Supporting Information for

"A tug-of-war within the hydrologic cycle of a continental freshwater basin"

A. D. Gronewold^{1,2}, H. X. Do^{1,3}, Y. Mei¹, and C. A. Stow⁴

¹School for Environment and Sustainability, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA 48109
²Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan USA

48109 ³Faculty of Environment and Natural Resources, Nong Lam University, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam 700000 ⁴Great Lakes Environmental Research Laboratory, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA 48108

Contents

This document contains Supporting Information for the above-referenced manuscript. Table S1 includes a comparison between different historical estimates of river discharge from North America. Figure S1 includes a long-term historical time series of Great Lakes water level data. Figure S2 includes a comparison between different potential sources of information on the Great Lakes water balance. The following is a summary of notes related to those data sources:

- **GLM-HMD**: The Great Lakes Monthly Hydrometeorological Database [*Hunter* et al., 2015].
- ERA5: The Copernicus Climate Change Service climate reanalysis data product [*Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S)*, 2017]. Available at 9km resolution from 1981 onward.
- L15: A gridded hydrometeorological data product for North America developed at the University of Washington, with lead author Dr. Ben [L]ivneh [Livneh et al., 2015]. Data is available from 1950 through 2013.
- L2SWBM: the large lake statistical water balance model [Gronewold et al., 2020; Do et al., 2020].

Corresponding author: Andrew D. Gronewold, drewgron@umich.edu

- Residual ET (via L2SWBM and GLM-HMD): evapotranspiration was calculated using a land surface water balance equation $ET = P - R - D_{sw}$ where ETis evapotranspiration, P is land precipitation from the GLM-HMD, R is total lake inflow from the L2SWBM, and D_{sw} is the month-to-month change in soil moisture storage based on monthly soil moisture data from the NOAA Climate Prediction Center [van den Dool et al., 2003].
- WCPS: simulations from the Environment and Climate Change Canada (ECCC) Water Cycle Prediction system [*Deacu et al.*, 2012; *Durnford et al.*, 2018].
- AHPS: the Advanced Hydrologic Prediction System, developed by the NOAA Great Lakes Environmental Research Laboratory and operated by the United States Army Corps of Engineers [Gronewold et al., 2011; Apps et al., 2020].
- WATFLOOD: simulations from the Watflood model, developed at the University of Waterloo [Kouwen, 1988].
- **CaPA**: the Canadian Precipitation Analysis, developed by the Meteorological Service of Canada [*Mahfouf et al.*, 2007; *Lespinas et al.*, 2015].
- MPE: NOAA National Weather Service multisensor precipitation estimates [Seo, 1998; Seo and Breidenbach, 2002].
- Merge: merged product combining over-lake precipitation estimates from CaPA and MPE; developed by the NOAA Midwest Regional Climate Center [Gronewold et al., 2018]. Available at:

https://mrcc.illinois.edu/cliwatch/northAmerPcpn/getArchive.jsp.

Table S1. Range of historical estimates of annual average discharge (in cubic meters per second, cms) for North America's largest rivers. Note that the data source for column A[Kammerer, 1990] includes only United States rivers. Estimates of flow for the St. Lawrence River vary depending on definition of the outlet. The data source for column C, for example, provides two estimates; one based on an outlet at Quebec City and another (identified in table as "alternate") downstream of the Saguenay River.

River	Approximate annual average discharge (cms)			
	A[Kammerer, 1990]	B[Nilsson et al., 2005]	C[Benke and Cushing, 2011]	C (alternate)
Mississippi	16,792	18,400	15,200	
St. Lawrence	9,854	10,800	12,101	16,800
Mackenzie	-	9,910	9,020	
Columbia	7,503	7,500	7,730	
Yukon	6,371	6,370	6,340	
Fraser	-	3,620	3,972	
Koksoak	-	2,420	-	
Nelson	-	2,830	2,480	



Figure S1. Historical monthly average (light blue), annual average (dark blue) and longterm average (red line) surface water elevations for each of the Great Lakes (Lakes Michigan and Huron are often considered one large lake).



Figure S2. Comparison between candidate historical estimates of water balance components for the Great Lakes system.

References

- Apps, D., L. M. Fry, and A. D. Gronewold (2020), Operational seasonal water supply and water level forecasting for the Laurentian Great Lakes, *Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management*, 146(9), 04020,072.
- Benke, A. C., and C. E. Cushing (2011), Rivers of North America, Academic Press.
- Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S) (2017), ERA5: Fifth generation of ECMWF atmospheric reanalysis of the global climate. Copernicus Climate Change Service Climate Data Store (CDS), Accessed 2.
- Deacu, D., V. Fortin, E. Klyszejko, C. Spence, and P. D. Blanken (2012), Predicting the net basin supply to the Great Lakes with a hydrometeorological model, *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, 13(6), 1739–1759.
- Do, H. X., J. P. Smith, L. M. Fry, and A. D. Gronewold (2020), Seventy-year long record of monthly water balance estimates for Earth's largest lake system, *Scientific Data*, 7(276).
- Durnford, D., V. Fortin, G. C. Smith, B. Archambault, D. Deacu, F. Dupont, S. Dyck, Y. Martinez, E. Klyszejko, M. MacKay, and L. Liu (2018), Toward an operational water cycle prediction system for the Great Lakes and St. Lawrence River., Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 99(3), 521–546.
- Gronewold, A. D., A. H. Clites, T. S. Hunter, and C. A. Stow (2011), An appraisal of the Great Lakes advanced hydrologic prediction system, *Journal of Great Lakes Research*, 37(3), 577–583.
- Gronewold, A. D., V. Fortin, R. Caldwell, and J. Noel (2018), Resolving hydrometeorological data discontinuities along an international border, *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 99(5), 899–910.
- Gronewold, A. D., J. P. Smith, L. K. Read, and J. L. Crooks (2020), Reconciling the water balance of large lake systems, *Advances in Water Resources*, 137, doi: doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2020.103505.
- Hunter, T. S., A. H. Clites, K. B. Campbell, and A. D. Gronewold (2015), Development and application of a monthly hydrometeorological database for the North American Great Lakes - Part I: precipitation, evaporation, runoff, and air temperature, Journal of Great Lakes Research, 41(1), 65–77.
- Kammerer, J. C. (1990), Largest Rivers in the United States Report 87-242, Tech. rep., USGS, Reston, VA.

- Kouwen, N. (1988), WATFLOOD: a micro-computer based flood forecasting system based on real-time weather radar, *Canadian Water Resources Journal*, 13(1), 62–77.
- Lespinas, F., V. Fortin, G. Roy, P. Rasmussen, and T. Stadnyk (2015), Performance evaluation of the Canadian Precipitation Analysis (CaPA)., *Journal of Hydromete*orology, 16(5), 2045–2064.
- Livneh, B., T. J. Bohn, D. W. Pierce, F. Munoz-Arriola, B. Nijssen, R. S. Vose, D. R. Cayan, and L. Brekke (2015), A spatially comprehensive, hydrometeorological data set for Mexico, the US, and Southern Canada 1950-2013, *Scientific Data*, 2(1), 1–12.
- Mahfouf, J.-F., B. Brasnett, and S. Gagnon (2007), A Canadian precipitation analysis (CaPA) project: Description and preliminary results, Atmosphere-Ocean, 45(1), 1–17.
- Nilsson, C., C. A. Reidy, M. Dynesius, and C. Revenga (2005), Fragmentation and flow regulation of the world's large river systems, *Science*, 308(5720), 405–408.
- Seo, D.-J. (1998), Real-time estimation of rainfall fields using radar data and rain gage data, *Journal of Hydrology*, 208(1-2), 37–52.
- Seo, D.-J., and J. P. Breidenbach (2002), Real-time correction of spatially nonuniform bias in radar rainfall data usin grain gage measurements, *Journal of Hydrom*eteorology, 3(2), 93–111.
- van den Dool, H., J. Huang, and Y. Fan (2003), Performance and analysis of the constructed analogue method applied to US soil moisture over 1981 - 2001, Journal of Geophysical Research - Atmospheres, 108(D16).