

DR DAVID WILLIAM ELLISON (Orcid ID : 0000-0003-1239-7757)

DR SRIRAM VENNETI (Orcid ID : 0000-0003-3583-8308)

DR DAVID N. LOUIS (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-9423-4099)

Article type : Review

clMPACT-NOW Update 7: advancing the molecular classification of ependymal tumors

David W. Ellison¹, Kenneth D. Aldape², David Capper³, Maryam Fouladi⁴,
Mark R. Gilbert⁵, Richard J. Gilbertson⁶, Cynthia Hawkins⁷, Thomas Merchant⁸,
Kristian Pajtler⁹, Sriram Veneti¹⁰, David N. Louis¹¹

1 Department of Pathology, St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, 262 Danny Thomas Place, Memphis, TN 38105, USA

2 Center for Cancer Research, Laboratory of Pathology, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD, USA

3 Department of Neuropathology, Charité Universitätsmedizin, Berlin, Germany

4 Brain Tumor Center, Division of Oncology, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, Cincinnati, OH

5 Neuro-Oncology Branch, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA

6 Department of Oncology, Cambridge Cancer Centre, Robinson Way, Cambridge, CB2 0RE, UK

7 Division of Pathology, The Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, Canada

8 Department of Radiation Oncology, St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, 262 Danny Thomas Place, MS 210, Memphis, TN 38105, USA

9 Division of Pediatric Neurooncology, German Cancer Consortium (DKTK), German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany

10 Department of Pathology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1111/BPA.12866

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

11 Department of Pathology, Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02114, USA

Corresponding author:

David W. Ellison, Department of Pathology, St. Jude Children's Research Hospital
262 Danny Thomas Place, Memphis, TN 38105, USA.

E-mail: David.Ellison@stjude.org

Telephone: 001-901-595-3533

Running Head: cIMPACT-7 ependymal tumors

Ependymal tumors make up a heterogeneous category of central nervous system (CNS) gliomas with variably expressed morphologic, immunophenotypic, and ultrastructural ependymal features (9). The current WHO classification (2016) lists: subependymoma (WHO grade 1), myxopapillary ependymoma (WHO grade 1), the classic ependymoma with its three histological subtypes – papillary, clear cell, and tanycytic (WHO grade 2), anaplastic ependymoma (WHO grade 3), and one genetically defined type – ependymoma, *RELA* fusion-positive (WHO grade 2 / 3). However, aspects of this scheme are not ideal; for example, in some clinical settings, there is a poor association between tumor grading and outcome (4). In addition, recent studies using DNA methylation profiling to demonstrate molecular groups of ependymoma and genome-wide sequencing to determine the genomic landscape of the disease support the proposition that ependymomas with similar morphologic features from across the neuraxis have distinct origins and oncogenic events of clinicopathologic significance and potential therapeutic utility (12, 14, 15, 17). Seeking to improve the current classification, cIMPACT working committee 2 (WC2) considered a scheme based around molecularly defined types of ependymoma.

Background to the molecular classification of ependymoma

Methylation and gene expression profiling studies have provided evidence for at least nine molecular groups of ependymoma across the three principal anatomic compartments of the CNS: supratentorial (ST), posterior fossa (PF), and spinal cord (SC) (3, 14, 15, 21-23). These molecular

groups have distinct molecular alterations and clinicopathologic characteristics, and their identification has clinical utility.

One molecular group at each anatomic site consists almost entirely of tumors with the morphologic features of subependymoma (15). Of the two remaining ST molecular groups, one is dominated by ependymomas with *C11orf95-RELA* fusion genes, and the other contains tumors with a high frequency of *YAP1-MAMLD1* fusions (1, 17). Occasionally, other fusion genes are present in ST ependymomas; for example, *C11orf95* has been reported to partner with *MAML2* and *YAP1*, and *YAP1* with *FAM118B*. In addition, some ST ependymomas have no detectable fusion gene and rare ependymomas with *C11orf95-RELA* fusions arise in the PF (DWE & KDA – personal observations). Across reported datasets, *C11orf95* and *YAP1* contribute most often to pathogenic fusions in the ST-RELA and ST-YAP1 molecular groups, respectively. These two groups of ST ependymoma are distinguished by their clinical characteristics. However, while a difference in patient outcome was reported for the ST-RELA and ST-YAP1 groups in one retrospective study (15), *RELA* fusion status was not found to be prognostic in another trial-based study (13). The clinical significance of rare genetic fusion events in ST ependymomas remains unclear.

Unlike ST ependymomas, PF ependymomas lack recurrent mutations (12, 17). However, methylation profiling divides them into two main groups, PFA and PFB, which are also distinguished by global levels of histone H3 K27-trimethylation (16). This epigenetic mark is high in PFB ependymomas, but low in PFA tumors. PFA ependymomas occur mainly in infants, while PFB tumors arise mainly in older children and adults. Patient outcome might also be different; most, but not all, studies show that PFA ependymomas have a significantly worse prognosis (13, 15, 16, 21, 23).

Among SC ependymomas are the myxopapillary tumors that predominate in adult patients. These form one methylation group; classic ependymomas form a second, and a rare third group consists of spinal subependymomas. Recently, an aggressive SC ependymoma characterized by early dissemination throughout the neuraxis, an anaplastic morphology, and *MYCN* amplification has been reported (5, 19). Other data indicate that, in adults, classic ependymomas and myxopapillary ependymomas have a similar outcome, suggesting that the latter might be more appropriately assigned to WHO grade 2 (20).

For subependymomas and myxopapillary ependymomas, the relationship between morphology and methylome group is imprecise. Some classic ependymomas without anaplastic features fall into the subependymoma or myxopapillary ependymoma molecular group (22). In addition, the clinical relevance of such findings is unclear; as yet, there is no indication that classification by molecular group provides more clinical utility than the current morphologic classification of these two tumor types.

The above findings have provided impetus to update the classification of ependymomas and, alongside other datasets, have prompted a series of recommendations from cIMPACT WC2:

- Ependymomas should be classified by anatomic site and by molecular group or an associated genetic alteration, so that classification of the disease reflects its underlying biology.
- ST ependymomas should be classified according to the genes, *C11orf95* and *YAP1*, that contribute to most pathogenic gene fusions in each of the two major molecular groups.
- PF ependymomas should be classified according to the two most prevalent molecular groups, PFA and PFB.
- SC ependymomas with *MYCN* amplification should be recognized as a distinctive type of ependymoma with a poor outcome.
- Meaningful data related to the outcome of patients on clinical trials are not yet available for a WHO grade to be assigned to types of ependymoma defined by molecular alterations.
- The rare subependymoma should continue to be identified by morphologic criteria; no clear clinical utility is yet attached to the identification of a subependymoma molecular group at each anatomic site.
- SC myxopapillary ependymomas should continue to be identified by morphologic criteria but designated WHO grade 2, because clinical trial datasets do not support a WHO grade 1 clinical behavior.
- Morphologic subtypes of the classic ependymoma (papillary, clear cell, tanycytic) should be recognized as distinctive patterns in the histopathological description of ependymomas; but, affording no specific clinical utility, they should no longer be included in the classification of the disease.

These recommendations were used to produce a novel classification of ependymal tumors (Table). In this classification, a diagnosis of subependymoma or myxopapillary ependymoma is

made on morphologic criteria. Other ependymomas would be classified according to anatomic site and the results of molecular testing, if available. If molecular testing has been undertaken, yet no result generated to place an ependymoma among the molecularly defined tumor types in the classification, then the histologically defined diagnosis 'ependymoma' is used with the suffix 'NEC' (not elsewhere classified). When molecular testing is unavailable, then 'ependymoma' is used with the suffix 'NOS' (not otherwise specified) (11).

Practical aspects of classifying ependymomas

Longstanding controversy surrounds the clinicopathological utility of grading ependymal tumors (4); though use of WHO grade in the therapeutic stratification of adult patients with ST ependymoma remains established practice (20). Our proposed classification allows only a histologically defined diagnosis of 'ependymoma' to be made at any of the three anatomic sites. However, in the upcoming edition of the WHO classification, several tumor types can be assigned to more than one grade, including ependymal tumors. As currently for the ependymoma, *RELA* fusion-positive, a pathologist will be able to assign either grade 2 or grade 3 to an ependymoma defined by morphologic criteria (Table), and in a change from previous editions of the classification anaplastic ependymoma will not be listed.

A range of diagnostic tests can be used to discover molecular alterations that define the new types of ependymoma. Sequencing might demonstrate the fusion genes of the two types of ST ependymoma, and interphase fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (iFISH) to demonstrate rearrangement of *C11orf95*, *RELA*, or *YAP1* can support the presence of a fusion gene (17). iFISH can also show the defining amplification of the spinal ependymoma, *MYCN*-amplified. Immunohistochemistry to assess the expression of H3 K27-trimethylation can be used to distinguish PFA and PFB ependymomas (16).

DNA methylation profiling is proving to be a powerful tool for the classification of CNS tumors; it works with formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded derivatives and can provide a diagnosis from small tissue samples (2). It is also a powerful adjunct when histopathological features converge on more than one possible diagnosis. For example, the histopathology of ST ependymomas overlaps with several tumor types that were originally identified by methylation profiling, especially the CNS high-grade neuroepithelial tumor with *MN1* alteration (18). Because of its ability to determine whether a ST high-grade neuroepithelial tumor with some ependymal features should not be

classified as an ependymoma or whether a PF ependymoma falls into the PFA or PFB molecular group, WC2 proposes consideration of methylation profiling as a front-line diagnostic test when ependymoma is part of the histopathological differential diagnosis.

WC2 considered chromosome 1q gain as a molecular marker to be used in the classification of PF ependymomas. Gain of 1q is present in 15-20% of PF ependymomas and has been reliably and reproducibly associated with a poor outcome and pattern of progression among patients with these tumors (6-8, 13). However, among nine subtypes of PFA ependymoma discovered by methylation profiling, outcome was highly variable, and subtypes with a poor prognosis could be enriched for 1q gain (subtype PFA-1c) or not (subtype PFA-1e) (14). Considering these data, WC2 proposes that reference to 1q status is placed in the integrated diagnosis among other molecular information (10), rather than used to define a tumor type in the classification.

In conclusion, WC2 proposes a classification of ependymal tumors that extends the principle of defining CNS tumors by characteristic molecular alterations. An integrated and tiered approach to reporting the diagnosis is recommended for capturing information on molecular characteristics alongside histopathological features.

Acknowledgements

This paper has been reviewed by the Steering Committee and Clinical Advisory Panel of cIMPACT-NOW and by the International Society of Neuropathology Executive.

David Capper has a patent pending entitled DNA methylation-based method for classifying tumour species of the brain (EP3067432A1). Other authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

References

1. Andreiuolo F, Varlet P, Tauziède-Espariat A, Junger ST, Dorner E, Dreschmann V, Kuchelmeister K, Waha A, Haberler C, Slavc I, Corbacioglu S, Riemenschneider MJ, Leipold A, Rudiger T, Korholz D, Acker T, Russo A, Faber J, Sommer C, Armbrust S, Rose M, Erdlenbruch B, Hans VH, Bernbeck B, Schneider D, Lorenzen J, Ebinger M, Handgretinger R, Neumann M, van Buiren M, Prinz M, Roganovic J, Jakovcevic A, Park SH, Grill J, Puget S, Messing-Junger M, Reinhard H, Bergmann M, Hattingen E, Pietsch T (2019) Childhood supratentorial ependymomas with YAP1-MAMLD1 fusion: an entity with characteristic clinical, radiological, cytogenetic and histopathological features. *Brain Pathol.*29(2):205-16.
2. Capper D, Jones DTW, Sill M, Hovestadt V, Schrimpf D, Sturm D, Koelsche C, Sahm F, Chavez L, Reuss DE, Kratz A, Wefers AK, Huang K, Pajtler KW, Schweizer L, Stichel D, Olar A, Engel NW, Lindenberg K, Harter PN, Braczynski AK, Plate KH, Dohmen H, Garvalov BK, Coras R, Holsken A, Hewer E, Bewerunge-Hudler M, Schick M, Fischer R, Beschorner R, Schittenhelm J, Staszewski O, Wani K, Varlet P, Pages M, Temming P, Lohmann D, Selt F, Witt H, Milde T, Witt O, Aronica E, Giangaspero F, Rushing E, Scheurlen W, Geisenberger C, Rodriguez FJ, Becker A, Preusser M, Haberler C, Bjerkvig R, Cryan J, Farrell M, Deckert M, Hench J, Frank S, Serrano J, Kannan K, Tzirigos A, Bruck W, Hofer S, Brehmer S, Seiz-Rosenhagen M, Hanggi D, Hans V, Rozsnoki S, Hansford JR, Kohlhof P, Kristensen BW, Lechner M, Lopes B, Mawrin C, Ketter R, Kulozik A, Khatib Z, Heppner F, Koch A, Jouvet A, Keohane C, Muhleisen H, Mueller W, Pohl U, Prinz M, Benner A, Zapatka M, Gottardo NG, Driever PH, Kramm CM, Muller HL, Rutkowski S, von Hoff K, Fruhwald MC, Gnekow A, Fleischhack G, Tippelt S, Calaminus G, Monoranu CM, Perry A, Jones C, Jacques TS, Radlwimmer B, Gessi M, Pietsch T, Schramm J, Schackert G, Westphal M, Reifenberger G, Wesseling P, Weller M, Collins VP, Blumcke I, Bendszus M, Debus J, Huang A, Jabado N, Northcott PA, Paulus W, Gajjar A, Robinson GW, Taylor MD, Jaunmuktane Z, Ryzhova M, Platten M, Unterberg A, Wick W, Karajannis MA, Mittelbronn M, Acker T, Hartmann C, Aldape K, Schuller U, Buslei R, Lichter P, Kool M, Herold-Mende C, Ellison DW, Hasselblatt M, Snuderl M, Brandner S, Korshunov A, von Deimling A, Pfister SM (2018) DNA methylation-based classification of central nervous system tumours. *Nature.*555:469-74.

3. Cavalli FMG, Hubner JM, Sharma T, Luu B, Sill M, Zapotocky M, Mack SC, Witt H, Lin T, Shih DJH, Ho B, Santi M, Emery L, Hukin J, Dunham C, McLendon RE, Lipp ES, Gururangan S, Grossbach A, French P, Kros JM, van Veelen MC, Rao AAN, Giannini C, Leary S, Jung S, Faria CC, Mora J, Schuller U, Alonso MM, Chan JA, Klekner A, Chambless LB, Hwang EI, Massimino M, Eberhart CG, Karajannis MA, Lu B, Liau LM, Zollo M, Ferrucci V, Carlotti C, Tirapelli DPC, Tabori U, Bouffet E, Ryzhova M, Ellison DW, Merchant TE, Gilbert MR, Armstrong TS, Korshunov A, Pfister SM, Taylor MD, Aldape K, Pajtler KW, Kool M, Ramaswamy V (2018) Heterogeneity within the PF-EPN-B ependymoma subgroup. *Acta Neuropathol.*136(2):227-37.
4. Ellison DW, Kocak M, Figarella-Branger D, Felice G, Catherine G, Pietsch T, Frappaz D, Massimino M, Grill J, Boyett JM, Grundy RG (2011) Histopathological grading of pediatric ependymoma: reproducibility and clinical relevance in European trial cohorts. *J Negat Results Biomed.*10:7.
5. Ghasemi DR, Sill M, Okonechnikov K, Korshunov A, Yip S, Schutz PW, Scheie D, Kruse A, Harter PN, Kastelan M, Wagner M, Hartmann C, Benzel J, Maass KK, Khasraw M, Strater R, Thomas C, Paulus W, Kratz CP, Witt H, Kawauchi D, Herold-Mende C, Sahm F, Brandner S, Kool M, Jones DTW, von Deimling A, Pfister SM, Reuss DE, Pajtler KW (2019) MYCN amplification drives an aggressive form of spinal ependymoma. *Acta Neuropathol.*
6. Godfraind C, Kaczmarek JM, Kocak M, Dalton J, Wright KD, Sanford RA, Boop FA, Gajjar A, Merchant TE, Ellison DW (2012) Distinct disease-risk groups in pediatric supratentorial and posterior fossa ependymomas. *Acta Neuropathol.*124(2):247-57.
7. Kilday JP, Mitra B, Domerg C, Ward J, Andreiuolo F, Osteso-Ibanez T, Mauguen A, Varlet P, Le Deley MC, Lowe J, Ellison DW, Gilbertson RJ, Coyle B, Grill J, Grundy RG (2012) Copy number gain of 1q25 predicts poor progression-free survival for pediatric intracranial ependymomas and enables patient risk stratification: a prospective European clinical trial cohort analysis on behalf of the Children's Cancer Leukaemia Group (CCLG), Societe Francaise d'Oncologie Pediatrique (SFOP), and

International Society for Pediatric Oncology (SIOP). *Clinical cancer research : an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research*.18(7):2001-11.

8. Korshunov A, Witt H, Hielscher T, Benner A, Remke M, Ryzhova M, Milde T, Bender S, Wittmann A, Schottler A, Kulozik AE, Witt O, von Deimling A, Lichter P, Pfister S (2010) Molecular staging of intracranial ependymoma in children and adults. *J Clin Oncol*.28(19):3182-90.
9. Louis DN, Ohgaki H, Wiestler OD, Cavenee WK, Ellison DW, Figarella Branger D, Perry A, Reifenberger G, von Deimling A (2016) WHO Classification of Tumours of the Central Nervous System, IARC: Lyon.
10. Louis DN, Perry A, Burger P, Ellison DW, Reifenberger G, von Deimling A, Aldape K, Brat D, Collins VP, Eberhart C, Figarella-Branger D, Fuller GN, Giangaspero F, Giannini C, Hawkins C, Kleihues P, Korshunov A, Kros JM, Beatriz Lopes M, Ng HK, Ohgaki H, Paulus W, Pietsch T, Rosenblum M, Rushing E, Soylemezoglu F, Wiestler O, Wesseling P, International Society Of N-H (2014) International Society Of Neuropathology--Haarlem consensus guidelines for nervous system tumor classification and grading. *Brain Pathol*.24(5):429-35.
11. Louis DN, Wesseling P, Paulus W, Giannini C, Batchelor TT, Cairncross JG, Capper D, Figarella-Branger D, Lopes MB, Wick W, van den Bent M (2018) cIMPACT-NOW update 1: Not Otherwise Specified (NOS) and Not Elsewhere Classified (NEC). *Acta Neuropathol*.135(3):481-4.
12. Mack SC, Witt H, Piro RM, Gu L, Zuyderduyn S, Stutz AM, Wang X, Gallo M, Garzia L, Zayne K, Zhang X, Ramaswamy V, Jager N, Jones DT, Sill M, Pugh TJ, Ryzhova M, Wani KM, Shih DJ, Head R, Remke M, Bailey SD, Zichner T, Faria CC, Barszczyk M, Stark S, Seker-Cin H, Hutter S, Johann P, Bender S, Hovestadt V, Tzaridis T, Dubuc AM, Northcott PA, Peacock J, Bertrand KC, Agnihotri S, Cavalli FM, Clarke I, Nethery-Brookx K, Creasy CL, Verma SK, Koster J, Wu X, Yao Y, Milde T, Sin-Chan P, Zuccaro J, Lau L, Pereira S, Castelo-Branco P, Hirst M, Marra MA, Roberts SS, Fulds D, Massimi L, Cho YJ, Van Meter T, Grajkowska W, Lach B, Kulozik AE, von Deimling A, Witt O, Scherer SW, Fan X, Muraszko KM, Kool M, Pomeroy SL, Gupta N, Phillips J, Huang A, Tabori U, Hawkins C, Malkin

D, Kongkham PN, Weiss WA, Jabado N, Rutka JT, Bouffet E, Korbel JO, Lupien M, Aldape KD, Bader GD, Eils R, Lichter P, Dirks PB, Pfister SM, Korshunov A, Taylor MD (2014) Epigenomic alterations define lethal CIMP-positive ependymomas of infancy. *Nature*.506(7489):445-50.

13. Merchant TE, Bendel AE, Sabin ND, Burger PC, Shaw DW, Chang E, Wu S, Zhou T, Eisenstat DD, Foreman NK, Fuller CE, Anderson ET, Hukin J, Lau CC, Pollack IF, Laningham FH, Lustig RH, Armstrong FD, Handler MH, Williams-Hughes C, Kessel S, Kocak M, Ellison DW, Ramaswamy V (2019) Conformal Radiation Therapy for Pediatric Ependymoma, Chemotherapy for Incompletely Resected Ependymoma, and Observation for Completely Resected, Supratentorial Ependymoma. *J Clin Oncol*.37(12):974-83.

14. Pajtler KW, Wen J, Sill M, Lin T, Orisme W, Tang B, Hubner JM, Ramaswamy V, Jia S, Dalton JD, Hauptfear K, Rogers HA, Punchihewa C, Lee R, Easton J, Wu G, Ritzmann TA, Chapman R, Chavez L, Boop FA, Klimo P, Sabin ND, Ogg R, Mack SC, Freibaum BD, Kim HJ, Witt H, Jones DTW, Vo B, Gajjar A, Pounds S, Onar-Thomas A, Roussel MF, Zhang J, Taylor JP, Merchant TE, Grundy R, Tatevossian RG, Taylor MD, Pfister SM, Korshunov A, Kool M, Ellison DW (2018) Molecular heterogeneity and CXorf67 alterations in posterior fossa group A (PFA) ependymomas. *Acta Neuropathol*.136(2):211-26.

15. Pajtler KW, Witt H, Sill M, Jones DT, Hovestadt V, Kratochwil F, Wani K, Tatevossian R, Punchihewa C, Johann P, Reimand J, Warnatz HJ, Ryzhova M, Mack S, Ramaswamy V, Capper D, Schweizer L, Sieber L, Wittmann A, Huang Z, van Sluis P, Volckmann R, Koster J, Versteeg R, Fults D, Toledano H, Avigad S, Hoffman LM, Donson AM, Foreman N, Hewer E, Zitterbart K, Gilbert M, Armstrong TS, Gupta N, Allen JC, Karajannis MA, Zagzag D, Hasselblatt M, Kulozik AE, Witt O, Collins VP, von Hoff K, Rutkowski S, Pietsch T, Bader G, Yaspo ML, von Deimling A, Lichter P, Taylor MD, Gilbertson R, Ellison DW, Aldape K, Korshunov A, Kool M, Pfister SM (2015) Molecular Classification of Ependymal Tumors across All CNS Compartments, Histopathological Grades, and Age Groups. *Cancer Cell*.27(5):728-43.

16. Panwalkar P, Clark J, Ramaswamy V, Hawes D, Yang F, Dunham C, Yip S, Hukin J, Sun Y, Schipper MJ, Chavez L, Margol A, Pekmezci M, Chung C, Banda A, Bayliss JM, Curry SJ, Santi M, Rodriguez FJ, Snuderl M, Karajannis MA, Saratsis AM, Horbinski CM, Carret AS, Wilson B, Johnston D, Lafay-Cousin L, Zelcer S, Eisenstat D, Silva M, Scheinemann K, Jabado N, McNeely PD, Kool M, Pfister SM, Taylor MD, Hawkins C, Korshunov A, Judkins AR, Venneti S (2017) Immunohistochemical analysis of H3K27me3 demonstrates global reduction in group-A childhood posterior fossa ependymoma and is a powerful predictor of outcome. *Acta Neuropathol.*134(5):705-14.
17. Parker M, Mohankumar KM, Punchihewa C, Weinlich R, Dalton JD, Li Y, Lee R, Tatevossian RG, Phoenix TN, Thiruvankatam R, White E, Tang B, Orisme W, Gupta K, Rusch M, Chen X, Li Y, Nagahawhatte P, Hedlund E, Finkelstein D, Wu G, Shurtleff S, Easton J, Boggs K, Yergeau D, Vadodaria B, Mulder HL, Becksfort J, Gupta P, Huether R, Ma J, Song G, Gajjar A, Merchant T, Boop F, Smith AA, Ding L, Lu C, Ochoa K, Zhao D, Fulton RS, Fulton LL, Mardis ER, Wilson RK, Downing JR, Green DR, Zhang J, Ellison DW, Gilbertson RJ (2014) C11orf95-RELA fusions drive oncogenic NF-kappaB signalling in ependymoma. *Nature.*506(7489):451-5.
18. Sturm D, Orr BA, Toprak UH, Hovestadt V, Jones DTW, Capper D, Sill M, Buchhalter I, Northcott PA, Leis I, Ryzhova M, Koelsche C, Pfaff E, Allen SJ, Balasubramanian G, Worst BC, Pajtler KW, Brabetz S, Johann PD, Sahm F, Reimand J, Mackay A, Carvalho DM, Remke M, Phillips JJ, Perry A, Cowdrey C, Drissi R, Fouladi M, Giangaspero F, Lastowska M, Grajkowska W, Scheurlen W, Pietsch T, Hagel C, Gojo J, Lotsch D, Berger W, Slavc I, Haberler C, Jouvet A, Holm S, Hofer S, Prinz M, Keohane C, Fried I, Mawrin C, Scheie D, Mobley BC, Schniederjan MJ, Santi M, Buccoliero AM, Dahiya S, Kramm GM, von Bueren AO, von Hoff K, Rutkowski S, Herold-Mende C, Fruhwald MC, Milde T, Hasselblatt M, Wesseling P, Rossler J, Schuller U, Ebinger M, Schittenhelm J, Frank S, Grobholz R, Vajtai I, Hans V, Schneppenheim R, Zitterbart K, Collins VP, Aronica E, Varlet P, Puget S, Dufour C, Grill J, Figarella-Branger D, Wolter M, Schuhmann MU, Shalaby T, Grotzer M, van Meter T, Monoranu CM, Felsberg J, Reifenberger G, Snuderl M, Forrester LA, Koster J, Versteeg R, Volckmann R, van Sluis P, Wolf S, Mikkelsen T, Gajjar A, Aldape K, Moore AS, Taylor MD, Jones C, Jabado N, Karajannis MA, Eils R, Schlesner M, Lichter P, von Deimling A, Pfister SM, Ellison DW, Korshunov A, Kool M (2016) New Brain Tumor Entities Emerge from Molecular Classification of CNS-PNETs. *Cell.*164(5):1060-72.

19. Swanson AA, Raghunathan A, Jenkins RB, Messing-Junger M, Pietsch T, Clarke MJ, Kaufmann TJ, Giannini C (2019) Spinal Cord Ependymomas With MYCN Amplification Show Aggressive Clinical Behavior. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol.*78(9):791-7.
20. Vera-Bolanos E, Aldape K, Yuan Y, Wu J, Wani K, Necesito-Reyes MJ, Colman H, Dhall G, Lieberman FS, Metellus P, Mikkelsen T, Omuro A, Partap S, Prados M, Robins HI, Soffietti R, Wu J, Gilbert MR, Armstrong TS, Foundation C (2015) Clinical course and progression-free survival of adult intracranial and spinal ependymoma patients. *Neuro Oncol.*17(3):440-7.
21. Wani K, Armstrong TS, Vera-Bolanos E, Raghunathan A, Ellison D, Gilbertson R, Vaillant B, Goldman S, Packer RJ, Fouladi M, Pollack I, Mikkelsen T, Prados M, Omuro A, Soffietti R, Ledoux A, Wilson C, Long L, Gilbert MR, Aldape K (2012) A prognostic gene expression signature in infratentorial ependymoma. *Acta Neuropathol.*123:727-38.
22. Witt H, Gramatzki D, Hentschel B, Pajtler KW, Felsberg J, Schackert G, Loffler M, Capper D, Sahm F, Sill M, von Deimling A, Kool M, Herrlinger U, Westphal M, Pietsch T, Reifenberger G, Pfister SM, Tonn JC, Weller M, German Glioma N (2018) DNA methylation-based classification of ependymomas in adulthood: implications for diagnosis and treatment. *Neuro Oncol.*20(12):1616-24.
23. Witt H, Mack SC, Ryzhova M, Bender S, Sill M, Isserlin R, Benner A, Hielscher T, Milde T, Remke M, Jones DT, Northcott PA, Garzia L, Bertrand KC, Wittmann A, Yao Y, Roberts SS, Massimi L, Van Meter T, Weiss WA, Gupta N, Grajkowska W, Lach B, Cho YJ, von Deimling A, Kulozik AE, Witt O, Bader GD, Hawkins CE, Tabori U, Guha A, Rutka JT, Lichter P, Korshunov A, Taylor MD, Pfister SM (2011) Delineation of two clinically and molecularly distinct subgroups of posterior fossa ependymoma. *Cancer Cell.*20(2):143-57.