

My Favorite Unreliable Source? Information and Acquisition Through Informal Networks

Rebekah Willson
McGill University
Montreal, Canada
rebekah.willson@mcgill.ca

George Buchanan
University of Melbourne
Melbourne, Australia
george.buchanan@unimelb.edu.au

Nicole Ellison
University of Michigan
Ann Arbor, USA
enicole@umich.edu

Sanda Erdelez
Simmons University
Boston, USA
sanda.erdelez@simmons.edu

ABSTRACT

Informal information networks are the personal connections of friends, people use to help them find information. Recently, a great deal of attention has been paid to social network sites, and other social media, as a key source of information in contemporary society. This panel will probe deeper, to investigate the factors that underpin and lie behind the social connections visible on social network sites, and their importance as more of our everyday lives are moved online. We will deliver a panel and do not know, about how people find information through others, but we will do so. This panel we hope to create a network of scholars interested in creating a research agenda for informal networks a focus of study going forward.

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone peer review. It has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, so there may be differences between this version and the Version of Record.

INTRODUCTION

While a lot of attention has been paid to the explosion of information sources in the last two decades, research continues to demonstrate that other people remain important information sources (e.g. Cross & Sproull, 2004; Hertzum, 2014; Miller, 2015; Willard, 2015). As people connect to one another and share information continues to be of interest, and as technologies and digital platforms there are new, and ever increasing ways in which information is acquired. This panel will explore the various ways in which information is acquired.

endeavour” (Wenger, cited in Smith, 2003/2009) that share a domain of practice (Smith, 2003/2009). While communities of practice can be informal, shared domain of interest and a practice distinguish it from an informal network. Informal networks are also related to the strength of weak ties (Granovetter, 1973). The potential of weak ties (acquaintances and contacts) to expand the range of information available to individuals beyond their familiar networks, and bridge social

Within informal networks, information can be acquired in many different ways: seeking information by asking questions (e.g., Willson & Given, 2020), seeking information through informal interactions (e.g., Erdelez & Makri, 2020), visiting a location in which the main activity is not sharing information but is a situation in which information can be shared (e.g., Fisher et al., 2007), or receiving information through third parties (receiving information by proxy) (e.g., McKenzie, 2003). Environmental contexts encountered can be physical (e.g., homes, schools, stores, libraries, cafes, meeting engines, digital libraries, social media) (Jiang et al., 2019). However, there is growing research of social network sites and other social media on informal networks. Research shows that information, and a high proportion of social network site activity is about social network sites (Morris, Teevan, & Panovich, 2010). In terms of information, the best evidence shows that a proportion is spread through social network site posts (Buchanan et al., 2016; Buchanan, 2016), with in-person and private digital communication having a smaller proportion of exchange. Furthermore, building new relationships online is becoming more common than in social network sites, discussion boards or other forms of social media (Lampe, 2007; Steinfield, Ellison, & Lampe, 2008). This discussion is part of a current shift toward more and more of everyday life moving online.

Informal networks can become particularly important for individuals who are in marginalized groups and who experience information poverty (Chatman, 1996) or who have limited social capital (an individual’s capacity to access information) (Counts & Fisher, 2008). Norms and attitudes facilitate (or determine) the ways in which community members interact (Jaeger, & Thompson, 2008). For marginalized groups, stigma complicates access to information and can include behaviors such as hiding information resources to avoid negative consequences (Lampe, 2007; Steinfield, Ellison, & Lampe, 2008). While current research has focused on mainstream information and communication technologies, understanding how the marginalized groups can

- Each of the panelists will provide a five-minute overview of their networks, describe a key contribution where this research contributes to the topic, and ending by proposing a pivotal question (or question answered) (35 minutes total, including 5 minutes for handover);
- Each member of the audience will receive a postcard when entering the room (1-4), a short scenario, and 2-3 discussion questions (related to the scenario). Based on the number on their postcards, audience members will form small groups, one per panelist, to discuss the scenario and begin to answer the discussion questions. During this time for the small group discussion, the entire audience will reflect on the scenario, opportunities, and possible next steps with research into informal networks. This is followed by sessions of 15 minutes, plus a 5-minute recap between the sessions.
- The Moderator will close the session by reviewing the topics that were discussed in the panelists' presentations and the dialogue from the small group discussions, and ideas for establishing a network of scholars and a research agenda for future research on a topic of future research (15 minutes).

PANELISTS

George Buchanan

George Buchanan is an Associate Professor and Director of the University of Michigan's Center for Information Systems Research. His research focuses on developing novel technologies to support the discovery and use of information, though constructing models of users' information behavior and information science. He has published over 150 articles, and introduced a number of key information work, including the collapsible outline used in many contemporary information systems.

George's talk will focus on his research into informal information networks and of patients with a variety of medical conditions, including HIV. He is interested in the study of human information behavior in informal networks that synthesizes these networks and the spread of misinformation in marginalized groups. There are emerging factors of the proliferation of misinformation and cognitive factors that are found in informal networks.

Sungkyunkwan University in Seoul, South Korea, and in 2016-2017, at several universities throughout Asia.

Drawing on both his work with theory and earlier work on online communities, he explores the information world's concepts of social norms and information value, especially in informal information networks. Together, social norms (the shared sense of what is in observable behaviors within a world) and information value (shared perceptions of what information are of value and about the appropriate ways of evaluating and using information) influence what kinds of information are typically exchanged throughout a world. Information is structured and represented, and the patterns and practices by means of which information is exchanged through a world. Information networks are specific to specific information environments. Values, information, and social interaction are inextricably intertwined, and together form a character and characteristic "feel."

Nicole Ellison

Nicole Ellison is the Karl E Weick Collegiate Professor in the University of Michigan School of Information. Prof. Ellison is internationally recognized as an expert in social media and social network sites, and has published extensively on how people use social media as well as how social network sites. Her research has investigated how new digital platforms shape personal communication and social interaction, which they are adopted and exploited by users to maintain their social relationships. Her research is strongly influenced by her training in communication theory and her work with communication technologies.

In this panel, Nicole will discuss the transmission of information in online communities. She will explore how we know of the strengths and limitations of in-person and virtual communication, and the degree to which social network sites reflect established behavior in communication. She will discuss how communication technologies shape, and are shaped by, the social structures that underpin communication.

Sanda Erdelez

Dr. Sanda Erdelez is a Professor and Director at Simmons University School of Information Science. She received her LL.B. and LL.M degrees from the University of Michigan and Ph.D. in Information Science from Syracuse University. Her research focuses on information behavior, human-computer interaction and usability evaluation.

ability to discover useful ideas. In contrast to intentional mechanisms, then, and unintended acquisition of information, and social contexts serve to multiple ways.

Mike Twidale

Michael Twidale is a Professor in the School of Information Sciences, University of Illinois at Champaign, and was the founding director of the Master of Science in Information Science. His research interests are at the intersection of computer supported cooperative learning, collaborative learning, human computer interaction, and sociotechnical systems. His projects include studies of informal social learning of technology, the impact of collaborative approaches to managing data quality, collaborative information search, and learning and problem solving activities at the intersection of search, learning, and technology. He is interested in how people informally learn new technologies and new features, and how they succeed, fail, struggle, tinker, help their friends and try to search for information.

Mike will contribute to the panel by discussing the collaborative nature of learning and knowledge. He will highlight the degree to which supposedly new behaviors have emerged already established offline, and the impact that groups have always on the nature of learning, refined and communicated. Prof. Twidale will also touch on the ways in which technology performs poorly as a means for learning, by impeding group interaction, and how previous research has already drawn attention to.

Rebekah Willson

Rebekah (Becky) Willson, PhD, is an assistant professor at McGill University in the School of Information Studies. She is in the field of information behavior/information practices in the contexts of higher education and workplaces. Her research focuses on transitions, particularly early career academics and adjunct faculty members. Her research is examining the influence precarity and marginalization have on information access. She is actively involved in the information behavior and information science community as an Editor for *JASIS&T*, the Chair of SIG-USE (Use, Seeking, and Needs), and a member of the Research Engagement Committee.

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

In this panel, Rebekah will discuss factors that facilitate information exchange.

within a new context. Information shared within an informal network frequently is “insider” information that is frequently not recorded nor available to

REFERENCES

- Buchanan, G., Cunningham, S. J., Blandford, A., Rimmer, J., & Warwick, P. (2008). Information seeking by humanities scholars. In A. Rauber, S. Christodoulakis, & P. B. Hancock (Eds.), *Research and Advanced Technology for Digital Libraries* (Vol. 365). Berlin Heidelberg: Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/11551362_20
- Burnett, G., Jaeger, P. T., & Thompson, K. M. (2008). Normative behavior and the social aspects of information access. *Library & Information Science Research*, 30(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lisr.2007.07.003>
- Bussone, A., Stumpf, S., & Buchanan, G. (2016). It feels like I’m managing my health: Tracking their personal health information. *Proceedings of the 9th North American Conference on Human-Computer Interaction - NordiCHI '16*, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2901731.2901732>
- Chatman, E. A. (1996). The impoverished life-world of outsiders. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science*, 47(3), 193–206.
- Counts, S., & Fisher, K. E. (2010). Mobile social networking as information access. *Library & Information Science Research*, 32(2), 98–115. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lisr.2009.10.003>
- Cross, R., & Sproull, L. (2004). More than an answer: Information relationships and knowledge. *Organization Science*, 15(4), 446–462. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.15.4.446>
- Day, R. (2007). Information connecting people with services: The information needs of community service organisations. *Australian Public Libraries and Information Science*, 12(3), 103–117.
- Granovetter, M. (1983). The Strength of Weak Ties: A Network Theory of Social Structure. *American Journal of Sociology*, 136(3), 201–233.
- Harris, R. M., & Dewdney, P. (1994). *Barriers to information*. Westport, CT: Auburn House.
- Hertzum, M. (2014). Expertise seeking: A review. *Information Processing in Management*, 50(5), 775–795. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ipm.2014.04.003>
- Ellison, N. B., Steinfield, C., & Lampe, C. (2007). The benefits of Facebook and MySpace to students and college students’ use of online social network sites. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 12(4), 1143–1168. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1083-4139.2007.00381.x>
- Erdelez, S., & Makri, S. (2020). Information encountering re-encountered information: A

- Lingel, J., & boyd, danah. (2013). “Keep it secret, keep it safe”: Information norms, and stigma. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science*, 64(5), 981–991. <https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.22800>
- McKenzie, P. J. (2003). A model of information practices in accounts of e-learning seeking. *Journal of Documentation*, 59(1), 19–40. <https://doi.org/10.1002/doc.537>
- Miller, F. Q. (2015). Experiencing information use for early career academics: An ecosystem model. *Journal of Documentation*, 71(6), 1228–1249. [https://doi.org/10.1002/doc.537](https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.22800)
- Morris, M. R., Teevan, J., & Panovich, K. (2010). What Do People Ask? Why? A Survey Study of Status Message Q&A Behavior. *CHI '10: Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 1739–1748. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1753326.1753587>
- Smith, M. K. (2003, 2009) Jean Lave, Etienne Wenger and communities of practice. encyclopedia of pedagogy and informal education, Retrieved from www.infed.org/biblio/communities_of_practice.htm
- Steinfeld, C., Ellison, N. B., & Lampe, C. (2008). Social capital, self-esteem, and network sites: A longitudinal analysis. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*, 29(4), 434–445. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appdev.2008.07.002>
- Willson, R. (2018). “Systemic Managerial Constraints”: How universities shape the behaviour of HSS early career academics. *Journal of Documentation*, 74(1), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JD-07-2017-0111>
- Willson, R. & Given, L. M. (2020). “I’m in sheer survival mode”: Informative and affective experiences of early career academics. *Library and Information Science Research*, 42(2). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lisr.2020.101014>