ORIGINAL ARTICLE

WILEY

Burnout, professional fulfillment, and post-traumatic stress among pediatric solid organ transplant teams

Melissa K. Cousino^{1,2} | Carmel Bogle³ | Heang M. Lim¹ | Amanda D. McCormick¹ | Julie Sturza¹ | Emily M. Fredericks^{1,2,4} | John C. Magee^{1,2,5} | Elizabeth D. Blume³

Correspondence

Melissa K. Cousino, Department of Pediatrics, Michigan Medicine, 1500 E. Medical Center Dr., 48109 Ann Arbor, MI,

Email: melcousi@med.umich.edu

Funding information

University of Michigan Transplant Center

Abstract

Background: Adverse effects of clinician burnout have been studied across multiple specialties; however, there have been no studies examining rates of burnout among pediatric solid organ transplant teams. This study aimed to measure burnout, work exhaustion, professional fulfillment, and post-traumatic stress symptoms among clinicians and administrators practicing in this high-stress field.

Methods: This cross-sectional study utilized a 50 item web-based survey that included the Personal Fulfillment Index and the IES-R. This survey was distributed across four pediatric solid organ transplant centers in North America. Basic demographics, clinician characteristics, and information regarding wellness and self-care activities were collected. Descriptive and correlational analyses were performed.

Results: One hundred and thirty five participants completed the survey, 76% were female and 78% were Caucasian. One-third (34%) of participants endorsed burnout, while 43% reported professional fulfillment. Approximately 15% of respondents endorsed clinically significant levels of post-traumatic stress symptoms related to patient deaths, with female clinicians more likely to endorse symptoms (p = .01). Nearly 80% of participants reported engaging in self-care activities outside of work and only 10% of participants reported participation in hospital-sponsored wellness programs. Conclusions: Pediatric solid organ transplant team members exhibited moderate levels of burnout, professional fulfillment, and post-traumatic stress. Female clinicians were the most likely to experience both work exhaustion and post-traumatic stress symptoms. Transplant centers are encouraged to consider interventions and programming to improve clinician wellness.

KEYWORDS

burnout, pediatrics, post-traumatic stress, transplant, wellness

1 | INTRODUCTION

The ever-increasing demands on healthcare workers have spurred a great deal of research and interventions targeting "burnout." The term burnout, originally described as exhaustion from "excessive demands on energy, strength or resources" in the workplace is characterized by symptoms of malaise, fatigue, frustration, cynicism, or inefficiency. Moral distress, compassion fatigue, and secondary traumatic stress have also been used to define the adverse sequelae clinicians may experience as a result of patient care in

Abbreviations: IES-R, impact of events scale, revised; PFI, professional fulfillment index; PTSD, post-traumatic stress disorder.

¹Department of Pediatrics, Michigan Medicine, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

²University of Michigan Transplant Center, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

³Department of Cardiology, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA

⁴Susan B. Meister Child Health Evaluation and Research Center, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

⁵Department of Surgery, Michigan Medicine, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

high-stress environments. Healthcare clinician burnout is common, with half of academic medical center physicians endorsing burnout, and increasing rates of burnout being observed over the past decade.² Similarly high rates of burnout, moral distress, and poor work-life balance have been observed in non-physician disciplines as well, including advanced practice providers, pharmacists, nurses, and social workers.³ Burnout is associated with increased risk for cardiovascular disease, alcohol abuse, depression, suicide, and shortened life expectancy in healthcare clinicians.^{2,4,5} Some leave the field altogether.⁴⁻⁶ Burnout has also been associated with increased medical errors and patient mortality.^{5,7-9} These adverse effects of burnout on both clinicians and patients have been seen across healthcare disciplines.^{10,11}

Some identified risk factors for burnout include "frontline" clinical care work, early career status, excessive administrative tasks, electronic health record demands, and increased time at work.^{2,3,11-13} Both compassion fatigue and secondary traumatic stress pertain to the strains of bearing witness to others' suffering. Moral distress, which Jameton¹⁴ defined as when one "knows the right thing to do, but institutional constraints make it nearly impossible to pursue the right course of action," is also a contributing factor to burnout among clinicians.³ These risk factors are common to work performed in pediatric solid organ transplantation. Caring for critically ill children and their parents can contribute to high stress and long hours. Moreover, solid organ transplantation lacks predictability. Changes in schedule and workload demands are common. The decisional burdens of transplant listing given the limited availability of donor organs is also a potential contributor to moral distress.

To our knowledge, there have been no investigations of burnout and related constructs among pediatric solid organ transplant team members. The current study aimed to measure burnout, work exhaustion, interpersonal disengagement, professional fulfillment, and post-traumatic stress symptoms among multidisciplinary pediatric solid organ transplant team members. It was hypothesized that while both work exhaustion and post-traumatic stress symptoms would be significant across the sample, participants would endorse high professional fulfillment related to their work. A secondary aim included the testing of associations between burnout constructs and clinician characteristics.

2 | PARTICIPANTS AND METHODS

2.1 | Participants and methods

A 50-item, web-based survey was distributed from March to April 2019 across four geographically dispersed pediatric solid organ transplant centers in North America. A link to the web-based survey was sent by email to all members of the multidisciplinary pediatric solid organ transplant teams at each of the four centers by a transplant center leader/administrator. Participation in the survey was optional. No incentives were provided for survey participation,

which took approximately 5 min. No personal or center-specific identifying information was collected from survey participants due to the sensitivity of the questions. A one-time reminder email was sent again to eligible providers during the open survey period. Participant responses were excluded if more than 30% of the survey was incomplete.

2.2 | Measures

2.2.1 | Background information

The following clinician characteristics were collected: provider type/role, organ group served, sex, age, race, years in practice, time spent in clinical, administrative and research work, and number of patient deaths experienced in past year. Respondents were also asked to select wellness/self-care activities they engage in.

2.2.2 | PFI

The PFI is a validated, 16-item instrument of three constructs: professional fulfillment (6 items), work exhaustion (4 items), and interpersonal disengagement (6 items). A burnout composite scale is calculated by averaging all work exhaustion and interpersonal disengagement items (10 items total). Items are scored using a 5point Likert-scale ranging from 0 (not at all) to 4 (completely true/ extremely). A cutoff score of 3 or greater (scale range 0-4) has been suggested to indicate very good professional fulfillment. A cutoff score of 1.33 or greater is used to indicate burnout. In the initial survey design and testing study of the PFI, 39% of the 250 physician (residents and faculty at a large academic medical center; all specialties) scored at or above the PFI burnout cutoff of 1.33. The proportion of participants scoring above the PFI burnout cutoff was also compared to the proportion of those with burnout as measured by three additional burnout measures completed by the same study participants, which ranged from 32 to 49%, respectively. Thus, sensitivity of the PFI burnout scale in comparison with these three additional published measures was determined to be >0.72, with specificity of >0.76.7

2.2.3 | IES-R

The IES-R is a 22- item, self-reported, validated questionnaire that has been widely used to measure symptoms of PTSD related to a particular trauma. 15,16 Post-traumatic stress symptoms fall under three categories: re-experiencing, avoidance, and hyperarousal. Permission was obtained from the scale's author to modify instructions to specifically ask participants to respond to items as they related to a patient death(s) (ie, identified event or trauma). Items are scored using a 5-point Likert-scale ranging from 0 (not at all)

to 4 (extremely). A higher score represents greater distress.¹⁷ In the current study, a cutoff score of 24 (range 0–88) was used to define those with clinically significant symptoms of post-traumatic stress.¹⁸

2.3 | Statistical analysis

Univariate analyses were used to describe the sample. Bivariate procedures (Pearson correlation, two sample t tests) were used to test for associations between provider characteristics and PFI subscales. IES-R total score was not normally distributed; therefore, non-parametric procedures (Spearman correlation and Wilcoxon two sample test) were used to test for associations with provider characteristics. For some provider characteristics, categories were combined and/or pre-specified groups were compared. Specially, for provider type, we compared attending surgeons and physicians (group 1) to transplant coordinators, NP, Pas, and RNs (group 2). For provider race/ethnicity, we compared White Non-Hispanic (group 1) to all other race/ethnicity combinations (group 2). For each organ specialty, we compared providers who endorsed serving that organ group to those who did not. All tests were twotailed with an alpha of 0.05 and were completed with SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute; Cary, NC).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Sample characteristics

A total of 135 respondents completed the survey. It was estimated that the survey was sent to approximately 280 potential participants (two centers were unable to provide total sample size due to email distribution method). Thus, the estimated response rate was approximately 48%. Participant characteristics can be found in Table 1. Participants were predominately female (76%) and Caucasian (78%). Nearly half were <40 years of age. A range of professionals across disciplines participated in the study with transplant coordinators/advanced practice professionals/registered nurses (26%) and attending physicians (22%) being most common. Additional information about job responsibilities within discipline was not collected, but is likely highly variable.

3.2 | Clinician wellness practices

Participants reported on their current self-care and wellness activities. Only 10% reported participating in hospital wellness programs or activities with 13% engaging in trainings or workshops to improve their work-related efficiency. Nineteen percent of respondents attend hospital-initiated bereavement or debriefing programs. The majority of respondents reported engaging in out of work self-care activities (80%), such as exercise or time

TABLE 1 Participant characteristics

TABLE 1 Participant characteristics	
Provider type/Role	
Attending physician	30 (22%)
Attending surgeon	7 (5%)
Resident/Fellow	3 (2%)
Transplant coordinator, NP, PA, RN	35 (26%)
Psychologist	4 (3%)
Social worker	12 (9%)
Transplant administrator	7 (5%)
Other ^a	37 (28%)
Provider age, years	
<40 years	66 (49%)
41-50 years	40 (30%)
51-65 years	25 (19%)
>65 years	3 (2%)
Provider sex	
Male	31 (23%)
Female	103 (76%)
Not listed/Prefer not to answer	1 (1%)
Provider race/Ethnicity	
White/Caucasian	104 (78%)
Black/African American	1 (1%)
Asian	17 (13%)
Hispanic/Latino	2 (1%)
Middle eastern	5 (4%)
Bi- or multi-racial	4 (3%)
Provider years of practice	
<5 years	30 (22%)
5–10 years	35 (26%)
11-20 years	36 (27%)
>20 years	33 (25%)
Organ specialty (Multi-Select)	
Cardiac	65 (48%)
Liver	63 (47%)
Lung	35 (26%)
Renal	55 (41%)
Intestinal	43 (32%)
Multivsceral	44 (33%)
Other (bone marrow transplant)	2 (1%)
Externally funded research	
Yes	29 (22%)
No	105 (78%)

Note: Data are presented as N (%) for categorical variables.

outside. A third (33%) gather and socialize with colleagues outside of work and 8% participate in psychotherapy. Less than half of respondents (47%) indicated that they utilize their vacation time fully.

 $^{^{\}rm a}$ Other included child life specialists, nutrition specialists, physical and occupational therapists, pharmacists.

3.3 | Professional fulfillment

See Table 2 for overall sample and characteristic specific professional fulfillment, work exhaustion, interpersonal engagement, and traumatic stress scores. Total sample professional fulfillment was slightly below the recommended cutoff of 3 with a median score of 2.8 (IQR 2.3–3.0, range 1.0–4.0); 43% of respondents endorsed professional fulfillment above the cutoff. Clinician sex, race/ethnicity, age, years in practice, type/role, and organ subspecialty were unrelated to professional fulfillment. Time spent in clinical, administrative, and research-based work was unrelated to professional fulfillment.

3.4 | Clinician burnout, work exhaustion, and interpersonal engagement

A composite burnout score of 1.1 was calculated across the sample, which is slightly below the recommended cutoff score of 1.33. One-third (34%) of participants endorsed burnout symptoms above the cutoff. Work exhaustion was moderate among the sample with a median score of 1.5 (IQR 1.0–2.0, range 0.0–3.5). Female clinicians endorsed greater work exhaustion than males (p < .05) and transplant coordinators/advanced practice professionals/registered nurses reported greater work exhaustion than attending physicians ($p \le .05$). There were no other significant correlations detected between work exhaustion and clinician race/ethnicity, age, years in practice, and organ subspecialty. The median interpersonal disengagement subscale score was 0.7, (IQR 0.2–1.2, range 0.0–2.2). Greater time spent in administrative work was associated with higher interpersonal disengagement (p < .05).

3.5 | Clinician post-traumatic stress symptoms

The mean score for IES-R was 10.7 (SD = 12.1). Although the majority of respondents (85%) had no PTSD risk per the cutoff score of 24, 15% of respondents endorsed clinically significant levels of post-traumatic stress symptoms related to a patient death(s). Female clinicians were significantly more likely to endorse post-traumatic stress symptoms compared to male clinicians (p = .01). Across organ groups, renal transplant clinicians scored significantly lower (p = .004) compared to those who did not work in renal transplant. Intestinal (p = .007) and multiviseral (p = .02) transplant clinicians endorsed higher levels of post-traumatic stress symptoms compared to those who did not work with these transplant populations.

4 | DISCUSSION

This is the first study of burnout, professional fulfillment, and post-traumatic stress among multidisciplinary pediatric solid organ transplant clinicians. Findings are suggestive of moderate levels of professional fulfillment, as well as burnout, particularly work exhaustion. The deaths of pediatric transplant patients significantly impact transplant clinicians, with 15% of the study sample endorsing clinically high levels of post-traumatic stress symptoms. Results further underscored that while few clinician characteristics are associated with increased risk for burnout or post-traumatic stress symptoms, female clinicians were more likely to experience both work exhaustion and post-traumatic stress symptoms.

In comparison with the adult transplant literature, which reports symptoms of burnout in half of coordinators¹¹ and half of transplant surgeons,¹⁹ our study results revealed slightly lower rates of burnout, with a third of the sample endorsing symptoms above the cutoff. This difference may in part be due to the multidisciplinary nature of our sample. Additionally, these earlier transplant clinician burnout studies used different measures of burnout, limiting direct comparison. Nonetheless, study results underscore the importance of reducing burnout, particularly given associations with worse professional quality of life²⁰ and increased medical errors in transplant clinicians²¹

Post-traumatic stress is not unique to solid organ transplant clinicians and has been identified in other pediatric clinicians. ^{22,23} Our study found that 15% of clinicians reported post-traumatic stress symptoms. This is in the range of rates reported in studies of other pediatric subspecialties (ie, 2% in general pediatricians²³ to 20% in pediatric intensive care clinicians).²² Early career intensive care faculty and nurses have been found to be more likely to report burnout and post-traumatic stress symptoms than more senior clinicians.²⁴ Post-traumatic stress symptoms are also reported in pediatric intensive care trainees, 25 many of whom report severe grief in response to patient deaths. 26 Clinician age and years of practice were not found to be associated with burnout or post-traumatic stress symptoms in our sample; however, very few trainees participated in the current study. Clinicians from certain organ groups scored significantly higher on measures of post-traumatic stress than those from other organ groups, while rates of burnout were similar across all organ groups. It is likely that this finding is due to the increased frequency of patient deaths in some transplant specialties. Study findings highlight the importance of considering trauma and grief responses when working to address clinician wellness.

It was also notable that female clinicians in the current study were more likely to report greater work exhaustion and post-traumatic stress symptoms than male transplant colleagues. It is possible that this finding is secondary to the demands placed on female clinicians outside of the workplace. A study of surgeons found that female surgeons were much more likely to be partnered with a spouse who worked full-time—with the female partner being primarily responsible for managing the household.²⁷ This is similar to a survey of National Institute of Health career-development awardees, which found female awardees with children devoted much more time to domestic activities than male awardees with children.²⁸ These gender differences in household responsibilities have been documented in the pediatric specialty as well.^{29,30} Several studies suggest that these gender differences in work-life responsibilities are correlated

TABLE 2 Burnout and fulfillment scores by participant characteristics

All massidens		subscale score, mean (SD)	subscale score, mean (SD)	score, median (IQR)
All providers combined	2.7 (0.6)	1.5 (0.8)	0.7 (0.6)	6.0 (2.0-17.0)
Provider type/Role		a < b		
Attending physician	2.8 (0.7)	1.4 (0.8) ^a	0.8 (0.6)	5.5 (2.5-15.0)
Attending surgeon	2.9 (1.1)	1.0 (0.8) ^a	0.5 (0.6)	2.0 (0.0-11.0)
Resident/Fellow	3.2 (0.6)	0.6 (0.4)	0.7 (0.7)	2.0 (0.0-16.0)
Transplant coordinator, NP, PA, RN	2.8 (0.6)	1.7 (0.7) ^b	0.8 (0.5)	8.0 (3.0–19.0)
Psychologist	2.5 (0.6)	1.4 (0.2)	0.6 (0.6)	1.5 (0.0-11.5)
Social worker	2.6 (0.4)	1.9 (0.8)	0.9 (0.7)	6.0 (2.0-8.0)
Transplant administrator	2.7 (0.7)	1.2 (0.9)	0.4 (0.4)	4.5 (1.0-15.0)
Other [*]	2.5 (0.7)	1.6 (0.7)	0.7 (0.6)	8.0 (4.0-21.0)
Provider age, years				
<40 years	2.7 (0.6)	1.6 (0.7)	0.8 (0.6)	6.0 (1.5-15.5)
41-50 years	2.7 (0.7)	1.5 (0.8)	0.7 (0.6)	6.0 (2.0-18.0)
51-65 years	2.7 (0.6)	1.5 (0.8)	0.6 (0.6)	5.0 (1.0-11.0)
>65 years	3.6 (0.6)	0.9 (0.6)	0.7 (0.6)	24.0 (5.0-30.0)
Provider sex		a < b		a < b
Male	2.9 (0.8)	1.3 (0.8) ^a	0.6 (0.6)	3.0 (0.0-11.0) ^a
Female	2.6 (0.6)	1.6 (0.7) ^b	0.8 (0.6)	7.0 (3.0-18.0) ^b
Not listed/Prefer not to answer	2.7 (n/a)	2.8 (n/a)	0.8 (n/a)	18.0 (n/a)
Provider race/Ethnicit	у			
White/Caucasian	2.7 (0.7)	1.5 (0.7)	0.7 (0.6)	6.5 (1.0-18.0)
Black/African American	2.3 (n/a)	0.2 (n/a)	0 (n/a)	n/a
Asian	2.8 (0.6)	1.6 (0.9)	0.8 (0.8)	4.0 (0.5-5.5)
Hispanic/Latino	2.6 (0.4)	1.5 (0.3)	0.7 (0.9)	12.0 (3.0-21.0)
Middle Eastern	2.9 (0.4)	1.7 (0.7)	0.8 (0.5)	11.0 (10.0-27.0)
Bi- or multi-racial	2.9 (0.2)	0.9 (0.8)	0.8 (0.6)	9.0 (1.0-28.0)
Provider years of prac	tice			
<5 years	2.6 (0.7)	1.4 (0.7)	0.8 (0.6)	4.0 (1.0-12.0)
5-10 years	2.7 (0.4)	1.4 (0.8)	0.7 (0.6)	9.0 (3.0-17.0)
11-20 years	2.8 (0.8)	1.6 (0.8)	0.7 (0.7)	8.0 (1.5-19.0)
>20 years	2.7 (0.7)	1.6 (0.6)	0.7 (0.5)	5.0 (1.0-13.0)
Organ specialty (Multi-Select)				a < b
Cardiac	2.7 (0.6)	1.5 (0.8)	0.7 (0.6)	6.0 (2.0-15.0) ^a
Liver	2.7 (0.6)	1.5 (0.7)	0.7 (0.6)	7.0 (3.0-18.0) ^a
Lung	2.8 (0.7)	1.4 (0.8)	0.8 (0.6)	6.0 (3.0-21.0) ^a
Renal	2.7 (0.7)	1.5 (0.7)	0.7 (0.6)	4.0 (0.0-11.0) ^a
Intestinal	2.8 (0.7)	1.5 (0.7)	0.6 (0.6)	11.0 (3.0-30.0) ^b
Multivisceral	2.7 (0.7)	1.6 (0.8)	0.7 (0.6)	11.0 (3.0-28.0) ^b

TABLE 2 (Continued)

	PFI professional fulfillment subscale score, mean (SD)	PFI work exhaustion subscale score, mean (SD)	PFI disengagement subscale score, mean (SD)	Impact of events scale total score, median (IQR)		
Other (bone marrow transplant)	3.2 (0.1)	1.2 (0.4)	0.5 (0.7)	8.0 (8.0-8.0)		
Externally funded research						
Yes	2.8 (0.6)	1.3 (0.6)	0.6 (0.5)	4.0 (2.0-11.0)		
No	2.7 (0.6)	1.6 (0.8)	0.7 (0.6)	6.0 (2.0-18.0)		

^{ab}Subscripts are used to denote comparison groups for significant group comparisons.

with the differences in burnout between male and female physicians. ^{31,32} Our findings, together with the broader literature, underscore a need for academic institutions to better support their female clinicians.

It is important to note that data were collected pre-COVID-19 pandemic. The first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic significantly impacted transplant programs whose clinicians had to make a myriad of challenging decisions, such as deferring transplantation amidst the risk of waitlist mortality. A national survey performed in March 2020 revealed that 71.8% of live donor kidney and 67.7% of live donor liver transplant programs underwent complete suspension for a period of time. For those transplant centers that remained operational, ensuring the safety of the procurement teams also required serious considerations.

During the pandemic, healthcare professionals are experiencing higher rates of burnout than previously reported.³⁶ Many team members faced redeployment in a resource-scarce environment,³⁷ which only increased job-related stress. Non-work-related demands, such as child and elder care, schooling challenges, and financial strain, have also increased. In addition to burnout, mental health disorders including anxiety and depression are also commonly endorsed among clinicians during the pandemic.^{38,39} More so than ever before, attention to supporting the needs and well-being of healthcare clinicians must be a priority.

However, there continues to be a paucity of literature regarding interventions that have led to long-term reduction in rates of physician burnout.⁴⁰ Effective interventions to combat burnout are complicated as it involves addressing individual, work-unit, organizational, and national factors. 41 Moreover, the effect of combining interventions has not been well studied.⁴⁰ In the current study, only 10% of respondents reported participation in hospital-based wellness initiatives, which is discrepant when compared to a national survey of pediatric subspecialists noting 60% participation. 42 The low participation rate in hospital wellness programming among our study respondents may be secondary to time constraints and heavy workloads in the field of organ transplantation which may make participation in self-care initiatives challenging. Also, while many hospitals offer mental health services, participation rates are noted to be quite low.⁴² Other programmatic interventions to consider include peer-debriefing sessions and workshops to promote emotional processing during distressing patient care scenarios. 43,44 Additional

interventions that may reduce burnout include improving communication and workflow within the team and initiating quality improvement projects that are targeted at addressing clinician concerns. ⁴⁵ As more than half of the respondents in this study did not utilize their allotted vacation time, organizational-level interventions which allow for adequate staffing to provide sufficient coverage for leave may also mitigate burnout. ⁴⁶ Novel supports to reduce non-work-related stressors, such as on-site home services (eg, dry cleaning and meal delivery) may also prove beneficial. Effective interventions differ among disciplines. Thus, attention should be paid to unique job responsibilities when designing and implementing interventions. Lastly, helping clinicians to foster professional fulfillment by doing work that is meaningful to them, receiving appreciation for their efforts, and working together with a cohesive, supportive team is an important intervention target.

Results of this study must be considered in light of its limitations. First, the definitive total sample size is unknown due to the email/ list-sery distribution approach employed at some centers. Thus, the response rate is only an estimate. Relatedly, those interested in the topic may have been more likely to complete the survey, limiting the generalizability of findings to all pediatric solid organ transplant team members. The majority of respondents were Caucasian; thus, additional stressors experienced by healthcare clinicians of minority group status may not be well captured by this dataset. Further, although a strength of this research is the inclusion of team members across disciplines, small numbers of participants in certain disciplines limited comparisons across roles. Moreover, job responsibilities within disciplines likely vary across transplant teams. Granular data specific to job responsibilities were not captured in this study, but is likely impactful with regard to burnout. Lastly, the burnout measure used was initially validated in a physician only sample. While it has since been used in published studies to measure burnout across healthcare disciplines, formal validation and psychometric data in other populations have not yet been published to our knowledge.

In sum, this first study of burnout, professional fulfillment, and post-traumatic stress among multidisciplinary pediatric transplant team members highlights that while many of us find fulfillment and meaning in our work, the work of pediatric transplant care can be both exhausting and traumatic. The risks of burnout occur widely across the field of pediatric transplantation. Clinically significant levels of post-traumatic stress are also high, further underscoring the

^{*}Other included child life specialists, nutrition specialists, physical and occupational therapists, pharmacists.

need to prioritize interventions and systemic supports to improve team member well-being.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by funding provided by the University of Michigan Transplant Center. Participating transplant centers included C.S. Mott Children's Hospital/University of Michigan, Boston Children's Hospital, Sick Kids, and Lucile Packard Children's Hospital/Stanford. The authors have no conflicts of interest, financial disclosures, or industry relationships pertinent to this work to report. Dr. Cousino's research is supported by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (K23HL145096) of the National Institutes of Health.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

Drs. Cousino, Fredericks, Magee, and Blume contributed to study design. Drs. Cousino and Blume collected study data. Ms. Sturza completed statistical analyses. Drs. Cousino, Bogle, Lim, McCormick, and Ms. Sturza drafted the manuscript. All authors critically reviewed, revised, and approved the manuscript for submission.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

ORCID

Melissa K. Cousino https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0041-0830

Carmel Bogle https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9230-1497

Amanda D. McCormick https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4874-854X

Emily M. Fredericks https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3110-4983

REFERENCES

- 1. Freudenberger HJ. Staff burn-out. J Soc Issues. 1974;30(1):159-165.
- Del Carmen MG, Herman J, Rao S, et al. Trends and factors associated with physician burnout at a multispecialty academic faculty practice organization. JAMA Netw Open. 2019;2(3):e190554.
- Neumann JL, Mau LW, Virani S, et al. Burnout, moral distress, work-life balance, and career satisfaction among hematopoietic cell transplantation professionals. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. 2018;24(4):849-860.
- 4. West CP, Hauer KE. Reducing burnout in primary care: a step toward solutions. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2015;30(8):1056-1057.
- 5. Kumar S. Burnout and doctors: prevalence, prevention and intervention. *Healthcare (Basel)*. 2016;4(3):37.
- Leiter MP, Maslach C. Nurse turnover: the mediating role of burnout. J Nurs Manag. 2009;17(3):331-339.
- 7. Trockel M, Bohman B, Lesure E, et al. A brief instrument to assess both burnout and professional fulfillment in physicians: reliability and validity, including correlation with self-reported medical errors, in a sample of resident and practicing physicians. *Acad Psychiatry*. 2018;42(1):11-24.
- Shanafelt TD, Balch CM, Bechamps G, et al. Burnout and medical errors among American surgeons. Ann Surg. 2010;251(6):995-1000.
- Cimiotti JP, Aiken LH, Sloane DM, Wu ES. Nurse staffing, burnout, and health care-associated infection. Am J Infect Control. 2012;40(6):486-490.
- Jackson ER, Shanafelt TD, Hasan O, Satele DV, Dyrbye LN. Burnout and alcohol abuse/dependence among U.S. medical students. Acad Med. 2016;91(9):1251-1256.

- 11. Jesse MT, Abouljoud MS, Hogan K, Eshelman A. Burnout in transplant nurses. *Prog Transplant*. 2015;25(3):196-202.
- 12. Reith TP. Burnout in United States healthcare professionals: a narrative review. *Cureus*. 2018;10(12):e3681.
- Golub JS, Weiss PS, Ramesh AK, Ossoff RH, Johns MM 3rd. Burnout in residents of otolaryngology-head and neck surgery: a national inquiry into the health of residency training. Acad Med. 2007;82(6):596-601.
- Jameton A. Dilemmas of moral distress: moral responsibility and nursing practice. AWHONNS Clin Issues Perinat Womens Health Nurs. 1993:4(4):542.
- Bienvenu OJ, Gellar J, Althouse BM, et al. Post-traumatic stress disorder symptoms after acute lung injury: a 2-year prospective longitudinal study. Psychol Med. 2013;43(12):2657-2671.
- Weiss DSMC. The impact of event scale-revised. In: Wilson JP, Keane TM, eds. Assessing psychological trauma and PTSD: a practitioner's handbook. New York, NY: Guilford Press; 1997:399-411.
- Motlagh H. Impact of event scale-revised. J Physiother. 2010:56(3):203.
- Asukai N, Kato H, Kawamura N, et al. Reliability and validity of the Japanese-language version of the impact of event scale-revised (les-RJ): four studies of different traumatic events. J Nerv Ment Dis. 2002:190(3):175-182.
- 19. Jesse MT, Abouljoud M, Eshelman A. Determinants of burnout among transplant surgeons: a national survey in the United States. *Am J Transplant*. 2015;15(3):772-778.
- Kim S. Compassion fatigue in liver and kidney transplant nurse coordinators: a descriptive research study. Prog Transplant. 2013;23(4):329-335.
- Altinisik HB, Alan H. Compassion fatigue, professional quality of life, and psychological endurance among organ transplant coordinators. *Transplant Proc.* 2019;51(4):1038-1043.
- 22. Kassam AF, Cortez AR, Winer LK, et al. Extinguishing burnout: National analysis of predictors and effects of burnout in abdominal transplant surgery fellows. *Am J Transplant*. 2020;21(1):307-313.
- Rodriguez-Rey R, Palacios A, Alonso-Tapia J, et al. Burnout and posttraumatic stress in paediatric critical care personnel: prediction from resilience and coping styles. Aust Crit Care. 2019;32(1):46-53.
- 24. van Steijn ME, Scheepstra KWF, Yasar G, Olff M, de Vries MC, van Pampus MG. Occupational well-being in pediatricians-a survey about work-related posttraumatic stress, depression, and anxiety. *Eur J Pediatr.* 2019;178(5):681-693.
- Jones GAL, Colville GA, Ramnarayan P, et al. Psychological impact of working in paediatric intensive care. A UK-wide prevalence study. Arch Dis Child. 2020;105(5):470-475.
- Hollingsworth CE, Wesley C, Huckridge J, Finn GM, Griksaitis MJ. Impact of child death on paediatric trainees. Arch Dis Child. 2018;103(1):14-18.
- 27. Ffrench-O'Carroll R, Feeley T, Crowe S, Doherty EM. Grief reactions and coping strategies of trainee doctors working in paediatric intensive care. *Br J Anaesth*. 2019;123(1):74-80.
- Baptiste D, Fecher AM, Dolejs SC, et al. Gender differences in academic surgery, work-life balance, and satisfaction. J Surg Res. 2017;218:99-107.
- Jolly S, Griffith KA, DeCastro R, Stewart A, Ubel P, Jagsi R. Gender differences in time spent on parenting and domestic responsibilities by high-achieving young physician-researchers. *Ann Intern Med*. 2014;160(5):344-353.
- Starmer AJ, Frintner MP, Matos K, Somberg C, Freed G, Byrne BJ. Gender discrepancies related to pediatrician work-life balance and household responsibilities. *Pediatrics*. 2019;144(4):e20182926.
- Dyrbye LN, Shanafelt TD, Balch CM, Satele D, Sloan J, Freischlag J. Relationship between work-home conflicts and burnout among American surgeons: a comparison by sex. Arch Surg. 2011;146(2):211-217.
- Jesse MT, Shkokani L, Eshelman A, De Reyck C, Abouljoud M, Lerut J. Transplant surgeon burnout and marital distress in the

- sandwich generation: the call for organizational support in family life. *Transplant Proc.* 2018;50(10):2899-2904.
- 33. Thiessen C, Wisel SA, Yamaguchi S, Dietch ZC, Feng S, Freise CE. Rapid modification of workflows and fellow staffing at a single transplant center to address the COVID-19 crisis. *Transplant Proc.* 2020:52(9):2596-2600.
- Boyarsky BJ, Po-Yu Chiang T, Werbel WA, et al. Early impact of COVID-19 on transplant center practices and policies in the United States. Am J Transplant. 2020;20(7):1809-1818.
- 35. Kumar D, Manuel O, Natori Y, et al. COVID-19: a global transplant perspective on successfully navigating a pandemic. *Am J Transplant*. 2020;20(7):1773-1779.
- Morgantini LA, Naha U, Wang H, et al. Factors contributing to healthcare professional burnout during the COVID-19 pandemic. A rapid turnaround global survey. PLoS ONE. 2020;15(9):e0238217. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0238217
- 37. Martino F, Plebani M, Ronco C. Kidney transplant programmes during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Lancet Respir Med*. 2020;8(5):e39.
- Balasubramanian A, Paleri V, Bennett R, Paleri V. Impact of COVID-19 on the mental health of surgeons and coping strategies. Head Neck. 2020;42(7):1638-1644.
- Kang L, Ma S, Chen M, et al. Impact on mental health and perceptions of psychological care among medical and nursing staff in Wuhan during the 2019 novel coronavirus disease outbreak: a cross-sectional study. Brain Behav Immun. 2020;87:11-17.
- West CP, Dyrbye LN, Erwin PJ, Shanafelt TD. Interventions to prevent and reduce physician burnout: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Lancet*. 2016;388(10057):2272-2281.

- 41. Shanafelt TD, Noseworthy JH. Executive leadership and physician well-being: nine organizational strategies to promote engagement and reduce burnout. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2017;92(1):129-146.
- Kase SM, Gribben JL, Waldman ED, Weintraub AS. A pilot study exploring interventions for physician distress in pediatric subspecialists. *Pediatr Res.* 2020;88(3):398-403.
- Govindan M, Keefer P, Sturza J, Stephens MR, Malas N. Empowering residents to process distressing events: a debriefing workshop. MedEdPORTAL. 2019:15:10809.
- 44. McDermott A, Brook I, Ben-Isaac E. Peer-debriefing after distressing patient care events: a workshop for pediatric residents. MedEdPORTAL. 2017;13:10624.
- Linzer M, Poplau S, Grossman E, et al. A cluster randomized trial of interventions to improve work conditions and clinician burnout in primary care: results from the healthy work place (HWP) study. J Gen Intern Med. 2015;30(8):1105-1111.
- Walsh G, Hayes B, Freeney Y, McArdle S. Doctor, how can we help you? Qualitative interview study to identify key interventions to target burnout in hospital doctors. BMJ Open. 2019;9(9):e030209.

How to cite this article: Cousino MK, Bogle C, Lim HM, et al. Burnout, professional fulfillment, and post-traumatic stress among pediatric solid organ transplant teams. *Pediatr Transplant*. 2021;25:e14020. https://doi.org/10.1111/petr.14020