

Modeling a Large Coastal Upwelling Event in Lake Superior

Yaru Li^{1,2,3}, Dmitry Beletsky^{3†}, Jia Wang⁴, Jay Austin⁵, James Kessler⁴,

Ayumi Fujisaki-Manome^{3,6}, and Peng Bai⁴

¹ North China Sea Marine Forecasting Center, Oceanic Administration of China, Qingdao, China.

² Shandong Provincial Key Laboratory of Marine Ecological Environment and Disaster Prevention and Mitigation, Qingdao, China.

³ Cooperative Institute for Great Lakes Research, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI USA.

⁴ Great Lakes Environmental Research Laboratory, Office of Oceanic and Atmospheric Research, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Ann Arbor, MI USA.

⁵ Large Lakes Observatory and Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Minnesota-Duluth, Duluth, MN USA.

⁶ Climate & Space Sciences and Engineering, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI USA

†Corresponding author: Dmitry Beletsky (beletsky@umich.edu)

Key Points:

- An extraordinarily strong upwelling event observed during summer 2010 in Lake Superior was reproduced by a coupled ice-ocean model.
- Strong correlation between lake surface temperature change in the upwelling zone and alongshore wind stress was found (with a correlation coefficient of -0.87). A simple linear heat balance model explained most of time rate of change in temperature.
- Offshore thermocline displacement (upwelling front) in the model was in good agreement with predictions of an analytical model that employed alongshore wind and initial thermal structure.

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as [doi: 10.1029/2020JC016512](https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JC016512).

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

Abstract

An extraordinary strong wind-driven upwelling event occurred in Lake Superior in summer of 2010 when the lake was strongly stratified. In this paper, a detailed three-dimensional (3-D) investigation of the current and thermal structures during the upwelling event was conducted using in situ observations, remote sensing products, and the results of a long-term numerical simulation. A 3-D finite-volume coupled ice-ocean model tailored for the Laurentian Great Lakes was employed for this purpose. The model was validated with temperature observations at National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) buoys and mooring data from 2010. The upwelling event observed in satellite imagery and at a mooring station was reproduced by the model, showing a cooling of as much as 10 °C in August 2010 along the northwestern coast. The relationship between the alongshore wind and the offshore thermocline displacement (upwelling front) derived in theoretical work (Csanady, 1977) was used to calculate upwelling front movement offshore and found to be in in close agreement with model prediction. A significant correlation between alongshore wind stress and lake temperature change in the upwelling zone was found with a correlation coefficient of -0.87. A simple linear heat balance model explained most of variability in temperature.

Plain Language Summary

An extraordinary strong upwelling event observed during summer 2010 in Lake Superior was reproduced by a numerical ocean model. A detailed three-dimensional (3-D) investigation of the current and thermal structures during the upwelling event was conducted using in situ observations, remote sensing products, and the results of a long-term numerical simulation. A 4-

day long strong, persistent alongshore wind was the major driving force of this event. A significant correlation between alongshore wind stress and lake temperature change in the upwelling zone was found. A simple linear heat balance model explained most of variability in temperature measurements. The relationship between the alongshore wind and the offshore displacement of the upwelling front derived in theoretical work was used to calculate upwelling front movement offshore and found to be in in close agreement with model prediction.

1 Introduction

Coastal upwelling and downwelling is frequently observed in the ocean and large lakes (Austin & Lentz, 2002; Csanady, 1977; Smith, 1995). Driven by alongshore surface winds and the resulting Ekman transports, coastal upwelling is characterized by water mass transport between onshore and offshore areas (Brink, 2016) and resulting strong vertical movement. Upwelling caused by strong wind events plays a significant role in heat and momentum transfer at the water-air interface and within the water body, and therefore has implications in the evolution of the water thermal structure, as well as the transport and distribution of pollutants, sediment and nutrients in the water body (Austin & Barth, 2002; Beletsky et al., 1997; Csanady, 1977; Plattner et al., 2006; Simons & Schertzer, 1987). Importantly, upwelling events can modify lake stratification, which is closely related to the carbon contribution of lakes to the global carbon cycle (Kirillin & Shatwell, 2016). In oceans, upwelling is acknowledged as the basis of coastal food webs and carbon fixation, as it brings deep, nutrient-rich water into the euphotic zone. Therefore, coastal upwelling is of fundamental importance to understanding the role of lake dynamics in the physical, biological, ecological, and societal aspects.

Upwelling studies require information on the thermal structure and currents, yet reliable, long and continuous records of temperature in lakes are rather rare (Austin & Colman, 2008; Titze & Austin, 2014); data on currents, even more so. It is very difficult to collect enough in situ data with sufficient temporal and spatial resolution to study the thermal structure and upwelling events based on in situ observations alone. However, we can improve our understanding through remote sensing and modeling. Csanady (1977) developed an analytical model predicting the shape of the thermocline during upwelling and the offshore displacement of the upwelling front, which produced reasonably accurate results in the early stages of several upwelling events in Lake Ontario. Among the early numerical modeling attempts in freshwater, Beletsky et al. (1997) applied two numerical ocean models to Lake Michigan and studied a large coastal upwelling event that took place in 1955 (Ayers, 1956). Many recent studies have used a variety of models (Bai et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2001, 2002; White et al., 2012; Ye et al., 2019; Zhu et al., 2001) to advance knowledge of temperature structure and lake circulation drivers. Plattner et al. (2006) used remote sensing data, such as surface temperature images derived from the Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) and wind data from buoys, to show a relationship between upwelling events and wind directions along the western and eastern shorelines of Lake Michigan.

The general circulation and thermal structure in Lake Superior has been studied much less intensively than the other Great Lakes, like Lake Michigan and Lake Erie, and many studies addressed the issue in a climatological manner (Bai et al., 2013; Beletsky et al., 1999; Bennington et al., 2010). Studies focusing on upwelling events in Lake Superior have received sparse attention apart from a few studies focusing on the Keweenaw Current area (Niebauer et al., 1977). In particular, Niebauer et al. (1977) studied the current, hydrographic, and wind data

in the Keweenaw Current area in Lake Superior, and arrived at a conclusion that the coastal upwelling and offshore Ekman transport were generated by alongshore winds associated with migrating high-pressure systems. More recently, long-term observations of temperature at several locations across the lake were initiated (Austin, 2013), allowing the study of the evolution of thermal structure on a variety of time scales, including hourly-daily time scales, which are relevant for wind-driven upwelling. In this paper, we take advantage of this new and rich dataset, which is complemented by remotely sensed data and the results of multi-year modeling. We focus on the summer of 2010, when the lake was strongly stratified and a series of upwelling-favorable wind events produced rapid and dramatic changes in lake thermal structure, leading to a full upwelling, in which the bottom layer surfaces (Monismith, 1986). Events of that magnitude in large lakes are rare (Schladow et al., 2004; Roberts et al., 2020), and due to their impact on not only lake physics but chemistry and biology as well, they certainly deserve to be investigated.

In this paper, we investigate this uncommonly strong upwelling event and analyze it in detail.

We use measurements from buoys and satellites to validate the model and facilitate our understanding. The structure of the paper is as follows: the numerical model and observational data are described in Sections 2 and 3, respectively. Model results and validation are presented in Section 4. Discussion of model results and comparison with previous theoretical work by Csanady (1977) is presented in Section 5, followed by conclusions in Section 6.

2 Numerical Model

The numerical model used is the spherical coordinate Great Lakes Finite Volume Community Ocean Model (GL-FVCOM) implemented by Bai et al. (2013), which is based on the unstructured-grid finite-volume coastal ocean model FVCOM developed and upgraded by Chen et al. (2003, 2006, 2013). Using a finite volume approach, FVCOM solves the primitive equations in flux forms with a mode splitting method. The vertically integrated transport equations, where the water elevation is solved explicitly using a short time step, is solved in the external mode, while the 3-D governing equations are solved in the internal mode using a long time step. Unstructured triangular elements in the horizontal are combined with a σ -coordinate scheme vertically to generate a 3-D computational domain, which is simulated with spatially varying Coriolis force. The Smagorinsky turbulent closure schemes (Smagorinsky, 1963) and the modified Mellor and Yamada level 2.5b (MY-2.5) (Mellor & Blumberg, 2004; Mellor, 2001; Mellor & Yamada, 1982) are adopted in the model for the horizontal and vertical mixing, respectively. The surface wind-wave mixing scheme developed by Hu & Wang (2010), which originated from the wave-induced mixing theory by Yuan et al. (1999) and Qiao et al. (2004), is employed for an improved simulation of mixing processes. More details can be found in Bai et al. (2013).

Since ice is a non-negligible phenomenon in Lake Superior, considering its impact on currents and thermal structure evolution, the model is run with a coupled ice model based on the Los Alamos Sea Ice Model (CICE) (Hunke & Dukowicz, 1997) with the elastic-viscous-plastic rheology for the ice dynamics. More details can be found in Anderson et al. (2018) and Fujisaki-Manome & Wang (2016). Although heavy ice conditions were not observed in particular in

2010, it is preferable to enable ice coupling in a multi-year simulation to minimize discrepancies in the temperature variation over time.

The model domain covers Lake Superior with an average spatial resolution of 4.5 km (Figure 1).

In the vertical direction, a 21-layer terrain-following coordinate with higher resolution placed near the surface and bottom was adopted. The minimum depth was set to 10 m to ensure global stability, i.e., $h + \zeta > 0$ (h is the undisturbed water depth and ζ is the free surface elevation, Wang 1996). In this study, the leapfrog (centered differencing) scheme for time discretization was used to replace the Euler forward scheme in the internal mode and the Euler forward Runge-Kutta scheme in the external mode in the ocean momentum equations (Fujisaki-Manome and Wang 2016; Bai et al. 2020). The reason is that the two-time step Euler forward scheme with explicit treatment of Coriolis terms has been proven inertially unstable, while the leapfrog scheme is inertially neutral stable (Wang and Ikeda, 1997a, b). The model is run from 1993 to 2016, initialized in January 1992 with a uniform water temperature of 3 °C and allowed to spin-up for one year before the simulation period began. Three-hourly atmospheric forcing with a uniform horizontal resolution of 32 km from the North American Regional Reanalysis (NARR) (Mesinger et al., 2006) are applied to the model, including surface winds, air temperature, net downward shortwave radiation at the surface, total cloud cover, and specific humidity. Since the incoming shortwave radiation can penetrate into the water column below the surface, the classification by Jerlov (1968, 1976), with the interpretation by Paulson & Simpson (1977), is applied for the specification of wave radiation. The long-wave radiation is calculated as a function of the air temperature, the model surface water temperature, and the cloud cover (Wyrski, 1965). Latent and sensible heat fluxes are calculated by a bulk aerodynamic formulation where the transfer coefficients are calculated by considering the appropriate stability parameter

(Large & Pond, 1982). The modeling results from 1993 to 2016 are produced with a time interval of six hours.

Figure 1. Computational grid for Lake Superior. (Location for a selected upwelling zone is shown by the heavy black lines off the northwestern coast.)

3 Observations

For model validation and analysis, measurements including hourly surface water temperature and wind above the lake surface are collected from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's (NOAA's) National Data Buoy Center (NDBC). The locations of the buoys and coastal sites are shown in Figure 2, where the buoy names are denoted by 5-digit numbers or combinations of numbers and letters. Temperature profile measurements were collected using a combination of Seabird and RBR thermistors on moorings at three locations, denoted as Western Mooring (WM), Central Mooring (CM), and Eastern Mooring (EM), respectively (Austin, 2013; Titze & Austin, 2014). The bathymetry as well as five transects across the lake, which are used for further analyses, are also shown in Figure 2. The satellite-derived surface temperature data by the Great Lakes Surface Environmental Analysis (GLSEA), which is a daily, 5-day averaged and cloud-free surface water temperature dataset derived from near real-time NOAA/Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) satellite temperature imagery obtained through the CoastWatch program at the NOAA Great Lakes Environmental Research Laboratory (GLERL) (Schwab et al., 1999) are adopted for comparison. GLSEA composite charts are geographically corrected and cloud-free with a spatial resolution of about 1 km. GLSEA data are validated at GLERL using in situ water temperatures from buoy measurements, and have been produced

daily since 1994. Detailed methodology and algorithms can be found in Schwab et al. (1999) and Schwab & Bedford (1999). Due to its algorithm and validation process, it is reasonable to use GLSEA data as quasi-observations, and it has been found to be better than the original satellite imagery for Great Lakes studies (Plattner et al., 2006).

Figure 2. Locations of the buoys (squares) and the mooring locations (blue asterisks). Contour: bathymetry (in meters). Five transects (white lines, perpendicular to the northwestern shoreline of the lake) are used for further analysis.

4 Results

4.1 Model validation

The modeling results are validated against observations including NDBC buoy measurements and mooring data. The modeled water temperature is compared against measurements at Buoy 45023 (Figure 3), which is located on the west coast of the Keweenaw Peninsula (Figure 2).

Water temperature profiles at WM are also used for comparison to examine the model's behavior vertically (Figure 4). The root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) and the mean bias (MB) are employed as a means of quantitative analysis, the definitions of which are described as follows,

$$\mathbf{RMSD} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N (\hat{x}_i - x_i)^2}{N}} \quad (1)$$

$$\mathbf{MB} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N (\hat{x}_i - x_i)}{N} \quad (2)$$

where \hat{x}_i and x_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, N$) are the modeled and measured time series, respectively, N is the total number of the sample, and the over bars mean the averaged value of the time series.

It can be seen that the overall performance of the model at Buoy 45023 in coastal waters is acceptable: the RMSD and MB values are 1.29°C and -1.27°C, respectively. A slight under-prediction is found, as indicated by the MB value.

Figure 3. Water surface temperature comparison against measurements at Buoy 45023 in 2010.

To examine the model's ability to predict thermal stratification, the water temperature profile comparison to the western mooring measurements from October 2011 to December 2012 is shown in Figure 4. Water temperature comparisons at 1 m, 15 m, 25 m, 50 m, 81 m, 100 m and 150 m depth are presented in sequence. The RMSD and MB values at each depth are shown in Table 1. The largest discrepancy is observed 1 m below the surface, where the onset of temperature rise is predicted approximately one-month earlier by the model. Referring to Table 1, the RMSD values vary between 0.72 and 2.74°C, and the mean bias ranges from 0.64 to 2.31°C, indicating a warm bias of the model through the whole water column. Overall, model skill is consistent with other 3-D modeling studies in Lake Superior and other lakes, e.g., it is comparable to (slightly better than) Bennington et al. (2010)'s results with RMSD being 3.4°C. Moreover, the majority of temperature fluctuations during summer and early fall, and the monotonic trend in winter and spring at each layer, are very well resolved. Overall, the model predicts thermal stratification reasonably well. The water temperature below 25 m is much less influenced by meteorological conditions, and stays almost constant the whole year around, especially at depths below 50 m.

Figure 4. Water temperature profile comparison against measurements at the western mooring (WM) during 2011 and 2012.

Table 1 Root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) and mean bias (MB) at the western mooring (WM).

Depth/m	RMSD/°C	MB/°C
1	2.74	2.31
15	1.57	1.48
25	1.44	1.38
50	1.32	0.79
81	1.03	0.82
100	0.98	0.81
150	0.72	0.64

In summary, despite of some discrepancies, the performance of the model at various locations in the lake with various water depths and in different seasons is reasonable, giving confidence in our analysis based upon the modeling results. Model validation for currents can be found in Bai et al. (2013).

Since the temporal and spatial resolution and quality of the wind forcing have been regarded as one of the most important factors leading to a reliable prediction of the temperature field (Beletsky et al., 1997, 2013; Chen et al., 2004), the wind measured at DISW3 (which is located between transects 1 and 2) and the corresponding wind forcing from NARR used in the model are compared in Figure 5. The time series comparison at this station is selected as a sample to see the quality of the NARR wind and its impact on the computed temperature field in the selected area (Figure 1). The alongshore (towards NE being positive) and offshore wind component are compared in Figure 5a and Figure 5b, respectively, the RMSD of which is 3.53 ms^{-1} and 2.23 ms^{-1} , respectively. The RMSD of averaged temperature in the selected upwelling zone (see

Figure 1 for the location) between the model and the GLSEA chart shown in Figure 5c is 1.84 °C, and the MB is -0.71°C. This result demonstrates that the wind quality of NARR is acceptable to use in a 3-D ocean model to resolve upwelling events, and that the model results forced by NARR wind are reasonable, which reassures the reliability of applying the modeled results in detailed upwelling analyses. Referring to the alongshore wind, which has significant implications on upwelling events, five days are selected for investigations: 4 August when onset of upwelling-favorable wind was observed; 9 August when alongshore wind almost ceased; 15 August when alongshore wind started growing again after a relatively calm period; 17 August when the peak magnitude just passed; and 24 August at the end of another relatively long period of calm conditions.

Figure 5. (a) The alongshore wind component at DISW3; (b) The offshore wind component at DISW3; (c) Zone-averaged surface water temperature from 4 August to 28 August 2010. Heavy vertical bars mark the location of selected dates.

4.2 Temperature

Upwelling events are good examples of synoptic scale processes in the Great Lakes. However, due to the complex nature of mixing and advection processes involved, it is difficult for numerical models to produce accurate predictions. Observations show that prior to the upwelling event in question 2010 was an exceptionally warm year in Lake Superior compared to the long-term mean (Figure 6). The observed temperatures at the three moorings are 5 to 13°C higher than the average temperature during 1980 - 2020. The observed data also shows a large temperature drop at the three moorings in mid-August 2010—especially dramatic at WM in the western basin

of the lake— suggesting the occurrence of an upwelling event. Data from GLSEA confirm an upwelling event off the northwestern shore of Lake Superior, and it is reproduced by our model.

Figure 6. Daily averaged surface water temperature at three sites on Lake Superior. Shaded region is observed range, 1980-2020; thin line is mean over the same range; thick line is 2010.

(a) Buoy 45006, Western Superior. (b) Buoy 45001, Central Superior; (c) Buoy 45004, Eastern Superior.

Figure 7 shows the evolution of the surface water temperature during the 21 days of this upwelling event, with the surface wind superimposed on the temperature map on the selected days. The daily GLSEA composite charts are shown in the left column, superimposed with daily-averaged lake surface wind measured at NDBC buoys. The 5-day-averaged modeling results with daily-averaged NARR wind are shown in the right column. It is noticeable that the NARR wind in Figure 7 is averaged from a 3-hourly climate dataset while the wind observations from NDBC are averaged from hourly observations from buoys only. Discrepancies, such as slightly higher temperature in the eastern basin of the lake and lower temperature on the south shore of Isle Royale are also found. For example, on 17 August, the daily-averaged northwesterly NARR wind resulted in relatively smaller areas being influenced by the upwelling, while in the GLSEA chart, a larger area was influenced by the upwelling, corresponding to a stronger alongshore wind. Nevertheless, the modeling results match reasonably well the GLSEA data. The modeled temperature distribution is quantitatively similar to the GLSEA chart. The overall temperature patterns across the lake, the temperature magnitudes, the areas of dramatic temperature variations, and the location of upwelling are all resolved by the model.

Figure 7. Water surface temperature distribution on five selected days between 4 August and 24 August in 2010 from GLSEA charts (left column) and the model (right column). Black arrows in the left column: wind observation from NDBC; black arrows in the right column: wind forcing from NARR.

A southwesterly wind, which was parallel to the northwest shore, prevailed over the lake, (with an exception on 9 August 2010 when the wind almost ceased). As shown in previous studies (Niebauer et al., 1977), this is one of the prerequisites for the occurrence of upwelling. The southwesterly wind at a magnitude of 10~20 ms^{-1} was observed on 4 August when the first signs of the coastal upwelling started emerging. The most impacted area during this upwelling event is the northwestern shore between Duluth and Thunder Bay. The upwelling area, characterized by a lower temperature nearshore, first appeared on the coast between Two Harbors and Thunder Bay in early August (Figure 7a and Figure 7c). It then extended to Duluth in mid-August and reached its peak (Figure 7e and Figure 7g), followed by relaxation in late August (Figure 7i). Weaker alongshore wind component in NARR led to a somewhat weaker upwelling in Duluth basin in the model (Figure 7h, 7j versus Figure 7g, 7i).

As mentioned before, on 9 August, the wind slowed down (Figure 7c) and the upwelling influenced area remained stable without apparent expansion. Starting in mid-August, during which the wind speed reached over 30 ms^{-1} , a larger upwelling zone that extended to Duluth was formed. A small upwelling zone on the southwest shore of Isle Royale was also observed during this time (Figure 7e and Figure 7g). The water temperature in the Duluth Basin and Apostle Basin decreased by over 10°C towards late August (Figure 8) while the temperature

drop in Keweenaw Basin, which was only slightly influenced by this event, was only approximately 5°C over the 21 days. This development process is well captured by the model (right column of Figure 7). However, the upwelling influenced area on the south shore of Isle Royale was over-estimated in mid-August compared to the GLSEA chart, which shows that only a small area on the southwest shore of Isle Royale was impacted (Figure 7e and Figure 7g). Discrepancies between the NARR wind used in the model and wind observations from NDBC, especially the errors in wind direction, play a role in this over-estimation.

The surface temperature change from 4 August to 24 August 2010 is shown in Figure 8, where the modeled results (lower panel) are roughly consistent with the GLSEA chart (top panel) in most areas of the lake. The temperature drop in the western basin of the lake, which is the area most impacted by the upwelling event, ranges within -2 to -10°C, whereas in the eastern basin, it is typically -1 to -5°C.

Figure 8. Water surface temperature change between 4 August and 24 August 2010. (a) GLSEA; (b) Model.

To further study the upwelling event, temperature evolution is examined at five transects across the lake are selected (shown in Figure 2). Transects 1, 2 and 3 are between Two Harbors and Thunder Bay, where coastal upwelling happens most frequently. The starting point of transect 4 is located off the northeast of Thunder Bay, and intersects Isle Royale and the Keweenaw Peninsula. Transect 5 is the northernmost, extending to the eastern lake, across the Keweenaw Basin, and intersecting the two areas with the largest bathymetry gradient. The direction of all transects is toward the southeast, i.e., offshore, starting from the northwest shore. As seen from

the surface temperature field (Figure 7 and Figure 8), transects 1, 2 and 3 are in the area most impacted by the upwelling event.

Temperature distribution in the vertical at the selected transects on 4 August, 15 August, and 17 August is shown in Figure 9, Figure 10 and Figure 11, respectively. In these transect views, the strongly stratified status, the tilt and surfacing of the thermocline, and offshore displacement of upwelling front are all well modeled.

Upwelling started emerging on 4 August (Figure 9), with the onset of upwelling-favorable alongshore wind, and reached its peak on 15 -17 August (Figure 10 and Figure 11). On 4 August, the modeled upper mixed layer (epilimnion) was quite shallow, usually less than 10 m at the selected transects. The thermocline zone (metalimnion) was located between 10 to 50 m. The isotherms were nearly horizontal at each transect, except in the upwelling areas at transect 1 and transect 2 where the surfacing of thermocline started appearing (Figure 9). On 15 August, coastal upwelling on the northwestern shore was strong enough to advect the thermocline 20 to 30 km offshore at transects 1 to 3 (Figure 10) and low temperature water from below 50 m upwelled to the surface. Meanwhile, downwelling on the southeastern coast was signaled by mild downward tilt of isotherms. A “full” upwelling, where the thermocline intersects the free surface (Csanady, 1977), was rather prominent starting 15 August across transects 1 to 4 (Figure 10). At this point, the isotherms at transects 1 to 4 were remarkably distorted by the upwelling. The warm water initially in the epilimnion was replaced by cold water below 16°C at transects 1 to 4, with an impacted offshore distance as far as 25 km at transects 1 to 3. At transect 4, which is partitioned by Isle Royale and the Keweenaw Peninsula, the initial epilimnion to the north was completely overturned by the upwelling. Downwelling on the southeastern coast at these transects was also more pronounced than two days later (Figure 11). A significant temperature decline took place in

the Royale Basin, even at transect 5, which runs across the Royale Basin and the Keweenaw Basin, accompanied by a slight distortion of the isotherms in the hypolimnion on the southeastern coast. On 17 August, the thermocline further continued the distortion, especially in the downwelling areas, and the significant temperature drop in the top 20 m was even more prominent (Figure 11).

Figure 9. Temperature distribution at the selected transects on 4 August 2010.

Figure 10. Temperature distribution at the selected transects on 15 August 2010.

Figure 11. Temperature distribution at the selected transects on 17 August 2010.

4.3 Heat content

Figure 12 shows the temperature profile at the five transects during the upwelling development.

The mean value in each sub-figure is shown by the thick colored lines, and the initial mean profile (red line) on 4 August 2010 at each transect is superimposed in the following days to see

the profile evolution. It is shown that at the beginning of the upwelling event, the thermocline

was confined to the upper 40 to 60 m, below which was the hypolimnion where the water

temperature was approximately 4°C. The mean thermocline depth is deeper at transects 4 and 5,

since both are across the Royale Basin and the Keweenaw Basin and therefore have more diverse

temperature profiles. The epilimnion was very shallow (also shown in Figure 9) and occupied

only the top 5 to 10 m of the lake. As the upwelling developed, low-temperature water from the

bottom layers upwelled and mixed with the water in epilimnion and metalimnion, resulting in the

sinking and expansion of the upper mixed layer and the thermocline zone. This can be attributed

to the wind-induced mixing offshore. On 15 August, when the upwelling became rather

prominent, the water temperature in the upper layers was 2 to 4 °C lower than the initial value at the south 4 transects, whereas transect 5 was hardly influenced. On 17 August, when the most significant change in the mean thermocline shape and location occurred, the surface temperature drop was as large as 10 °C, as shown by the distance between the red and blue line (Figure 12d). The post-peak relaxation of the thermocline was modeled on 24 August (Figure 12e).

Figure 12. Temperature profiles at the five selected transects on five selected days in August 2010. (The thin gray lines are produced from the grid points at each transect, and the heavy colored lines are the mean value in each plot.)

The heat content h_c is calculated by integrating the temperature over depths, i.e., $h_c = \rho c_p \int T dz$, where ρ is the water density (1000 kgm^{-3}), c_p is the specific heat, T is the water temperature (in °C), and z is the water depth. In Figure 12, the area between two points of concern on the thermocline projected perpendicularly to the horizontal axis roughly demonstrates the heat content divided by ρc_p . Thus, it is evident in Figure 12 that, as of 17 August, the mean heat content at transect 1 is less than its initial value on 4 August. This suggests the occurrence of advective/diffusive heat flux across this transect, since the total heat content at a transect does not change due to upwelling. Whereas the heat content at other transects are approximately unchanged from their initial values, indicating strong mixing instead. It suggests that the heat content in shallow areas is impacted more directly than in deep waters. Note that not all the locations in the same transect were impacted by upwelling, and the individual profiles to the right of the mean profile were those located in the weakly impacted areas. By 24 August, the

upwelling had vanished, leaving a post-event low temperature and the mean temperature profiles relaxed slightly back toward the initial position.

4.4 Currents

Figure 13 shows the daily averaged current fields, after low-pass filtering to remove the near-inertial oscillations, on the five chosen days. Since the inertial period ranges from 16 h to 16.5 h in Lake Superior, depending on the local latitude (Austin, 2013), a cutoff of 17 h is adopted in the filter. A particularly strong current was modeled on the west side of the Keweenaw Peninsula in mid-August, driven by strong shore-parallel wind with a peak current speed over 0.7 ms^{-1} . Similar current speeds were also reported by Niebauer et al. (1977). The surface current in the upwelling area, usually smaller than 0.1 ms^{-1} , was smaller than the rest of the lake. On 4 August, the surface currents were generally offshore to the south in the Apostle, Royale and Keweenaw Basins, and converged towards the Keweenaw Peninsula (Figure 13a). Surface currents are the smallest among the five selected days on 9 August, since wind almost ceased at that time (Figure 13c). On 15 August, surface currents were generally eastward, with a slight cyclonic bend (Figure 13e). Due to the strong winds in mid-August, the current flowed from the north shore to the south shore of the lake on 17 August (Figure 13g), resulting in a dramatic water temperature drop. A cyclonic pattern (Figure 13g) or a generally eastward current (Figure 13i) was modeled later when the wind gradually slowed down. Only on 9 August, when the wind temporarily ceased, was a northward onshore flow modeled. Otherwise, the currents in the Duluth Basin and the Apostle Basin were flowing either eastward or southward. Shown in the right column of Figure 13, the currents at a water depth of 30 m were substantially weaker, except for the Keweenaw Peninsula area and along the perimeter of the Keweenaw Basin, where the current speed was approximately 0.1 ms^{-1} most of the time.

Figure 13. Surface current (left column) and current at 30 m (right column) on five selected days from 4 August to 24 August 2010.

5 Discussions

5.1 Heat content and advection process during upwelling

The time-evolving temperature profile measured at the western, central and eastern moorings is shown in Figure 14, and the corresponding modeled results are shown in Figure 15. Since WM and CM are close to transects 5 and 3, respectively, their distance off the northwestern coast are also marked in the transect views in Figure 9 to Figure 11. A sudden temperature drop in the upper 10 m was first observed at WM on 15 August 2010, due to the arrival of the upwelling front (also Figure 10), after which the surface temperature remained low until August 20. A day later, the temperature dropped at CM as well, but the temperature decline was less than that at WM. Another 12 hours later, a similar temperature drop was observed at EM. Referring to results in the preceding sections, the temperature drop at WM is due to the arrival of the upwelling front (also Figure 7, Figure 10, and Figure 11), while that at CM and EM is the result of the vertical mixing due to the strong wind. The temperature evolution pattern is well reproduced by the model (Figure 15), but the time lag between EM and CM was larger than in observations.

Figure 14. Temperature profile measurements at the three mooring locations from 10 August to 20 August 2010.

Figure 15. Modeled temperature profile at the three mooring locations from 10 August to 20 August 2010.

The heat content calculated from measurements at the three moorings is shown in Figure 16 and can be used as indicator of upwelling extent in the lake. A significant drop in the heat content was seen on 15 August at WM, suggesting strong advection due to the arrival of the upwelling front. This was also supported by the current field, where advection of cold water from the west (Figure 13e) was modeled. On the other hand, heat content was gradually increasing at CM indicating the advection of warm water, which was confirmed in the current field (advection of warmer waters from the north and east) as seen in Figure 13g and Figure 13h. The easternmost mooring (EM), was not impacted by the upwelling event, exhibiting only weak fluctuations of heat content. Figure 17 shows the simulated heat content by the coupled model, with the above features captured well, although there are discrepancies in the magnitude.

Figure 16. The observed heat content calculated at the three mooring locations from 10 August to 20 August 2010; (a) Western Mooring; (b) Central Mooring; (c) Eastern Mooring.

Figure 17. The modeled heat content at the three mooring locations from 10 August to 20 August 2010.

5.2 Upwelling front displacement

When a wind impulse is strong enough to cause the surfacing of the thermocline, as usually seen in upwelling events, one subsequent question is how far it can move from the shoreline.

Knowledge of offshore thermocline displacement is important for a variety of processes from

physics (e.g., mixing is enhanced in unstratified conditions in full upwelling area) to chemistry and ecology (e.g., bottom layer is interacting directly with atmosphere impacting gas exchange). The offshore displacement itself is also a good indicator to measure the upwelling strength, since it is closely related to the wind stress. Csanady (1977, 1982) applied the potential vorticity equation to a two-layer model (above and below the thermocline) to study the offshore thermocline displacement induced by alongshore wind. For simplicity, the vorticity equation was applied to two layers, respectively, with an assumption of an evenly distributed wind stress imposed only as a short burst over the top layer, therefore zero curl of the wind stress is ensured (Csanady, 1982). Csanady (1977) demonstrated the very limited effect of this assumption on the solution. Csanady (1977, 1982) provided a simple analytical solution:

$$\mathbf{x}_0 = \frac{\mathbf{I}}{f h_t} \frac{h_b}{h_t + h_b} + \mathbf{R}_2, \quad (3)$$

where \mathbf{x}_0 is the offshore displacement of the thermocline, f is the Coriolis parameter, h_t and h_b are the depth of the top and bottom layer, respectively, $\mathbf{I} = \int \frac{\tau_y}{\rho} dt$ is the time-integral of the alongshore wind impulse, and \mathbf{R}_2 is the internal radius of deformation described by

$$\mathbf{R}_2 = f^{-1} \left(\frac{\epsilon g h_t h_b}{h_t + h_b} \right)^{1/2}, \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{x}_0, \quad (4)$$

in which τ_y is the alongshore wind stress, t is time, $\epsilon = \frac{\rho' - \rho}{\rho}$, ρ and ρ' is top and bottom layer water density, respectively, and g is the gravitational acceleration.

Taking transect 3 as an example, based on the thermal structures on 4 August, 15 August, and 17 August 2010 (see Figure 9, Figure 10, and Figure 11), we take the isotherm of **12 °C** as the interface between the top and bottom layer, which is a reasonable application of Csanady (1977)'s theory where the mid-thermocline location is taken as the interface. We have the total water depth as the average depth within 20 km from the coast as done by (Csanady, 1977), which

is 156.29 m for transect 3, and $g = 9.8 \text{ ms}^{-2}$, $\rho' = 999.50 \text{ kgm}^{-3}$, $\rho = 998.70 \text{ kgm}^{-3}$ according to the equation of state, and $f = 1.072 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$. We have $h_t = 19 \text{ m}$, $I = -28.58 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$, and $R_2 = 3.39 \text{ km}$ on 15 August, and $h_t = 16 \text{ m}$, $I = -51.76 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $R_2 = 3.14 \text{ km}$ on 17 August 2010. Note that the wind impulse is integrated from 2 August when surfacing tendency of the isotherm being 12°C was not as prominent as of 4 August (Figure 9). Applying them to the theoretical formula by Csanady (1977, 1982), the calculated offshore displacement of the thermocline on 15 August and 17 August is 9 km and 24 km, respectively. On 15 August, the modeled offshore displacement is 9 km, remarkably close to the calculated value. However, on 17 August, the modeled offshore displacement is approximately 25 km, roughly 1 km farther than the calculated value. Although many assumptions are implicated in the theory by Csanady (1977), its purpose to estimate the offshore displacement of the upwelling front is well served as examined by a more advanced numerical model. The simple relationship between the thermocline offshore displacement and the wind stress derived by Csanady (1977, 1982) is proven to be an efficient tool for estimation, especially when limited information is available for complicated calculations.

5.3 Alongshore wind and temperature change

The temperature decrease in the coastal zone is a signature feature of upwelling. Its association with alongshore wind u_a is studied using time series of wind measured at DISW3 (converted to wind stress using $\tau_y = C_d \rho_a |u_a| u_a$, where C_d is the drag coefficient, ρ_a is the air density) and the zone-averaged water temperature from the GLSEA charts (Figure 18). The drag coefficient is specified according to the Large & Pond (1981) approach. The area selected for temperature analysis is shown in Figure 1. The dramatic temperature drop from 15 August to 17 August 2010 in Figure 18b is concurrent with persistent alongshore wind (i.e., southwesterly wind) at DISW3

(Figure 18a). Surface temperature change derived from daily GLSEA data during the period of upwelling (August 4-28) versus daily wind stress is shown in Figure 19. The correlation coefficient r is as significant as -0.87, indicating a strong impact of the alongshore wind on the resulting temperature decrease. To explore this relationship further, a simple heat balance model was developed for the offshore transect (Figure 20).

Figure 18. (a) Wind velocities at DISW3 and (b) zone-averaged surface water temperature from the GLSEA charts from 4 August to 28 August 2010.

Figure 19. Upwelling-favorable alongshore wind stress at DISW3 versus time derivative of the zone-averaged surface water temperature from 4 August to 28 August 2010.

Alongshore wind stress drives a predictable cross-shelf transport in a surface Ekman layer, which is compensated by a deep return flow. Assuming that alongshore temperature gradients are weak, it does not drive any appreciable change in the heat content of the shelf. A simple heat balance can be derived:

$$A \frac{d\bar{T}}{dt} = -U(T + \Delta T) + UT, \quad (5)$$

Where t is time, \bar{T} is the temperature averaged over an area, A is the cross-sectional area (depth multiplied by offshore distance - we assume that along-shore variations are small and the problem reduces to a 2D slice), U is the transport in the upper layer, which by continuity must be balanced by a reciprocating onshore flow at depth of the same magnitude, T is the temperature at depth and ΔT is the temperature difference between the surface and the bottom layer. Note that this ignores surface heat fluxes and alongshore variability.

Figure 20. Schematic diagram of cross-shelf transport model.

The transport in a steady Ekman layer can be expressed as

$$U = \frac{\tau_y}{\rho f} \quad (6)$$

Combining Equations 5 and 6 yields:

$$\frac{d\bar{T}}{dt} = -\frac{\Delta T}{\rho f A} \tau_y, \quad (7)$$

indicating that the temperature change rate in an area is a function of the alongshore wind stress.

The fraction at the r.h.s. of this equation is the slope of the line in Figure 19. Using data presented in Figure 9, Figure 10 and Figure 11, the temperature difference at transects 2 and 3, which intersect the selected upwelling zone, is 12°C to 16°C. Taking $\Delta T = 14K$, $\rho = 1.0 \times 10^3 \text{ kgm}^{-3}$, $f = 1.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$, the mean water depth = 100 m, and the offshore distance

being $1.5 \times 10^4 \text{ m}$, this fraction, $-\frac{\Delta T}{\rho f A}$ equals to $-9.3 \times 10^{-5} \frac{K/s}{N/m^2}$ or $-8 \frac{K/day}{N/m^2}$.

The slope of the regression line in Figure 19 is $-15 \frac{K/day}{N/m^2}$, of a similar magnitude to the fraction derived by Equation 7 but somewhat larger. While a simple linear heat balance model was able to explain most of time rate of change in temperature measurements, this faster time rate of temperature change in observations is likely an indication of processes omitted in the simple model, such as surface heat fluxes and alongshore variability. In addition, the offshore wind component which was quite substantial during upwelling (Figure 5) can also contribute to coastal upwelling development (Csanady, 1977) thus enhancing the temperature decrease in the coastal zone.

6 Conclusions

A strong upwelling during summer 2010 in Lake Superior was investigated using a 3-D coupled ice-ocean model and observations. The modeled temperature was compared to the moored and satellite temperature measurements. Based on the investigation above, the following conclusions may be drawn:

- 1) A strong upwelling during 15 to 24 August, 2010, which reached a peak on 17 August was reproduced by the model. A 4-day long strong, persistent alongshore wind was the major driving force of this event. During the upwelling event, the modeled offshore flow in a surface Ekman layer was driven by the alongshore wind, while the compensation flow was mainly the subsurface onshore flow and the southwestward coastal current.
- 2) A cooling of as much as 10 °C observed along the northwestern shore was captured by the model. A linear correlation between the surface water temperature change and the alongshore wind stress was -0.87. A simple linear heat balance model was able to explain most of time rate of change in temperature measurements.
- 3) The heat content at the western mooring calculated from the measurements and the model shows a significant drop in mid-August, indicating the impact of upwelling while the central and eastern moorings did not exhibit such decrease in heat content and were not as strongly impacted by upwelling.
- 4) The simulated offshore displacement of the thermocline (upwelling front) was about 9 km on 15 August and 25 km on 17 August, while the estimation using the Csanady's theory is 9 km, and 24 km, respectively, indicating that, simple analytical model was able to capture the dynamics of the upwelling front movement offshore.

Acknowledgements: Moored observations were supported by National Science Foundation division of Ocean Sciences grant OCE-0825633. Y. Li received support from the China Scholarship Council of Ministry of Education of the People's Republic of China. This research was additionally funded by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science Competitive Research Program under award NA16NOS4780209 to the University of Michigan and NOAA GLERL. The authors thank Ms. Nicole Rice for editing this paper. For data access, the mooring observations are available at <http://hdl.handle.net/11299/214926>. Surface water temperature data can be accessed at ndbc.noaa.gov. Model output is available at the NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information (NCEI) at <https://data.nodc.noaa.gov/cgi-bin/iso?id=gov.noaa.nodc:0218215>.

References

- Anderson, E. J., Fujisaki-Manome, A., Kessler, J., Lang, G. A., Chu, P. Y., Kelley, J. G. W., Chen, Y., & Wang, J. (2018). Ice Forecasting in the Next-Generation Great Lakes Operational Forecast System (GLOFS). *Journal of Marine Science and Engineering*, 6(4), 123. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jmse6040123>
- Austin, J. (2013). Observations of near-inertial energy in Lake Superior. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 58(2), 715–728. <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2013.58.2.0715>
- Austin, J. A., & Barth, J. A. (2002). Variation in the position of the upwelling front on the Oregon shelf. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 107(C11), 1-1-1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JC000858>
- Austin, J. A., & Lentz, S. J. (2002). The Inner Shelf Response to Wind-Driven Upwelling and Downwelling. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 32(7), 2171–2193. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485\(2002\)032<2171:TISRTW>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485(2002)032<2171:TISRTW>2.0.CO;2)
- Austin, J., & Colman, S. (2008). A century of temperature variability in Lake Superior. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 53(6), 2724–2730. <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2008.53.6.2724>

- Ayers, J. C. (1956). A Dynamic Height Method for the Determination of Currents in Deep Lakes1. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 1(3), 150–161. <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1956.1.3.0150>
- Bai, X., Wang, J., Schwab, D. J., Yang, Y., Luo, L., Leshkevich, G. A., & Liu, S. (2013). Modeling 1993–2008 climatology of seasonal general circulation and thermal structure in the Great Lakes using FVCOM. *Ocean Modelling*, 65, 40–63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2013.02.003>
- Bai, P., Wang, J., Chu, P. et al. (2020). Modeling the ice-attenuated waves in the Great Lakes. *Ocean Dynamics*, 70, 991–1003. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10236-020-01379-z>
- Beletsky, D., Hawley, N., & Rao, Y. (2013). *Modeling summer circulation and thermal structure of Lake Erie*. 118, 6238– 6252. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JC008854>
- Beletsky, D., O'Connor, W. P., Schwab, D. J., & Dietrich, D. E. (1997). Numerical Simulation of Internal Kelvin Waves and Coastal Upwelling Fronts. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 27(7), 1197–1215. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485\(1997\)027<1197:NSOIKW>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485(1997)027<1197:NSOIKW>2.0.CO;2)
- Beletsky, D., Saylor, J. H., & Schwab, D. J. (1999). Mean Circulation in the Great Lakes. *Journal of Great Lakes Research*, 25(1), 78–93. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0380-1330\(99\)70718-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0380-1330(99)70718-5)
- Bennington, V., McKinley, G. A., Kimura, N., & Wu, C. H. (2010). General circulation of Lake Superior: Mean, variability, and trends from 1979 to 2006. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 115(C12). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JC006261>
- Brink, K. H. (2016). Cross-Shelf Exchange. *Annual Review of Marine Science*, 8(1), 59–78. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-010814-015717>
- Chen, C., Beardsley, R. C., Cowles, G., Qi, J., Lai, Z., Gao, G., Stuebe, D., Xu, Q., Xue, P., Ge, J., Hu, S., Ji, R., Tian, R., Huang, H., Wu, L., Lin, H., Sun, Y., & Zhao, L. (2013). *An Unstructured Grid, Finite-Volume Community Ocean Model FVCOM User Manual, SMAST/UMASSD-13-0701* (p. 416) [Tech Report]. Sch. for Mar. Sci. and Technol., Univ. of Mass. Dartmouth, New Bedford.
- Chen, C., Beardsley, R., & Cowles, G. (2006). An Unstructured-Grid Finite-Volume Coastal Ocean Model (FVCOM) System. *Oceanography*, 19, 78–89. <https://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2006.92>
- Chen, C., Liu, H., & Beardsley, R. C. (2003). An Unstructured Grid, Finite-Volume, Three-Dimensional, Primitive Equations Ocean Model: Application to Coastal Ocean and Estuaries. *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*, 20(1), 159–186. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0426\(2003\)020<0159:AUGFVT>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0426(2003)020<0159:AUGFVT>2.0.CO;2)

- Chen, C., Xu, Q., Ralph, E., Budd, J. W., & Lin, H. (2004). Response of Lake Superior to mesoscale wind forcing: A comparison between currents driven by QuikSCAT and buoy winds. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 109(C10). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JC001692>
- Chen, C., Zhu, J., Kang, K., Liu, H., Ralph, E., Green, S. A., & Budd, J. W. (2002). Cross-frontal transport along the Keweenaw coast in Lake Superior: A Lagrangian model study. *Dynamics of Atmospheres and Oceans*, 36(1), 83–102. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-0265\(02\)00026-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-0265(02)00026-X)
- Chen, C., Zhu, J., Ralph, E., Green, S. A., Budd, J. W., & Zhang, F. Y. (2001). Prognostic Modeling Studies of the Keweenaw Current in Lake Superior. Part I: Formation and Evolution. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 31(2), 379–395. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485\(2001\)031<0379:PMSOTK>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485(2001)031<0379:PMSOTK>2.0.CO;2)
- Csanady, G. T. (1977). Intermittent ‘full’ upwelling in Lake Ontario. *Journal of Geophysical Research (1896-1977)*, 82(3), 397–419. <https://doi.org/10.1029/JC082i003p00397>
- Csanady, G. T. (1982). *Circulation in the Coastal Ocean*. Springer Netherlands. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-1041-1>
- Fujisaki-Manome, A., & Wang, J. (2016). Simulating hydrodynamics and ice cover in Lake Erie using an unstructured grid model. *Proceedings of 23th IAHR International Symposium on Ice, Ann Arbor, Michigan, May 31-June 3, 2016*.
- Hu, H., & Wang, J. (2010). Modeling effects of tidal and wave mixing on circulation and thermohaline structures in the Bering Sea: Process studies. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 115(C1). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JC005175>
- Hunke, E. C., & Dukowicz, J. K. (1997). An Elastic–Viscous–Plastic Model for Sea Ice Dynamics. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 27(9), 1849–1867. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485\(1997\)027<1849:AEVPMF>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485(1997)027<1849:AEVPMF>2.0.CO;2)
- Jerlov, N. G. (1968). *Optical oceanography*. American Elsevier Publ. Co., Inc.
- Jerlov, N. G. (1976). *Marine Optics* (2nd ed., Vol. 14). Elsevier Science. <https://www.elsevier.com/books/marine-optics/jerlov/978-0-444-41490-8>
- Kirillin, G., & Shatwell, T. (2016). Generalized scaling of seasonal thermal stratification in lakes. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 161, 179–190. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2016.08.008>

- Large, W. G., & Pond, S. (1981). Open ocean momentum flux measurements in moderate to strong winds. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, *11*, 324-336.
- Large, W. G., & Pond, S. (1982). Sensible and Latent Heat Flux Measurements over the Ocean. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, *12*(5), 464-482. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485\(1982\)012<0464:SALHFM>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485(1982)012<0464:SALHFM>2.0.CO;2)
- Mellor, G., & Blumberg, A. (2004). Wave Breaking and Ocean Surface Layer Thermal Response. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, *34*(3), 693-698. <https://doi.org/10.1175/2517.1>
- Mellor, G. L. (2001). One-Dimensional, Ocean Surface Layer Modeling: A Problem and a Solution. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, *31*(3), 790-809. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485\(2001\)031<0790:ODOSLM>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485(2001)031<0790:ODOSLM>2.0.CO;2)
- Mellor, G. L., & Yamada, T. (1982). Development of a turbulence closure model for geophysical fluid problems. *Reviews of Geophysics*, *20*(4), 851-875. <https://doi.org/10.1029/RG020i004p00851>
- Mesinger, F., DiMego, G., Kalnay, E., Mitchell, K., Shafran, P. C., Ebisuzaki, W., Jović, D., Woollen, J., Rogers, E., Berbery, E. H., Ek, M. B., Fan, Y., Grumbine, R., Higgins, W., Li, H., Lin, Y., Manikin, G., Parrish, D., & Shi, W. (2006). North American Regional Reanalysis. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, *87*(3), 343-360. <https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-87-3-343>
- Monismith, S. (1986). An experimental study of the upwelling response of stratified reservoirs to surface shear stress. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, *171*, 407-439. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112086001507>
- Niebauer, H. J., Green, T., & Ragotzkie, R. A. (1977). Coastal Upwelling/Downwelling Cycles in Southern Lake Superior. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, *7*, 918. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485\(1977\)007<0918:CUCISL>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485(1977)007<0918:CUCISL>2.0.CO;2)
- Paulson, C. A., & Simpson, J. J. (1977). Irradiance Measurements in the Upper Ocean. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, *7*(6), 952-956. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485\(1977\)007<0952:IMITUO>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485(1977)007<0952:IMITUO>2.0.CO;2)
- Plattner, S., Mason, D. M., Leshkevich, G. A., Schwab, D. J., & Rutherford, E. S. (2006). Classifying and Forecasting Coastal Upwellings in Lake Michigan Using Satellite Derived Temperature Images and Buoy Data. *Journal of Great Lakes Research*, *32*(1), 63-76. [https://doi.org/10.3394/0380-1330\(2006\)32\[63:CAFCUI\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.3394/0380-1330(2006)32[63:CAFCUI]2.0.CO;2)

- Qiao, F., Yuan, Y., Yang, Y., Zheng, Q., Xia, C., & Ma, J. (2004). Wave-induced mixing in the upper ocean: Distribution and application to a global ocean circulation model. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *31*(11). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GL019824>
- Roberts, D.C., Egan, G.C., Forrest, A.L., Largier, J.L., Bombardelli, F.A., Laval, B.E., Monismith, S.G. and Schladow, G. (2020), The setup and relaxation of spring upwelling in a deep, rotationally influenced lake. *Limnol Oceanogr.* <https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.11673>
- Schladow, S. G., Pálmarrsson, S. Ó., Steissberg, T. E., Hook, S. J., & Prata, F. E. (2004). An extraordinary upwelling event in a deep thermally stratified lake. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *31*(15). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GL020392>
- Schwab, D. J., & Bedford, K. W. (1999). *The Great Lakes Forecasting System*. <https://doi.org/10.1029/ce056p0157>
- Schwab, D. J., Leshkevich, G. A., & Muhr, G. C. (1999). Automated Mapping of Surface Water Temperature in the Great Lakes. *Journal of Great Lakes Research*, *25*(3), 468–481. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0380-1330\(99\)70755-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0380-1330(99)70755-0)
- Simons, T., & Schertzer, W. (1987). Stratification, Currents, and Upwelling in Lake Ontario, Summer 1982. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, *44*, 2047–2058. <https://doi.org/10.1139/f87-254>
- Smagorinsky, J. (1963). General circulation experiments with the primitive equations. *Monthly Weather Review*, *91*(3), 99–164. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493\(1963\)091<0099:GCEWTP>2.3.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1963)091<0099:GCEWTP>2.3.CO;2)
- Smith, R. L. (1995). The physical processes of coastal ocean upwelling systems. *Upwelling in the Ocean: Modern Processes and Ancient Records*, C. P. Summerhayes et al., Eds., John Wiley and Sons, 39–64.
- Titze, D. J., & Austin, J. A. (2014). Winter thermal structure of Lake Superior. *Limnology and Oceanography*, *59*(4), 1336–1348. <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2014.59.4.1336>
- Wang, J. (1996). Global linear stability of the two-dimensional shallow-water equations: an application of the distributive theorem of roots for polynomials on the unit circle. *Monthly weather review*, *124*(6), 1301–1310.
- Wang, J., Ikeda, M. (1997a). Inertial stability and phase error of time integration schemes in ocean general circulation models. *Monthly weather review*, *125*(9), 2316–2327.
- Wang, J., & Ikeda, M. (1997b). Diagnosing ocean unstable baroclinic waves and meanders using the quasigeostrophic equations and Q-vector method. *Journal of physical oceanography*, *27*(6), 1158–1172.

White, B., Austin, J., & Matsumoto, K. (2012). A three-dimensional model of Lake Superior with ice and biogeochemistry. *Journal of Great Lakes Research*, 38(1), 61–71.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jglr.2011.12.006>

Wyrski, K. (1965). The average annual heat balance of the North Pacific Ocean and its relation to ocean circulation. *Journal of Geophysical Research (1896-1977)*, 70(18), 4547–4559.

<https://doi.org/10.1029/JZ070i018p04547>

Ye, X., Anderson, E. J., Chu, P. Y., Huang, C., & Xue, P. (2019). Impact of Water Mixing and Ice Formation on the Warming of Lake Superior: A Model-guided Mechanism Study. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 64(2), 558–574. <https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.11059>

Yuan, Y., Qiao, F., Hua, F., & Wan, Z. (1999). Yuan, Y., Qiao, F., Hua, F., Wan, Z. *The development of a coastal circulation numerical model. 1. Wave-induced mixing and wave-current interaction. (In Chinese with English abstract)*. 14, 1–8.

Zhu, J., Chen, C., Ralph, E., Green, S. A., Budd, J. W., & Zhang, F. Y. (2001). Prognostic Modeling Studies of the Keweenaw Current in Lake Superior. Part II: Simulation. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 31(2), 396–410. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485\(2001\)031<0396:PMSOTK>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485(2001)031<0396:PMSOTK>2.0.CO;2)







































