Received: 5 October 2020 | Accepted: 19 October 2020

DOI: 10.1111/mec.15702

EDITORIAL

MOLECULAR ECOLOGY WILEY

Sharing and reporting benefits from biodiversity research

The most remarkable feature of our planet is the diversity of its life forms, ranging from viruses and nanobacteria to blue whales and giant sequoias to satanic leaf-tailed geckos and leafy seadragons (look them up!). Life is found in essentially all environments on earth, and the number of species living on our planet is many times greater than we could have imagined a century ago. A well-regarded estimate pegs the number of eukaryotic species on earth at 8.7 million (±1.3 million), of which fewer than 15% are currently described (Mora et al., 2011). The diversity of prokaryotes is less clear (and highly controversial), but an analysis of 1.6 billion 16S ribosomal RNA sequences estimated that 0.8–1.6 million prokaryotic operational taxonomic units exist globally (Louca et al., 2019).

While we do not know how many species are currently extant, or have existed in the past, we do know that this biodiversity is valuable, providing food, fibre and medicine, furnishing ecosystem services such as water and air purification, nutrient cycling, pollination and carbon uptake, and contributing to technological innovations ranging from biotechnology to robotics to material science. Moreover, biodiversity underlies the cultural identity of human populations and is important to human health and well-being.

Geographically, species richness increases from the Polar Regions to the tropics in terrestrial and surface marine ecosystems. Thus, some countries, especially those in tropical and subtropical regions, are endowed with much greater biodiversity than others. Unfortunately, benefits arising from the access and utilization of this biodiversity have been unequally shared, with (paradoxically) biodiversity-poor countries often accruing the lion's share of economic gains. There can be imbalances within countries as well, wherein some segments of the population obtain greater economic benefits from biodiversity and associated traditional knowledge than indigenous peoples.

The "Nagoya Protocol on Access to Genetic Resources and the Fair and Equitable Sharing of Benefits Arising from their Utilization," which came into force in 2014, is an international agreement designed to ensure that the benefits arising from biodiversity are shared equitably (https://www.cbd.int/abs/). However, few scientific journals require compliance with the Nagoya Protocol or the reporting of benefits from biodiversity research. In this editorial, we (the editors of *Molecular Ecology* and *Molecular Ecology Resources*) express support for the Nagoya Protocol and the principle of benefit sharing. We believe that scientific journals publishing research on biodiversity can play an important role in implementing the Nagoya Protocol and in reporting on benefits generated from such research. Below, we provide background on the Nagoya Protocol, discuss the kinds of benefits that may arise from biodiversity research, describe the rationale for reporting on these benefits and introduce changes to the journals' Data Accessibility Statements to incorporate the requirements and goals of the Nagoya Protocol.

1 | BACKGROUND ON NAGOYA PROTOCOL

The Nagoya Protocol amends the Convention on Biological Diversity and was negotiated to clarify the Convention's requirement that signatory countries engage in "fair and equitable sharing of the benefits arising out of the use of genetic resources." This is commonly known as the benefit-sharing requirement. Under the Nagoya Protocol, benefit sharing must be directed to the provider of access to genetic resources as agreed in "Prior Informed Consent" of "Mutually Agreed Terms." To date, the Nagoya Protocol has been ratified by 127 countries (as of 21 August 2020). These countries are known as "Parties" to the Nagoya Protocol.

Parties to the Nagoya Protocol must enact domestic legislation to create legal guidelines about the operation of benefit-sharing obligations for those seeking to access and utilize genetic resources for research and development, including with respect to indigenous and local communities and governments. The aim of the Nagoya Protocol is that through the adoption of effective access measures such as a requirement for collection permits and benefit-sharing agreements, provider Parties (i.e. countries or indigenous and local communities) can capture benefits that result from utilization of genetic resources over which they have sovereign rights. The Nagoya Protocol states that "utilization of genetic resources" means "to conduct research and development on the genetic and/or biochemical composition of genetic resources, including through the application of biotechnology." "Biotechnology," in turn, is defined as "any technological application that uses biological systems, living organisms, or derivatives thereof, to make or modify products or processes for specific use." The Nagoya Protocol provides that benefits shared from utilization of genetic resources can be monetary or nonmonetary, with the conservation of biological diversity being of paramount concern.

Parties to the Nagoya protocol have, or are in the process of developing, laws that interpret and implement these terms. This process is proceeding slowly and has been criticized for not sufficiently acknowledging the rights and interests of indigenous communities. The European Union and some of the megadiverse countries from Asia, Africa and Latin America have implemented such laws. Notably, Canada and the United States are not yet Parties to the Nagoya Protocol. The status of individual countries and national

 © 2020 John Wiley & Sons Ltd

laws of Parties can be found at https://absch.cbd.int/countries/status/party. While the Nagoya Protocol lacks "teeth" to ensure fair and equitable benefit sharing within borders, we (and others) believe that researchers have responsibilities to indigenous communities, including sovereign nations, that lie within member states of the United Nations.

2 | SHARING BENEFITS FROM BIODIVERSITY RESEARCH

We acknowledge that the implementation of the Nagoya Protocol is ongoing and complex. In some instances, for example with respect to historical collections, it is difficult to assess when and where materials were accessed in order to determine whether there are benefit-sharing obligations (Sherman & Henry, 2020). Moreover, the concept of utilization has been interpreted differently by different Parties and there remain ongoing and contentious debates about whether the Nagoya Protocol and implementing domestic legislation does, or should, also address the use of genomic data and information. In some cases, these debates have led Parties to enact restrictions on access and use of genetic resources in a manner that may impede ongoing scientific inquiry (Marden, 2018).

We take the position that the benefit-sharing principles contained in the Nagoya Protocol are important and should be acknowledged, even where there is a lack of legal clarity. The range of benefits identified in the Nagoya Protocol (Box 1) includes many of the practices already adopted by research groups to leverage research outputs and enhance the community of practitioners. These include, for example, the sharing of sequence data and other information, research collaboration and cooperation, education and capacity building, and research on high priority issues such as conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity. At present, there is little awareness that scientific researchers regularly incorporate benefit sharing into their research. We believe that the identification of benefits generated will help the general public, policymakers and influencers better understand current practices in the research community. Providing information about benefit sharing in a transparent and consistent manner may be important in demonstrating the broad value of such research to the country providing the biodiversity resources, thereby influencing international policy discussions. Such reporting may also promote further research and research support, setting into motion a "virtuous circle" between scientists and policy makers that enhances biodiversity research and conservation. For these reasons, we strongly encourage authors to identify benefit-sharing outputs in manuscripts submitted for review.

Box 1 Nonmonetary benefits under the Nagoya Protocol.

Nagoya Protocol Annex

Nonmonetary benefits may include, but not be limited to:

a. Sharing of research and development results;
b. Collaboration, cooperation and contribution in scientific research and development programmes, particularly biotechnological research activities, where possible in the Party providing genetic resources;
c. Participation in product development;
d. Collaboration, cooperation and contribution in education and training;
e. Admittance to ex situ facilities of genetic resources and to databases;
f. Transfer to the provider of the genetic resources of knowledge and technology under fair and most favourable terms, including on concessional and preferential terms where agreed, in particular, knowledge and technology that make use of genetic resources, including biotechnology, or that are relevant to the conservation and sustainable utilization of biological diversity;
g. Strengthening capacities for technology transfer;
h. Institutional capacity building;
i. Human and material resources to strengthen the capacities for the administration and enforcement of access regulations;
j. Training related to genetic resources with the full participation of countries providing genetic resources, and where possible, in such countries;
k. Access to scientific information relevant to conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity, including biological inventories and taxonomic studies;
l. Contributions to the local economy;
m. Research directed towards priority needs, such as health and food security, taking into account domestic uses of genetic resources in the Party providing genetic resources;
n. Institutional and professional relationships that can arise from an access and benefit-sharing agreement and subsequent collaborative activities;
o. Food and livelihood security benefits;
p. Social recognition;
q. Joint ownership of relevant intellectual property rights

3 | DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

To comply with the Nagoya Protocol and to encourage transparency regarding benefits generated in a manner commensurate with the Nagoya Protocol, we are revising the Data Accessibility Statements (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/page/journal/13652 94x/homepage/forauthors.html#Ed_policy) for *Molecular Ecology* and *Molecular Ecology Resources* to incorporate the requirements and goals of the Nagoya Protocol. The additions, including an expanded title (i.e. Data Accessibility and Benefit-Sharing Statement), are as follows:

> *Molecular Ecology* and *Molecular Ecology Resources* require, as a condition for publication, that the research described in the publication complies with relevant national laws implementing the Convention on Biological Diversity and Nagoya Protocol agreements. Authors will be required to make an affirmative

statement during the submission process as to compliance with national laws, if applicable.

Molecular Ecology and *Molecular Ecology Resources* also encourage authors to disclose benefits generated commensurate with the Nagoya Protocol. Further information on the scope of benefits recognized under the Nagoya Protocol, see the link to the Nagoya Protocol Annex at https://www.cbd.int/abs/text/articles/?sec=abs-37.

We recognize in some cases the Nagoya Protocol is not applicable or that there are no benefits to report, which is why the reporting of benefits is not mandatory.

4 | EXAMPLE STATEMENTS

"Benefits Generated: A research collaboration was developed with scientists from the countries providing genetic samples, all collaborators are included as coauthors, the results of research have been shared with the provider communities and the broader scientific community (see above), and the research addresses a priority concern, in this case the conservation of organisms being studied. More broadly, our group is committed to international scientific partnerships, as well as institutional capacity building."

"Benefits Generated: We consulted with the indigenous community providing the biodiversity resources and hired members of a local Hunters and Trappers Association to help with biodiversity assessments, including collections of canid fecal samples for diet analysis based on DNA metabarcoding, and local knowledge concerning changes in prey communities over time. The contributions of all individuals to the research, including indigenous hunters, are described in the METHODS and ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS, and a research report has been provided to the relevant indigenous community council, as well as to the territorial government. The research addresses a priority concern regarding an apparent shift in the prey of canids from wild to domesticated animals. Lastly, as described above, all data have been shared with the broader public via appropriate biological databases."

"Benefits Generated: Benefits from this research accrue from the sharing of our data and results on public databases as described above."

5 | CONCLUSIONS

We acknowledge the importance of benefit sharing as it relates to the access and utilization of genetic resources. By amending the *Molecular Ecology* and *Molecular Ecology Resources* Data Accessibility and Benefit-Sharing Statement, we aim to not only encourage compliance with the Nagoya Protocol, but also communicate the significant benefits already generated and shared by the research community. We believe that providing this information will enhance discussion in the international arena about the important role research plays supporting and sharing benefits arising from biodiversity.

Emily Marden[1]
Richard J. Abbott[2]
Frédéric Austerlitz[3]
Daniel Ortiz-Barrientos[4]
Regina S. Baucom[5]
Pim Bongaerts[6]
Aurélie Bonin[7]
Camille Bonneaud[8]
Luke Browne[9]
C. Alex Buerkle[10]
Ana L. Caicedo[11]
David W. Coltman[12]
Mitchell B. Cruzan[13]
Angus Davison[14]
J. Andrew DeWoody[15]
Alex J. Dumbrell[16]
Brent C. Emerson[17]
Nicholas M. Fountain-Jones[18]
Rosemary Gillespie[19]
Tatiana Giraud[20]
Michael M. Hansen[21]
Kathryn A. Hodgins[22]
Myriam Heuertz[23]
Shotaro Hirase[24]
Rebecca Hooper[25]
Paul Hohenlohe[26]
Nolan C. Kane[27]
Joanna L. Kelley[28]
Andrew P. Kinziger[29]
Valerie J. McKenzie[27]
Corrie S. Moreau[30]
Alison G. Nazareno[31]
Tara A. Pelletier[32]
Josephine M. Pemberton[33]
Yanhua Qu[34]
Sébastien Renaut[35]
Cynthia Riginos[4]
Naiara Rodríguez-Ezpeleta[36]
Sean M. Rogers[37]
Jacob A. Russell[38]
Sean D. Schoville[39]
Suhua Shi[40]
Megan Smith[41]
Victoria L. Sork[42]
Graham N. Stone[33]
Pierre Taberlet[7]
Elin Videvall[43]
Lisette Waits[44]

Emily Warschefsky[27,45]
Robert K. Wayne[42]
Annabel Whibley[46]
Janna Willoughby[47]
Jeremy B. Yoder[48]
Lucie Zinger[49]
Benjamin Sibbett[50]
Shawn Narum[51]
Loren H. Rieseberg[1]

[1]Department of Botany and Biodiversity Research Centre, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada
Email: lriesebe@interchange.ubc.ca
[2]School of Biology, University of St Andrews, St Andrews, UK
[3]UMR 7206 Eco-Anthropologie, CNRS/MNHN/Université de Paris, Musée de l'Homme, Paris, France
[4]School of Biological Sciences, University of Queensland, Saint Lucia, QLD, Australia
[5]Ecology and Evolutionary Biology Department, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA
[6]California Academy of Sciences, San Francisco, CA, USA
[7]Laboratoire d'Ecologie Alpine, CNRS, Université Grenoble Alpes, Grenoble, France
[8]Biosciences, College of Life and Environmental Science, University of Exeter, Cornwall, UK
[9]School of the Environment, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA
[10]Department of Botany, University of Wyoming, Laramie, WY, USA
[11]Biology Department, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA, USA
[12]Department of Biological Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada
[13]Department of Biology, Portland State University, Portland, OR, USA
[14]School of Life Sciences, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK
[15]Department of Forestry and Natural Resources, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA
[16]School of Life Sciences, University of Essex, Essex, UK
[17]Island Ecology and Evolution Research Group, Institute of Natural Products and Agrobiology (IPNA-CSIC), La Laguna, Spain
[18]School of Natural Sciences, University of Tasmania, Hobart, TAS, Australia
[19]Environmental Science, Policy, and Management, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA
[20]Ecologie Systematique Evolution, CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay, Orsay, France
[21]Department of Biology, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark
[22]School of Biological Sciences, Monash University, Clayton, VIC, Australia
[23]INRAE, BIOGECO, University of Bordeaux, Cestas, France
[24]Fisheries Laboratory, Graduate School of Agricultural and Life Sciences, The University of Tokyo, Shizuoka, Japan
[25]Centre for Ecology and Conservation, University of Exeter, Penryn, UK
[26]Department of Biological Sciences, Institute for Bioinformatics and Evolutionary Studies, University of Idaho, Moscow, ID, USA
[27]Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, The University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA
[28]School of Biological Sciences, Washington State University, Pullman, WA, USA
[29]Department of Fisheries Biology, Humboldt State University, Arcata, CA, USA
[30]Departments of Entomology and Ecology & Evolutionary Biology, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA
[31]Department of Genetics, Ecology and Evolution, Federal University of Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, Brazil
[32]Biology Department, Radford University, Radford, VA, USA
[33]Institute of Evolutionary Biology, School of Biological Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK
[34]Laboratory of Zoological Systematics and Evolution, Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China
[35]My Intelligent Machines, Montréal, QC, Canada
[36]Marine Research, AZTI Basque Research and Technology Alliance (BRTA), Sukarrieta, Spain
[37]Department of Biological Sciences, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada
[38]Department of Biology, Drexel University, Philadelphia, PA, USA
[39]Department of Entomology, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, USA
[40]School of Life Sciences, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, China
[41]Department of Biology and Department of Computer Science, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN, USA
[42]Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA
[43]Center for Conservation Genomics, Smithsonian Conservation Biology Institute, Washington, DC, USA
[44]Department of Fish and Wildlife Sciences, University of Idaho, Moscow, ID, USA
[45]William L. Brown Center, Missouri Botanical Garden, St. Louis, MO, USA
[46]School of Biological Sciences, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand
[47]School of Forestry and Wildlife Sciences, Auburn University, Auburn, AL, USA
[48]Department of Biology, California State University, Northridge, CA, USA
[49]Institut de Biologie de l'ENS (IBENS), Département de biologie, École normale supérieure, CNRS, INSERM, Université PSL, Paris, France
[50]John Wiley & Sons, Atrium Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex, UK

[51]Hagerman Genetics Laboratory, Columbia River Inter-Tribal Fish Commission, Hagerman, ID, USA

ORCID

Daniel Ortiz-Barrientos https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7493-416X
Regina S. Baucom https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7960-498X
Luke Browne https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2239-3007
C. Alex Buerkle https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4222-8858
Ana L. Caicedo https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0378-6374
Mitchell B. Cruzan https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5419-2798
Alex J. Dumbrell https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6282-3043
Brent C. Emerson https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4067-9858
Rosemary Gillespie https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0086-7424
Tatiana Giraud https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2685-6478
Michael M. Hansen https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5372-4828
Paul Hohenlohe https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7616-0161
Nolan C. Kane https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9133-6543
Joanna L. Kelley https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7731-605X
Andrew P. Kinziger https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8776-6230
Valerie J. McKenzie https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9940-9556
Alison G. Nazareno https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9681-5877
Sébastien Renaut https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8111-5082
Cynthia Riginos https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5485-4197
Naiara Rodríguez-Ezpeleta https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6735-6755
Sean M. Rogers https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0851-8050
Suhua Shi https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2809-5999
Victoria L. Sork https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2677-1420
Graham N. Stone https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2737-696X
Elin Videvall https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9998-3689
Robert K. Wayne https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3537-2245
Annabel Whibley https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1878-7705
Jeremy B. Yoder https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5630-0921
Lucie Zinger https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3400-5825
Loren H. Rieseberg https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2712-2417

REFERENCES

Louca, S., Mazel, F., Doebeli, M., & Parfrey, L. W. (2019). A census-based estimate of Earth's bacterial and archaeal diversity. *PLoS Biology*, *17*, e3000106. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000106

Marden, E. (2018). International agreements may impact genomic technologies. *Nature Plants*, *4*, 2–4. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-017-0087-4

Mora, C., Tittensor, D. P., Adl, S., Simpson, A. G. B., & Worm, B. (2011). How many species are there on Earth and in the ocean? *PLoS Biology*, *9*, e1001127. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001127

Sherman, B., & Henry, R. J. (2020). The Nagoya Protocol and historical collections of plants. *Nature Plants*, *6*, 430–432. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-020-0657-8

How to cite this article: Marden E, Abbott RJ, Austerlitz F, et al. Sharing and reporting benefits from biodiversity research. *Mol Ecol*. 2021;30:1103–1107. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15702