DR PATRICK L STAFFORD (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-4632-5658)

DR BISHR HAYDAR (Orcid ID: 0000-0003-2709-189X)

Article type : Letter

Handling Section Editor : Dr David Polaner

Article Title: Dexmedetomidine and Remifentanil as sole anesthetics in infants: questionable

hypnosis =

Authors: Patrick Stafford, MD^a, Michael Puglia, MD PhD^a, Bishr Haydar, MD^a

Affiliations: a, Department of Anesthesiology, University of Michigan Medical School

Corresponding Author: Bishr Haydar, MD, 4-911 Mott Hospital, 1540 E Hospital Dr SPC 4245,

Ann Arbor MI 48109-4245. Tel 734-763-2435 Fax 734-763-6651, email

bhaydar@med.umich.edu

Conflicts of interest: None

Funding: Only department sources were used in the preparation of this work.

Word Count: 499 words

All authors edited the manuscript and approved the submitted version.

To the Editor.

We read with concern the article by Efune and colleagues describing the "successful" avoidance of sevoflurane and other agents with putative neurotoxicity in infants by administering

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the <u>Version of Record</u>. Please cite this article as <u>doi: 10.1111/PAN.14073</u>

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

dexmedetomidine and opioids instead.(1)This is a worthwhile pursuit; both providers and patient families continue to express concern and confusion about the long-term impacts of anesthetics in infants. However, we contend that thetechnique described by Efune and colleagues cannot be reasonably compared to potent hypnotic and amnestic agents such as sevoflurane without additional study.

The authors were appropriately concerned about amnesia and hypnosis in the sevoflurane-free group and used processed EEG monitoring, claiming that it indicated likely adequate hypnosis. The evidence for this claim is inadequate. Adult volunteers who received neuromuscular blockade while fully awake had BIS values that incorrectly indicated an anesthetized state.(2) The infants in the study by Efune and colleagues did receive neuromuscular blockade. Moreover, significant limitations exist when using the BIS values to determine the level of consciousness in the developing brain, especially in infants and young children. Although the BIS uses a proprietary algorithm, it is heavily influenced by spectral properties and frequency coherence which are rapidly changing during this developmental period.(3)We advocate against using BIS to provide "reassurance" of the appropriate depth of anesthesia in patients under 2 years of age.

In addition, in adult volunteers it is suggested that the amnesia provided by dexmedetomidine is less effective for stressful memories than for banal memories.(4) Its use as a sole hypnotic and amnestic against the stressors of intubation, muscle relaxation and surgical stimulus is unproven. We are worried that this may have unknown long-term effects. Despite the lack of explicit memory concerns, stress and pain in infants may result in lasting behavioral change.(5)In fact, the data reported by Efune and colleagues argue that the sevoflurane-free group had inadequate hypnosis. They received an average remifentanil dose of 0.4 mcg/kg/min, without the expected hemodynamic compromise. The use of "light anesthesia" as a surrogate outcome is no panacea. They defined "light anesthesia" as an "increase in heart rate or blood pressure felt to be severe enough by the anesthesiologist to warrant an increase in anesthetic or use of paralytic..." This inadequacy of this surrogate is easily made clear by example. If the

children in this study were to receive 1 MAC of inhaled sevoflurane as a sole anesthetic, by definition many or most of them would have skeletal muscle movement and sympathetic activation consistent with "light anesthesia". Despite this, all would have had adequate hypnosis and amnesia, at least based on adult studies on awareness.

In conclusion, a mainstay of anesthesia practice in our era is ensuring analgesia, amnesia and hypnosis. The data presented in this work are not sufficient to conclude that these children in the two arms of this retrospective study experienced similar levels of amnesia and hypnosis. We suggest that this practice be relegated to careful prospective studies until it is better understood, which at a minimum should compare intraoperative EEG and postoperative behaviors.

References[®]

- 1. Efune PN, Longanecker JM, Alex G, et al. Use of dexmedetomidine and opioids as the primary anesthetic in infants and young children: A retrospective cohort study. Paediatr Anaesth 2020.
- 2. Schuller PJ, Newell S, Strickland PA, et al. Response of bispectral index to neuromuscular block in awake volunteers. Br J Anaesth 2015; 115 Suppl 1: i95-i103.
- 3. Akeju O, Pavone KJ, Thum JA, et al. Age-dependency of sevoflurane-induced electroencephalogram dynamics in children. Br J Anaesth 2015; 115 Suppl 1: i66-i76.
- 4. Hayama HR, Drumheller KM, Mastromonaco M, et al. Event-related functional magnetic resonance imaging of a low dose of dexmedetomidine that impairs long-term memory. Anesthesiology 2012; 117: 981-995.
- 5. Taddio A, Katz J, Ilersich AL, et al. Effect of neonatal circumcision on pain response during subsequent routine vaccination. Lancet 1997; 349: 599-603.