of the EPI occurrence rate during the post-sunset and postmidnight periods, respectively. EPI = equatorial plasma irregularity.



**Figure 9.** Multi-year longitudinal-monthly distribution of the EPI occurrence rate (top panels), the Swarm crossing counts (middle panels), and the monthly variation of the occurrence rate (bottom panels) during 2013–2019 for the postsunset and postmidnight periods, respectively. The temporal variation of daily F10.7 index is also plotted. EPI = equatorial plasma irregularity.

X - 44



Figure 10. Scatter plots of the four-month average F10.7 index versus the EPI occurrence rate

during the (a) postsunset and (b) postmidnight periods, respectively; The EPI occurrence rate as a function of Kp index during the (c) postsunset and (d) postmidnight periods, respectively. The blue and red bars represent low solar activity (F10.7<100) and moderate to high solar activity (F10.7 $\geq$ 100) situations, respectively. The bin of 0–1 includes the data with Kp equal to 0 and 1-, and the bin of 1-2 include the data with Kp equal to 1, 1+, 2-, and so on. EPI = equatorial plasma irregularity.

\_



**Figure 11.** (a and b) Monthly variation of the postsunset/postmidnight EPI occurrence rate derived from C/NOFS in-situ ion density during 2008–2015. The temporal variation of daily F10.7 index is alos plotted. The gap in data during 2013 is the period when the satellite was placed into safe mode.(c and d) Scatter plots of the four-month average F10.7 index versus the EPI occurrence rate. EPI = equatorial plasma irregularity. C/NOFS = Communications/Navigation Outage Forecasting System.

Figure 1.

Author Manuscript



Figure 2.

Author Manuscript



Figure 3.

Author Manuscript



Figure 4.



Figure 5.

Author Manuscript



Figure 6.



Figure 7.

Author Manuscript



Figure 8.



Figure 9.



Figure 10.



Figure 11.

Author Manuscript







This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.



2019ja027022-f03-z-.eps

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

## or Manuscri

## Author Manuscrip





## r Manuscri
## vuthor Manuscrip





## 





2019ja027022-f08-z-.eps



2019ja027022-f09-z-.eps

## anuscri Z





