

Title: Improving the Safety and Science of COVID-19 Tracheostomy: Challenges and Opportunities

Letter in Reference to:

Ahmed Y, Cao A, Thal A, Shah S, Kinkhabwala C, Liao D, Li D, Parides M, Mehta V, Ow T, Smith R, Schiff BA. Tracheotomy Outcomes in 64 Ventilated COVID-19 Patients at a High-Volume Center in Bronx, NY. *Laryngoscope*. 2021 Jan 7. doi: 10.1002/lary.29391. Online ahead of print. PMID: 33410517 (<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/lary.29391>)

Authors:

Michael J. Brenner, M.D., F.A.C.S.^{1,2}

Christopher H. Rassekh, M.D., F.A.C.S.³

Pavel Dulguerov, M.D., Ph.D.⁴

1. Department of Otolaryngology – Head & Neck Surgery, University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, Michigan, United States
2. Global Tracheostomy Collaborative, Raleigh, North Carolina, United States
3. Department of Otorhinolaryngology – Head & Neck Surgery, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, United States of America
4. Division of Head and Neck Surgery, Hôpital de la Tour, Meyrin, Geneva University, Geneva, Switzerland,

Correspondence

Michael J. Brenner, M.D., F.A.C.S.

Associate Professor of Otolaryngology – Head & Neck Surgery

University of Michigan Medical School

1500 East Medical Center Drive

1903 Taubman Center SPC 5312

Ann Arbor, MI 48104

mbren@med.umich.edu

(734) 936-9178

Funding: None

Keywords: tracheostomy; COVID-19, tracheotomy; SARS-CoV-2; coronavirus; ventilator; ventilation; critical care; intensive care unit; noninvasive; percutaneous; shared decision making; patient-centered care; patient safety and quality improvement; randomized clinical trial

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1002/lary.29512

Disclosures: The authors have no financial disclosures relating to this manuscript

Submission Type: Letter to the Editor

Word count: 400

Author Contributions

Author Name	Contribution
Michael J. Brenner, M.D., F.A.C.S. mbren@med.umich.edu)	Conception, design, and drafting of the work, analysis, and interpretation of data for the work; critically revising the work for important intellectual content; approval of the final submission; agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work.
Christopher H Rassekh M.D., F.A.C.S. (Christopher.Rassekh@pennterms.upenn.edu)	Conception, interpretation of data for the work; critically revising the work for important intellectual content; approval of the final submission; agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work.
Pavel Dulguerov pdghns@outlook.com pavel.dulguerov@hcuge.ch	Interpretation of data for the work; critically revising the work for important intellectual content; approval of the final submission; agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Dear Editor,

In their report of 64 ventilated patients undergoing tracheostomy for COVID-19 respiratory failure, Ahmed and colleagues provide valuable insights into clinical outcomes and surgeon risk.¹ The findings emphasize how safety measures, patient selection, and data can improve care while mitigating harm. Their paper vividly illustrates the overwhelming concerns around healthcare professional safety that characterized the first wave of the pandemic. We discuss interval progress that has paved the way for data-driven, patient-centered tracheostomy care.

As this cohort and others demonstrate, tracheotomy can be performed in COVID-19 patients with rates of viral transmission approaching zero, with personal protective equipment and protocols. While tracheostomy by 10 days has been associated with improved overall mortality and reduced length of stay,² delaying tracheostomy during COVID-19 pandemic has not been associated with improved clinician safety. Furthermore, severe COVID-19 acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) mirrors of other ARDS in pathophysiology, response to therapies, and clinical course, allowing decades of high-level evidence to be applied to COVID-19 patients.³

Since the first wave, progress in noninvasive ventilation, assessment of patient readiness for tracheostomy, and multidisciplinary teamwork have improved care. Some patients who underwent invasive mechanical ventilation and tracheostomy in this series might today be managed with noninvasive ventilation, averting the morbidity of prolonged translaryngeal intubation. For patients requiring prolonged mechanical ventilation, a preoperative apnea trial

can evaluate physiological reserve, reducing risks of derecruitment and aerosol generation.³

The improved survival in patients who had tracheostomy performed by the otolaryngology service likely reflects sound judgment in deferring tracheostomy in patients who were unstable.

Understanding desires and goals of patients and family members is invaluable. The utility of COVID-19 tracheostomy is becoming clearer; tracheostomy mitigates risk of pressure-related injuries to the larynx and trachea that may impair speech, swallowing, and breathing.^{4,5}

Tracheostomy also accelerates liberation from the ventilator, thereby alleviating muscle wasting, impaired cognition, and psychiatric morbidity that complicate severe COVID-19 survivorship. Nurses, respiratory care practitioners, speech language pathologists, and physicians all contribute to coordinated care. Tracking safety outcomes, which were only provided for surgeons in this study, are appropriate for all multidisciplinary team members.

While randomized trials of tracheostomy in ventilated patients with COVID-19 remain elusive, data on tracheostomy timing, technique, and demographics continues to emerge.⁶ Prospective data collection through data registries, such as the Global Tracheostomy Collaborative (www.globaltrach.org), may further illuminate critical questions. We commend Ahmed and colleagues for placing another piece in the puzzle of COVID-19 patient care.

References

1. Ahmed Y, Cao A, Thal A et al. Tracheotomy Outcomes in 64 Ventilated COVID-19 Patients at a High-Volume Center in Bronx, NY. *Laryngoscope* 2021.
2. Panuganti BA, Weissbrod P, Damrose E. What is the optimal timing for tracheostomy in intubated patients? *Laryngoscope* 2020; 130:1108-1109.
3. McGrath BA, Brenner MJ, Warrillow SJ. Tracheostomy in the COVID-19 era: global and multidisciplinary guidance. *Lancet Respir Med*. 2020. 8(7):717-725.
4. Mecham JC, Thomas OJ, Pirgousis P, Janus JR. Utility of Tracheostomy in Patients With COVID-19 and Other Special Considerations. *Laryngoscope* 2020; 130:2546-2549.
5. Rouhani MJ, Clunie G, Thong Get al. A Prospective Study of Voice, Swallow, and Airway Outcomes Following Tracheostomy for COVID-19. *Laryngoscope* 2020.
6. Park C, Bahethi R, Yang A, Gray M, Wong K, Courey M. Effect of Patient Demographics and Tracheostomy Timing and Technique on Patient Survival. *Laryngoscope* 2020.