I want to talk to you about an extremely radical and dangerous ideology. I am going to call it The Replacement Wars because it is a worldview inherently rooted in a terrifying sense of confrontation and violence. It is the belief --originally found in Europe but now emerging in the United States on the extreme right-- that white Christian people are being numerically overwhelmed and replaced, or even displaced, in their own homelands by minorities and vast waves of immigrants. These immigrants are dramatically different from themselves, people with different cultures, people with little desire to become a part of the land where they now reside, people often holding hostile views to western values and cultures. To survive as a civilization, Americans -- white Christians -- must fight back, with violence. This is a zero-sum struggle. There will be a winning side, and a losing side. It is not simple racism. It is not discrimination or traditional xenophobia or white supremacy. Nor is it legitimate discourse and debate over how many immigrants (legal or not) should be allowed into the country. We confuse these things at our peril. It is something much more fundamental, dangerous and scary. It is rooted in a toxic combination of identity and fear and vulnerability, and an ominous sense that there is an apocalyptic future unless the emerging course of history is stopped and reversed. It is a systematic way of looking at the world, an ideology if you wish. That ideology and how it manifests itself is the focus of this paper.

The genesis of this project was in June, 2015 when Dylann Roof, a young white man, went into the AME church n Charleston and killed nine worshippers. It was not the killing that got my attention, although that was horrible enough, but it was what Roof said to his victims before he began to kill them. He had gone into the church as they had an evening prayer service and Bible discussion class and had sat with them for some time. The minister, Reverend Pinckney, had motioned to him to join him. They had
sat side by side for perhaps an hour. Then Roof got up. He said he did not hate them but that Black people are killing us and raping our women and no one is doing anything. He felt an obligation to act.

As I read those words, I thought to myself, “He sees himself as the defender of the people.” This was not simple racism. It was something much more complex.

Then in 2017, there was the incident at Charlottesville regarding protests against a statue of Robert E. Lee. Counter-demonstrators marched through the streets chanting, “You will not replace us” and “Jews will not replace us.” That was very perplexing. Jews are only small proportion of America’s population, perhaps 2%. How could “they” replace “us?” It makes no sense.

I mulled these thoughts over until 2019 when we had a whole series of very violent attacks on populations. As I started reading about the people who were conducting these attacks, I realized that they were functioning as individuals, or as we sometimes say, lone wolves. They didn’t seem to be linked to anyone else and they had a very different way of looking at the world.

I first realized that there was a body of writings connected to these events when a man named Santino Lagan, a 19 year old, baby-faced, beardless youth who lived in California committed an atrocity. There was a Garlic Festival near him. All sorts of people would come. There were games and everything you would imagine at a festival. They may even have had a Miss Garlic. But anyway, he went there and began shooting people. (Fortunately, none of his victims died). Finally, he was himself shot to death. When the FBI began to do background on this person, they couldn’t find anything. All they found was one tweet that he had sent out. It had an image of Smokey the Bear with a HIGH fire danger warning. And it said, “Read Might is Right, by Ragnar Redbeard.” I had never heard that, but I wanted to find out fast what it was. And then he added a very disrespectful comment: “Why overcrowd towns and pay for more open spaces to make room for hordes of Mestizos and Silicon Valley White [vulgar term here].”
I wanted to find out, who is this Ragnar Redbeard? This is obviously a pseudonym. When I searched on the Internet, there it was. It’s available as a PDF so I downloaded it. It’s about 190 pages written in 1896. As I started reading this, it’s kind of a strange pathological version of Social Darwinism. Interestingly, I had just reread Jack London’s *Call of the Wild*. I read that as a boy, and now I reread it again. It was the Big Read book of the City of Dearborn. Everybody was invited to read it. One of my retired colleagues, Larry Berkove, now deceased, was the keynote speaker in a community event, so I went. Larry said that when we read *Call of the Wild*, we have to remember that it’s not a dog book and it’s not a boy book. Jack London was very fascinated with the idea of Social Darwinism, that there were superior and inferior nations and superior and inferior peoples and that we each had within us an instinct to kill and to dominate. Those who have read *Call of the Wild*, will remember the story of Buck, the house dog who got kidnapped and taken to Alaska and turned into a sled dog. The leader of the pack is Spitz who is very aggressive towards Buck, and wants to dominate him. Spitz attacks Buck and they have a furious battle. Larry said, as he discussed this scene, that Buck’s goal was not simply to defend himself. It was to kill Spitz, which he did in a very dramatic manner. Buck, who had been raised
as a domestic house dog, found within himself an instinctive desire to kill. London would suggest that it is there within all of us. Larry didn’t mention *Might is Right*, but the spirit was there in *Call of the Wild*.

Here is a passage which illustrates the key essence of *Might is Right*.

_**Humanity thrives on conquest and domination. Women are drawn to men who are strong and powerful and violent. Women are drawn to soldiers who have just conquered their land. Beautiful women are drawn to homely men who have vast wealth. It would be good to classify individuals as superior or inferior, but there is no methodology to do this. However, nature has provided a mechanism for natural selection. Women can instinctively tell the superior men. They want to breed with these men, they seek them out. And men want to choose the most desirable women. Let lesser men settle for lesser women. And let lesser men work the factories or do the laboring jobs. When natural inequality is reflected in reality, society will be ordered, and the superior countries will come to dominate the inferior ones.**_

So how in the world can the phrase “All men are created equal” make any sense at all? That is so obviously untrue, according to this argument, that trying to implement it will simply create unstable government, and an unstable society. It’s not natural. For society to work, we need a natural order of domination.

As I started studying the ideology of The Replacement Wars and the fear of white genocide, I found nine manifestos or statements. Let’s see what they are and then we can go through them.

- *Might is Right* by Ragnar Redbeard, 1896;
- *The Turner Diaries*, 1979; this is very important.
- Timothy McVeigh, 1995 – he blew up the Oklahoma Federal Building;
- Dylann Roof, Charleston, 2015.
- Anders Breivik, Norwegian, 2011, very violent;
- Robert Bowers, Pittsburgh, 2018, He went into a Synagogue and killed people;
- Brenton Tarrant, Christchurch, New Zealand, 2019, went into two mosques and killed people;
- Patrick Crusius, El Paso, 2019, went into Walmart and killed people;
- John Earnest, San Diego, 2019, went into a synagogue and killed people.
I think it is essential to understand that to ideologues, they are NOT ideologues. They are responding to objective data and realities. The advocates of The Replacement Wars make nine basic arguments, which they see as irrefutable. Those who disagree with them are the ideologues, who are detached from reality. Let’s go through these points and try to understand their arguments and logic. Then we will look at the specific manifestos.

- First, they note that there is a demographic collapse in the West. Western people, in Europe, in America and other western countries are simply not having enough babies to replace themselves.
- Second, there are an unlimited number of people in the poor regions of the world who are willing to emigrate and fill those slots. Somebody’s got to work the factories and pick the fruit.
- Third, those who are arriving have totally different cultural traditions. They are not interested in assimilating. That’s so different from how Americans think. Immigrants come here, eager to fit in so they can take advantage of what the country has to offer. They flow into the American mainstream. But the current immigrants often harbor grievances against western or American culture and traditions. They really don’t want to assimilate or identify with the U. S. or its people or its traditions or its values.
- Fourth, western and American leaders are linked to global capitalism and are primarily concerned with maintaining their positions. They will sacrifice their homelands to sustain their power. The simple fact is that we have treacherous leaders.
- Fifth, this statement about leaders is especially true of Jews. They are disproportionately influential in the American structures of power. They play a very harmful role. There’s a lot of focus in all of these writings on Jews.
- Sixth, Islam is front and center as a dangerous force. Muslims are taught to be aggressive and to assert their dominance whenever possible. Islam has always had, and still has, a goal of conquering the Christian west and imposing their laws and culture and religion.
• Seventh, they are coming for our guns. The government will have to disarm us to set the stage for what comes next. The centrality of guns is very important in this whole way of thinking.

• Eighth, it is necessary for individual patriots to stand up for their people. At this point there is no organized resistance. Individuals who understand the problem have to take the lead to do what is best for their country.

• Ninth, if you do this, you will be attacked and vilified and probably killed, but history will thank you for contributing to the salvation of your people.

Two Key Historical Events

There are two historic events that are very significant in understanding the fear of Replacement. First is the conquest of Constantinople in 1453. Constantinople was the capital of eastern Christianity and eastern Christian civilization. It was put under extensive siege. In the end they burned the city, overran it, and killed the leaders. Overnight, in the blinking of an eye, the Byzantine Empire went from being the center of Christendom to the center of Islam, and Constantinople went from being a Christian city to being a Muslim city, and the great cathedral there became a mosque – overnight.

The second historic event was the Siege of Vienna, 1683. But this time the outcome was different. Once again, the Turks were the threat. They put Vienna under a protracted siege. It certainly did not look good for Vienna, the Austrians, the Christians. But in the end, that siege was turned back. That was a turning point, 1683. (There is a very readable history of this siege, *Enemy at the Gate* by Andrew Wheatcroft). Photos: Vienna, 1683 on left, Constantinople, 1453 on right.
This event is largely forgotten in American collective memory, but not in the lands where those attacks took place. I was in Vienna once. I went into the cathedral, and over in the corner I saw a little plaque which said, this cathedral is dedicated to the way our ancestors protected our religion from a foreign religion. I saw something similar in Hungary when I went to the cathedral there, and I saw something similar in Sorrento in southern Italy when I went to the historic church. This memory of attempted conquest is very prominent in European thinking. We Americans don’t have these historic events in our memory, but they do. And we are capable of learning.

**Some Important Books**

There are some books that have had a significant impact on European thinking on these topics. Two French books and one German book are particularly important. All three became best sellers. Raspail’s *Camp of the Saints*, written in 1973, starts with the collapse of the Indian economy, including the collapse of food production. Hundreds of thousands of starving Indians rush in desperation onto ships, seize those ships and begin sailing west. Europe is touched with compassion for their plight until it becomes obvious they are headed for France. In time, they land on the southern shores of France. The numbers are so large, with more ships on the way, that allowing them to land would constitute an invasion obviously coming to take over the country. The French government sends out the army to stop them from landing, but the army refuses to fire on those poor, innocent people. Priests and liberal intellectuals speak eloquently of the obligation to do whatever is possible to help rescue them from their trauma and distress.

In the end, the government accepts them. As the author put it, the republic betrayed the nation. The logic of the French Republic is that French is a matter of citizenship. If you are a citizen, you are French, equal to all other French people. But others say “no.” They say the French are a people. They
have history, culture, identity. Immigrants from India, from Syria, from any place else outside of France, are not French. They can be citizens of France, but they’re not French.

*Camp of the Saints* sold half a million copies very quickly.

The second significant book is Houellebecq’s *Submission*, which is what the word Islam means.

It’s about a contemporary presidential election in France when the right-wing party nominates Marie Le Pen, someone friendly to white nationalism. The other parties collapse, having no credible candidate for president. (In a France where governing parties often get demolished in the next election, this is not inconceivable). The only viable candidate is that of the Muslim Brotherhood. The Muslims have become numerous enough, and are organized enough, and have enough of a shared identity, to create their own party. It is headed by a moderate intellectual. He is a mainstream Muslim, but he is a Muslim. Lacking an alternative, the other parties all endorse him, so we have a Muslim Brother who is the President of France. He proceeds to transform France by instituting polygamy and holding Islamic services in Notre Dame.

Is this a nightmare? According to the author it was. And the book was a best seller.

Then there was a very different bestseller in 2010 in Germany. It is called *Germany Abolishes Itself*. It is a scholarly book, filled with data showing how Turks and other Muslim immigrants resist assimilation. Many do not even bother to learn German. The author, Theo Sarrazin, is a heavyweight. He’s a member of what we would call the Federal Reserve
Board. He is a prominent academic, an intellectual. Almost overnight this book sold one and a half million copies. Some book distributors stopped reporting sales. They did not want to acknowledge that such a book was a run-away best seller.

These books and their enormous readership reflect a deep fear in European societies

**American Accelerators**

In the United States we have had several incidents -- I call them accelerators for lack of a better term – that enhance and reinforce this way of thinking and of the fear of the government. Three incidents are of particular significance: Ruby Ridge, Waco, and Oklahoma City.

Ruby Ridge was the place in Idaho where a man named Randy Weaver lived. He had a little farm which he believed wasn’t a part of the United States. He did not believe he had to obey American law. He had committed some criminal act and some federal marshals went out to arrest him. This was 1992. There was a confrontation between his son and a federal marshal in which both ended up dead. At that point the federal authorities surrounded the house and started a siege.

Randy’s wife came walking out onto the porch one day and a sniper shot her. It’s terrible story. What does this show? That Randy Weaver is a crazy man who shouldn’t have done all this? You can’t shoot a federal marshal and assume there will not be serious consequences. Or does it show that we have a totalitarian government in this country which really doesn’t care for human life, even the life of an innocent woman who has not been accused of any offense.

Two other incidents are of exceptional significance. One was the siege in Waco, the Branch Davidian headquarters in 1993. There was a man name David Koresh who was a cult leader. Koresh had a kind of large compound where he and his followers lived. At a certain point the authorities came to arrest him for some offense and he blockaded himself in. The AFT was there and the FBI. And after a some days of siege the AFT people started climbing onto the roof, hoping to find a way to get in. Someone
shot through the ceiling and killed one of the AFT officers. At that point, there was an attack. Inside there were fuel and explosives. Somebody apparently ignited that on purpose and it exploded. The facility became an inferno. It killed 76 people, many women and children. Was this a radical cult leader putting his followers at risk by refusing to surrender or was it yet another example of a violent government that that shows ruthless brutality when dealing with dissent?

The third incident was in 1985 in Oklahoma City when Timothy McVeigh of Michigan blew up the Murrah Federal Building. 168 people died, including those in a day-care center. Apart from September 11 that’s the worst terrorist incident in American history. We will discuss McVeigh’s thinking below.

**Demographic Collapse**

What is the fundamental fear of these people? What is in their heads? Let’s start with realities.

Let’s look at fertility. Fertility is the number of live babies per female. 2.1 is replacement. If women have 2.1 babies, statistically speaking, the population is stable. If you go lower than that it starts to fall. In

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The Western World</th>
<th>The Islamic World</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>France</strong> 2.0</td>
<td><strong>Somalia</strong> 5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>US</strong> 1.9</td>
<td><strong>Iraq</strong> 4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UK</strong> 1.9</td>
<td><strong>Palestine</strong> 3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Australia</strong> 1.8</td>
<td><strong>Yemen</strong> 3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Norway</strong> 1.8</td>
<td><strong>Pakistan</strong> 3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Italy</strong> 1.5</td>
<td><strong>Jordan</strong> 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spain</strong> 1.4</td>
<td><strong>Egypt</strong> 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Greece</strong> 1.3</td>
<td><strong>Syria</strong> 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Poland</strong> 1.3</td>
<td><strong>Morocco</strong> 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Portugal</strong> 1.2</td>
<td>Also Nigeria 5.0, Mexico 2.1, Turkey 2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the western world. France is 2, United States is 1.9, Britain is 1.9. Down near the bottom you see Italy 1.5, Germany 1.5, Spain 1.4. I’ve been to all those countries. The young people there are so beautiful. They are so good looking. They love romance but they don’t love babies. They’re just not having babies. Maybe couples have one. If you see a couple with two babies, people say, “Oh look, two babies.”

Now look at the Islamic world. In Somalia, the fertility rate is 5.8! In Nigeria it is 5.0. Iraq is 4.3, Palestine 3.9. This goes on and on. Mexico and Turkey are stable. We didn’t know that, did we? We thought Mexico was having a population boom. Maybe it is, but that’s just a lag. In the future it’s going to be lower. So, can we reach conclusions from this? Yes, we can. One area of the world is declining; one race is declining; other races are increasing. This looks to many people like a threat.

Consider Russia and Pakistan. The Russian population in 2002 was 145 million. In 2017 it was 144 million. They’re having a population fall off. Pakistan in the same years had 145 million in 2002 and 200 million in 2017. Pakistan is booming, Russia is falling. We can all see that there is an imbalance here. So let’s go back to the Camp of the Saints. Is it even conceivable that people in poor countries might say about Russia, or some other declining country: “That country is s running out of people. We can swarm in there and take it over. And the people who need our labor will make it possible for us to come and stay.” That’s the fear. They’re going to swarm in and take over a country and displace the indigenous people. That’s the logic of the Replacement Wars.

Non-Relevant Mass Murder

Not every mass killing is relevant to this research. We are interested in murder linked to the ideology of the Replacement Wars. But there are many high-profile mass killings that just don’t make sense. At University of Texas in 1966, someone went into the middle of campus and climbed into the Texas Tower and began killing people. I think this was the first major massacre of modern times. Finally someone killed him. He knew he was mentally ill. He left a note saying, I hope that when I’m dead they’ll take my brain and examine it to see why I would do what I’m about to do.

In 1984, in the San Diego McDonalds, a man who was mentally ill, but he was functional, even receiving treatment, went into McDonalds and just killed 21 people.
And then there was the 2011 case of Representative Gabby Giffords of Tucson. She was at a Saturday afternoon “meet and greet” session with her constituents. They were in front of a shopping mall. Thirteen people were wounded and six died. Giffords was shot in the head but survived. It took months of treatment to restore her to partial function and even years later her speech is still impaired. They were in front of a shopping mall and somebody came up and started shooting. This person was mentally ill, but was found to be competent. Did he have any grand theory? Did he think white people were being replaced? No, he didn’t.

At Parkland Stoneman Douglas high school in 2018, a former student went in and killed 17 people. Was there a grand design here, a grand theory? No, he just shot his classmates. We don’t know why. And there was the Las Vegas concert in 2018. Fifty-eight people were killed. This may be the highest fatality mass shooting in American history. The shooter got into a hotel and brought several weapons in with him. He had an arsenal. He just started firing into a country music concert. He never left behind any statement or any digital trail. And in Odessa, Texas in 2019, the shooter was unstable. He had been denied a gun permit but went to another place and bought a gun where he didn’t have to have a permit. None of these are in this analysis because they are not ideological people fearing replacement. This not just a study of people who kill other people. This is a study of a particular ideological motivation.

And let’s think about Columbine High School in 1999. This was an extremely shocking incident. Two young guys went into their school and began shooting people. They planned this out for over a year. Both of them, at least one of them, was extremely mentally ill. Eric Harris and Dylan Klebold killed twelve students and one teacher. They kept a journal for almost two years. They gave no real indication of motive. They said they wanted to kick-start a revolution. This would be funny if it were not so sad. It sounds like some naïve, delusional sixteen-year-old boy saying, “I’m going to start a revolution, I’m
going to change America.” Or “This is going all the way to the Supreme Court.” No, it isn’t. Both boys were gifted; both were subjected to bullying; called faggots and gay; insulted and abused. The FBI concluded that one of them, Harris, was a clinical psychopath – that’s a technical term, a clinical analysis.

Klebold’s journal recorded frustrations at success with women. He couldn’t get no satisfaction. This is a common pattern, lack of female companionship. We’re going to come back to this. Both of them seemed drawn to symbols of power, but without an ideology. When you say, I love Christianity, I love Nazism, I love the Confederate flag. What does that mean? It probably doesn’t mean much of anything by itself.

And both boys committed suicide on the site.

And what happened in Virginia Tech is another case of a person who was seriously mentally ill. He was receiving treatment. He went onto the campus, into one of those big lecture halls, closed the doors and started shooting. He killed 32 people, wounded 17 others and then committed suicide. He left messages for us.

All of you who have ever been f’d by these descendants of Satan disguised as devout Christians, all of you who have went through what I went through, all of you who have felt what I have felt in my life, all of you who have suffered the wrath of these democratic terrorists, all of you who have been beaten,
humiliated, and crucified, Children of Ishmael, crusaders of anti-terrorism, my Jesus Christ brothers and sisters, you’re in my heart in life, in death, in spirit... We’ll soon be together, let the revolution begin.

[What does that mean?] Die, you descendants of Satan. F’ you and die now. I am ax Ishmael...I am the anti-terrorist of America. [So by killing people, he’s opposing terrorism. I don’t get the logic of that, but there it is]. Are you happy now? Are you happy now that you have destroyed my life, now that you have stolen everything you could from me, now that you have gone 911 on my life, like f’ing Osama? Now that you have f’ed your own people like f’ing Kim Jong Il, now that you have gone of a hunger safari about my life.

This is so sad. This man is just seriously mentally ill. And we can’t explain this in terms of some ideology or fear of being displaced. He is not in our analysis. (The Virginia Tech killer was born in Korea but came to the U.S. as a child. His father worked in a modest job).

Connor Betts is another one that we can’t figure out. Connor went into a discotheque in Dayton in 2019. He had a nice smile but was kind of a loner guy. He went into the discotheque and started shooting people. His sister was in the discotheque. He killed her. What exactly motivated him? We don’t know. Why did he kill his sister? We don’t know. She had just come out as lesbian. Was that a factor? We don’t know. Nobody knows. He left no manifesto, but many social media postings. He called himself an atheist and a leftist. In school he would point a finger as a gun and shoot someone. But is this significant? Joe Biden does that; Paul Newman did that. Everybody thinks it’s cool when Joe Biden or Pau Newman does it. But Betts kept a hit list of classmates he wanted to kill and girls he wanted to rape. That’s scary. He was fascinated with violent ideologies. He liked playing video games about killing. Is that a sign of potential mass murder? He identified with European Antifa, but in Germany that is an actual organization. He used phrases such as, kill every fascist. He called his detractors Nazis. He expressed hatred of the border enforcement
authorities. He seemed to search for possible violent confrontations. When there was speculation in the run-up to the 2020 election that President Trump would not leave the White House if he got defeated. Betts said, “arm, train, prepare.” He felt acts of extreme violence would be a stepping stone for the collapse of society and a more just social order. And he was obviously going to be the instrument for destroying the American power structure and producing a better society. He sounds delusional. He said, I want socialism and I’ll not wait for the idiots to finally come around to understanding. This makes no sense. We can’t put this person in any category except that he was obviously was very, very unhealthy.

None of these individuals are in our analysis. None are a part of The Replacement Wars.

Two Enemies of the Race and its Civilization

So back to the replacement ideologues. They have two people they see as fundamental enemies. Both are seen as heroes by other people, but they see them as enemies. One is Charles De Gaulle, the great French hero from the Second World War. He led the resistance to the Nazi occupation and was a national hero. He became the prime minister of France in 1948, then left power. Then in 1958 he came back, right in the middle of the Algerian Revolutionary War. Algeria, right across the Mediterranean, was a province of France. There were about a million French people who lived there. They dominated everything. They owned the land, the resources, the businesses. They held all the top positions. There was an Algerian independence uprising against them. At a certain point, De Gaulle decided that France had to let the people of Algeria choose, so he held a referendum. Do you want to remain a colony? Nobody did. Do you want to become an affiliated state, sort of like a British commonwealth? Nobody wanted that. Or would you like to be independent? Yes. Independence won overwhelmingly. So Algeria became independent in July of 1962. And within a month close to 900,000 white people left Algeria. They didn’t get kicked out. They just fled. Charles De Gaulle has contributed to the greatest displacement of white people any place in the world, any place in history. One of the great national heroes of France is an enemy not only of France but of white, Christian civilization.
Angela Merkel was the Chancellor of Germany. She was considered the best of the western leaders. But when Syria fell apart there were several million refugees. Most went to Lebanon, some went to Iraq, but many came to Europe. As they entered the EU and approached Germany Merkel decided to let them in. She said “We Can Handle This.” She admitted well over a million people in a couple of years. She noted that Germany has a demographic fall off. In addition to the humanitarian issues, Germans are not having enough babies. If we let these people in, we will address a humanitarian crisis and build up our younger population. As far as the people who fear being displaced from their own land are concerned, Angela Merkel is setting the stage for the destruction of Germany. Her decision generated a powerful resistance movement, insisting that “We are the people.”
The Nine Documents or Statements

The Turner Diaries

_The Turner Diaries_ is a foundational book to those who think in terms of the danger of Replacement. It was written in 1979. It’s about 200 pages. It’s a story about an uprising that starts in southern California. It’s a diary of someone who led the uprising but died and was forgotten. Now it is 100 years later and someone has found his diary. It tells what happened, how the uprising came, how the American government was destroyed and how America became an all-white, all-Christian country. All the Black people, all the Jews, all the Hispanics, all the Arabs, all the Asians are gone. All of these people were either killed or driven out – mostly killed – and America now becomes what it should have been all along, an all-white country, a homeland for white Christian people and civilization.

The uprising starts when the Jews take over the federal government -- legally through an election. They institute gun control. Their plan is to round up all the guns in anticipation of creating a totalitarian state. Guns are very central in the thinking of replacement ideologues. They will need their guns to fight off the federal government.

There is one iconic scene in this book. The man writing the journal, a founder of the revolution, describes walking to his headquarters and seeing something terrifying -- hanging corpses with signs: “I have betrayed my race, I have defiled my race.” This is called The Day of the Rope.

_Today has been the day of the rope, a grim and bloody day, but an unavoidable one. From tens of thousands of lamp posts, power posts and trees throughout this vast metropolitan area, the grisly forms hang. Even the street signs at intersections have been pressed into the service and on practically every street corner I pass this evening on my way to headquarters, there was a dangling corpse, four at every intersection. Hanging from a single overpass only a mile from here is a group of about 30, each with an identical placard around its neck, bearing the printed legend, “I betrayed my race.” Two or three of that group had been decked out in academic robes before they were strung up and the whole batch are_
apparently faculty members from the nearby UCLA campus. The first thing I saw in the moonlight was the placard with the legend in large block letters, I defiled my race. Above the placard leered the horribly bloated, purplish face of a young woman, her eyes wide open and bulging, her mouth agape. Finally, I could make out the thin, vertical line of rope disappearing into the branches above. I shuddered and quickly went on my way. There were many thousands of hanging female corpses like that in this city tonight, all wearing identical placards around their necks. They’re the white women who were married or living with Blacks, Jews, or other non-White males. There are also a number of men wearing the I defiled my race placard, but the women easily outnumber them 7 or 8 to 1. On the other hand, about 90% of the corpses with the I betrayed my race placard are men and overall the sexes seem to be roughly balanced. Those wearing the latter placards are the politicians, the lawyers, the businessmen, the TV newscasters, the newspaper reporters and editors, the judges, the teachers, the school officials, the civic leaders, the bureaucrats, the preachers, and all the others who for reasons of career or status or both or whatever helped promote and implement the system’s racial program.

What do we call that? Multiculturalism? Diversity? These are the things that you often find coming out of academics, and out of corporations. These are the people who are betraying their race. The ones who defile their race are the ones sleeping with the enemy.

This book sold 500,000 copies by 2000. Now it is available for free in pdf format on the internet. That is how I got my own copy. If you think about 500,000 people reading this book the implications are ominous. I suspect few of those are casual readers just looking for beach reading. I suspect most of them were serious ideologues. This is a very important book.

Day of the Rope: A Michigan Trauma

We in Michigan in 2020 encountered something scary. The Lansing State Capital was taken over by a group of armed people. We learned about organizations such as Wolverine Watchmen, Proud Boys, Boogaloo. Few of us had heard of those before. The armed assailants actually went unto the Legislative Chamber. The members of the legislature fled. One demonstrator in front of the legislature had a naked doll identified as Governor Gretchen Whitmer, “that woman from Michigan,” as the then-president called her. She’s hanging by her neck. Is that the day of the rope? I think it is. I think someone read The Turner Diaries. But Whitmer betrayed her race, she did not defile her race. She was sympathetic to minorities: to Black people, to Muslims, to Jews, to Hispanics, to all of those people, but she was married to a white man. So she betrayed her race. She did not defile it. Still, the people who were planning to kidnap her,
put her on trial, and perhaps live-stream her beheading did not seem concerned about such distinctions. One must wonder in retrospect: Was this assault a dry run for what happened the following January 6 when some of the same people took over the capital building in Washington and engaged in three hours of hand-to-hand combat with capitol police? I think it was. As someone said, an insurrection without consequences is a training exercise.

Let’s look at some specific cases

**Timothy McVeigh, Oklahoma City, 1995.**
Timothy McVeigh was a very interesting person. He was in the military. He was a very good soldier. He served in the Gulf War. He wrote a kind of diary, a journal. He said, in war I killed people. That’s what you do in war. You kill people. But then I saw Americans killing innocent people. They were killing women and children. That’s not the same. I suddenly realized that America was not a special country. We were as bad as the Nazis. And he said, it’s just going to be a matter of time until they create a totalitarian state. Here are some passages he wrote:

*The government is afraid of the guns people have because they have to have control of the people at all times. Once you take away the guns, you can do anything to the people. I believe we are slowly turning into a socialist government. The government is continually growing bigger and more powerful and the people need to prepare to defend themselves against government control.*

After the attack on the federal building he wrote the following:

*To these people in Oklahoma who have lost a loved one, I’m sorry, but it happens every day. You’re not the first mother to lose a kid or the first grandparent to lose a grandson or a granddaughter. It happens every day somewhere in the world. I’m not going to go into that courtroom and curl into a fetal ball and just cry because my victims want me to do that.” [McVeigh sees the U.S. is a major world threat]. “Remember Dresden, when the United States firebombed a defenseless city in Germany? How about Hanoi? Tripoli? Baghdad? What about the big ones, Hiroshima and Nagasaki? [At these two locations the U.S. killed at least 150,000 non-combatants, mostly women and children. McVeigh says they died “in the blink of an eye”] ... thousands more took hours, days, or weeks or months to die from radiation poisoning. Whether you wish to admit it or not, when you approve morally of the bombing of foreign targets by the U.S. military, you are approving of acts of morally equivalent to the bombing of Oklahoma City. The rest of you have blood on your hands as well as me.”*
McVeigh said that he died a soldier on a mission to protect the constitution. He took an oath to
defend the country from its enemies, foreign and domestic. Now we have a domestic threat, a totalitarian
government coming to power.”

At his execution, McVeigh was emotionless. For his last words, he recited the poem Invictus by
William Ernest Heney. The final verse is “It matters not how strait the gate/ How charged with
punishments the scroll/ I am the master of my fate/ I am the captain of my soul.”

When McVeigh was arrested, he had a copy of The Turner Diaries in his car.

**Anders Brevik and Brenton Tarrant**

There are two people who are contemporary models to those who think in terms of The
Replacement Wars. One is Anders Breivik who killed 77 people in Oslo, most at a youth camp. The
other is Brenton Tarrant who killed 51 people and wounded 40 more in Christchurch, New Zealand.
So who are these people? (Photos: Brevik on left, Tarrant on right)

Breivik wrote a 1,500-page treatise. It’s called Manifesto 2083, a European Declaration of
Independence. The date resonates with the fact that the Battle of Vienna, mentioned earlier, was in 1683.
It was a turning point in the effort of Islam to conquer the West. “Following the defeat of the Ottomans at
the walls of Vienna in 1683, Islam entered a period of strategic decline in which it was increasingly
dominated by the rising European powers.” But as Brevik sees it, the war did not stop in 1863. That war
is ongoing. Islam has never given up. Now they do not send soldiers. They send immigrants. We of the
Christian West “must rise and reclaim what is rightly ours.” [Note Brevik does not consider himself a
Christian by religion. He follows the ancient Norse gods. But in terms of culture and identity, he is of
the Christian world]. He says the Muslims have never given up in their goal of conquest and we must
not give up either. Neither must we be so naïve as to attribute peaceful motives to them. But by 2083 the
situation will change. Here is what Brevik wrote about 2083.
By 2083 there will be “the creation of the European Federation. The third wave of jihad will have been repelled and the cultural Marxists, multi-cultural hegemony in western Europe, will be shattered and lying in ruins. Exactly 400 years after we won the Battle of Vienna on September 11, 1683. Europe will once again be governed by patriots [i.e., white people].

Brevik and others of The Replacement Wars tradition see feminism as a major threat to Western survival. That threat grew stronger in the 1960s and has accelerated. Feminists today support the arrival of Muslim immigrants into Europe, hundreds of thousands, perhaps millions over time. Historically they also supported the anti-colonial movements. Fighting the colonial system then and supporting immigration today are the same. “This cultural assault is a continuation of an effort to destroy traditional European structures, the very foundation of European culture.” Moreover, feminists tell women to seek careers. Don’t fall under male control; don’t consider males and females to be unequal in any way. This is causing them not to have children. Feminism is a crime against our people because it is weakening us.

The date 2083 is symbolically significant. It will mark the 100th anniversary of the Hamburg Symposium of 1983 on Europe/Arab Dialogue and also of the Lebanese Civil War. What was the Lebanese Civil War? It came in phases and spiraled out of control: First came fighting in Beirut and elsewhere in 1975 and 1976, then came the Israeli invasion of 1982 and the capture of Beirut. The events in Lebanon caused the death of many thousands of Christians and the flight of many from their traditional mountain base. (And the deaths of even more Muslims, we might note). At one point, Christians made up 52% of all of Lebanon’s population. Now it’s probably less than 25%. That’s a major reduction. And we might note similar flights of Christians from Iraq and Syria, not to mention the Palestinian Christians. And perhaps we should also note that these areas – Lebanon, Syria, Palestine – were once considered Christians areas of the world.

To those who will arrest him or perhaps kill him, Brevik has a warning:

*I will be so bold and perhaps guarantee you one thing. You will lose this European civil war. Your regime will fall within 2083 and we, the peoples of Europe, will be free once more. Free to determine our own future, free to preserve our tradition and culture, free to secure prosperity and a safe and peaceful existence of our people.*

Brevik is seen by some as the first crusader in a war to retake Europe for ethnic Europeans, its traditional population. His admirers sometimes call him, Knight (Justiciar) Breivik, the first warrior of the liberation of Europe.

**Brenton Tarrant – Christchurch mosque killings.**

Tarrant is important because he wrote a very coherent manifesto. It is easily accessible on the Internet. It’s called *The Great Replacement. Towards a New Society*. Let’s try to understand his logic.
He says we should start with some facts.

- First, there is a Problem. Birth rates are falling.
- Then there is another Problem: there’s an invasion. Non-western people, non-white people are pouring into Europe and into the United States and other places (such as Australia and New Zealand) and they’re going to replace us in time.
- Then there is a chilling observation: There’s no such thing as an innocent person among those invaders. They are all invaders and they have to be driven back.
- Finally, there is advice for patriots, there is a certainty: We’re all going to die. You should sacrifice your life for your country.

Before we look at what Tarrant wrote, there are a couple of things we need to know. One is the concept of The Fourteen Words. “We must secure the existence of our people and a future for White children.” Those words are borrowed and adapted from Hitler’s *Mein Kampf*. You will also see the number 88. The 8th letter is H so 88 is HH or Heil Hitler. And you will see 1488, combining 14 and 88. There are also people who celebrate April 20, Hitler’s birthday. In Germany, any symbolic affirmation of Nazism will get you arrested. People go into bars and have a celebrations on April 20th. They sing patriot songs. If you ask what they are celebrating, they will say they are just having a party and singing patriotic songs. Everybody knows what they’re doing.

So, what did Tarrant write? Here are some powerful passages.

*The invaders must be removed from European soil, regardless from where they came or when they came. Roma, African, Indian, Turkish, Semitic or others. If they are not of our people, but live in our lands, they must be removed. Remove the invaders, retake Europe. There are no innocents in an invasion. All who colonize other people, share other peoples’ lands, share guilt. When you discover a nest of vipers in your yard, do you spare the adolescents? You burn the nest and kill the vipers, no matter their age.. Preventing these enemies from reaching adulthood and their full potential of effect is of the greatest importance. It will be distasteful to kill children and to kill women, it will be damaging to the soul, But know that it is necessary and that any invader you spare will one day be an enemy your people must face. Better for you to face them now, when you can, to face them in the future. Leave no vipers’ nest unburnt.*

There is a story about General George Patton after the Second World War when there was a shortage of food in Germany and there were efforts to mobilize national resources to feed the Germans and keep them from starving. General Patton opposed these actions: Why should we do that, he asked rhetorically? All those cute little German babies will be German soldiers in ten years and we are just going to have to kill them then. Why not let them die now? And Tarrant’s words are even more reminiscent of what Himmler said to SS soldiers in October, 1943 when he discussed how painful it is to see 1,000 Jewish corpses lined up next to each other. “To have endured this and at the same time to have remained a
decent person has made us tough, and it is a glorious chapter that has not and will never be spoken of.” In other words, you will never be thanked for this, and may even be condemned, but the German nation will be stronger for it.

Here is another direct quote from Tarrant.

"Even if all the invaders are deported tomorrow and all the traitors are dealt with as they truly deserve, we are still living on borrowed time. Our birth rates are falling.” How do we stop this, he asks?

“We need strong traditions, gender norms.” We need “a much greater focus on family values, gender and social norms, and the values and importance of nature, culture, and race. Without children there is no future. We need patriarchal families.

Patriarchal families? What does he mean? We need the fathers to be in control, we need the women to have a lot of children, maybe stay at home with the children. Why are you going out seeking a career? You don’t need a career, your husband can take care of you.

On politics, he says

"We must destabilize and discomfort society wherever possible. Voting for political candidates that radically change or challenge entrenched systems, radicalizing public discourse by both supporting, attacking, vilifying, radicalizing and exaggerating all social conflict and attacking or even assassinating weak or less radical leaders, influencers on either side of the social conflict. Incite conflict. Place posters near public parks calling for Sharia law. Then the next week place posters over such posters calling for the expulsion of all immigrants. Destabilize, take control."

Just so we understand this correctly. A leader who creates chaos and division and disorder is the ideal leader. Such a leader is disrupting and destabilizing the political system.

To the Turks, he says, “You can live in peace in your own lands and may no harm come to you. On the east side of the Bosporus.” [Note: There are millions of Turks in Germany. And geographically, Turkey itself has an eastern side and a western side. The eastern part (Anatolia) is considered Asia, and the western side is Europe. That western part was once a part of the Byzantine Empire (i.e., Greek). The Turks can have the Turkish side, on the east of the Bosporus]. “But we are coming for Constantinople…. and we will destroy every mosque and minaret in the city “[The Turks call that city Istanbul but Tarrant says we are going to rename it back to its true name. The big mosque there, the blue mosque, was originally a cathedral. When the Muslims took it over they turned it into a mosque. And in 2020 President Erdogan of Turkey turned it from a public museum site without a religious significance back into a mosque. That has created a lot of tension.]

Brenton Tarrant says he will probably die in his attack, but if he lives he will be given a life sentence and in 27 years he will be pardoned and given a Nobel Peace prize. Why does he say that? He says we should look at Nelson Mandela. He was a terrorist; he fought for his people; he was given a life sentence.
In 27 years he was pardoned and considered a great hero by the world and given the Nobel Peace Prize. So it will be with Tarrant, so he believes.

Interestingly, he ends with Invictus: “I am the master of my fate, I am the captain of my soul.”

Patrick Crusius

Patrick Crusius went to the Wal-Mart in El Paso 2019 and began shooting people. He left a manifesto for us. He said, “I support the Christchurch shooter. “

I support him and his manifesto. This attack, my attack, is in response to the Hispanic invasion of Texas. They are the instigators, not me. Who started this attack? They did, I’m just responding. I’m simply defending my country from cultural and ethnic replacement [there’s that word] brought on by an invasion. Some people will think this statement is hypocritical because of the nearly complete ethnic and cultural destruction brought to the Native Americans by our European ancestors.” [I’ve heard that point made. Don’t you know what you White Americans did to the native peoples? Why are you complaining? I’ve heard those words.] But this just reinforces my point. The natives didn’t take the invasion of Europeans seriously” [He’s actually wrong on that point. They did; They just got overwhelmed] – and now what’s left is just a shadow of what was. My motives for this attack are not at all personal. Actually the Hispanic community was not my target before I read (Tarrant’s) the great replacement. This manifesto will cover the political and economic reasons behind the attack. My fear, my expectations of what response this will generate and my personal motivation and thoughts.”

As he continues, we realize this is not like The Turner Diaries where the goal is to kill or remove everybody who’s not White. His solution is that “The best solution to this for now would be to divide America into a confederacy of territories with at least one territory for each race.”

Let’s think for a minute. If we follow this logic, there would be a White territory, a Black territory, a Jewish territory, a Muslim territory, a Hispanic territory. The physical separation would nearly eliminate race mixing, which he thinks is a bad thing. And it would improve societal unity for granting each race self-determination within their respective territories. This is similar to the logic of apartheid in South Africa, that each group would have its own region and would control its own affairs and its own destiny. It was never workable in South Africa and it would not be workable in the United States, but we want to understand his logic, not create a Task Force to see how to make it work.

His views on Texas, his home state, are quite revealing:

Soon the replacement of the Whites within Texas will hit its apogee [its high point], and with it we will see the non-White political and social control of Texas. And with this control the electoral college will be heavily stacked in favor of a Democratic victory so that every electoral cycle will be a certainty.
Let’s think for a minute. In 2020 there was a close race in Texas, a lot closer than anyone thought, but the Republicans clearly carried the day. But if you look at the last three elections, there’s a steady movement towards the Democrats in Texas. It is just a matter of time until Texas, which is now a purple state, will become a blue state. As Crusius sees it, this this will produce a reaction. “A strong, unified ethnically and culturally focused pro-White, pro-European group” will emerge. “It will produce everything the typical white family needs and longs for.” They will realize that under the current circumstances their days are numbered. They will organize themselves and fight for a land of their own. “The myth of the melting pot must end. Europa arises.”

The Jews:

There are people who are focused on Jews. Or perhaps we could say fixated upon the Jews. Two of them are John Earnest (left) and Robert Bowers (right).

Earnest is a small, sweet looking guy. He is a musician. You can find his music on the Internet. He plays the piano. The other person is Robert Bowers of Pittsburgh. Let’s look at these two and see what they thought were the real issues here about the Jews.

John Earnest of San Diego went to Poway synagogue. He killed one person and wounded three. Before the attack he wrote a message to the Jews.

You socially ostracized every White person, you’ve made it harder and harder for White people to live a normal life. To this I say, well done. You are stupid enough to make a White person’s only viable option for survival to kill all of you. Keep doing what you’re doing, Jew media. You’re putting the noose around your own neck. They’re crimes are endless for lying and deceiving the public through their exorbitant role in the news media, for using usury and banks to enslave nations in debt and control all finances for the purpose of funding evil, for their role in starting wars on a foundation of lies, which have cost millions of lives throughout history, for their role in cultural Marxism and communism, for pushing
degenerate propaganda in the form of entertainment, for their role in feminism, which has [there we go, women again] enslaved women in sin, for causing many to fall into sin with their role in peddling pornography, for their role in voting for and funding politicians and organizations who use mass immigration to displace the European race, for their large role in every slave trade for the last 2,000 years, for promoting race mixing, for their cruel and bloody history of genocidal behavior, for their persecution of Christians of old and today [and then he makes a lot of examples, he cites specifics] and finally, for their role in the murder of the Son of Man, that is, the Christ. Every Jew, young and old has contributed to these, for these crimes they deserve nothing but hell. I will send them there.

Earnest is the only one of these people who mentions what is called Deicide. This is the belief that the Jews killed Christ and therefore all Jews are responsible for killing Christ. That is a logic historically found in Europe more than in the United States. [There is a really fine book by Norman Cohn called Warrant for Genocide that discusses these traditions].

Robert Bowers is another person focused upon Jews. He went to the Tree of Life synagogue in Pittsburgh. He killed 11 people and wounded six others. He did leave some information behind.

Jews are the children of Satan.
1488

A daily reminder, diversity means chasing down the last White person.
There’s no MAGA as long as there is a kike infestation.

Just as a note, he did not like Donald Trump. He thought Trump was surrounded by Jews. Jared Kushner is Jewish. Trump’s daughter Ivanka is a convert. Steven Miller, a key policy advisor and a white nationalist, is Jewish. He uses the word kike, a derogatory term for Jews.

And he attacks the Hebrew Immigrant Aid Society, specifically. This is a group that’s been around for nearly a hundred years. They support immigrants. They originally supported Jewish immigrants, but now they support any immigrant group. They allegedly supported the Central American “caravan.” This was a massive group, tens of thousands of dark-skinned people coming from Central America, barreling towards the American border. They were going to crash through the walls; they were going to invade this country and then everybody was going to realize that it was possible to invade America and we would be overwhelmed. This caravan mysteriously disappeared from Fox News the day after the 2018 election. And Bowers is upset about Muslim immigrants. The Hebrew Immigrant Aid Society supports refugees from Syria.

Let’s think through the logic of this. Jews are being killed because they’re supportive of Muslim immigrants to this country. Isn’t that bizarre? This Jewish organization “likes to bring invaders that kill
our people.” Just before he attacked the synagogue he posted a message: “I can’t sit by and watch my people get slaughtered. Screw your optics, I’m going in.” This sounds very similar to Dylan Roof.

**Dylann Roof and the Black threat**

Back to Dylann Roof. He was the young man who went into the AME church in Charleston, South Carolina. This is a wonderful church, the Mother Church of the American Methodist Episcopal denomination. That is a Black denomination. They were having an evening Bible discussion and prayer service. As Roof walked in, the only White person there, they welcomed him. The minister said, come here, sit next to me young man. So, he did. He sat there about an hour. And then he got up, pulled out a weapon and he said, “you’re killing our men and raping our women and no one is doing anything about it.” And he started shooting. He killed nine people, including the minister, Reverend Pinckney, who was a well-known personality and a personal friend of President Obama.

So, what does the Roof manifesto say? He discusses the Black threat; a false history being imposed upon white students; he says integration is a danger to Whites; he sees Jews as threat; He says Asians are our allies. They hate Black people as much as we do. [I’ve heard this about Arabs and Muslims, that they hate Black people as much as we do. This is calling out to allies. I am not sure it is true in either case].

Roof continues: Patriotism is wrong, the United States is not good protecting its own people, and we need to act. Nobody is doing anything.
Roof says, “The event that truly awakened me was the Travon Martin case.”

This was a very important case. Traevon Martin was a young (17) African American. In 2013 he was visiting his stepfather in an exclusive neighborhood. He had gone out, wearing a hoodie, to buy some things at a nearby convenience store and was coming back to his stepfather’s home. He was confronted by a man named George Zimmerman (27, of Hispanic heritage) who ordered him to stop and put up his hands. Zimmerman was a self-appointed security guard. There was a confrontation and Zimmerman killed Treyvon. In his trial, Zimmerman was found to be protecting himself against an assault by Treyvon Martin and therefore not guilty. This provoked a strong reaction, that the decision was wrong. But Dylann took a different lesson from the events.

I decided to look him up. It was obvious Zimmerman was in the right. I typed Black on White crime into Google and have never been the same. I saw the same things happening in England, in France, in all the western European countries. From here I found out about the Jewish problem and other issues facing our race. Blacks are the biggest problem for Americans.
Jewish agitation of the Black race compounds the problem. Jews are always stirring things up. We are told to accept what is happening to us because of our ancestors’ wrongdoing, but it is all bias based on historic lies.

Segregation was not a bad thing. It existed to protect us from them...In history classes when a White person does a bad thing they are emphasized as White. But when White people do wonderful things, it is never pointed out that they were White.

I hate to see the sight of the American flag. People pretending like they have something to be proud of while White people are being murdered daily in the streets. Even if my life is worth less than a speck of dirt, I want to use it for the good of society. I have no choice. I’m not in a position to alone go into the ghetto and fight. I choose Charleston because it is the most historic city in my state and at one time had the highest ratio of Blacks to Whites in the country. We have no skinheads, no real KKK, no one is doing anything but talking on the Internet. Well, somebody has to have the bravery to take it to the real world, and I guess that has to be me.

Below are a selection of images easily found on the internet.
Assessment

Let’s step back and look at these people that we’ve discussed and see what generalizations emerge.

- They often have no affect and no emotions. When they’re arrested they don’t look afraid, they don’t look happy, they don’t look sad, they don’t look anything. (This observation is worth making but it deviates from the purpose of this analysis, which is to examine the ideological of The Replacement Wars. Psychology is helpful, but not the area of this author).

- They see compressed history, the past and the present are the same. There is an eternal struggle against ancient enemies. We are in the midst of a civil war but don’t recognize it.

- Many identify with symbols of power. But this doesn’t tell us much. Heil Hitler. USSR. Confederacy. Christianity. These are all associated with power, but they don’t seem to be really believing in anything, they’re just symbols of something.

- There’s a central fear of gun control as a means of creating a totalitarian government. Guns, over and over and over. Second Amendment, gun rights. These are big issues in this way of thinking. We cannot overstate this point. The uprising in The Turner Diaries began with an effort to confiscate guns. And the Nazi parallel is always there. One often hears that had the German people been armed the Nazis would never have come to power. This is a bizarre understanding of history and of the Nazi regime but it is the way many people think. And the inference is there, lurking in the shadows. We have a Nazi regime of our own in hiding or in the making and we must be prepared to resist and overthrow them, and to win the civil war they will provoke to enhance their power.

- They see themselves as historic figures standing up for their people. Many think of themselves as martyrs. “I will be sacrificed, but I will be viewed as a heroic person in time.” Some think they will be revered within their lifetimes. Brenton Tarrant says that. I’ll be released in 27 years and given a Nobel Peace Prize, and I’ll be a hero if they don’t kill me at the site.

- Many write good English. This surprised me. I didn’t expect them to write in good English. They do. Several are reasonably intelligent people.
• Many appear to have disturbed childhoods. They had difficult relations with their parents, their mother, their father. Some may have been abused. They come out of youth somewhat wounded. Of course, a lot of people are wounded in childhood but they don’t turn into mass murderers. Perhaps that is just a contributing factor. (Again, this is away from the focus of the analysis but it is worth noting).

• Most have no or few friends. They’re loners.

• Some appear to be Incel. Incel means involuntarily celibate. They don’t get no satisfaction. Girls won’t hang around with them. (And do we have to point out – they are all guys?) A couple of them had girlfriends for short terms, but many didn’t. Many had no female companions or friends or girlfriends or anything.

• It appears that quite a few of these people, especially young people, think they are heroes of their race. They’re defenders of their people. They see themselves as historic figures. Maybe when you’re 15 or 16 you think that maybe someday you will be a great person. Of course, that doesn’t mean you are going to kill Black people, or anyone. But these young people seem to have an unhealthy drive to be somebody. “We need to stand together and not let them take our guns; we have a family to protect.” That way of thinking produces slogans: Armed American patriots or a Muslim takeover of Europe, or sharia law being imposed even now on American cities with “no-go” neighborhoods where even the police are afraid to enter. We are losing our country, even as we watch, and stand aside.

• And to repeat an earlier point: About a third of all mass murderers are people who are seriously mentally ill. That number is from government statistics, analysis of all the mass murders that have occurred. They have a technical definition of that term. A mass murder is defined as four or more victims. So, of all of those people who commit mass murder about a third are seriously mentally ill. But, let me repeat. Mass murder is not the focus of this paper. Those people are excluded from our analysis. The ones we’re interested in are those people that have an ideology.

Observations:
So, what do we know about the people in this study and what happened to them?

• Robert Bowers, 46, survived.
• Anders Breivik, 32, survived.
• Branton Tarrant 28, survived.
• Timothy McVeigh, 37, survived (but was later executed);
• Connor Betts, 34, was killed on the site;
• Patrick Crusius, 21, survived;
• Dylann Roof, 20, survived;
• Nicholas Cruz, 20 survived.
• John Earnest, 19, survived;
• Santino Legan, 19, was killed on the site.

I have heard people say, correctly I think, that if they had been Black most or perhaps all would have been killed on the site. But that is a different issue that deserves a separate paper.

That’s the analysis. I hope this gave you insights that you had not thought of before. It certainly gave me a lot of insights to read these manifestos and these books and these novels. This was an amazing journey for me, although not a pleasant one. I hope, as with me, you understand some things that you had not thought of before

I am posting this is April, 2021 a little over three months after the January 6 insurrection. At this point over 300 people have been arrested in connection with that event. I am not aware of any systematic analysis of those insurrectionists although some preliminary studies are beginning to emerge. Several of those seditionists appear to be of The Replacement Wars ideological tradition. We can anticipate that those studies will reveal more linkages very soon.

For anyone interested, I have a podcast on this topic. Go to Stocktonafterclass. It is searchable.

Rstock@Umich.edu