ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Zoologica Scripta 🔵 | ⊛ WILEY

Genome-wide DNA and phenotypic information supports recent colonization of South American grasslands by Correndera Pipit (Aves, Motacillidae)

Heraldo V. Norambuena[1] 🔵 | Paul van Els[2,3] 🔵 | Pedro F. Victoriano[4] 🔵 |
Lacey Knowles[5] 🔵

[1]Centro Bahía Lomas, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Santo Tomás, Chile

[2]Department of Biological Sciences and Museum of Natural Science, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA, USA

[3]Groningen Institute for Evolutionary Life Sciences, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

[4]Departamento de Zoología, Facultad de Ciencias Naturales y Oceanográficas, Universidad de Concepción, Concepción, Chile

[5]Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Museum of Zoology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

Correspondence
Heraldo V. Norambuena, Centro Bahía Lomas, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Santo Tomás, Punta Arenas, Chile.
Email: buteonis@gmail.com

Funding information
Fondo Nacional de Desarrollo Científico y Tecnológico, Grant/Award Number: 1161650 and 3190618

Abstract

Anthus correndera has a wide distribution in southern South America with several subspecies assigned to the taxon. We take an integrative approach, analysing genome-wide single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) data collected using ddRAD sequencing, songs and linear morphological data, to evaluate the evolutionary history of *A. correndera* and divergence of each subspecies. The final genomic data set of 11,467 SNPs for 40 individuals supports a primary divergence of two main lineages: one in the Andean highlands and another in the lowlands. Estimated divergence times suggest the Andean and lowland groups diverged around 135.5 to 99 thousand years ago (Ka), whereas divergence among populations within each group was much more recent, ranging from 54.7 Ka among the Andean populations to as recent as 20.6 Ka among the lowland populations. Analyses of territorial songs showed slight differences between all operational taxonomic units; however, morphological differences were apparent only between geographically distant populations (i.e. Puna vs. South Georgia). Based on multiple lines of evidence, we propose to reduce the number of subspecies within the *correndera* complex to three: *A. c. calcaratus* on the Andean Altiplano (treating *A. c. catamarcae* as a junior synonym), *A. c. correndera* in the lowlands (treating *A. c. chilensis* and *A. c. grayi* as junior synonyms), and *A. c. antarcticus* on South Georgia.

KEYWORDS
ddRADseq, evolution, neotropical *Anthus*, Pipits, systematics

1 | INTRODUCTION

The population genetic structure of a species is the result of microevolutionary and demographic processes acting between and within populations (Hewitt, 2000). Species with distributions on a continental scale are particularly interesting because they are not in evolutionary equilibrium throughout their range (Adams et al., 2006; Lougheed et al., 2013). At a continental scale, both gene flow and isolation can be expected (Brumfield & Edwards, 2007; Fjeldså et al., 2011; Moritz et al., 2000; Vuilleumier and Monasterio, 1986), and the critical limiting factor to diversification may be the length of time that populations remain isolated from each other (Price, 2008). In South America, it has been widely proposed that Andean uplift created a historical barrier to gene flow (e.g. Batalha-Filho et al., 2014; Brumfield & Edwards, 2007; Chaves & Smith, 2011; Winger, 2017). Meanwhile on islands the rates of colonization and subsequent speciation

are expected to be mediated mostly by geographic distance (Garcia-Ramirez et al., 2016, 2017; Pons et al., 2015; Wilson & MacArthur, 1967). In the case of islands, geographical isolation is more likely, but population persistence is challenging (Price, 2008; García-Ramírez et al., 2015, 2017).

The Correndera Pipit (*Anthus correndera*) is a widely distributed grassland bird of South America, which occupies a variety of grasslands from sea level to the high altitudes of the Central Andes. Taxonomically, the taxon has been divided into different subspecies, with hypothesized subspecies designations based on morphology and/or geography. Five subspecies are currently recognized based on slight variation in plumage or size (Clements et al., 2019; Hellmayr, 1921; Remsen et al., 2019; Vieillot, 1818). Four of these are distributed on the mainland—*A. c. correndera*, *A. c. chilensis*, *A. c. calcaratus* and *A. c. catamarcae*—and *A. c. grayi* on the Malvinas/Falkland islands (Hellmayr, 1921; Tyler, 2004). Recently, a molecular systematic study of Neotropical pipits (Van Els & Norambuena, 2018) and a phylogeographic analysis of *A. correndera* (Norambuena et al., 2018), suggested that the South Georgia island endemic *A. antarcticus* (described by Cabanis, 1884, as a giant form of *A. correndera*) is genetically nested within *A. correndera*, and should be treated as subspecies of this complex. However, the phylogeographic study also suggested genetic divisions coinciding with geographic boundaries but not agreeing with current taxonomy. Specifically, the Andean subspecies *A. c. calcaratus* and *A. c. catamarcae* formed a single genetic population, genetically divergent from birds traditionally assigned to *A. c. correndera*, *A. c. chilensis*, *A. c. grayi* and *A. c. antarcticus* (Norambuena et al., 2018). Likewise, a macroevolutionary analysis of Neotropical *Anthus* diversification suggests an Andean ancestral origin of *A. correndera* (Van Els et al., 2019). Three non-exclusive processes have been proposed to explain the colonization of Malvinas/Falklands islands (hereafter MFI), which differ in terms of effective population size, and whether migration or multiple colonization events were involved (Campagna et al., 2012). Connectivity and suitable habitat between the continent and MFI were also suggested by genetic tests informed by niche modelling (Norambuena et al., 2018), which also suggested a slight increase in the effective population following colonization about last 60,000 years ago. Because of limited resolution (i.e. only one mitochondrial marker and a partial nuclear marker have been applied to date), and the putative recent divergence history of the Andean and lowlands populations (Norambuena et al., 2018), an understanding of the processes underlying the evolutionary history of the species remains as elusive as the history of the expansive southern South American grassland ecosystem it inhabits (Antonelli et al., 2010).

It is difficult to obtain well-resolved phylogenetic relationships in the face of high mutational variation between loci (Huang & Knowles, 2016). Genomic information (e.g. RAD-seq) can solve the inaccuracies of working with only one or a few genes, producing abundant anonymous data from throughout the genome that can be used for phylogenetic inference (Eaton & Ree, 2013; Hipp et al., 2014; Toews et al., 2016) and to test more complex gene flow models (Excoffier et al., 2013; González-Serna et al., 2018; Hey, 2010). In the case of birds, genomic data have been useful to resolve complex speciation events. For example, populations of the Wallacean generalist species *Pachycephala pectoralis* from different islands are genetically relatively homogeneous because of Quaternary land bridges, whereas populations of the more specialist *Cyornis colonus* from different islands continue to be reproductively isolated (Garg et al., 2018). Genomic data also revealed more complex scenarios of past connectivity between physically isolated populations of widely distributed birds (e.g. Harvey & Brumfield 2015), clarified sister relationships and identified evidence of introgression (e.g. Shipham et al., 2018). In summary, considering the new evidence about the relationships of *A. antarcticus* with *A. correndera* (Norambuena et al., 2018; Van Els & Norambuena, 2018), as well as the historically conflictive systematics of *A. correndera* (see Campagna et al., 2012; Voelker, 1999), the low numbers of individuals analysed during the subspecies descriptions and the poor resolution that morphology offers in this group (Alström & Mild, 2003; Tyler, 2004), we here propose to assess the evolutionary history and taxonomy of the taxon. We analysed genomic data of the Correndera Pipit, in conjunction with morphometric and vocal characters, to (a) assess the taxonomic status of all subspecies in the complex, (b) explore the gene flow connectivity between Andes, lowlands and islands, and (c) assess the population history (i.e. divergence times, population sizes) within the *A. correndera* complex.

2 | MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 | Sampling and DNA extraction

We sampled individuals from each subspecies in the Correndera Pipit complex, as well as from the close relative *A. antarcticus* (Table 1). Our DNA sampling included individuals previously collected from part of its entire range (e.g. Norambuena et al., 2018). We used two individuals of *A. antarcticus*, two of *A. c. calcaratus,* one of *A. c. grayi*, three of *A. c. correndera*, 25 of *A. c. chilensis* and seven of *A. c. catamarcae* (Table S1). Birds were captured in the field using mist-nets, and each individual was measured and photographed. For genetic analysis, we collected blood samples by venipuncture of the brachial vein for Chilean populations

TABLE 1 Phenotypic characters included in the diagnoses of the six subspecies of *Anthus correndera*

Character	Taxon					
	correndera	*chilensis*	*grayi*	*antarcticus*	*calcaratus*	*catamarcae*
Individuals used in first description	1 male	15	1	1	1	3 Male 2 female
Total length	-	-	-	Giant form	-	Stronger size
Main body colouration	-	Dorsal line more yellowish, uropygium brownish brown	-	-	Livelier colouring	Chest with much paler sides
Beak			Stronger beak	Stronger beak	Long elongated	Long elongated
Rectrices			-	-	White on the lateral rectrices	White on the lateral rectrices
Tarsi	-	-	-	-	Strong	-
Spots	-	-	Darker spots below	-	-	Chest with paler sides

Note: Subspecies are ordered by their phylogenetic affinities according to van Els and Norambuena (2018).

Empty cells (−) indicate that character was not included in the respective diagnosis. Character states are described such as they appear in first description of taxon.

of *chilensis* and *catamarcae* subspecies, under permit from Servicio Agrícola y Ganadero (SAG-Chile) No. 7285/2015. Genetic samples from *A. antarcticus*, *A. c. calcaratus, A. c. grayi* and *A. c. correndera* were obtained from museum tissues and skins (Table S1). Genomic DNA was extracted from samples following the protocol of (Fetzner, 1999) and using the QIAGEN DNeasy kit.

2.2 | ddRAD library preparation and analysis

Extracted genomic DNA was normalized to a concentration of 25 ng/µl in 96-well plates and processed into RAD libraries according to Peterson et al., (2012), using the restriction enzymes *Eco*Ri and M*se*I. Ligation products were pooled among samples and size-selected to 150 base pairs (excluding adaptor lengths) using a Pippin Prep (Sage Science) machine. The targeted-size ligation products were amplified by iProof TM High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (BIO-RAD) with 10 cycles. Libraries were sequenced in four lanes on an Illumina HiSeq2000. Sequences were identified to each sample based on the barcodes. Only reads with an average quality score of at least 30 (Phred) and an unambiguous barcode and restriction cut sites were retained.

Raw sequence reads were aligned to "de novo" in the pyRAD pipeline, which accounts for indels that may be present among species' homologous loci (Eaton, 2014). Only those reads of sufficiently high sequencing quality, and that had the correct barcode and an unambiguous RAD site, were retained (Table S2). Sequences of each individual were clustered using global alignment clustering algorithm in USEARCH (Edgar, 2010), followed by the estimation of rates of heterozygosity and sequencing error (Lynch, 2008). Heterozygotes were inferred by a binomial probability based on these parameters. Each resulting stack is hereafter referred to as a ddRADseq locus. Each individual's ddRADseq loci were independently summarized into consensus sequences, which were subsequently clustered among individuals to generate a data matrix. Because not every individual has a sequence for every ddRADseq locus, due to both variations in sequencing coverage and mutations in the restriction site defining the RAD loci, the resulting data matrix is expected to be incomplete. We assembled the ddRADseq data using three different clustering thresholds (clustering = 80%, 90% and 95%) to determine the impact of this parameter on phylogeny inference. We also tested the effect of the minimum depth for each individual varying from 1 to 6. Finally, the minimum number of individuals per locus cluster was 2 (except for *A. c. grayi* with one sample available). The number of shared loci among taxa was visualized using the corrplot function in the "corrplot"

package (Wei, 2015) in the program R (R Development Core Team, 2013).

2.3 | Phylogenetic and population structure analyses

We estimated phylogenetic trees for the concatenated ddRADseq data of 11,467 SNP's using RAxML v8 (Stamatakis, 2014) using the multiple inference strategy. We ran 1,000 independent inferences and 1,000 bootstrap replicates with a GTR + I + Γ nucleotide substitution model. Bootstrap support values were passed to the tree with the highest likelihood among the 1,000 independent tree inferences.

We also tested the genetic structure of the six Correndera Pipit taxa using a Bayesian clustering method implemented in Structure 2.3.4 (Falush et al., 2003; Pritchard et al., 2000) based on the 11,467 SNP's matrix used in the phylogenetic analysis. After data format conversion in PGDSpider (Lischer & Excoffier, 2012), we conducted a hierarchical Structure analysis. We created different datasets to take advantage of variation in individuals within populations (i.e. individuals with better genomic coverage, different numbers of individuals by geographic area); this variation may be important for assessing genetic similarity between individuals from different populations when population membership is not assumed a priori (Massatti & Knowles, 2014). K-values ranging from 1 to 7 were analysed in STRUCTURE. Ten independent runs per K were conducted, each with 100,000 burn-in and 250,000 MCMC iterations, using the "Admixture Model" and "Correlated Allele Frequency Model" with default settings. Results were not different using more burn-in or MCMC iterations or different size of data sets. We used Structure Harvester online program (Earl & vonHoldt, 2012) to identify the most likely number of genetic clusters based on the DK statistics (Evanno et al., 2005). The results of the bar plot for individual memberships were drawn with a cluster visualization program Distruct (Rosenberg, 2004).

2.4 | Population genomic analyses

To estimate gene flow between populations, we used the Isolation-with-Migration (IMa2) software (Hey & Nielsen, 2007). For each separate model, we repeated the last step of pyRAD to create a complete data set (i.e. no missing data) for each model with a subset of individuals representing geographically adjacent areas (subspecies). We analysed the following three pairwise comparisons of populations based on the matrix of 11,467 SNP's: model (A) Andean clade versus lowlands clade (2 populations), model (B) Andean clade versus lowlands clade (excluding conflictive individuals of *catamarcae*, see results), and model (C) Andean clade versus

lowlands clade versus MFI versus South Georgia (4 populations). The prior probability distributions for all models assumed a gamma distribution. For divergence times τ we used a substitution rate prior with a mean of 7.57 x 10^9 substitutions/site/year following Gottscho et al., (2017). For ancestral population size Θ we used range values from 10,000 to 20,000 and for population mutation rates m we used range values from 0.001 to 0.00001 (Norambuena et al., 2018). All finetune parameters were set automatically. We ran ~10 trials to identify appropriately calibrated model parameter priors, after which we used a burn-in period of 500,000 steps followed by 10 million iterations (>200 effective sample size for each parameter). Following Gronau et al., (2011) and Gottscho et al., (2017), we used the equation $\tau = \tau/\mu$ where $\mu = 7.57$ x 10^9 substitutions/site/year; Gottscho et al., (2017) to convert τ into divergence time in years.

2.5 | Song analysis

To test for vocal differences between the six currently recognized taxa of the complex we composed a database of display songs. We used two individuals of *A. antarcticus*, four of *A. c. calcaratus,* two of *A. c. grayi*, four of *A. c. correndera*, 11 of *A. c. chilensis* and four of *A. c. catamarcae*, for a total of 27 individuals. Fine-scale measurements and sonograms were performed in the program Raven Pro 1.4 (Bioacoustics Research Program, 2011), using the parameters of the spectrogram by default (Window-Type: Hann, size: 256 samples (=5.33 ms), 3dB bandwidth filter: 270 Hz; time grid overlay: 50%, jump size: 128 samples (=2.67 ms); grid frequency –DFT: 256 samples, grid spacing: 188 Hz. The variables measured in each sonogram were: (a) song duration (s), (b) number of notes, (c) number of notes types, (d) notes per second, (e) repeat rate (i.e. nº notes/nº notes types), (f) low frequency (Hz), (g) high frequency (Hz), (h) delta frequency (Hz), (i) maximum amplitude frequency (FMA) entire song (Hz), (j) trill FMA (Hz) and (k) trill duration (s).

2.6 | Morphological analysis

To evaluate morphological differences between the six currently recognized taxa of the complex, we composed a database with morphological information from male individuals caught in mist-nets ($N = 37$) and from museum specimens Museo de Zoología Universidad de Concepción Chile (MZUC-CCC) $N = 2$; Museo Nacional de Historia Natural Chile (MNHN) $N = 8$, Instituto de la Patagonia $N = 2$, National Museum of Natural History (NMNH) $N = 2$, Museum of Zoology University of Michigan (UMMZ) $N = 7$, Burke Museum $N = 2$, Museum of Natural Science Louisiana State University (LSM) $N = 7$, American Museum of Natural

History (AMNH) = 27, NATURALIS $N = 2$, for a total of 96 individuals. We composed a morphological database with six measurements in mm: (a) natural wing length (measured from the curve of the wing to the tip of the longest primary feather), (b) length of tarsus, (c) length of exposed culmen or beak length, (d) head full length (includes bill) and (e) tail length.

2.7 | Statistical analysis

To test for normal distribution of the phenotypic data (morphology and songs), we ran a Kolmogorov–Smirnov normality test. Principal Component Analyses (PCA) were conducted to investigate whether subspecies exhibit differences in vocalizations and morphology and which measurements explain these differences. All PCA analyses were conducted in R (R Development Core Team, 2013) using the *prcomp* function of the 'ggbiplot' package. For graphical display, we retained the three first PC axes that explained >60% of variation. With the highly ranked measurements from the PCA analyses, we ran linear discriminant function analysis (LDA) for vocalizations and morphology, to investigate the relationships between subspecies. All LDA analyses were conducted in R (R Development Core Team, 2013) using the MASS package. We removed highly correlated variables using Pearson's r correlation test until no pairwise correlation coefficient was greater than 0.7, to allow better interpretations of the influence of variables in the group discrimination.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Phylogenetic and population structure analyses

We obtained 568,291 to 3,317,754 single-end Illumina reads of 150 bp length from 40 individuals within *Anthus correndera* (Table S2). The final data set had on average 11,467 SNPs from an average of 2,178,837 reads per individuals. The Maximum Likelihood (ML) and STRUCTURE analyses of the SNP data sets produced very consistent results (Figure 1a-b). The ML tree supports, with a bootstrap value of 100, two main lineages, one of the Andean highlands that contains individuals usually assigned to *calcaratus* and *catamarcae*, and another that represents lowlands with individuals usually assigned to *chilensis*, *correndera*, *grayi* and *antarcticus* (Figure 1b). Only *antarcticus* was monophyletic in the ML tree. Analyses with STRUCTURE across different values of K identified $K = 2$ as the most probable number of genetic groups (Figure 1a and Table S3). Groups identified at $K = 2$ showed a strong

correspondence with geography, with exception of three individuals of *catamarcae* that were assigned to the lowland clade. The second steps within highland populations support $K = 2$ (Table S3). For lowland populations at second step support $K = 2$ with one group including samples from the Pacific and Atlantic coast and Patagonia and Malvinas/Falklands Islands (Figure 1a). The only structured group ($K = 1$) corresponds to Malvinas/Falklands Islands and South Georgia Island.

3.2 | Population genomic analyses

The effective population sizes (N), population migration rates (2NM) and divergence times (τ) estimated with the three isolation-with-migration models are shown in Table 2. The oldest split between Andean and lowlands groups ranged from 135.5 Ka (model A and B) to 99 Ka (model C), from 54.7 Ka for the divergence between *grayi + antarcticus* from *correndera* and 20.6 Ka between *grayi* and *antarcticus*. For Model A, migration was symmetrical with gene flow in both directions between Andean and lowlands (Figure 2). For Model B, migration also was symmetrical, but the gene flow rate was significantly reduced (Figure 2). Finally, Model C suggests migration between continental (*correndera + chilensis*) to islands MFI and South Georgia, and symmetrical gene flow in both directions between islands (Table 2).

3.3 | Song analysis

Territorial songs of the *Anthus correndera* complex were similar between all subspecies, presenting introductory strophes and ascendant trill, whereas the trill FMA of *catamarcae* and *grayi* was higher (Table 3). Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed with six principal components (PC) and the first three that best explained 69.4% of the total variation (Figure 3, Table S4). PC1 mainly represented "duration," "notes" and "repeat rate"; PC2 represented "high frequency" and 'delta frequency'; PC3 represented 'notes types' and "low frequency". Scatterplots of PC's showed overlapping between all subspecies, only some individuals of *correndera* and *catamarcae* were separated in PC1 and PC2, and one individual of *antarcticus* was separated on PC3 (Figure 3). According to the PCA results, we ran a linear discriminant function analysis (LDA), based on the variables that best explained the variation between subspecies. The LDA resulted in 88.8% correct classification of the assigned subspecies (Figure S1 and Table S6). One individual of *catamarcae* was clustered with *grayi* and two individuals of *grayi* were assigned to *chilensis* (Table S6).

(a)

1st step   2nd step

(b)

FIGURE 1 (a) Plots of posterior probabilities for individuals assigned to K groups from STRUCTURE analyses (each separate block corresponds to one analysis). Each of the K groups within an analysis is shown as a different color for highlands (blue), lowlands (red), and Malvinas/Falklands-South Georgia (green). Taxonomic group names are listed next to the figure. The map shows the distribution of three main clusters identified at the second STRUCTURE analysis step. (b) Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree estimated by RAxML using 11,467 unlinked SNPs. Blue color indicates the highland clade, red color the lowlands clade, and green Malvinas/Falklands-South Georgia clade. Pipit illustrations from Daniel Martínez [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

TABLE 2 Results of IMa2 models for the *Anthus correndera* complex

| Parameter	Mean	95% HDP Low	95% HDP High
Model A			
N_A corr + chil+grey + anta+calc + anta	0.682	0.000	2.906
N_1 corr + chil+grey + anta	1.314	0.000	3.594
N_2 calc + cata	1.212	0.050	3.558
τ corr + chi+grey + anta/calc + cata	135,592	4,082	163,183
2NM corr + chil+grey + anta_calc + cata	0.533	0.031	0.995
2NM calc + cata_corr + chil+grey + anta	0.510	0.061	0.995
Model B			
N_A corr + chil+grey + anta+calc + anta	0.577	0.000	2.261
N_1 corr + chil+grey + anta	1.009	0.000	2.699
N_2 calc + cata	0.996	0.050	2.696
τ corr + chi+grey + anta/calc + cata	122,400	4,783	199,000
2NM corr + chil+grey + anta_calc + cata	0.275	0.055	0.514
2NM calc + cata_corr + chil+grey + anta	0.295	0.060	0.528
Model C			
N_3 corr + chil	1.843	0.000	3.770
N_2 calc + cata	1.530	0.000	3.682
N_1 grey	2.092	0.342	3.998
N_0 anta	0.960	0.000	3.302
τ anta/grey	20,637	0	66,204
τ (anta + grey)/chil + corr	54,775	3,347	124,816
τ (anta + grey+chil + corr)/calc + cata	99,020	18,694	163,183
2NM corr + chil_calc + cata	0.516	0.005	0.999
2NM calc + cata_corr + chil	0.502	0.000	0.995
2NM corr + chil_grey	0.490	0.000	0.946
2NM corr + chil_anta	0.480	0.000	0.943
2NM grey_anta	0.492	0.000	0.947
2NM anta_grey	0.508	0.053	0.999

Abbreviations: N, effective population size (number of individuals), τ, divergence time (years), 2NM, effective population migration rates, HPD, highest posterior density.

## 3.4 | Morphological analysis

Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed with five principal components (PC) and the first three explained 76.2% of the total variation (Figure 4, Table 4 and Table S5). PC1 mainly represented "wing length," "tail length" and "tarsus length"; PC2 represented "head length" and "beak length"; PC3 represented "head length," "tarsus length" and "beak length" Scatterplots of PC's showed overlapping between all subspecies, with only slight differences between *antarcticus* and all other subspecies. The subspecies *grayi* and *calcaratus* represented a subgroup of *chilensis* and *correndera,* respectively (Figure 4). We ran a linear discriminant function analysis (LDA), based on the variables that best explained the variation between subspecies (i.e. wing length, tarsus length, head length and beak length). The

Model A and B   **Model C**

FIGURE 2 Three alternative isolation-with-migration models tested using IMa2 (Hey & Nielsen, 2007). τ, divergence time scaled by mutation rate, Θ, effective population size scaled by mutation rate, generation time, and effective population size, and 2NM, migration scaled

TABLE 3 Mean value and standard deviation of the vocal measurements of selected variables in each taxonomic group of *Anthus correndera* complex

Variables	*catamarcae* (N = 4) Mean	SD	*calcaratus* (N = 4) Mean	SD	*correndera* (N = 4) Mean	SD	*chilensis* (N = 11) Mean	SD	*grayi* (N = 2) Mean	SD	*antarcticus* (N = 2) Mean	SD
Song duration (s)	20.7	14.0	41.7	10.7	40.5	10.6	31.5	14.1	35.6	18.1	42.1	30.7
Low Freq (Hz)	1,860.1	218.9	1,650.3	210.6	918.4	450.0	1628.4	509.7	1871.0	98.8	2,112.7	201.5
High Freq (Hz)	7,829.5	114.9	8,723.3	1607.2	9,457.9	2,148.6	8,156.5	484.1	7,288.3	371.3	8,010.9	74.2
Delta Freq (Hz)	5,969.4	254.8	7,072.9	1,610.7	8,539.4	2087.8	6,528.1	862.3	5,417.3	470.1	5,898.3	275.7
FMA song (Hz)	5,469.4	978.3	4,220.5	358.6	5,383.3	1,530.3	5,357.0	915.5	5,062.5	0.0	4,433.8	1,154.3
Notes	64.5	34.7	102.5	4.2	124.8	36.0	75.5	26.0	101.0	59.4	212.5	154.9
Notes types	11.5	1.0	15.0	1.6	12.8	3.4	11.4	2.6	12.5	4.9	24.0	5.7
Notes per sec	3.7	1.1	2.6	0.6	3.2	1.0	2.6	0.8	2.8	0.3	5.0	0.0
Repeat Rate	5.5	2.7	6.9	0.9	10.0	2.2	7.0	3.1	7.7	1.7	8.3	4.5
FMA trill (Hz)	5,943.2	298.4	4,392.8	455.8	4,478.9	1817.7	4,709.9	1,083.7	5,250.0	265.2	4,433.8	1,154.3
Trill duration (s)	5.7	1.6	16.5	1.8	18.4	7.5	7.0	6.4	9.5	0.9	20.6	16.7

LDA resulted in 79.1% correct classification of the assigned subspecies (Figure S2 and Table S7). Out of 21 individuals of *correndera*, three were assigned to *calcaratus* and six to *chilensis*. Out of 47 individuals of *chilensis*, three were assigned to *correndera*, one to *catamarcae* and one to *grayi*. Out of 10 individuals of *calcaratus*, two were assigned to *correndera*. Out of six individuals of *catamarcae*, one was assigned to *chilensis*. Out of three individuals of *grayi*, two were assigned to *chilensis*. And out of nine individuals of *antarcticus*, one was assigned to *chilensis* (Table S7). Two morphological characters were evident in field and museum specimens: beak size and the extension of white in the lateral rectrices (two bright white feathers in *calcaratus/catamarcae*,

and only one or a second partially white rectrix in lowland subspecies, Figure S3).

4 | DISCUSSION

4.1 | Phylogeny, STRUCTURE and Isolation-with-Migration analyses

Our results of the phylogenetic and cluster analyses suggest the presence of two lineages within the *A. correndera* complex. None of the described subspecies was recovered as monophyletic. These results are largely consistent with

FIGURE 3 Distribution of average scores between PC1, PC2, and PC3 axes of vocal variation between subspecies of *Anthus correndera* complex. Ellipses represent 75% of the variation. At the right are spectrograms showing the territorial song of each subspecies considered in the PCA analysis [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

the mtDNA tree of Norambuena et al., (2018), except that the mtDNA data were unable to resolve the relationship between *antarcticus* and *grayi*. Considering that the ancestor of this lineage probably inhabited the highlands (i.e. Andes) of South America (Van Els et al., 2019) and the divergence time obtained in the IMa models tested, the most probable scenario of diversification in *A. correndera* is a highland to lowland colonization during the end of the Pleistocene and subsequent colonization of MFI and South Georgia. Phylogenetic analyses recovered some individuals pertaining to *catamarcae* as genetically clustering with lowland subspecies.

In the Andes, extensive grasslands occur almost exclusively between 2,500 and 4,800 m (Román-Cuesta et al., 2014). Unlike Andean forests, they represent the highest vegetation zone, often being highly isolated from each other by intervening lower forested habitats that potentially act as barriers to gene flow (Robbins & Nyári, 2014). Previous ecological niche modelling results suggest that in the Andean

Altiplano the connectivity among grasslands and their extent increased during the LGM, facilitating the connection of currently disconnected highland populations of *A. correndera* (Norambuena et al., 2018). These areas were present in the north of Argentina and include Chaco, Salta, Catamarca and Tucumán (Norambuena et al., 2018). It was probable that during the LGM some grassland of this extended area facilitated the connectivity and acted as a bridge for the colonization of lowlands from the Andes. This pattern was also reported for the *A. hellmayri/*, *A. bogotensis* complex (Van Els & Norambuena, 2018), resulting from an Andean ancestor that colonized the lowlands 1.5 Mya in the early Pleistocene (Van Els et al., 2019). The colonization of high-elevation habitats and reversals to low-elevation habitats has been a central hypothesis for diversification of Neotropical birds (Brumfield & Edwards, 2007; Chapman, 1917; Fjeldså et al., 2011; Vuilleumier & Monasterio, 1986). Most of the literature available suggests an important role of isolation

FIGURE 4 Distribution of average scores between PC1, PC2, and PC3 axes of morphological variation between subspecies of *Anthus correndera* complex. Ellipses represent 75% of the variation [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

TABLE 4 Mean value and standard deviation of the measurements of morphological variables of each taxonomic group of *Anthus correndera* complex

Variables	*catamarcae* (N = 6) Mean	SD	*calcaratus* (N = 10) Mean	SD	*correndera* (N = 21) Mean	SD	*chilensis* (N = 47) Mean	SD	*grayi* (N = 3) Mean	SD	*antarcticus* (N = 9) Mean	SD
Wing length	77.6	2.3	75.1	1.7	73.8	2.8	76.5	2.3	78.1	1.0	80.3	1.9
Tail length	57.1	1.8	56.7	2.3	54.9	2.2	57.3	3.0	55.1	6.2	62.2	3.0
Head length	35.7	1.9	32.6	1.1	33.3	1.2	33.3	1.7	31.3	1.0	33.0	1.6
Beak length	13.7	0.9	15.2	0.7	13.2	1.2	11.7	0.8	11.1	1.6	14.4	1.3
Tarsus length	24.7	1.5	23.4	0.9	22.3	1.3	23.8	1.6	23.0	0.9	24.9	0.8

and allopatric speciation. Some examples are the studies with *Thamnophilus* (Thamnophilidae), *Adelomyia* (Trochilidae), *Pionus* (Psittacidae) and *Atlapetes* (Passerellidae) (e.g. Brumfield & Edwards, 2007; Chaves & Smith, 2011; Ribas et al., 2007; Sánchez-González et al., 2015). The diversification of these groups was influenced by Andean orogeny and isolation of lowland organisms on either side of the mountains and by producing a mosaic of montane and inter-Andean valley habitats where colonization and differentiation could occur (Brumfield & Edwards, 2007). These scenarios agree with the ages of diversification of most Neotropical *Anthus* (Van Els et al., 2019; Van Els & Norambuena, 2018). Recently, Winger (2017), based on a genome-wide data set reported that Andean bird lineages with lack of plumage divergence across a geographic barrier are more recently isolated, or exhibit signatures of secondary genetic introgression compared to species with plumage divergence. Winger's

study highlights the role of local ecological adaptation in the Andes, as opposed to geographic isolation, to be a primary driver of speciation (Nosil et al., 2009; Pinho & Hey, 2010; Schluter, 2009; Winger, 2017). Considering the low variation in plumage between populations of *Anthus*, recent divergences across the Andes could only be identified through genetic signals.

Clustering (species discovery) analyses consistently support Tucumán as a zone of introgression between *catamarcae* and lowlands populations. This contrasts with our phylogenetic analyses, which support the Andean highlands *calcaratus* + *catamarcae* and lowlands populations as distinct independent evolutionary lineages. IMa models for *A. correndera* suggest the presence of gene flow between Andean highlands and lowlands, congruent with clustering analyses. It is interesting to note that with the exclusion of genetically aberrant individuals of *catamarcae* from the two-population models, the results

showed a reduction in gene flow between areas. In a model including genetically aberrant individuals, the quantity of gene flow is typical of a classical parapatric speciation model (Pinho & Hey, 2010), but with the exclusion of genetically aberrant individuals, the model is approaching an allopatric speciation model. This suggests an incipient and ongoing diversification process between Andean and lowlands populations.

The phylogeny and IMa2 models furthermore suggest colonization from continent to MFI around 54.7 Ka and from MFI to South Georgia around 20.6 Ka. The IMa models suggest the presence of gene flow from the continent to MFI and South Georgia and between both islands. The taxon *antarcticus* represents the only passerine bird that inhabits South Georgia. The most probable explanation for the flux of individuals from continent to islands and between islands is a dispersal event, mediated by ocean winds from the Pacific to the Atlantic (Thompson & Barnes, 2014).

4.2 | Phenotypic information

Contrary to the original descriptions of the subspecies of the *A. correndera* complex (Table 1), phenotypic information (i.e. vocalizations and morphology) failed to resolve most of the relationships within *A. correndera*, both the PCA and LDA analyses showed multiple overlapping subspecies considered for the comparisons. Size could be a valid character for *antarcticus*, *catamarcae* and *calcaratus* especially for the head, beak and tail length. However, for *grayi* the size largely overlaps with *chilensis*. The taxon *antarcticus* is aberrant morphologically because of dark colouration and extensive dark spots and giant size. The presence of extensive white in the lateral rectrices is to separate *calcaratus* and *catamarcae* (two bright white feathers) from lowland populations (only one or a second partially white rectrix). This colour is especially conspicuous during the territorial and courtship flights when the tails of the pipits are more exposed (Alström & Mild, 2003) and could be a reliable character to separate the two groups. Remsen (2010) defined a bird subspecies as a distinct population, or groups of populations, which occupies a different breeding range from other populations of the same species; individuals being distinguishable from those other populations by one or more phenotypic traits at the 95% level of diagnosability. However, this level of diagnosability in terms of morphometrics usually is not evident (Power, 1969; Remsen, 2010; Rising et al., 2009). Considering this, and that the pattern of vocal and morphometric variation in *A. correndera* produces conflicting patterns, sub-specific designations in this complex need to be revisited. Morphological traits fail to differentiate between subspecies and the sub-specific diversity within *A. correndera* is probably due to an incomplete sampling and poor knowledge of the distribution of the species during the original descriptions of each taxon more than

50 year ago (see Table 1). Some authors recognize that the island subspecies *grayi* and even *antarcticus* are only slightly deviated island forms of *A. correndera* (van Mieghem & van Oye, 1965; Wetmore, 1926).

4.3 | Taxonomic comments

The previous taxonomic arrangement of *A. correndera* suggest the presence of six taxonomic units, but most of those units were described based on a poor data set, poor knowledge of its distribution and with imprecise character identification (see Table 1). For the subspecies *correndera*, *chilensis* and *grayi* the diagnostic characters are scarce and do not allow differentiating these three subspecies (Table 1). For *calcaratus* and *catamarcae* the characters do not allow to differentiate between these subspecies, and they are repetitive for example both have "white on the lateral rectrices" and "beak long elongated" (Table 1 and Figure S3). The most divergent in morphology (i.e. larger size) is *antarcticus*. The two *A. correndera* lineages recovered by our phylogenetic analysis and first step in structure represent two genetically different groups and areas (Andean highlands vs. lowlands). The second step retrieves *antarcticus* and *grayi* as a group, but we only had one sample from *grayi* this could be affecting this relationship. The tendency of geographic structuring is not reconciled with the current taxonomy. Considering that *antarcticus* is phylogenetically part of *A. correndera* complex, and is clearly morphologically diagnosable we suggest considering this taxon as a distinctive subspecies of *A. correndera* (cf. Van Els & Norambuena, 2018). PCA and LDA analyses clearly separate *antarcticus* and *grayi* but fails to separate the other groups. We based our decision on phylogenies, clustering algorithms, population genomic analyses and partially on phenotypic information. We conservatively suggest the identification of three subspecies-level lineages within the *A. correndera* complex: one of the Andean Altiplano (*A. c. calcaratus*) that includes *catamarcae* as a junior synonym, one in the South American lowlands (*A. c. correndera*) that includes *chilensis* and *grayi* as junior synonyms, and one in South Georgia *A. c. antarcticus*.

ORCID

Heraldo V. Norambuena 🆔 https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0523-3682
Paul van Els 🆔 https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9499-8873
Pedro F. Victoriano 🆔 https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5403-3404
Lacey Knowles 🆔 https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6567-4853

REFERENCES

Adams, S. M., Lindmeier, J. B., & Duvernell, D. D. (2006). Microsatellite analysis of the phylogeography, Pleistocene history and secondary contact hypotheses for the killifish, Fundulus heteroclitus. *Molecular Ecology*, *15*, 1109–1123. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2006.02859.x

Alström, P., & Mild, K. (2003). *Pipits and Wagtails of Europe, Asia and North America: identification and systematics*. Christopher Helm/AandC Black; Princeton University Press.

Antonelli, A., Quijada-Mascareñas, J. A., Crawford, A. J., Bates, J. M., Velazco, P. M., & Wuster, C. E. (2010). Molecular studies and phylogeography of Amazonian tetrapods and their relation to geological and climatic models. In C. Hoorn, & F. P. Wesselingh (Eds.), *Amazonia: Landscape and species evolution* (pp. 386–404). Wiley-Blackwell.

Batalha-Filho, H., Pessoa, R. O., Fabre, P. H., Fjeldså, J., Irestedt, M., Ericson, P. G. P., Silveira, L. F., & Miyaki, C. Y. (2014). Phylogeny and historical biogeography of gnateaters (Passeriformes, Conopophagidae) in the South America forests. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, *79*, 422–432. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2014.06.025

Bioacoustics Research Program (2011). *Raven pro: Interactive sound analysis software (version 1.4)*. The Cornell Lab of Ornithology.

Brumfield, R. T., & Edwards, S. V. (2007). Evolution into and out of the Andes: A Bayesian analysis of historical diversification in *Thamnophilus* antshrikes. *Evolution*, *61*, 346–367. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2007.00039.x

Cabanis, J. (1884). Deutsches Centralorgan für die Gesammte Ornithologie. *Journal Für Ornithologie*, *12*, 1–484.

Campagna, L., St Clair, J. J. H., Lougheed, S. C., Woods, R. W., Imberti, S., & Tubaro, P. L. (2012). Divergence between passerine populations from the Malvinas - Falkland Islands and their continental counterparts: A comparative phylogeographical study. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, *106*, 865–879. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8312.2012.01898.x

Chapman, F. M. (1917). The distribution of bird-life in Colombia; a contribution to a biological survey of South America. *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History*, *36*, 1–786.

Chaves, J. A., & Smith, T. B. (2011). Evolutionary patterns of diversification in the Andean hummingbird genus *Adelomyia*. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, *60*, 207–218. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2011.04.007

Clements, J. F., Schulenberg, T. S., Iliff, M. J., Roberson, D., Fredericks, T. A., Sullivan, B. L., & Wood, C. L. (2019). *The eBird/Clements checklist of birds of the world: Version 6.9*. http://www.birds.cornell.edu/clementschecklist/download/

Earl, D. A., & vonHoldt, B. M. (2012). STRUCTURE HARVESTER: A website and program for visualizing STRUCTURE output and implementing the Evanno method. *Conservation Genetics Resources*, *4*, 359–361. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12686-011-9548-7

Eaton, D. (2014). PyRAD: Assembly of de novo RADseq loci for phylogenetic analyses. *Bioinformatics*, *30*, 1844–1849. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu121

Eaton, D. A. R., & Ree, R. H. (2013). Inferring phylogeny and introgression using RADseq Data: An example from flowering plants (*Pedicularis*: Orobanchaceae). *Systematic Biology*, *62*, 689–706. https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syt032

Edgar, R. C. (2010). Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. *Bioinformatics*, *26*, 2460–2461. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq461

Evanno, G., Regnaut, S., & Goudet, J. (2005). Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the software STRUCTURE: A simulation study. *Molecular Ecology*, *14*, 2611–2620. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2005.02553.x

Excoffier, L., Dupanloup, I., Huerta-Sánchez, E., Sousa, V. C., & Foll, M. (2013). Robust Demographic inference from genomic and SNP data. *PLoS Genetics*, *9*, e1003905.–https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1003905

Falush, D., Stephens, M., & Pritchard, J. K. (2003). Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data: Linked loci and correlated allele frequencies. *Genetics*, *164*, 1567–1587. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2007.01758.x

Fetzner, J. W. Jr (1999). Extracting high-quality DNA from Shed Reptile skins: A simplified method. *BioTechniques*, *26*, 7–9. https://doi.org/10.2144/99266bm09

Fjeldså, J., Bowie, R. C. K., Rahbek, C., Fjeldsâ, J., Bowie, R. C. K., & Rahbek, C. (2011). The role of mountain ranges in the diversification of birds. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, *43*, 249–265. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-102710-145113

Garg, K. M., Chattopadhyay, B., Wilton, P. R., Prawiradilaga, D. M., & Rheindt, F. E. (2018). Pleistocene land bridges act a semipermeable agents of avian gene flow in Wallacea. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, *125*, 196–203.

González-Serna, M. J., Cordero, P. J., & Ortego, J. (2018). Using high-throughput sequencing to investigate the factors structuring genomic variation of a Mediterranean grasshopper of great conservation concern. *Scientific Reports*, *8*, 13436., https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-31775-x

Gottscho, A. D., Wood, D. A., Vandergast, A. G., Lemos-Espinal, J., Gatesy, J., & Reeder, T. W. (2017). Lineage diversification of fringe-toed lizards (Phrynosomatidae: Uma notata complex) in the Colorado Desert: Delimiting species in the presence of gene flow. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, *106*, 103–117. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2016.09.008

Garcia-Ramirez, J. C., Elliott, G., Walker, K., Castro, I., & Trewick, S. A. (2016). Trans-equatorial range of a land bird lineage (Aves: Rallidae) from tropical forests to subantarctic grasslands. *Journal of Avian Biology*, *47*, 219–226. https://doi.org/10.1111/jav.00804

Garcia-Ramirez, J. C., Joseph, L., Adcock, G., Reid, J., & Trewick, S. A. (2017). Interisland gene flow among populations of the buff-banded rail (Aves: Rallidae) and its implications for insular endemism in Oceania. *Journal of Avian Biology*, *48*, 679–690. https://doi.org/10.1111/jav.01201

Gronau, I., Hubisz, M. J., Gulko, B., Danko, C. G., & Siepel, A. (2011). Bayesian inference of ancient human demography from individual genome sequences. *Nature Genetics*, *43*, 1031–1034. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.937

Harvey, M. G., & Brumfield, R. T. (2015). Genomic variation in a widespread Neotropical bird (Xenops minutus) reveals divergence, population expansion, and gene flow. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, *83*, 305–316. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2014.10.023

Hellmayr, C. (1921). Especies del género *Anthus*. *Hornero*, *2*, 180–193.

Hewitt, G. (2000). The genetic legacy of the quarternary ice ages. *Nature*, *405*, 907–913. https://doi.org/10.1038/35016000

Hey, J. (2010). Isolation with migration models for more than two populations. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *27*(4), 905–920. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msp296

Hey, J., & Nielsen, R. (2007). Integration within the Felsenstein equation for improved Markov chain Monte Carlo methods in population genetics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *104*, 2785–2790. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0611164104

Hipp, A. L., Eaton, D. A. R., Cavender-Bares, J., Fitzek, E., Nipper, R., & Manos, P. S. (2014). A framework phylogeny of the American oak clade based on sequenced RAD data. *PLoS One*, *9*, e93975.

Huang, J.-P., & Knowles, L. L. (2016). The species versus subspecies conundrum: Quantitative delimitation from integrating multiple data types within a single Bayesian approach in Hercules Beetles. *Systematic Biology*, *65*, 685–699. https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syv119

Lischer, H. E. L., & Excoffier, L. (2012). PGDSpider: An automated data conversion tool for connecting population genetics and genomics programs. *Bioinformatics*, *28*, 298–299. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr642

Lougheed, S. C., Campagna, L., Dávila, J. A., Tubaro, P. L., Lijtmaer, D. A., & Handford, P. (2013). Continental phylogeography of an ecologically and morphologically diverse Neotropical songbird. *Zonotrichia Capensis. BMC Evolutionary Biology*, *13*, 58. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-13-58

Lynch, M. (2008). The cellular, developmental and population-genetic determinants of mutation-rate evolution. *Genetics*, *180*, 933–943. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.108.090456

Massatti, R., & Knowles, L. L. (2014). Microhabitat differences impact phylogeographic concordance of codistributed species: Genomic evidence in montane sedges (Carex L.) from the Rocky Mountains. *Evolution*, *68*, 2833–2846. https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.12491

Moritz, C., Patton, J. L., Schneider, C. J., & Smith, T. B. (2000). Diversification of rainforest faunas: An integrated molecular approach. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, *31*, 533–563. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.31.1.533

Norambuena, H. V., Van Els, P., Muñoz-Ramírez, C. P., & Victoriano, P. F. (2018). First steps towards assessing the evolutionary history and phylogeography of a widely distributed Neotropical grassland

bird (Motacillidae: *Anthus correndera*). *PeerJ*, *6*, e5886. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.5886

Nosil, P., Funk, D. J., & Ortiz-Barrientos, D. (2009). Divergent selection and heterogeneous genomic divergence. *Molecular Ecology*, *18*, 375–402. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2008.03946.x

Peterson, B. K., Weber, J. N., Kay, E. H., Fisher, H. S., & Hoekstra, H. E. (2012). Double digest RADseq: An inexpensive method for de novo SNP discovery and genotyping in model and non-model species. *PLoS One*, *7*, e37135. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0037135

Pinho, C., & Hey, J. (2010). Divergence with gene flow: Models and data. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, *41*, 215–230. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-102209-144644

Pons, J. M., Thibault, J. C., Fournier, J., Olioso, G., Raković, M., Florenzano, G. T., & Fuchs, J. (2015). Genetic variation among Corsican and continental populations of the Eurasian treecreeper (Aves: Certhia familiaris) reveals the existence of a palaeoendemic mitochondrial lineage. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, *115*, 134–153. https://doi.org/10.1111/bij.12485

Power, D. M. (1969). Evolutionary implications of wing and size variation in the Red-winged Blackbird in relation to certain geographic and climatic factors: A multiple regression analysis. *Systematic Zoology*, *18*, 363–373.

Price, T. (2008). *Speciation in birds*. Roberts and Company.

Pritchard, J. K., Stephens, M., & Donnelly, P. (2000). Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. *Genetics*, *155*, 945–959. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2007.01758.x

R Development Core Team (2013). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. ISBN 3-900051-07-0, http://www.R-project.org/

Remsen, J. V. (2010). Subspecies as a meaningful taxonomic rank in avian classification. *Ornithological Monographs*, *67*, 62–78.

Remsen, J. V. Jr, Cadena, C. D., Jaramillo, A., Nores, M., Pacheco, J. F., Pérez-Emán, J., Robbins, M. B., Stiles, F. G., Stotz, D. F., & Zimmer, K. J. (2019). *A classification of the bird species of South America*. American Ornithologists' Union. http://www.museum.lsu.edu/~Remsen/SACCBaseline.html

Ribas, C. C., Moyle, R. G., Miyaki, C. Y., & Cracraft, J. (2007). The assembly of montane biotas: Linking Andean tectonics and climatic oscillations to independent regimes of diversification in *Pionus* parrots. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *274*, 2399–2408. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2007.0613

Rising, J. D., Jackson, D. A., & Fokidis, H. B. (2009). Geographic variation in plumage pattern and coloration of savannah sparrows. *Wilson Journal of Ornithology*, *121*, 253–264. https://doi.org/10.1676/08-077.1

Robbins, M. B., & Nyári, Á. S. (2014). Canada to Tierra del Fuego: Species limits and historical biogeography of the Sedge Wren (*Cistothorus platensis*). *Wilson Journal of Ornithology*, *126*, 649–662.

Román-Cuesta, R. M., Carmona-Moreno, C., Lizcano, G., New, M., Silman, M., Knoke, T., Malhi, Y., Oliveras, I., Asbjornsen, H., & Vuille, M. (2014). Synchronous fire activity in the tropical high Andes, an indication of regional climate forcing. *Global Change Biology*, *20*, 1929–1942. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12538

Rosenberg, N. A. (2004). DISTRUCT: A program for the graphical display of population structure. *Molecular Ecology Notes*, *4*, 137–138. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1471-8286.2003.00566.x

Sánchez-González, L. A., Navarro-Siguenza, A. G., Krabbe, N. K., Fjeldsa, J., & García-Moreno, J. (2015). Diversification in the

Andes: The Atlapetes brush-finches. *Zoologica Scripta*, *44*, 135–152. https://doi.org/10.1111/zsc.12088

Schluter, D. (2009). Evidence for ecological speciation and its alternative. *Science*, *323*, 737–741. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1160006

Shipham, A., Joseph, L., Schmidt, D. J., Drew, A., Mason, I. J., & Hughes, J. M. (2018). Dissection by genomic and plumage variation of a geographically complex hybrid zone between two Australian non-sister parrot species, *Platycercus adscitus* and *P. eximius*. *Heredity*, *122*, 402–416. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41437-018-0127-5

Stamatakis, A. (2014). RAxML version 8: A tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics*, *30*, 1312–1313. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033

Thompson, D. W. J., & Barnes, E. A. (2014). Periodic variability in the large-scale southern hemisphere atmospheric circulation. *Science*, *343*, 641–645. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1247660

Toews, D. P. L., Taylor, S. A., Vallender, R., Brelsford, A., Butcher, B. G., Messer, P. W., & Lovette, I. J. (2016). Plumage genes and little else distinguish the genomes of hybridizing Warblers. *Current Biology*, *17*, 2313–2318. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2016.06.034

Tyler, S. (2004). Family Motacillidae (Pipits and wagtails). In J. Del Hoyo, A. Elliott, & D. A. Christie (Eds.), *Handbook of the birds of the world, Volume 9: Cotingas to pipits and wagtails*. (pp. 686–786). Lynx Ediciones.

van Els, P., & Norambuena, H. V. (2018). A revision of species limits in Neotropical pipits *Anthus* based on multilocus genetic and vocal data. *Ibis*, *38*, 42–49. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijlh.12426

Van Els, P., & Norambuena, H. V. (2018). A revision of species limits in Neotropical pipits (*Anthus*) based on multilocus genetic and vocal data. *Ibis*, *160*, 158–172. https://doi.org/10.1111/ibi.12511

van Els, P., Norambuena, H. V., & Etienne, R. S. (2019). From pampa to puna: Biogeography and diversification of a group of Neotropical obligate grassland birds (*Anthus*: Motacillidae). *Journal of Zoological Systematics and Evolutionary Research*, *57*, 485–496.

Van Mieghem, J., & van Oye, P. (1965). *Biogeography and ecology in Antarctica*. Monographiae biologicae.

Voelker, G. (1999). Molecular evolutionary relationships in the avian genus *Anthus* (Pipits: Motacillidae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, *11*, 84–94. https://doi.org/10.1006/mpev.1998.0555

Vieillot, L. J. P. (1818). *Ornithologie*. Lanoe.

Vuilleumier, F., & Monasterio, M. (1986). *High altitude tropical biogeography*. Oxford University Press.

Wei, T. (2015). *corrplot: Visualization of a correlation matrix*. R Package Version.

Wetmore, A. (1926). *Report on a collection of birds made by R. Pemberton in Patagonia*. University of California Press.

Wilson, E. O., & MacArthur, R. H. (1967). *The theory of island biogeography*. Princeton University Press.

Winger, B. M. (2017). Consequences of divergence and introgression for speciation in Andean cloud forest birds. *Evolution*, *1–17*, https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.13251

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section.