

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

MRS. KATIE L.J. CEDERBERG (Orcid ID : 0000-0001-5693-9293)

Article type : Regular Research Paper

Restless legs syndrome and health-related quality of life in adults with multiple sclerosis

Suggested Running Head: RLS and HRQOL in MS

Authors: Katie L.J. Cederberg MS^{a*}; Brenda Jeng MS^a; Jeffer E. Sasaki PhD^b; Tiffany J. Braley MD^c; Arthur S. Walters MD^d; Robert W. Motl PhD^a

^a Department of Physical Therapy, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL USA⁺

^b Department of Sports Sciences, Universidade Federal do Triângulo Mineiro, Bairro Tutunas, Uberabam M.G.

^c Department of Neurology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI USA

^d Department of Neurology, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN USA

* Corresponding Author: Katie L. Cederberg: 1720 2nd Avenue South, Birmingham, AL USA; (205) 975-1306; kcederb@uab.edu

⁺ Institution where work was performed.

Manuscript word count: 4834

Number of References: 36

Conflict of Interest: Dr. Braley reports funding outside the submitted work. Dr. Braley is also named in a Provisional patent held by the University of Michigan, concerning treatment for sleep apnea, and serves as a consultant/advisor for Jazz Pharmaceuticals. Dr. Walters reports grants outside the submitted work. Dr. Motl reports grants from NMSS, during the conduct of the study. Mrs. Cederberg, Ms. Jeng, and Dr. Sasaki have nothing to disclose.

Author Contribution: Dr. Motl was responsible for study conception and design. Mrs. Cederberg, Ms. Jeng, and Dr. Sasaki were responsible for data acquisition. Mrs. Cederberg was responsible for data

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as [doi: 10.1111/JSR.12880](https://doi.org/10.1111/JSR.12880)

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

1 analysis and interpretation and drafting the manuscript with the guidance of Dr. Motl. Drs. Braley and
2 Walters along with all other co-authors provided critical revisions.

3 **Funding Sources**

4 This work was supported, in part, by a pilot grant from the National Multiple Sclerosis Society [PP 1412]
5 and a mentor-based post-doctoral fellowship from the National Multiple Sclerosis Society [MB 0011].
6 The funding source had no involvement in (a) the study design; (b) data collection, analysis or
7 interpretation; (c) in writing of the report; or in the decision to submit the article for publication.

8 **Abstract**

9 Restless legs syndrome (RLS) is a sleep disorder that may exacerbate many of the symptoms and
10 consequences of multiple sclerosis (MS), and may have further implications for health-related
11 quality of life (HRQOL). The present study examined the relationship among RLS, symptoms,
12 and HRQOL in people with MS. Participants with MS (N=275) completed the Cambridge-
13 Hopkins Restless Legs Syndrome Questionnaire, the International Restless Legs Syndrome
14 Study Group Scale, the Multiple Sclerosis Impact Scale, the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index, the
15 Fatigue Severity Scale, the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale, and the Patient Determined
16 Disease Steps. There were 74 (26.9%) persons with MS who had RLS (MS+RLS). The MS+RLS
17 group reported worse physical and psychological HRQOL ($p=0.020$ and $p=0.017$, respectively)
18 and greater perceived fatigue ($p=0.006$) and anxiety symptoms ($p=0.042$) than the MS only group.
19 Within the MS+RLS group, RLS severity was associated with physical ($r=0.43$) and
20 psychological ($r=0.46$) HRQOL, sleep quality ($r=0.38$), perceived fatigue ($r=0.28$),
21 depression ($r=0.38$), and anxiety ($r=0.28$). The relationships between RLS severity and the
22 domains of HRQOL were attenuated when accounting for fatigue, depression, and/or anxiety.
23 Worse RLS severity was associated with reduced HRQOL that was accounted for by fatigue,
24 depression, and anxiety.

25 **Keywords:** restless legs syndrome, multiple sclerosis, health-related quality of life, anxiety,
26 depression, fatigue

27

28

29 **1. Introduction**

30 Restless legs syndrome (RLS) is a sleep disorder characterized by uncomfortable
31 sensations and an intense, often uncontrollable urge to move the legs (Restless Legs Syndrome)

1 Foundation,2018). The defining features of RLS include:(a) an urge to move the extremities that
2 is accompanied by uncomfortable or unpleasant sensations;(b) the sensations are partially or
3 totally relieved by movement;(c) the sensations begin or worsen during periods of rest or
4 inactivity;(d) the sensations worsen in the evening(Walters et al.,2003). RLS occurs in upwards
5 of 15% of the general population(Ohayon et al.,2012), and the prevalence is substantially higher
6 among persons with multiple sclerosis (MS), with estimates as high as 65%(Sieminski et
7 al.,2015). This presents RLS as one of the most common, and potentially burdensome, sleep
8 disorders among persons with MS(Braley and Chervin,2015).

9 RLS may exacerbate many of the symptoms and consequences of MS and could have
10 implications for health-related quality of life(HRQOL). Persons with MS who have RLS report
11 greater daytime sleepiness, lower sleep quality, worse clinical disability(Manconi et al., 2008,
12 Moreira et al., 2008), and greater symptoms of depression and fatigue(Aydar et al., 2011,
13 Moreira et al., 2008) than with those with MS who do not have RLS. Such co-occurring
14 consequences of RLS are common influences of HRQOL in MS (Benito-Leon et al.,2003), yet
15 there is limited evidence regarding the nature of the relationship between RLS and HRQOL in
16 MS.

17 The present study examined the relationship between RLS and HRQOL in people with
18 MS. We compared common symptoms and consequences associated with MS between groups
19 with and without RLS (i.e.,MS+RLS and MS groups, respectively) and further examined the
20 association between RLS severity, MS-related outcomes, and HRQOL in people with both MS
21 and RLS. This was followed by a search for possible intermediary factors in the relationship,
22 including disability status, sleep quality, fatigue, depression, and anxiety in adults with both MS
23 and RLS. We hypothesized that those with MS and RLS (i.e.,MS+RLS group) would report
24 worse HRQOL, clinical disability, sleep quality, fatigue, depression, and anxiety than those with
25 MS alone (i.e.,MS group). We further hypothesized that the relationship between RLS severity
26 and HRQOL in those with MS and RLS might be accounted for by the aforementioned co-
27 occurring consequences of RLS (i.e.,sleep quality, clinical disability status, fatigue, and
28 depression).

29 **2. Materials and Methods**

30 *2.1 Participants*

1 We recruited a sample of persons with MS through the North American Research
2 Committee on Multiple Sclerosis(NARCOMS) patient registry. The random sample of 1,000
3 persons with MS received printed letters by NARCOMS staff, and the sample size was selected
4 based on an expectation of 25% participation in the actual study that was estimated by
5 NARCOMS. Those who were interested in participating contacted the research team through e-
6 mail or telephone, and members of the research team conducted a brief screening interview for
7 inclusion. The inclusion criteria were:(a) age 18 years or older;(b) self-reported diagnosis of
8 MS;(c) member of the NARCOMS registry; and (d) willingness to complete the questionnaires
9 and return the materials via the United States Postal Service (USPS). Of the 1,000 persons with
10 MS recruited through NARCOMS, 316 made contact with the research team, and 296 were
11 screened for eligibility(Figure 1); one person declined participation after the description of the
12 study. The research team distributed study materials to 295 persons, and 284 individuals returned
13 study materials to the research team. Of those who returned materials, nine declined participation
14 and 11 persons did not return packets for unknown reasons. The final sample consisted of 275
15 persons with MS.

16 *2.2 Restless Legs Syndrome*

17 *Diagnosis.* The diagnosis of RLS was based on the Cambridge-Hopkins Restless Legs
18 Syndrome Questionnaire(CH-RLSq) which has demonstrated validity and sensitivity for
19 diagnosing RLS via survey form(Allen et al.,2009). The CH-RLSq requires that participants
20 fulfill the five criteria for a positive diagnosis of RLS and further includes items to help exclude
21 common mimics of RLS(i.e.,leg cramps and positional discomfort). These items consisted of five
22 yes or no questions, two questions assessing when symptoms occur(i.e.,at rest or when active as
23 well as time of day), and one question for assessing if a single occurrence of movement relieves
24 the symptoms(i.e.,usually relieves, does not usually relieve, or don't know). Items were reviewed
25 by a researcher and scored as positive for RLS(i.e.,MS+RLS group) if responses were consistent
26 with the aforementioned RLS diagnostic criteria or as negative for RLS(i.e.,MS group) if any
27 item was inconsistent with RLS diagnostic criteria.

28 *Symptom Severity.* RLS symptom severity was measured using the International Restless
29 Legs Syndrome Study Group (IRLS) Scale. The IRLS is a validated 10-question survey that
30 provides a global score commonly used to assess the overall severity of symptoms over the
31 previous seven days(Walters et al.,2003). Items were rated on a scale ranging from 0 to 4 and

1 individual item scores were summed for a measure of overall symptom severity ranging from 0
2 to 40. IRLS scores were further categorized into no symptoms(score of 0), mild(scores 1 – 10),
3 moderate(scores 11 – 20), severe(scores 21 – 30), and very severe(scores 31 – 40)(Walters et
4 al.,2003). The IRLS has demonstrated validity, reliability, and responsiveness in clinical trial
5 settings(Abetz et al.,2006).

6 *2.3 Health-related Quality of Life*

7 The Multiple Sclerosis Impact Scale(MSIS-29) provides a disease-specific measure of
8 HRQOL(Hobart et al.,2001). The MSIS-29 measures physical and psychological aspects of
9 HRQOL using 29 separate items rated on a five-point Likert scale from 1(not at all) to
10 5(extremely) with regard to the past four weeks. Respective items were utilized to generate
11 subscale scores for the physical and psychological domains of HRQOL. The physical domain
12 was scored by summing the scores for items 1 to 20, subtracting 20, dividing the difference by
13 80, and then multiplying the result by 100. The psychological domain was scored by summing
14 the scores for items 21 through 29, subtracting nine, dividing the difference by 36, and then
15 multiplying by 100. Higher scores on both subscales represent a greater impact of MS on
16 HRQOL during the previous two weeks. Reliability and validity of the MSIS-29 has been
17 demonstrated in samples with MS(Learmonth et al.,2014).

18 *2.4 Demographics and Clinical Characteristics*

19 Participants completed a demographic and clinical characteristics questionnaire for
20 information regarding age, sex, race, MS subtype, and disease duration. Participants further
21 completed the Patient Determined Disease Steps(PDDS), a single item measure of self-reported
22 disability status(Hohol et al., 1995, Hohol et al., 1999). PDDS scores ranged between 0(normal)
23 and 8(bedridden), and the scores have demonstrated evidence for validity and strong correlations
24 with the Expanded Disability Status Scale(EDSS), pyramidal and cerebellar functional scores,
25 and walking ability in persons with MS(Learmonth et al., 2013).

26 *2.5 Sleep Quality*

27 Subjective sleep quality was assessed with the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality
28 Index(PSQI)(Buysse et al.,1989). The PSQI consists of 19 items that measure the quality of
29 one's sleep and sleep disturbances over the past month. The items include a number of factors
30 associated with sleep quality, including subjective sleep quality, duration of sleep, sleep latency,
31 habitual sleep efficiency(i.e.,frequency and severity of sleep-related problems including

1 breathing difficulty, pain, urinary frequency), use of sleeping medications, and the impact of
2 poor sleep on daily functioning. Components were scored from 0(fairly good) to 3(very bad) and
3 component scores are summed for a global score ranging from 0 to 21; higher scores reflect
4 worse sleep quality. Of note, scores greater than 5 are indicative of severe difficulties in at least
5 two domains or moderate difficulties in more than three areas and the PSQI has demonstrated
6 evidence for validity and reliability in assessing sleep quality in the general population(Buysse et
7 al.,1989).

8 *2.6 Fatigue Symptoms*

9 Perceived fatigue was measured using the Fatigue Severity Scale(FSS)(Krupp et
10 al.,1989). The FSS contains nine Likert-scale items that assess fatigue symptoms during the past
11 week, rated on a seven-point scale ranging between 1(strongly disagree) and 7(strongly agree).
12 Items were averaged for an overall measure of a person's severity of fatigue that ranges between
13 1 and 7. Of note, FSS scores of 4(or total scores of 36) or above indicate severe MS-related
14 fatigue(Andreasen et al.,2011). There is evidence for the internal consistency, test-retest
15 reliability, validity, and precision of FSS scores as a measure of fatigue(Krupp et al.,1989).

16 *2.7 Anxiety and Depression Symptoms*

17 The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale(HADS) contains 14 items that measure
18 perceived symptoms of depression and anxiety over the past 4 weeks(Zigmond and Snaith,1983).
19 Items were individually rated on a 4-point scale ranging from 0(most of the time) to 3(not at all).
20 A subset of seven items were reverse-scored per subscale and summed into a subscale score for
21 symptoms of depression(HADS-D) or symptoms of anxiety(HADS-A) that ranged from 0 to 21;
22 higher scores reflected a greater frequency of depressive and anxiety symptoms. Of note, a cut-
23 point score of 7 has been identified for depression and anxiety screening and a score of 11
24 suggests major depression and generalized anxiety disorder in individuals with MS(Marrie et
25 al.,2018). Additionally, the HADS has demonstrated evidence for the reliability and validity of
26 these scores in people with MS(Marrie et al.,2018).

27 *2.8 Procedures*

28 This study was approved by the University's Institutional Review Board and all
29 participants provided written informed consent. After initial telephone contact and screening, all
30 participants who verbally volunteered were mailed a packet containing the informed consent
31 document, questionnaire battery, and a pre-stamped and pre-addressed envelope for return

1 service through the USPS. The participants were instructed to complete the battery of
2 questionnaires over a 7 day period of time. After completing the questionnaires, participants
3 returned a signed copy of the informed consent along with the study materials through the USPS.
4 All participants received \$10 for voluntary participation.

5 *2.9 Statistical Analysis*

6 All statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS version 24 and descriptive data are
7 presented as mean scores along with the standard deviations(SD), unless otherwise specified. We
8 examined skewness and kurtosis estimates and frequency distributions for establishing normality
9 of the variables; the skewness and kurtosis estimates along with inspection of frequency
10 distributions did not identify problems with normality for any of the main study variables
11 (i.e.,age, sex, race, MS type, disease duration, PDDS, IRLS, MSIS, PSQI, FSS, HADS). The
12 differences in outcome measures between groups(MS+RLS vs. MS) were therefore determined
13 using an independent samples *t*-test for continuous variables, Mann-Whitney U test for
14 categorical variables, and Chi Squared test for nominal variables. Cohen's *d* was utilized to
15 estimate the magnitude of difference between groups and effect sizes of 0.2, 0.5, and 0.8 were
16 interpreted as small, moderate, and large, respectively(Cohen,1988). Within the MS+RLS group,
17 the associations among RLS severity, physical and mental components of HRQOL, and MS-
18 related consequences (i.e.,disability status, sleep quality, fatigue, depression, and anxiety) were
19 examined by means of Pearson Product (*r*) correlation coefficients; values of 0.1, 0.3, and 0.5
20 were interpreted as small, moderate, and large, respectively(Cohen,1988).

21 We evaluated the relationship between RLS diagnosis and physical and psychological
22 HRQOL scores using multivariate linear regressions with forward stepwise selection($\alpha=0.05$).
23 We regressed CH-RLSq on each domain of HRQOL (i.e.,physical and psychological) in Step 1,
24 and CH-RLSq plus variables that were significantly different between groups (i.e.,MS+RLS vs.
25 MS) with the HRQOL domains in Step 2. We examined the change in the standardized beta-
26 coefficient for CH-RLSq and the domain of HRQOL between Steps 1 and 2 for judging the
27 variables that may be intermediary factors in the associations within the total sample of
28 participants. Within the MS+RLS group, we examined potential intermediary variables in the
29 relationship between RLS severity and physical and psychological HRQOL scores using
30 multivariate linear regressions with forward stepwise selection($\alpha=0.05$). We regressed the total
31 IRLS score on each domain of HRQOL in Step 1, and RLS severity plus variables that were

1 significantly associated with the HRQOL domain in Step 2. We examined the change in the
2 standardized beta-coefficient for IRLS and the domain of HRQOL between Steps 1 and 2 for
3 judging the variables that may be intermediary factors in the associations within those with
4 MS+RLS. A p -value of less than 0.05 was adopted for determining statistical significance with
5 all inferential analyses.

6 **3. Results**

7 *3.1 Overall Sample Characteristics*

8 Demographic and clinical characteristics for the overall sample of participants($N=275$)
9 are presented in Table 1. The sample was largely female(81%) and Caucasian(94.9%) with a
10 mean age of 60 ± 10 years. Participants had mostly RRMS(65.6%) with moderate disability
11 (median [interquartile range] PDDS score: 3.0 [5.0]), an average disease duration of 20 ± 9.7
12 years and most participants were using an MS-specific disease modifying therapy(DMT; 84.3%).
13 The sample reported scores consistent with moderate-to-severe sleep disturbances(PSQI global
14 score: 7.2 ± 3.6) and severe MS-related fatigue(FSS: 4.7 ± 1.8), based on cut-off scores of greater
15 than 5(Buysse et al.,1989) and greater than 4(Andreasen et al.,2011), respectively.

16 *Restless Legs Syndrome in Multiple Sclerosis*

17 Demographic and clinical characteristics of subsamples of MS+RLS($n=74$) and MS
18 only($n=201$) are presented in Table 1. Approximately 27% of our sample fit the criteria for a
19 positive diagnosis of RLS based on the CH-RLSq diagnostic questionnaire. Within the MS+RLS
20 group, 9(12.2%) individuals reported no symptoms, 26(35.1%) mild symptoms, 28(37.8%)
21 moderate symptoms, 10(13.5%) severe symptoms, and 1(1.4%) reported very severe symptoms
22 of RLS. Of note, 20(27%) participants with MS+RLS were taking medications that can reduce
23 symptoms of RLS and 14(18.9%) were taking medications that can exacerbate symptoms of
24 RLS(Buchfuhrer,2012, Restless Legs Syndrome Foundation, 2018). The two groups
25 (i.e.,MS+RLS and MS) were not significantly different in age, sex, race, MS type, disease
26 duration, self-reported clinical disability status, number of participants on DMTs, sleep quality,
27 or depression symptoms.

28 The MS+RLS group reported significantly worse HRQOL in both domains(MSIS-
29 physical: $p=0.020$; and MSIS-psychological: $p=0.007$). The MS+RLS group reported significantly
30 greater perceived fatigue(FSS: $p=0.007$) and anxiety symptoms(HADS-A: $p=0.042$) than the MS
31 only group. Additionally, the MS+RLS group had a positive screening for anxiety and

1 depression based on a cut-off score of 7(Marrie et al.,2018). The difference between groups was
2 small for the physical($d=0.32$) and psychological($d=0.35$) domains of HRQOL, perceived
3 fatigue($d=0.40$), depression symptoms($d=0.21$), and anxiety symptoms($d=0.25$).

4 *3.2 Correlation Analysis*

5 The bivariate correlations among RLS severity, HRQOL, clinical disability status, sleep
6 quality, fatigue, depression, and anxiety in the MS+RLS group are presented in Table 2. RLS
7 severity was significantly associated with physical($r=0.43$) and psychological($r=0.46$) HRQOL,
8 sleep quality($r=0.38$), perceived fatigue($r=0.28$), and symptoms of depression($r=0.38$) and
9 anxiety($r=0.28$). The physical domain of HRQOL was significantly associated with clinical
10 disability status($r=0.74$), sleep quality($r=0.33$), fatigue($r=0.68$), and depression($r=0.60$); the
11 psychological domain of HRQOL was significantly associated with sleep quality($r=0.56$),
12 fatigue($r=0.47$), depression($r=0.78$), and anxiety($r=0.74$).

13 *3.3 Linear Regression Analysis*

14 The summary of linear regression analyses for evaluating the relationship between RLS
15 diagnosis and HRQOL in the total sample($N=275$) are presented in Table 3. RLS diagnosis
16 significantly predicted the physical domain of HRQOL in Step 1($F=5.310$, $p=0.022$; $R^2=0.019$),
17 and this relationship was accounted for by FSS and HADS-A in Step 2($F=29.564$, $p=0.000$; R
18 $change=0.228$). RLS diagnosis significantly predicted the psychological domain of HRQOL in
19 Step 1($F=7.362$, $p=0.007$; $R^2=0.026$), and this relationship was accounted for by FSS and HADS-
20 A in Step 2($F=109.293$, $p=0.000$; R^2 $change=0.522$).

21 The summary of linear regression analyses for evaluating variables that may be
22 intermediary in the relationship between RLS severity and HRQOL in those with
23 MS+RLS($n=74$) are presented in Table 4. RLS severity significantly predicted the physical
24 domain of HRQOL in Step 1($F=16.259$, $p=0.000$; $R^2=0.184$), and this relationship was partially
25 accounted for by FSS and HADS-D in Step 2($F=26.626$; $p=0.000$; R^2 $change=0.423$). RLS
26 severity significantly predicted the psychological domain of HRQOL in Step 1($F=19.646$,
27 $p=0.000$; $R^2=0.214$), and this relationship was partially accounted for by FSS, HADS-D, and
28 HADS-A in Step 2($F=37.113$; $p=0.000$; R^2 $change=0.596$).

29 **4. Discussion**

30 This study provided a novel evaluation of the relationship between RLS severity and
31 HRQOL as well as identified potential intermediary variables in this relationship for persons

1 with MS and RLS. Persons with MS and RLS had reduced HRQOL in both the physical and
2 psychological domains. Consistent with previous research, persons with MS and RLS
3 experienced more severe fatigue and a greater frequency of anxiety symptoms than those without
4 RLS(Giannaki et al.,2018, Cederberg and Motl,2016). Among those with MS and RLS, RLS
5 severity was moderately correlated with HRQOL, whereby greater RLS severity was associated
6 with a greater impact of MS on both physical and psychological domains of HRQOL. The
7 relationships between RLS severity and the domains of HRQOL were attenuated when
8 accounting for fatigue, depression, and/or anxiety, suggesting that these symptoms may be
9 intermediary factors of the association between RLS severity and HRQOL.

10 Within this study of adults with MS, meeting the diagnostic criteria for RLS was
11 associated with significantly worse physical and psychological HRQOL, fatigue, anxiety, and
12 depression, thereby suggesting that the presence of RLS in adults with MS may negatively
13 impact HRQOL and associated outcomes. The presence of RLS significantly predicted the
14 physical and psychological domains of HRQOL, yet our results suggest that the severity of
15 fatigue and frequency of anxiety symptoms partially account for such relationships. This further
16 suggests that fatigue and symptoms of anxiety may be important intermediaries in the
17 relationship between RLS diagnosis and HRQOL in adults with MS.

18 Our results indicated that anxiety was significantly worse in adults with MS and RLS and
19 that anxiety was positively associated with RLS severity and the psychological domain of
20 HRQOL. To date, this is the first study to evaluate symptoms of anxiety in adults with MS and
21 RLS. Anxiety is characterized by the excessive worry which an individual finds difficult to
22 control that can cause significant problems in other areas of life (National Institute of Mental
23 Health,2018). Results from the current study suggest that anxiety may be an important factor in
24 the relationship among RLS, RLS severity, and HRQOL; however, the pathological connection
25 between RLS and anxiety is unclear. One potential explanation is that RLS shares similar
26 characteristics with anxiety disorders wherein the diagnostic criteria for generalized anxiety
27 disorder include symptoms of restlessness, fatigability, difficulty concentrating, irritability,
28 muscle tension, and sleep disturbance(Patriquin and Mathew,2017). Thus, the unpredictable and
29 uncontrollable nature of RLS symptoms may contribute to or exacerbate anxiety symptoms
30 which in turn impact HRQOL in adults with MS. More research is necessary to further evaluate

1 the temporal relationship between RLS severity and anxiety, as well as the impact of anxiety in
2 persons with MS and RLS.

3 Results from the current study indicate that a reduced HRQOL in the physical domain
4 was associated with worse RLS severity, greater self-reported clinical disability, poorer sleep
5 quality, greater fatigue severity, and a higher frequency of depression. Similarly, reduced
6 HRQOL in the psychological domain was associated with worse RLS severity, poorer sleep
7 quality, greater fatigue severity, and a greater frequency of depression and anxiety symptoms.
8 These results are similar to previous literature that has demonstrated significant correlations
9 between HRQOL and fatigue, poor sleep(Lobentanz et al.,2004, Amato et al.,2001, Boe Lunde et
10 al.,2012, Tabrizi and Radfar,2015, Kotterba et al.,2018), depression(Lobentanz et al.,2004,
11 Amato et al.,2001), and anxiety(Fruehwald et al.,2001) in persons with MS. Importantly, this is
12 the first study to identify RLS severity as an important correlate of HRQOL and this association
13 may be accounted for by fatigue, depression, and anxiety. These results build upon current
14 literature that identifies depression and fatigue as strong predictors of HRQOL in persons with
15 MS(Lobentanz et al.,2004, Amato et al.,2001, Fruehwald et al.,2001, Nourbakhsh et al.,2016).
16 Future research should consider other possible factors that may mediate the relationship between
17 the severity of RLS symptoms experienced and HRQOL in persons with MS.

18 5. Limitations

19 There are important limitations to consider when interpreting our results. The cross-
20 sectional design of this study precludes any inferences of causality or temporality. The response
21 rate from the NARCOMS registry was low (i.e., 31%) and further reduced (27%) based on valid
22 questionnaires. Thus, selection bias may have played a role in our results. As this was a mail-
23 based protocol, all measures are self-report in nature. The lack of an interview for RLS diagnosis
24 may have led to false negative or false positive diagnoses as the CH-RLSq. However, the RLS
25 diagnostic questionnaire (i.e.,CH-RLSq) that has been validated for diagnosing RLS with
26 consideration of certain RLS mimics via survey form for the general population(Allen et
27 al.,2009). We did not include criteria for a minimum time since diagnosis. Some prescription
28 medications for MS present another limitation to assessing RLS symptom severity in this
29 population as a number of prescriptions for symptoms and consequences of MS can be used to
30 treat symptoms of RLS (e.g., pramipexole, gabapentin, and rotigotine(Restless Legs Syndrome
31 Foundation,2018)) or may exacerbate symptoms of RLS (e.g., antidepressants, first-generation

1 antihistamines(Buchfuhrer,2012)) wherein 25.1% (n=69) of our sample with MS and RLS were
2 taking a medication that could reduce RLS severity and 14.2% (n=39) were taking medications
3 that could exacerbate RLS severity. This paper included only a single measure for the evaluation
4 of sleep, but it did not include a measure for assessing daytime sleepiness(e.g.,Epworth
5 Sleepiness Scale). This is a limitation as daytime sleepiness is a common correlate of RLS that
6 may impact HRQOL. Our sample consisted primarily of persons with RRMS(65.8%) suggesting
7 that our sample may not be fully representative of the MS population, and we further note that
8 some subjects may be unaware of a transition from RRMS to SPMS. Additionally, this high
9 prevalence of individuals with RRMS may not be representative of the MS+RLS population as
10 prior literature suggest that RLS may be more prevalent in those with PPMS and those with
11 greater disability levels(Manconi et al.,2007, Manconi et al.,2008). However, we included a
12 large, random sample of individuals with MS that included all phenotypes of MS and our sample
13 was representative of U.S. peak prevalence (i.e., 55-64 years) for age and sex(Wallin et al.,2019),
14 disease duration, and MS type(Multiple Sclerosis International Foundation,2013).

15 **6. Conclusions**

16 This study sheds new light on the relationships among RLS severity, MS symptomology,
17 and physical and psychological domains of HRQOL. Persons with MS and RLS experience
18 reduced HRQOL in both physical and psychological domains, worse fatigue, and more frequent
19 symptoms of depression and anxiety. Worse RLS severity was associated with both physical and
20 psychological domains of HRQOL that was seemingly accounted for by fatigue, depression, and
21 anxiety. These results identify a possible pathway for mitigating reductions in HRQOL through
22 the reduction of RLS and other MS symptoms(e.g.,fatigue and anxiety). The identification of
23 intermediary factors in the relationship between RLS and QOL in MS provides valuable
24 information that could be utilized to improve symptomatic treatment and modulate treatment
25 over time, consequently improving HRQOL, in persons with MS and RLS. Future research
26 should further examine the role of anxiety in persons with MS and RLS as well as identify
27 correlates and factors that may mediate the relationship between RLS and HRQOL as early
28 identification and treatment of modifiable factors, such as fatigue, depression, and anxiety, may
29 improve quality of life in people with MS and RLS.

30
31 **Acknowledgements:** none.

Author Manuscript

Table 1: Participant characteristics for all participants (N = 275) and the subsamples of participants with and without restless legs syndrome.

	All Participants (N = 275)	MS+RLS (n = 74)	MS (n = 201)	<i>p</i> -value	<i>d</i>
Age (years)	59.7 ± 10.1	59.4 ± 9.9	59.9 ± 10.2	0.748	--
Sex (n (%))	223 (81.1%) F / 52 (18.9%) M	60 (81.1%) F / 14 (18.9%) M	163 (81.1%) F / 38 (18.9%) M	0.998 ^a	--
Race (n (%))				0.422 ^a	--
American Indian	1 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.5%)	--	--
Black/African American	4 (1.5%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (2.0%)	--	--
Caucasian	261 (94.9%)	73 (98.6%)	188 (93.4%)	--	--
Latino/Latina	5 (1.8%)	0 (0.0%)	5 (0.3%)	--	--
Other	3 (1.1%)	1 (1.4%)	2 (1.0%)	--	--
MS Type (n (%))				0.731 ^a	--
RRMS	181 (65.8%)	48 (64.9%)	133 (66.2%)	--	--
SPMS	58 (21.1%)	17 (23.0%)	41 (20.4%)	--	--
PPMS	33 (12.0%)	9 (12.2%)	24 (11.9%)	--	--
Benign	3 (1.1%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (1.5%)	--	--
Disease Duration (years)	20.4 ± 9.7	20.0 ± 9.7	20.5 ± 9.7	0.686	--
MS DMT (n (%))	231 (84.3%)	53 (71.6%)	149 (74.1%)	0.676 ^a	--
PDDS (median (IQR))	3.0 (5)	3.5 (3)	3.0 (5)	0.291 ^b	--
IRLS	7.6 ± 8.0	11.4 ± 7.6	6.2 ± 7.7	0.000	0.680
RLS Modifying Rx	69 (25.1%)	20 (27.0%)	49 (24.4%)	0.653 ^a	--
RLS Exacerbating Rx	39 (14.2%)	14 (18.9%)	25 (12.4%)	0.172 ^a	--

MSIS-Physical	35.0 ± 25.0	40.7 ± 24.6	32.8 ± 24.8	0.020	0.319
MSIS-Psychological	25.3 ± 19.1	30.4 ± 22.7	23.4 ± 17.3	0.007	0.347
PSQI	6.9 ± 3.4	7.3 ± 3.8	6.7 ± 3.2	0.172	0.171
FSS	4.7 ± 1.8	5.2 ± 1.7	4.5 ± 1.8	0.007	0.400
HADS-D	6.9 ± 2.8	7.3 ± 3.1	6.7 ± 2.7	0.115	0.206
HADS-A	6.7 ± 2.7	7.2 ± 3.1	6.5 ± 2.5	0.042	0.249

Note: Data are presented in mean ± standard deviation unless otherwise specified. *MS* multiple sclerosis; *RLS* restless legs syndrome; *RRMS* relapsing-remitting MS; *SPMS* secondary progressive MS; *PPMS* primary progressive MS; *PDDS* Patient Determined Disease Status; *IQR* interquartile range; *IRLS* International Restless Legs Syndrome Study Group Scale; *MSIS* Multiple Sclerosis Impact Scale; *PSQI* Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index; *FSS* Fatigue Severity Scale; *HADS-D* Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale-Depression domain *HADS-A* Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale-Anxiety domain. ^a Chi Square Analysis; ^b Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney Test

Table 2: Summary of Pearson-product bivariate correlations in adults with restless legs syndrome and multiple sclerosis (n = 74)

	IRLS	MSIS- Physical	MSIS- Psychological	PDDS	PSQI	FSS	HADS-D
MSIS-Physical	0.429**	-					
MSIS- Psychological	0.463**	0.563**	-				
PDDS	0.194	0.737**	0.075	-			
PSQI	0.382**	0.334**	0.561**	0.096	-		
FSS	0.277*	0.678**	0.474**	0.363**	0.409**	-	
HADS-D	0.375**	0.599**	0.776**	0.284*	0.443**	0.437**	--
HADS-A	0.278*	0.202	0.740**	-0.168	0.383**	0.102	0.562**

Notes: *IRLS* International Restless Legs Syndrome Study Group Scale; *MSIS* Multiple Sclerosis Impact Scale; *PDDS* Patient Determined Disease Status; *PSQI* Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index; *FSS* Fatigue Severity Scale; *HADS-D* Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale-Depression domain; *HADS-A* Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale-Anxiety domain. * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$

Table 3: Summary of linear regression analysis for restless legs syndrome diagnosis and other variables predicting the physical and psychological domains of health-related quality of life in adults with multiple sclerosis (N = 275).

MSIS-Physical			
	B	SE B	β
Step 1			
CH-RLSq	7.764	3.369	0.138*
Step 2			
CH-RLSq	3.036	3.019	0.054
FSS	6.684	0.756	0.476*
HADS-A	0.482	0.494	0.052
$R^2 = .019$ for step 1; $\Delta R^2 = .228$ for step 2. * $p < 0.05$			
MSIS-Psychological			
	B	SE B	β
Step 1			
CH-RLSq	6.975	2.571	0.162*
Step 2			
CH-RLSq	1.393	1.791	0.032
FSS	3.695	0.449	0.343*
HADS-A	4.297	0.293	0.607*
$R^2 = .026$ for step 1; $\Delta R^2 = .522$ for step 2. * $p < 0.05$			

Notes: *MSIS* Multiple Sclerosis Impact Scale; *CH-RLSq* Cambridge-Hopkins Restless Legs Syndrome Questionnaire; *FSS* Fatigue Severity Scale; *HADS-A* Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale-Anxiety domain. * $p < 0.05$

Table 4: Summary of linear regression analysis for restless legs syndrome severity and other variables predicting the physical and psychological domains of health-related quality of life in adults with restless legs syndrome and multiple sclerosis (n = 74).

MSIS-Physical			
	B	SE B	β
Step 1			
IRLS	1.393	0.345	0.429*
Step 2			
IRLS	0.641	0.275	0.198*
PSQI	-0.647	0.548	-0.105
FSS	7.508	1.271	0.515*
HADS-D	2.686	0.704	0.343*
$R^2 = .184$ for step 1; $\Delta R^2 = .423$ for step 2. * $p < 0.05$			
MSIS-Psychological			
	B	SE B	β
Step 1			
IRLS	1.383	0.312	0.463*
Step 2			
IRLS	0.338	0.178	0.113
PSQI	0.512	0.363	0.090
FSS	2.809	0.852	0.209*
HADS-D	2.488	0.532	0.345*
HADS-A	3.410	0.499	0.461*
$R^2 = .214$ for step 1; $\Delta R^2 = .594$ for step 2. * $p < 0.05$			

Notes: *MSIS* Multiple Sclerosis Impact Scale; *IRLS* International Restless Legs Syndrome Study Group Scale; *PSQI* Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index; *FSS* Fatigue Severity Scale; *HADS-D* Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale-Depression domain; *HADS-A* Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale-Anxiety domain. * $p < 0.05$

Figure 1: CONSORT Diagram.

