ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Cardiology

Electrocardiographic changes in patients undergoing targeted temperature management

Simi Jandu MD[1] | **Nana Sefa MD, MPH[2]** | **Kelly N. Sawyer MD, MS[3]** | **Robert Swor DO[1]**

[1]Department of Emergency Medicine, William Beaumont Hospital, Royal Oak, Michigan, USA

[2]Department of Emergency Medicine, Michigan Medicine, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA

[3]Department of Emergency Medicine, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA

Correspondence
Robert Swor, DO, Department of Emergency Medicine, William Beaumont Hospital, 3601 W. 13 Mile Rd, Royal Oak, MI 48073, USA.
Email: raswor@beaumont.edu

Abstract

Objectives: Targeted temperature management is the recommended therapy for comatose patients after an out-of-hospital cardiac arrest resuscitation due to the reduction in neurological damage and improved outcomes. However, there may result in electrocardiographic instability depending on the degree of targeted temperature management, including minor or life-threatening dysrhythmias or conduction delays. This project aims to describe the frequency of ECG interval changes and clinically relevant dysrhythmias in targeted temperature management patients.

Methods: This is a retrospective observational study from January 2009 to December 2015. Patients who qualified for the study had a non-traumatic cardiac arrest with a return of spontaneous circulation, received targeted temperature management at 33.5°C for 24 hours followed by 16 hours of rewarming. ECG interval changes and dysrhythmias were recorded immediately after return of spontaneous circulation, and at 24 and 48 hours post return of spontaneous circulation.

Results: A total of 322 patients (age 61.0 ± 16.9 years) had targeted temperature management initiated during the study period, of which 169 had complete data and 13 died prior to completing 24 hours of hypothermia. There were statistically significant changes during targeted temperature management in heart rate (96.7 ± 26.0/min before targeted temperature management; 69.5 ± 19.1/min during, $P < 0.001$), QRS duration (115.1 ± 32.6 ms before targeted temperature management; 107.8 ± 27.9 ms during targeted temperature management, $P < 0.001$), and QTc (486.3 ± 52.8 ms before targeted temperature management; 526.9 ± 61.7 ms during targeted temperature management, $P < 0.001$). There were cardiac dysrhythmias that received treatment during cooling and rewarming.

Conclusion: During the period of targeted temperature management and rewarming, we observed few self-limiting ECG interval changes and no clinically significant dysrhythmias in this population during the period of targeted temperature management.

KEYWORDS

cardiac arrest, dysrhythmias, EKG, targeted temperature management

328 | **WILEY** JACEP OPEN JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN COLLEGE OF EMERGENCY PHYSICIANS OPEN A GLOBAL JOURNAL OF EMERGENCY MEDICINE   Open Access

JANDU ET AL.

1 | INTRODUCTION

1.1 | Background

It is estimated that there are ~395,000 cases of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest per year in the United States with survival to discharge ranging from 3.0%–39.9% based on geographical region.[1,2] Patients resuscitated post-cardiac arrest are at increased risk of developing further myocardial abnormalities, (eg, post-cardiac arrest syndrome) along with systemic and brain injuries. Targeted temperature management has shown to improve neurological function and outcomes thought to be due to a reduction in the body's oxygen demand and reperfusion injury associated damage.[3,4] Thus, targeted temperature management is recommended by the American Heart Association Guidelines for Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and is standard care in adults who remain comatose after an out-of-hospital cardiac arrest with return of spontaneous circulation around the world.[5,6]

Accidental hypothermia studies have shown that hypothermia itself can cause adverse cardiac events, such as bradycardia, Osborn waves, atrial fibrillation, and QTc prolongation, predisposing patients to life-threatening ventricular arrhythmias.[7] These arrhythmias are hypothesized to be due to serum electrolyte changes, including an increase in intracellular calcium, combined with myocardial repolarization variability that occurs with hypothermia.[8] Multiple case reports and studies demonstrate that hypothermia is associated with a significant prolongation in QT and QTc interval.[9,10]

1.2 | Importance

With the conduction delays and cardiac arrhythmias noted with hypothermia, concern is raised about the effect of targeted temperature management on the cardiac electrophysiology, specifically when there are no current guidelines for monitoring or assessing risk of arrhythmias, possibly deterring physicians from inducing targeted temperature management.

Although targeted temperature management is standard in treating comatose, post-cardiac arrest patients after return of spontaneous circulation, there are few large studies that have studied the incidence of arrhythmias and conduction delays during treatment.[11–15]

1.3 | Goals of this investigation

The purpose of this study was to determine the frequency of clinically relevant ECG changes and cardiac dysrhythmias in a large population undergoing targeted temperature management.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Study design and setting

We conducted a retrospective observational study of ECGs from adult patients (≥18 years) who received targeted temperature management

The Bottom Line

In a cohort of 169 cardiac arrest patients undergoing targeted temperature management, mild changes in HR, QRS duration, and QTc were identified, but few cases of clinically significant dysrhythmia were identified.

(33.5°C for 24 hours) and who had return of spontaneous circulation after non-traumatic cardiac arrest at 2 large academic community hospitals from January 2009 to December 2015. Both institutions provided targeted temperature management during the study period for comatose post-arrest patients. Patients are cooled using either external or endovascular cooling devices, with the vast majority being surface cooling (Artic Sun, Medivance, Inc., Louisville, CO) to a target temperature of 33.5°C, kept at that temperature for 24 hours, and then gradually rewarmed to a temperature of 37°C over the subsequent 16 hours. Normothermia was maintained thereafter. Metabolic, ventilation, and hemodynamic parameters were managed according to goal directed targets for the first 72 hours in consultation with critical care unit staff. Bolus paralytics or continuous drips were dosed for patients to control shivering.

2.2 | Measurements

We reviewed ECGs obtained immediately on hospital arrival post-return of spontaneous circulation, as well as 24 hours and 48 hours post-return of spontaneous circulation. ECGs were abstracted for presence of dysrhythmia at these time points. ECG intervals using computerized measures including PR, QRS, and QT intervals as well as ST segment changes were abstracted. Charts were also reviewed for presence of cardiac dysrhythmias that were new in onset and occurred during cooling and rewarming as well as clinically significant dysrhythmias included ventricular tachycardia and ventricular fibrillation. A single observer reviewed records for data extraction and then two independent observers reviewed a sample of the data extraction and discrepancies were resolved by consensus.

2.3 | Analysis

Descriptive statistics are reported for frequency of adverse events. Continuous variables including age (years), initial temperature (degrees Celsius), and all time intervals (milliseconds, minutes, or hours) are reported as means and SDs; categorical variables are reported as counts and percentages.

Data were analyzed using IBM SPSS (V19.0, Armonk, NY) software, with a significance level of $\alpha = 0.05$. Intervals were compared between pre-cooling, cooling, and post-cooling time periods and paired t tests were calculated for associations. Beaumont Health System institutional review board approved the study.

```
┌────────────────────────────────────────────┐
│ Patients (≥ 18 y.o.) had non-traumatic      │
│ cardiac arrest with ROSC from January 2009  │
│ to December 2015 (N=322)                     │
└────────────────────────────────────────────┘
        │          ┌────────────────────────────────────┐
        ├─────────▶│ Excluded for no EKGs (N=25)          │
        │          └────────────────────────────────────┘
        │          ┌────────────────────────────────────┐
        ├─────────▶│ Excluded for paced rhythm (N=7)      │
        │          └────────────────────────────────────┘
        │          ┌────────────────────────────────────┐
        ├─────────▶│ Excluded for no ECGs prior to        │
        │          │ cooling (N=11)                       │
        ▼          └────────────────────────────────────┘
┌────────────────────────────────────────────┐
│ Patient cooled to target temperature of     │
│ 33.5 °C (N=279)                              │
└────────────────────────────────────────────┘
        │
        ▼
┌────────────────────────────────────────────┐
│ Patient kept at 33.5 °C for 24 hours (N=279)│
└────────────────────────────────────────────┘
        │          ┌────────────────────────────────────┐
        ├─────────▶│ Excluded for dying during TTM (N=4)  │
        │          └────────────────────────────────────┘
        │          ┌────────────────────────────────────┐
        ├─────────▶│ Excluded for no ECGs during TTM      │
        │          │ (N=65)                               │
        ▼          └────────────────────────────────────┘
┌────────────────────────────────────────────┐
│ Patient rewarmed to 37.0 °C (N=210)          │
└────────────────────────────────────────────┘
        │          ┌────────────────────────────────────┐
        ├─────────▶│ Excluded for dying during            │
        │          │ rewarming (N=9)                      │
        │          └────────────────────────────────────┘
        │          ┌────────────────────────────────────┐
        ├─────────▶│ Excluded for no ECGs after           │
        │          │ rewarming (N=32)                     │
        ▼          └────────────────────────────────────┘
┌────────────────────────────────────────────┐
│ Adult patients who had a non-traumatic       │
│ cardiac arrest with ECGs prior to cooling,   │
│ during TTM and after rewarming (N=169)       │
└────────────────────────────────────────────┘
```

Description of how patients were excluded from the total number of populations who underwent targeted temperature management to the population in which data was analyzed. Targeted temperature management = TTM, Return of spontaneous circulation = ROSC

FIGURE 1 Flow diagram for included subjects. Description of how patients were excluded from the total number of populations who underwent targeted temperature management to the population in which data was analyzed. TTM, targeted temperature management; ROSC, return of spontaneous circulation

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Characteristics of study subjects

During the study period, a total of 322 patients (61.0 ± 16.9 years of age) received targeted temperature management, of which 13 died prior to completion of treatment. Of the 13 who died, 9 died during rewarming—1 had pulseless electrical activity, 1 asystole, 1 code status changed to DNR, and 6 terminally weaned. Four died during targeted temperature management—2 terminally weaned, 1 code status

changed to DNR, and 1 had pulseless electrical activity. The deaths from pulseless electrical activity and asystole were primarily related to persistent hypotension and 1 had disseminated intravascular coagulation.

ECGs were performed as directed by the clinical team and were not recovered for patients prior to cooling (n = 11), during cooling (n = 65), and after cooling (n = 32). Seven patients had paced rhythms and were excluded from analysis (Figure 1). Demographic characteristics of the population are summarized in Table 1. The patients were predominately male (62.5%), 76% had an out-of-hospital arrest, 16.1% had a

---

TABLE 1 Demographic characteristics of study population

Patient characteristics	(n = 199)
Age (years)	61.0 ± 16.9
Male (%)	125 (62.5)
Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (%)	151 (75.9)
Body mass index (median, IQR)	29.6 (25, 35.8)
Witnessed arrest (%)	73 (66.4)
VT/VF (%)	33 (30.0)
Bradyasystolic (%)	67 (52.8)
STEMI (%)	32 (16.1)
Survived to discharge (%)	35.7
Alive at 3-month follow-up (%)	21.1

VF, ventricular fibrillation; VT, ventricular tachycardia.

TABLE 2 Frequency of cardiac rhythms and conduction disturbances before, during, and after treatment

	History before cooling	New finding 24 hours post-ROSC	48 Hours post-ROSC
Atrial fibrillation	24	3	7
Atrial flutter	3	1	1
Osborn waves	1	23	4
AV nodal rhythm	7	6	2
Right bundle branch block	29	2	5
Left bundle branch block	21	0	0

AV, atrioventricular; ROSC, return of spontaneous circulation.

STEMI on initial ECG, and 35.7% survived to hospital discharge. Most patients were normothermic prior to initiation of targeted temperature management, core temperature median 36.1 (interquartile range [IQR] = 35.4, 36.7).

3.2 | Main results

Few patients developed significant dysrhythmias during and after, summarized in Table 2. During cooling, there was no recorded development of ventricular tachycardia or ventricular fibrillation, 3 developed atrial fibrillation, 1 developed atrial flutter, and 6 developed atrioventricular nodal rhythms. These dysrhythmias during cooling resolved spontaneously without treatment. Osborn waves were common occurring in 23 patients during treatment. After targeted temperature management, no recorded developments of ventricular tachycardia or ventricular fibrillation, but 7 developed atrial fibrillation, 1 developed atrial flutter, 4 developed atrioventricular nodal rhythms, and Osborn waves were present in 5 patients.

There were statistically significant changes during targeted temperature management compared to prior with a decreased heart rate, and lengthened QRS duration and QTc (Table 3). There were also statistically significant changes after targeted temperature manage-

TABLE 3 ECG interval changes in patients before vs during targeted temperature management

	Before TTM	During TTM	P value
Heart rate (bpm)	96.1 ± 25.8	69.5 ± 19.1	<0.05
PR interval (msec)	167.1 ± 34.5	167.5 ± 37.4	0.9
QRS duration (msec)	115.7 ± 32.0	108.1 ± 27.9	<0.05
QTc interval (msec)	488.0 ± 51.9	527.8 ± 61.0	<0.05

bpm, beats per minute; TTM, targeted temperature management

TABLE 4 ECG interval changes in patients during and after targeted temperature management

	During TTM	After TTM	P value
Heart rate (bpm)	69.5 ± 19.1	91.1 ± 18.0	<0.05
PR interval (msec)	167.5 ± 37.4	159.1 ± 35.3	< 0.05
QRS duration (msec)	108.1 ± 27.9	97.5 ± 25.6	<0.05
QTc interval (msec)	527.8 ± 61.0	488.7 ± 54.2	<0.05

TTM, targeted temperature management; bpm, beats per minute

FIGURE 2 Change in heart rate before, during, and after TTM. Histogram results of heart rate changes from before, during, and after TTM. TTM, targeted temperature management; bpm, beats per minute

ment compared to during targeted temperature management with an increased heart rate and shortened QRS and QTc (Table 4). Most intervals returned to normal after return to normothermia (Figures 2–5).

3.3 | Limitations

There are multiple limitations of this study: the first is that it is a retrospective chart review with no control group. This could allow for confounding variables, that is, electrolyte imbalances and medications that were not reviewed by study authors but monitored and treated by intensive care unit staff. These are known to affect ECG intervals and cause arrhythmias. The second is that 47.5% (n = 153) of the patients who underwent targeted temperature management did not have complete ECG data due to missing ECGs, or died before treatment was

JANDU ET AL.

JACEP OPEN
JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN COLLEGE OF EMERGENCY PHYSICIANS OPEN
A GLOBAL JOURNAL OF EMERGENCY MEDICINE
Open Access

WILEY | 331

PR Interval Throughout TTM

FIGURE 3 Change in PR interval before, during, and after TTM. Histogram results of PR interval changes from before, during, and after TTM. TTM, targeted temperature management; msec, milliseconds

QRS Throughout TTM

FIGURE 4 Change in QRS interval before, during, and after TTM. Histogram results of QRS interval decreased during TTM, when compared to before TTM, and decreased after TTM compared to before TTM. TTM, targeted temperature management; msec, milliseconds

QTc Throughout TTM

FIGURE 5 Change in QTc before, during, and after TTM. Histogram results of QTc interval changes from before, during, and after TTM. TTM, targeted temperature management; msec, milliseconds

completed, thus excluded from analysis (see Figure 1). We believe that reasons for missing data were random, because we cannot hypothesize any systemic reason for ECGs being absent. Given that our preferred cooling mechanism is a surface device with large pads, it seems likely that this was a perceived, if not real, barrier to obtaining an ECG. The data were also analyzed based on single ECGs in each time period, so there may be transient arrhythmias not captured on ECG.

4 | DISCUSSION

Congruent with prior studies, we showed a statistically significant decrease in heart rate with a statistically significant increase in QT and QTc interval during targeted temperature management primarily using surface cooling that spontaneously resolved when rewarmed. The bradycardia and prolonged QT interval is thought to be due to the slowing rate of diastolic depolarization in both the sinoatrial nodal and myocardial cells as temperature decreases. This prolongs the electrical conduction between myocardial cells, increasing the action potential duration causing prolongation of electrocardiographic intervals, including QT, as seen in our population.[15,16]

Prolonged QT/QTc interval has been associated with clinically significant cardiac dysrhythmias, that is, Torsades de Pointes, ventricular tachycardia, and ventricular fibrillation, as observed in congenital diseases, hypokalemia, medications, severe accidental hypothermic individuals, etc.[13] Although not analyzed in our study, prior literature has shown that electrolyte disturbances and medications are known to prolong ECG intervals in non-hypothermic states. Rosol et al[9] mentioned that there was no difference in QTc intervals in patients with electrolyte imbalances and/or QT-prolonging medications compared to those without in their study. There was also not a significant difference in those who developed arrhythmias. Thus, QT and QTc prolongation observed in our study, and the lack of clinically significant dysrhythmias with hypothermia, is likely similar to other studies in that they are not affected by electrolyte imbalances and QT prolonging medications.

To our knowledge, the current study is the one of the largest studies examining the relationship between QT, QTc, and arrhythmias during targeted temperature management. Literature on targeted temperature management has shown that the QTc prolongation during treatment is not associated with significant cardiac dysrhythmias. Gachoka et al[15] reported none of 55 patients undergoing targeted temperature management developed Torsades de pointes or ventricular tachycardia. Ulmenstein et al[8] reported 13.7% of 95 patients had ventricular tachycardia and ventricular fibrillation, and Rosol et al,[9] the largest study to date, reported 11.3% of 193 patients developed ventricular tachycardia, ventricular fibrillation, or Torsades de pointes. Both latter studies showed no statistical difference in QT or QTc interval between those with and without ventricular arrhythmias.

In comparison to our study, of the few patients who developed dysrhythmias, none were clinically significant (Table 2). Potentially as incongruent with other studies, we did not use continuous telemetry monitoring to determine if a clinically significant, albeit transient, dysrhythmias were present.[9,12,15] It is presumed, however, that if a

patient had even a transient arrhythmia, it would be documented on the chart. Regardless, our study found no clinically significant dysrhythmias despite significant QTc prolongation during hypothermia, unlike other large studies.

It is thought that unlike temperatures less than 30°C, at mild hypothermic ranges (32°C–35°C) there is a cardioprotective effect from membrane stabilization and a decrease in metabolic waste and reactive oxygen species that protects against prolonged QTc interval arrhythmias.[15] This is important, because targeted temperature management has become standard care in post-cardiac arrest patients who are already at an increased risk of arrhythmias, and data are needed to identify if more stringent monitoring guidelines are needed.

It is also worth noting that we noted the QRS to significantly shorten from prior to targeted temperature management to during targeted temperature management to normothermia. This was similarly first described in Lebiedz et al[11] who noted that the QRS interval decreased throughout the treatment, similar to our data. In other studies, the QRS interval is noted to significantly decrease from hypothermia to after cessation of treatment. However, it is not noted to be associated with arrhythmias and likely to be a benign finding.[8,12]

Future studies should include prospective evaluations with recording of electrolytes and medications to control for other sources of QTc prolongation. Optimally continuous telemetry recordings before, during, and after targeted temperature management should be used to capture transient arrhythmias that self-resolve.

In this study, targeted temperature management is associated with a number of statistically significant ECG interval changes (ie, decrease in heart rate and QRS, and increase in QTc interval that spontaneously resolved during rewarming) congruent with other studies. Despite the transient ECG interval changes, we observed no clinically significant arrhythmias defined as ventricular tachycardia and ventricular fibrillation, indicating that targeted temperature management is a low risk treatment in post-cardiac arrest patients irrespective of their pre-targeted temperature management QTc.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

KNS and RS conceived, designed, and supervised the conduct of the trial. KNS recruited participants for the study. NS, SJ, and RS participated in data collection. NS and RS provided statistical advice on study design and analyzed data. SJ drafted the manuscript. All authors contributed substantially to the article revision. All authors takes responsibility for the paper as a whole. RS takes responsibility of the final manuscript.

ORCID

Simi Jandu MD https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5169-2332

REFERENCES

1. Graham R, McCoy MA, Schultz AM. *Strategies to Improve Cardiac Arrest Survival: A Time to Act*. Washington, D.C.: The National Academic Press; 2015.
2. Nichol G, Thomas E, Callaway CW, et al. Regional variation in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest incidence and outcome. *JAMA*. 2008;300(12):1423.
3. Safar PJ, Kochanek PM. Therapeutic hypothermia after cardiac arrest. *N Engl J Med*, 2002;346(8):612-613.
4. Polderman K, Varon J. Cool hemodynamics – The intricate interplay between therapeutic hypothermia and the post-cardiac arrest syndrome. *Resuscitation*. 2014;85(8):975-976.
5. Callaway CW, Donnino MW, Fink EL, et al. Part 8: Post-cardiac arrest care: 2015 American Heart Association Guidelines Update for Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care. *Circulation*. 2015;112(18 Suppl 2):S465-S482.
6. Donnino M, Anderson L, Berg K, et al. Temperature Management After Cardiac Arrest. *Circulation*. 2015;132:2448-2456.
7. Khan JN, Prasad N, Glancy JM. QTc prolongation during therapeutic hypothermia: Are we giving it the attention it deserves? *Europace*. 2010;12(2):266-270.
8. Ulmenstein SV, Storm C, Breuer TGK, et al. Hypothermia induced alteration of repolarization - impact on acute and long-term outcome: a prospective cohort study. *Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med*. 2017;25(1):1-5.
9. Rosol Z, Miranda DF, Sandoval Y, et al. The effect of targeted temperature management on QT and corrected QT intervals in patients with cardiac arrest. *J Crit Care*. 2017;39: 182-184.
10. Nishiyama N, Sato T, Aizawa Y, et al. Extreme QT prolongation during therapeutic hypothermia after cardiac arrest due to long QT syndrome. *Am J Emerg Med*. 2012;30(4):638.e5-8.
11. Lebiedz P, Meiners J, Samol A, et al. Electrocardiographic changes during therapeutic hypothermia. *Resuscitation*. 2012;83(5):602-606.
12. Salinas P, Lopez-de-Sa, E, Pena-Conde L, et al. Electrocardiographic changes during induced therapeutic hypothermia in comatose survivors after cardiac arrest. *World J Cardiol*. 2015;7(7):423-430.
13. Rolfast CL, Lust EJ, Cock CCD. Electrocardiographic changes in therapeutic hypothermia. *Crit Care*. 2012;16(3):R100.
14. Storm C, Hasper D, Nee J, et al. Severe QTc prolongation under mild hypothermia treatment and incidence of arrhythmias after cardiac arrest—A prospective study in 34 survivors with continuous Holter ECG. *Resuscitation*. 2011;82(7):859-862.
15. Gachoka D, Sheikh M, Al Ahwel Y, et al. QT Prolongation During Therapeutic Hypothermia of Sudden Cardiac Arrest Patients Does Not Cause Predisposition to Ventricular Arrhythmias. *J Innov Card Rhythm Manag*. 2012;3: 996-1001.
16. Kienast R, Handler M, Stöger M, et al. Modeling hypothermia induced effects for the heterogeneous ventricular tissue from cellular level to the impact on the ECG. *PLoS One*. 2017;12(8):e0182979.