

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

DR. JENNIFER CADIGAN (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-6915-8389)
DR. MEGAN ELIZABETH PATRICK (Orcid ID : 0000-0003-3594-4944)
DR. CHRISTINE LEE (Orcid ID : 0000-0001-7899-8120)

Article type : Original Research Article

Negative evaluation of role transitions associated with perceived stress and alcohol-consequences: Examination of the transitions overload model in young adulthood using two years of monthly data

Jennifer M. Cadigan, Ph.D.¹, Charles B. Fleming, M.A.¹, Megan E. Patrick, Ph.D.², Melissa A. Lewis, Ph.D.³, Isaac C. Rhew, Ph.D.¹, Devon A. Abdallah, Ph.D.¹, Anne M. Fairlie, Ph.D.¹, John E. Schulenberg, Ph.D.², & Mary E. Larimer, Ph.D.¹, & Christine M. Lee, Ph.D.¹

¹Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle WA

²Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor MI

³Department of Health Behavior and Health Systems, University of North Texas Health Science Center, Fort Worth TX

Data collection and manuscript preparation were supported by a grant from the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (R01AA022087, PI: Christine M. Lee; R01AA027496, PI: Christine M. Lee). The content of this manuscript is solely the responsibility of the author(s) and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism or the National Institutes of Health.

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as [doi: 10.1111/ACER.14636](https://doi.org/10.1111/ACER.14636)

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

1 Corresponding Author: Christine M. Lee, University of Washington, Department of Psychiatry
2 and Behavioral Sciences, Seattle, WA, 98105, leecm@uw.edu

3

4

Abstract

5 Background: Young adulthood is characterized by transitions into and out of social roles in
6 multiple domains. Consistent with self-medication models of alcohol use, the Transitions
7 Overload Model (Schulenberg & Maggs, 2002) hypothesizes one mechanism of increased
8 alcohol use during young adulthood may occur from the stress of navigating simultaneous role
9 transitions. This study examined the simultaneous occurrence of major developmental role
10 transitions in the domains of education, employment, romantic relationships, and residential
11 status and associations with perceived stress, heavy episodic drinking (HED), and negative
12 alcohol-related consequences. Further, we extended the Transitions Overload Model to explore
13 whether the number of transitions rated as having a negative impact on one's life was related to
14 perceived stress, HED, and alcohol-related consequences.

15 Methods: A community sample of young adult drinkers ($N = 767$, 57% women, ages 18-25
16 years) in the Pacific Northwest provided monthly data across two years. Multilevel models were
17 used to assess the average (between-person) and month-to-month (within-person) associations of
18 role transitions with perceived stress, HED, and negative alcohol-related consequences.

19 Results: Although having more role transitions was positively associated with HED frequency
20 and alcohol-related consequences at both the between- and within-person (monthly) levels, it
21 was not associated with increased stress. Number of transitions rated as having a negative impact
22 on one's life, however, was positively associated with stress. Results indicate that rather than
23 total number of transitions, it is the number of negatively perceived major developmental role
24 transitions that are associated with perceived stress and increased risk for negative alcohol-
25 related consequences.

26 Conclusions: Contrary to Transitions Overload Model assumptions, more transitions were not a
27 significant predictor of more perceived stress; rather, the evaluation of the transition as negative
28 was associated with stress and negative alcohol-related outcomes. This distinction may help
29 elucidate the etiology of stress and subsequent alcohol consequences, and identify those at-risk.

30 Key Words: alcohol use, stress, young adult, developmental role transitions

1
2 **Negative evaluation of role transitions associated with perceived stress and alcohol**
3 **consequences: Examination of the transitions overload model in young adulthood using two**
4 **years of monthly data**

5 Young adults (ages 19-28) are at greatest risk for experiencing consequences associated
6 with alcohol and other substances compared to other age groups. In 2019, the annual prevalence
7 of alcohol use among young adults was 82%, with 62% reporting getting drunk at least once in
8 the past year (Schulenberg et al., 2020). Alcohol use, particularly in the form of heavy episodic
9 drinking (HED; 4+ drinks for females; 5+ drinks for males), is associated with negative
10 consequences including legal problems, injuries, violence, and blackouts (Hingson et al., 2017;
11 Patrick et al., 2020a).

12 **Social Role Transitions and Association with Alcohol Use**

13 During young adulthood, transitions are common in the domains of education, living
14 situations, employment, romantic relationships and parenting (Osgood et al., 2005; Settersten,
15 2007; Shanahan et al., 2005). Young adults experience many social role transitions (e.g.,
16 graduating from college, moving in or out of their parents' home, getting married) during the
17 course of young adulthood (Cadigan et al., 2019; Fleming et al., 2018, Patrick et al., 2018,
18 2020b). Research has documented the association between various social role statuses and
19 alcohol use among young adults. For example, being a college student, dating, and initial
20 independence/living outside of a parent's home are associated with greater levels of use and
21 negative alcohol-related consequences, while being married or a parent is associated with less
22 use (e.g., Patrick et al., 2020a; Schulenberg & Patrick, 2012; Schulenberg et al., 2018).

23 Further, transitions experienced during the period of young adulthood are potential
24 correlates of alcohol misuse. For example, on months when young adults experience the end of a
25 romantic relationship, they drink a greater number of drinks and report stronger coping motives
26 for drinking, compared to their own reports on other months (Patrick et al., 2018). Monthly
27 variation in romantic relationship status has also been shown to be related to monthly variation in
28 alcohol use (Fleming et al., 2018).

29 **Experiencing Multiple Transitions and the Transitions Overload Model for High-risk**
30 **Alcohol Use**

1 Most people experience multiple transitions across several domains during their late teens
2 and early twenties, a time when frequent alcohol use escalates and peaks (Schulenberg et al.,
3 2018). The number of transitions experienced by a young adult, however, varies considerably
4 (Patrick et al., 2020b), with some young adults experiencing frequent transitions across young
5 adulthood and others having infrequent change in their social roles. In a previous study, we
6 found that classes of young adults who experienced transitions in multiple social role domains
7 (e.g., education, residential, employment, romantic relationships) reported more alcohol use,
8 cannabis use, and overall greater levels of mental health impairment six months later compared
9 to young adults with fewer transitions (Patrick et al., 2020b). These findings suggest that
10 experiencing multiple social role transitions across two years may place young adults at greater
11 risk for substance use and mental health problems.

12 To help understand the potential impact of experiencing multiple transitions with
13 increases in alcohol use, the Transitions Overload Model (Schulenberg & Maggs, 2002;
14 Schulenberg et al., 2018) draws from Coleman's Focal Theory (1989) and cumulative stress
15 theory (Simmons & Blyth, 1987), suggesting that more transitions are associated with increased
16 health risks such as decreased overall well-being and increased substance use. This Transition
17 Overload Model asserts that increased instability during young adulthood (i.e., the experience of
18 multiple and often simultaneous transitions) may lead to increases in perceived stress as well as
19 an overload to one's coping capacity, thus leading to increased health risks including HED as
20 one way to cope with their increased stress (Kuntsche et al., 2005; Patrick et al., 2018). The
21 model suggests that the experience of multiple and simultaneous transitions leads to increased
22 heavy drinking and more negative consequences. On a proximal level over time as transitions
23 occur, the main premise of the Transitions Overload Model has not been empirically tested to
24 date. That is, whether there is a main effect of number of transitions on heavy alcohol use and
25 whether this is due to increased stress.

26 Cross-sectional and longitudinal studies spanning several years offer inconclusive
27 evidence for the Transitions Overload Model, suggesting that stress may not necessarily be
28 related to the total number of transitions, but depend on factors such as type of transition (e.g.,
29 moving back home) or no perceived valence of the transition (Bell & Lee, 2008). Transitions
30 perceived as negative may be more likely to lead to feelings of stress and being overwhelmed.
31 Other work has aggregated the number of transitions during young adulthood, with findings

1 suggesting more transitions during the first few years after high school are associated with
2 greater levels of well-being, suggesting that, to some degree, role transitions may be normative
3 and protective (Schulenberg et al., 2018).

4 An important limitation to prior work is that transitions have not previously taken into
5 account the valence of those experiences, that is, whether the transition was experienced as
6 positive or negative. It is possible that young adults who perceive that a transition had a negative
7 impact on their life (e.g., perceive that starting a job will negatively impact their life) will report
8 more stress in general, and also will report more high-risk alcohol use as a means of coping with
9 increased instability, consistent with self-medication models of alcohol use (Khantzian, 1997).

10 **Current Study**

11 Expanding on our previous work examining social role transitions across young
12 adulthood (Fleming et al., 2018; Patrick et al., 2018; 2020b), we sought to evaluate the primary
13 premise of the Transitions Overload Model and to extend our test of the model by incorporating
14 evaluation of the negative valence of social role transitions. Specifically, multilevel models were
15 used to assess the average between-person and month-to-month within-person associations
16 between the number of social role transitions experienced, perceived stress, and HED frequency,
17 and consequences, using 24 consecutive monthly assessments to span the multitude of transitions
18 that occur during young adulthood. Notably, in addition to examining the overall number of
19 social role transitions and between- and within-person variation, we also examined the perceived
20 negative evaluation of each transition, that is, whether a transition was reported as having a
21 negative impact on their life. To date, little research has taken into account within-person
22 variation or between-person differences in transitions, in addition to the evaluation of the
23 transition, and their associations with perceived stress and HED and related-consequences among
24 young adults.

25 The current study focused on seven major transitions in four domains: starting or ending
26 of roles in education, work, and romantic relationships, and moving either away from or back in
27 with parents. Consistent with research examining the Transitions Overload Model (Patrick et al.,
28 2020b; Schulenberg & Maggs, 2002; Schulenberg et al., 2018), we hypothesized: (H1) A greater
29 number of overall social role transitions (total number of transitions; i.e., starting and stopping of
30 a given role) in a given month will be associated with increases in stress, HED frequency, and
31 alcohol-related consequences that month, and (H2) perceiving a greater number of social role

1 transitions as *negative* (e.g., starting a job rated as having a negative impact on one's life; ending
2 a romantic relationship rated as having a negative impact on one's life) in a given month will be
3 associated with increases in stress, HED frequency, and alcohol-related consequences that
4 month.

5 **Materials and Methods**

6 **Participants and Procedures**

7 Participants were 767 young adults who were part of a longitudinal study on young adult
8 social role transitions and alcohol use starting in 2015. Inclusion criteria for the larger study
9 included being 18 to 23 years of age at screening; drinking at least one alcoholic beverage in the
10 past year; living within 60 miles from the study office in Seattle, WA; and being willing to come
11 to the study office for consent and completion of a baseline assessment.

12 Participants were recruited through a variety of methods including print and online
13 advertisements, posted flyers, outreach at community colleges, and friend referral. Interested
14 participants completed a brief confidential online eligibility survey, with those meeting eligibility
15 criteria invited to schedule an in-person session in the study offices, where identity and age was
16 verified, study procedures were explained, and informed consent was obtained. Once consented,
17 participants completed an online baseline assessment, which included questions on demographic,
18 alcohol use, and other measures. They were paid a \$40 gift card upon baseline completion.

19 Beginning the first of the month following their in-person session, participants completed
20 monthly online surveys for 24 consecutive months. Each monthly survey period was open 7-10
21 days with participants receiving email, text message, and telephone reminders to complete the
22 surveys. The monthly surveys asked about the previous month's experiences, social role
23 transitions, and alcohol use. Amazon gift card codes were emailed as compensation for each
24 completed survey (up to \$730 total for the monthly surveys). The University of Washington's
25 Institutional Review Board approved all procedures and no adverse events were reported.

26 Of the 778 participants who began the monthly survey assessments, 11 were excluded
27 from analyses because they were missing data on race/ethnicity at baseline or relevant time-
28 varying variables (e.g., alcohol use, perceived stress, or social role transitions) at all available
29 monthly assessments. Thus, a total of 767 participants were included in this analysis with a total
30 of 14,602 monthly observations.

1 The mean age of the analytic sample at the first month of data collection was 21.11 years
2 ($SD = 1.70$), and 57% of the sample reported sex at birth as female. The sample was 60% White,
3 18% Asian, 5% Black, and 17% other (including Native American, Pacific Islander, and
4 multiracial); 9% participants identified as Hispanic/Latino. At the beginning of the study, 61%
5 were employed, 44% were in romantic relationships, and only 10 individuals were married and 9
6 had children. A total of 75% were current students: 2% were high school students, 46% were 4-
7 year college students, 22% were 2-year college students or trade/vocational students, and 5%
8 were graduate or professional school students.

9 **Measures**

10 *Social Role Transitions.* Each month participants reported on their status (e.g., “dating
11 seriously,” “working part-time,” “not a student,” and “living with parent(s)”) and social role
12 transitions in domains of education, employment, residence, and romantic relationships. To
13 assess social role transitions, participants reported on changes the previous month in each
14 domain. Based on our earlier study, we calculated an index of transitions in a given month based
15 partly on how many of the following transitions occurred: ending a job, starting a job, starting a
16 romantic relationship, ending a romantic relationship, starting school, finishing or leaving
17 school, and either moving away from or moving back in with parents (see Patrick et al, 2020b
18 2018 for detailed description about transition measurement and coding for monthly education,
19 work, and relationship transitions). In particular, ending a job was indicated by one of the
20 following changes: “fired or laid off,” “quit,” “went on leave,” or “temporary/contract work
21 expired.” Starting a job indicated by one variable assessing whether or not the participant
22 “started a new job.” Ended a romantic relationship was indicated by responding positively to
23 “relationship ended, became single,” “decided to separate or be on a break,” or “became
24 separated/divorced”. Starting a romantic relationship was indicated by a positive response to the
25 item: “started new relationship.” Starting school was indicated by: “in school, classes started
26 again after temporary leave or break,” “started new school (previously NOT in school),” or
27 “changed schools.” Finishing or leaving school was indicated by: “left, dropped out, kicked out
28 of school,” “in school but currently on temporary leave,” “in school but currently on summer
29 break,” or “graduated/received GED.” Residential transitions involving either moving away from
30 and moving back in with parents were based on participants’ reports of their living situation
31 status in adjacent months (and using the baseline survey as the reference for this transition in

1 Month 1). For example, if a participant reported living with a parent in Month 7 but not living
2 with a parent in Month 8, the participant was considered to have moved away from the parent in
3 Month 8. The number of total transitions ranged from zero to seven.

4 A second index was computed to capture the number of *negative* transitions respondents
5 had experienced. For each transition reported, respondents were asked to, "Indicate the extent to
6 which you viewed the change as having either a positive or negative impact on your life."
7 Response options were 0= "extremely negative," 1= "negative," 2= "no impact," 3= "positive,"
8 and 4= "extremely positive." The index of negative evaluation of transitions was based on the
9 number of major transitions respondents reported being "negative" or "extremely negative."

10 *Perceived stress.* The perceived stress scale (Cohen et al., 1983) included 14 items
11 concerning how often the participant had experienced symptoms of stress in the prior month
12 (e.g., "Felt difficulties were piling up so high that you could not overcome them," "Felt that you
13 were unable to control the important things in your life"). Response options for items ranged
14 from 0 = Never to 4= very often. The mean of the 14 items was computed to form a scale score
15 (Cronbach's alpha = 0.84 based on month 1 data).

16 *HED frequency.* At each month respondents were asked about their past-month frequency
17 of heavy episodic drinking (HED), with 4 or 5 as the HED threshold for females and males,
18 respectively, and sex determined by sex at birth (National Institute of Alcohol Abuse and
19 Alcoholism, 2013). We collapsed eight response options into five (1 = never, 2 = once, 3 = 2-3
20 days, 4 = 1 day per week, 5 = 2 days per week or more).

21 *Alcohol consequences.* We used the Brief Young Adult Alcohol Consequences
22 Questionnaire (Kahler et al., 2005) to measure alcohol consequences. The measure asks
23 respondents whether they have experienced 24 possible consequences (e.g., I have taken foolish
24 risks when I have been drinking, I have passed out from drinking) in the prior month. A sum
25 score was calculated and can thus range from 0 to 24.

26 *Demographic covariates.* Participants reported their age, race/ethnicity, and biological
27 sex at birth during the baseline assessment. Age was coded in years. Race/ethnicity was
28 represented with four categories: Non-Hispanic White, Non-Hispanic Asian, Non-Hispanic
29 Other (including Black, Native American, and mixed race/ethnicity), and Hispanic.
30 Race/ethnicity was dummy-coded with Non-Hispanic White serving as the reference group.
31 Birth sex was coded as 1=female and 0=male.

1 **Data Analysis**

2 After examining descriptive information on transitions, HED, and alcohol consequences,
3 we used multilevel models estimated with Stata 14.2 (StataCorp, 2016) to account for nesting of
4 up to 24 monthly assessments within individuals. We first estimated a model that regressed
5 perceived stress on number of transitions and demographic covariates, with perceived stress
6 treated as a continuous and normally distributed outcome. We then estimated models predicting
7 frequency of HED and number of alcohol consequences as outcomes. These models included
8 number of transitions, perceived stress, and demographic covariates as predictors. For models
9 predicting frequency of HED, an ordinal logistic (also known as cumulative probability) form of
10 the multilevel model was used to estimate odds ratios that reflect the change in odds of being in a
11 one-unit higher category of HED frequency associated with a one unit change in the given
12 predictor. For alcohol consequences, which was a non-negative discrete integer showing positive
13 skew and over-dispersion, we used a negative binomial model of the distribution. For these
14 models, we estimated rate ratios (also referred to as count ratios), which can be interpreted in
15 terms of percentage change in the count of alcohol consequences associated with a one unit
16 increase in the given covariate (Atkins et al., 2013). After estimating this first set of models, a
17 second set was run in which the number of transitions perceived as *negative* was substituted for
18 the number of transitions.

19 In all models, demographic covariates were treated as Level 2 (person-level) predictors.
20 To disentangle between- and within-person effects of transitions and perceived stress, these
21 variables were entered as both between- and within-person variables, with the Level 2 versions
22 of the covariates computed as the person i 's mean across months (e.g., $\overline{transitions}_i$) and the
23 Level 1 covariates coded as deviations from an individual's overall mean at a given month t
24 ($transitions_{it} - \overline{transitions}_i$; Curran & Bauer, 2011). Level 2 measures of person-means were
25 standardized, and were thus grand mean-centered.

26 The mean number of months of data per individual in the analysis sample was 19.04 (SD
27 = 6.81). Eighty-three percent of the sample reported on at least half of the 24 months, and 48%
28 reported on all 24 months. Number of months of data was not significantly associated with
29 race/ethnicity, but was positively associated with age at baseline ($r = .09$, $p = .019$) and differed
30 by sex (Female: $M = 20.11$, $SD = 6.03$; Male: $M = 17.64$, $SD = 7.49$, $t[765] = 5.07$, $p < .001$).
31 Number of months of data was also negatively associated with average frequency of HED across

1 months in which data was reported ($r = -0.10$, $p = .005$), average stress across months ($r = -0.12$,
2 $p = .001$), and average number of major transitions across months ($r = -0.12$, $p = .001$). The
3 multilevel modeling approach makes use of all available data and should yield unbiased
4 estimates due to missingness under the assumption that data are missing at random after model
5 variables are taken into account (Graham, 2012).

6 **Results**

7 **Descriptive Information**

8 At least one of the seven major transitions was reported in 42.3% of person months, and
9 the average number of transitions experienced in a month was 0.60 ($SD = 0.82$) with a range
10 from 0 to 6. At least one negative evaluation of a transition was reported in 7.6% of months, and
11 the average number of negative evaluations of transitions experienced in a month was 0.08 ($SD =$
12 0.29). HED was reported in 46.7% of months, and at least one alcohol consequence was reported
13 in 46.8% of months. The average score on the perceived stress scale was 1.67 ($SD = 0.63$).

14 **Number of Transitions**

15 Table 1 shows model estimates for the multilevel models in which number of transitions
16 was a predictor of perceived stress and alcohol outcomes. Contrary to one component of the
17 Transitions Overload Model, at the within-person level, the number of transitions was *negatively*
18 associated with stress, with individuals reporting *less* stress on months with more transitions.
19 Further, at the between-person level, having more transitions was not significantly associated
20 with perceived stress.

21 Regarding the alcohol outcomes, the number of transitions was statistically significantly
22 associated with HED frequency and alcohol consequences at $p < .05$. At the within-person level,
23 an additional transition in a given month was associated with 6% greater odds of reporting a
24 more frequent category of HED and was also associated with 3% more alcohol consequences.
25 Thus, consistent with the Transitions Overload Model, experiencing more transitions was
26 associated with more HED and alcohol consequences.

27 At both the between- and within-person level, perceived stress was not significantly
28 associated with frequency of HED, but was positively associated with alcohol consequences. In
29 other words, more stress on average was associated with more alcohol consequences on average
30 and more stress in a given month was associated with more drinking consequences in that month.

31 **Negative Evaluation of Transitions**

1 However, current findings on the association between the number of transitions and stress
2 were inconsistent with the Transitions Overload Model. Contrary to hypotheses drawn from the
3 model, we found that the number of transitions was not a significant predictor of perceived
4 stress. That is, even though the experience of multiple transitions was associated with increased
5 HED and negative alcohol consequences, it was not associated with increased stress (and was
6 instead associated with decreased stress at the within-individual level); thus, the mechanism for
7 the association between multiple transitions and alcohol outcomes was not increased stress. The
8 finding that increased transitions was not associated with increased stress suggests that
9 individuals who tend to pursue more transitions may have more psychological resources to
10 manage the impending changes in their lives (Schulenberg et al., 2003). It is also possible that
11 young adults who anticipate changes, as opposed to those for whom transitions were not
12 expected, may be more equipped to manage upcoming instability and have less stress. Future
13 work could continue to examine whether changes in these various domains were anticipated or
14 not.

15 Extending the Transitions Overload Model, we found that the number of negatively
16 evaluated transitions was associated with both perceived stress and negative alcohol
17 consequences. Individuals who had more transitions that were perceived as negative across the
18 24 months reported more stress on average, and greater perceived stress was reported on months
19 when they experienced more negative transitions. Therefore, as a refinement of the Transitions
20 Overload Model, it is not the overall number of transitions, but rather those rated as having a
21 negative impact on one's life, which are associated with more stress that month and increased
22 risk for negative alcohol-related consequences. This finding is important in clarifying the
23 etiology of stress that can, in turn, lead to alcohol use with negative consequences. In sum,
24 contrary to the expected hypothesis, having more instability and more transitions are not
25 associated with stress; instead it is transitions evaluated as having a negative impact that are
26 salient in the etiology of stress and subsequent alcohol consequences.

27 While the Transitions Overload Model focuses on perceived stress as a mechanism for
28 alcohol use, consistent with self-medication models, decades of research has also shown that
29 alcohol use is socially motivated for many young adults (Kuntsche et al., 2006). Other
30 developmental models, such as the Transitions Catalyst Model (Schulenberg & Maggs, 2002)
31 may also describe general drinking patterns during young adulthood. For example, alcohol use

1 may be seen as a way for facilitating new social relationships or interactions driven by social
2 enhancement drinking motivations. The intersection of developmental and addiction models
3 may help elucidate risk factors related to alcohol misuse.

4 For many young adults, social role transitions are normative, positive, and not related to
5 increased stress. Indeed, it may be more stressful to *not* experience such transitions (e.g., staying
6 in an unfulfilling job; being single and not starting a new relationship; not graduating). When
7 examining the transitions that young adults do rate as negative, there is an association with
8 increased stress. Although stress is not predictive of HED frequency, it is predictive of negative
9 alcohol-related consequences. This suggests that stress may be leading young adults to drink to
10 cope with negative emotions related to the difficult role transition, and is consistent with
11 previous findings that drinking to cope is associated with negative outcomes (Kuntsche et al.,
12 2006). On months when young adults are experiencing negative transitions, alcohol-related
13 consequences may be more consequential, regardless of frequency of alcohol use. Future
14 research integrating the tenets of the Overload Model with motives for drinking may be needed
15 to examine how and for whom stressful transitions lead to coping through alcohol use.

16 **Clinical Implications**

17 Although the majority of transitions were rated as neutral or somewhat positive, young
18 adults who viewed transitions as having a negative impact on their lives experienced more stress
19 and were at increased risk for negative alcohol-related consequences. Future work could focus on
20 identifying young adults who are experiencing difficulty as a result of changes in their education,
21 employment, residence, and/or romantic relationships status. There is a need to develop
22 indicated/selective prevention strategies focused on improving well-being, providing support,
23 coping skills, stress management, and reducing alcohol misuse for those who are struggling with
24 social role transitions.

25 **Future Work and Limitations**

26 Theoretical and empirical research suggests that reactions to stressors can be based on
27 individual differences in resilience factors such as perceived control; that is, one's beliefs about
28 the extent to which outcomes are contingent on his/her actions are associated with less distress,
29 compared to beliefs that outcomes are associated with things outside of his/her control
30 (Eizenman et al., 1997). As perceptions of control can vary across life domains and have both
31 short- and long-term variability and change (e.g., Cairney & Krause, 2008; Eizenman et al.,

1 1997; Hay & Diehl, 2010), future work could examine perceived control over sole role
2 transitions and associations with stress and substance use.

3 Limitations to this study include reliance on self-report data. One strength of the study
4 design, however, was the use of monthly data. The within-person analyses do not account for
5 temporal ordering, that is, on a given month the ordering of stress and alcohol use within that
6 month period is unknown. However, it was expected that the number of role transitions, negative
7 evaluation of the transition, and stress were proximally associated with alcohol use and negative
8 alcohol consequences within the same month. Therefore, we chose to examine these concurrent
9 associations within a given month instead of lagged associations of predictors at subsequent
10 months. It is also possible that life courses differ, as some young adults may be faced with more
11 transitions at a given time. For some, navigating multiple social role transitions at one time may
12 be normative. The number and experience of social role transitions during early adulthood are
13 likely to vary by background characteristics (Institute of Medicine & National Research Council,
14 2014), and future work should consider moderation by such characteristics as race/ethnicity and
15 SES. Generalizability of the findings may also be limited due to the sample of young adults
16 recruited from a large metropolitan area in the Pacific Northwest, all of whom had drunk alcohol
17 in the year prior to enrollment. There was also some attrition across time points during the two
18 years of monthly data collection, with individuals who experienced more role transitions and
19 stress, drank more, were younger, and males having more missing data. Although our modeling
20 approach accounts for differential attrition that is associated with model covariates, there may be
21 other sources of missingness that further limit generalizability of the findings (Graham, 2012).

22 Despite these limitations, this is the first study of which we are aware of to provide an
23 empirical test of the Transitions Overload Model. Results indicate that rather than total number
24 of transitions experienced, it is the evaluation of the transition (i.e., number of negatively
25 perceived transitions) that is associated with perceived stress and increased risk for negative
26 alcohol-related consequences. Future work should identify and support the young adults who are
27 negatively impacted by social role transitions experienced in their late teens/early twenties, in
28 addition to support those who may be using other substances in the face of stress—namely,
29 marijuana (Rhew et al., 2020). Indicated prevention/interventions efforts are needed for this
30 group who are most at-risk for negative outcomes.

31

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

References

- Atkins DC, Baldwin SA, Zheng C, Gallop RJ, Neighbors C. (2013). A tutorial on count regression and zero-altered count models for longitudinal substance use data. *Psychol Addict Beh* 27:166-177.
- Bell S, Lee C. (2008). Transitions in emerging adulthood and stress among young Australian women. *Int J Behav Med* 15:280-288.
- Cadigan JM, Duckworth JC, Parker ME, Lee CM. (2019). Influence of developmental social role transitions on young adult substance use. *Curr Opin Psychol* 30:87-91.
- Cairney J, Krause N. (2008). Negative life events and age-related decline in mastery: Are older adults more vulnerable to the control-eroding effect of stress?. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci* 63:S162-S170.
- Cohen S, Kamarek T, Mermelstein R. (1983). A global measure of perceived stress. *J Health Soc Beh* 24:385-396.
- Coleman JC. (1989). The focal theory of adolescence: A psychological perspective. In: Hurrelmann K, Engel U, editors. *The social world of adolescents: International perspectives*. Oxford, England: Walter de Gruyter; 43–56.
- Curran PJ, Bauer DJ. (2011). The disaggregation of within-person and between-person effects in longitudinal models of change. *Ann Rev Psychol* 62:583-619.
- Eizenman DR, Nesselroade JR, Featherman DL, Rowe JW. (1997). Intraindividual variability in perceived control in a older sample: The MacArthur successful aging studies. *Psychol Age* 12:489-502
- Fleming CB, Lee CM, Rhew IC, Ramirez JJ, Abdallah DA, Fairlie AM. (2018). Descriptive and prospective analysis of young adult alcohol use and romantic relationships: Disentangling between-and within-person associations using monthly assessments. *Subst Use Misuse* 53:2240-2249.
- Graham JW. (2012). *Missing data: Analysis and design*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Hay EL, Diehl M. (2010). Reactivity to daily stressors in adulthood: The importance of stressor type in characterizing risk factors. *Psychol Age* 25:118-131.

- 1 Hingson RW, Zha W, Smyth D. (2017). Magnitude and trends in heavy episodic drinking,
2 alcohol-impaired driving, and alcohol-related mortality and overdose hospitalizations
3 among emerging adults of college ages 18–24 in the United States, 1998–2014. *J Stud*
4 *Alc Drug* 78:540-548.
- 5 Institute of Medicine & National Research Council (2014). *Investing in the health and well-being*
6 *of young adults*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
7 [http://www.nationalacademies.org/hmd/Reports/2014/Investing-in-the-Health-and-Well-](http://www.nationalacademies.org/hmd/Reports/2014/Investing-in-the-Health-and-Well-Being-of-Young-Adults.aspx)
8 [Being-of-Young-Adults.aspx](http://www.nationalacademies.org/hmd/Reports/2014/Investing-in-the-Health-and-Well-Being-of-Young-Adults.aspx)
- 9 Kahler CW, Strong DR, Read JP. (2005). Toward efficient and comprehensive measurement of
10 the alcohol problems continuum in college students: The Brief Young Adult Alcohol
11 Consequences Questionnaire. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res* 29:1180-1189.
- 12 Khantzian EJ. (1997). The self-medication hypothesis of substance use disorders: A
13 reconsideration and recent applications. *Harvard Review Psychol* 4:231–244.
- 14 Kuntsche E, Knibbe R, Gmel G, Engels R. (2006). Who drinks and why? A review of
15 socio-demographic, personality, and contextual issues behind the drinking motives in
16 young people. *Addict Beh* 31:1844-1857.
- 17 Kuntsche E, Knibbe R, Gmel G, Engels R. (2005). Why do young people drink? A review of
18 drinking motives. *Clin Psychol Rev* 25:841–861.
- 19 National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (2013). Recommended alcohol questions.
20 Retrieved from <https://www.niaaa.nih.gov/research/guidelines-and-resources/>
- 21 Osgood DW, Ruth G, Eccles JS, Jacobs JE, Barber BL. (2005). Six paths to adulthood: Fast
22 starters, parents without careers, educated partners, educated singles, working singles,
23 and slow starters. In R. A. Settersten, Jr., F. F. Furstenberg, Jr., & R. G. Rumbaut (Eds.),
24 *On the frontier of adulthood: Theory, research, and public policy* (pp. 320–355). Chicago:
25 University of Chicago Press
- 26 Patrick ME, Rhew IC, Duckworth JC, Lewis MA, Abdallah DA, Lee CM. (2020b). Patterns of
27 young adult social roles transitions across 24 months and subsequent substance use and
28 mental health. *J Youth Adoles* 49:869–880.
- 29 Patrick ME, Rhew IC, Lewis MA, Abdallah DA, Larimer ME, Schulenberg JE, Lee CM. (2018).
30 Alcohol motivations and behaviors during months young adults experience social role
31 transitions: Microtransitions in early adulthood. *Psychol Addict Beh* 32:895-903.

- 1 Patrick ME, Terry-McElrath YM, Evans-Polce RJ, Schulenberg JE. (2020a). Negative alcohol-
2 related consequences experienced by young adults in the past 12 months: Differences by
3 college attendance, living situation, binge drinking, and sex. *Addict Beh* 105:106320.
- 4 Rhew IC, Cadigan JM, Lee CM. (2020). Marijuana, but not alcohol, use frequency associated
5 with greater loneliness, psychological distress, and less flourishing among young adults.
6 *Drug Alc Dep* 218:108404.
- 7 Schulenberg JE, Bryant AL, O'Malley PM. (2004). Taking hold of some kind of life: How
8 developmental tasks relate to trajectories of well-being during the transition to
9 adulthood. *Develop Psychopath* 16:1119-1140.
- 10 Schulenberg, J. E., Johnston, L. D., O'Malley, P. M., Bachman, J. G., Miech, R. A., & Patrick,
11 M. E. (2020). *Monitoring the Future national survey results on drug use, 1975-2019:
12 Volume II, college students and adults ages 19-60*. Ann Arbor: Institute for Social
13 Research, The University of Michigan.
- 14 Schulenberg JE, Maggs JL. (2002). A developmental perspective on alcohol use and heavy
15 drinking during adolescence and the transition to young adulthood. *J Stud Alc, Sup* 14:
16 54-70.
- 17 Schulenberg, J.E., Maggs, J.M., & O'Malley, P.M. (2003). How and why the understanding of
18 developmental continuity and discontinuity is important: The sample case of long-term
19 consequences of adolescent substance use. In J. T. Mortimer & M. J. Shanahan (Eds).
20 *Handbook of the life course* (pp. 413-436). New York: Plenum Publishers.
- 21 Schulenberg, J.E., Maslowsky, J., Maggs, J.L., & Zucker, R. (2018). Development matters:
22 Taking the long view on substance use during adolescence and the transition to
23 adulthood. In P.M. Monti, S.M. Colby, & T. Tevyaw (Eds.), *Brief interventions for
24 adolescent alcohol and substance abuse* (pp 13-49). New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- 25 Schulenberg JE, Patrick ME. (2012). Historical and developmental patterns of alcohol and drug
26 use among college students: Framing the problem. In H. R. White & D. L. Rabiner
27 (Eds.), *Duke series in child development and public policy. College drinking and drug
28 use* (p. 13–35). The Guilford Press.
- 29 Settersten RA. (2007). The new landscape of adult life: Road maps, signposts, and speed
30 lines. *Res Human Dev* 4:239-252.
- 31 Simmons RG, Burgeson R, Carlton-Ford S, Blyth, DA. (1987). The impact of cumulative change

- 1 in early adolescence. *Child Dev* 1220-1234.
- 2 Shanahan MJ, Porfeli EJ, Mortimer JT, Erickson, LD. (2005). Subjective Age Identity and the
3 Transition to Adulthood: When Do Adolescents Become Adults? In R. A. Settersten, Jr.,
4 F. F. Furstenberg, Jr., & R. G. Rumbaut (Eds.), *The John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur*
5 *foundation series on mental health and development. Research network on transitions to*
6 *adulthood and public policy). On the frontier of adulthood: Theory, research, and public*
7 *policy* (p. 225–255). The University of Chicago Press.
- 8 StataCorp L P. (2016). STATA Software (version 14.1). College Station, TX.

Author Manuscript

Table 1. Transitions: Multilevel models predicting perceived stress and alcohol use outcomes with number of transitions as predictor

Predictor	Stress			HED frequency			Alcohol consequences				
	<i>B</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>p</i> =	<i>OR</i>	<i>95% CI</i>	<i>p</i> =	<i>RR</i>	<i>95% CI</i>	<i>p</i> =		
<i>Between person variables</i>											
Female	0.17	0.03	<.001	0.95	0.67	1.34	0.762	1.04	0.82	1.32	0.755
Race/ethnicity (ref.=Non-Hispanic White)											
Non-Hispanic Asian	0.17	0.04	<.001	0.44	0.28	0.68	<.001	0.50	0.35	0.7	<.001
Non-Hispanic other	0.05	0.05	0.268	0.70	0.46	1.06	0.095	0.78	0.57	1.05	0.097
Hispanic	0.06	0.06	0.289	0.79	0.43	1.46	0.452	0.73	0.47	1.13	0.157
Age	-0.01	0.01	0.285	0.96	0.86	1.07	0.458	1.10	1.02	1.19	0.019
Number of transitions per month (standardized)	0.01	0.02	0.495	1.46	1.23	1.74	<.001	1.39	1.24	1.57	<.001
Average perceived stress (standardized)				0.99	0.83	1.19	0.951	1.27	1.12	1.43	<.001
<i>Within person variables</i>											
Number of transitions in a month	-0.02	0.01	0.001	1.06	1.01	1.12	0.024	1.03	1.01	1.06	0.013
Perceived stress in a month (standardized)				1.03	0.98	1.08	0.201	1.10	1.07	1.13	<.001
Month of study	0.00	0.00	0.005	0.98	0.97	0.99	<.001	0.98	0.98	0.99	<.001

Note. HED = heavy episodic drinking, OR = odds ratio, RR = rate ratio, CI =confidence interval, ref. = reference category.

Table 2. Negative Transitions: Multilevel models predicting perceived stress and alcohol use outcomes with number of negative transitions as predictor

Predictor	Stress			HED frequency			Alcohol consequences				
	<i>B</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>p</i> =	OR	95% CI	<i>p</i> =	<i>RR</i>	95% CI	<i>p</i> =		
<i>Between person variables</i>											
Female	0.16	0.03	<.001	1.02	0.73	1.44	0.898	1.10	0.86	1.41	0.436
Race/ethnicity (ref.=Non-Hispanic White)											
Non-Hispanic Asian	0.18	0.04	<.001	0.40	0.25	0.62	<.001	0.47	0.34	0.67	<.001
Non-Hispanic other	0.04	0.05	0.367	0.72	0.47	1.11	0.138	0.79	0.58	1.07	0.126
Hispanic	0.05	0.06	0.348	0.79	0.43	1.46	0.453	0.73	0.47	1.12	0.152
Age	-0.01	0.01	0.335	0.88	0.79	0.97	0.010	1.02	0.95	1.01	0.549
Number of negative transitions per month (standardized)	0.08	0.01	<.001	1.04	0.89	1.21	0.635	1.17	1.07	1.28	0.001
Average perceived stress (standardized)				1.00	0.83	1.20	0.968	1.23	1.08	1.40	0.001
<i>Within person variables</i>											
Number of negative transitions in a month	0.17	0.02	<.001	1.08	0.93	1.26	0.312	1.11	1.02	1.20	0.010

Perceived stress in a month (standardized)				1.03	0.98	1.08	0.277	1.10	1.07	1.13	<.001
Month of study	0.00	0.00	0.019	0.98	0.97	0.99	<.001	0.98	0.98	0.99	<.001

Note. HED = heavy episodic drinking, OR = odds ratio, RR = rate ratio, CI = confidence interval, ref. = reference category. Number of negative transitions = Number of transitions reported as having “negative” or “extremely negative” impact on one’s life.

Author Manuscript