1						
2	PROF. JORDI GARGALLO-ALBIOL (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-9307-8258)					
3	MR. OSCAR SALOMÓ-COLL (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-5883-1363)					
4	PROF. HOM-LAY WANG (Orcid ID : 0000-0003-4238-1799)					
5						
6						
7	Article type : Original Research					
8						
9						
10	Intra-osseous heat generation during implant bed preparation with static navigation: Multi-factor in					
11	vitro study					
12	\mathbf{C}					
13	Running head (abbreviated title)					
14	Intra-osseous heat generation during bone drilling					
15						
16	Authors					
17						
18	Jordi Gargallo-Albiol DDS, MS, PhD. Associate Professor. Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery Department.					
19	Universitat Internacional de Catalunya. C/ Josep Trueta s/n, 08195 - Barcelona, Spain. Adjunct Clinical					
20	Assistant Professor of the Department of Periodontics and Oral Medicine, University of Michigan School of					
21	Dentistry, Ann Arbor, MI, USA.					
22	Oscar Salomó-Coll DDS, MS, PhD. Assistant Professor of the International Master in Oral Surgery. Oral and					
23	Maxillofacial Surgery Department. Universitat Internacional de Catalunya. C/ Josep Trueta s/n, 08195 -					
24	Barcelona, Spain.					
25						
26	Naroa Lozano-Carrascal DDS, MS, PhD. Assistant Professor of the International Master in Oral Surgery.					
27	Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery Department. Universitat Internacional de Catalunya. C/ Josep Trueta s/n,					
28	08195 - Barcelona, Spain.					
29						
30	Hom-Lay Wang DDS, MS, PhD. Professor and Director of Graduate Periodontics, Department of					
31	Periodontics and Oral Medicine, University of Michigan School of Dentistry, 1011 N University Ave, Ann					
32	Arbor, MI 48109, USA.					
33						
	This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not					

been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the <u>Version of Record</u>. Please cite this article as <u>doi:</u> <u>10.1111/CLR.13728</u>

34	Federico Hernández-Alfaro. Full Professor and Chief Department of Oral & Maxillofacial Surgery.				
35	Universitat Internacional de Catalunya. C/ Josep Trueta s/n, 08195 - Barcelona, Spain.				
36					
37					
38					
39	Corresponding author:				
40	Jordi Gargallo-Albiol DDS, MS, PhD. Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery Department				
41	Universitat Internacional de Catalunya. C/ Josep Trueta s/n, 08195 - Sant Cugat del Vallès,				
42	Barcelona, Spain. Tel. +34 94 504 20 00. E-mail: jgargallo@uic.es				
43					
44	()				
45	Conflicts of interest and sources of funding:				
46	The authors declare that they have no financial interests in the products or information listed in the paper.				
47	This study was partially supported by the University of Michigan Periodontal Graduate Student Research				
48	Fund and the Universitat Internacional de Catalunya.				
49					
50					
51	Author contribution statement:				
52					
53	J.G-A: Drafting of the article, critical revision, approval of the submitted and final versions.				
54	O.S-C: Data collection, drafting of the article, approval of the submitted and final versions.				
55	N.L-C: Data collection, drafting of the article, approval of the submitted and final versions.				
56	H-L.W: Drafting of the article, critical revision, approval of the submitted and final versions.				
57	F.H-A: Drafting of the article, critical revision, approval of the submitted and final versions.				
58					
59	Abstract				
60	Objectives: To compare the intra-osseous temperature reached during bone drilling for dental implant				
61	placement using open versus closed static surgical guides and evaluate the influence of bone density,				
62	osteotomy drilling depth, and irrigation fluid temperature.				
63	Material and methods: 960 osteotomies were performed with 2 mm pilot drills in 16 solid rigid				
64	polyurethane foam blocks. Two main variables were considered: the guide type (open or closed guide) and				
65	bone density (hard (D1) or soft (D4). The blocks were divided into four groups according to the type of				
66	surgical template and bone density as follows: group one: closed guide and hard bone; group two: open guide				
67	and hard bone; group three: closed guide and soft bone; and group four: open guide and soft bone. A				

68 combination of different experimental conditions was used, including different bone osteotomy depths (6 or

69 13 mm) and irrigation fluid temperatures (5 °C or 21 °C).

- **Results**: The highest mean temperature was found in group one (28.29±4.02 °C). In the soft bone groups (three and four), the mean maximum temperature decreased compared to groups one and two (dense bone) and was always higher with closed guides (23.38±1.92 °C) compared to open guides (21.97±1.22 °C) (p<0.001). The osteotomy depth and irrigation fluid temperature also significantly influenced the bone temperature (p<0.001), especially in hard bone.</p>
- 75 Conclusions: The greatest heat generation was observed in high-density bone. The final intra-bone 76 temperature was about 1 °C higher with a closed static surgical guide than with an open guide. The heat 77 generation in osteotomy sites was substantially reduced by cooling the irrigation fluid to 5 °C.
- 78
- 79 Keywords: Computer-aided surgery, Computer-assisted surgery, Dental implants, Osteotomy, Bone tissue.
- 80

81 Introduction

11 B

82 Adequate three-dimensional (3D) positioning of dental implants has been revealed as a key factor for 83 aesthetic outcomes, easy maintenance, stability of peri-implant soft and hard tissues, and long-term success (Buser, Martin, & Belser, 2004; D'Haese, Ackhurst, Wismeijer, De Bruyn, & Tahmaseb, 2017; Linkevicius, 84 Puisys, Vindasiute, Linkeviciene, & Apse, 2013; Tarnow, Cho, & Wallace, 2000). Guided surgery has been 85 widely applied to achieve ideal 3D implant positioning (Bover-Ramos, Viña-Almunia, Cervera-Ballester, 86 Peñarrocha-Diago, & García-Mira, 2018; Colombo et al., 2017; Moraschini, Velloso, Luz, & Barboza, 2015; 87 Raico Gallardo et al., 2017; Schneider, Marquardt, Zwahlen, & Jung, 2009) as it can reduce human error 88 (Amorfini, Migliorati, Drago, & Silvestrini-Biavati, 2017). Freehand implant surgery is less accurate in 89 90 transferring 3D pre-surgical planning to the patient than guided surgery (Farley, Kennedy, McGlumphy, & Clelland, 2013; Pozzi, Tallarico, Marchetti, Scarfò, & Esposito, 2014; Vercruyssen et al., 2015; Younes et 91 92 al., 2018). The application of static surgical templates for bone drilling and implant placement is a popular implant surgery navigation system. Closed or open static implant guides are currently available. The open 93 guide has an open access located on the buccal side of the template that allows a buccal view of the surgical 94 95 field and better contact of the irrigation fluid with the implant drill. However, this open design may affect the 96 stent stability during drilling (Fauroux, De Boutray, Malthiéry, & Torres, 2018). In contrast, closed guides 97 tend to cover the entire surgical field and do not allow for direct visualization of the surrounding soft and hard tissues. In addition, closed guides limit irrigation, which is usually used to cool down the temperature of 98 99 the drill. Consequently, it has been shown that the use of a closed surgical guide for implant site preparation instead of a surgical template generates more heat (Liu, Wu, Zhang, Peng, & Liao, 2018; Misir, Sumer, 100 101 Yenisey, & Ergioglu, 2009).

102

Thermal changes during bone drilling can cause bone alterations such as osteonecrosis (ON), which is defined as the *in situ* loss of a bone segment and is characterized by avascular necrosis of the bone (Bolland, Hood, Bastin, King, & Grey, 2004). Thermally induced osteonecrosis is a traumatic type of necrosis caused by high temperatures that can result in micro-damage to the osteocytes with cell apoptosis, followed by osteoclast activation and bone resorption (Augustin et al., 2012; Jeong et al., 2014; Noble, 2003). Therefore,

bone temperature monitoring during osteotomy drilling using thermocouple methods has been used to
prevent implant integration complications (Delgado-Ruiz et al., 2018; Gehrke et al., 2018; Jeong et al., 2014;
Liu et al., 2018; Misir et al., 2009; Möhlhenrich, Modabber, Steiner, Mitchell, & Hölzle, 2015; Sumer,
Keskiner, Mercan, Misir, & Cankaya, 2014).

112

In summary, static navigation for implant bed preparation appears to generate greater internal bone heat than using a freehand technique. Open static surgical guides seem to reduce heat generation compared to closed guides because there is more contact between the irrigation fluid and drill. Consequently, the influence of surgical guide designs and other factors such as bone density, irrigation fluid temperature, and osteotomy depth must be considered when planning guided implant surgery procedures (Raico Gallardo et al., 2017; Younes et al., 2018)

119

This *in vitro* study aimed to evaluate the maximum bone temperature reached during implant bed preparation using two different types of surgical guides (open and closed) and assess the influence of bone density, osteotomy drilling depth, and irrigation fluid temperature on the bone thermal changes.

123

124 Material and methods

125 Study design

126 The present *in vitro* study was carried out on eight solid rigid polyurethane foam blocks measuring 130 x 160 127 x 200 mm in size and distributed into four blocks simulating type I bone (D1) and four blocks simulating 128 type IV bone (D4) according to the bone density classification of Misch and Degidi (Misch & Degidi, 2003) (Sawbones, Pacific Research Laboratories, USA). Each bone block was then cut into ten smaller pieces, 129 resulting in 40 smaller blocks of D1 and D4 density, respectively, measuring 130 x 16 x 200 mm in size. To 130 allow for more osteotomies, each block was drilled on both sides. The D1 and D4 foam blocks' 131 characteristics were a density, compressive strength, and compressive modulus of 0.08 g/cm³ and 0.48 g/cm³; 132 0.6 MPa and 18 MPa; and 16 MPa and 445 MPa, respectively. This study was approved by the Research 133 Ethics Committee of the Universitat Internacional de Catalunya (Ref. CIR-ELM-2017-02). 134

135

In partially edentulous patients, canine, premolar, and molar acrylic resin teeth were inserted in the blocks and fixed with triad gel (TriadGel® Dentsply Sirona, USA) to simulate the remaining teeth for better guiding stabilization. A space was left between the inserted simulated teeth to allow for six osteotomies per block, resulting in a total of 960 osteotomies.

140

The blocks were divided into four groups according to the type of surgical template (open or closed guide) and bone density: group one: closed guide and hard bone (D1); group two: open guide and hard bone (D1); group three: closed guide and soft bone (D4); and group four: open guide and soft bone (D4).

144

The closed guide (Figure 1) covered the entire surgical field and did not allow for the visualization of the simulated bone during the drilling sequence. In contrast, the open guide (Figure 2) had an open access located on the buccal side of the template that offered buccal visibility of the surgical field, direct visual control of the bone drilling, and better contact with the irrigation fluid.

- 149 The blocks in each group were subjected to four different experimental conditions, combining two factors
- 150 with two magnitudes each: 1) the depth of the bone osteotomy (6 or 13 mm), and 2) the temperature of the

151 irrigation fluid (fridge temperature 5 °C or room temperature 21 °C).

- 152 Figure 3 shows the distribution of the samples, specifying the number of blocks (n), number of osteotomies
- 153 (m), and number of temperature measurements (k).
- 154

155 Surgical templates

The solid blocks with the inserted acrylic resin teeth were digitally scanned (REV 100, Optical Reveng Dental, 3DBiotech®, Spain). The scanned image of each block was reconstructed with built-in software and analyzed on a desktop computer with specialized implant planning software (Limaguide V01.2, Innovación Dental®, Spain). The surgical templates were designed and finally printed with a 3D printer (M300, Zortrax®, Poland) according to the design involved (open or closed). Each surgical template provided six osteotomy drilling sites, prepared with six open or closed plastic sleeves to allocate 2 mm diameter drills.

162

163 **Drilling procedure**

A specially designed machine with an attached implant motor that could produce a continuous drilling movement with a predetermined position and load was used. The device was coupled to a 20:1 reduction speed handpiece with a predetermined load of 2 kg (SurgicPro, NSK Nakanishi Inc., Japan). A thermometer with two thermocouples (DEM 106, Velleman®, Belgium) was added to the block to register the maximum temperature reached during the osteotomies (Figure 4).

Two different 2 mm diameter drills (Limaguide V01.2, Innovación Dental®, Spain), with mechanical stoppers at 6 mm and 13 mm, respectively, were used to perform the osteotomies through the surgical guide to ensure the correct depth and minimize any human errors (LimaGuide system, Barcelona, Spain). The drill speed was kept at 900 rpm constantly. Each set of drills was only used for five osteotomies to prevent drill wear from influencing the results.

174

Saline solution (Braun, GmbH, Germany) was continually used at 50 ml/min, at two different temperatures:
room temperature (21 °C) and cooled in the fridge (5 °C). The saline solution's temperature was recorded
every 5 min and was replaced if the temperature changed by 1 °C.

178

179 Data retrieval

180 For the temperature measurements, two type K thermocouple devices were coupled to a digital thermometer

181 with an accuracy of 0.1 °C and inserted into two holes placed at each side of the osteotomy, 1 mm lateral to

182 the osteotomy level (6 and 13 mm, respectively). The maximum temperature obtained (in °C) in each

183 perforation drilling procedure in the two positions (buccal and lingual) was the primary variable of the study.

The temperatures were recorded in a Microsoft Excel Office® 2011 spreadsheet (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, USA). We waited until the temperature had returned to baseline (21 °C) before commencing the

186 next drilling procedure after each bone perforation.

187

188 Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was performed using Statgraphics®Plus version 5.1 (Statpoint Technologies, Inc. 189 Virginia, USA). A descriptive analysis of the different variables was made. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test 190 showed that the temperature data exhibited a non-normal distribution. However, the large sample size meant 191 that a parametric approach could be used. Regarding the inferential analysis, linear models of the generalized 192 estimation equations (GEE) were used, based on the hierarchical design of the observations (two 193 measurements per bed and six beds per block). The dependent variable was always the maximum 194 temperature reached, and the mean was compared for different levels of the independent factors. The chi-195 squared statistic of Wald was considered for the significance of the factors, with a significance level of 5% 196 197 ($\alpha = 0.05$). Multiple comparisons were corrected according to Bonferroni's criteria.

198

199 **Results**

200 Bone temperature assessment

The maximum drilling temperature was reached in group one, with a mean value of 28.29±4.02 °C, 201 approximately 1 °C above the value recorded in group one (27.16±3.39 °C). The mean maximum 202 203 temperature was lower in the soft bone groups (groups three and four) than in the hard bone groups (groups one and two). Furthermore, the mean maximum temperature was always higher with a closed guide than 204 with an open guide (23.38±1.92 °C vs. 21.97±1.22 °C). Table 1 details the temperatures reached in each 205 group. The mean maximum temperature was not equal in the four groups (p < 0.001). Bonferroni's multiple 206 207 comparison test showed that there were significant differences between any two of the groups. The detailed results are listed in Table 2. 208

209

210 Influence of bone density

211 Bone density was found to introduce great variability in heat generation, as seen in Figure 5. The effect of bone type on temperature was the same regardless of whether a closed or open guide was used. Linear 212 models of GEE were established. First, in hard bone (D1), the temperature was significantly higher (p 213 <0.001). Second, the temperature was significantly higher with a closed guide (p <0.001). Third, the effect of 214 215 bone type did not appear to be influenced by the type of template (p = 0.436). Fourth, the effect of the type of guide did not appear to be influenced by the type of bone density (p = 0.436). However, the chi-squared 216 217 statistic was much greater for the bone factor than the guide factor, implying that the observed variability 218 was mostly due to bone density. The detailed results are presented in Table 2.

219

220 The maximum threshold temperature of \geq 47 °C, as described by Eriksson and Albrektsson (1983, 1984),

was only reached in the hard bone groups. In contrast, the 47 °C threshold was never reached in the soft bone groups.

223

224 Influence of osteotomy drilling depth and cooling irrigation

Apart from the observation that the combination of hard bone (D1) and closed guide yielded the highest temperatures (p < 0.001), the 13 mm drilling depth in groups one and two (dense bone) was associated with higher mean temperatures than with a 6 mm drilling depth. In contrast, in group three, the mean temperature at a depth of 6 mm was higher than at 13 mm. Besides, in group four, no difference was observed in the mean temperature between osteotomy depths (Tables 1 and 2).

230

Lastly, the irrigation fluid temperature also significantly influenced the heat generated during bone drilling (p <0.001). Chilled fluid at 5 °C reduced the temperature peaks for all groups to similar degrees, which was not the case with room temperature irrigation (Table 1). For the 6 mm drilling depth, the reduction of the bone temperature in relation to bone density and irrigation fluid temperature proved to be more linear across the four groups (Figure 6). The detailed results are shown in Table 2.

236

Most importantly, maximum temperatures above the threshold of 47 °C (Eriksson & Albrektsson, 1983; Eriksson & Albrektsson, 1984) were only observed in the high-density bone groups when room temperature irrigation was used. The maximum temperatures never reached the 47 °C threshold when chilled irrigation fluid was used.

241

242 **Discussion**

Our findings indicate that high-density bone and closed surgical guides contribute to increased intra-osseous temperature during bone drilling compared to low-density bone and open guides. Furthermore, high-density bone and greater drilling depths lead to increased heat generation. Using chilled irrigation fluid helps to reduce heat generation and avoid bone temperatures above the 47 °C threshold.

247

Several factors have been reported to influence bone temperature during bone drilling, including bone density, cortical bone thickness, aspects related to bone drilling parameters, different surgical guides, and irrigation fluid temperature (Augustin et al., 2012; Boa et al., 2016; Bolland et al., 2004; Delgado-Ruiz et al., 2018; Jeong et al., 2014; Lee, Gozen, & Ozdoganlar, 2012).

252

Bone density has been previously reported as the primary influencing factor behind increased temperature variability (Karaca, Aksakal, & Kom, 2011). It has been argued that the duration of bone drilling depends on the hardness of cortical bone, which correlates to bone mineral density, and these parameters are closely related to increased bone temperature (Karaca et al., 2011). Furthermore, the present study found that the depth of the bone preparation was seen to significantly influence the bone temperature reached in hard

- density bone, in agreement with Misir et al. (Misir et al., 2009). They recorded an increase in heat generation associated with deeper osteotomy drillings (3, 6, and 9 mm). However, they did not take bone density into account. Our study did not find a significant increase in the intra-bone temperature associated with deeper osteotomies in soft bone. Interestingly, the mean bone temperature was higher at a depth of 6 mm than at 13 mm. This may be due to the short duration of the shallow drilling procedure in soft bone and the lesser effect of the irrigation fluid over this short period.
- 264

The use of surgical templates to achieve better results in implant positioning has several shortcomings. 265 Surgical templates can reduce the surgeon's visibility and prevent the irrigation fluid from cooling the drill 266 during bone preparation, thereby generating more heat than with freehand implant placement surgery 267 (Fauroux et al., 2018; Misir et al., 2009). However, not all surgical template designs have the same effect in 268 terms of bone temperature changes (Amorfini et al., 2017; Farley et al., 2013; Pozzi et al., 2014; Younes et 269 al., 2018). An open guide design enhances surgical visibility and allows for the proper irrigation of the drills 270 271 while transferring the pre-surgical implant positioning planning to the surgical sites (Fauroux et al., 2018). In 272 the present study, open surgical guides reduced the bone temperature during drilling by approximately 1 °C compared to closed guides in hard and soft density bone. Nevertheless, this degree of difference did not 273 imply a variation that exceeded the recommended temperature limit. 274

275

The mean temperature reached in our study was far from the temperature threshold of 47 °C reported by Eriksson and Albrektsson in 1983 and 1984 (Eriksson & Albrektsson, 1983; Eriksson & Albrektsson, 1984), and is in agreement with the observations of Di Fiore, Sivolella, Stocco, Favero and Stellini (2018).

279

Nonetheless, this study revealed that bone temperatures above 47° C during implant bed preparation might be 280 281 reached in high-density bone when room temperature irrigation fluid is used. This finding highlights the 282 importance of using a chilled irrigation fluid to avoid excess intra-bone temperatures. Irrigation during bone drilling has two main functions, that is, to reduce the bone temperature (Liu et al., 2018) and enhance the 283 removal of bone debris (Augustin et al., 2012). Boa et al. found that external irrigation during flapless guided 284 285 surgery could reduce 50% of the bone temperature generated compared to non-irrigation (Boa et al., 2015). 286 Interestingly, studies involving implant static navigation surgery have reported no differences in terms of the bone temperature reached between continuous and intermittent drilling (Di Fiore et al., 2018) or between 287 flapless and flap surgery (Jeong et al., 2014). Furthermore, according to the results of Boa et al. (2016) and 288 Di Fiore et al. (2018), the use of pre-cooled irrigation fluid (10 °C and 6 °C, respectively) is recommended to 289 290 control bone temperature, especially when using a closed guide. This is in agreement with our findings, where chilled irrigation fluid (5 °C) reduced the intra-bone temperature compared to room temperature 291 irrigation fluid (21 °C) and prevented intra-bone temperatures from rising above 47° C during implant bed 292 293 preparations in high-density bone.

294

295 This study has several limitations. Polyurethane foam blocks (Möhlhenrich et al., 2015) may differ from living bone in quality and elasticity. However, they have been found to have physical and mechanical 296 297 characteristics similar to cortical and cancellous bone and are easy to standardize to different bone densities. Nevertheless, the results obtained could differ from those recorded in clinical practice due to differences 298 299 between patients (Vilani, Ruellas, Mattos, Fernandes, & Elias, 2015). Additionally, to standardize this study, 300 the drilling speed and drill diameter were not modified throughout the investigation, and only external 301 irrigation was employed. The use of other conditions could have yielded different results. Drilling parameters such as the drill design (e.g., helix angle, chisel edges, helical or straight flutes), drill diameter, 302 and the type of material have all been shown to influence bone temperature during drilling (Matthews & 303 Hirsch, 1972). Moreover, drill wear and sterilization cycles leading to drill dullness also contribute to heat 304 production (Matthews & Hirsch, 1972; Möhlhenrich et al., 2015). Additionally, drilling speed, the torque 305 applied, and the depth of the preparation have all been shown to modify bone temperature during bone 306 drilling (Cordioli & Majzoub, 1997; Matthews & Hirsch, 1972). Delgado-Ruiz et al. (Delgado-Ruiz et al., 307 2018) reported significantly lower temperatures when drilling at a slow-speed (50 rpm; 22.11 \pm 0.8 °C) 308 309 compared to the drilling speeds of 150 rpm (24.75 \pm 1.1 °C) and 300 rpm (25.977 \pm 1.2 °C). Furthermore, the bone temperatures in cortical bone were found to be significantly higher while working at higher speeds. 310 No irrigation with lower speeds was revealed to avoid excessive heat generation (Salomó-Coll et al., 2020). 311 312 Salomó-Coll et al. (2020) also revealed that the mean temperatures attained when using 2 or 3.5 mm 313 diameter drills were similar, indicating little variability with different drill diameters under similar conditions 314 (Salomó-Coll et al., 2020). This contrasts with other studies that showed that a decrease in drill diameter is 315 related to an elevation in bone temperature due to increased energy transfer to the bone (Boa et al., 2016; Matthews & Hirsch, 1972). 316

Furthermore, internal or double irrigation systems were not used. However, their benefits remain controversial. Boa et al. (2016) described that double irrigation during osteotomies could reduce the heat generated in the bone. Misir et al. (2009) observed no differences in bone heat generation with external or external and internal irrigation during implant osteotomies with surgical guides. For standardization purposes, external irrigation was selected in the present study to achieve clear results and more specific clinical guidance for this commonly used irrigation system.

323

Further studies should involve an upgraded mechanism to assess the intra-bone temperature during implant bed preparations. For example, a device that does not require the insertion of thermocouple electrodes inside the apical zone of the bone preparations would be preferable.

327

When interpreting the results of this study, it is important to recognize that the mean maximum temperature reached the 47 °C threshold in none of the tested scenarios. However, when looking at the maximum values measured in each group, a bone temperature of >47 °C was reached in several samples when irrigating with

room temperature fluid in dense bone sites. Using a chilled irrigation fluid (5° C) was found to be effective in

maintaining the intra-bone temperature below the 47 °C threshold.

334 Conclusions

Within the limitations of this *in vitro* study, it can be concluded that in high-density bone (D1), a closed guide for a deep ostectomy will lead to the highest intra-bone temperatures. With a closed static surgical guide, the final intra-bone temperature was about 1 °C higher than with an open guide. The osteotomy depth significantly affected the maximum temperature reached in high-density bone samples, especially when room temperature irrigation fluid was used. This study also confirmed the value of using chilled irrigation fluid (~5 °C) to avoid heat generation above 47 °C in dense bone.

341

333

- 342
- 343 **References**
- 344

Amorfini, L., Migliorati, M., Drago, S., & Silvestrini-Biavati, A. (2017). Immediately Loaded Implants in
 Rehabilitation of the Maxilla: A two-year Randomized Clinical Trial of Guided Surgery versus
 Standard Procedure. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res*, 19(2), 280-295. doi:10.1111/cid.12459

- Augustin, G., Zigman, T., Davila, S., Udilljak, T., Staroveski, T., Brezak, D., & Babic, S. (2012). Cortical bone
 drilling and thermal osteonecrosis. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon), 27*(4), 313-325. doi:
 10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2011.10.010
- Boa, K., Barrak, I., Varga, E., Jr, Joob-Fancsaly, A., Varga, E., & Piffko, J. (2016). Intraosseous generation of
 heat during guided surgical drilling: an ex vivo study of the effect of the temperature of the
 irrigating fluid. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg*, 54(8), 904-908. doi: 10.1016/j.bjoms.2016.06.004
- Boa, K., Varga, E., Jr, Pinter, G., Csonka, A., Gargyan, I., & Varga, E. (2015). External cooling efficiently
 controls intraosseous temperature rise caused by drilling in a drilling guide system: an in vitro
 study. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg, 53(10), 963-967. doi: 10.1016/j.bjoms.2015.07.013
- Bolland, M. J., Hood, G., Bastin, S. T., King, A. R., & Grey, A. (2004). Bilateral femoral head osteonecrosis
 after septic shock and multiorgan failure. *J Bone Miner Res*, 19(3), 517-520.
 doi:10.1359/JBMR.0301250
- Bover-Ramos, F., Viña-Almunia, J., Cervera-Ballester, J., Peñarrocha-Diago, M., & García-Mira, B. (2018).
 Accuracy of implant placement with computer-guided surgery: A systematic review and meta analysis comparing cadaver, clinical, and in vitro studies. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants*, 33(1), 101–
 115. doi:10.11607/jomi.5556
- Buser, D., Martin, W., & Belser, U. C. (2004). Optimizing esthetics for implant restorations in the anterior
 maxilla: anatomic and surgical considerations. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants*, 19, Suppl, 43-61
- Colombo, M., Mangano, C., Mijiritsky, E., Krebs, M., Hauschild, U., & Fortin, T. (2017). Clinical applications
 and effectiveness of guided implant surgery: a critical review based on randomized controlled
 trials. *BMC Oral Health*, 17(1), 150. doi:10.1186/s12903-017-0441-y

- Cordioli, G., & Majzoub, Z. (1997). Heat generation during implant site preparation: an in vitro study. Int J
 Oral Maxillofac Implants, 12(2), 186-193
- D'Haese, J., Ackhurst, J., Wismeijer, D., De Bruyn, H., & Tahmaseb, A. (2017). Current state of the art of
 computer-guided implant surgery. *Periodontol 2000*, 73(1), 121-133. doi:10.1111/prd.12175
- Delgado-Ruiz, R. A., Velasco Ortega, E., Romanos, G. E., Gerhke, S., Newen, I., & Calvo-Guirado, J. L.
 (2018). Slow drilling speeds for single-drill implant bed preparation. Experimental in vitro study.
 Clin Oral Investig, 22(1), 349-359. doi:10.1007/s00784-017-2119-x
- Di Fiore, A., Sivolella, S., Stocco, E., Favero, V., & Stellini, E. (2018). Experimental analysis of temperature
 differences during implant site preparation: continuous drilling technique versus intermittent
 drilling technique. *J Oral Implantol*, 44(1), 46-50. doi:10.1563/aaid-joi-D-17-00077
- Eriksson, A. R., & Albrektsson, T. (1983). Temperature threshold levels for heat-induced bone tissue injury:
 a vital-microscopic study in the rabbit. *J Prosthet Dent*, 50(1), 101-107
- Eriksson, R. A., & Albrektsson, T. (1984). The effect of heat on bone regeneration: an experimental study in
 the rabbit using the bone growth chamber. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*, 42(11), 705-711
- Farley, N. E., Kennedy, K., McGlumphy, E. A., & Clelland, N. L. (2013). Split-mouth comparison of the
 accuracy of computer-generated and conventional surgical guides. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants*,
 28(2), 563-572. doi:10.11607/jomi.3025
- Fauroux, M. A., De Boutray, M., Malthiéry, E., & Torres, J. H. (2018). New innovative method relating
 guided surgery to dental implant placement. *J Stomatol Oral Maxillofac Surg*, 119(3), 249-253. doi:
 10.1016/j.jormas.2018.02.002
- Gehrke, S. A., Aramburú Júnior, J. S., Pérez-Albacete Martínez, C., Ramirez Fernandez, M. P., Maté
 Sánchez de Val, J. E., & Calvo-Guirado, J. L. (2018). The influence of drill length and irrigation
 system on heat production during osteotomy preparation for dental implants: an ex vivo study.
 Clin Oral Implants Res, 29(7), 772-778. doi:10.1111/clr.12827
- Jeong, S. M., Yoo, J. H., Fang, Y., Choi, B. H., Son, J. S., & Oh, J. H. (2014). The effect of guided flapless
 implant procedure on heat generation from implant drilling. *J Craniomaxillofac Surg*, *42*(6), 725 729. doi: 10.1016/j.jcms.2013.11.002
- Karaca, F., Aksakal, B., & Kom, M. (2011). Influence of orthopaedic drilling parameters on temperature and
 histopathology of bovine tibia: an in vitro study. *Med Eng Phys*, 33(10), 1221-1227. doi:
 10.1016/j.medengphy.2011.05.013
- Lee, J., Gozen, B. A., & Ozdoganlar, O. B. (2012). Modeling and experimentation of bone drilling forces. J
 Biomech, 45(6), 1076-1083. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiomech.2011.12.012
- Linkevicius, T., Puisys, A., Vindasiute, E., Linkeviciene, L., & Apse, P. (2013). Does residual cement around
 implant-supported restorations cause peri-implant disease? A retrospective case analysis. *Clin Oral Implants Res, 24*(11), 1179-1184. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0501.2012.02570.x

- Liu, Y. F., Wu, J. L., Zhang, J. X., Peng, W., & Liao, W. Q. (2018). Numerical and experimental analyses on
 the temperature distribution in the dental implant preparation area when using a surgical guide. J
 Prosthodont, 27(1), 42-51. doi:10.1111/jopr.12488
- 407 Matthews, L. S., & Hirsch, C. (1972). Temperatures measured in human cortical bone when drilling. *J Bone* 408 *Joint Surg Am*, 54(2), 297-308
- Misch, C. E., & Degidi, M. (2003). Five-year prospective study of immediate/early loading of fixed
 prostheses in completely edentulous jaws with a bone quality-based implant system. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res*, 5(1), 17-28
- Misir, A. F., Sumer, M., Yenisey, M., & Ergioglu, E. (2009). Effect of surgical drill guide on heat generated
 from implant drilling. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*, 67(12), 2663-2668. doi: 10.1016/j.joms.2009.07.056
- Möhlhenrich, S. C., Modabber, A., Steiner, T., Mitchell, D. A., & Hölzle, F. (2015). Heat generation and drill
 wear during dental implant site preparation: systematic review. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg*, *53*(8),
 679-689. doi: 10.1016/j.bjoms.2015.05.004
- Moraschini, V., Velloso, G., Luz, D., & Barboza, E. P. (2015). Implant survival rates, marginal bone level
 changes, and complications in full-mouth rehabilitation with flapless computer-guided surgery: a
 systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg*, 44(7), 892-901. doi:
 10.1016/j.ijom.2015.02.013
- Noble, B. (2003). Bone microdamage and cell apoptosis. *Eur Cell Mater*, *6*, 46-55; discussion 55; discussion
 55
- Pozzi, A., Tallarico, M., Marchetti, M., Scarfò, B., & Esposito, M. (2014). Computer-guided versus freehand
 placement of immediately loaded dental implants: 1-year post-loading results of a multicentre
 randomised controlled trial. *Eur J Oral Implantol*, 7(3), 229-242
- Raico Gallardo, Y. N., da Silva-Olivio, I. R. T., Mukai, E., Morimoto, S., Sesma, N., & Cordaro, L. (2017).
 Accuracy comparison of guided surgery for dental implants according to the tissue of support: a
 systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Oral Implants Res*, 28(5), 602-612. doi:10.1111/clr.12841
- Salomó-Coll, O., Auriol-Muerza, B., Lozano-Carrascal, N., Hernández-Alfaro, F., Wang, H. L., & Gargallo Albiol, J. (2020). Influence of bone density, drill diameter, drilling speed, and irrigation on
 temperature changes during implant osteotomies: an in vitro study. *Clin Oral Investig*.
 doi:10.1007/s00784-020-03398-y
- Schneider, D., Marquardt, P., Zwahlen, M., & Jung, R. E. (2009). A systematic review on the accuracy and
 the clinical outcome of computer-guided template-based implant dentistry. *Clin Oral Implants Res*,
 20, Suppl 4, 73-86. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0501.2009. 01788.x
- Sumer, M., Keskiner, I., Mercan, U., Misir, F., & Cankaya, S. (2014). Assessment of heat generation during
 implant insertion. *J Prosthet Dent*, 112(3), 522-525. doi: 10.1016/j.prosdent.2013.12.011
- 438Tarnow, D. P., Cho, S. C., & Wallace, S. S. (2000). The effect of inter-implant distance on the height of439inter-implant bone crest. J Periodontol, 71(4), 546-549. doi:10.1902/jop.2000.71.4.546

440	Vercruyssen, M., Coucke, W., Naert, I., Jacobs, R., Teughels, W., & Quirynen, M. (2015). Depth and lateral					
441	deviations in guided implant surgery: an RCT comparing guided surgery with mental navigation or					
442	the use of a pilot-drill template. <i>Clin Oral Implants Res, 26</i> (11), 1315-1320. doi:10.1111/clr.12460					
443	Vilani, G. N., Ruellas, A. C., Mattos, C. T., Fernandes, D. J., & Elias, C. N. (2015). Influence of cortical					
444	thickness on the stability of mini-implants with microthreads. Braz Oral Res, 29. doi:10.1590/1807-					
445	3107BOR-2015.vol29.0023					
446	Younes, F., Cosyn, J., De Bruyckere, T., Cleymaet, R., Bouckaert, E., & Eghbali, A. (2018). A randomized					
447	controlled study on the accuracy of freehanded, pilot-drill guided and fully guided implant surgery					
448	in partially edentulous patients. <i>J Clin Periodontol</i> , 45(6), 721-732. doi:10.1111/jcpe.12897					
449						
450						
451						
452						
453	Tables legends					
454	Table 1. The temperature recorded (°C) according to each group, osteotomy drilling depth, and irrigation					
455	fluid.					
456						
457	Table 2. Beta coefficients (mean temperature differences) and 95% CI for different effects from GEE models.					
458	Corrected p-values of wald's Chi ² test using Bonterron's criteria (" $p<0.05$; " $p<0.01$; " $p<0.001$)					
459						
461	Figure legends					
462	Figure 1. Closed implant guide.					
463	Figure 2. Open implant guide.					
464	Figure 3. Flowchart distribution of the study sample.					
465	Figure 4. Handpiece, thermometer, and thermocouple.					
466	Figure 5. Boxplot of bone temperature distribution according to each group.					
467	Figure 6. Mean maximum temperature (°C) according to each group, osteotomy drilling depth, and irrigation					
468	fluid.					
	\triangleleft					

Table 1

GROUP	DRILLING	IRRIGATION	N	MEAN	SD	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	MEDIAN
	DEPTH (mm)	FLUID (°C)						
1	6	5	120	27,58	3,85	21,60	37,90	26,30
		21	120	28,17	5,26	22,30	50,00	26,50
	13	5	120	28,26	3,26	22,00	35,60	27,55
		21	120	29,17	3,25	23,20	35,40	28,70
2	6	5	120	26,05	1,81	21,80	32,10	25,95
		21	120	27,10	4,31	22,00	47,80	26,55
	13	5	120	27,14	2,90	22,30	35,60	26,90
		21	120	28,34	3,64	22,30	36,70	28,00
3	6	5	120	23,95	1,39	19,80	27,30	24,05
		21	120	24,77	2,33	21,10	30,10	24,20
	13	5	120	22,04	,98	20,30	27,10	22,00
		21	120	22,77	1,43	20,10	26,80	22,45
4	6	5	120	21,28	,85	19,50	23,20	21,30
	U	21	120	22,82	1,24	20,10	26,20	22,70
	13	5	120	21,48	,91	19,70	23,70	21,50
		21	120	22,29	1,16	20,10	26,40	22,10

Table 1. Temperature recorded (°C) according to group, drilling depth and irrigation fluid.



	MEAN		
Comparison between GROUPS	TEMPERATURE	95% CI	p-value
	DIFFERENCE (° C)		
1 - 2	1.13	0.51 - 1.77	0.003**
1 - 3	4.91	4.31 - 5.51	< 0.001***
1 - 4	6.33	5.74 - 6.91	<0.001***
2 - 3	3.78	3.28 - 4.27	< 0.001***
2 - 4	5.19	4.66 - 5.72	< 0.001***
 3 - 4	1.42	1.18 - 1.66	< 0.001***
D1 – D4	4.90	4.30 - 5.50	< 0.001***
Closed – Open guide	1.42	1.18 - 1.66	< 0.001***
in D1: Closed - Open	1.14	0.51 - 1.77	0.003**
in D4: Closed - Open	1.42	1.18 - 1.66	< 0.001***
in Closed: D1 – D4	4.91	4.31 - 5.51	< 0.001***
in Open: D1 – D4	5.19	4.66 - 5.72	< 0.001***
Fluid temp: 5 – 21°	0.96	0.62 - 1.29	<0.001***
Depth: 6 – 13 mm	-0.03	-0.19 - 0.13	0.717
In Group 1: Depth 6 – 13mm	-0.83	-1.290.37	0.010*
In Group 2: Depth 6 – 13mm	-1.16	-1.960.36	0.124
In Group 3: Depth 6 – 13mm	1.95	1.47 - 2.43	<0.001***
In Group 4: Depth 6 – 13mm	0.16	0.03 - 0.29	0.455

Table 2. Beta coefficients (mean temperature differences) and 95% CI for different effects from GEE models. Corrected p-values of Wald's Chi² test using Bonferroni's criteria (*p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001).

Autho



clr_13728_f1.jpg

uthor Manu



clr_13728_f2.jpg

lanuscr

	n=16 Blocks m= 960 Osteotomies k=1920 Mesurments	
Group 1: n=4 Group 2: n=4 m=240, k=480 m=240, k=480	Graup 3: n=4 m=240, k=480	Group 1: n=4 m=240, k=480
Depth.6mm; n=2, Depth.13mm n=2, Depth.6mm; n=2, Dept m=120, k=240 m=120, k=240 m=120, k=240 m=	th.13mm n=2, Depth.6mm; n=2, Depth.13mm n=2, =120, k=240 m=120, k=240 m=120, k=240	Depth.5mm; n=2, m=120, k=240 Depth.13mm n=2, m=120, k=240
5º IF; n=1, m=60, k=120 5º IF; n=1, m=60, k=120 5º IF; n=1, m=60, k=120 2!º IF n=1, m=60, k=120 2!º IF n=1, m=60, k=120 2!º IF n=1, m=60, k=120	5º IF; n=1, m=60, k=120 5º IF; n=1, m=60, k=120 5º IF; n=1, m=60, k=120 21º IF n=1, m=60, k=120 21º IF n=1, m=60, k=120 21º IF n=1, m=60, k=120	5º IF; n=1, m=60, k=120 5º IF; n=1, m=60, k=120 21º IF n=1, m=60, k=120 21º IF n=1, m=60, k=120
n: blocks; m: osteotomies; k: temperature measureme	ents; IF: irrigation fluid.	
clr_	_13728_f3.jpg	
$\overline{\mathbf{C}}$		
S		
σ		
E		
Ħ		



clr_13728_f4.jpg

Author Man



clr_13728_f5.tif

r Manuscri Nutho

